JAMA Psychiatry | Original Investigation

Association of Maternal Neurodevelopmental Risk Alleles With Early-Life Exposures

Beate Leppert, PhD; Alexandra Havdahl, PhD; Lucy Riglin, PhD; Hannah J. Jones, PhD; Jie Zheng, PhD; George Davey Smith, MD, DSc; Kate Tilling, PhD; Anita Thapar, PhD; Ted Reichborn-Kjennerud, MD, PhD; Evie Stergiakouli, PhD



IMPORTANCE Early-life exposures, such as prenatal maternal lifestyle, illnesses, nutritional deficiencies, toxin levels, and adverse birth events, have long been considered potential risk factors for neurodevelopmental disorders in offspring. However, maternal genetic factors could be confounding the association between early-life exposures and neurodevelopmental outcomes in offspring, which makes inferring a causal relationship problematic.

OBJECTIVE To test whether maternal polygenic risk scores (PRSs) for neurodevelopmental disorders were associated with early-life exposures previously linked to the disorders.

DESIGN, SETTING, AND PARTICIPANTS In this UK population-based cohort study, 7921 mothers with genotype data from the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children (ALSPAC) underwent testing for association of maternal PRS for attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD PRS), autism spectrum disorder (ASD PRS), and schizophrenia (SCZ PRS) with 32 early-life exposures. ALSPAC data collection began September 6, 1990, and is ongoing. Data were analyzed for the current study from April 1 to September 1, 2018.

EXPOSURES Maternal ADHD PRS, ASD PRS, and SCZ PRS were calculated using discovery effect size estimates from the largest available genome-wide association study and a significance threshold of P < .05.

MAIN OUTCOMES AND MEASURES Outcomes measured included questionnaire data on maternal lifestyle and behavior (eg, smoking, alcohol consumption, body mass index, and maternal age), maternal use of nutritional supplements and medications in pregnancy (eg, acetaminophen, iron, zinc, folic acid, and vitamins), maternal illnesses (eg, diabetes, hypertension, rheumatism, psoriasis, and depression), and perinatal factors (eg, birth weight, preterm birth, and cesarean delivery).

RESULTS Maternal PRSs were available from 7921 mothers (mean [SD] age, 28.5 [4.8] years). The ADHD PRS was associated with multiple prenatal factors, including infections (odds ratio [OR], 1.11; 95% CI, 1.04-1.18), use of acetaminophen during late pregnancy (OR, 1.11; 95% CI, 1.04-1.18), lower blood levels of mercury (β coefficient, -0.06; 95% CI, -0.11 to -0.02), and higher blood levels of cadmium (β coefficient, 0.07; 95% CI, 0.05-0.09). Little evidence of associations between ASD PRS or SCZ PRS and prenatal factors or of association between any of the PRSs and adverse birth events was found. Sensitivity analyses revealed consistent results.

CONCLUSIONS AND RELEVANCE These findings suggest that maternal risk alleles for neurodevelopmental disorders, primarily ADHD, are associated with some pregnancy-related exposures. These findings highlight the need to carefully account for potential genetic confounding and triangulate evidence from different approaches when assessing the effects of prenatal exposures on neurodevelopmental disorders in offspring.

JAMA Psychiatry. 2019;76(8):834-842. doi:10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2019.0774 Published online May 1, 2019. Author Affiliations: Author affiliations are listed at the end of this article.

Corresponding Author: Beate Leppert, PhD, MRC Integrative Epidemiology Unit, University of Bristol, Oakfield House, Oakfield Grove, Bristol BS8 2BN, United Kingdom (beate.leppert@ bristol.ac.uk).

jamapsychiatry.com

eurodevelopmental disorders involve atypical brain development affecting domains such as language, motor skills, social communication, attention, activity regulation, and learning.¹ This group of disorders includes attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) and autism spectrum disorder (ASD) and is sometimes broadened to encompass schizophrenia (SCZ) because its onset is often preceded by neurodevelopmental impairments. Neurodevelopmental disorders are highly heritable (60%-90%),^{2,3} although they are associated with a combination of multiple genetic, environmental, and stochastic factors.⁴

Prenatal and perinatal exposures to adversities have long been considered to be possible risk factors for neurodevelopmental disorders and are biologically plausible as causal risks because they occur during a sensitive time in neurodevelopment.⁵ Prenatal factors linked with neurodevelopmental disorders include smoking,6-8 alcohol intake,9 nutritional deficiencies (eg, vitamin D),⁷ poor nutrition,^{10,11} nonuse of nutritional supplements (eg, folic acid), medication use (eg, acetaminophen [paracetamol], antidepressants),12-14 prepregnancy body mass index (BMI) (calculated as weight in kilograms divided by height in meters squared),¹⁵ age at delivery,¹⁶ metabolic disorders (eg, diabetes, preeclampsia),¹⁷ immunerelated conditions (eg, infection, autoimmune diseases),^{18,19} depression,^{10,11} stressful life events,^{9,20} and toxin levels (eg, mercury, lead).^{21,22} Adverse perinatal factors (eg, low birth weight, prematurity, cesarean delivery) have been shown to be associated with all 3 neurodevelopmental disorders.²³⁻²⁵ However, with some exceptions, ^{10,11} most of these studies were observational and are therefore not appropriate for assessing causality.²⁶

Confounding by sometimes unknown lifestyle, socioeconomic, or genetic factors can lead to apparent associations between early-life factors and neurodevelopmental outcomes that are not causal. Potential genetic confounding is particularly important for factors such as maternal smoking, which is known to be associated with maternal genotype.^{27,28} Other factors such as birth weight are associated with maternal and fetal genotypes.²⁹ If genetic factors are independently associated with neurodevelopmental disorders and early-life exposures (horizontal pleiotropy), then associations could arise for noncausal reasons. For example, of 12 studies using different causally informative designs, such as discordant sibling pairs, ³⁰ maternal vs paternal exposures,³¹ an assisted conception cohort,³² and children of twins,³³ 11 showed that maternal smoking in pregnancy does not appear to have a causal effect on ADHD, although it has an effect on lowering birth weight.³⁴ However, such designs have not been able to address the full range of prenatal and perinatal risks.

Genome-wide association studies (GWAS) suggest that genetic liability to neurodevelopmental disorders is in part conferred by a large number of common (present in >1% of the population) single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs).³⁵⁻³⁷ These SNPs can be used to generate composite measures called polygenic risk scores (PRSs),³⁸ which have been shown to be associated with a range of phenotypes in the general population for neurodevelopmental disorders.^{39,40} In this study, we used a large population-based pregnancy cohort from the United Kingdom to investigate whether PRSs for ADHD (ADHD

jamapsychiatry.com

Key Points

Question Are maternal polygenic risk scores for neurodevelopmental disorders associated with early-life exposures?

Findings In this population-based cohort study of 7921 mothers, polygenic risk score for attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder was associated with a range of early-life exposures linked to neurodevelopmental disorders in offspring. Polygenic risk scores for autism spectrum disorder and schizophrenia showed little evidence association with of early-life exposures.

Meaning The findings suggest that mothers at high genetic risk for attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder may also be at increased risk for some adverse pregnancy exposures, and future studies should triangulate evidence from different causally informative approaches.

