

This is an Open Access document downloaded from ORCA, Cardiff University's institutional repository: <https://orca.cardiff.ac.uk/id/eprint/123851/>

This is the author's version of a work that was submitted to / accepted for publication.

Citation for final published version:

Santos Hernandez, Alba, Lewis, Richard James, Malta, Grazia, Howe, Alexander, Morgan, David John , Hampton, Euan, Gaskin, Paul and Hutchings, Graham J. 2019. The direct synthesis of hydrogen peroxide over Au-Pd supported nanoparticles under ambient conditions. *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research* 58 (28) , pp. 12623-12631. 10.1021/acs.iecr.9b02211

Publishers page: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.iecr.9b02211>

Please note:

Changes made as a result of publishing processes such as copy-editing, formatting and page numbers may not be reflected in this version. For the definitive version of this publication, please refer to the published source. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite this paper.

This version is being made available in accordance with publisher policies. See <http://orca.cf.ac.uk/policies.html> for usage policies. Copyright and moral rights for publications made available in ORCA are retained by the copyright holders.



**The direct synthesis of hydrogen peroxide over Au-Pd supported nanoparticles under ambient conditions.**

Alba Santos,<sup>[a]</sup> Richard J. Lewis,<sup>[a]</sup> Grazia Malta,<sup>[a]</sup> Alexander G. R. Howe,<sup>[a]</sup> David J. Morgan,<sup>[a]</sup> Euan Hampton,<sup>[b]</sup> Paul Gaskin<sup>[b]</sup> and Graham J. Hutchings<sup>\*[a]</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Cardiff Catalysis Institute, School of Chemistry, Cardiff University, Main Building, Park Place, Cardiff, CF10 3AT, United Kingdom.

<sup>b</sup>Dŵr Cymru Welsh Water, Pentwyn Road, Nelson, Treharris, CF46 6LY, United Kingdom.

\* Hutch@cardiff.ac.uk

**Abstract.**

The direct synthesis of hydrogen peroxide ( $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ ) from molecular  $\text{H}_2$  and  $\text{O}_2$  over Au-Pd /  $\text{TiO}_2$  catalysts prepared using a modified impregnation methodology is studied. Initially we investigated the role of Au: Pd ratio on the catalytic activity towards  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  formation and its subsequent degradation under conditions that have previously been found to be optimal for the formation of  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  using high pressure reactants and low temperatures. Subsequently, we have conducted a detailed study of  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  synthesis under ambient conditions which are both more challenging for achieving high yields of  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  and are more likely to be used for future industrial applications.

## Introduction.

Hydrogen peroxide ( $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ ) is a highly versatile, environmentally friendly oxidant, with the only by-product of its application being water. The primary application of  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  is found in the paper and textile industries,[1, 2] where it is used as a bleaching agent and in the production of bulk chemicals, with typical applications found in the integrated hydrogen peroxide to propylene oxide (HPPO) process,[3, 4] the ammoximation of cyclohexanone to the corresponding oxime, a key intermediate in the production of Nylon-6[5-7], and the production of KA oil, a mix of cyclohexanone and cyclohexanol, from cyclohexane.[8, 9] Furthermore,  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  is finding increasing application in water treatment, superseding chlorine containing oxidants, primarily due to increasing environmental protection legislation.[10, 11] The ability of  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  to destroy chemicals found in waste streams such as thiocyanate, nitrate and hypochlorite is well known.[12, 13] In recent years increasing focus has been placed on the treatment of organic pollutants from wastewater streams with numerous studies reporting the efficacy of  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  used in conjunction with Fenton's reagent,[14-18] with the photo-Fenton process, in particular, representing an interesting alternative approach.[19-21]

Annual global production of  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  is growing at a rate of approximately 4 % year on year with demand forecast to exceed 5 million tons per annum by 2020.[22] Currently, production of  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  on an industrial scale is dominated by the well-established anthraquinone oxidation (AO), also known as in-direct process, which accounts for more than 95 % of the global  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  production. Although the AO process is highly efficient, there are some concerns regarding its carbon efficiency, with the unselective hydrogenation of the anthraquinone  $\text{H}_2$ -carrier molecule requiring its continual replacement.

The direct synthesis of  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  from molecular  $\text{H}_2$  and  $\text{O}_2$  represents an attractive alternative to the AO process, offering a more atomically efficient route to  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  and allowing for the potential production of  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  at the point of final use. Although supported Pd catalyst are highly active towards the direct synthesis of  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ , they often display poor selectivity, with high rates of  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  degradation, through hydrogenation and decomposition pathways. To inhibit these sequential, undesirable, reactions, halide and acidic stabilisers are often utilised,[23-26] which lead to additional costs associated with reactor corrosion and the removal of these stabilising agents downstream. However, it has been well reported that through combining Au with Pd it is possible to significantly enhance catalytic activity and selectivity towards  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  in the absence of strong acid and halide stabilising agents.[27, 28] The synergistic enhancement achieved through the addition of Au to Pd, although still not fully understood, has been well reported in the literature [29-31] since it was first observed by Landon et al.[32] There is wide debate over the cause of this synergistic enhancement, with electronic, structural and isolation effects, or a combination of these, all potential causes for the enhanced selectivity of Au-Pd catalysts. It

is likely that in many cases the observed synergy is a combination of these factors. To date these supported AuPd catalysts have most often been studied using low reaction temperatures with high pressure gases and a water/alcohol co-solvent mixture. Typically CO<sub>2</sub> has been the diluent of choice to ensure mixtures of H<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>2</sub> do not enter the explosive region, with the explosive region of H<sub>2</sub>/O<sub>2</sub> mixtures known to be much narrower when using CO<sub>2</sub> as a diluent compared to other inert gases. Furthermore the use of CO<sub>2</sub> provides the additional benefit of increasing H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> stability through the formation of carbonic acid in-situ. However, the use of other diluent gases, such as argon and nitrogen, are also common, with nitrogen in particular often used due to lower associated costs. [12] Although these conditions are ideal for the direct synthesis of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> they are likely to be undesirable on an industrial scale due to the associated increased financial costs. As such, we have investigated the effect of key reaction parameters on catalytic activity and selectivity towards H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> over a series of 1 %AuPd/TiO<sub>2</sub> catalysts, prepared via a modified impregnation methodology, starting with those conditions previously optimised and moving towards those more likely to be more suitable for future industrial application. Sankar et al.[33] have previously extensively studied a modified impregnation methodology for the preparation of AuPd/TiO<sub>2</sub> catalysts demonstrating an enhanced catalytic performance in the direct synthesis of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, with H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> synthesis rates found to be four times greater than an analogous catalyst prepared by a conventional impregnation methodology. This enhancement has been ascribed to a significant decrease in mean particle size and improved Au dispersion and formation of AuPd alloyed nanoparticles. With such excellent rates of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> synthesis compared to conventional impregnation analogues we believe they are an appropriate choice for further study.

## **Experimental.**

### **Catalyst Preparation.**

1 %Au, Pd and Au-Pd/TiO<sub>2</sub> catalysts have been prepared (on a weight basis) by a modified impregnation procedure, based on methodology previously reported in the literature[33] and have been shown to result in enhanced dispersion of Au in particular when compared to conventional impregnation procedures. The procedure to produce 0.5%Au–0.5%Pd/TiO<sub>2</sub> (2 g) is outlined below, with a similar methodology utilised for mono- and bi-metallic catalysts, of varying Au: Pd ratio.

