

ORCA - Online Research @ Cardiff

This is an Open Access document downloaded from ORCA, Cardiff University's institutional repository:https://orca.cardiff.ac.uk/id/eprint/115759/

This is the author's version of a work that was submitted to / accepted for publication.

Citation for final published version:

Best, Damian, Avenell, Alison, Bhattacharya, Siladitya and Stadler, Gertraud 2017. New debate: is it time for infertility weight-loss programmes to be couple-based? Human Reproduction 32 (12), pp. 2359-2365. 10.1093/humrep/dex313

Publishers page: http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/humrep/dex313

Please note:

Changes made as a result of publishing processes such as copy-editing, formatting and page numbers may not be reflected in this version. For the definitive version of this publication, please refer to the published source. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite this paper.

This version is being made available in accordance with publisher policies. See http://orca.cf.ac.uk/policies.html for usage policies. Copyright and moral rights for publications made available in ORCA are retained by the copyright holders.



1 New debate: is it time for infertility weight loss programmes to

2 be couple-based?

18

3 Running title: Should weight loss programmes be couple based? 4 5 6 Damian Best^{1,*}, Alison Avenell², Siladitya Bhattacharya¹, Gertraud Stadler³ 7 8 ¹Aberdeen Fertility Centre, University of Aberdeen, Foresterhill, Aberdeen, AB25 9 2ZL, United Kingdom. ²Health Services Research Unit, University of Aberdeen 10 Foresterhill, Aberdeen, AB25 2ZD, United Kingdom. ³Health Psychology Group, 11 Department of Applied Health Sciences, University of Aberdeen Foresterhill, 12 Aberdeen, AB25 2ZD, Scotland, United Kingdom. 13 14 *Correspondence address: Damian Best, Obstetrics & Gynaecology, Faculty of 15 Medical Sciences, University of the West Indies Queen Elizabeth Hospital, St. 16 Michael, BB11155, Barbados. Email dajbest1@gmail.com 17

20 Abstract

19

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

With obesity on the rise in the general population, it has also become more prevalent among people of reproductive age. Weight loss has shown benefits in overweight women and men experiencing fertility problems. However, the existing weight loss interventions for individuals with infertility are associated with high drop-out rates and limited success. In this article, we argue for the development of weight loss programmes targeting couples, as couples are routinely seen in fertility clinics, rather than individuals. Couples may have correlated weights, and similar eating and activity patterns. Involving both partners may facilitate mutual support, behaviour change, weight loss, and programme continuation, at very little additional cost. A successful couple-based intervention could improve the chances of achieving pregnancy and delivering a healthy baby, with a reduction in pregnancy complications. In the longer run, both partners and their baby could benefit from maintained behaviour change with better health across the lifespan. We conclude that there is a need for research to systematically develop a couple-based weight loss intervention with state-of-the-art design that is tailored to both partners' needs. **Keywords:** body mass index / BMI / fertility / weight loss / couple-based intervention / couples / obesity / overweight / pregnancy

Introduction

With obesity on the rise in the general population (World Health Organization, 2016), it has also become more prevalent among people experiencing fertility problems (Vahratian and Smith, 2009). It is widely recognised that being overweight in the face of central adiposity may contribute to delayed conception. Much of the prevailing literature uses weight and body mass index (BMI) as surrogates for adiposity, and while muscle mass may increase these measures, persons with BMI of 30 kg/m^2 or more mostly have excess body fat, as do as many as 50% of those below (Romero-Corral *et al.*, 2008).

In women, insulin resistance secondary to overweight and obesity can disrupt ovulation through its effect on the sex hormone pathway, as well as through leptin and other adipokines (Klenov and Jungheim, 2014; Zain and Norman, 2008; Pantasri and Norman, 2014). Oocyte quality may also be compromised (Klenov and Jungheim, 2014), as embryos derived from the oocytes of obese women have been noted to be of poorer quality (Carrell *et al.*, 2001; Metwally *et al.*, 2007; Metwally *et al.*, 2007). High body mass index may also affect endometrial quality and implantation, as obese recipients of oocytes from normal weight donors are less likely to conceive following in-vitro fertilisation (IVF) than normal weight recipients (Bellver *et al.*, 2007).

In men, increased body weight may also compromise fertility. Excessive lower abdominal fat can increase testicular temperature during episodes of prolonged sitting, which may have implications for spermatogenesis (Hammoud et al., 2012). Obese men have been shown to have increased oestrogen levels, with disruption of the hypothalamo-pituitary-gonadal axis (Shukla et al., 2014; Schneider et al., 1979; Schneider et al., 1979). Such high circulating oestrogen levels have also been shown to have a deleterious effect on spermatogenesis in animal studies (Goval O et al., 2003). In humans, higher BMI and more central adiposity are associated with reduced sperm concentration, lower total motile sperm count (Eisenberg et al., 2014; Hammiche et al., 2012; Hakonsen et al., 2011; Hammiche et al., 2012; Hakonsen et al., 2011) and abnormal sperm morphology (Hammiche et al., 2012; Hakonsen et al., 2011; Hakonsen et al., 2011). In a systematic review investigating the impact of BMI on sperm parameters (Sermondade et al., 2013) across 21 studies and 13,007 men attending fertility clinics, oligozoospermia and azoospermia were more common among overweight (OR 1.11, 95% confidence interval(CI) 1.01-1.21), obese (OR 1.28, 95% CI 1.06, 1.55), and morbidly obese men (OR 2.04, 95% CI 1.59-2.62) (Sermondade et al., 2013).

77

78

79

80

81

82

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

Few researchers have studied the association between weight and fertility in both partners. One study of 47,835 couples sought to explore the effect of obesity on couple infertility, over and above the effects on each individual (Ramlau-Hansen *et al.*, 2007). Among couples where both partners were either overweight or obese, the adjusted odds of a delay of over one year in achieving pregnancy were 1.41 (95% CI

1.28, 1.56) for overweight and 2.74 (95% CI 2.27, 3.30) for obese couples, compared to normal weight couples, with a dose-response relationship with increasing BMI. Obesity in both partners was associated with greater difficulty achieving pregnancy (Ramlau-Hansen *et al.*, 2007). Another study found that couples where both partners' BMI exceeded 35.0 kg/m² experienced a delay in time to pregnancy, or reduced fecundity, when compared to couples with a BMI below 25 kg/m² (adjusted fecundity odds ratio aFOR 0.41; 95% CI: 0.17, 0.98) (Sundaram *et al.*, 2017).

