

This is an Open Access document downloaded from ORCA, Cardiff University's institutional repository: <https://orca.cardiff.ac.uk/id/eprint/123851/>

This is the author's version of a work that was submitted to / accepted for publication.

Citation for final published version:

Santos Hernandez, Alba, Lewis, Richard James, Malta, Grazia, Howe, Alexander, Morgan, David John , Hampton, Euan, Gaskin, Paul and Hutchings, Graham J. 2019. The direct synthesis of hydrogen peroxide over Au-Pd supported nanoparticles under ambient conditions. *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research* 58 (28) , pp. 12623-12631. 10.1021/acs.iecr.9b02211

Publishers page: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.iecr.9b02211>

Please note:

Changes made as a result of publishing processes such as copy-editing, formatting and page numbers may not be reflected in this version. For the definitive version of this publication, please refer to the published source. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite this paper.

This version is being made available in accordance with publisher policies. See <http://orca.cf.ac.uk/policies.html> for usage policies. Copyright and moral rights for publications made available in ORCA are retained by the copyright holders.



The direct synthesis of hydrogen peroxide over Au-Pd supported nanoparticles under ambient conditions.

Alba Santos,^[a] Richard J. Lewis,^[a] Grazia Malta,^[a] Alexander G. R. Howe,^[a] David J. Morgan,^[a] Euan Hampton,^[b] Paul Gaskin^[b] and Graham J. Hutchings^{*[a]}

^aCardiff Catalysis Institute, School of Chemistry, Cardiff University, Main Building, Park Place, Cardiff, CF10 3AT, United Kingdom.

^bDŵr Cymru Welsh Water, Pentwyn Road, Nelson, Treharris, CF46 6LY, United Kingdom.

* Hutch@cardiff.ac.uk

Abstract.

The direct synthesis of hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2) from molecular H_2 and O_2 over Au-Pd / TiO_2 catalysts prepared using a modified impregnation methodology is studied. Initially we investigated the role of Au: Pd ratio on the catalytic activity towards H_2O_2 formation and its subsequent degradation under conditions that have previously been found to be optimal for the formation of H_2O_2 using high pressure reactants and low temperatures. Subsequently, we have conducted a detailed study of H_2O_2 synthesis under ambient conditions which are both more challenging for achieving high yields of H_2O_2 and are more likely to be used for future industrial applications.

Introduction.

Hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2) is a highly versatile, environmentally friendly oxidant, with the only by-product of its application being water. The primary application of H_2O_2 is found in the paper and textile industries,[1, 2] where it is used as a bleaching agent and in the production of bulk chemicals, with typical applications found in the integrated hydrogen peroxide to propylene oxide (HPPO) process,[3, 4] the ammoximation of cyclohexanone to the corresponding oxime, a key intermediate in the production of Nylon-6[5-7], and the production of KA oil, a mix of cyclohexanone and cyclohexanol, from cyclohexane.[8, 9] Furthermore, H_2O_2 is finding increasing application in water treatment, superseding chlorine containing oxidants, primarily due to increasing environmental protection legislation.[10, 11] The ability of H_2O_2 to destroy chemicals found in waste streams such as thiocyanate, nitrate and hypochlorite is well known.[12, 13] In recent years increasing focus has been placed on the treatment of organic pollutants from wastewater streams with numerous studies reporting the efficacy of H_2O_2 used in conjunction with Fenton's reagent,[14-18] with the photo-Fenton process, in particular, representing an interesting alternative approach.[19-21]

Annual global production of H_2O_2 is growing at a rate of approximately 4 % year on year with demand forecast to exceed 5 million tons per annum by 2020.[22] Currently, production of H_2O_2 on an industrial scale is dominated by the well-established anthraquinone oxidation (AO), also known as in-direct process, which accounts for more than 95 % of the global H_2O_2 production. Although the AO process is highly efficient, there are some concerns regarding its carbon efficiency, with the unselective hydrogenation of the anthraquinone H_2 -carrier molecule requiring its continual replacement.