Aqueous acidified PdCl<sub>2</sub> solution (1.667 mL, 0.58 M HCl, 6 mg mL<sup>-1</sup>, Sigma Aldrich) and aqueous HAuCl<sub>4</sub>.3H<sub>2</sub>O solution (0.8263 mL, 12.25 mg mL<sup>-1</sup>, Strem Chemicals) were mixed in a 50 mL round bottom flask and heated to 60 °C with stirring (1000 rpm) in a thermostatically controlled oil bath, with total volume fixed to 16 mL using H<sub>2</sub>O (HPLC grade). Upon reaching

65 °C, TiO<sub>2</sub> (1.98 g, Degussa, P25) was added over the course of 5 minutes with constant stirring. The resulting slurry was stirred at 60 °C for a further 15 min, following this the temperature was raised to 95 °C for 16 h to allow for complete evaporation of water. The resulting solid was ground prior to heat treatment in a reductive atmosphere (flowing 5 % H<sub>2</sub> / Ar, 400 °C, 4h, 10 °C min<sup>-1</sup>).

### Direct synthesis of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>.

Hydrogen peroxide synthesis was evaluated using a Parr Instruments stainless steel autoclave with a nominal volume of 100 mL, equipped with a PTFE liner so that total volume is reduced to 66 mL, and a maximum working pressure of 14 MPa. To test each catalyst for H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> synthesis, the autoclave liner was charged with catalyst (0.01 g) and solvent (5.6 g methanol and 2.9 g H<sub>2</sub>O). The charged autoclave was then purged three times with 5% H<sub>2</sub> / CO<sub>2</sub> (0.7 MPa) before filling with 5% H<sub>2</sub> / CO<sub>2</sub> to a pressure of 2.9 MPa, followed by the addition of 25 % O<sub>2</sub> / CO<sub>2</sub> (1.1 MPa). Pressure of 5% H<sub>2</sub> / CO<sub>2</sub> and 25 % O<sub>2</sub> / CO<sub>2</sub> are given as gauge pressures. The reaction was conducted at a temperature of 2 °C, for 0.5 h with stirring (1200 rpm). The above reaction parameters are based on optimum conditions we have previously used for the synthesis of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>.<sup>[34]</sup> H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> productivity was determined by titrating aliquots of the final solution after reaction with acidified Ce(SO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub> (0.0085 M) in the presence of ferroin indicator. Catalyst productivities are reported as mol<sub>H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub></sub>kg<sub>cat</sub><sup>-1</sup>h<sup>-1</sup>.

Catalyst activity towards H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> synthesis was also evaluated under conditions considered more unfavourable towards H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> formation. These conditions were identical to those outlined above but using water as reaction medium, N<sub>2</sub> as diluent for H<sub>2</sub> (5% H<sub>2</sub>/N<sub>2</sub>) and O<sub>2</sub> (25% O<sub>2</sub>/N<sub>2</sub>) and a reaction temperature of 25 °C. Reactor temperature was controlled using a HAAKE K50 bath/circulator using an appropriate coolant.

Catalytic conversion of H<sub>2</sub> and selectivity towards H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> were determined using a Varian 3800 GC fitted with TCD and equipped with a Porapak Q column.

H<sub>2</sub> conversion (Equation 1) and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> selectivity (Equation 2) are defined as follows:

$$\text{H}_2 \text{ Conversion (\%)} = \frac{\text{mmol}_{\text{H}_2}(t(0)) - \text{mmol}_{\text{H}_2}(t(1))}{\text{mmol}_{\text{H}_2}(t(0))} \times 100 \text{ (eq 1)}$$

$$\text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \text{ Selectivity (\%)} = \frac{\text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \text{ detected (mmol)}}{\text{H}_2 \text{ consumed (mmol)}} \times 100 \text{ (eq 2)}$$

Total autoclave capacity was determined via water displacement to allow for accurate determination of H<sub>2</sub> conversion and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> selectivity. When equipped with PTFE liner (reducing nominal volume to 66 mL) the total volume of an unfilled autoclave was determined to be 93 mL, which includes all available gaseous space within the autoclave.

### **Degradation of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>.**

Catalytic activity towards H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> degradation was determined in a similar manner to the direct synthesis activity of a catalyst. The autoclave liner was charged with methanol (5.6 g), H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (50 wt. % 0.69 g), HPLC standard H<sub>2</sub>O (2.21 g) and catalyst (0.01 g), with the solvent composition equivalent to a 4 wt. % H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> solution. From the solution 2 aliquots of 0.05 g were removed and titrated with acidified Ce(SO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub> solution using ferroin as an indicator to determine an accurate concentration of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> at the start of the reaction. The autoclave was pressurised with 2.9 MPa 5 % H<sub>2</sub>/ CO<sub>2</sub> (gauge pressure). The reaction was conducted at a temperature of 2 °C, for 0.5 h with stirring (1200 rpm). After the reaction was complete the catalyst was removed from the reaction mixture and two aliquots of 0.05 g were titrated against the acidified Ce(SO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub> solution using ferroin as an indicator. The degradation activity is reported as mol<sub>H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub></sub>kg<sub>cat</sub><sup>-1</sup>h<sup>-1</sup>.

Catalyst activity towards H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> degradation was also evaluated under reaction conditions considered more unfavourable towards H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> formation. These conditions were identical to those outlined above but using only water as reaction medium, N<sub>2</sub> as diluent for H<sub>2</sub> (5 % H<sub>2</sub>/N<sub>2</sub>) and a reaction temperature of 25 ° C.

### **Catalyst Characterisation.**

X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) analyses were made on a Kratos Axis Ultra DLD spectrometer. Samples were mounted using double-sided adhesive tape and binding energies were referenced to the C (1s) binding energy of adventitious carbon contamination that was taken to be 284.7 eV. Monochromatic AlK<sub>α</sub> radiation was used for all measurements; an analyser pass energy of 160 eV was used for survey scans while 40 eV was employed for detailed regional scans. The intensities of the Au (4f) and Pd (3d) features were used to derive the Au : Pd and Pd(II) : Pd(0) surface ratios.

The bulk structure of the catalysts was determined by powder X-ray diffraction using a (θ-θ) PANalytical X'pert Pro powder diffractometer using a Cu K<sub>α</sub> radiation source, operating at 40 KeV and 40mA. Standard analysis was carried out using a 40 min run with a back filled sample, between 2θ values of 10 – 80°. Phase identification was carried out using the International Centre for Diffraction Data (ICDD).

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) was performed on a JEOL JEM-2100 operating at 200 kV. Samples were prepared by dispersion in ethanol by sonication and deposited on 300 mesh copper grids coated with holey carbon film. Energy dispersive X-ray analysis (EDX) was performed using an Oxford Instruments X-Max<sup>N</sup> 80 detector and the data analysed using the Aztec software.

Total metal loading and metal leaching from catalyst supported was quantified using microwave plasma - atomic emission spectroscopy (MP-AES). Fresh catalysts were digested (25 mg catalyst, 2.5 ml aqua-regia, 24 h) prior to analysis using an Agilent 4100 MP-AES, while post reaction solutions were also analysed after filtration of the solid material. Metal concentrations were determined by response at two characteristic emissions wavelengths for Au (242.8 nm, 267.6 nm) and Pd (340.5 nm, 363.5 nm) and the resultant concentrations averaged. The concentration response of Au and Pd were calibrated using commercial reference standards (Agilent), in all cases  $r^2 > 0.999$ .