For assisted conception, it would appear that IVF live birth rates (Petersen *et al.*, 2013), but not those with intracytoplasmic sperm injection (ICSI) (Petersen *et al.*, 2013; Wang *et al.*, 2016), might be reduced by couple obesity, though further research seems warranted to confirm whether this is truly the case (Schliep *et al.*, 2015).

Potential benefits of weight loss

Weight loss has shown benefits in overweight women and men experiencing fertility problems (Best, 2016). In overweight women, a weight loss of 10% or more has been shown to improve insulin resistance (Zain and Norman, 2008), spontaneous pregnancy (Lan *et al.*, 2017; Mutsaerts *et al.*, 2016; Duval *et al.*, 2015) and live birth rates (Kort *et al.*, 2014). A reduction of body weight by 2-5% has been associated with restoration of ovulation and a 71% increase in insulin sensitivity (Huber-Buchholz *et al.*, 1999). Weight loss exceeding 3 kg has been associated with an improvement in the numbers of mature oocytes retrieved in IVF cycles (Chavarro *et*

al., 2012). However, it is uncertain whether this translates into improved pregnancy or live birth rates in these cycles, as some studies suggest no added benefit (Einarsson et al., 2017; Moran et al., 2011; Chavarro et al., 2012; Moran et al., 2011), while others do (Clark et al., 1998; Sim et al., 2014a). In obese men, a weight loss programme was associated with improvement in semen quality (Hakonsen et al., 2011), while a dietary programme resulted in reduced abdominal fat, decreased sperm DNA fragmentation, and improvement in metabolic and hormone profiles, with all spouses in the latter case series becoming pregnant (Faure et al., 2014). In a prospective uncontrolled pilot study (Homan et al., 2012), 23 infertile couples received motivational face-to-face interviews on an on-going basis with one to two weekly phone calls over four months. The weight loss achieved was not precisely described, but 47% were reported to having "a modest loss of between 1 and 5 kg". Eight of the twenty-three couples conceived by the end of the follow-up period (Homan et al., 2012).

Individual-based weight-loss interventions

Weight loss requires dietary modification, with or without a change in physical activity, to induce a caloric deficit resulting in the body metabolising fat. Individual-based programmes described in the literature to improve fertility have utilized such strategies as low calorie diets, usually low in fat and saturated fat and added sugars, (Turner-McGrievy *et al.*, 2014; Qublan *et al.*, 2007; Mavropoulos *et al.*, 2005; Thomson *et al.*, 2009; Qublan *et al.*, 2007; Mavropoulos *et al.*, 2005; Thomson *et al.*, 2009), low glycaemic index diets (Becker *et al.*, 2015), very low calorie diets (Kiddy

et al., 1992; Tsagareli et al., 2006; van Dam et al., 2004; Tsagareli et al., 2006; van Dam et al., 2004), and a variety of different diets with exercise (Karimzadeh and Javedani, 2010; Moran et al., 2011; Moran et al., 2003; Thomson et al., 2008; Salama et al., 2015; Khaskheli et al., 2013; Hollman et al., 1996; Mahoney, 2014; Mutsaerts et al., 2016; Sim et al., 2014b; De Frene et al., 2015; Miller et al., 2008; Moran et al., 2011; Moran et al., 2003; Thomson et al., 2008; Salama et al., 2015; Khaskheli et al., 2013; Hollman et al., 1996; Mahoney, 2014; Mutsaerts et al., 2016; Sim et al., 2014b; De Frene et al., 2015; Miller et al., 2016; Sim et al., 2014b; De Frene et al., 2015; Miller et al., 2008). Motivational interviewing has also been described as a useful tool (Koning, 2015; Karlsen et al., 2013; Karlsen et al., 2013).

Poor programme compliance has been a problem in many weight loss programmes. In a systematic review of discontinuation rates in such interventions among obese infertile women (Mutsaerts *et al.*, 2013), 10 of 15 studies reported discontinuation, with the median discontinuation rate at 24% (range 0-31%). The programmes ranged from 6-32 weeks in duration, with a median of 24 weeks. Given the small number of studies, it was difficult to identify correlates of discontinuation, but the authors noted that weight loss and pregnancy rates were lower in non-compliant persons (Mutsaerts *et al.*, 2013). Two studies suggest that very stringent diets (e.g., vegan or low-carbohydrate ketogenic) may be particularly hard to follow with even higher discontinuation rates than less restricted diets (Turner-McGrievy *et al.*, 2014; Mavropoulos *et al.*, 2005; Mavropoulos *et al.*, 2005). Studies aiming to improve motivation seem to achieve greater success. Two programmes integrated motivational interviewing and had relatively low discontinuation rates of 10.6% at 6

months (Mutsaerts *et al.*, 2016), and 10.9%, respectively (Koning, 2015). An exercise programme for obese infertile women to improve psychological well-being (Galletly *et al.*, 1996) showed a discontinuation rate of 33.3%, with women who dropped out having higher anxiety and depression scores and lower self-esteem at baseline. In summary, weight loss interventions which are mainly focussed on the individual, have high discontinuations rates, even for patients thought to be motivated in order to improve their fertility, and this results in less weight loss associated with lower pregnancy rates.

The rationale for a couple-based intervention

Partner support in everyday life may facilitate behaviour change and continuation in programmes. Infertility clinics are relatively unique in medicine, as they accommodate the needs of couples rather than individuals. Partners support each other during treatment and the emotional upheavals engendered by it. Where weight loss is required as part of their management, it is reasonable to expect that this support would be useful, particularly in facilitating programme continuation. Perhaps it is time to consider the development of weight loss programmes targeting couples, rather than individuals.