The direct synthesis of H_2O_2 from molecular H_2 and O_2 represents an attractive alternative to the AO process, offering a more atomically efficient route to H_2O_2 and allowing for the potential production of H_2O_2 at the point of final use. Although supported Pd catalyst are highly active towards the direct synthesis of H_2O_2 , they often display poor selectivity, with high rates of H_2O_2 degradation, through hydrogenation and decomposition pathways. To inhibit these sequential, undesirable, reactions, halide and acidic stabilisers are often utilised,[23-26] which lead to additional costs associated with reactor corrosion and the removal of these stabilising agents downstream. However, it has been well reported that through combining Au with Pd it is possible to significantly enhance catalytic activity and selectivity towards H_2O_2 in the absence of strong acid and halide stabilising agents.[27, 28] The synergistic enhancement achieved through the addition of Au to Pd, although still not fully understood, has been well reported in the literature [29-31] since it was first observed by Landon et al.[32] There is wide debate over the cause of this synergistic enhancement, with electronic, structural and isolation effects, or a combination of these, all potential causes for the enhanced selectivity of Au-Pd catalysts. It

is likely that in many cases the observed synergy is a combination of these factors. To date these supported AuPd catalysts have most often been studied using low reaction temperatures with high pressure gases and a water/alcohol co-solvent mixture. Typically CO₂ has been the diluent of choice to ensure mixtures of H₂ and O₂ do not enter the explosive region, with the explosive region of H₂/O₂ mixtures known to be much narrower when using CO₂ as a diluent compared to other inert gases. Furthermore the use of CO₂ provides the additional benefit of increasing H₂O₂ stability through the formation of carbonic acid in-situ. However, the use of other diluent gases, such as argon and nitrogen, are also common, with nitrogen in particular often used due to lower associated costs. [12] Although these conditions are ideal for the direct synthesis of H₂O₂ they are likely to be undesirable on an industrial scale due to the associated increased financial costs. As such, we have investigated the effect of key reaction parameters on catalytic activity and selectivity towards H₂O₂ over a series of 1 %AuPd/TiO₂ catalysts, prepared via a modified impregnation methodology, starting with those conditions previously optimised and moving towards those more likely to be more suitable for future industrial application. Sankar et al.[33] have previously extensively studied a modified impregnation methodology for the preparation of AuPd/TiO₂ catalysts demonstrating an enhanced catalytic performance in the direct synthesis of H₂O₂, with H₂O₂ synthesis rates found to be four times greater than an analogous catalyst prepared by a conventional impregnation methodology. This enhancement has been ascribed to a significant decrease in mean particle size and improved Au dispersion and formation of AuPd alloyed nanoparticles. With such excellent rates of H₂O₂ synthesis compared to conventional impregnation analogues we believe they are an appropriate choice for further study.

Experimental.

Catalyst Preparation.

1 %Au, Pd and Au-Pd/TiO₂ catalysts have been prepared (on a weight basis) by a modified impregnation procedure, based on methodology previously reported in the literature[33] and have been shown to result in enhanced dispersion of Au in particular when compared to conventional impregnation procedures. The procedure to produce 0.5%Au–0.5%Pd/TiO₂ (2 g) is outlined below, with a similar methodology utilised for mono- and bi-metallic catalysts, of varying Au: Pd ratio.

Aqueous acidified PdCl₂ solution (1.667 mL, 0.58 M HCl, 6 mg mL⁻¹, Sigma Aldrich) and aqueous HAuCl₄.3H₂O solution (0.8263 mL, 12.25 mg mL⁻¹, Strem Chemicals) were mixed in a 50 mL round bottom flask and heated to 60 °C with stirring (1000 rpm) in a thermostatically controlled oil bath, with total volume fixed to 16 mL using H₂O (HPLC grade). Upon reaching

65 °C, TiO₂ (1.98 g, Degussa, P25) was added over the course of 5 minutes with constant stirring. The resulting slurry was stirred at 60 °C for a further 15 min, following this the temperature was raised to 95 °C for 16 h to allow for complete evaporation of water. The resulting solid was ground prior to heat treatment in a reductive atmosphere (flowing 5 % H₂ / Ar, 400 °C, 4h, 10 °C min⁻¹).

Direct synthesis of H₂O₂.