## Results and Discussion.

Our initial studies, under conditions previously optimised for H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> synthesis,[35] investigated the effect of Au: Pd ratio (comparison of theoretical and actual metal loadings see in Table S.1) on catalytic activity towards both the synthesis of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> and its subsequent degradation over catalysts prepared using a modified impregnation methodology (Figure 1). We observe that an enhancement in catalytic activity can be achieved through the combination of Au and Pd with H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> synthesis rates of the 0.75 %Au-0.25 %Pd / TiO<sub>2</sub> catalyst (89 mol<sub>H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub></sub>Kg<sub>cat</sub><sup>-1</sup>h<sup>-1</sup>) greater than that of either monometallic 1 %Au/TiO<sub>2</sub> (4 mol<sub>H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub></sub>Kg<sub>cat</sub><sup>-1</sup>h<sup>-1</sup>) or 1 %Pd/TiO<sub>2</sub> (80 mol<sub>H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub></sub>Kg<sub>cat</sub><sup>-1</sup>h<sup>-1</sup>) catalysts. These findings are consistent with our previous investigations into the effect of Au: Pd ratio over catalysts produced via sol-immobilisation, suggesting that the synergistic effect between Au and Pd is independent of preparation method.[36] Further addition of Pd yields minimal variation in the rates of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> synthesis relative to that of the 0.75 %Au-0.25 %Pd / TiO<sub>2</sub> catalyst but rates of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> degradation increases significantly, with H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> degradation activity of the 0.25 %Au-0.75 %Pd / TiO<sub>2</sub> catalyst in excess of ten times that observed for the 0.75 %Au-0.25 %Pd / TiO<sub>2</sub>catalyst.

Previous work by Edwards et al. has shown that for catalysts prepared by a conventional wet-impregnation methodology, H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> synthesis rates are greatest when prepared with a Au :Pd ratio of 1 : 1 by weight, with either Pd-rich or lean compositions resulting in significantly decreased H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> yields.[34] In comparison, the catalysts prepared by modified impregnation as shown in Figure 1 exhibit minimal variation in H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> synthesis activity between a Pd content of 25 and 75 % of total metal loading, suggesting that the relationship between catalyst composition and activity is sensitive to catalyst preparation parameters.



**Figure 1.** Catalytic activity of 1%AuPd/TiO<sub>2</sub> towards H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> synthesis and its subsequent degradation as a function of Pd content at 2 °C.




**H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> direct synthesis reaction conditions:** Catalyst (0.01g), H<sub>2</sub>O (2.9g), MeOH (5.6g), 5% H<sub>2</sub> / CO<sub>2</sub> (420 psi), 25% O<sub>2</sub> / CO<sub>2</sub> (160 psi), 0.5 h, 2 °C 1200 rpm.

**H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> degradation reaction conditions:** Catalyst (0.01g), H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (50 wt.% 0.68 g) H<sub>2</sub>O (2.22g), MeOH (5.6g), 5% H<sub>2</sub> / CO<sub>2</sub> (420 psi), 0.5 h, 2 °C 1200 rpm.

The majority of research into AuPd supported catalysts for the direct synthesis of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> has focussed on the use of sub-ambient temperatures, known to both promote H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> stability through inhibition of the undesirable decomposition pathway.[35] However, the costs associated with the use of sub-ambient temperatures are likely to prohibit its application in the direct synthesis of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> on an industrial scale. As such, we next chose to investigate the effect of the Au: Pd ratio on catalytic activity towards H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> synthesis and subsequent degradation at a temperature of 25 °C (Figure 2). As expected, given the low stability of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> even at mild temperatures, rates of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> degradation increase significantly for all catalysts, with the exception of the 1%Au/TiO<sub>2</sub> catalyst which was observed to offer rates of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> synthesis (3 mol<sub>H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub></sub>kg<sub>cat</sub><sup>-1</sup>h<sup>-1</sup>) and degradation (24 mol<sub>H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub></sub>kg<sub>cat</sub><sup>-1</sup>h<sup>-1</sup>) near identical to that observed at sub-ambient temperatures. Again, as under our standard reaction conditions, rates of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> degradation correlate well with Pd content, with degradation rates of 1 %Pd / TiO<sub>2</sub> (743 mol<sub>H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub></sub>kg<sub>cat</sub><sup>-1</sup>h<sup>-1</sup>) over twice that of the 0.75 %Au-0.25 %Pd / TiO<sub>2</sub> catalyst (297 mol<sub>H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub></sub>kg<sub>cat</sub><sup>-1</sup>h<sup>-1</sup>). Interestingly H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> synthesis rates of 0.75 %Au-0.25 %Pd / TiO<sub>2</sub> (69 mol<sub>H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub></sub>kg<sub>cat</sub><sup>-1</sup>h<sup>-1</sup>) and 0.5 %Au-0.5 %Pd / TiO<sub>2</sub> (75 mol<sub>H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub></sub>kg<sub>cat</sub><sup>-1</sup>h<sup>-1</sup>) bimetallic catalysts are similar to those observed

under sub-ambient reaction temperatures, despite the significant increase in H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> degradation rates, indicating that these catalysts are tolerant to the use of ambient reaction temperature. The observation that rates of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> synthesis are maintained to a greater extent over Au-rich catalysts highlights the key role Au plays in achieving high catalytic performance.

**Figure 2.** Catalytic activity of 1%AuPd/TiO<sub>2</sub> towards H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> synthesis and its subsequent degradation as a function of Pd content at 25 °C, in a water/methanol reaction medium.




**H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> direct synthesis reaction conditions:** Catalyst (0.01g), H<sub>2</sub>O (2.9g), MeOH (5.6g), 5% H<sub>2</sub> / CO<sub>2</sub> (420 psi), 25% O<sub>2</sub> / CO<sub>2</sub> (160 psi), 0.5 h, 25 °C 1200 rpm.

**H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> degradation reaction conditions:** Catalyst (0.01g), H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (50 wt.% 0.68 g) H<sub>2</sub>O (2.22g), MeOH (5.6g), 5% H<sub>2</sub> / CO<sub>2</sub> (420 psi), 0.5 h, 25 °C 1200 rpm.

A number of different solvents have been studied for the direct synthesis of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, including water,[37] ethanol[38, 39] and methanol.[40] Water is most often utilised due to its non-toxic, non-flammable nature, with the low solubility of reaction gases in water often overcome through the use of an alcohol co-solvent, resulting in improved mass transfer of reactants and in turn increased rates of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> production.[39, 41] With Paunovic et al. providing a comprehensive study on the choice of co-solvent.[42] In particular, methanol has been widely studied as a co-solvent, due to increased reactant solubility but also as it results in the suppression of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> decomposition.[35] Furthermore, the key role of the solvent has been recently elucidated by Wilson and Flaherty, with the presence of protic solvents, such as water and methanol shown to be key to H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> production.[43] Whilst the use of alcohol/water solvent mixtures has been shown to lead to increased H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> synthesis rates and indeed may be appropriate for a number of industrially relevant applications, most industrial applications require H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> solutions diluted in water. In particular, the use of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> as a bleaching agent for pulp and textiles favours the use of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> diluted in water, in part due to the additional costs