Couples may have similar weight and eating and activity patterns

Couples tend to have similar body mass indices, and weight change in one partner can go hand in hand with weight change in the other. A systematic review (Di Castelnuovo *et al.*, 2009) found correlations between partners with regards to BMI

 $(r=0.15\ {\rm across}\ 34,582\ {\rm couples}\ {\rm in}\ 19\ {\rm studies})$ and weight $(r=0.11\ {\rm across}\ 6,765\ {\rm couples}\ {\rm in}\ 9\ {\rm studies})$. A representative study of 11,979 Dutch couples replicated correlations for BMI between partners (r=0.23) (Monden, 2007). A study including 3356 expectant couples attending antenatal clinics (Edvardsson $et\ al.$, 2013) found a positive partner correlation for BMI (r=0.21). A woman's odds of being obese were more than six times higher if their partner was also obese, in comparison with women whose partner was of normal weight (OR 6.2, 95% CI 4.2-9.3). More than one third (37.8%, p<0.001) of couples in a study investigating semen parameters were concordant for obesity (Polotsky $et\ al.$, 2015). A Danish population cohort study reported that couples presenting for IVF resembled each other in BMI, though they did not supply supportive data (Petersen $et\ al.$, 2013). In a study of weight change in 3722 older couples, the probability of weight loss in women was 36% when the partner also lost weight compared to 15% if the partner's weight was static (Jackson $et\ al.$, 2015).

Weight correlations between partners may be attributed to similar eating and activity patterns. For example, an 18-month home-based weight loss trial with 132 couples found concordance in daily caloric intake, food intake, including that outside the home, physical activity and sedentary behaviours between partners (Scherr and Gorin, 2011). Prior epidemiological studies have found concordance in many health behaviours in couples, including physical activity and diet (Brummett *et al.*, 2008; Meyler *et al.*, 2007; Homish and Leonard, 2008; Pachucki *et al.*, 2011; Simonen *et al.*, 2002; Wilson, 2002) The main barriers to exercise reported by women in another

study (Banting *et al.*, 2014) were lack of time and fatigue, and their main physical activity supports were their partners (Banting *et al.*, 2014). This compels us to consider whether couple-based interventions might in fact be more useful than individual interventions.

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

217

218

219

198

199

200

201

Partner involvement may facilitate behaviour change, programme

continuation, and prove cost-effective

Social support from close others has been a long-standing treatment recommendation for weight loss interventions (Brownell, 1984; Kalodner and Lucia, 1990; Look AHEAD Research Group et al., 2006; Perri et al., 2008; Kalodner and Lucia, 1990; Look AHEAD Research Group et al., 2006; Perri et al., 2008). Existing trials involving partners often show greater weight loss effects with interventions involving persons participating with family members rather than individually (Cousins et al., 1992; Black and Lantz, 1984; Murphy et al., 1982; Pearce et al., 1981; Rosenthal et al., 1980; Wing et al., 1991; Avenell et al., 2004; McLean et al., 2003; Black and Lantz, 1984; Murphy et al., 1982; Pearce et al., 1981; Rosenthal et al., 1980; Wing et al., 1991; Avenell et al., 2004; McLean et al., 2003). Involving support partners proved beneficial, particularly if the partners actively participated in the programme (Kumanyika et al., 2009) and if they also lost weight (Gorin et al., 2005). Couple-based interventions may be an effective and cost-effective public health approach, as two individuals could lose weight as inexpensively as one (Black and Threlfall, 1989).

Trial data illustrate the fact that partners may facilitate behaviour change and weight loss. A meta-analysis in 1990 compared behavioural weight-control programmes involving partners to individual programmes (Black *et al.*, 1990). The programmes contained couples with both concordant and discordant need for weight loss. The authors concluded that couple based programmes were superior to individual interventions immediately post treatment (estimated effect size = 0.331, 95% CI 0.13, 0.54; p <0.05), and at 2- to 3-months' follow-up (estimated effect size = 0.279, 95% CI 0.008, 0.566; p=0.06), though the latter did not reach statistical significance. Participants in a small weight loss trial (N = 23) lost more weight when their partners had normal weight than when their partners were overweight (at 12 months: 12.7 kg vs. 9.2 kg; at 15 months: 13.4 kg vs. 7.9 kg) (Black and Threlfall, 1989), supporting the argument for couple enrolment, even when one partner has no excess weight to lose.

235236

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

Another small trial (N = 29) of overweight men and women found greater weight loss at 6 months when the partner was cooperative and participated in the programme (13.4 kg) than when the programme was delivered individually, either with a cooperative partner (8.8 kg) or a non-cooperative partner (6.9 kg) (Brownell et al., 1978). Participants in this couple intervention reported that mutual monitoring was key in the early weeks of the programme, and subsequent support and encouragement from their partner enabled them to adhere (Brownell et al., 1978). One further small trial (N = 49) found that overweight women, but not men, with diabetes lost more weight when enrolled with their spouses (Wing et al., 1991). Lastly, 393 UK council employees were enrolled in a large trial to reduce the levels of saturated fat in their diets, either individually or with their partner (Prestwich et al., 2014). Participants receiving the partner-based intervention increased the ratio of 'good' fats to 'bad' fats at 3 and 6 months, and also managed to decrease their waist circumference more than those receiving the individual intervention (effect size not given; p = 0.04).

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

Preparation for parenthood as a teachable moment for adopting a healthier

lifestyle with long-term benefits for both partners and their baby

A successful weight loss intervention could improve the chances of achieving pregnancy and delivering a healthy baby (Best, 2016) via higher spontaneous pregnancy rates (Lan *et al.*, 2017; Mutsaerts *et al.*, 2016; Duval *et al.*, 2015) and possibly better IVF treatment outcomes (Clark *et al.*, 1998; Sim *et al.*, 2014a),

Including fewer pregnancy complications (The Royal Australian and New Zealand College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists, 2011) and more live births (Kort *et al.*, 2014). In the longer run, both partners in addition to their baby could benefit from maintained behaviour change with better health across the lifespan. A healthy weight is related to loer risk for cardiovascular disease, type 2 diabetes, and all-cause mortality (National Clinical Guideline Centre, 2014). Weight loss is related to reduced incidence of Type 2 diabetes in women and men (Avenell *et al.*, 2004; Robertson *et al.*, 2014) and erectile dysfunction in men (Robertson *et al.*, 2014). The point at which couples experience fertility problems could thus become a teachable moment for long-term changes towards a healthier lifestyle, with benefits to the couple and their family over their life course (Cohen *et al.*, 2011).

