ORCA – Online Research @ Cardiff



This is an Open Access document downloaded from ORCA, Cardiff University's institutional repository:https://orca.cardiff.ac.uk/id/eprint/119661/

This is the author's version of a work that was submitted to / accepted for publication.

Citation for final published version:

Gardner, Penny C., Ridge, Stephanie, Ee Wern, Jocelyn Goon and Goossens, Benoit 2019. The influence of logging upon the foraging behaviour and diet of the endangered Bornean banteng. Mammalia 83 (6), pp. 519-529. 10.1515/mammalia-2018-0075

Publishers page: http://dx.doi.org/10.1515/mammalia-2018-0075

Please note:

Changes made as a result of publishing processes such as copy-editing, formatting and page numbers may not be reflected in this version. For the definitive version of this publication, please refer to the published source. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite this paper.

This version is being made available in accordance with publisher policies. See http://orca.cf.ac.uk/policies.html for usage policies. Copyright and moral rights for publications made available in ORCA are retained by the copyright holders.



1 The influence of logging upon the foraging behaviour and diet of the endangered

- 2 Borneo banteng
- 3
- 4 Penny C. Gardner^{1,2 β *, Stephanie Ridge^{1,2 β}, Jocelyn Goon Ee Wern¹ and Benoit}
- 5 Goossens^{1,2,3,4}*
- 6
- ⁷ ¹Danau Girang Field Centre c/o Sabah Wildlife Department, Wisma Muis, 88100 Kota
- 8 Kinabalu, Sabah, Malaysia
- 9 ²Organisms and Environment Division, School of Biosciences, Cardiff University, Sir
- 10 Martin Evans Building, Museum Avenue, Cardiff CF10 3AX, UK
- ³Sabah Wildlife Department, Wisma Muis, 88100 Kota Kinabalu, Sabah, Malaysia
- ⁴Sustainable Places Research Institute, Cardiff University, 33 Park Place, Cardiff CF10
- 13 3BA, UK
- 14
- 15 $^{\beta}$ Penny C. Gardner and Stephanie Ridge contributed equally to this work.
- ^{*}Corresponding authors
- 17 Email: Biodiversitymatters@protonmail.com (PCG), goossensbr@cardiff.ac.uk (BG)
- 18
- 19 Running title: Logging influences bantengs' foraging ecology

20 Abstract

21 Logging causes soil compaction and alters the vegetation structure and plant diversity, and ungulates must adapt to modified conditions if they are to survive. We investigated 22 23 the impact of logging upon the foraging ecology of the Borneo banteng using camera traps and botanical surveys. General linear models were used to explore the effects of 24 25 site characteristics and plant diversity upon foraging duration, and plant specimens were 26 identified to confirm dietary preferences. Foraging events were recorded over 40,168 27 nights in five forests. Foraging duration significantly decreased in open areas 28 depauperate in species richness (F=7.82, p = < 0.01), however it increased with elevation (F=3.46, p=0.05). Their diet comprised of eight invasive species (Mikania cordata and 29 30 Chromolaena odorata, Cyperus difformis, Fimbristylis littoralis and Scleria sp., 31 Desmodium triflorium, Eleusine indica, and Selaginel sp.), which are characteristic of disturbed forest and fast to establish. Logging creates ideal conditions for these plants, 32 which are preferentially selected by bantengs. Whilst logging may increase forage, the 33 34 disturbances logging causes to the bantengs and the ensuing poaching may counteract 35 any positive benefits. Developing forest management strategies that incorporate 36 conservation of the bantengs may in-turn encourage more favourable conditions for emergent timber species and also conserve this endangered wild cattle species. 37 38

Keywords: Mammal community, Borneo, foraging behaviour, forest management,logging impacts, forest degradation.

