



Article A Biophysical Approach to the Identification of Novel ApoE Chemical Probes

Lucas Kraft^{1,*}, Louise C. Serpell² and John R. Atack³

- ¹ Sussex Drug Discovery Centre, School of Life Sciences, University of Sussex, Chichester II, Falmer, Brighton BN1 9QJ, UK
- ² Dementia Research Group, Sussex Neuroscience, School of Life Sciences, University of Sussex, Falmer, Brighton BN1 9QJ, UK; l.c.serpell@sussex.ac.uk
- ³ Medicines Discovery Institute, Cardiff University, Park Place, Cardiff CF10 3AT, UK; atackj@cardiff.ac.uk
- * Correspondence: l.v.kraft@sussex.ac.uk; Tel.: +44-754-282-9270

Received: 30 November 2018; Accepted: 24 January 2019; Published: 29 January 2019



Abstract: Alzheimer's disease (AD) is the most common type of dementia and, after age, the greatest risk factor for developing AD is the allelic variation of apolipoprotein E (ApoE), with homozygote carriers of the ApoE4 allele having an up to 12-fold greater risk of developing AD than noncarriers. Apolipoprotein E exists as three isoforms that differ in only two amino acid sites, ApoE2 (Cys112/Cys158), ApoE3 (Cys112/Arg158), and ApoE4 (Arg112/Arg158). These amino acid substitutions are assumed to alter ApoE structure and function, and be responsible for the detrimental effects of ApoE4 via a mechanism that remains unclear. The hypothesis that a structural difference between ApoE4 and ApoE3 (and ApoE2) is the cause of the ApoE4-associated increased risk for AD forms the basis of a therapeutic approach to modulate ApoE4 structure, and we were therefore interested in screening to identify new chemical probes for ApoE4. In this regard, a high-yield protocol was developed for the expression and purification of recombinant full-length ApoE, and three diverse biophysical screening assays were established and characterized; an optical label-free assay (Corning Epic) for hit identification and microscale thermophoresis (MST) and isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC) as orthogonal assays for hit confirmation. The 707 compounds in the National Institute of Health clinical collection were screened for binding to ApoE4, from which six confirmed hits, as well as one analogue, were identified. Although the compounds did not differentiate between ApoE isoforms, these data nevertheless demonstrate the feasibility of using a biophysical approach to identifying compounds that bind to ApoE and that, with further optimization, might differentiate between isoforms to produce a molecule that selectively alters the function of ApoE4.

Keywords: ApoE; Alzheimer's disease; drug discovery; high-throughput screening; chemical probes; biophysical assays

1. Introduction

Apolipoprotein E (ApoE) is a 299-amino acid glycoprotein that is involved in cholesterol and lipid delivery. Its primarily function is the recognition of specific receptors, such as the low-density lipoprotein receptor (LDLR) that mediates the internalization of lipoprotein particles [1,2]. Apolipoprotein E is highly expressed in the liver, as well as in the brain, where it is mostly secreted by astrocytes [3].

The apolipoprotein E gene (*APOE*) exists as three different polymorphic alleles ($\epsilon 2$, $\epsilon 3$, and $\epsilon 4$) that correspond to variations in the combinations of amino acids at residues 112 and 158 of the protein. While ApoE3 has a cysteine at site 112 and an arginine at position 158, ApoE2 has two cysteines and ApoE4 has two arginines at both sites, respectively [4]. The $\epsilon 3$ allele, and therefore the $\epsilon 3/\epsilon 3$ genotype,

are most frequent, with an allelic frequency of approximately 78% in the Caucasian population; $\varepsilon 2$ and $\varepsilon 4$ have frequencies of 8% and 14%, respectively [5]. Studies have shown that the $\varepsilon 4$ variant of *APOE* is associated with an increased risk for Alzheimer's disease (AD) [6–9], and approximately 40% of AD patients carry at least one $\varepsilon 4$ allele [5,10]. The risk of AD increases in a gene dose-dependent fashion: one copy of the $\varepsilon 4$ allele increases the risk to develop AD three- to four-fold in comparison to individuals lacking the $\varepsilon 4$ allele, whereas two copies of the $\varepsilon 4$ allele increase the risk by up to 12-fold [5].

Data suggest that ApoE4 may contribute to AD pathogenesis through both amyloid beta $(A\beta)$ -dependent and $A\beta$ -independent pathways [11], although these processes are still poorly understood. One underlying hypothesis is that a structural difference between ApoE isoforms may confer ApoE4's detrimental effects in AD. It has been suggested that amino acid substitution in ApoE4 (Arg112 instead of Cys112 compared to ApoE3) alters the protein structure, such that a salt bridge forms between Arg61 and Glu255 which results in an intramolecular interaction between the amino and carboxyl terminal domain of ApoE4; a concept termed domain interaction [12–14], with some neuropathological effects of ApoE4 potentially being related to this domain interaction. For example, mice in which the domain interaction is engineered into the mouse ApoE gene [15] show cognitive impairment compared to wild-type matched controls and generally have lower levels of pre- and postsynaptic markers, such as synaptophysin in the hippocampus [16]. Primary cultured astrocytes from these targeted replacement mice have lower levels of ApoE due to the increased activation of unfolded-protein response pathways and degradation of the protein [17]. Similarly, increased neuronal degradation of ApoE4 compared to ApoE3 was demonstrated in mouse neuroblastoma cells, human brain lysates, and in mice expressing ApoE under the control of the neuron-specific enolase promoter [18–20]. It has been suggested that an increased rate of proteolytic cleavage of ApoE4 results in fragments that enter the cytosol and interfere with cytoskeletal components, such as tau [20], and may disrupt mitochondrial function [21]. Interestingly, some of these effects can be reversed by disrupting the presumed ApoE4 domain interaction, either by site-directed mutagenesis or by the use of small-molecule "structure correctors" [22].

The modulation of ApoE4 structure to change the protein into an ApoE3-like structure therefore represents a novel therapeutic approach, and tool compounds that directly bind to ApoE4 have been identified [23]. A first set of compounds was described by Ye et al. [24], who screened in silico for molecules using the X-ray structure of the ApoE4 amino terminal domain. These small molecules, such as GIND-25 (Azocarmine G), are believed to disrupt the domain interaction [25], restore expression levels of mitochondrial respiratory complexes in cultured brain cortical neurons extracted from ApoE4 transgenic mice [21], as well as restore the processing of ApoE4 through the secretory pathway [26]. A second series of compounds was published a few years later that included molecules with a phthalazinone core structure and were identified using a cell-based fluorescence-resonance energy-transfer (FRET) system [27]. These molecules were also presumed to block ApoE4 domain interaction and were shown to abolish many of its detrimental effects on mitochondrial respiratory function, mitochondrial motility, and neurite outgrowth [27]. Most recently, the detrimental effects of ApoE4 were investigated in cultured neurons derived from human-induced pluripotent stem cells of different APOE genotypes and suggest an ApoE4 gain of a toxic function [28]. Many of these toxic effects in cultured neurons could be reduced by treatment with the PH002 phthalazinone [28]. However, phthalazinones were shown to cause significant toxicity in mice [22]. Pyrazoline analogs with similar *in vitro* effects but devoid of *in vivo* toxicity were developed by Merck Research Laboratories and are currently in preclinical trials to establish target engagement and a structure–activity relationship [22,29,30]. Although these molecules have beneficial effects in AD cellular models and are now being investigated in mice for proof-of-concept studies [30], it is not clear as to whether these molecules block ApoE4 domain interaction. A crystal structure of a full-length ApoE4 that could validate the domain interaction is currently missing and thus there remains a lack of direct evidence that these small molecules do indeed alter the structure of ApoE4.