What is needed for a couple-based intervention?

Need for a systematic approach to intervention development

The existing studies have a number of weaknesses. First, most suffered from small sample sizes. Second, few studies have been conducted outside the United States. Strong cultural differences in eating, physical activity, and close relationships call for adequately powered studies in other countries to establish the generalizability of these findings. Third, most studies were not based on systematic intervention development such as an intervention mapping approach (Eldredge *et al.*, 2016). Studies based on systematic intervention development draw on theory and behaviour change methods; thus, they have the potential to focus interventions on the active ingredients of behaviour change, and systematically improve intervention

effect sizes and weight loss maintenance. In summary, the current evidence underlines the need for systematic intervention development in this field.

Need for a tailored intervention

A weight loss intervention for couples seeking fertility treatment would need to be tailored to the individual needs of both partners. If both partners are obese or overweight, the intervention would need to focus on weight loss in both partners. For non-obese partners, the intervention would focus on supporting weight loss in the obese partner and changing relevant health behaviours for the non-obese partner, for example, eating a healthier diet or becoming more active.

Need for measures to maximize retention

As stated above, a prior review of intervention studies for overweight and obese infertile women had a median discontinuation rate of 24% (Mutsaerts *et al.*, 2013), with lesser weight loss and fewer spontaneous pregnancies in dropouts compared to retained participants. Measures to maximize retention will therefore be critical in the design of future lifestyle interventions for infertile women and their partners. These could encompass tailored information and behavioural recommendations based on participants' prior knowledge and preferences (e.g., offering individualized sessions to develop behavioural recommendations).

Need to address a comprehensive set of behavioural outcomes for fertility

An intervention for overweight partners should include standard recommendations for a calorie-reduced diet, and could include meal replacements, dependent on participant preference. Prior trials have found that exercise alone has minimal effects on weight loss (Franz et al., 2007). However, exercise may help to maintain weight loss, and may be important to include, particularly for its ability to appeal to the male partner (Robertson et al., 2014). Thus, the intervention should include a behavioural goal to increase physical activity, such as gradually increasing walking towards a daily 10,000-step goal, or by taking at least 30 minutes of moderate-tovigorous activity per day. Non-overweight partners could receive a standard recommendation to eat a healthy diet and increase physical activity, if necessary. Because general recommendations for infertile couples' treatment include advice regarding alcohol and smoking, the intervention should include elements to support either partner in quitting these habits as required. Last, but not least, a couples' intervention could also include a module to improve social processes to facilitate behaviour change.

318

319

320

321

322

323

324

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

Need for a better understanding of underlying social processes in weight loss

Few trials so far have assessed the underlying social processes in weight loss, even with inclusion of social network members in some studies. Therefore, there is ample room for improvement in delineating active ingredients and optimising these interventions. Behaviour change methods aimed at changing social support and social influence should boost effects when a behaviour is at least partly influenced

by the social environment (Eldredge *et al.*, 2016). Baseline data from a weight loss trial in women (Kiernan *et al.*, 2012) found low support from family and friends. Many women reported "never" or "rarely" receiving support for healthy eating (from family: 77.9%, from friends: 90.3%) or for physical activity (from family: 77.2%, from friends: 87.6%). Women also reported some sabotaging behaviour from close others, e.g., they "ate high-fat or unhealthy foods in front of me" or they "refused to eat healthy or low-fat foods with me".

332

333

334

335

336

337

338

339

340

341

342

343

344

345

346

347

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

The few available trials including partners have used a variety of intervention approaches. These have included partner training for social support to increase positive reinforcement (e.g. praise), role modelling healthier eating, setting goals, and focusing on problem solving; also reduction of negative social control including criticism, punishment, and nagging (McLean et al., 2003). To identify the social processes most relevant to couples seeking fertility treatment, it will be necessary to study support, but also processes that have received less attentions such as social control, companionship, person-to-person contact, and access to resources and material goods (Berkman et al., 2000). Skilled support and positive influence should facilitate behaviour change (Rafaeli and Gleason, 2009; Scholz et al., 2013; Cutrona and Russell, 1990; Scholz et al., 2013; Cutrona and Russell, 1990). Diminishing negative control and sabotaging behaviours (e.g., tempting the dieting partner with high-caloric food) should benefit weight loss additionally (Gorin et al., 2014). Last but not least, the intervention should also promote relationship-strengthening behaviours such as companionship and emotional and physical intimacy (e.g. date nights, joint fun activities) to counter the distress and irritability that accompanies attempts at behaviour change.

A weight-loss intervention will need state-of-the art methodology

It is feasible and acceptable to use real-time assessments via smartphone apps, passive sensors, and text messages in individuals and couples. Examples have been given for diet (Inauen *et al.*, 2016), physical activity (Berli *et al.*, 2016), alcohol intake (Muench *et al.*, 2017), and for smoking. These assessments could boost intervention effects and facilitate the maintenance of behaviour change. These methods should be tested in couples experiencing fertility problems, underscoring the need for careful pilot work during intervention development.

Conclusion

Overweight and obesity in both men and women attending infertility clinics is a growing challenge. Accumulating evidence demonstrates the effects of weight on reproductive function, and the benefits of weight loss in both sexes. Individual interventions for weight loss in women are often unsuccessful - mainly due to lack of compliance. A couple-based intervention may achieve more efficient weight loss at little additional cost and promises considerable public health benefits. Further clinical trials are warranted to develop and evaluate such an intervention in terms of efficacy, cost and compliance.