41 Declarations of interest: None.

43 Introduction

Since the 1970's, the timber and oil palm industries have increased in prevalence in 44 Sabah (Reynolds et al. 2011), with forests being logged to generate sites for agricultural 45 46 development, and fences erected to mark boundaries and electric wires to prevent crop damage by elephants moving across their territory (Estes et al. 2012). Since 2010, the 47 forested area of Sabah was estimated to have been reduced by 168,493 km² or 30.2% 48 (Gaveau et al. 2014) and, as of 2011, only a meagre $\sim 1.4 \%$ (1,030 km²) was covered by 49 Virgin Jungle Reserve (Reynolds et al. 2011). The remainder of natural forests are 50 51 predominantly secondary and highly degraded by repetitive interval logging (Gaveau et al. 2014), which has resulted in alterations to the canopy and understory structure, and 52 changes in the abundance of different plant species (Costa and Magnusson 2003). 53 54 Timber harvesting also changes the way forest-dwelling mammals such as the Borneo 55 banteng (Bos javanicus lowi) behave and use the forest (Gardner et al. 2018), as it creates gaps that facilitate species access to foraging sites and can alter the quantity and 56 57 quality of resources (Costa and Magnusson 2003). The extensive loss of forest habitat 58 across Sabah has now largely confined the Borneo banteng to secondary seasonal 59 swamp, lowland and upland mixed dipterocarp, and lower montane forests (Gardner and Goossens, unpublished). Occupation of secondary forests may imply that the bantengs 60 61 show versatility and some resilience to habitat modification. They have been found to 62 congregate in larger herds along abandoned logging roads (Journeaux et al. 2018) and forage for long durations in open canopy areas of recently-logged forests (Gardner et al. 63 2018), and that logging influences their body condition (Prosser et al. 2016). Given that 64 65 little primary forest remains in Sabah, the environmental conditions and plant availability in secondary forests is of upmost importance for the survival of large 66 mammals like the banteng. Sites heavily disturbed by logging can be infested by 67

68 twining vines, grasses and sedges that may influence the growth of pioneer trees with 69 specific recruitment and establishment conditions (Pinard et al. 1996). These plant 70 infestations however, may also impact upon the diversity and abundance of the plants 71 available for banteng consumption, and influence how and when they forage within secondary forests. Although probably a grazer by preference, bantengs should perhaps 72 be considered an intermediate feeder, like the close-relative gaur (Bos gaurus), since it 73 74 can and does consume a lot of browse and fruits depending on season and local food 75 availability (Timmins et al. 2008). Forage obtained by these two species is thought to be 76 similar and consist of grasses, sedges, herbs, soft vines, shrubs, and wild fruits such as guava (Myrataceae) (Gad and Shyama 2009; Gardner et al. 2014), as well as bamboo, 77 78 flowers, and tree bark (Timmins et al. 2008), showing a wide and varied diet. As 79 bantengs are a ruminant they generate a large quantity of metabolic heat as forage is broken down by fermentation in the rumen (Puniya et al. 2015); for this reason they 80 may be influenced by the degree of canopy cover available within their habitat, which 81 82 were found to influence a range of ungulates in Poland (Kuijper et al. 2009). Gad and 83 Shyama's (2009) study on gaur revealed that the middle part of the day was spent 84 resting, ruminating and keeping cool in the shade, whilst domestic cattle species use respiration and low night-time temperatures to cool their bodies (Dewell 2010). In 85 86 addition to shade, plant biomass may also be a factor that influences the bantengs' 87 selection of foraging sites, as ungulates base their foraging decisions on the selection of patches that result in high protein and energy intake (Kuijper et al. 2009). 88 Ensuring an adequate food supply and suitable secure areas for foraging is problematic 89 90 when there is limited information on preferences. To better our understanding of banteng feeding ecology, we investigated their foraging behaviour and the physical 91 characteristics of feeding sites across the landscape in Sabah by testing the hypotheses 92

that bantengs forage for longer in open degraded areas that have lower plant species
richness, and that cooler ambient temperatures at higher elevations enable them to
forage for longer. We also investigated their diet using a combination of botanical
surveys and camera traps.

97

98 Materials and methods

99 *Study Sites*

100 Field surveys were conducted in five reserves across Sabah that represented different 101 classes of protection (refer to Sabah Forestry Department., (2006) for a description of 102 forest classes) and management (Fig. 1), namely Tabin Wildlife Reserve (TWR), Malua 103 Forest Reserve (MFR), Maliau Basin Conservation Area (MBCA), Sipitang Forest 104 Reserve (SPT) and Sapulut Forest Reserve (SPL). MBCA is a Class 1 protected primary 105 and secondary forest reserve and part of the Yayasan Sabah Forest Management Area 106 (Reynolds et al. 2011). Due to the inaccessibility of the basin, this study focused on the 107 southern edge buffer zone, which is characterised by riparian, lowland (below 300m) and hill (300-750m) mixed dipterocarp forest (Wong Kochummen et al. 1985). TWR is 108 situated on Sabah's east coast, and this Class 7 Wildlife Reserve contains small sections 109 of virgin jungle reserve with the surrounding area made up of secondary seasonal 110 111 freshwater swamp, lowland and hill mixed dipterocarp forest. MFR is a secondary Class 112 1 forest that consists of lowland, hill and seasonal swamp dipterocarp forest. In 2011 it 113 achieved forest stewardship council (FSC) certification and is now fully protected from future logging (Reynolds et al. 2011). SPT and SPL are both commercial forest reserves 114 115 (Class 2) and, at the time of this study, active logging was taking place. SPT is managed by Sabah Forest Industries (SFI) and consists of primary and secondary lowland hill 116 117 forest, riparian and upper hill forest (750-1200m) (Wong Kochummen et al. 1985), and