Hydrogen peroxide synthesis was evaluated using a Parr Instruments stainless steel autoclave with a nominal volume of 100 mL, equipped with a PTFE liner so that total volume is reduced to 66 mL, and a maximum working pressure of 14 MPa. To test each catalyst for H₂O₂ synthesis, the autoclave liner was charged with catalyst (0.01 g) and solvent (5.6 g methanol and 2.9 g H₂O). The charged autoclave was then purged three times with 5% H₂ / CO₂ (0.7 MPa) before filling with 5% H₂ / CO₂ to a pressure of 2.9 MPa, followed by the addition of 25 % O₂ / CO₂ (1.1 MPa). Pressure of 5% H₂ / CO₂ and 25 % O₂ / CO₂ are given as gauge pressures. The reaction was conducted at a temperature of 2 °C, for 0.5 h with stirring (1200 rpm). The above reaction parameters are based on optimum conditions we have previously used for the synthesis of H₂O₂.^[34] H₂O₂ productivity was determined by titrating aliquots of the final solution after reaction with acidified Ce(SO₄)₂ (0.0085 M) in the presence of ferroin indicator. Catalyst productivities are reported as mol_{H₂O₂}kg_{cat}⁻¹h⁻¹.

Catalyst activity towards H₂O₂ synthesis was also evaluated under conditions considered more unfavourable towards H₂O₂ formation. These conditions were identical to those outlined above but using water as reaction medium, N₂ as diluent for H₂ (5% H₂/N₂) and O₂ (25% O₂/N₂) and a reaction temperature of 25 °C. Reactor temperature was controlled using a HAAKE K50 bath/circulator using an appropriate coolant.

Catalytic conversion of H₂ and selectivity towards H₂O₂ were determined using a Varian 3800 GC fitted with TCD and equipped with a Porapak Q column.

H₂ conversion (Equation 1) and H₂O₂ selectivity (Equation 2) are defined as follows:

$$\text{H}_2 \text{ Conversion (\%)} = \frac{\text{mmol}_{\text{H}_2}(t(0)) - \text{mmol}_{\text{H}_2}(t(1))}{\text{mmol}_{\text{H}_2}(t(0))} \times 100 \text{ (eq 1)}$$

$$\text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \text{ Selectivity (\%)} = \frac{\text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \text{ detected (mmol)}}{\text{H}_2 \text{ consumed (mmol)}} \times 100 \text{ (eq 2)}$$

Total autoclave capacity was determined via water displacement to allow for accurate determination of H₂ conversion and H₂O₂ selectivity. When equipped with PTFE liner (reducing nominal volume to 66 mL) the total volume of an unfilled autoclave was determined to be 93 mL, which includes all available gaseous space within the autoclave.

Degradation of H₂O₂.

Catalytic activity towards H₂O₂ degradation was determined in a similar manner to the direct synthesis activity of a catalyst. The autoclave liner was charged with methanol (5.6 g), H₂O₂ (50 wt. % 0.69 g), HPLC standard H₂O (2.21 g) and catalyst (0.01 g), with the solvent composition equivalent to a 4 wt. % H₂O₂ solution. From the solution 2 aliquots of 0.05 g were removed and titrated with acidified Ce(SO₄)₂ solution using ferroin as an indicator to determine an accurate concentration of H₂O₂ at the start of the reaction. The autoclave was pressurised with 2.9 MPa 5 % H₂/ CO₂ (gauge pressure). The reaction was conducted at a temperature of 2 °C, for 0.5 h with stirring (1200 rpm). After the reaction was complete the catalyst was removed from the reaction mixture and two aliquots of 0.05 g were titrated against the acidified Ce(SO₄)₂ solution using ferroin as an indicator. The degradation activity is reported as mol_{H₂O₂}kg_{cat}⁻¹h⁻¹.

Catalyst activity towards H₂O₂ degradation was also evaluated under reaction conditions considered more unfavourable towards H₂O₂ formation. These conditions were identical to those outlined above but using only water as reaction medium, N₂ as diluent for H₂ (5 % H₂/N₂) and a reaction temperature of 25 ° C.

Catalyst Characterisation.