PRS), ASD (ASD PRS), and schizophrenia (SCZ PRS) are associated with early-life exposures linked to these disorders.^{9,20,41}

Methods

Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children Study Data The ongoing prospective Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children (ALSPAC) initially recruited 14 541 pregnant women living in Avon, United Kingdom, with expected delivery dates from April 1991 to December 1992 and with 13 988 children alive at year 1. Of those, 10 015 mothers and 9912 children underwent genotyping using 2 platforms (Human660W-quad and Human Hap550-quad [Illumina], respectively). After standard quality control, the resulting data set included 7921 unrelated mothers and 7975 unrelated children of European ancestry. Detailed procedures for quality control have been published previously.⁴² Detailed information on the health and lifestyles of mothers and children was collected from regular clinical visits and self-administrated questionnaires. A detailed description of the cohort has been published previously.43,44 The study website contains a fully searchable data dictionary and variable search tool.⁴⁵ Ethical approval for the study was obtained from the ALSPAC Ethics and Law Committee and the local research ethics committees.⁴⁶ All participants provided written informed consent. ALSPAC data collection began September 6, 1990, and is ongoing. Data were analyzed for the current study from April 1 to September 1, 2018.

Polygenic Risk Score

Polygenic risk scores were calculated using PRSice, version 1.25 (Statistical Genetics Unit, King's College London) and PLINK, version 1.9,⁴⁷ as the weighted mean number of disorder risk alleles in approximate linkage equilibrium ($R^2 < 0.1$ within 1000-kilobase distance), as described previously.⁴⁸ Risk alleles for ADHD and ASD were defined as those identified in the most recent combined Psychiatric Genomics Consortium and Lundbeck Foundation Initiative for Integrative Psychiatric Research (iPSYCH) analysis of case-control GWAS^{35,36} at a significance threshold of P < .05 to maximize phenotypic variance. Risk alleles for schizophrenia were identified by the

Psychiatric Genomics Consortium meta-analysis.³⁷ For sensitivity analysis, PRSs were derived using various *P* values. The number of SNPs included for each threshold and correlations among PRSs are provided in eTables 1 and 2 in the Supplement.

Outcome Measures

Prenatal Factors Related to Maternal Lifestyle

Maternal smoking and alcohol consumption were assessed by self-report during the first and third trimesters; the participants were asked whether they had smoked or consumed alcohol in the past 3 months. The 2 measurements were used to classify smoking and alcohol consumption during pregnancy as any time vs never. Binge drinking was defined as having consumed the equivalent of more than 4 drinks per day at least once during the past 4 weeks.⁴⁹ Maternal BMI was derived from self-reported prepregnancy height and weight. Maternal age was obtained at recruitment.

Maternal Use of Nutritional Supplements

and Medications in Pregnancy

The use of antidepressants and the intake of iron, zinc, folic acid, or vitamin supplements were categorized as never vs ever based on maternal report in the first and third trimesters. Use of acetaminophen was maternally reported for the first (week 18) and the second (week 32) halves of pregnancy.

Maternal Illnesses and Conditions

Stressful life events scores were calculated based on maternal report of whether participants had experienced 18 different stressful life events during the first and third trimesters of their pregnancy.⁵⁰ Severe depression, diabetes, hypertension, rheumatism, and psoriasis were categorized as ever vs never experienced. In addition, mothers were asked if they experienced preeclampsia, infections, or bleeding during pregnancy.

Biomarkers of Nutritional Status and Toxin Exposure in Pregnancy

Blood levels of vitamin D (25-hydroxyvitamin D), selenium, mercury, cadmium, and lead were measured in maternal blood samples during pregnancy, as described elsewhere.^{51,52} All of these measures were log-transformed.

Perinatal Factors and Conditions

Adverse birth events included low birth weight, preterm delivery, cesarean delivery, hypoxia, and Apgar scores at 1 and 5 minutes after birth, and were obtained from obstetric records. Low birth weight was defined as less than 2500 g; preterm delivery, born before 37 weeks' gestation. The Apgar score was dichotomized as healthy when 7 or greater and adverse when less than 7.⁵³ Mothers were asked if they initiated breastfeeding their child in the first month after birth.

Statistical Analysis

Data were analyzed from April 1 to September 1, 2018. Associations of early-life exposures with maternal ADHD PRS, ASD PRS, or SCZ PRS were assessed using general linear models for continuous outcomes and general linear models with logit-link function and Poisson distribution for binary outcomes in Stata software, version 15.1 (StataCorp). Ten population stratification principal components derived from unrelated individuals using the Eigenstrat method⁵⁴ were included as covariates in all analyses. In addition, the perinatal factors were tested for association with child ADHD PRS, ASD PRS, and SCZ PRS after adjusting for sex and 10 principal components because perinatal factors can be associated with maternal or child genetic risk. Effect estimates are presented per 1-SD increase in PRS.

To correct for multiple testing of 32 early-life exposures, the number of independent tests was determined based on the number of principal components that explained 80% of covariance between the early-life exposures in a principal component analysis (eMethods and eTable 3 in the Supplement). We concluded that 21 independent tests were performed, leading to a multiple testing-corrected P < .0024 (.05/21), which is slightly less conservative than the Bonferroni-corrected P < .0016 (.05/32) (assuming that all tests are independent).

As a sensitivity analysis, we performed an inverse probability weighting on missing maternal genetic data (eMethods in the Supplement) because ADHD PRS and SCZ PRS were associated with attrition in the ALSPAC core study.⁵⁵ A comparison between the study samples with (n = 7486) and without (n = 6307) genetic data suggests that the groups differ in some of the analyzed factors (eMethods and eTable 4 in the Supplement).

Results

Associations Between PRSs and Early-Life Exposures Factors Associated With Maternal Lifestyle

Descriptive characteristics of the sample are presented in Table 1. Mean (SD) age of mothers of the ALSPAC study sample was 28.5 (4.8) years; mean (SD) BMI, 22.9 (3.8). Maternal PRSs for neurodevelopmental disorders showed evidence of associations with smoking (ADHD PRS and SCZ PRS), use of acetaminophen (ADHD PRS), prepregnancy BMI (ADHD PRS and SCZ PRS), and age at delivery (ADHD PRS) (Table 2). A 1-SD increase in maternal ADHD PRS was associated with a 27% increase of smoking during pregnancy (odds ratio [OR], 1.27; 95% CI, 1.19-1.35); a 1-SD increase in maternal SCZ PRS, with an 11% increase of smoking during pregnancy (OR, 1.11; 95% CI, 1.04-1.18). Maternal ADHD PRS was associated with younger maternal age at delivery (β coefficient, -0.42; 95% CI, 0.53-0.31) (similar results were found for associations between PRSs and categorically defined age groups in eTable 5 in the Supplement). Association with maternal prepregnancy BMI occurred in opposite directions for ADHD PRS (β coefficient, 0.27; 95% CI, 0.18-0.36) and SCZ PRS (β coefficient, -0.16; 95% CI, -0.26 to -0.07). Examining associations at different times, we found evidence of an association between ADHD PRS and use of acetaminophen during the second half of pregnancy, such that a 1-SD increase in ADHD PRS was associated with an 11% increase in the odds of taking acetaminophen (OR, 1.11; 95% CI, 1.04-1.18).

Maternal Illnesses and Conditions

We found evidence of associations between all 3 maternal PRSs and a higher risk of experiencing severe depression (OR range, 1.12 [95% CI, 1.02-1.23] to 1.21 [95% CI, 1.11-1.33]) and an increased stressful life events score in the first trimester of pregnancy (β coefficient range, 0.11 [95% CI, 0.05-0.17] to 0.13 [95% CI, 0.07-0.19]) (Table 3). In addition, ADHD PRS showed evidence of an association with infection during pregnancy (OR, 1.11; 95% CI, 1.04-1.18). Little evidence was found for associations with other illnesses or conditions.