369	
370	Author Roles
371	All authors contributed to the ideas in this paper. DB and GS wrote the first draft. All
372	authors contributed to revision of drafts.
373	
374	Funding
375	No specific funding was sought. All authors were employed by the University of
376	Aberdeen in a research capacity. The Health Services Research Unit is core funded
377	by the Chief Scientist Office of the Scottish Government Health and Social Care
378	Directorate.
379	
380	
381	Conflicts of Interest
382	AA, DB, GS and SB have no conflicts of interest to declare.
383	

References

- Avenell A, Broom J, Brown TJ, Poobalan A, Aucott L, Stearns SC, Smith WC, Jung RT,
- Campbell MK, Grant AM. Systematic review of the long-term effects and economic
- 387 consequences of treatments for obesity and implications for health improvement. Health Technol
- 388 *Assess* 2004:**21**; iii-iv, 1-182.
- Banting L, Gibson-Helm M, Polman R, Teede H, Stepto N. Physical activity and mental health in
- women with polycystic ovary syndrome. *BMC Womens Health* 2014:1; 51.
- 391 Becker GF, Passos EP, Moulin CC. Short-term effects of a hypocaloric diet with low glycemic
- index and low glycemic load on body adiposity, metabolic variables, ghrelin, leptin, and
- 393 pregnancy rate in overweight and obese infertile women: a randomized controlled trial. Am J Clin
- 394 *Nutr* 2015:**6**; 1365-1372.
- 395 Bellver J, Melo A M, Bosch E, Serra V, Remohi J, Pellicer A. Obesity and poor reproductive
- outcome: the potential role of the endometrium. Fertil Steril 2007:2; 446-451.
- 397 Berkman LF, Glass T, Brissette I, Seeman TE. From social integration to health: Durkheim in the
- 398 new millennium. Soc Sci Med 2000:**6**; 843-857.
- 399 Berli C, Stadler G, Inauen J, Scholz U. Action control in dyads: A randomized controlled trial to
- promote physical activity in everyday life. *Soc Sci Med* 2016:**163**; 89-97.
- Best D. A systematic review of non-surgical weight reduction strategies in the infertile. *Thesis*
- 402 2016.
- Black DR, Threlfall WE. Partner weight status and subject weight loss: Implications for cost-
- effective programs and public health. *Addict Behav* 1989:**3**; 279-289.
- Black DR, Lantz CE. Spouse involvement and a possible long-term follow-up trap in weight loss.
- 406 Behav Res Ther 1984:5; 557-562.
- Black DR, Gleser LJ, Kooyers KJ. A meta-analytic evaluation of couples weight-loss programs.
- 408 *Health Psychol* 1990:**3**; 330-347.
- Brownell KD, Heckerman CL, Westlake RJ, Hayes SC, Monti PM. The effect of couples training
- and partner co-operativeness in the behavioral treatment of obesity. Behav Res Ther 1978:5; 323-
- 411 333.
- Brownell KD. Behavioral, psychological, and environmental predictors of obesity and success at
- 413 weight reduction. *Int J Obes* 1984:**5**; 543-550.
- Brummett BH, Siegler IC, Day RS, Costa PT. Personality as a predictor of dietary quality in
- spouses during midlife. *Behav Med* 2008:1; 5-10.

- 416 Carrell DT, Jones KP, Peterson CM, Aoki V, Emery RB, Campbell RB. Body mass index is
- 417 inversely related to intrafollicular hCG concentrations, embryo quality and IVF outcome. Reprod
- 418 Biomed Online 2001:2; 109-111.
- Chavarro J, Ehrlich S, Colaci D, Wright D, Toth T, Petrozza J, Hauser R. Body mass index and
- short term weight change in relation to treatment outcomes in women undergoing assisted
- 421 reproduction. Fertil Steril 2012:1; 109-116.
- 422 Christakis NA, Fowler JH. The Spread of Obesity in a Large Social Network over 32 Years. N
- 423 *Engl J Med* 2007:**4**; 370-379.
- Clark AM, Thornley B, Tomlinson L, Galletley C, Norman RJ. Weight loss in obese infertile
- women results in improvement in reproductive outcome for all forms of fertility treatment. *Hum*
- 426 Reprod 1998:**6**; 1502-1505.
- 427 Cohen DJ, Clark EC, Lawson PJ, Casucci BA, Flocke SA. Identifying teachable moments for
- health behavior counseling in primary care. *Patient Educ Couns* 2011:2; e8-e15.
- 429 Cousins JH, Rubovits DS, Dunn JK, Reeves RS, Ramirez AG, Foreyt JP. Family versus
- 430 individually oriented intervention for weight loss in Mexican American women. Public Health
- 431 *Rep* 1992:**5**; 549-555.
- Cutrona CE, Russell DW. Type of social support and specific stress: Toward a theory of optimal
- matching. In Sarason BR, Sarason IG and Pierce GR (eds) Social support: An interactional view.
- 434 1990. John Wiley & Sons, Oxford, England, pp. 319-366.
- De Frene V, Verhofstadt L, Lammertyn J, Stuyver I, Buysse A, De Sutter P. Quality of Life and
- Body Mass Index in Overweight Adult Women with Polycystic Ovary Syndrome During a
- 437 Lifestyle Modification Program. J Obstet Gynecol Neonatal Nurs 2015:5; 587-599.
- 438 Di Castelnuovo A, Quacquaruccio G, Donati MB, de Gaetano G, Iacoviello L. Spousal
- 439 Concordance for Major Coronary Risk Factors: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Am J
- 440 *Epidemiol* 2009:1; 1-8.
- Duval K, Belan M, JeanDenis F, Baillargeon J. An interdisciplinary lifestyle intervention
- 442 improves clinically relevant fertility outcomes in obese infertile women-preliminary results.
- 443 Fertil Steril 2015:3 SUPPL. 1; e97.
- Edvardsson K, Lindkvist M, Eurenius E, Mogren I, Small R, Ivarsson A. A population-based
- study of overweight and obesity in expectant parents: socio-demographic patterns and within-
- couple associations. *BMC Public Health* 2013:1; 923.
- Einarsson S, Bergh C, Friberg B, Pinborg A, Klajnbard A, Karlström P, Kluge L, Larsson I,
- 448 Loft A, Mikkelsen-Englund A et al. Weight reduction intervention for obese infertile women
- prior to IVF: a randomized controlled trial. 2017:8; 1621-1630.
- Eisenberg ML, Kim S, Chen Z, Sundaram R, Schisterman EF, Buck Louis GM. The relationship
- between male BMI and waist circumference on semen quality: data from the LIFE study. Hum
- 452 *Reprod* 2014:**2**; 193-200.