X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) analyses were made on a Kratos Axis Ultra DLD spectrometer. Samples were mounted using double-sided adhesive tape and binding energies were referenced to the C (1s) binding energy of adventitious carbon contamination that was taken to be 284.7 eV. Monochromatic AlK_α radiation was used for all measurements; an analyser pass energy of 160 eV was used for survey scans while 40 eV was employed for detailed regional scans. The intensities of the Au (4f) and Pd (3d) features were used to derive the Au : Pd and Pd(II) : Pd(0) surface ratios.

The bulk structure of the catalysts was determined by powder X-ray diffraction using a (θ-θ) PANalytical X'pert Pro powder diffractometer using a Cu K_α radiation source, operating at 40 KeV and 40mA. Standard analysis was carried out using a 40 min run with a back filled sample, between 2θ values of 10 – 80°. Phase identification was carried out using the International Centre for Diffraction Data (ICDD).

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) was performed on a JEOL JEM-2100 operating at 200 kV. Samples were prepared by dispersion in ethanol by sonication and deposited on 300 mesh copper grids coated with holey carbon film. Energy dispersive X-ray analysis (EDX) was performed using an Oxford Instruments X-Max^N 80 detector and the data analysed using the Aztec software.


Total metal loading and metal leaching from catalyst supported was quantified using microwave plasma - atomic emission spectroscopy (MP-AES). Fresh catalysts were digested (25 mg catalyst, 2.5 ml aqua-regia, 24 h) prior to analysis using an Agilent 4100 MP-AES, while post reaction solutions were also analysed after filtration of the solid material. Metal concentrations were determined by response at two characteristic emissions wavelengths for Au (242.8 nm, 267.6 nm) and Pd (340.5 nm, 363.5 nm) and the resultant concentrations averaged. The concentration response of Au and Pd were calibrated using commercial reference standards (Agilent), in all cases $r^2 > 0.999$.

Results and Discussion.

Our initial studies, under conditions previously optimised for H₂O₂ synthesis,[35] investigated the effect of Au: Pd ratio (comparison of theoretical and actual metal loadings see in Table S.1) on catalytic activity towards both the synthesis of H₂O₂ and its subsequent degradation over catalysts prepared using a modified impregnation methodology (Figure 1). We observe that an enhancement in catalytic activity can be achieved through the combination of Au and Pd with H₂O₂ synthesis rates of the 0.75 %Au-0.25 %Pd / TiO₂ catalyst (89 mol_{H₂O₂}Kg_{cat}⁻¹h⁻¹) greater than that of either monometallic 1 %Au/TiO₂ (4 mol_{H₂O₂}Kg_{cat}⁻¹h⁻¹) or 1 %Pd/TiO₂ (80 mol_{H₂O₂}Kg_{cat}⁻¹h⁻¹) catalysts. These findings are consistent with our previous investigations into the effect of Au: Pd ratio over catalysts produced via sol-immobilisation, suggesting that the synergistic effect between Au and Pd is independent of preparation method.[36] Further addition of Pd yields minimal variation in the rates of H₂O₂ synthesis relative to that of the 0.75 %Au-0.25 %Pd / TiO₂ catalyst but rates of H₂O₂ degradation increases significantly, with H₂O₂ degradation activity of the 0.25 %Au-0.75 %Pd / TiO₂ catalyst in excess of ten times that observed for the 0.75 %Au-0.25 %Pd / TiO₂catalyst.

Previous work by Edwards et al. has shown that for catalysts prepared by a conventional wet-impregnation methodology, H₂O₂ synthesis rates are greatest when prepared with a Au :Pd ratio of 1 : 1 by weight, with either Pd-rich or lean compositions resulting in significantly decreased H₂O₂ yields.[34] In comparison, the catalysts prepared by modified impregnation as shown in Figure 1 exhibit minimal variation in H₂O₂ synthesis activity between a Pd content of 25 and 75 % of total metal loading, suggesting that the relationship between catalyst composition and activity is sensitive to catalyst preparation parameters.

Figure 1. Catalytic activity of 1%AuPd/TiO₂ towards H₂O₂ synthesis and its subsequent degradation as a function of Pd content at 2 °C.




H₂O₂ direct synthesis reaction conditions: Catalyst (0.01g), H₂O (2.9g), MeOH (5.6g), 5% H₂ / CO₂ (420 psi), 25% O₂ / CO₂ (160 psi), 0.5 h, 2 °C 1200 rpm.