Our aim was to express and purify the different ApoE isoforms and use biophysical assays to enable screening for novel chemical probes. The assays that were optimized were an optical label-free assay (Corning Epic), microscale thermophoresis (MST), and isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC). The 707-compound National Institute of Health (NIH) clinical collection was screened against human full-length recombinant ApoE4, with the label-free assay being used as the primary screen, and MST and ITC being used for confirmation (Figure 1). Taking the screening hits through the secondary assays, we were able to confirm the binding of six drugs, as well as a selected drug analog. All drugs are in clinical use and include a hormone, selective estrogen receptor modulators (SERMs), and nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs). Although the functional effects of these compounds on ApoE structure and function remain to be elucidated, this study nevertheless demonstrates the feasibility of using biophysical assays to screen for molecules that interact with ApoE4.



Figure 1. Summary of the screening strategy. Compounds are screened against full-length human apolipoprotein E4 (ApoE4) using Corning Epic and tested by microscale thermophoresis (MST) and isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC). Binding of confirmed hits were then evaluated by ITC against human full-length ApoE2 and ApoE3 to determine selectivity.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Materials

All materials were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Gillingham, UK) or Fisher Scientific (Loughborough, UK) and were the highest purity available. The codon-optimized ApoE4 gene was synthesized and cloned into a pET17b vector at the NdeI/HindIII site by Thermo Fisher Scientific (pET17b_ApoE4). A 6-histidine tag, thioredoxin (TRX), and human rhino virus (HRV) 3C protease cleavage site were upstream of the ApoE4 gene.

2.2. Mutagenesis, Expression, and Purification of ApoE Isoforms and ApoE4 Amino Terminal Domain

The ApoE2 and ApoE3 full-length variants were created by site-directed mutagenesis (QuikChange Lightening site-directed mutagenesis kit, Agilent, Cheshire, UK) using the pET17b_ApoE4 plasmid as template, and primers 5'-ggtgcagatatggaagatgtttgtggtcgtctgg-3' and 5'-gccgatgatctgcagaaatgtctggcagtttatcag-3', respectively. Bacterial ApoE proteins were expressed in E. coli Rosetta2 (DE3) cells (#71400-3, Merck Millipore, Gillingham, UK) in Luria-Bertani (LB) broth supplemented with 1% (v/v) glycerol and 100 μ g/mL ampicillin. Protein expression was induced in bacteria grown to an optical density of 600 nm (OD_{600}) of 0.7–0.9 with 1 mM isopropyl β-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) for 2 h at 37 °C. Bacteria were then pelleted and resuspended in an ice-cold lysis buffer (50 mM 4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazineethanesulfonic acid (HEPES), 240 mM NaCl, 5 mM MgCl₂, 10 mM imidazole, 1 mM dithiothreitol (DTT), 10% (v/v) glycerol, 0.05% (v/v) Tween-20, 7 U/mL DNAse I, using one protease inhibitor tablet per 50 mL, pH 8.0). Cell lysis was achieved by sonication and cell debris separated by centrifugation. Proteins were affinity-purified with the Talon (Clontech, Saint-Germain-en-Laye, France) affinity resin. After equilibration of the Talon beads with the soluble component of the cell lysate, the beads were washed with a talon-binding buffer (50 mM HEPES, 240 mM NaCl, 20 mM imidazole, 10% (v/v) glycerol, 0.05% (v/v) Tween-20, pH 8.0) and protein eluted with talon elution buffer (50 mM HEPES, 240 mM NaCl, 300 mM imidazole, 10% (v/v) glycerol, 0.05% (v/v) Tween-20, pH 8.0). The elution fractions were then applied on a HiTrap heparin column (GE Healthcare, Little Chalfont, UK) and extensively washed with either a size-exclusion buffer

for ApoE3 and ApoE4 (20 mM HEPES, 300 mM NaCl, 10% (v/v) glycerol, pH 8.0) or a heparin-binding buffer for ApoE2 (20 mM HEPES, 240 mM NaCl, 10% (v/v) glycerol, pH 8.0). After on-column digestion with HRV 3C protease overnight at 4 °C, the cleaved TRX tag was eluted with a size-exclusion or heparin-binding buffer, and ApoE proteins were then eluted by applying a linear salt gradient. Elution fractions were pooled, applied on a HiLoad Superdex 26/600 pg 200 column (GE Healthcare), and all proteins eluted in a size-exclusion buffer. ApoE-containing fractions were concentrated to ~15 mg/mL by centrifugation using VivaSpin 20 concentrators (Sartorius, Surrey, UK, molecular weight cut-off 5000 Da) and protein stored at -80 °C in a size-exclusion buffer (20 mM HEPES, 300 mM NaCl, 10% (v/v) glycerol, pH 8.0). All steps and purity of samples were analyzed by sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS PAGE). The identity of the ApoE isoforms was confirmed by mass spectroscopy.

The ApoE4 amino terminal domain (ApoE4₁₋₁₉₁) was created by inserting a stop codon into the pET17b_ApoE4 plasmid using primer 5'-gattcgtcgcaggcaggagcagcagcagcccgtct-3'. ApoE4₁₋₁₉₁ was expressed as for the full-length isoforms. The bacterial pellet was resuspended in ice-cold lysis buffer, sonicated, and cell debris separated by centrifugation. The supernatant was then loaded on a HiFliQ nickel–NTA column (Generon, Slough, UK) and extensively washed with a binding buffer (50 mM HEPES pH 8.0, 300 mM NaCl, 20 mM Imidazole, 10% (v/v) glycerol). After on-column digestion with HRV 3C protease overnight at 4 °C, ApoE4₁₋₁₉₁ was washed out with a binding buffer and the TRX tag eluted, and therefore separated from the amino terminal domain, by applying a linear imidazole gradient. Elution fractions were pooled, applied on a HiLoad Superdex 26/600 pg 75 column (GE Healthcare), and all proteins eluted in a size-exclusion buffer. ApoE ₁₋₁₉₁-containing fractions were concentrated by centrifugation using VivaSpin 20 concentrators (Sartorius, molecular weight cut-off 5000 Da), and protein stored at -80 °C.