- Eldredge LKB, Markham CM, Kok G, Ruiter RA, Parcel GS. Planning health promotion
- programs: an intervention mapping approach. 2016. John Wiley & Sons, USA.
- Faure C, Dupont C, Baraibar MA, Ladouce R, Cedrin-Durnerin I, Wolf JP, Levy R. In subfertile
- 456 couple, abdominal fat loss in men is associated with improvement of sperm quality and
- pregnancy: A case-series. *PLoS ONE* 2014:**2**; e86300.
- 458 Franz MJ, VanWormer JJ, Crain AL, Boucher JL, Histon T, Caplan W, Bowman JD, Pronk NP.
- Weight-loss outcomes: a systematic review and meta-analysis of weight-loss clinical trials with a
- 460 minimum 1-year follow-up. J Am Diet Assoc 2007:10; 1755-1767.
- Galletly C, Clark A, Tomlinson L, Blaney F. A group program for obese, infertile women:
- Weight loss and improved psychological health. J Psychosom Obstet Gynaecol 1996:2; 125-128.
- Gorin AA, Powers TA, Koestner R, Wing RR, Raynor HA. Autonomy support, self-regulation,
- and weight loss. *Health Psychol* 2014:**4**; 332.
- Gorin A, Phelan S, Tate D, Sherwood N, Jeffery R, Wing R. Involving support partners in obesity
- treatment. J Consult Clin Psychol 2005:2; 341.
- Goyal O H, Robateau A, Braden D T, Williams S C, Srivastava K K, Ali K. Neonatal estrogen
- exposure of male rats alters reproductive functions at adulthood. *Biol Reprod* 2003:**6**; 2081-2091.
- Hakonsen L, Thulstrup A, Aggerholm A, Olsen J, Bonde J, Andersen C, Bungum M, Ernst E,
- Hansen M, Ernst E et al. Does weight loss improve semen quality and reproductive hormones?
- Results from a cohort of severely obese men. *Reprod Health* 2011:1; 24.
- Hammiche F, Laven JSE, Twigt JM, Boellaard WPA, Steegers EAP, Steegers Theunissen RP.
- Body mass index and central adiposity are associated with sperm quality in men of subfertile
- 474 couples. *Hum Reprod* 2012:**8**; 2365-2372.
- Hammoud A, Meikle A, Reis L, Gibson M, Peterson C, Carrell D. Obesity and Male Infertility: A
- 476 Practical Approach. Semin Reprod Med 2012:6; 486-495.
- Hollman M, Runnebaum B, Gerhard I. Effects of weight loss on the hormonal profile in obese,
- 478 infertile women. *Hum Reprod* 1996:**9**; 1884-1891.
- Homan G, Litt J, Norman RJ. The FAST study: Fertility ASsessment and advice Targeting
- lifestyle choices and behaviours: A pilot study. *Hum Reprod* 2012:**8**; 2396-2404.
- Homish GG, Leonard KE. Spousal influence on general health behaviors in a community sample.
- 482 *Am J Health Behav* 2008:**6**; 754-763.
- Huber-Buchholz M, Carey G, Norman J. Restoration of reproductive potential by lifestyle
- 484 modification in obese polycystic ovary syndrome: role of insulin sensitivity and luteinizing
- 485 hormone. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 1999:**4**; 1470-1474.

- Inauen J, Shrout PE, Bolger N, Stadler G, Scholz U. Mind the Gap? An Intensive Longitudinal
- 487 Study of Between-Person and Within-Person Intention-Behavior Relations. Ann Behav Med
- 488 2016:4; 516-522.
- Jackson SE, Steptoe A, Wardle J. The influence of partner's behavior on health behavior change:
- the English Longitudinal Study of Ageing. *JAMA Intern Med* 2015:**3**; 385-392.
- 491 Kalodner CR, Lucia JL. Components of effective weight loss program: Theory, research, and
- 492 practice. *J Couns Dev* 1990:**4**; 427-433.
- Karimzadeh MA, Javedani M. An assessment of lifestyle modification versus medical treatment
- with clomiphene citrate, metformin, and clomiphene citrate-metformin in patients with polycystic
- 495 ovary syndrome. Fertil Steril 2010:1; 216-220.
- 496 Karlsen K, Humaidan P, Sorensen LH, Alsbjerg B, Ravn P. Motivational interviewing: A part of
- 497 the weight loss program for overweight and obese women prior to fertility treatment. Gynecol
- 498 Endocrinol 2013:9; 839-842.
- Khaskheli M, Baloch S, Baloch AS. Infertility and weight reduction: Influence and outcome. J
- 500 *College Phys Surg Pak* 2013:**23**; 798-801.
- Kiddy DS, Hamilton-Fairley D, Bush A, Short F, Anyaoku V, Reed MJ, Franks S. Improvement
- in endocrine and ovarian function during dietary treatment of obese women with polycystic ovary
- 503 syndrome. Clin Endocrinol (Oxf) 1992:1; 105-111.
- Kiernan M, Moore SD, Schoffman DE, Lee K, King AC, Taylor CB, Kiernan NE, Perri MG.
- Social support for healthy behaviors: scale psychometrics and prediction of weight loss among
- women in a behavioral program. *Obesity* 2012:4; 756-764.
- Klenov V, Jungheim E. Obesity and reproductive function: a review of the evidence. Curr Opin
- 508 *Obstet Gynecol* 2014:**26**; 455-460.
- Koning AMH. Fertility treatment in obese women. *Thesis* 2015.
- Kort J, Winget C, Kim S, Lathi R. A retrospective cohort study to evaluate the impact of
- meaningful weight loss on fertility outcomes in an overweight population with infertility. Fertil
- 512 *Steril* 2014:**5**; 1400-1403.
- Kumanyika SK, Wadden TA, Shults J, Fassbender JE, Brown SD, Bowman MA, Brake V, West
- W, Frazier J, Whitt-Glover MC et al. Trial of family and friend support for weight loss in African
- 515 American adults. *Arch Intern Med* 2009:**19**; 1795-1804.
- Lan L, Harrison C, Misso M, Hill B, Teede H, Mol B, Moran L. Systematic review and meta-
- analysis of the impact of preconception lifestyle interventions on fertility, obstetric, fetal,
- anthropometric and metabolic outcomes in men and women. 2017:32; 1-16.
- Look AHEAD Research Group, Wadden TA, West DS, Delahanty L, Jakicic J, Rejeski J,
- Williamson D, Berkowitz RI, Kelley DE, Tomchee C et al. The Look AHEAD study: a