associated with the separation of methanol from the product streams. Therefore, we have investigated the effect of carrying out  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  synthesis and degradation reactions in  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ -only at a temperature of 25 °C (Figure 3). As reported previously the 1 %Au/ $\text{TiO}_2$  catalyst was observed to offer  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  synthesis and degradation activities almost identical to those observed under our standard reaction conditions, which are more conducive to  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  production. Despite the relative invariance of the 1 %Au/ $\text{TiO}_2$  catalyst towards changes in reaction conditions, the  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  synthesis productivity of the monometallic catalyst is an order of magnitude lower than the bimetallic Au-Pd catalysts, highlighting the benefit combining Au with Pd. For all other catalysts,  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  synthesis rates were significantly lower under these conditions compared to those observed using a  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ /methanol solvent system at the same reaction temperature and this can be attributed to a combination of decreased solubility of  $\text{H}_2$  in water and the ability of methanol to enhance  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  stability. Interestingly, under these conditions  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  degradation rates of the 0.75 %Au-0.25 %Pd /  $\text{TiO}_2$  catalyst ( $326 \text{ mol}_{\text{H}_2\text{O}_2}\text{kg}_{\text{cat}}^{-1}\text{h}^{-1}$ ) are comparable to that observed in the presence of a water/methanol solvent ( $297 \text{ mol}_{\text{H}_2\text{O}_2}\text{kg}_{\text{cat}}^{-1}\text{h}^{-1}$ ) again clearly highlighting the importance of Au in maintaining catalytic selectivity towards  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ . It should be noted that under these reaction conditions all Pd containing catalysts offer  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  synthesis rates significantly greater than that previously reported for the nitric acid washed 2.5%Au-2.5%Pd / C catalyst ( $4 \text{ mol}_{\text{H}_2\text{O}_2}\text{kg}_{\text{cat}}^{-1}\text{h}^{-1}$ )[37] which we have observed to be completely selective towards  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  under our standard reaction conditions.[44] Indeed, the  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  synthesis activity of the 0.5%Au-0.5 %Pd/ $\text{TiO}_2$  catalyst ( $28 \text{ mol}_{\text{H}_2\text{O}_2}\text{kg}_{\text{cat}}^{-1}\text{h}^{-1}$ ) is seven times that of the nitric acid washed 2.5%Au-2.5%Pd/C catalyst, despite a much lower metal loading.

**Figure 3.** Catalytic activity of 1%AuPd/TiO<sub>2</sub> towards H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> synthesis and its subsequent degradation as a function of Pd content, at 25 °C in a water only reaction medium.



**H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> direct synthesis reaction conditions:** Catalyst (0.01g), H<sub>2</sub>O (8.5g), 5% H<sub>2</sub> / CO<sub>2</sub> (420 psi), 25% O<sub>2</sub> / CO<sub>2</sub> (160 psi), 0.5 h, 25 °C 1200 rpm.


**H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> degradation reaction conditions:** Catalyst (0.01g), H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (50 wt.% 0.68 g) H<sub>2</sub>O (7.82g), 5% H<sub>2</sub> / CO<sub>2</sub> (420 psi), 0.5 h, 25 °C 1200 rpm.

Such unfavourable reactions conditions have been previously explored by Crole et al., who report that a 2.5%Au-2.5%Pd/TiO<sub>2</sub> catalyst prepared by conventional impregnation exhibited a H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> synthesis activity of 24 mol<sub>H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub></sub>kg<sub>cat</sub><sup>-1</sup>h<sup>-1</sup>.<sup>[45]</sup> The analogous modified impregnation prepared catalyst with equal amount of Au and Pd (on a weight basis) presented in this work show comparable H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> synthesis activity (28 mol<sub>H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub></sub>kg<sub>cat</sub><sup>-1</sup>h<sup>-1</sup>) despite containing significantly less metal, and as a result, are considerably more active on a per metal basis.

The wide range over which H<sub>2</sub>/O<sub>2</sub> gas mixture is explosive (5-95 v/v% for H<sub>2</sub> in O<sub>2</sub>) necessitate the use of 'inert' diluent gases to operate below the lower explosive limit. Often CO<sub>2</sub> has been chosen as a diluent for H<sub>2</sub>/O<sub>2</sub> gas mixtures due in part to the acidification effect of CO<sub>2</sub> on the reaction solvent, forming carbonic acid in-situ. It is well known that H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> stability is improved through the use of acidic conditions, through inhibition of the H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> decomposition pathway.<sup>[46, 47]</sup> Furthermore, the presence of CO<sub>2</sub> has been shown to lead to an increase in H<sub>2</sub> solubility in a range of organic solvents including methanol.<sup>[48]</sup> However, a similar enhancement is not observed in water only systems.<sup>[49]</sup> The increased costs associated with using CO<sub>2</sub> as a gaseous diluent is, however, likely to preclude its application on an industrial scale. We therefore investigated the effect of replacing the CO<sub>2</sub> with a less expensive diluent, N<sub>2</sub>, for the direct synthesis of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> and its subsequent degradation (Figure 4). As expected, catalytic selectivity towards H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> decreases, while the degradation rates greatly increase. In

particular, the degradation activity of the 0.5%Au-0.5%Pd/TiO<sub>2</sub> catalyst (1019 mol<sub>H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub></sub>kg<sub>cat</sub><sup>-1</sup>h<sup>-1</sup>) doubled with the use of N<sub>2</sub> in comparison to that observed in the presence of CO<sub>2</sub> (517 mol<sub>H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub></sub>kg<sub>cat</sub><sup>-1</sup>h<sup>-1</sup>), which was accompanied by a corresponding decrease in H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> synthesis rates (13 mol<sub>H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub></sub>kg<sub>cat</sub><sup>-1</sup>h<sup>-1</sup>). As for the other reaction conditions presented in this work the presence of high Au content to maintain catalytic performance under such unfavourable conditions, with the 0.75%Au-0.25%Pd/TiO<sub>2</sub> catalyst observed to offer the greatest rates of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> synthesis (16 mol<sub>H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub></sub>kg<sub>cat</sub><sup>-1</sup>h<sup>-1</sup>) when using H<sub>2</sub>O as the solvent and N<sub>2</sub> as reaction gas diluent.

**Figure 4.** Catalytic activity of 1%AuPd/TiO<sub>2</sub> towards H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> synthesis and its subsequent degradation as a function of Au:Pd ratio, at 20 °C, water only reaction medium and N<sub>2</sub> as reaction gas diluent.



**H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> direct synthesis reaction conditions:** Catalyst (0.01g), H<sub>2</sub>O (8.5g), 5% H<sub>2</sub> / N<sub>2</sub> (420 psi), 25% O<sub>2</sub> / N<sub>2</sub> (160 psi), 0.5 h, 25 °C 1200 rpm.

**H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> degradation reaction conditions:** Catalyst (0.01g), H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (50 wt.% 0.68 g) H<sub>2</sub>O (7.82g), 5% H<sub>2</sub> / N<sub>2</sub> (420 psi), 0.5 h, 25 °C 1200 rpm.

Comparison of catalytic selectivity towards H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub> conversion for the 0.5%Au-0.5%Pd/TiO<sub>2</sub> catalyst during the H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> synthesis reactions, reveals how the choice of conditions, namely solvent, temperature and gas diluent can influence the catalytic performance (Table 1).

Perhaps most interesting is the significant increase in H<sub>2</sub> conversion observed with the increase in reaction temperature, with this increasing from 40 % under sub-ambient a reaction temperature of 2 °C to 56% for the analogous reaction carried out at 25 °C. This can be related to the increased solubility of H<sub>2</sub> in methanol with increasing temperature. The significant decrease in selectivity towards H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> upon increasing reaction temperature, from 44 % at a

reaction temperature of 2 °C to 14 % at 25 °C is attributed to the increased rate of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> degradation as well as the low stability of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>.