118 Industrial Tree Plantation (ITP) planted with fast-growing *Acacia/Eucalyptus* species.

119 SPL is located on the central southern edge of Sabah, to the south west of MBCA and is

120 made up of lowland and hill dipterocarp and montane forest. The study covered areas of

121 natural forest management (NFM) and ITP managed by Sapulut Forest Development

122 Sdn. Bhd. since 2003. All study sites in SPL were located in NFM areas.

123

124 Data collection

125 A total of 368 Reconyx Hyperfire HC500 and PC800 camera trap stations were 126 deployed across the five forests for at least 90 days. This duration was previously found to be sufficient for obtaining robust estimated of population size of bantengs in Sabah 127 128 (Gardner 2015). Two different categories of canopy extent which broadly represented 129 leaf cover of the camera viewpoint ('open' when canopy leaf cover was less than 50% and 'closed' when canopy leaf cover exceeded 50%). Surveys were conducted at 130 different times and cameras were distributed in a grid format and/or on an ad-hoc basis, 131 132 with the disparity arising from two different studies of bantengs by Gardner (2015) and Gardner and Goossens (unpublished). Cameras operated for 24/7, with three 133 134 consecutive photos taken at one-second intervals, with no time lapse. Grids of cameras were defined by access (on-foot, by boat or vehicle), with a minimum of 500m between 135 136 stations and from unsealed roads to reduce disturbance. This distance was previously 137 found to incur only low correlation, as bantengs were found to be low density and were not frequently captured at neighbouring cameras (Gardner 2015). Ad-hoc cameras were 138 positioned in locations where banteng signs were encountered or, in their absence, 139 140 alongside a wildlife trail, and set at a height between 1-1.5m high to coincide with the widest part of the body. Photographic capture events of bantengs confirmed their 141 presence, and the performance and the time spent foraging was calculated from the time 142

of the first photograph when feeding began, until the time of the last photograph when
the feeding ceased or when the individual(s) moved out of the station viewpoint. Events
were classed as independent when 30 minutes or more had elapsed between the prior
and subsequent captures, and these events were stratified according to forest name,
canopy extent, elevation, ambient temperature and plant species richness.

148 The diet of bantengs was investigated in the first instance by inspecting all camera trap photographs for the presence of bantengs that were foraging. The corresponding event 149 150 data from these images was extracted and used for modelling foraging behaviours (as detailed below). The taxonomic identification of the plant forage was investigated to 151 152 species level by using botanical surveys which were conducted at camera trap stations where bantengs were photographed foraging. Confirmation of plant forage from feeding 153 signs and/or bite marks was not factored into the vegetation surveys as they were 154 nonconcurrent to the camera trapping surveys. Surveys were conducted post-hoc using a 155 156 5 m line transect perpendicular to the station. Every visually-distinct plant, including all 157 obtainable parts (i.e. flower, stem etc) was photographed, labelled, sampled and stored 158 following the protocols in the literature (Mealor and Mealor 2010; Queensland Herbarium 2010). Species that occurred at multiple stations were not collected; this 159 160 reduced unnecessary removal from forests that were regenerating. Specimens were 161 formally identified by a botanist at the Sepilok Forest Research Centre managed by the 162 Sabah Forestry Department. Distinction of plant species consumed by bantengs in front of cameras from those plants that were arbitrarily collected at each site was conducted 163 164 by cross-comparison of specimens with camera trap photographs of foraging bantengs. Plant species richness was calculated for every station where the botanical surveys were 165 166 conducted by using the Shannon-Wiener diversity index.