Biomarkers of Nutritional Status and Toxin Exposure in Pregnancy

Maternal ADHD PRS showed evidence of association with lower selenium levels (β coefficient, -0.01; 95% CI, -0.02 to 0.005) and higher cadmium levels (β coefficient, 0.07; 95% CI, 0.05-0.0009). In addition, ADHD PRS showed evidence of associations with lower mercury levels (β coefficient, -0.06; 95% CI, -0.11 to -0.02), but little evidence of association with vitamin D or lead (Table 4). Little evidence was found for associations between maternal ASD PRS and SCZ PRS and any of the biomarkers.

Perinatal Factors and Conditions

We found little evidence of an association between maternal neurodevelopmental risk scores and birth events, including low birth weight, preterm delivery, cesarean delivery, and hypoxia (Table 5). Furthermore, there was no strong evidence of associations between these outcomes and child neurodevelopmental PRSs (Table 5).

Sensitivity Analyses

Sensitivity analysis revealed consistent results across different P value thresholds for all 3 PRSs, as shown in eFigures 1 to 6 in the Supplement. To account for attrition, we performed inverse probability weighting on availability of genetic data and reran our main analysis with the derived weights, which revealed a similar pattern of results (eTables 6 and 7 in the Supplement).

In addition, we evaluated the observational association of the investigated early-life exposures with ADHD and ASD symptoms in children in the ALSPAC population (eMethods and eTable 8 in the Supplement). We found evidence of an association of smoking (relative risk [RR], 1.70; 95% CI, 1.37-2.10) and use of acetaminophen (RR, 1.45; 95% CI, 1.18-1.78) during pregnancy, ever having depression (RR, 1.64; 95% CI, 1.20-2.25), and an increased stressful life events score (RR, 1.15; 95% CI, 1.10-1.20) with an increased risk of ADHD, as described previously for this cohort.14,31

Discussion

The present study examines the association between neurodevelopmental disorder PRSs and early-life exposures associated with those disorders. We found that maternal risk alleles for neurodevelopmental disorders, primarily ADHD, were associated with some prenatal factors. These findings are in line with those of studies showing substantial associations between genetic factors and environmental experiences⁵⁶ and highlight the need to account for genetic confounding in studies of pregnancy-related exposures and neurodevelopmental disorders.

jamapsychiatry.com

Table 1. Sample Description Total No. of Participants Exposure Participants With Exposure Smoking, No. (%) During first trimester 7330 1620 (22.1) During third trimester 6787 1157 (17.0) Alcohol consumption. No. (%) During first trimester 7276 4051 (55.7) During third trimester 4355 1526 (35.0) Binge drinking during 7263 1181 (16.3) first trimester, No. (%) Any nutritional supplements in pregnancy, No. (%) 7270 1541 (21.2) Iron Zinc 7270 92 (1.3) Folic acid 7263 688 (9.5) Vitamins 7253 1190 (16.4) Acetaminophen, No. (%) 7142 3838 (53.7) Early pregnancy Late pregnancy 6748 2888 (42.8) Any antidepressants in pregnancy, No. (%) 7153 58 (0.8) Maternal illnesses and conditions Prepregnancy BMI 6516 22.9 (3.8) [12.5-51.2] mean (SD) [range] Age at delivery, 7486 28.5 (4.8) mean (SD) [range], y [15-44] Ever had diabetes, No. (%) 6913 71 (1.0) Gestational diabetes. No. (%) 7412 68 (0.9) Ever had hypertension, No. (%) 6806 984 (14.5) Gestational hypertension, No. (%) 7252 1058 (14.6) 160 (2.2) Preeclampsia, No. (%) 7412 Any vaginal bleeding in pregnancy, No. (%) 6759 1198 (17.7) Any infection in pregnancy, No. (%) 6683 1476 (22.1) Ever had rheumatism, No. (%) 6817 295 (4.3) Ever had psoriasis, No. (%) 6793 256 (3.8) Ever had severe depression, No. (%) 6847 539 (7.9) Stressful life event score, mean (SD) [range] First trimester 6841 3.6 (2.6) [0-18] Third trimester 3.5 (2.4) [0-26] 6695 Pregnancy blood levels, mean (SD), [range] Vitamin D, pg/mL 4793 67.6 (32.1) [8.6-248.1] 112.4 (24.0) [17.0-319.4] Selenium, µg/L 2625 Hemoglobin, g/dL 2535 2.1 (1.1) [0.3-11.5] 0.5 (0.6) Cadmium, µg/L 2624 Lead, µg/dL 2624 3.6 (1.5) [0.2-19.1] 6660 Cesarean delivery, No. (%) 674 (10.1) Low birth weight (<2500 g), No. (%) 7387 328 (4.4) Preterm delivery (<37 wk), No. (%) 7486 399 (5.3) Hypoxia, No. (%) 4405 1301 (29.5)

Breastfeeding at 1 mo, No. (%) Abbreviation: BMI, body mass index (calculated as weight in kilograms divided by height in meters squared).

4387

4383

6490

539 (12.3)

5053 (77.9)

49 (1.1)

Low Apgar score, No. (%)

At 1 min

At 5 min

SI conversion factors: To convert cadmium to nanomoles per liter, multiply by 8.896; hemoglobin to grams per liter, multiply by 10.0; lead to micromoles per liter, multiply by 0.0483; selenium to micromoles per liter, multiply by 0.0127; vitamin D to picomoles per liter, multiply by 2.496.

| | ADHD PRS | • | ASD PRS | | SC7 PRS | | |
|--|--|---------------------|--|---------|--|---------|--|
| Variable | OR or β Coefficient (95% CI) ^a | P Value | OR or β Coefficient (95% CI) ^a | P Value | OR or β Coefficient (95% CI) ^a | P Value | |
| Smoking, OR | | | | | | | |
| During first trimester | 1.25 (1.17 to 1.33) | 1×10^{-11} | 1.04 (0.98 to 1.11) | .18 | 1.14 (1.04 to 1.18) | .001 | |
| During third trimester | 1.27 (1.18 to 1.36) | 6×10^{-11} | 1.06 (0.99 to 1.14) | .09 | 1.06 (0.99 to 1.14) | .08 | |
| Alcohol consumption, OR | | | | | | | |
| During first trimester | 0.99 (0.92 to 1.06) | .76 | 1.00 (0.94 to 1.08) | .91 | 1.01 (0.94 to 1.08) | .85 | |
| During third trimester | 0.91 (0.84 to 0.98) | .02 | 1.05 (0.97 to 1.13) | .22 | 1.05 (0.97 to 1.13) | .26 | |
| Binge drinking during first trimester | 1.11 (1.03 to 1.18) | .004 | 1.05 (0.98 to 1.13) | .15 | 1.08 (1.01 to 1.16) | .02 | |
| Taking supplements during pregnancy, OR | | | | | | | |
| Iron | 0.97 (0.91 to 1.03) | .31 | 1.00 (0.94 to 1.06) | .92 | 1.09 (1.02 to 1.16) | .01 | |
| Zinc | 0.91 (0.74 to 1.13) | .40 | 0.98 (0.79 to 1.20) | .82 | 1.22 (0.99 to 1.50) | .06 | |
| Folic acid | 0.96 (0.89 to 1.05) | .36 | 1.06 (0.98 to 1.15) | .17 | 1.11 (1.03 to 1.21) | .01 | |
| Vitamins | 0.94 (0.88 to 1.01) | .09 | 0.99 (0.92 to 1.06) | .73 | 1.10 (1.03 to 1.18) | .005 | |
| Use of acetaminophen, OR | | | | | | | |
| In early pregnancy | 1.09 (1.02 to 1.17) | .01 | 1.00 (0.94 to 1.08) | .93 | 0.97 (0.90 to 1.03) | .32 | |
| In late pregnancy | 1.11 (1.04 to 1.18) | .002 | 0.99 (0.93 to 1.05) | .68 | 1.02 (0.95 to 1.08) | .62 | |
| Use of antidepressants, OR | 1.06 (0.82 to 1.38) | .64 | 1.05 (0.81 to 1.36) | .70 | 1.02 (0.78 to 1.32) | .90 | |
| Prepregnancy BMI, β coefficient | 0.27 (0.18 to 0.36) | 8×10^{-9} | -0.02 (-0.11 to 0.07) | .70 | -0.16 (-0.26 to -0.07) | .001 | |
| Age at delivery, β coefficient | -0.42 (-0.53 to -0.31) | 2×10^{-14} | 0.09 (-0.01 to 0.20) | .095 | 0.05 (-0.06 to 0.16) | .35 | |

Abbreviations: ADHD, attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder; ASD, autism spectrum disorder; BMI, body mass index (calculated as weight in kilograms

divided by height in meters squared); OR, odds ratio; PRS, polygenic risk score; SCZ, schizophrenia.