Furthermore, the role of the gaseous diluent is clearly highlighted, with catalytic selectivity towards H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> concentration decreasing significantly with the replacement of the gaseous diluent from CO<sub>2</sub> (8 %, 0.06 wt.% H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>) to N<sub>2</sub> (3 %, 0.02 wt.% H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>), although the rate of H<sub>2</sub> conversion remains similar. It should be noted that, even under ideal reaction conditions, H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> concentrations are significantly lower than those typically produced via the current industrial means of production. This highly efficient process is able to produce H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> concentrations of approximately 0.6-1.8 wt.%,<sup>[50]</sup> which is then raised through numerous distillation steps to exceed 70 wt.% prior to shipping. However, typical applications utilise much lower final concentrations of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, requiring dilution by the end user prior to use.


**Table. 1.** The role of reaction conditions on H<sub>2</sub> conversion and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> selectivity over 0.5%Au-0.5%Pd / TiO<sub>2</sub>.

Reaction Conditions (temp / solvent / gas diluent)	H <sub>2</sub> Conversion / %	H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> Selectivity / %	Productivity / mol <sub>H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub></sub> kg <sub>cat</sub> <sup>-1</sup> h <sup>-1</sup>	H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> concentration / wt.%
2 <sup>o</sup> C, H <sub>2</sub> O/MeOH, CO <sub>2</sub>	40	44	93	0.20
25 <sup>o</sup> C, H <sub>2</sub> O/MeOH, CO <sub>2</sub>	56	14	75	0.15
25 <sup>o</sup> C, H <sub>2</sub> O, CO <sub>2</sub>	29	8	28	0.06
25 <sup>o</sup> C, H <sub>2</sub> O, N <sub>2</sub>	22	3	13	0.02

**H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> direct synthesis reaction conditions:** Catalyst (0.01g), solvent (8.5g), 5% H<sub>2</sub> / (N<sub>2</sub> / CO<sub>2</sub>) (420 psi), 25% O<sub>2</sub> / (N<sub>2</sub> / CO<sub>2</sub>) (160 psi), 0.5 h, 2-25 °C 1200 rpm.

Further comparison of catalytic activity of the 0.5%Au-0.5%Pd/TiO<sub>2</sub> catalyst towards H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> formation under ideal (2°C, H<sub>2</sub>O/MeOH, CO<sub>2</sub>) and non-ideal (25°C, H<sub>2</sub>O, N<sub>2</sub>) conditions can be seen in Figure 5. It is possible to observe that under both sets of reaction conditions H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> yield tends away from a linear relationship with reaction time, with this in part due to the activity of the catalyst towards H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> degradation, in particular under non-ideal reaction conditions. It is noteworthy that under both sets of reaction conditions H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> concentration continues to increase throughout the course of the reaction time studied. However, H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> concentrations produced using our optimised conditions (0.02 wt. %) are an order of magnitude greater than that observed when using non-ideal conditions (0.002 wt.%) clearly demonstrating the key role reaction conditions play in determining catalytic activity.

**Figure 5.** Reaction time profile for 0.5%Au-0.5%Pd/TiO<sub>2</sub> under (a) conditions optimised for H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> formation and (b) those more likely to be industrially applicable.




<sup>(a)</sup>H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> direct synthesis reaction conditions: Catalyst (0.01g), H<sub>2</sub>O (2.9g), MeOH (5.6g), 5% H<sub>2</sub> / CO<sub>2</sub> (420 psi), 25% O<sub>2</sub> / CO<sub>2</sub> (160 psi), 0.5 h, 2 °C 1200 rpm.

<sup>(b)</sup>H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> direct synthesis reaction conditions: Catalyst (0.01g), H<sub>2</sub>O (8.5g), 5% H<sub>2</sub> / N<sub>2</sub> (420 psi), 25% O<sub>2</sub> / N<sub>2</sub> (160 psi), 0.5 h, 25 °C 1200 rpm.

These observations, when coupled with the variation in H<sub>2</sub> conversion between reaction conditions optimised for H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> synthesis and those more likely to be adopted on an industrial scale (Table 1) suggest that catalytic performance is likely governed by gas solubility. In order to establish the extent that H<sub>2</sub> availability dictates H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> formation rate we varied H<sub>2</sub>: O<sub>2</sub> ratio while maintaining total pressure, under conditions previously optimised for H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> synthesis (Figure 6). As expected we observe a clear variation in H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> synthesis activity, with the optimal H<sub>2</sub>:O<sub>2</sub> ratio found to be 0.69 resulting in a marginal increase in catalytic activity (105 mol<sub>H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub></sub>kg<sub>cat</sub><sup>-1</sup>h<sup>-1</sup>) over that observed under our standard reaction conditions where H<sub>2</sub>: O<sub>2</sub> is equal to 0.52 (93 mol<sub>H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub></sub>kg<sub>cat</sub><sup>-1</sup>h<sup>-1</sup>). As H<sub>2</sub>: O<sub>2</sub> ratios diverge significantly from a value of 0.69 we observe a considerable decrease in H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> synthesis activity, with this attributed to a combination of limited reagent availability and an increase in H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> degradation, with the hydrogenation of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> in particular likely responsible for H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> consumption at increased H<sub>2</sub>: O<sub>2</sub> ratios. Finally it should be noted that there is little variation in CO<sub>2</sub> content regardless of H<sub>2</sub>:O<sub>2</sub> ratio, with the formation of carbonic acid in-situ known to stabilise H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>. [41] In fact the CO<sub>2</sub> content of the gas mixture varies by only approximately 12 % on moving from a H<sub>2</sub>: O<sub>2</sub> ratio of 0.12 to 2.12. As such it is reasonable to assume that the significant loss in activity is not related to lower CO<sub>2</sub> content.

**Figure 6.** The effect of H<sub>2</sub>: O<sub>2</sub> ratio on H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> concentration over 0.5%Au-0.5%Pd/TiO<sub>2</sub> under optimal reaction conditions.



**H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> direct synthesis reaction conditions:** Catalyst (0.01g), H<sub>2</sub>O (2.9g), MeOH (5.6g), 5% H<sub>2</sub> / CO<sub>2</sub> (420 psi), 25% O<sub>2</sub> / CO<sub>2</sub> (160 psi), 0.5 h, 2 °C 1200 rpm.

### Catalyst Characterisation.

In order to determine if a change in mean nanoparticle size or metal dispersion can account for the difference in catalytic selectivity towards H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, we investigated the bi- and mono-metallic AuPd catalysts by both XRD (Figure S.1) and TEM (Table 2, Figure S.2). Analysis by XRD reveals that upon impregnation of Au and Pd and after exposure to a reductive heat treatment at 400 °C no reflections associated with Au or Pd could be observed, indicating the highly dispersed nature of metal nanoparticles. The high metal dispersion is further corroborated by TEM analysis which shows a relatively tight particle size distribution, which is often difficult to achieve for AuPd catalysts prepared by conventional wet impregnation methods.[51] However, the presence of some larger nanoparticles (>10 nm) is observed, with these likely to be Au dominant in composition, as previously reported in the literature.[51-53]

It is observed that upon addition of Pd, mean particle size decreases significantly from 8.4 nm for the 1%Au / TiO<sub>2</sub> catalyst to 2.8 nm for the 0.5%Au-0.5%Pd/TiO<sub>2</sub> catalyst, which is in agreement with our previous studies.[33]. The bimetallic catalysts of varying metal composition show similar activity towards the direct synthesis of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> under all conditions investigated despite exhibiting a discrepancy in mean particle size, which suggests that particle size alone is not responsible for the activity of the catalysts. Previous investigations



by Tian et al.[54] revealed that the catalytic performance of mono-metallic Pd catalysts remains unchanged when particle size is increased beyond 2.5 nm, which is also in agreement with our findings.[55] It should be noted that although the presence of Pd in the 1%Pd/TiO<sub>2</sub> catalyst has been established by EDX analysis (Figure S.3) we are unable to determine mean nanoparticle size via TEM, due to issues associated with resolution limits. As previously reported by Sankar et al.[33], analysis by EDX reveals the highly dispersed nature of Pd on the support and as such we ascribe the inability to observe Pd via TEM to be a result of small nanoparticle size, rather than the poor dispersion of Pd.