167

168 Statistical modelling

The species richness of each camera trap station was calculated using the Vegan 169 package (Oksanen et al. 2012) in RGui version 3.2.3. Using the continuous data of plant 170 171 species richness, elevation and ambient temperature, and the categorical data of site type (old logging road, open scrub and road verge), canopy extend (closed, open), and forest 172 173 name (MFR, TWR, MBCA, SPL, SPT), we modelled the effects upon the foraging 174 duration (minutes) of bantengs using a general linear model (GLM) with a Gamma error 175 family and an identity link function in the lme4 package (Douglas et al. 2017). Model 176 selection was conducted by dropping non-significant terms using the drop1 function, and model validation was conducted using graphical plots of the standardised residuals, 177 178 Shapiro-Wilk test for normality, Fligner-Killeen test of homogeneity of variances and 179 comparison of AIC weighting. Graphs were created using the ggplot2 library (Wickham 180 and Chang 2016).

181

182 **Results**

Our stations were deployed in natural forest, ITP and scrub that ranged in elevation, 183 184 from 0 to 1,379m above sea level with an average of ~250m. The numbers of camera trap stations established in each forest were as follows: TWR = 130, MFR = 148, 185 186 MBCA = 27, SPT = 33, SPL = 30. During the surveys some camera traps suffered from 187 electronic failure or theft which resulted in shorter operating periods, with a minimum of 10 days and a maximum of 373 days. Grids of cameras in TWR and MFR resulted in 188 a higher survey effort, with the most camera trap nights obtained from MFR (14,760) 189 190 and the least in SPL (2,578). A total of 40,168 camera trap nights were documented between April 2011 to May 2014 and during this time a total of 345 incidences of 191 foraging were recorded at 37 out of 368 stations. Observations indicated that bantengs 192

foraged for periods lasting between 1-197 minutes, with an average duration of 17 minutes. With respect to botanical surveys, they were conducted at a later time to camera trap surveys and it was not possible to sample species richness at all sites where foraging occurred, owing to a number of factors resulting in inaccessibility but also regrowth of highly invasive plants.

198 Investigations of the foraging duration data indicated a zero-bounded asymmetrical 199 shape that benefited from a power transformation prior to modelling. Five models were 200 fitted to the data to explain foraging duration; systematic removal of non-significant 201 interactions and terms led to the exclusion of an interaction between elevation and temperature, and the exclusion of terms temperature and forest name. An initial null 202 203 model was fit and subsequent models specified different combinations of terms and 204 interactions. A GLM that specified the foraging duration explained by an interaction between plant diversity and canopy extent plus a main effect of elevation was the best 205 206 fitting model (Table 1, model 4), with normally-distributed standardised residuals and explained the highest proportion of the variation ($R^2=22\%$) but had the fourth smallest 207 AIC (Table 2, model 4). In general, foraging duration significantly declined as plant 208 209 species richness increased (Z= 0.07 ± 0.03 , p=<0.05, Table 1, model 4). More specifically, foraging duration significantly declined as plant species richness increased 210 in open canopy areas (F=7.82, p = <0.01, Table 2, model 4, Fig. 2). Foraging duration 211 212 also increased as elevation increased (F=3.46, p=0.05, Table 2, model 4, Fig. 3), 213 however no temperature effect was observed. A total of 48 plant specimens from 22 plant families were collected from 25 sites 214 215 where bantengs were observed foraging. Plant species richness of the 25 sites can be 216 found in Appendix 1. Eight species from 5 plant families were foraged by bantengs evident in camera trap photographs (Table 3 and Fig. 4). At the family level, 217

Cyperaceae and Asteraceae were the most prevalent, whilst at the species-level *Mikania cordata* was the most prevalent and was found in all five forests.

220

221 Discussion

222 We found evidence to support our hypothesis that bantengs spent a greater duration of 223 time foraging in open degraded areas that have lower plant species richness; an overall 224 negative trend was observed, whereby bantengs foraged for shorter periods as the plant 225 species richness index increased in degraded open canopy areas. The foraging durations 226 recorded within three logged forests in Sabah by Gardner et al. (Gardner et al. 2018) ranged between 2-174 minutes (95% CI = 0.217) and were not dissimilar to the 227 228 durations observed by this study (1-197 minutes with an average of 17). Furthermore, as 229 the present study investigated a larger number of forest reserves across a wider region and utilised a larger number of survey nights, our foraging durations are believed to 230 231 accurately reflect their diet and foraging behaviours. Whilst not statistically significant, 232 the opposite trend in foraging behaviour was observed for closed canopy areas, whereby 233 bantengs showed a tendency to forage for longer when species richness was greater. 234 Depauperate plant species richness in open degraded banteng foraging sites contrasts the results from other studies that compared biodiversity in logged and unlogged forests 235 236 in Borneo (Berry et al. 2010). This disparity may be due to seedling and sapling 237 recruitment failure and differences in the length of the regeneration period between the forests studied (Sodhi et al. 2010), the bantengs' preferential selection of sites naturally 238 low in species richness, or even the locations where cameras were established (i.e. areas 239 240 unobstructed by trees rich in diversity). Given the similar low diversity of forage detected in banteng faeces by Matsubayashi et al. (2007), the selection of camera sites 241 242 may not be responsible for the differences in diversity between logged sites. Our results