- description of the lifestyle intervention and the evidence supporting it. Obesity (Silver Spring)
- **522** 2006:**5**; 737-752.
- Mahoney D. Lifestyle modification intervention among infertile overweight and obese women
- with polycystic ovary syndrome. J Am Assoc Nurse Pract 2014:6; 301-308.
- Mavropoulos JC, Yancy WS, Hepburn J, Westman EC. The effects of a low-carbohydrate,
- ketogenic diet on the polycystic ovary syndrome: A pilot study. *Nutr Metab* 2005:1; 35.
- McLean N, Griffin S, Toney K, Hardeman W. Family involvement in weight control, weight
- maintenance and weight-loss interventions: a systematic review of randomised trials. *Int J Obes*
- 529 2003:**9**; 987-1005.
- Metwally M, Cutting R, Tipton A, Skull J, Ledger L W, Li C T. Effect of increased body mass
- index on oocyte and embryo quality in IVF patients. Reprod Biomed Online 2007:5; 532-538.
- Meyler D, Stimpson JP, Peek MK. Health concordance within couples: a systematic review. Soc
- 533 *Sci Med* 2007:**11**; 2297-2310.
- Miller PB, Forstein DA, Styles S. Effect of short-term diet and exercise on hormone levels and
- menses in obese, infertile women. *J Reprod Med* 2008:**5**; 315-319.
- Monden C. Partners in health? Exploring resemblance in health between partners in married and
- cohabiting couples. *Sociol Health Illn* 2007:**3**; 391-411.
- Moran L, Noakes M, Clifton P, Tomlinson L, Norman R. Dietary composition in restoring
- reproductive and metabolic physiology in overweight women with polycystic ovary syndrome. J
- 540 *Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2003:**2**; 812-819.
- Moran L, Tsagareli V, Norman R, Noakes M. Diet and IVF pilot study: Short-term weight loss
- improves pregnancy rates in overweight/obese women undertaking IVF. Aust NZJ Obstet
- 543 *Gynaecol* 2011:**51**; 455-459.
- Muench F, van Stolk-Cooke K, Kuerbis A, Stadler G, Baumel A, McKay J, Morgenstern J. A
- randomized controlled pilot trial of different mobile messaging interventions for problem
- drinking. *PLoS ONE* 2017:**2**; e0167900.
- Murphy JK, Williamson DA, Buxton AE, Moody SC, Absher N, Warner M. The long-term
- effects of spouse involvement upon weight loss and maintenance. *Behav Ther* 1982:5; 681-693.
- Mutsaerts MAO, Kuchenbecker WKH, Mol BW, Land JA, Hoek A. Dropout is a problem in
- 550 lifestyle intervention programs for overweight and obese infertile women: A systematic review.
- 551 *Hum Reprod* 2013:**4**; 979-986.
- Mutsaerts MAQ, Van Oers AM, Groen H, Burggraaff JM, Kuchenbecker WKH, Perquin DAM,
- 553 Koks CAM, Van Golde R, Kaaijk EM, Schierbeek JM et al. Randomized trial of a lifestyle
- program in obese infertile women. N Engl J Med 2016:20; 1942-1953.

- National Clinical Guideline Centre. Obesity: Identification and management of overweight and
- obesity in children, young people and adults. Commissioned by the National Institute for Health
- and Care Excellence. 2014; 1.
- 558 Pachucki MA, Jacques PF, Christakis NA. Social network concordance in food choice among
- spouses, friends, and siblings. *Am J Public Health* 2011:11; 2170-2177.
- Pantasri T, Norman J. The effects of being overweight and obese on female reproduction.
- 561 *Gynecol Endocrinol* 2014:**2**; 90-94.
- Pearce JW, LeBow MD, Orchard J. Role of spouse involvement in the behavioral treatment of
- overweight women. J Consult Clin Psychol 1981:2; 236.
- Perri MG, Limacher MC, Durning PE, Janicke DM, Lutes LD, Bobroff LB, Dale MS, Daniels
- MJ, Radcliff TA, Martin AD. Extended-care programs for weight management in rural
- 566 communities: the treatment of obesity in underserved rural settings (TOURS) randomized trial.
- 567 Arch Intern Med 2008:21; 2347-2354.
- Petersen GL, Schmidt L, Pinborg A, Kamper-JÃ, rgensen M. The influence of female and male
- body mass index on live births after assisted reproductive technology treatment: a nationwide
- register-based cohort study. Fertil Steril 2013:6; 1654-1662.
- Polotsky AJ, Allshouse AA, Casson PR, Coutifaris C, Diamond MP, Christman GM, Schlaff
- WD, Alvero R, Trussell JC, Krawetz SA et al. Impact of Male and Female Weight, Smoking, and
- Intercourse Frequency on Live Birth in Women With Polycystic Ovary Syndrome. 2015:6; 2405-
- 574 2412.
- Prestwich A, Conner MT, Lawton RJ, Ward JK, Ayres K, McEachan RRC. Partner- and
- planning-based interventions to reduce fat consumption: randomized controlled trial. Br J Health
- 577 *Psychol* 2014:**1**; 132-148.
- Qublan HS, Yannakoula EK, Al-Qudad MA, El-Uri FI. Dietary intervention versus metformin to
- improve the reproductive outcome in women with polycystic ovary syndrome. A prospective
- 580 comparative study. *Saudi Med J* 2007:**11**; 1694-1698.
- Rafaeli E, Gleason ME. Skilled support within intimate relationships. *J Fam Theory Rev* 2009:1;
- 582 20-37.
- Ramlau-Hansen H, Thulstrup M, Nohr A, Bonde P, Sørensen I, Olsen J. Subfecundity in
- overweight and obese couples. *Hum Reprod* 2007:**6**; 1634-1637.
- Robertson C, Archibald D, Avenell A, Douglas F, Hoddinott P, Boyers D, Stewart F, Boachie C,
- Fioratou E, Wilkins D. Systematic reviews of and integrated report on the quantitative, qualitative
- and economic evidence base for the management of obesity in men. Health Technol Assess
- 588 2014:**35**; 1-148.
- Romero-Corral A, Somers VK, Sierra-Johnson J, Thomas RJ, Collazo-Clavell ML, Korinek J,
- Allison TG, Batsis JA, Sert-Kuniyoshi FH, Lopez-Jimenez F. Accuracy of body mass index in
- diagnosing obesity in the adult general population. *Int J Obes (Lond)* 2008:**6**; 959-966.