Table 2. Associations of Maternal DDCs for ADUD, ACD, and Cabina humais With Maternal Illinguage and Canditions

^a Odds ratios were calculated for binary outcomes; β coefficients, for continuous outcomes.

| | ADHD PRS | | ASD PRS | | SCZ PRS | | |
|--|----------------------------------|--------------------|--|--------------------|--|--------------------|--|
| Variable | OR or β Coefficient (95% CI)ª | P Value | OR or β Coefficient (95% CI) ^a | P Value | OR or β Coefficient (95% CI) ^a | P Value | |
| Ever had diabetes, OR | 1.30 (1.03 to 1.65) | .03 | 1.13 (0.89 to 1.43) | .31 | 1.01 (0.79 to 1.28) | .97 | |
| Gestational diabetes, OR | 1.32 (1.03 to 1.67) | .03 | 1.04 (0.82 to 1.32) | .75 | 0.89 (0.70 to 1.13) | .33 | |
| Ever had hypertension, OR | 1.06 (0.98 to 1.14) | .13 | 1.01 (0.94 to 1.09) | .74 | 1.07 (0.99 to 1.15) | .08 | |
| Gestational hypertension, OR | 0.96 (0.90 to 1.03) | .27 | 0.99 (0.92 to 1.06) | .746 | 1.05 (0.97 to 1.12) | .21 | |
| Preeclampsia, OR | 1.09 (0.93 to 1.28) | .30 | 1.18 (1.01 to 1.39) | .04 | 1.08 (0.92 to 1.26) | .37 | |
| Vaginal bleeding in pregnancy, OR | 1.03 (0.96 to 1.10) | .47 | 1.01 (0.94 to 1.08) | .80 | 1.08 (1.00 to 1.15) | .04 | |
| Any infection in pregnancy, OR | 1.11 (1.04 to 1.18) | .002 | 1.06 (0.99 to 1.13) | .09 | 1.03 (0.97 to 1.10) | .33 | |
| Ever had rheumatism, OR | 1.05 (0.93 to 1.19) | .40 | 1.16 (1.03 to 1.31) | .02 | 1.03 (0.91 to 1.16) | .63 | |
| Ever had psoriasis, OR | 1.00 (0.88 to 1.13) | .97 | 1.04 (0.92 to 1.18) | .53 | 1.04 (0.91 to 1.18) | .55 | |
| Ever had severe depression, OR | 1.21 (1.11 to 1.33) | 4×10^{-5} | 1.12 (1.02 to 1.23) | .01 | 1.21 (1.11 to 1.33) | 5×10^{-5} | |
| Stressful life event score, β coefficient | | | | | | | |
| First trimester | 0.13 (0.07 to 0.19) | 4×10^{-5} | 0.11 (0.05 to 0.17) | 3×10^{-4} | 0.12 (0.06 to 0.18) | 2×10^{-4} | |
| Third trimester | 0.08 (0.02 to 0.14) | .008 | 0.09 (0.004 to 0.03) | .004 | 0.05 (-0.01 to 0.11) | .09 | |

Abbreviations: ADHD, attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder; ASD, autism spectrum disorder; OR, odds ratio; PRS, polygenic risk score; SCZ, schizophrenia. ^a Odds ratios were calculated for binary outcomes; β coefficients, for continuous outcomes.

Only maternal stressful life events during pregnancy and lifetime depression showed consistent associations with PRS for all 3 neurodevelopmental disorders. These associations are in line with previous findings suggesting that some stressful life events are heritable⁵⁷ and that stressful life events, depression, and neurodevelopmental disorders share genetic risk factors.^{58,59} Apart from horizontal pleiotropy, a potential explanation for the PRS associations with stressful life events is that maternal traits of neurodevelopmental disorders could be associated with stressful situations, making mothers at high genetic risk for ADHD more likely to encounter stressful events.

Maternal ADHD PRS showed associations with a variety of prenatal factors. Consistent with previous studies, we found that genetic liability for ADHD was associated with higher BMI, younger maternal age at delivery, and smoking.³⁵ To our knowledge, this study was the first to report that genetic liability to Table 4. Associations of Maternal PRSs for ADHD, ASD, and Schizophrenia With Maternal Blood Biomarkers of Nutritional Status and Toxins in Pregnancy

| | ADHD PRS | | ASD PRS | | SCZ PRS | | |
|------------------------|------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|---------|------------------------|---------|--|
| Biomarker | β Coefficient (95% CI) | P Value | β Coefficient (95% CI) | P Value | β Coefficient (95% CI) | P Value | |
| Vitamin D ^a | 0.01 (-0.003 to 0.02) | .99 | -0.01 (-0.02 to 0.01) | .24 | 0.01 (-0.003 to 0.02) | .12 | |
| Selenium | -0.01 (-0.02 to 0.00) | .001 | 0.001 (-0.01 to 0.01) | .84 | 0.004 (-0.004 to 0.01) | .30 | |
| Mercury | -0.06 (-0.11 to -0.02) | .003 | 0.02 (-0.02 to 0.06) | .42 | 0.03 (-0.02 to 0.07) | .24 | |
| Cadmium | 0.07 (0.05 to 0.09) | 1×10^{-9} | 0.01 (-0.01 to 0.03 | .52 | 0.03 (0.004 to 0.05) | .02 | |
| Lead | -0.03 (-0.08 to 0.03) | .36 | 0.03 (-0.03 to 0.08) | .36 | -0.01 (-0.07 to 0.04) | .63 | |

Abbreviations: ADHD, attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder; ASD, autism spectrum disorder; PRS, polygenic risk score; SCZ, schizophrenia.