2.3. Expression and Purification of Human Rhino Virus 3C Protease

The glutathione S-transferase (GST) HRV 3C fusion protein was expressed in *E. coli* Rosetta2 (DE3) cells in Luria-Bertani (LB) broth supplemented with 100 μ g/mL ampicillin and 35 μ g/mL chloramphenicol. Bacteria were grown to an OD₆₀₀ of 0.6, induced with 0.4 mM IPTG, and protease-expressed overnight at 20 °C. Cells were pelleted and resuspended in an ice-cold lysis buffer (50 mM HEPES pH 8.0, 1000 mM NaCl, 5 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM DTT, 7 U/mL DNAse I, 1 protease inhibitor tablet per 50 mL), and cell disruption was achieved by sonication. Insoluble components were separated by centrifugation and GST-tagged protease, then affinity-purified using a GSTrap FF column (GE Healthcare). After passing the soluble lysis fractions through the column, the column was extensively washed with a binding buffer (50 mM HEPES pH 8.0, 1000 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM DTT) and protease then eluted with 10 mM reduced glutathione. Protease-containing fractions were pooled, concentrated as described above, rebuffered into a storage buffer (50 mM HEPES pH 8.0, 1000 mM NaCl, 1 mM DTT, 20% (*v*/*v*) glycerol), and stored at -80 °C.

2.4. Activation of Corning Epic Plates and Immobilization of ApoE4

Corning Epic 384-well plates (#5046, Corning, Amsterdam, Netherlands) were activated with 200 mM N-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)-N'-ethylcarbodiimide hydrochloride (EDC) and 50 mM sulfo-N-hydroxysulfosuccinimide (sulfo-NHS) at 26 °C for 30 min. Five microliters of ApoE4 diluted to 300 μ g/mL in 20 mM sodium citrate pH 5.6 were dispended into wells and incubated at 26 °C for 1 h. The microplate was subsequently washed three times with phosphate buffered saline (PBS) + 0.1% (v/v) Triton X-100 + 2% (v/v) dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO; collectively called PBSTD), and the remaining reactive groups on the biosensor were then blocked by incubation with 50 mM ethanolamine in PBSTD for 5 min at room temperature (RT). The plate was washed three times with PBSTD and, after the final wash, 15 μ L PBSTD were added to each well, sealed, and placed into the Epic reader for 1.5 h for thermal equilibration. To measure immobilization levels and/or take the baseline before compound

addition after thermal equilibration, 10–15 total points were recorded with 4×3 s scans averaged per point.

2.5. Suramin-Binding Curve on the Corning Epic

After thermal equilibration of the Corning Epic plate and baseline read (see above), the measurement was paused, the plate removed, and suramin added into the wells (total volume 30μ L) in triplicate at different concentrations, ranging from 0 to 12 mM. The plate was sealed and placed back into the Epic for 30 min. Binding shifts were then measured over 15–20 points in total, with 4 averages per point. Responses were measured as shifts in reflected wavelength and were expressed in picometers (pm).

2.6. Screening

The NIH collection (distributed by Evotec, Branford, CT, USA) contains 707 compounds and was screened against ApoE4 using the Corning Epic. Screening was carried out at a compound concentration of 100 μ M in two identical runs. Compounds at 10 mM in neat DMSO were diluted to 200 μ M in PBS + 0.1% (v/v) Triton X-100 (resulting in 2% (v/v) DMSO) and then dispensed into the plate (total volume 30 μ L) after having read the baseline. Plates were left for 30 min in the machine and binding shifts measured as above. Suramin at 5 mM and 150 μ M was used as a positive control and buffer-only was the negative control. Prioritized hits were tested in the form of a concentration response, and were diluted 1:2 in neat DMSO, then transferred into PBS + 0.1% Triton X-100 as above, and added into the wells (total volume 30 μ L) in duplicate at different concentration, ranging from 0.2 to 100 μ M.

2.7. Screening Data Analysis and Hit Selection

Data were extracted with the Epic Analyzer (built 27 February 2015, Corning). Shifts were corrected for background by subtracting the averaged response of the buffer control. Samples with low protein-immobilization levels (<1800 pm) were excluded from data analysis and only plates with a Z'-factor above 0.5 were accepted. The Z'-factor is defined as:

$$Z' = 1 - \frac{3SD \text{ of sample} + 3SD \text{ of control}}{|\text{mean of sample} - \text{mean of control}|}$$
(1)

where SD is standard deviation. Hit threshold was set to $5 \times$ the median absolute deviation (MAD) of the sample median. For both runs, a median response of 1 pm after buffer correction was determined, as well as a MAD of 3 pm. Thus, a response above 16 pm was set as a hit threshold. Compounds with a negative response and a response >150 pm were not considered a hit.

2.8. Microscale Thermophoresis

ApoE4 was labelled in a size-exclusion buffer using the Monolith NT Protein Labeling Kit Red-NHS (#MO-L011, Nanotemper, Munich, Germany) following the manufacturer's instructions, and the labelling reaction was performed with 20 μ M ApoE4 and 40 μ M labelling dye. The labelled protein was centrifuged at 16,000 × *g* for 5 min at RT before use, and then diluted to 200 nM in 20 mM HEPES, 500 mM NaCl, 10% (*v*/*v*) glycerol, and 0.1% Triton X-100 (MST buffer). Binding of hits was first tested in eight-point concentration response assays. Compounds at 5 mM in neat DMSO were diluted 1:2 in DMSO and then transferred into MST buffer. Diluted compounds in MST buffer were then combined with equal volumes of 200 nM labelled protein, resulting in a 2% (*v*/*v*) DMSO working concentration, 100 nM labelled ApoE4, and compound concentrations ranging from 0 to 100 μ M. The mixture was pulse-centrifuged and incubated at RT for 5 min. Samples were then centrifuged at 16000 × *g* for 5 min and soaked into standard capillaries in duplicates. To achieve full binding curves of compounds in which an effect on thermophoresis or initial fluorescence was observed, 12-point

concentration responses were performed from 250 or 500 μ M top final concentration in triplicate. For this, compounds were diluted 1:2 in neat DMSO from 12.5 or 25 mM, respectively, transferred into the MST buffer, and combined with equal volumes of 200 nM ApoE4. Microscale thermophoresis measurements were performed on the Monolith NT.115 (Nanotemper). Capillaries were thermally equilibrated at 25 °C for 5 min, and MST traces then collected at 20%–40% light-emitting diode (LED) excitation power and 20%, as well as 40% MST power. Data were analyzed on integrated analysis software (MO Affinity Analyzer version 2.2.4, Nanotemper). A sample denaturation test was performed for the samples that altered the initial fluorescence signal. The compound–ApoE4 mixture was centrifuged for 5 min at 16,000 × *g* at RT and then mixed with equal volumes of 2× denaturing buffer (4% SDS, 40 mM DTT). Samples were denatured at 95 °C for 5 min, soaked into capillaries, and the initial fluorescence was measured. Binding affinities, as presented in Table 1, are the average of 6 independent experiments (±SD).

	ApoE4		ApoE2	ApoE3
	MST (µM)	ITC (µM)	ITC (µM)	ITC (µM)
L-Thyroxine	*	18 ± 12	33 ± 10	20 ± 3
Tafamidis	-	8 ± 2	6 ± 2	8 ± 1
Meclofenamic acid	51 ± 12	45 ± 13	47 ± 32	47 ± 9
Pamoic acid	*	38 ± 4	55 ± 14	119 ± 50
Clomifene	44 ± 2	15 ± 10	67 ± 36	24 ± 9
Tamoxifen	67 ± 19	*	*	*
Toremifene	44 ± 6	*	*	*

Table 1. Binding affinities determined by MST and ITC.

* denotes that binding was confirmed, but binding affinity could not be accurately determined.