**Table 2.** Particle Size of 1%AuPd/TiO<sub>2</sub> catalysts as determined by TEM.

Catalyst	Mean Particle Size / nm (Standard Deviation)
1%Au / TiO <sub>2</sub>	8.4 (3.0)
0.75%Au-0.25%Pd/TiO <sub>2</sub>	4.8 (5.2)
0.5%Au-0.5%Pd/TiO <sub>2</sub>	2.8 (0.7)
0.25%Au-0.75%Pd/TiO <sub>2</sub>	4.9 (1.4)
1%Pd / TiO <sub>2</sub>	n.d

All catalysts exposed to a reductive heat treatment (4 h, 400 ° C, 10 ° Cmin<sup>-1</sup>, 5%H<sub>2</sub>/Ar).  
n.d: unable to determine.

It is well known that it is possible to tailor catalytic activity and selectivity through modification of Pd<sup>2+</sup> : Pd<sup>0</sup>, with metallic Pd widely reported to be more active towards H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> synthesis than PdO.[56, 57] Indeed, there is growing support within the literature that Pd<sup>0</sup> is the true active site for H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> synthesis.[52, 58] XPS analysis (Table 3) reveals that there is minimal change in Pd<sup>2+</sup> : Pd<sup>0</sup> ratio upon the incorporation of Au into a 1 %Pd / TiO<sub>2</sub> catalyst, which correlates well with H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> synthesis rates. Although it should be noted that it was not possible to determine Pd<sup>2+</sup>:Pd<sup>0</sup> ratio for the 0.75 %Au-0.25 %Pd/TiO<sub>2</sub> catalyst due to the low Pd content.

It is possible to correlate the maintenance of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> synthesis rates of the bi-metallic AuPd catalysts with catalytic activity towards H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> degradation, which decreases significantly upon Au introduction. It is this enhancement in selectivity which is believed to be responsible for the minimal change in H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> synthesis rates observed over the supported AuPd catalysts regardless of Pd content. The ability of Au to enhance catalytic performance through incorporation into supported Pd catalysts is well known. Wilson et al. have recently observed that the greater catalytic performance of Au-rich, bi-metallic AuPd catalysts is as a result of significant electronic changes made to Pd by Au, with the activation enthalpies for both H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> formation and the hydrogenation of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> to H<sub>2</sub>O increasing with Au content.[59] While it is also possible that with increasing Au content there is an inhibition in the formation of contiguous Pd ensembles which have previously been attributed to lead to increased cleavage of the O-O bond present in both H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>2</sub>, leading to the formation of H<sub>2</sub>O and a loss of catalytic selectivity.[60] It is likely that both electronic and geometric modifications contribute

to the enhancement in catalytic performance observed with the addition of Au to a supported Pd catalyst.

**Table 3.** Elemental Surface Composition of 1%AuPd/TiO<sub>2</sub> catalysts as determined by XPS analysis.

Catalyst	Au: Pd	Pd <sup>2+</sup> : Pd <sup>0</sup>
1%Au / TiO <sub>2</sub>	-	-
0.75%Au-0.25%Pd/TiO <sub>2</sub>	0.71	n.d.
0.5%Au-0.5%Pd/TiO <sub>2</sub>	0.32	0.29
0.25%Au-0.75%Pd/TiO <sub>2</sub>	0.15	0.30
1%Pd / TiO <sub>2</sub>	-	0.29

All catalysts exposed to a reductive heat treatment (4 h, 400 °C, 10<sup>0</sup> Cmin<sup>-1</sup>, 5%H<sub>2</sub>/Ar).  
n.d: unable to determine

Analysis of Au and Pd leaching after H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> synthesis via ICP (Table S. 2) reveals that under our standard reaction conditions and those considered to be the least conducive to H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> stability (water only solvent, 25 °C and N<sub>2</sub> diluent) leaching of Au and Pd is minimal. We observe no Au leaching under either set of reaction conditions, while leaching of Pd is minimal (0.2 %) and only observed under reaction conditions that are less favourable to H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> stability. The high stability of supported AuPd catalysts for the direct synthesis of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> have been well reported in the literature when prepared by a conventional wet impregnation methodology [34, 55] and we can now report a similar high stability of catalysts produced via a modified impregnation procedure.

## Conclusion.

In conclusion we have investigated the effect of key reaction conditions on the catalytic activity towards the direct synthesis and subsequent degradation of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, over a series of stable 1%AuPd/TiO<sub>2</sub> catalysts prepared by a modified impregnation procedure, in a batch reactor. We highlight that the presence of Au is key in maintaining catalytic performance under conditions that are considered less conducive to H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> formation but more likely to be favoured on an industrial scale. However, selectivity is still a major concern and although we recognise the ability to better discern differences in catalytic performance under more realistic industrial conditions it is clear that further efforts on catalyst design are now required.

## Acknowledgements.

The authors wish to acknowledge the financial support of and research discussion with Dŵr Cymru Welsh Water and the Cardiff University electron microscope facility for the transmission electron microscopy.

## References

[1] J. Yu, D. Shao, C. Sun, C. Xu, D. Hinks. Pilot-plant investigation on low-temperature bleaching of cotton fabric with TBCC-activated peroxide system, *Cellulose*, **2017**, 24, 2647-2655.