^a Indicates log transformed.

| Table 5. Associations of Maternal and Child PRSs for ADHD, ASD, and Schizophrenia With Perinatal Exposures and Conditions | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---------------------|------|----------|------------------|---------|------------------|---------|------------------|---------|
| | No. of Participants | | ADHD PRS | | ASD PRS | | SCZ PRS | | |
| Exposure | No | Yes | Total | OR (95% CI) | P Value | OR (95% CI) | P Value | OR (95% CI) | P Value |
| Associations With Maternal PRSs | | | | | | | | | |
| Cesarean delivery | 5986 | 674 | 6660 | 0.99 (0.91-1.08) | .80 | 1.03 (0.95-1.12) | .47 | 0.95 (0.87-1.03) | .20 |
| Low birth weight, <2500 g | 7059 | 328 | 7387 | 1.08 (0.97-1.21) | .18 | 1.00 (0.90-1.13) | .94 | 1.02 (0.62-1.68) | .94 |
| Preterm delivery, <37 wk | 7087 | 399 | 7486 | 0.99 (0.89-1.10) | .85 | 0.96 (0.87-1.07) | .49 | 0.96 (0.87-1.07) | .46 |
| Нурохіа | 3104 | 1301 | 4405 | 1.05 (0.97-1.14) | .20 | 1.04 (0.97-1.13) | .28 | 1.02 (0.95-1.11) | .56 |
| Low Apgar score | | | | | | | | | |
| At 1 min | 3848 | 539 | 4387 | 1.11 (1.01-1.23) | .03 | 1.02 (0.93-1.13) | .65 | 1.00 (0.91-1.11) | .95 |
| At 5 min | 4334 | 49 | 4383 | 1.05 (0.79-1.39) | .74 | 1.04 (0.79-1.38) | .78 | 0.77 (0.57-1.03) | .07 |
| Breastfeeding at 1 mo | 1437 | 5053 | 6490 | 0.88 (0.78-0.99) | .04 | 1.05 (0.92-1.19) | .47 | 1.02 (0.90-1.16) | .75 |
| Associations With Child PRSs | | | | | | | | | |
| Cesarean delivery | 6126 | 686 | 6812 | 0.98 (0.90-1.07) | .62 | 0.97 (0.89-1.05) | .45 | 1.00 (0.92-1.08) | .92 |
| Low birth weight, 2500 g | 7118 | 298 | 7416 | 1.06 (0.94-1.19) | .36 | 0.97 (0.86-1.09) | .63 | 0.96 (0.85-1.09) | .54 |
| Preterm delivery, <37 wk | 7134 | 374 | 7508 | 1.03 (0.92-1.15) | .62 | 0.94 (0.85-1.05) | .30 | 0.95 (0.85-1.06) | .37 |
| Нурохіа | 3147 | 1256 | 4403 | 0.97 (0.90-1.05) | .52 | 0.99 (0.91-1.07) | .74 | 0.98 (0.91-1.06) | .66 |
| Low Apgar score | | | | | | | | | |
| At 1 min | 3886 | 511 | 4397 | 1.01 (1.00-1.01) | .27 | 1.00 (0.99-1.01) | .69 | 1.00 (0.99-1.01) | .45 |
| At 5 min | 4348 | 47 | 4395 | 1.00 (1.00-1.01) | .13 | 1.00 (1.00-1.00) | .44 | 1.00 (1.00-1.00) | .56 |
| Breastfeeding at 1 mo | 1351 | 5701 | 7052 | 0.89 (0.78-1.02) | .10 | 1.06 (0.93-1.22) | .39 | 1.04 (0.91-1.19) | .60 |

Abbreviations: ADHD, attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder; ASD, autism spectrum disorder; OR, odds ratio; PRS, polygenic risk score; SCZ, schizophrenia.

ADHD may also be associated with infections, acetaminophen use, and blood levels of toxins in pregnant mothers. Acetaminophen exposure in pregnancy is of considerable current interest because studies have raised concern about its safety owing to the observed association with offspring ADHD.⁶⁰ Our results suggest that mothers with higher ADHD PRS may also be more likely to use acetaminophen in pregnancy. Whether the association between ADHD and acetaminophen use holds after adjusting for shared genetic factors and represents a causal relationship should be assessed in a causally informative mendelian randomization framework and with other genetically informative designs that test and account for horizontal pleiotropy.^{61,62}

The association between ADHD PRS and lower biomarker levels of selenium and mercury may seem counterintuitive. However, because fish is a major source of these heavy metals in the general population and ADHD is associated with low fish consumption,⁶³ a possible explanation could be that mothers with higher ADHD PRS consumed less fish.

In contrast to ADHD PRS, we found little evidence of associations between ASD PRS or SCZ PRS and early-life

jamapsychiatry.com

factors (except for SCZ PRS with lower BMI and more smoking, consistent with previous studies). It is possible that ADHD risk alleles have especially widespread pleiotropic or causal effects on lifestyle and risk-taking behavior during pregnancy. In addition, the differential pattern of associations could be driven in part by genetic overlap between the 3 disorders and educational attainment. Although ADHD has shown genetic overlap with lower educational attainment,³⁵ genetic overlap with higher educational attainment has been found for ASD and less strongly for schizophrenia.59,64 Educational attainment has been shown to be associated with health-conscious behavior⁶⁵ and pregnancy-related exposures.⁶⁶ The differential associations with educational attainment might also explain why many of the coefficients for ASD PRS and SCZ PRS were in the opposite direction from ADHD PRS (eg, age at childbirth, selenium levels, folic acid intake, and breastfeeding). Future studies could use a multipolygenic score model to assess the extent to which associations between neurodevelopmental disorder risk alleles and prenatal factors may be explained by education-associated alleles.⁶⁷

Our results have implications for supporting pregnant women with neurodevelopmental problems such as ADHD, given that maternal neurodevelopmental risk alleles were associated with exposure to adverse conditions (eg, stress) and risky behaviors (eg, smoking) in pregnancy. The associations between ADHD PRS and prenatal exposures were generally of small magnitude, and additional types of investigations are needed to assess whether the associations are of clinical importance. Nevertheless, our findings add to the increasing evidence that the observational associations between many prenatal factors and neurodevelopmental disorders in offspring may be at least partially genetically confounded. However, the presence of genetic confounding does not exclude a causal effect. Genetic effects may even be mediated through an environmental exposure. For example, in the present study, we found that maternal ADHD PRS was associated with higher levels of the heavy metal cadmium, for which smoking is a common source.⁶⁸ Although maternal genetic liability for ADHD predisposes mothers to smoke during pregnancy, prenatal cadmium exposure due to smoking could still be associated with an increased risk for ADHD in offspring. However, this increased risk has been shown to be unlikely by triangulation of evidence from studies comparing smoking during pregnancy between mothers and fathers and between genetically related and unrelated mothers (in vitro fertilization cohort).^{34,44} Disentangling complex questions of whether prenatal factors causally influence risk of neurodevelopmental disorders in offspring will likely require such triangulation of evidence from multiple approaches that rely on different assumptions and have unrelated sources of potential biases,^{69,70} including genetically informed methods.62

Limitations

ALSPAC did not assess diagnosis of maternal ADHD or ASD, and only 7 mothers reported a diagnosis of SCZ; therefore, we were not able to evaluate the estimation of our generated PRSs or test for the association of observed maternal neurodevelopmental traits with early-life exposures in ALSPAC. Nevertheless, previous studies of children in the ALSPAC population have found robust associations between ADHD PRS and ADHD symptoms,⁷¹ associations between ASD PRS and ASD symptoms,⁷¹ and associations between SCZ PRS and negative symptoms of SCZ.³⁹

Polygenic risk scores have been demonstrated to be useful instruments for polygenic traits commonly used to inves-

ARTICLE INFORMATION

Accepted for Publication: February 14, 2019. Published Online: May 1, 2019.

doi:10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2019.0774

Open Access: This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the CC-BY License. © 2019 Leopert B et al. *JAMA Psychiatry*.