2.9. Isothermal Titration Calorimetry

ApoE isoforms, as well as truncated ApoE4 (ApoE4₁₋₁₉₁ were dialyzed against PBS + 0.01% (v/v) Triton X-100 overnight at 4 °C using 3.5 K Slide-A-LyzerTM dialysis cassettes (ThermoFisher Scientific, Loughborough, UK). ApoE, as well as ApoE4₁₋₁₉₁ at 300 μ M was injected into the cell containing compound at 25–50 μ M in PBS + 0.01% (v/v) Triton X-100 + 2% (v/v) DMSO. Titration experiments were carried out on a Microcal PEAQ-ITC (Malvern Panalytical, Malvern, UK) at 25 °C and 750 rpm, and consisted of an initial (1 μ L) injection followed by additional 18 (2 μ L) injections. Injection of the protein into PBS + 0.01% (v/v) Triton X-100 + 2% (v/v) DMSO was used as control experiment and integrated control heats were subtracted from the reaction heats. Data were analyzed on Microcal PEAQ-ITC analysis software (Malvern, version 1.1.0.1262) and data-fitted for one set of sites. Binding affinities as presented in Table 1 are the average of two independent experiments (\pm SD).

2.10. Graphical Representations

Graphical representations of the data were performed using GraphPad Prism version 7.02 (GraphPad Software, Inc, San Diego, CA, USA).

3. Results

3.1. Expression and Purification of ApoE Isoforms

We expressed ApoE isoforms in *E. coli* as a TRX fusion protein with a six-histidine tag at the amino terminal domain, and a human rhino virus (HRV) 3C cleavage site between TRX and ApoE. ApoE was purified by a combination of immobilized metal affinity, heparin affinity, and size-exclusion chromatography. All purification steps were under nondenaturing conditions, and the TRX tag was removed by digestion with glutathione *S*-transferase (GST)-tagged HRV 3C protease. The purity of all ApoE isoforms was confirmed to be above 95% (Supplementary Figures S1 and S2). The correct

folding of the purified ApoE isoforms was confirmed by circular dichroism (CD) which showed the expected α -helical content for ApoE (Supplementary Figure S3).

3.2. Validation of Biophysical Assays and Suramin as Positive Control

The Corning Epic biochemical label-free assay was used as the primary screen for new ApoE4 tool compounds with MST, as well as ITC being used for hit confirmation (Figure 1). A sodium citrate buffer at pH 5.6 and ApoE4 at a concentration of 300 µg/mL were identified as the optimal conditions to immobilize ApoE4 on Corning Epic biosensors, and used to test the binding of previously described ApoE4 chemical probes: GIND-25 (or Azocarmine G, Sigma-Aldrich, Gillingham, UK) [24], PH002 [27] and EZ-482 [31]. Additionally, we tested suramin for binding since it was reported to decrease the association of ApoE3 to the extracellular matrix of HepG2 cells [32–34], and is likely doing this by antagonizing the binding of ApoE to heparan sulfate proteoglycans via the ApoE heparin binding site [35]. Only suramin positively shifted the reflected wavelength, whereas PH002 and EZ-482 had slight negative shifts, which suggests the binding of these compounds to the reference surface of the biosensor. No binding of GIND-25 was detected in the used concentrations (Figure 2). Suramin was therefore used as the positive control and confirmed binding in all biophysical assays (Figure 3).



Figure 2. Selection of suramin as positive control. Available ApoE4 modulators, as well as suramin, were tested by concentration response on the Corning Epic against ApoE4. Only suramin positively shifted the wavelength, suggesting binding, whereas negative shifts were observed for PH002 and EZ-482, which may indicate the precipitation of compounds or binding to the reference surface of the biosensor. No binding was detected for GIND-25 at the used concentrations. The data are expressed as the mean of three independent experiments; standard deviation (SD) is represented by the error bars.

3.3. Screening Identified Fifty-Nine Compounds

The NIH clinical collection (707 compounds) was screened at 100 μ M in two separate runs. Suramin was used as a positive control and, at concentrations of 150 μ M and 5 mM, produced a mean response measured as shifts in reflected wavelength of 53 \pm 11 and 146 \pm 17 pm, respectively (Figure 4A). All plates had a Z' above 0.5 (mean Z' values of 0.66 \pm 0.05 and 0.82 \pm 0.04 when using suramin as a positive control at concentrations of 150 μ M and 5 mM respectively) and were all included in data analysis (Figure 4B). Shift signals were normally distributed in both runs (data not shown). Wells with low immobilization levels (<1800 pm) were excluded from data analysis and, in total, five wells out of 1920 had to be excluded (Figure 4C).



Figure 3. Suramin concentration response across the three biophysical assays. Binding of suramin was confirmed (**A**) on the Corning Epic biochemical assay, (**B**) by microscale thermophoresis, and (**C**) by isothermal titration calorimetry. Mean binding affinities (\pm SD) of 184 \pm 18, 28 \pm 8, and 3 \pm 0.4 μ M were calculated across the three assays, respectively. (**A**) Typically, 10–15 points in total were recorded to measure the baseline before compound or suramin addition. Each point is the average of 4 \times 3 s scans. After reading the baseline, the run was paused, the compound added, and the plate returned into the Epic reader for thermal equilibration. The binding signal expressed as shifts in reflected wavelength (picometer) was then measured for 10–15 more points in total. (**B**) Initial fluorescence (Fl_{in}) of fluorescently labelled ApoE4 was measured before applying the infrared laser to induce thermophoresis. Suramin increased the initial fluorescence in a concentration-dependent fashion. Data in (**A**) and (**B**) are expressed as mean \pm SD of three independent experiments. FL: fluorescence; DP: differential power; Δ H: enthalpy.



Figure 4. Screening statistics. Suramin at 5 mM and 150 μ M was used as positive control, and buffer as negative control during screen. (A) Suramin gave a mean shift (\pm SD) of 146 \pm 17 and 53 \pm 11 pm at 5 mM and 150 μ M, respectively. (B) Z'-factors across all plates were >0.5. (C) Majority of wells had high ApoE4 immobilization levels, with mean \pm SD immobilization levels of 2921 \pm 86 pm. Only five biosensors had low ApoE4 immobilization levels (<1800 pm), and were excluded from data analysis.

Out of the 707 screened compounds, 59 met the criterion to be considered a hit (i.e., response greater than fivefold above the median absolute deviation of the sample median; Figure 5A). Of these, 45 compounds were prioritized based on quantitative response and chemical attractiveness, and were tested in concentration response assays on the Corning Epic. Fourteen of these hits gave full or partial binding curves (Figure 5C and Supplementary Figure S4) and were further evaluated in the secondary assays.



Figure 5. Screening and hit identification on the Corning Epic. (**A**) Hit threshold was set to five times the median absolute deviation (MAD), and a shift above 16 pm was considered a hit. In total, 59 compounds met the criteria to be considered a hit. (**B**) The correlated shifts from both runs are shown. Forty-five of these hits indicated as blue circles were prioritized and tested in 10-point concentration response assays on the Corning Epic, with a top concentration of 100 μ M, and 14 compounds showed a concentration-dependent effect, examples of which are shown in panel (**C**). Remaining binding curves are found in Supplementary Figure S4.