- Rosenthal B, Allen GJ, Winter C. Husband involvement in the behavioral treatment of
- overweight women: initial effects and long-term follow-up. *Int J Obes* 1980:**2**; 165-173.
- Salama AA, Amine EK, Salem HAE, El Fattah NKA. Anti-Inflammatory dietary combo in
- overweight and obese women with polycystic ovary syndrome. North Am J Med Sci 2015:7; 310-
- 596 316.
- 597 Scherr AS, Gorin A. Shared behavioral risk factors in spouses presenting for weight loss
- 598 treatment. *Obesity* 2011:**19**; S97.
- 599 Schliep KC, Mumford SL, Ahrens KA, Hotaling JM, Carrell DT, Link M, Hinkle SN, Kissell K,
- Porucznik CA, Hammoud AO. Effect of male and female body mass index on pregnancy and live
- birth success after in vitro fertilization. Fertil Steril 2015:2; 388-395.
- Schneider G, Kirschner A M, Merkowitz R, Ertel H N. Increased estrogen production in obese
- 603 men. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 1979:**4**; 633-638.
- Scholz U, Ochsner S, Hornung R, Knoll N. Does social support really help to eat a low fat diet?
- Main effects and gender differences of received social support within the Health Action Process
- Approach. *Appl Psychol Health Well-Being* 2013:**2**; 270-290.
- Sermondade N, Faure C, Fezeu L, Shayeb G A, Bonde P J, Jensen T, Van Wely M, Cao J,
- Martini C A, Eskandar M et al. BMI in relation to sperm count: an updated systematic review and
- 609 collaborative meta-analysis. *Hum Reprod Update* 2013:**3**; 221-231.
- Shukla K, Chambial S, Dwivedi S, Misra S, Sharma P. Recent scenario of obesity and male
- 611 fertility. *Andrology* 2014:**6**; 809-818.
- 612 Sim KA, Dezarnaulds GM, Denyer GS, Skilton MR, Caterson ID. Weight loss improves
- 613 reproductive outcomes in obese women undergoing fertility treatment: A randomized controlled
- 614 trial. Clin Obes 2014a:2; 61-68.
- Sim KA, Partridge SR, Sainsbury A. Does weight loss in overweight or obese women improve
- 616 fertility treatment outcomes? A systematic review. *Obes Rev* 2014b:10; 839-850.
- 617 Simonen RL, PÉrusse L, Rankinen T, Rice T, Rao D, Bouchard C. Familial aggregation of
- 618 physical activity levels in the Quebec Family Study. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2002:7; 1137-1142.
- Sundaram R, Mumford SL, Buck Louis GM. Couples' body composition and time-to-pregnancy.
- 620 Hum Reprod 2017:3; 662-668.
- The Royal Australian and New Zealand College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists. Ovarian
- stimulation in assisted reproduction. 2011; 1.
- Thomson RL, Buckley JD, Moran LJ, Noakes M, Clifton PM, Norman RJ, Brinkworth GD. The
- effect of weight loss on anti-Mullerian hormone levels in overweight and obese women with
- 625 polycystic ovary syndrome and reproductive impairment. *Hum Reprod* 2009:**8**; 1976-1981.

626 627 628 629	Thomson RL, Buckley JD, Noakes M, Clifton PM, Norman RJ, Brinkworth GD. The effect of a hypocaloric diet with and without exercise training on body composition, cardiometabolic risk profile, and reproductive function in overweight and obese women with polycystic ovary syndrome. <i>J Clin Endocrinol Metab</i> 2008:9; 3373-3380.
630 631	Tsagareli V, Noakes M, Norman RJ. Effect of a very-low-calorie diet on in vitro fertilization outcomes. <i>Fertil Steril</i> 2006:1; 227-229.
632 633 634	Turner-McGrievy GM, Davidson CR, Wingard EE, Billings DL. Low glycemic index vegan or low-calorie weight loss diets for women with polycystic ovary syndrome: A randomized controlled feasibility study. <i>Nutr Res</i> 2014: 6 ; 552-558.
635 636	Vahratian A, Smith YR. Should access to fertility-related services be conditional on body mass index? <i>Hum Reprod</i> 2009: 7 ; 1532-1537.
637 638 639 640	van Dam EWCM, Roelfsema F, Veldhuis JD, Hogendoorn S, Westenberg J, Helmerhorst FM, Frölich M, Krans HMJ, Meinders AE, Pijl H. Retention of estradiol negative feedback relationship to LH predicts ovulation in response to caloric restriction and weight loss in obese patients with polycystic ovary syndrome. <i>Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab</i> 2004: 4 ; E615-E620.
641 642 643	Wang X, Hao J, Zhang F, Li J, Kong H, Guo Y. Effects of female and male body mass indices on the treatment outcomes and neonatal birth weights associated with in vitro fertilization/intracytoplasmic sperm injection treatment in China. <i>Fertil Steril</i> 2016: 2 ; 460-466.
644 645	Wilson SE. The health capital of families: an investigation of the inter-spousal correlation in health status. <i>Soc Sci Med</i> 2002:7; 1157-1172.
646 647	Wing RR, Marcus MD, Epstein LH, Jawad, A. A "family-based" approach to the treatment of obese type II diabetic patients. <i>J Consult Clin Psychol</i> 1991:1; 156-162.
648	World Health Organization. Obesity and Overweight fact sheet no 311. 2016: April 17.
649 650	Zain M, Norman R. Impact of obesity on female fertility and fertility treatment. <i>Womens Health (Lond)</i> 2008: 2 ; 183-194.
651	