Author Affiliations: MRC (Medical Research Council) Integrative Epidemiology Unit, University of Bristol, Bristol, United Kingdom (Leppert, Havdahl, Jones, Zheng, Davey Smith, Tilling, Stergiakouli); Population Health Sciences, Bristol Medical School, University of Bristol, Bristol, United Kingdom (Leppert, Havdahl, Jones, Zheng, Davey Smith, Tilling, Stergiakouli); Nic Waals Institute, Lovisenberg Diaconal Hospital, Oslo, Norway (Havdahl); Department of Mental Disorders, Norwegian Institute of Public Health, Oslo, Norway (Havdahl, Reichborn-Kjennerud); MRC Centre for Neuropsychiatric Genetics and Genomics, Cardiff University, Cardiff, United Kingdom (Riglin, Thapar); National Institute for Health Research Biomedical Research Centre, University Hospitals Bristol NHS (National Health Service) Foundation Trust and the University of Bristol, Bristol, United Kingdom (Jones); Institute of Clinical Medicine, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway (Reichborn-Kjennerud); School

tigate the genetic architecture of many disorders.⁴⁰ However, PRSs currently explain only a small amount of variance in heritability of neurodevelopmental disorders.^{35,36,72} Our power to detect associations with exposures may have been low for ASD because its SNP heritability is lower than that for ADHD and SCZ.⁷³

Given that ADHD PRS (as well as SCZ PRS) is associated with study attrition,⁷⁴ we performed inverse probability weighting sensitivity analyses accounting for the probability of having genetic data available. The weighted analyses showed similar results. Nevertheless, given that not all selectionassociated factors could be included in the weighting, bias may remain. Furthermore, sample sizes were small for some earlylife exposures, and the nested studies for heavy metal detection in pregnancy might be limited by selection bias. Although we have accounted for multiple testing, we must acknowledge that some of our findings might still occur by chance. Our study relied on self-reported smoking and alcohol consumption. These exposures may be underreported, especially during pregnancy, when smoking and alcohol consumption is considered socially undesirable, but results were consistent when comparing self-reported smoking and alcohol consumption before pregnancy (eTable 9 in the Supplement). We also did not have information about maternal use of medication to treat symptoms of ADHD, ASD, or schizophrenia and therefore cannot exclude the possibility that potential intake of medication for maternal mental health has led to spurious associations. However, excluding all mothers who reported they had taken medication for neuropsychiatric problems (ie, depression, anxiety, migraine, or sleeping problems) did not change our results (eTable 10 in the Supplement). We encourage replication of our findings in wellpowered data sets.

Conclusions

Our findings suggest that several early-life factors linked to neurodevelopmental disorders are associated with maternal genetic liabilities to these disorders, primarily ADHD. Therefore, to draw conclusions about causality, future studies need to account for potential genetic confounding and triangulate evidence from different causally informative approaches. In addition, mothers with high genetic liability to ADHD may be at increased risk for many adverse pregnancy factors.

> of Oral and Dental Sciences, University of Bristol, Bristol, United Kingdom (Stergiakouli).

Author Contributions: Drs Leppert and Havdahl were co-first authors and contributed equally to this work. Dr Leppert had full access to all the data in the study and takes responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis.

Concept and design: Leppert, Havdahl, Davey Smith, Tilling, Thapar, Reichborn-Kjennerud, Stergiakouli.

Acquisition, analysis, or interpretation of data: Leppert, Havdahl, Riglin, Jones, Zheng, Thapar, Reichborn-Kjennerud, Stergiakouli. Drafting of the manuscript: Leppert, Havdahl. Critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content: Havdahl, Riglin, Jones, Zheng, Davey Smith, Tilling, Thapar, Reichborn-Kjennerud, Stergiakouli.

Statistical analysis: Leppert, Havdahl, Zheng, Tilling, Stergiakouli.

Obtained funding: Tilling, Thapar, Stergiakouli. Administrative, technical, or material support: Jones. Stergiakouli.

Supervision: Davey Smith, Stergiakouli.

Conflict of Interest Disclosures: None reported.

Funding/Support: This study was supported by grant 204895/Z/16/Z from the Wellcome Trust (Drs Leppert and Riglin), which was awarded to Drs Thapar, Tilling, Stergiakouli and Davey Smith; grant MC UU 00011/1 from the University of Bristol and the UK MRC (MRC Integrative Epidemiology Unit, University of Bristol); and fellowship grant 2018059 from the South-Eastern Norway Regional Health Authority (Dr Havdahl). Core support for the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children (ALSPAC) is provided by grant 102215/2/13/2 from the UK MRC and the Wellcome Trust and by the University of Bristol. A comprehensive list of grants funding for ALSPAC is available online (http://www. bristol.ac.uk/alspac/external/documents/grantacknowledgements.pdf).

Role of the Funder/Sponsor: The sponsors had no role in the design and conduct of the study; collection, management, analysis, and interpretation of the data; preparation, review, or approval of the manuscript; and decision to submit the manuscript for publication.

Additional Contributions: We thank all the families who took part in this study, the midwives for their help in recruiting them, and the whole ALSPAC team of interviewers, computer and laboratory technicians. clerical workers. research scientists. volunteers, managers, receptionists, and nurses. Genome-wide association study (GWAS) data were generated by Sample Logistics and Genotyping Facilities at Wellcome Sanger Institute and Laboratory Corporation of America using support from 23andMe. Members of the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium and the Lundbeck Foundation Initiative for Integrative Psychiatric Research (iPSYCH) provided the publicly available data used as the discovery samples in this article. Richard Anney, PhD, Division of Psychological Medicine and Clinical Neurosciences, MRC Centre for Neuropsychiatric Genetics and Genomics, Cardiff University, Cardiff, United Kingdom, provided us with the quality-controlled GWAS summary statistics. He was not compensated for this work.

REFERENCES

1. Thapar A, Cooper M, Rutter M. Neurodevelopmental disorders. *Lancet Psychiatry*. 2017;4(4):339-346. doi:10.1016/S2215-0366(16) 30376-5

2. Nikolas MA, Burt SA. Genetic and environmental influences on ADHD symptom dimensions of inattention and hyperactivity: a meta-analysis. *J Abnorm Psychol*. 2010;119(1):1-17. doi:10.1037/a0018010

3. Tick B, Bolton P, Happé F, Rutter M, Rijsdijk F. Heritability of autism spectrum disorders: a meta-analysis of twin studies. *J Child Psychol* *Psychiatry*. 2016;57(5):585-595. doi:10.1111/jcpp. 12499

4. Rutter M. Gene-environment interplay. *Depress* Anxiety. 2010;27(1):1-4. doi:10.1002/da.20641

5. Gage SH, Munafò MR, Davey Smith G. Causal inference in developmental origins of health and disease (DOHaD) research. *Annu Rev Psychol*. 2016; 67:567-585. doi:10.1146/annurev-psych-122414-033352

6. Thapar A, Cooper M, Eyre O, Langley K. What have we learnt about the causes of ADHD? *J Child Psychol Psychiatry*. 2013;54(1):3-16. doi:10.1111/j.1469-7610.2012.02611.x

7. Davis J, Eyre H, Jacka FN, et al. A review of vulnerability and risks for schizophrenia: beyond the two hit hypothesis. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev.* 2016;65:185-194. doi:10.1016/j.neubiorev. 2016.03.017

8. He Y, Chen J, Zhu LH, Hua LL, Ke FF. Maternal smoking during pregnancy and ADHD: results from a systematic review and meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies [published online March 1, 2017]. *J Atten Disord*. doi:10.1177/ 1087054717696766

9. Sciberras E, Mulraney M, Silva D, Coghill D. Prenatal risk factors and the etiology of ADHD: review of existing evidence. *Curr Psychiatry Rep.* 2017;19(1):1. doi:10.1007/s11920-017-0753-2

10. St Clair D, Xu M, Wang P, et al. Rates of adult schizophrenia following prenatal exposure to the Chinese famine of 1959-1961. *JAMA*. 2005;294(5): 557-562. doi:10.1001/jama.294.5.557

11. Stein Z, Susser M. Fertility, fecundity, famine: food rations in the Dutch famine 1944/5 have a causal relation to fertility, and probably to fecundity. *Hum Biol.* 1975;47(1):131-154.