3.4. Evaluation of Hits by Microscale Thermophoresis and Isothermal Titration Calorimetry Confirmed Six Drugs

The hits identified by the initial screening in the Epic assay were next evaluated by MST. Three hits altered ApoE4 thermophoresis in a concentration-dependent fashion, and five altered the measured initial fluorescence (Figure 6). Affinities were determined to be in the micromolar range (Table 1) and some of these drugs, such as clomifene, tamoxifen, and toremifene, share structural features. One confirmed hit, hydroxyzine pamoate, contained pamoic acid as a counter salt, and we were interested to determine whether hydroxyzine itself caused the quenching in initial fluorescence or pamoic acid. The binding of hydroxyzine dihydrochloride and pamoic acid were tested separately and pamoic acid was found to be to be responsible for the response observed with hydroxyzine pamoate (Figure 6D).



Figure 6. Hit confirmation by microscale thermophoresis. Hits were tested by concentration response from 500 or 250 μ M. (**A**) Three out of these eight hits altered thermophoresis without affecting the initial fluorescence (chlorpromazine, meclofenamic acid, thioridazine), (**B**) three increased initial fluorescence (clomifene, tamoxifen, toremifene), and (**C**,**D**) two reduced initial fluorescence (L-thyroxine, hydroxyzine pamoate) in a concentration-dependent fashion. (**D**) Pamoic acid reduced initial fluorescence in a concentration-dependent fashion. Data are expressed as the mean \pm SD of three independent experiments.

Ligand-induced fluorescence changes were tested for specificity and nonspecific effects, such as ligand-induced protein aggregation or loss of material, by denaturing the protein and thus disrupting protein–ligand interaction. In the case of binding-induced quenching, fluorescence intensity in the target and complex sample was equal after denaturation. Similarly, the autofluorescence of ligands has to be excluded as well. Quenching or enhancement of the initial fluorescence by the hits was found to be binding-specific as assessed by the denaturation test and test for autofluorescence (Figure 7).



Figure 7. Microscale thermophoresis-denaturation studies and compound autofluorescence. For compounds that altered the initial fluorescence, a denaturation test was performed, as well as tested for autofluorescence. Shown is the initial fluorescence before and after denaturation in the absence and presence of (A) clomifene, (B) tamoxifen, (C) toremifene, (D) L-thyroxine and (E) pamoic acid. (F) Compounds were also tested for autofluorescence in the presence of fluorescence dye without protein. As can be seen, compounds do not influence the fluorescence signal of the dye. Data are expressed as the mean \pm SD of three independent experiments.

Finally, the eight hits identified by MST were evaluated by ITC, and six out of eight were confirmed (Figure 8 and Supplementary Figure S5), with the binding of thioridazine and chlorpromazine to ApoE4 not being confirmed (data not shown). The L-thyroxine analog tafamidis was purchased and also shown to bind to ApoE4 (Figure 8B). Additionally, the binding of all six hits and tafamidis to ApoE2 and ApoE3 was evaluated by ITC, with similar binding to all ApoE isoforms being observed (Supplementary Figure S6). Interestingly, no binding of the hits to the amino terminal domain of ApoE4 (residues 1–191) could be measured (Supplementary Figure S7), which suggests the importance of a tertiary/ternary structure, or that the identified drugs bind to the carboxyl terminus of ApoE.



Figure 8. Hit confirmation by isothermal titration calorimetry. All eight hits confirmed by MST were tested by ITC, and six could be confirmed. Binding curves could not be fully resolved of a majority of hits due to binding affinities being in the micromolar range. Binding to ApoE4 was confirmed for (**A**) L-thyroxine, (**B**) its compound analog tafamidis, (**C**) meclofenamic acid, (**D**) pamoic acid, (**E**) clomifene, (**F**) tamoxifen, and (**G**) toremifene. Isotherms of raw titration are shown in Supplementary Figure S5. Figures show the normalized binding heats, with the solid line representing nonlinear least-square fits using the single-site binding model.

4. Discussion

An estimated 47 million people worldwide were living with dementia in 2015, and this figure is projected to double every 20 years to around 130 million by 2050 [36]. Alzheimer's disease is the most common cause of dementia and accounts for 60% to 80% of all cases [37], but its etiology and pathophysiology remain largely unknown although genetic risk factors seem to work together with environmental and lifestyle factors. The only gene variant that is considered to be an established major risk factor for late-onset AD is the ε 4 allele of *APOE* [38]. Hence, many AD patients carry at least one ε 4 allele, and studies have shown that the E4 variant additionally decreases the age at onset [5,39]. Consequently, ApoE4 is an interesting potential drug target, and a variety of ApoE4-targeted therapeutic strategies for AD have been suggested [40,41].

The aim of the present study was to validate complementary biophysical assays for the identification of novel ApoE4 chemical probes. Biophysical techniques have become key components of the drug-discovery process for drug targets like ApoE4 that are structurally enabled and are routinely used for hit identification and/or confirmation [42], although these techniques can be limited by the amount of needed protein, sample preparation and throughput. The Corning Epic label-free technology measures a change in the wavelength of refracted light when an analyte binds to a target that is immobilized on a biosensor [43]. The technique is very sensitive and affinities in the nanomolar range can be determined. A microgram of the material is required, and the 384-well plate format allows screening in a high-throughput format. However, buffers must be well-matched for additives

and solvents, and nonspecific interactions of compounds with the immobilization medium can result in artificial signals. Furthermore, the covalent binding of the protein to the biosensor may affect protein structure and functionality. Due to the limitations of individual biophysical methods, validation of hits by orthogonal assays, in the present study MST and ITC, are often used to increase confidence in identifying protein-ligand interactions. Microscale thermophoresis monitors the movement of molecules in a temperature gradient, with this movement being tracked by a fluorophore that is covalently coupled to the molecule. The interaction of two molecules (e.g., drug binding to protein) alters this movement and can therefore be used to detect binding [44]. Microscale thermophoresis requires relatively low amounts of material, and thermophoresis curves can give information on unspecific effects such as ligand-induced protein aggregation. Although automated systems are available, the nonautomated capillary-based system used in the present study only allows relatively low throughput (up to 16 samples at a time), and additional validation steps may be required if extrinsic labelling is used. Finally, ITC can provide additional information on thermodynamic parameters and binding stoichiometry. High protein consumption and low throughput limit ITC in hit validation [42]. It is for these various reasons that we decided to use the Corning Epic for hit identification, and MST as well as ITC for hit validation (Figure 1).

Recombinant ApoE4 that was expressed in E. coli was used for the identification of novel tool compounds. Unlike plasma- or CSF-derived ApoE, recombinant ApoE does not undergo post-translational modification such as O-glycosylation, but has nevertheless been shown to behave in an identical manner to plasma-derived ApoE in terms of LDL-receptor affinity, lipid binding, and stability [45,46]. The correct folding of ApoE isoforms in solution was confirmed by circular dichroism (Supplementary Figure S3), and the identity of proteins was confirmed by MS that also showed oxidation of some methionine residues (data not shown). Although we assume that methionine oxidation occurred during the sample preparation for MS analysis, it cannot be excluded that residues were already modified during screening, which may have affected affinities and isoform specificity, although this is considered unlikely. Suramin was used as a positive control, although it is neither a specific nor selective ApoE binder, and has been shown to interact with many other proteins [47–49]. All our assay buffers contained Triton X-100 detergent to reduce the aggregation of screening compounds and therefore nonspecific binding, as well as to keep ApoE in a physiological relevant conformation (i.e., associated to micelles similar to lipids in lipoproteins). Addition of the detergent was particularly necessary for MST measurements to prevent the binding of ApoE4 to glass capillaries.