243 also indicated that bantengs increased the time they spent foraging at higher elevations, which contrasts with findings by Chaiyarat et al. (2018), which identified 78% of 244 presence records of Burmese bantengs in Thailand were found in habitat ranging 245 between 101-200m, with no sites occurring above 401m high. Longer foraging at higher 246 247 elevations in Sabah may be due to the widescale loss of forest habitat at lower 248 elevations (for agriculture), to anthropogenic disturbances in lower elevations causing 249 the species to take refuges in more secluded habitat at higher elevations, or to wider 250 variation in the elevation of study sites. Food density is likely to be one of the other 251 main driving forces for these behavioural tendencies; when large canopy openings are 252 generated by logging there is a sudden increase in light at the forest floor, which 253 facilitates an invasion of exotic plants (Duclos et al. 2013). The vast network of logging 254 roads serve as pathways for the spread of these species (Döbert et al. 2017) but also as 255 paths for bantengs because they are less inhibited by trees (Kuijper et al. 2009). Over 256 time, forage almost certainly becomes scarcer because the regenerating canopy shades 257 out understorey invasive pioneers, therefore the time invested in feeding under a closed 258 canopy is probably reduced. Degraded open canopy areas supporter larger herds and the 259 greater plant biomass means the herd can spend a longer period of time feeding, without having to move to search for new forage (Kuijper et al. 2009; Journeaux et al. 2018) but 260 261 this is conditional on the proximity to the forest border (Journeaux et al. 2018) and on 262 the provision of dense forest where they can seek shade, cool body temperatures, and evade human disturbances (Gardner et al., 2018). The greater biomass has propagated 263 higher body condition scores (i.e. greater fat reserves) in bantengs inhabiting forest that 264 265 was in the early stages of regeneration (Prosser et al. 2016). As a large-bodied mammal, Borneo bantengs require mature forest with a dense canopy where they are able to take 266 refuge from the sun and to cool core body temperature (Gardner et al., 2018), but we did 267

not find any evidence to suggest that cooler ambient temperatures at higher elevationshad an influence on foraging behaviours in this study.

In general, the foraging behaviours that we observed are not dissimilar to other 270 271 banteng subspecies and ungulates; Burmese bantengs in Lao People's Democratic Republic concentrated within dry dipterocarp forest that had extensive and dense 272 273 ground of grassy forage (Steinmetz 2004), and Javan bantengs spent 50% of their 274 grazing time in meadows colonised by grasses and legumes (Sumardja and Kartawinata 275 1977), which included one species (D. trifolium) we found to be consumed by Borneo 276 banteng. Similarly, the forest buffalo (Syncerus caffer nanus) in west Africa was more abundant in open grassy and sedge meadows which offered abundant quantities of 277 278 favoured food (Blake 2002), and the moose (Alces alces) in Canada significantly 279 increased usage of forest that was in the early stages of natural regeneration (10 years) 280 and the post-harvesting and management influenced their forage availability (Boan et al. 2011). Likewise, the sympatric sambar deer (Cervus unicolor) also forage within open 281 282 areas and along logging roads in Borneo (Meijaard and Sheil 2008).

283

284 The small number of plant species identified as banteng forage, relative to the large number of specimens collected at foraging sites, are common in occurrence within 285 286 Sabah and highly adapted to exploit degraded soils. The two most prevalent species, M. 287 cordata and Eleusine indica, are fast to establish on disturbed land (CABI 2013b; CABI 2014b). *M. cordata* is a native fast-growing creeping vine that is easily spread by 288 289 humans and the wind and can regenerate from broken stems (CABI 2013b). E. indica is 290 a problematic weed in Malaysia and is an aggressive colonizer; it has the ability to grow in direct sunlight enabling it to establish in post-logging bare soil (CABI 2014b). 291 Chromolaena ordorata was previously introduced to Sabah and is also a highly 292