H₂O₂ degradation reaction conditions: Catalyst (0.01g), H₂O₂ (50 wt.% 0.68 g) H₂O (2.22g), MeOH (5.6g), 5% H₂ / CO₂ (420 psi), 0.5 h, 2 °C 1200 rpm.

The majority of research into AuPd supported catalysts for the direct synthesis of H₂O₂ has focussed on the use of sub-ambient temperatures, known to both promote H₂O₂ stability through inhibition of the undesirable decomposition pathway.[35] However, the costs associated with the use of sub-ambient temperatures are likely to prohibit its application in the direct synthesis of H₂O₂ on an industrial scale. As such, we next chose to investigate the effect of the Au: Pd ratio on catalytic activity towards H₂O₂ synthesis and subsequent degradation at a temperature of 25 °C (Figure 2). As expected, given the low stability of H₂O₂ even at mild temperatures, rates of H₂O₂ degradation increase significantly for all catalysts, with the exception of the 1%Au/TiO₂ catalyst which was observed to offer rates of H₂O₂ synthesis (3 mol_{H₂O₂}kg_{cat}⁻¹h⁻¹) and degradation (24 mol_{H₂O₂}kg_{cat}⁻¹h⁻¹) near identical to that observed at sub-ambient temperatures. Again, as under our standard reaction conditions, rates of H₂O₂ degradation correlate well with Pd content, with degradation rates of 1 %Pd / TiO₂ (743 mol_{H₂O₂}kg_{cat}⁻¹h⁻¹) over twice that of the 0.75 %Au-0.25 %Pd / TiO₂ catalyst (297 mol_{H₂O₂}kg_{cat}⁻¹h⁻¹). Interestingly H₂O₂ synthesis rates of 0.75 %Au-0.25 %Pd / TiO₂ (69 mol_{H₂O₂}kg_{cat}⁻¹h⁻¹) and 0.5 %Au-0.5 %Pd / TiO₂ (75 mol_{H₂O₂}kg_{cat}⁻¹h⁻¹) bimetallic catalysts are similar to those observed

under sub-ambient reaction temperatures, despite the significant increase in H₂O₂ degradation rates, indicating that these catalysts are tolerant to the use of ambient reaction temperature. The observation that rates of H₂O₂ synthesis are maintained to a greater extent over Au-rich catalysts highlights the key role Au plays in achieving high catalytic performance.

Figure 2. Catalytic activity of 1%AuPd/TiO₂ towards H₂O₂ synthesis and its subsequent degradation as a function of Pd content at 25 °C, in a water/methanol reaction medium.




H₂O₂ direct synthesis reaction conditions: Catalyst (0.01g), H₂O (2.9g), MeOH (5.6g), 5% H₂ / CO₂ (420 psi), 25% O₂ / CO₂ (160 psi), 0.5 h, 25 °C 1200 rpm.

H₂O₂ degradation reaction conditions: Catalyst (0.01g), H₂O₂ (50 wt.% 0.68 g) H₂O (2.22g), MeOH (5.6g), 5% H₂ / CO₂ (420 psi), 0.5 h, 25 °C 1200 rpm.

A number of different solvents have been studied for the direct synthesis of H₂O₂, including water,[37] ethanol[38, 39] and methanol.[40] Water is most often utilised due to its non-toxic, non-flammable nature, with the low solubility of reaction gases in water often overcome through the use of an alcohol co-solvent, resulting in improved mass transfer of reactants and in turn increased rates of H₂O₂ production.[39, 41] With Paunovic et al. providing a comprehensive study on the choice of co-solvent.[42] In particular, methanol has been widely studied as a co-solvent, due to increased reactant solubility but also as it results in the suppression of H₂O₂ decomposition.[35] Furthermore, the key role of the solvent has been recently elucidated by Wilson and Flaherty, with the presence of protic solvents, such as water and methanol shown to be key to H₂O₂ production.[43] Whilst the use of alcohol/water solvent mixtures has been shown to lead to increased H₂O₂ synthesis rates and indeed may be appropriate for a number of industrially relevant applications, most industrial applications require H₂O₂ solutions diluted in water. In particular, the use of H₂O₂ as a bleaching agent for pulp and textiles favours the use of H₂O₂ diluted in water, in part due to the additional costs