- [2] D. Yu, M. Wu, J. Lin. Establishment of an effective activated peroxide system for low-temperature cotton bleaching using synthesized tetramido macrocyclic iron complex, *Fibers and Polym.*, **2017**, 18, 1741-1748.
- [3] M. Lin, C. Xia, B. Zhu, H. Li, X. Shu, Green and efficient epoxidation of propylene with hydrogen peroxide (HPPO process) catalyzed by hollow TS-1 zeolite: A 1.0kt/a pilot-scale study, *Chem. Eng. J.*, **2016**, 295, 370-375.
- [4] Y. Wang, H. Li, W. Liu, Y. Lin, X. Han, Z. Wang, Effect of TS-1 Treatment by Mixed Alkaline on Propylene Epoxidation, *Trans. Tianjin Univ.*, **2018**, 24, 25-31.
- [5] G. Liu, J. Wu, H.a. Luo, Ammoximation of Cyclohexanone to Cyclohexanone Oxime Catalyzed by Titanium Silicalite-1 Zeolite in Three-phase System, *Chin. J. Chem. Eng.*, **2012**, 20, 889-894.
- [6] L. Xu, J. Ding, Y. Yang, P. Wu, Distinctions of hydroxylamine formation and decomposition in cyclohexanone ammoximation over microporous titanosilicates, *J. Catal.*, **2014**, 309, 1-10.
- [7] L. Dal Pozzo, G. Fornasari, T. Monti, TS-1, catalytic mechanism in cyclohexanone oxime production, *Catal. Commun.*, **2002**, 3, 369-375.
- [8] E.V. Spinace, H.O. Pastore, U. Schuchardt, Cyclohexane Oxidation Catalyzed by Titanium Silicalite (TS-1): Overoxidation and Comparison with Other Oxidation Systems, *J. Catal.*, **1995**, 157, 631-635.
- [9] P. Borah, A. Ramesh, A. Datta, Dispersion of VOPO<sub>4</sub>·2H<sub>2</sub>O on different supports through exfoliation and their catalytic activity for the selective oxidation of cyclohexane, *Catal. Commun.*, **2010**, 12 (2010) 110-115.
- [10] A. Babuponnusami, K. Muthukumar, A review on Fenton and improvements to the Fenton process for wastewater treatment, *J. Environ. Chem. Eng.*, **2014**, 2, 557-572.
- [11] M.S. Yalfani, S. Contreras, F. Medina, J.E. Sueiras, Hydrogen substitutes for the in situ generation of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>: An application in the Fenton reaction, *J. Hazard. Mater.*, **2011**, 192, 340-346.
- [12] C. Samanta, Direct synthesis of hydrogen peroxide from hydrogen and oxygen: An overview of recent developments in the process, *Appl. Catal., A*, **2008**, 350, 33-149.
- [13] J.M. Campos-Martin, G. Blanco-Brieva, J.L. Fierro, Hydrogen peroxide synthesis: an outlook beyond the anthraquinone process, *Angew. Chem Int. Ed.*, **2006**, 45, 6962-6984.
- [14] R. Underhill R. J. Lewis, S. J. Freakley, M. Douthwaite, P. J. Miedziak, J. K. Edwards, O. Akdim, G. J. Hutchings, Oxidative Degradation of phenol using in-situ generated H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> combined with Fenton's process., *Johnson Matthey Technol. Rev.*, **2018**, 62, 417-425.
- [15] A. Georgi, M. Velasco Polo, K. Crincoli, K. Mackenzie, F.-D. Kopinke, Accelerated Catalytic Fenton Reaction with Traces of Iron: An Fe–Pd-Multicatalysis Approach, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, **2016**, 50, 5882-5891.
- [16] A. Dhakshinamoorthy, S. Navalon, M. Alvaro, H. Garcia, Metal Nanoparticles as Heterogeneous Fenton Catalysts, *ChemSusChem*, **2012**, 5, 46-64.
- [17] B. Iurascu, I. Siminiceanu, D. Vione, M.A. Vicente, A. Gil, Phenol degradation in water through a heterogeneous photo-Fenton process catalyzed by Fe-treated laponite, *Water Res.*, **2009**, 43, 1313-1322.
- [18] N. Wang, T. Zheng, G. Zhang, P. Wang, A review on Fenton-like processes for organic wastewater treatment, *J. Environ. Chem. Eng.*, **2016**, 4, 762-787.
- [19] N. De la Cruz, L. Esquius, D. Grandjean, A. Magnet, A. Tugler, L.F. de Alencastro, C. Pulgarín, Degradation of emergent contaminants by UV, UV/H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> and neutral photo-Fenton at pilot scale in a domestic wastewater treatment plant, *Water Res.*, **2013**, 47, 5836-5845.
- [20] S. Giannakis, M.I. Polo López, D. Spuhler, J.A. Sánchez Pérez, P. Fernández Ibáñez, C. Pulgarin, Solar disinfection is an augmentable, in situ-generated photo-Fenton reaction—Part 1: A review of the mechanisms and the fundamental aspects of the process, *Appl. Catal., B*, **2016**, 199, 199-223.
- [21] S. Giannakis, M. Voumard, D. Grandjean, A. Magnet, L.F. De Alencastro, C. Pulgarin, Micropollutant degradation, bacterial inactivation and regrowth risk in wastewater effluents: Influence of the secondary (pre)treatment on the efficiency of Advanced Oxidation Processes, *Water Res.*, **2016**, 102, 505-515.

- [22] M. Seo, H.J. Kim, S.S. Han, K. Lee, Direct Synthesis of Hydrogen Peroxide from Hydrogen and Oxygen Using Tailored Pd Nanocatalysts: A Review of Recent Findings, *Catal. Surv. Asia*, **2017**, 21, 1-12.
- [23] J. R. Scoville, I. A. Novicova (Cottrell Ltd.) Hydrogen peroxide disinfecting and sterilizing compositions. US 5900256, **1996**.
- [24] B. Blaser, K. H. Worms, J. Schiefer (Henkel & Cie G.m.b.H) Stabilizing agent for peroxy-compounds and their solutions, US 3122417 A, **1964**.
- [25] P. Wegner, (Wenger Paul C.), Hydrogen peroxide stabilizer and resulting product and applications, US20050065052 A1, **2003**.
- [26] R.J. Lewis, J.K. Edwards, S.J. Freakley, G.J. Hutchings, Solid Acid Additives as Recoverable Promoters for the Direct Synthesis of Hydrogen Peroxide, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.*, **2017**, 56, 13287-13293.
- [27] P. Biasi, J.-P. Mikkola, S. Sterchele, T. Salmi, N. Gemo, A. Shchukarev, P. Centomo, M. Zecca, P. Canu, A.-R. Rautio, K. Kordàs, Revealing the role of bromide in the H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> direct synthesis with the catalyst wet pretreatment method (CWPM), *AIChE J.*, **2017**, 63, 32-42.
- [28] G. Gallina, J. García-Serna, T.O. Salmi, P. Canu, P. Biasi, Bromide and Acids: A Comprehensive Study on Their Role on the Hydrogen Peroxide Direct Synthesis, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.*, **2017**, 56, 13367-13378.
- [29] J. Li, T. Ishihara, K. Yoshizawa, Theoretical Revisit of the Direct Synthesis of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> on Pd and Au@Pd Surfaces: A Comprehensive Mechanistic Study, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, **2011** 115, 25359-25367.
- [30] A. Staykov, T. Kamachi, T. Ishihara, K. Yoshizawa, Theoretical study of the direct synthesis of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> on Pd and Pd/Au surfaces, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, **2008**, 112, 19501-19505.
- [31] A.V. Beletskaya, D.A. Pichugina, A.F. Shestakov, N.E. Kuz'menko, Formation of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> on Au<sub>20</sub> and Au<sub>19</sub>Pd Clusters: Understanding the Structure Effect on the Atomic Level, *The J. Phys. Chem. A*, **2013**, 117, 6817-6826.
- [32] P. Landon, P.J. Collier, A.J. Papworth, C.J. Kiely, G.J. Hutchings, Direct formation of hydrogen peroxide from H<sub>2</sub>/O<sub>2</sub> using a gold catalyst, *Chem. Commun.*, **2002**, 2058-2059.
- [33] M. Sankar, Q. He, M. Morad, J. Pritchard, S.J. Freakley, J.K. Edwards, S.H. Taylor, D.J. Morgan, A.F. Carley, D.W. Knight, C.J. Kiely, G.J. Hutchings, Synthesis of stable ligand-free gold-palladium nanoparticles using a simple excess anion method, *ACS Nano*, **2012**, 6, 6600-6613.
- [34] J. Edwards, B. Solsona, P. Landon, A. Carley, A. Herzing, C. Kiely, G. Hutchings, Direct synthesis of hydrogen peroxide from H<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>2</sub> using TiO<sub>2</sub>-supported Au–Pd catalysts, *J. Catal.*, **2005**, 236, 69-79.
- [35] D.A. Crole, S.J. Freakley, J.K. Edwards, G.J. Hutchings, Direct synthesis of hydrogen peroxide in water at ambient temperature, *Proc. R. Soc. A*, **2016**, 20160156.
- [36] J. Pritchard, L. Kesavan, M. Piccinini, Q. He, R. Tiruvalam, N. Dimitratos, J.A. Lopez-Sanchez, A.F. Carley, J.K. Edwards, C.J. Kiely, G.J. Hutchings, Direct Synthesis of Hydrogen Peroxide and Benzyl Alcohol Oxidation Using Au-Pd Catalysts Prepared by Sol Immobilization, *Langmuir*, **2010**, 26, 16568-16577.
- [37] E.N. Ntainjua, M. Piccinini, S.J. Freakley, J.C. Pritchard, J.K. Edwards, A.F. Carley, G.J. Hutchings, Direct synthesis of hydrogen peroxide using Au–Pd-exchanged and supported heteropolyacid catalysts at ambient temperature using water as solvent, *Green Chem.*, **2012**, 14, 170.
- [38] Q. Liu, J.C. Bauer, R.E. Schaak, J.H. Lunsford, Direct synthesis of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> from H<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>2</sub> over Pd–Pt/SiO<sub>2</sub> bimetallic catalysts in a H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>/ethanol system, *Appl. Catal., A*, **2008**, 339, 130-136.
- [39] Q. Liu, J.H. Lunsford, Controlling factors in the direct formation of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> from H<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>2</sub> over a Pd/SiO<sub>2</sub> catalyst in ethanol, *Appl. Catal., A*, **2006**, 314, 94-100.
- [40] S. Melada, F. Pinna, G. Strukul, S. Perathoner, G. Centi, Direct synthesis of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> on monometallic and bimetallic catalytic membranes using methanol as reaction medium, *J. Catal.*, **2006**, 237, 213-219.