293 competitive weed (CABI 2014a); a previous study found it to be highly abundant in 294 logged forest (Döbert et al. 2017). Cyperus difformis has a very short life cycle (~1 month) so is able to spread quickly once established and is able to exploit clay soils 295 296 (CABI 2013a) like those found in SPT. Fimbristylis littoralis, like E. indica, generates large numbers of seeds, which can be transferred by humans, animals, water and wind, 297 298 allowing rapid colonisation of an area (CABI 2014b; CABI 2014c). Once established in 299 an area F. littoralis has the ability to change the dynamics of an ecosystem, benefitting 300 its own growth and disrupting other established species (CABI 2014c). This study 301 indicates the bantengs' diet consists mainly of invasive plants and that they may 302 actually benefit from greater quantities of biomass after logging, however, it is possible 303 that bantengs also forage upon other less common plants not observed during this study, 304 and that they may not expend much time in exploiting less prevalent plants as they may provide high nutritional or mineral value. As such, bantengs perform an important 305 306 ecosystem service by grazing heavily on invasive pioneer plants (Dobson et al. 2006), 307 which are not necessarily transient in the regrowth process and can alter the trajectory of forest succession (Brown and Gurevitch 2004). Commonalities in diet species richness 308 309 compared to other studies were approximately comparable, with 11 species (three more than the present study) detected by Matsubayashi et al. (2007) from faecal screening of 310 311 bantengs in one forest in Sabah. In terms of plant species diversity however, there were 312 marked contrasts in the number of graminoids detected, with Matsubayashi et al. (2007) noting the presence of nine graminoids whereas we only detected one. In comparison to 313 other banteng subspecies, marked differences were evident in both forage species 314 315 richness and diversity; 23 plant species were foraged by Burmese bantengs (B. j. 316 birmanicus) in Thailand (Chaiyarat et al. 2018) but only one plant species, the flowering 317 shrub *C. odorata*, was foraged by both Bornean bantengs and Burmese bantengs.

318

319 Conclusion

Logging and forest regeneration in Sabah create environmental conditions that are 320 321 favourable for exotic invasive plant species and influence the foraging behaviours and 322 diet of bantengs. Consequently, bantengs play an important role as an ecosystem 323 engineer in suppressing the growth of undesirable pioneers that are quick to colonize 324 open areas where the canopy is damaged and may suppress the growth of economically-325 important emergent tree species. Bantengs may prosper from additional forage available 326 in high volumes, but only when seclusion and/or security measures safeguards them 327 against disturbance and poaching at a time when they are undertaking an essential 328 activity in a more exposed location. Conservation of bantengs within commercial 329 forests may be key to minimising the growth of invasive exotic plants that supress 330 growth of valuable timber species, but forest management needs to ensure their survival 331 by effecting anti-poaching strategies and ensure the availability of large and dense forest 332 refuges where they may evade harvesting activities and encroachment. Such a 333 harmonious approach to forest management may be more beneficial for the bantengs 334 and for timber productivity in the longer term.

335

336 Acknowledgements

This work was kindly supported by Houston Zoo (P. Riger), Sime Darby Foundation,
Mohammed bin Zayed Conservation Fund, Malaysian Palm Oil Council and Woodland
Park Zoo. We would also like to thank the Sabah Wildlife Department, Sabah Forestry
Department, Sabah Foundation, Economic Planning Unit, the Sabah Biodiversity Centre

341 (research permits: JKM/MBS.1000-2/12(156) and JKM/ MBS.1000-2/2 JLD.3 (18)),

342	New Forests Ltd, Malua BioBank, and the hard work of research assistants: Ruslee
343	Rahman, Rusdi Saibin and Siti Hadijah Abdul Rasyak.