12. Masarwa R, Levine H, Gorelik E, Reif S, Perlman A, Matok I. Prenatal exposure to acetaminophen and risk for attention deficit hyperactivity disorder and autistic spectrum disorder: a systematic review, meta-analysis, and meta-regression analysis of cohort studies. *Am J Epidemiol.* 2018;187(8):1817-1827. doi:10.1093/aje/kwy086

13. Clements CC, Castro VM, Blumenthal SR, et al. Prenatal antidepressant exposure is associated with risk for attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder but not autism spectrum disorder in a large health system. *Mol Psychiatry*. 2015;20(6):727-734. doi: 10.1038/mp.2014.90

 Stergiakouli E, Thapar A, Davey Smith G. Acetaminophen in pregnancy and adverse childhood neurodevelopment—reply. *JAMA Pediatr*. 2017;171(4):396-397. doi:10.1001/jamapediatrics. 2016.5040

15. Van Lieshout RJ, Taylor VH, Boyle MH. Pre-pregnancy and pregnancy obesity and neurodevelopmental outcomes in offspring: a systematic review. *Obes Rev.* 2011;12(5):e548-e559. doi:10.1111/j.1467-789X.2010.00850.x

16. Laurens KR, Luo L, Matheson SL, et al. Common or distinct pathways to psychosis? a systematic review of evidence from prospective studies for developmental risk factors and antecedents of the schizophrenia spectrum disorders and affective psychoses. *BMC Psychiatry*. 2015;15:205. doi:10. 1186/s12888-015-0562-2

17. Maher GM, O'Keeffe GW, Kenny LC, Kearney PM, Dinan TG, Khashan AS. Hypertensive disorders of pregnancy and risk of neurodevelopmental

disorders in the offspring: a systematic review and meta-analysis protocol. *BMJ Open*. 2017;7(10): e018313. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2017-018313

18. Jiang HY, Xu LL, Shao L, et al. Maternal infection during pregnancy and risk of autism spectrum disorders: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Brain Behav Immun*. 2016;58:165-172. doi:10.1016/j.bbi.2016.06.005

19. Instanes JT, Halmøy A, Engeland A, Haavik J, Furu K, Klungsøyr K. Attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in offspring of mothers with inflammatory and immune system diseases. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2017; 81(5):452-459. doi:10.1016/j.biopsych.2015.11.024

20. Modabbernia A, Velthorst E, Reichenberg A. Environmental risk factors for autism: an evidence-based review of systematic reviews and meta-analyses. *Mol Autism*. 2017;8:13. doi:10.1186/ s13229-017-0121-4

21. Rossignol DA, Genuis SJ, Frye RE. Environmental toxicants and autism spectrum disorders: a systematic review. *Transl Psychiatry*. 2014;4:e360. doi:10.1038/tp.2014.4

22. Eubig PA, Aguiar A, Schantz SL. Lead and PCBs as risk factors for attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder. *Environ Health Perspect*. 2010;118(12): 1654-1667. doi:10.1289/ehp.0901852

23. Bhutta AT, Cleves MA, Casey PH, Cradock MM, Anand KJ. Cognitive and behavioral outcomes of school-aged children who were born preterm: a meta-analysis. *JAMA*. 2002;288(6):728-737. doi: 10.1001/jama.288.6.728

24. Halmøy A, Klungsøyr K, Skjærven R, Haavik J. Pre- and perinatal risk factors in adults with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2012;71(5):474-481. doi:10.1016/ j.biopsych.2011.11.013

25. Gardener H, Spiegelman D, Buka SL. Perinatal and neonatal risk factors for autism: a comprehensive meta-analysis. *Pediatrics*. 2011; 128(2):344-355. doi:10.1542/peds.2010-1036

26. Davey Smith G, Ebrahim S. Epidemiology: is it time to call it a day? *Int J Epidemiol*. 2001;30(1):1-11. doi:10.1093/ije/30.1.1

27. Tobacco and Genetics Consortium. Genome-wide meta-analyses identify multiple loci associated with smoking behavior. *Nat Genet*. 2010;42(5):441-447. doi:10.1038/ng.571

28. Davey Smith G. Assessing intrauterine influences on offspring health outcomes: can epidemiological studies yield robust findings? *Basic Clin Pharmacol Toxicol*. 2008;102(2):245-256. doi: 10.1111/j.1742-7843.2007.00191.x

29. Horikoshi M, Beaumont RN, Day FR, et al; CHARGE Consortium Hematology Working Group; Early Growth Genetics (EGG) Consortium. Genome-wide associations for birth weight and correlations with adult disease. *Nature*. 2016;538 (7624):248-252. doi:10.1038/nature19806

30. Obel C, Olsen J, Henriksen TB, et al. Is maternal smoking during pregnancy a risk factor for hyperkinetic disorder? findings from a sibling design. *Int J Epidemiol*. 2011;40(2):338-345. doi:10. 1093/ije/dyq185

31. Langley K, Heron J, Davey Smith G, Thapar A. Maternal and paternal smoking during pregnancy and risk of ADHD symptoms in offspring: testing for intrauterine effects. *Am J Epidemiol*. 2012;176(3): 261-268. doi:10.1093/aje/kwr510 **32**. Thapar A, Rice F, Hay D, et al. Prenatal smoking might not cause attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: evidence from a novel design. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2009;66(8):722-727. doi:10.1016/j.biopsych.2009.05.032

33. Skoglund C, Chen Q, D'Onofrio BM, Lichtenstein P, Larsson H. Familial confounding of the association between maternal smoking during pregnancy and ADHD in offspring. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry*. 2014;55(1):61-68. doi:10.1111/jcpp.12124

34. Rice F, Langley K, Woodford C, Davey Smith G, Thapar A. Identifying the contribution of prenatal risk factors to offspring development and psychopathology: what designs to use and a critique of literature on maternal smoking and stress in pregnancy. *Dev Psychopathol*. 2018;30(3): 1107-1128. doi:10.1017/S0954579418000421

35. Demontis D, Walters RK, Martin J, et al; ADHD Working Group of the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium (PGC); Early Lifecourse & Genetic Epidemiology (EAGLE) Consortium; 23andMe Research Team. Discovery of the first genome-wide significant risk loci for attention deficit/ hyperactivity disorder. *Nat Genet*. 2019;51(1):63-75. doi:10.1038/s41588-018-0269-7

36. Grove J, Ripke S, Als TD, et al. Identification of common genetic risk variants for autism spectrum disorder. *Nat Genet*. 2019;51(3):431-444.

37. Ripke S; Schizophrenia Working Group of the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium. Biological insights from 108 schizophrenia-associated genetic loci. *Nature*. 2014;511(7510):421-427. doi:10.1038/ nature13595

38. Dudbridge F. Power and predictive accuracy of polygenic risk scores. *PLoS Genet*. 2013;9(3): e1003348. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1003348

39. Jones HJ, Stergiakouli E, Tansey KE, et al. Phenotypic manifestation of genetic risk for schizophrenia during adolescence in the general population. *JAMA Psychiatry*. 2016;73(3):221-228. doi:10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2015.3058

40. Martin J, Taylor MJ, Lichtenstein P. Assessing the evidence for shared genetic risks across psychiatric disorders and traits. *Psychol Med*. 2018; 48(11):1759-1774. doi:10.1017/S0033291717003440

41. Meli G, Öttl B, Paladini A, Cataldi L. Prenatal and perinatal risk factors of schizophrenia. *J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med.* 2012;25(12):2559-2563. doi:10. 3109/14767058.2012.699118

42. Stergiakouli E, Gaillard R, Tavaré JM, et al. Genome-wide association study of height-adjusted BMI in childhood identifies functional variant in *ADCY3. Obesity (Silver Spring).* 2014;22(10):2252-2259. doi:10.1002/oby.20840

43. Boyd A, Golding J, Macleod J, et al. Cohort profile: the "children of the 90s"—the index offspring of the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children. *Int J Epidemiol*. 2013;42(1):111-127. doi: 10.1093/ije/dys064

44. Fraser A, Macdonald-Wallis C, Tilling K, et al. Cohort profile: the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children: ALSPAC mothers cohort. *Int J Epidemiol*. 2013;42(1):97-110. doi:10.1093/ije/dys066

45. University of Bristol. Explore data and samples. Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children. http://www.bristol.ac.uk/alspac/researchers/ourdata/. Accessed March 28, 2019.