- [41] S.J. Freakley, M. Piccinini, J.K. Edwards, E.N. Ntainjua, J.A. Moulijn, G.J. Hutchings, Effect of Reaction Conditions on the Direct Synthesis of Hydrogen Peroxide with a AuPd/TiO<sub>2</sub> Catalyst in a Flow Reactor, *ACS Catal.*, **2013**, 3, 487-501.
- [42] V. Paunovic, V. V. Ordonsky, V. L. Sushkevich, J. C. Schouten, T. A. Nijhuis, Direct synthesis of hydrogen peroxide over Au-Pd catalyst – the effect of co-solvent addition, *ChemCatChem*, **2015**, 7, 1161-1176.
- [43] N.M. Wilson, D.W. Flaherty, Mechanism for the Direct Synthesis of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> on Pd Clusters: Heterolytic Reaction Pathways at the Liquid–Solid Interface, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **2016**, 138, 574-586.
- [44] J.K. Edwards, B. Solsona, E.N. Ntainjua, A.F. Carley, A.A. Herzing, C.J. Kiely, G.J. Hutchings, Switching off hydrogen peroxide hydrogenation in the direct synthesis process, *Science*, **2009**, 323, 1037-1041.
- [45] N. Mizuno, M. Misono, Heteropolyacid catalysts, *Curr. Opin. Solid State Mater. Sci.*, **1997**, 2, 84-89.
- [46] V.R. Choudhary, C. Samanta, T.V. Choudhary, Factors influencing decomposition of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> over supported Pd catalyst in aqueous medium, *J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem.*, **2006**, 260, 115-120.
- [47] V.R. Choudhary, C. Samanta, P. Jana, Formation from direct oxidation of H<sub>2</sub> and destruction by decomposition/hydrogenation of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> over Pd/C catalyst in aqueous medium containing different acids and halide anions, *Appl. Catal., A*, **2007**, 317, 234-243.
- [48] Z. K. Lopez-Castillo, S. N. V. K. Aki, M. A. Stadtherr, J. F. Brennecke, Enhanced solubility of hydrogen in CO<sub>2</sub>-expanded liquids, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.*, **2008**, 47, 3, 570-576.
- [49] P.G. Jessop, B. Subramaniam, Gas-expanded liquids, *Chem. Rev.*, **2007**, 107, 6, 2666-2694.
- [50] H. Li, B. Zheng, Z. Pan, B. Zong, M. Qiao, Advances in the slurry reactor technology of the anthraquinone process for H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> production Front, *Chem. Sci. Eng.* **2018**, 12, 124-131.
- [51] J.K. Edwards, A.F. Carley, A.A. Herzing, C.J. Kiely, G.J. Hutchings, Direct synthesis of hydrogen peroxide from H<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>2</sub> using supported Au–Pd catalysts, *Faraday Discuss.*, **2008**, 138, 225.
- [52] S. Kanungo, L. van Haandel, E.J.M. Hensen, J.C. Schouten, M.F. Neira d'Angelo, Direct synthesis of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> in AuPd coated micro channels: An in-situ X-Ray absorption spectroscopic study, *J. Catal.*, **2019**, 370, 200-209.
- [53] J.C. Pritchard, Q. He, E.N. Ntainjua, M. Piccinini, J.K. Edwards, A.A. Herzing, A.F. Carley, J.A. Moulijn, C.J. Kiely, G.J. Hutchings, The effect of catalyst preparation method on the performance of supported Au-Pd catalysts for the direct synthesis of hydrogen peroxide, *Green Chem.*, **2010**, 12, 915-921.
- [54] P. Tian, L. Ouyang, X. Xu, C. Ao, X. Xu, R. Si, X. Shen, M. Lin, J. Xu, Y.-F. Han, The origin of palladium particle size effects in the direct synthesis of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>: Is smaller better?, *J. Catal.*, **2017**, 349 (2017) 30-40.
- [55] R. J. Lewis, K. Ueura, Y. Fukuta, S.J. Freakley, L. Kang, R. Wang, Q. He, J.K. Edwards, D.J. Morgan, Y. Yamamoto, G.J. Hutchings, The Direct Synthesis of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> Using TS-1 Supported Catalysts, *ChemCatChem*, **2019**, 11, 1673-1680
- [56] R. Burch, P.R. Ellis, An investigation of alternative catalytic approaches for the direct synthesis of hydrogen peroxide from hydrogen and oxygen, *Appl. Catal., B*, **2003**, 42, 203-211.
- [57] Q. Liu, K.K. Gath, J.C. Bauer, R.E. Schaak, J.H. Lunsford, The Active Phase in the Direct Synthesis of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> from H<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>2</sub> over Pd/SiO<sub>2</sub> Catalyst in a H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>/Ethanol System, *Catal. Lett.*, **2009**, 132, 342.
- [58] D.W. Flaherty, Direct Synthesis of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> from H<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>2</sub> on Pd Catalysts: Current Understanding, Outstanding Questions, and Research Needs, *ACS Catal.*, **2018**, 8, 1520-1527.
- [59] N.M. Wilson, P. Priyadarshini, S. Kunz, D.W. Flaherty, Direct synthesis of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> on Pd and AuPd<sub>1</sub> clusters: Understanding the effects of alloying Pd with Au, *J. Catal.*, **2018**, 357, 163-175.

[60] S. Han, C.B. Mullins, Surface Alloy Composition Controlled O<sub>2</sub> Activation on Pd–Au Bimetallic Model Catalysts, *ACS Catal.*, **2018**, 8, 3641-3649.

### Table of Contents Graphic