344

346 Berry, N.J. et al. 2010. The high value of logged tropical forests: Lessons from northern

347 Borneo. *Biodiversity and Conservation* 19(4), pp. 985–997. doi: 10.1007/s10531-010-

- 348 9779-z.
- 349 Blake, S. 2002. Forest buffalo prefer clearings to closed-canopy forest in the primary
- 350 forest of northern Congo. *Oryx* 36(1), pp. 81–86. doi: 10.1017/S0030605301000011.
- Boan, J.J. et al. 2011. Influence of post-harvest silviculture on understory vegetation:
- 352 Implications for forage in a multi-ungulate system. *Forest Ecology and Management*
- 262(9), pp. 1704–1712. Available at: http://www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-
- 354 s2.0-84860391203&partnerID=40&md5=c9f1fb150c0400fc10a01b1ec4831447.
- Brown, K.A. and Gurevitch, J. 2004. Long-term impacts of logging on forest diversity
- in Madagascar. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 101(16), pp. 6045–
- 357 6049. Available at: http://www.pnas.org/cgi/doi/10.1073/pnas.0401456101.
- 358 Chaiyarat, R. et al. 2018. Habitat and food utilization by banteng (Bos javanicus
- d'Alton, 1823) accidentally introduced into the Khao Khieo-Khao Chomphu Wildlife
- 360 Sanctuary, Thailand. *Mammalia* 82(1), pp. 23–34. Available at:
- 361 https://www.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-
- 362 85040376835&doi=10.1515%2Fmammalia-2016-
- 363 0121&partnerID=40&md5=189f7bb8cce174cde77dfc174a606b66.
- 364 Costa, F.R.C. and Magnusson, W.E. 2003. Effects of selective logging on the diversity
- and abundance of flowering and fruiting understory plants in a central Amazonian

- 366 forest. *Biotropica* 35(1), pp. 103–114. Available at: http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-
- 367 7429.2003.tb00267.x.
- 368 Dewell, G. 2010. Heat stress in beef cattle. *Veterinary Daignostic and Production*
- 369 Animal Medicine, Iowa State University August
- Döbert, T.F. et al. 2017. Logging, exotic plant invasions, and native plant reassembly in
- a lowland tropical rain forest. *Biotropica* 50(2), pp. 254–265. Available at:
- 372 http://doi.wiley.com/10.1111/btp.12521.
- 373 Dobson, A. et al. 2006. Habitat loss, trophic collapse, and the decline of ecosystem
- services. *Ecology* 87(8), pp. 1915–1924. doi: 10.1890/0012-
- 375 9658(2006)87[1915:HLTCAT]2.0.CO;2.
- 376 Douglas, A. et al. 2017. Package 'lme4'. doi: 10.2307/2533043>.
- 377 Estes, J.G. et al. 2012. Quantity and configuration of available elephant habitat and
- 378 related conservation concerns in the Lower Kinabatangan floodplain of Sabah,
- 379 Malaysia. *PLoS ONE* 7(10). doi: 0044601.
- Gad, S.D. and Shyama, S.K. 2009. Studies on the food and feeding habits of Gaur Bos
- 381 *gaurus* H. Smith (Mammalia: Artiodactyla: Bovidae) in two protected areas of Goa.
- *Journal of Threatened Taxa* 1(2), pp. 128–130.
- 383 Gardner, P.C. et al. 2014. Banteng. In: Melletti, M. and Burton, J. eds. *Ecology*,
- 384 Evolution and Behaviour of Wild Cattle: Implications for Conservation. Cambridge
- 385 University Press
- 386 Gardner, P.C. 2015. The natural history, non-invasive sampling, activity patterns and
- 387 population genetic structure of the Bornean banteng Bos javanicus lowi in Sabah,.
- 388 Available at: http://orca.cf.ac.uk/73564/.
- 389 Gardner, P.C. et al. 2018. Spatial and temporal behavioural responses of wild cattle to

- tropical forest degradation. *PLoS ONE* 13(4), p. e0195444.
- 391 Gaveau, D.L. et al. 2014. Four decades of forest persistence, clearance and logging on
- 392 Borneo. *PLoS ONE* 9(7), p. e101654. Available at:
- 393 http://dx.plos.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0101654 [Accessed: 16 July 2014].
- Journeaux, K.L. et al. 2018. Herd demography, sexual segregation and the effects of
- forest management on Bornean banteng *Bos javanicus lowi* in Sabah, Malaysian
- Borneo. *Endangered Species Research* 35, pp. 141–157.
- Kuijper, D.P.J.P.J. et al. 2009. Do ungulates preferentially feed in forest gaps in
- European temperate forest? *Forest Ecology and Management* 258(7), pp. 1528–1535.
- Available at: http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0378112709004812
- 400 [Accessed: 31 January 2013].
- 401 Matsubayashi, H. et al. 2007. Herbal seed dispersal by the banteng in Borean tropical
- 402 rainforest. *Malaysan Nature Journal* 59(4), pp. 297–303.
- 403 Mealor, R. and Mealor, B. 2010. Picking plants. *Summer*, pp. 23–25.
- 404 Meijaard, E. and Sheil, D. 2008. The persistence and conservation of Borneo's
- 405 mammals in lowland rain forests managed for timber: Observations, overviews and
- 406 opportunities. *Ecological Research* 23(1), pp. 21–34. doi: 10.1007/s11284-007-0342-7.
- 407 Oksanen, J.F. et al. 2012. Vegan: community ecology package. Available at:
- 408 http://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/vegan/index.html.
- 409 Pinard, M. et al. 1996. Site conditions limit pioneer tree recruitment after logging of
- 410 dipterocarp forests in Sabah, Malaysia. *Biotropica* 28(1), pp. 2–12. doi:
- 411 10.2307/2388766.
- 412 Prosser, N.S. et al. 2016. Body condition scoring of Bornean banteng in logged forests.
- 413 *BMC Zoology* 1(1), p. 8. doi: 10.1186/s40850-016-0007-5.