Out of the 707 tested compounds, 59 were shown to bind to ApoE4, resulting in a high hit rate of 8%. MST then proved a powerful secondary assay for confirmation studies and reduced the number of hits to eight compounds (1.1% hit rate). Using the MST, we identified pamoic acid to be binding to ApoE4 instead of hydroxyzine, which was the presumed active constituent of hydroxyzine pamoate. Similar observations were made by Zhao et al. [50], who screened for novel agonists of orphan receptor GPR35. In their primary screen, they identified oxantel pamoate as an agonist for GPR35, but subsequent testing with related compounds and pamoic acid revealed pamoate moiety to be responsible for agonist activity. Although pamoic acid does not to bind with high affinity to ApoE4, these results, as well as those of Zhao and colleagues [50], emphasize how the counterion can be the active component and needs to be tested in terms of screening [51].

Six out of the eight drugs could be confirmed by ITC. All hits besides pamoic acid are in clinical use and include a hormone (L-thyroxine), three selective estrogen receptor modulators (SERMs; clomifene, tamoxifen, toremifene), and one NSAID (meclofenamic acid). The binding of L-thyroxine to ApoE has previously been reported using a radio-ligand binding assay [52,53] with binding affinities in the micromolar range. Interestingly, the binding of L-thyroxine in the radio-ligand assay was shown to be inhibited by meclofenamic acid [53], which may suggest a shared binding site(s). In contrast to previous studies [52,53], we could not confirm the binding of L-thyroxine or meclofenamic acid to the amino terminal domain but we were able to confirm the binding of the L-thyroxine analog tafamidis to ApoE. Notably, we observed the binding of SERMs to ApoE, which has not previously been described. Selective estrogen receptor modulators are agonists or antagonists of the estrogen receptor, and have been considered as a possible treatment for women with AD. However, it is still a matter of debate if hormone therapy and SERMs have beneficial effects on cognitive function, and clinical studies gave inconsistent results [54].

The hits identified in the present study do not show selectivity for ApoE4 versus ApoE2 and ApoE3, and binding to all ApoE isoforms with similar affinities was observed by ITC. This indicates that ApoE isoforms share structural features, and that compounds bind to conserved binding sites. Affinities are in the micromolar range (Table 1) and it was therefore not possible to accurately determine the stoichiometry for a number of hits. This was most notable with tamoxifen and toremifene, for which it was only possible to confirm binding by ITC but binding affinities could not be measured. Hits were unable to distinguish between isoforms, but did distinguish between full-length and truncated ApoE4, suggesting specific binding to ApoE. In the future, it would be interesting to explore specificity by examining binding to other apolipoproteins such as apolipoprotein AI (ApoA-I). Similarly, as Triton X-100 was added to the assay buffers to keep ApoE in a lipid-like environment, it will also be of interest to test the binding of compounds to lipid-free protein and determine if detergent association affects binding. The exact binding mode of hits to ApoE and their effects on ApoE structure will be addressed in future studies. Despite being nonselective, our compounds might alter ApoE function, and may have beneficial effects, such as on ApoE lipidation and expression, although this remains to be determined. Moreover, they provide chemical starting points for medicinal chemistry efforts for further optimization to attempt to identify isoform-selective binders.

5. Conclusions

In summary, our screening trial successfully identified compounds as novel ApoE chemical probes, and our validated biophysical assays can be used for larger screening initiatives. Although none of the identified hits differentiated between ApoE isoforms, these methods nevertheless provide a novel means of identifying compounds that may be useful in defining the physiological functions of ApoE, and are more generally applicable to investigate other physiological interactions such as ApoE–receptor interaction.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at http://www.mdpi.com/2218-273X/9/2/48/s1. Figure S1: Summarizing gels of ApoE purification, Figure S2: Purification of ApoE4₁₋₁₉₁, Figure S3: Circular dichroism full-length ApoE, Figure S4: Confirmation of hits on the Corning Epic, Figure S5: Isotherms of raw titration, Figure S6: Hit confirmation by isothermal titration calorimetry, Figure S7: Isotherms of raw titration ApoE4₁₋₁₉₁.

Author Contributions: L.K. planned the experiments, conducted the work, and wrote the paper. J.R.A. supervised the research work and managed the research J.R.A. and L.C.S. edited the paper.

Funding: L.K. is funded by the Alzheimer's Society Doctoral Training Centre Grant (AS-DTC-2014-003), awarded to L.C.S. at the University of Sussex.