46. University of Bristol. Research Ethics. Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children.

http://www.bristol.ac.uk/alspac/researchers/ research-ethics/. Accessed March 28, 2019.

47. Purcell S, Neale B, Todd-Brown K, et al. PLINK: a tool set for whole-genome association and population-based linkage analyses. *Am J Hum Genet*. 2007;81(3):559-575. doi:10.1086/519795

48. Martin J, Taylor MJ, Rydell M, et al. Sex-specific manifestation of genetic risk for attention deficit hyperactivity disorder in the general population. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry*. 2018;59(8):908-916. doi:10.1111/jcpp.12874

49. Sayal K, Heron J, Draper E, et al. Prenatal exposure to binge pattern of alcohol consumption: mental health and learning outcomes at age 11. *Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry*. 2014;23(10):891-899. doi: 10.1007/s00787-014-0599-7

50. Barnett BE, Hanna B, Parker G. Life event scales for obstetric groups. *J Psychosom Res*. 1983; 27(4):313-320. doi:10.1016/0022-3999(83) 90054-5

51. Golding J, Steer CD, Hibbeln JR, Emmett PM, Lowery T, Jones R. Dietary predictors of maternal prenatal blood mercury levels in the ALSPAC birth cohort study. *Environ Health Perspect*. 2013;121(10): 1214-1218. doi:10.1289/ehp.1206115

52. Lawlor DA, Wills AK, Fraser A, Sayers A, Fraser WD, Tobias JH. Association of maternal vitamin D status during pregnancy with bone-mineral content in offspring: a prospective cohort study. *Lancet*. 2013;381(9884):2176-2183. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736 (12)62203-X

53. Committee on Obstetric Practice American Academy of Pediatrics-Committee on Fetus and Newborn. Committee opinion No. 644: the Apgar score. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2015;126(4):e52-e55. doi:10. 1097/AOG.000000000001108

54. Price AL, Patterson NJ, Plenge RM, Weinblatt ME, Shadick NA, Reich D. Principal components analysis corrects for stratification in genome-wide association studies. *Nat Genet*. 2006;38(8):904-909. doi:10.1038/ng1847

55. Taylor AE, Jones HJ, Sallis H, et al. Exploring the association of genetic factors with participation in the Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children. *Int J Epidemiol*. 2018;47(4):1207-1216. doi: 10.1093/ije/dyy060

56. Kendler KS, Baker JH. Genetic influences on measures of the environment: a systematic review. *Psychol Med.* 2007;37(5):615-626. doi:10.1017/ S0033291706009524

57. Power RA, Wingenbach T, Cohen-Woods S, et al. Estimating the heritability of reporting stressful life events captured by common genetic variants. *Psychol Med*. 2013;43(9):1965-1971. doi: 10.1017/S0033291712002589

 Boardman JD, Alexander KB, Stallings MC.
Stressful life events and depression among adolescent twin pairs. *Biodemography Soc Biol.* 2011;57(1):53-66. doi:10.1080/19485565.2011.
574565

59. Anttila V, Bulik-Sullivan B, Finucane HK, et al; Brainstorm Consortium. Analysis of shared heritability in common disorders of the brain. *Science*. 2018;360(6395):eaap8757. doi:10.1126/science. aap8757

60. Bauer AZ, Kriebel D, Herbert MR, Bornehag CG, Swan SH. Prenatal paracetamol exposure and child neurodevelopment: a review. Horm Behav. 2018;101:125-147. doi:10.1016/j.yhbeh. 2018.01.003

61. Davey Smith G, Ebrahim S. "Mendelian randomization": can genetic epidemiology contribute to understanding environmental determinants of disease? *Int J Epidemiol*. 2003;32 (1):1-22. doi:10.1093/ije/dyg070

62. Pingault JB, O'Reilly PF, Schoeler T, Ploubidis GB, Rijsdijk F, Dudbridge F. Using genetic data to strengthen causal inference in observational research. *Nat Rev Genet*. 2018;19(9):566-580. doi: 10.1038/s41576-018-0020-3

63. Ríos-Hernández A, Alda JA, Farran-Codina A, Ferreira-García E, Izquierdo-Pulido M. The Mediterranean diet and ADHD in children and adolescents. *Pediatrics*. 2017;139(2):e20162027. doi:10.1542/peds.2016-2027

64. Weiner DJ, Wigdor EM, Ripke S, et al; iPSYCH-Broad Autism Group; Psychiatric Genomics Consortium Autism Group. Polygenic transmission disequilibrium confirms that common and rare variation act additively to create risk for autism spectrum disorders. *Nat Genet*. 2017;49(7):978-985. doi:10.1038/ng.3863

65. Skalamera J, Hummer RA. Educational attainment and the clustering of health-related behavior among US young adults. *Prev Med*. 2016; 84:83-89. doi:10.1016/j.ypmed.2015.12.011

66. Pampel FC, Krueger PM, Denney JT. Socioeconomic disparities in health behaviors. *Annu Rev Sociol*. 2010;36(1):349-370. doi:10.1146/ annurev.soc.012809.102529

67. Krapohl E, Hannigan LJ, Pingault JB, et al. Widespread covariation of early environmental exposures and trait-associated polygenic variation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2017;114(44):11727-11732. doi:10.1073/pnas.1707178114

68. Nordberg GF, Nogawa K, Nordberg M, eds. *Handbook on the Toxicology of Metals*. 4th ed. San Diego, CA: Academic Press; 2015:667-716. doi:10. 1016/B978-0-444-59453-2.00032-9

69. Lawlor DA, Tilling K, Davey Smith G. Triangulation in aetiological epidemiology. *Int J Epidemiol*. 2016;45(6):1866-1886.

70. Munafò MR, Davey Smith G. Robust research needs many lines of evidence. *Nature*. 2018;553 (7689):399-401. doi:10.1038/d41586-018-01023-3

71. Stergiakouli E, Davey Smith G, Martin J, et al. Shared genetic influences between dimensional ASD and ADHD symptoms during child and adolescent development. *Mol Autism*. 2017;8:18. doi:10.1186/s13229-017-0131-2

72. St Pourcain B, Robinson EB, Anttila V, et al; iPSYCH-SSI-Broad Autism Group. ASD and schizophrenia show distinct developmental profiles in common genetic overlap with population-based social communication difficulties. *Mol Psychiatry*. 2018;23(2):263-270. doi:10.1038/mp.2016.198

73. Sullivan PF, Agrawal A, Bulik CM, et al; Psychiatric Genomics Consortium. Psychiatric genomics: an update and an agenda. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2018;175(1):15-27. doi:10.1176/appi.ajp.2017.17030283

74. Munafò MR, Tilling K, Taylor AE, Evans DM, Davey Smith G. Collider scope: when selection bias can substantially influence observed associations. *Int J Epidemiol*. 2018;47(1):226-235. doi:10.1093/ ije/dyx206