- 414 Puniya, A.. et al. 2015. *Rumen microbiology: from evolution to revolution*. New Delhi:
 415 Springer.
- 416 Queensland Herbarium. 2010. Collect, prepare and preserve plant specimens, a
- 417 *manual*. Brisbane: Department of Science, Information Technology and Innovation.
- 418 Reynolds, G. et al. 2011. Changes in forest land use and management in Sabah,
- 419 Malaysian Borneo, 1990-2010, with a focus on the Danum Valley region. *Philosophical*
- 420 *transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B, Biological sciences* 366(1582),
- 421 pp. 3168–76. Available at:
- 422 http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=3179641&tool=pmcentrez&
- 423 rendertype=abstract [Accessed: 13 February 2013].
- 424 Sodhi, N.S. et al. 2010. Conserving Southeast Asian forest biodiversity in human-
- 425 modified landscapes. *Biological Conservation* 143(10), pp. 2375–2384. Available at:
- 426 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2009.12.029.
- 427 Steinmetz, R. 2004. Gaur (Bos gaurus) and Banteng (B. javanicus) in the lowland forest
- 428 mosaic of Xe Pian Protected Area, Lao PDR: abundance, habitat use, and conservation.
- 429 *Mammalia* 68(2–3), pp. 141–157. Available at:
- 430 http://www.degruyter.com/view/j/mamm.2004.68.issue-2-
- 431 3/mamm.2004.015/mamm.2004.015.xml.
- 432 Sumardja, E.A. and Kartawinata, K. 1977. Vegetation analysis of the habitat of banteng
- 433 (Bos javanicus) at the Pananjung-Pangandaran nature reserve, West Java. *Biotrop*
- 434 *Bulletin* (13), p. 43. Available at:
- 435 https://www.cabdirect.org/cabdirect/abstract/19790779605.
- 436 Timmins, R.J. et al. 2008. Bos javanicus. In: IUCN 2012. IUCN Red List of threatened
- 437 species. Available at: www.iucnredlist.org/details/biblio/2888/0.

- 438 Wickham, H. and Chang, W. 2016. Package 'ggplot2'. doi:
- 439 10.1093/bioinformatics/btr406.
- 440 Wong Kochummen, K.M. et al. 1985. In situ conservation of forest genetic resources in
- 441 Peninsular Malaysia; Based on work by F.S.P Ng. Forest Genetic Resource Information
- 442 (14), pp. 32–49.

443

445 Figure and Table legends

- 446 Fig. 1: The locations of the study sites across the Malaysian state of Sabah on the island
- 447 of Borneo. The map was generated using ArcGIS® software version 10.1 by ESRI, with
- data from Natural Earth and the Sabah Forestry Department.
- 449 Fig. 2: The significant linear relationships between plant species richness and canopy
- 450 extent upon the foraging duration of Bornean bantengs.
- 451 Fig. 3: The significant positive relationship between elevation (metres) upon the
- 452 foraging duration of Bornean bantengs (minutes).
- 453 Fig 4: Plant species that constitute the bantengs' diet (top left-right) *Mikania cordata*,
- 454 Chromolaena odorata, Cyperus difformis, (middle left-right) Fimbristlis littoralis,
- 455 Scleria sp., Disodium triflorium, (bottom left-right) Eleusine indica, Selaginel sp. and a
- 456 juvenile male banteng foraging in Malua Forest Reserve.
- 457 Table 1: General linear model coefficients with stepwise model selection based on AIC
- values on the foraging duration of Borneo bantengs in Sabah (Malaysian Borneo)
- 459 explained by plant species richness, canopy extent, elevation, ambient temperature and
- 460 forest name.
- 461 Table 2: F statistics using single term deletions estimated from the general linear models
- 462 on the foraging duration of Borneo bantengs.
- 463 Table 3: Plant species consumed by Borneo bantengs in Sabah