Acknowledgments: The authors gratefully acknowledge Antony Oliver for designing the pET17b_ApoE4 plasmid and for providing the pGEX-1_HRV 3C protease plasmid. We are thankful to Ana Raulin (LCS lab) for generating the pET17b_ApoE2 plasmid and collecting CD spectra. The authors thank Andre Nicolas and Pierre Soule for help with the Corning Epic and MST experiments, respectively. L.C.S is grateful to the Alzheimer's research UK for support.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Jonas, A.; Phillips, M.C. Lipoprotein structure. In *Biochemistry of Lipids, Lipoproteins and Membranes*; Vance, D.E., Vance, J.E., Eds.; Elsevier: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2008; Volume 348, pp. 485–506. ISBN 9780444532190.
- 2. Mahley, R.W. Apolipoprotein E: Cholesterol transport protein with expanding role in cell biology. *Science* **1988**, 240, 622–630. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- 3. Stukas, S.; Kulic, I.; Zareyan, S.; Wellington, C.L. *Alzheimer's Disease—Challenges for the Future*; Zerr, I., Ed.; InTech: London, UK, 2015; ISBN 978-953-51-2137-4.
- 4. Weisgraber, K.H. Apolipoprotein E: Structure-function relationships. *Adv. Protein Chem.* **1994**, 45, 249–302. [PubMed]
- Farrer, L.A.; Cupples, L.A.; Haines, J.L.; Hyman, B.; Kukull, W.A.; Mayeux, R.; Myers, R.H.; Pericak-Vance, M.A.; Risch, N.; van Duijn, C.M. Effects of Age, Sex, and Ethnicity on the Association Between Apolipoprotein E Genotype and Alzheimer Disease. *JAMA* 1997, 278, 1349–1356. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Saunders, A.M.; Strittmatter, W.J.; Schmechel, D.; George-Hyslop, P.H.; Pericak-Vance, M.A.; Joo, S.H.; Rosi, B.L.; Gusella, J.F.; Crapper-MacLachlan, D.R.; Alberts, M.J. Association of apolipoprotein E allele ɛ4 with late-onset familial and sporadic Alzheimer's disease. *Neurology* 1993, 43, 1467–1472. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Strittmatter, W.J.; Saunders, A.M.; Schmechel, D.; Pericak-Vance, M.; Enghild, J.; Salvesen, G.S.; Roses, A.D. Apolipoprotein E: High-avidity binding to beta-amyloid and increased frequency of type 4 allele in late-onset familial Alzheimer disease. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 1993, *90*, 1977–1981. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 8. Corder, E.H.; Saunders, A.M.; Strittmatter, W.J.; Schmechel, D.E.; Gaskell, P.C.; Small, G.W.; Roses, A.D.; Haines, J.L.; Pericak-Vance, M.A. Gene dose of apolipoprotein E type 4 allele and the risk of Alzheimer's disease in late onset families. *Science* **1993**, *261*, 921–923. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Van Duijn, C.M.; de Knijff, P.; Cruts, M.; Wehnert, A.; Havekes, L.M.; Hofman, A.; Van Broeckhoven, C. Apolipoprotein E4 allele in a population-based study of early-onset Alzheimer's disease. *Nat. Genet.* 1994, 7, 74–78. [CrossRef]
- 10. Nalbantoglu, J.; Gilfix, B.M.; Bertrand, P.; Robitaille, Y.; Gauthier, S.; Rosenblatt, D.S.; Poirier, J. Predictive value of apolipoprotein E genotyping in Alzheimer's disease: Results of an autopsy series and an analysis of several combined studies. *Ann. Neurol.* **1994**, *36*, 889–895. [CrossRef]
- 11. Mahoney-Sanchez, L.; Belaidi, A.A.; Bush, A.I.; Ayton, S. The Complex Role of Apolipoprotein E in Alzheimer's Disease: An Overview and Update. *J. Mol. Neurosci.* **2016**, *60*, 325–335. [CrossRef]
- 12. Weisgraber, K.H. Apolipoprotein E distribution among human plasma lipoproteins: Role of the cysteine-arginine interchange at residue 112. *J. Lipid Res.* **1990**, *31*, 1503–1511.
- Dong, L.M.; Weisgraber, K.H. Human apolipoprotein E4 domain interaction. Arginine 61 and glutamic acid 255 interact to direct the preference for very low-density lipoproteins. *J. Biol. Chem.* 1996, 271, 19053–19057. [CrossRef]
- Dong, L.M.; Wilson, C.; Wardell, M.R.; Simmons, T.; Mahley, R.W.; Weisgraber, K.H.; Agard, D.A. Human apolipoprotein E. Role of arginine 61 in mediating the lipoprotein preferences of the E3 and E4 isoforms. *J. Biol. Chem.* 1994, 269, 22358–22365. [PubMed]
- Raffai, R.L.; Dong, L.M.; Farese, R.V.; Weisgraber, K.H. Introduction of human apolipoprotein E4 "domain interaction" into mouse apolipoprotein E. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2001, *98*, 11587–11591. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 16. Zhong, N.; Scearce-Levie, K.; Ramaswamy, G.; Weisgraber, K.H. Apolipoprotein E4 domain interaction: Synaptic and cognitive deficits in mice. *Alzheimer's Dement.* **2008**, *4*, 179–192. [CrossRef]
- 17. Zhong, N.; Ramaswamy, G.; Weisgraber, K.H. Apolipoprotein E4 domain interaction induces endoplasmic reticulum stress and impairs astrocyte function. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2009**, *284*, 27273–27280. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Huang, Y.; Liu, X.Q.; Wyss-Coray, T.; Brecht, W.J.; Sanan, D.A.; Mahley, R.W. Apolipoprotein E fragments present in Alzheimer's disease brains induce neurofibrillary tangle-like intracellular inclusions in neurons. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2001, *98*, 8838–8843. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Harris, F.M.; Brecht, W.J.; Xu, Q.; Tesseur, I.; Kekonius, L.; Wyss-Coray, T.; Fish, J.D.; Masliah, E.; Hopkins, P.C.; Scearce-Levie, K.; et al. Carboxyl-terminal-truncated apolipoprotein E4 causes Alzheimer's disease-like neurodegeneration and behavioral deficits in transgenic mice. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2003, 100, 10966–10971. [CrossRef]
- 20. Brecht, W.J.; Harris, F.M.; Chang, S.; Tesseur, I.; Yu, G.-Q.; Xu, Q.; Dee Fish, J.; Wyss-Coray, T.; Buttini, M.; Mucke, L.; et al. Neuron-specific apolipoprotein ε4 proteolysis is associated with increased tau phosphorylation in brains of transgenic mice. *J. Neurosci.* **2004**, *24*, 2527–2534. [CrossRef]

- Chen, H.-K.; Ji, Z.-S.; Dodson, S.E.; Miranda, R.D.; Rosenblum, C.I.; Reynolds, I.J.; Freedman, S.B.; Weisgraber, K.H.; Huang, Y.; Mahley, R.W. Apolipoprotein E4 Domain Interaction Mediates Detrimental Effects on Mitochondria and Is a Potential Therapeutic Target for Alzheimer Disease. *J. Biol. Chem.* 2011, 286, 5215–5221. [CrossRef]
- 22. Mahley, R.W.; Huang, Y. Small-Molecule Structure Correctors Target Abnormal Protein Structure and Function: Structure Corrector Rescue of Apolipoprotein E4–Associated Neuropathology. *J. Med. Chem.* **2012**, 55, 8997–9008. [CrossRef]
- Mahley, R.W.; Weisgraber, K.H.; Huang, Y. Apolipoprotein E4: A causative factor and therapeutic target in neuropathology, including Alzheimer's disease. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2006, 103, 5644–5651. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Ye, S.; Huang, Y.; Müllendorff, K.; Dong, L.; Giedt, G.; Meng, E.C.; Cohen, F.E.; Kuntz, I.D.; Weisgraber, K.H.; Mahley, R.W. Apolipoprotein (Apo) E4 enhances amyloid beta peptide production in cultured neuronal cells: ApoE structure as a potential therapeutic target. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2005, *102*, 18700–18705. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 25. Mahley, R.W.; Weisgraber, K.H.; Huang, Y. ApoE4 Domain Interaction Inhibitors and Methods of Use Thereof. U.S. Patent 7,964,598, 21 June 2011.
- Brodbeck, J.; McGuire, J.; Liu, Z.; Meyer-Franke, A.; Balestra, M.E.; Jeong, D.E.; Pleiss, M.; McComas, C.; Hess, F.; Witter, D.; et al. Structure-dependent impairment of intracellular apolipoprotein E4 trafficking and its detrimental effects are rescued by small-molecule structure correctors. *J. Biol. Chem.* 2011, 286, 17217–17226. [CrossRef]
- 27. Chen, H.-K.; Liu, Z.; Meyer-Franke, A.; Brodbeck, J.; Miranda, R.D.; McGuire, J.G.; Pleiss, M.A.; Ji, Z.S.; Balestra, M.E.; Walker, D.W.; et al. Small molecule structure correctors abolish detrimental effects of apolipoprotein E4 in cultured neurons. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2012**, *287*, 5253–5266. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Wang, C.; Najm, R.; Xu, Q.; Jeong, D.; Walker, D.; Balestra, M.E.; Yoon, S.Y.; Yuan, H.; Li, G.; Miller, Z.A.; et al. Gain of Toxic Apolipoprotein E4 Effects in Human iPSC-derived Neurons is Ameliorated by a Small-Molecule Structure Corrector. *Nat. Med.* 2018, 24, 647. [CrossRef]
- 29. Mahley, R.W. Apolipoprotein E: From cardiovascular disease to neurodegenerative disorders. *J. Mol. Med.* **2016**, *94*, 739–746. [CrossRef]
- Mahley, R.W. Apolipoprotein E: Remarkable Protein Sheds Light on Cardiovascular and Neurological Diseases. *Clin. Chem.* 2017, 63, 14–20. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Mondal, T.; Wang, H.; DeKoster, G.T.; Baban, B.; Gross, M.L.; Frieden, C. ApoE: In Vitro Studies of a Small Molecule Effector. *Biochemistry* 2016, 55, 2613–2621. [CrossRef]
- 32. Burgess, J.W.; Gould, D.R.; Marcel, Y.L. The HepG2 extracellular matrix contains separate heparinaseand lipid-releasable pools of ApoE. Implications for hepatic lipoprotein metabolism. *J. Biol. Chem.* **1998**, 273, 5645–5654. [CrossRef]
- 33. Burgess, J.W.; Liang, P.; Vaidyanath, C.; Marcel, Y.L. ApoE of the HepG2 cell surface includes a major pool associated with chondroitin sulfate proteoglycans. *Biochemistry* **1999**, *38*, 524–531. [CrossRef]
- 34. Burgess, J.W.; Marcel, Y.L. Dynamic and stable pools of apoE differ functionally at the HepG2 cell surface. *J. Lipid Res.* **2001**, *42*, 1413–1420. [PubMed]
- Ganesh, V.K.; Muthuvel, S.K.; Smith, S.A.; Kotwal, G.J.; Murthy, K.H.M. Structural basis for antagonism by suramin of heparin binding to vaccinia complement protein. *Biochemistry* 2005, 44, 10757–10765. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 36. Prince, M.; Wimo, A.; Guerchet, M.; Ali, G.-C.; Wu, Y.-T.; Prina, M. World Alzheimer Report 2015—The Global Impact of Dementia; Alzheimer's Disease International: London, UK, 2015.
- 37. WHO Dementia Fact Sheet N°362. Available online: http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs362/ en/ (accessed on 2 April 2018).
- 38. Tanzi, R.E. The Genetics of Alzheimer Disease. *Cold Spring Harb. Perspect. Med.* **2012**, *2*, 1–10. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Blacker, D.; Haines, J.L.; Rodes, L.; Terwedow, H.; Go, R.C.; Harrell, L.E.; Perry, R.T.; Bassett, S.S.; Chase, G.; Meyers, D.; et al. ApoE-4 and age at onset of Alzheimer's disease: The NIMH genetics initiative. *Neurology* 1997, 48, 139–147. [CrossRef]

- Yamazaki, Y.; Painter, M.M.; Bu, G.; Kanekiyo, T. Apolipoprotein E as a Therapeutic Target in Alzheimer's Disease: A Review of Basic Research and Clinical Evidence. CNS Drugs 2016, 30, 773–789. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 41. Yu, J.-T.; Tan, L.; Hardy, J. Apolipoprotein E in Alzheimer's Disease: An Update. *Annu. Rev. Neurosci.* **2014**, 37, 79–100. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 42. Renaud, J.-P.; Chung, C.-W.; Danielson, U.H.; Egner, U.; Hennig, M.; Hubbard, R.E.; Nar, H. Biophysics in drug discovery: Impact, challenges and opportunities. *Nat. Rev. Drug Discov.* **2016**, *15*, 679–698. [CrossRef]
- Fang, Y. Optical Guided-Wave Chemical and Biosensors II; Zourob, M., Lakhtakia, A., Eds.; Springer Series on Chemical Sensors and Biosensors; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2010; Volume 8, ISBN 978-3-642-02826-7.
- 44. Jerabek-Willemsen, M.; André, T.; Wanner, R.; Roth, H.M.; Duhr, S.; Baaske, P.; Breitsprecher, D. MicroScale Thermophoresis: Interaction analysis and beyond. *J. Mol. Struct.* **2014**, *1077*, 101–113. [CrossRef]
- 45. Morrow, J.A.; Arnold, K.S.; Weisgraber, K.H. Functional characterization of apolipoprotein E isoforms overexpressed in *Escherichia coli*. *Protein Expr. Purif.* **1999**, *16*, 224–230. [CrossRef]
- 46. Vogel, T.; Weisgraber, K.H.; Zeevi, M.I.; Ben-Artzi, H.; Levanon, A.Z.; Rall, S.C.; Innerarity, T.L.; Hui, D.Y.; Taylor, J.M.; Kanner, D. Human apolipoprotein E expression in *Escherichia coli*: Structural and functional identity of the bacterially produced protein with plasma apolipoprotein E. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **1985**, *82*, 8696–8700. [CrossRef]
- 47. Bojanowski, K.; Lelievre, S.; Markovits, J.; Couprie, J.; Jacquemin-Sablon, A.; Larsen, A.K. Suramin is an inhibitor of DNA topoisomerase II in vitro and in Chinese hamster fibrosarcoma cells. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **1992**, *89*, 3025–3029. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Zhang, Y.-L.; Keng, Y.-F.; Zhao, Y.; Wu, L.; Zhang, Z.-Y. Suramin Is an Active Site-directed, Reversible, and Tight-binding Inhibitor of Protein-tyrosine Phosphatases. *J. Biol. Chem.* **1998**, 273, 12281–12287. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Kloen, P.; Jennings, C.L.; Gebhardt, M.C.; Springfield, D.S.; Mankin, H.J. Suramin inhibits growth and transforming growth factor-beta 1 (TGF-β 1) binding in osteosarcoma cell lines. *Eur. J. Cancer* 1994, 30A, 678–682. [CrossRef]
- 50. Zhao, P.; Sharir, H.; Kapur, A.; Cowan, A.; Geller, E.B.; Adler, M.W.; Seltzman, H.H.; Reggio, P.H.; Heynen-Genel, S.; Sauer, M.; et al. Targeting of the orphan receptor GPR35 by pamoic acid: A potent activator of extracellular signal-regulated kinase and β-arrestin2 with antinociceptive activity. *Mol. Pharmacol.* 2010, 78, 560–568. [CrossRef]
- 51. Neubig, R.R. Mind your salts: When the inactive constituent isn't. *Mol. Pharmacol.* **2010**, *78*, 558–559. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 52. Benvenga, S.; Cahnmann, H.J.; Rader, D.; Kindt, M.; Robbins, J. Thyroxine binding to the apolipoproteins of high density lipoproteins HDL2 and HDL3. *Endocrinology* **1992**, *131*, 2805–2811. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 53. Benvenga, S.; Cahnmann, H.J.; Robbins, J. Characterization of thyroid hormone binding to apolipoprotein-E: Localization of the binding site in the exon 3-coded domain. *Endocrinology* **1993**, *133*, 1300–1305. [CrossRef]
- 54. Henderson, V.W. The Role of Sex Steroids in Alzheimer's Disease: Prevention and Treatment. In *Treatment of the Postmenopausal Woman*; Elsevier: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 2007; pp. 295–306.



© 2019 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).