associated with the separation of methanol from the product streams. Therefore, we have investigated the effect of carrying out H_2O_2 synthesis and degradation reactions in H_2O -only at a temperature of 25 °C (Figure 3). As reported previously the 1 %Au/ TiO_2 catalyst was observed to offer H_2O_2 synthesis and degradation activities almost identical to those observed under our standard reaction conditions, which are more conducive to H_2O_2 production. Despite the relative invariance of the 1 %Au/ TiO_2 catalyst towards changes in reaction conditions, the H_2O_2 synthesis productivity of the monometallic catalyst is an order of magnitude lower than the bimetallic Au-Pd catalysts, highlighting the benefit combining Au with Pd. For all other catalysts, H_2O_2 synthesis rates were significantly lower under these conditions compared to those observed using a H_2O /methanol solvent system at the same reaction temperature and this can be attributed to a combination of decreased solubility of H_2 in water and the ability of methanol to enhance H_2O_2 stability. Interestingly, under these conditions H_2O_2 degradation rates of the 0.75 %Au-0.25 %Pd / TiO_2 catalyst ($326 \text{ mol}_{\text{H}_2\text{O}_2}\text{kg}_{\text{cat}}^{-1}\text{h}^{-1}$) are comparable to that observed in the presence of a water/methanol solvent ($297 \text{ mol}_{\text{H}_2\text{O}_2}\text{kg}_{\text{cat}}^{-1}\text{h}^{-1}$) again clearly highlighting the importance of Au in maintaining catalytic selectivity towards H_2O_2 . It should be noted that under these reaction conditions all Pd containing catalysts offer H_2O_2 synthesis rates significantly greater than that previously reported for the nitric acid washed 2.5%Au-2.5%Pd / C catalyst ($4 \text{ mol}_{\text{H}_2\text{O}_2}\text{kg}_{\text{cat}}^{-1}\text{h}^{-1}$)[37] which we have observed to be completely selective towards H_2O_2 under our standard reaction conditions.[44] Indeed, the H_2O_2 synthesis activity of the 0.5%Au-0.5 %Pd/ TiO_2 catalyst ($28 \text{ mol}_{\text{H}_2\text{O}_2}\text{kg}_{\text{cat}}^{-1}\text{h}^{-1}$) is seven times that of the nitric acid washed 2.5%Au-2.5%Pd/C catalyst, despite a much lower metal loading.

Figure 3. Catalytic activity of 1%AuPd/TiO₂ towards H₂O₂ synthesis and its subsequent degradation as a function of Pd content, at 25 °C in a water only reaction medium.



H₂O₂ direct synthesis reaction conditions: Catalyst (0.01g), H₂O (8.5g), 5% H₂ / CO₂ (420 psi), 25% O₂ / CO₂ (160 psi), 0.5 h, 25 °C 1200 rpm.


H₂O₂ degradation reaction conditions: Catalyst (0.01g), H₂O₂ (50 wt.% 0.68 g) H₂O (7.82g), 5% H₂ / CO₂ (420 psi), 0.5 h, 25 °C 1200 rpm.

Such unfavourable reactions conditions have been previously explored by Crole et al., who report that a 2.5%Au-2.5%Pd/TiO₂ catalyst prepared by conventional impregnation exhibited a H₂O₂ synthesis activity of 24 mol_{H₂O₂}kg_{cat}⁻¹h⁻¹.^[45] The analogous modified impregnation prepared catalyst with equal amount of Au and Pd (on a weight basis) presented in this work show comparable H₂O₂ synthesis activity (28 mol_{H₂O₂}kg_{cat}⁻¹h⁻¹) despite containing significantly less metal, and as a result, are considerably more active on a per metal basis.

The wide range over which H₂/O₂ gas mixture is explosive (5-95 v/v% for H₂ in O₂) necessitate the use of 'inert' diluent gases to operate below the lower explosive limit. Often CO₂ has been chosen as a diluent for H₂/O₂ gas mixtures due in part to the acidification effect of CO₂ on the reaction solvent, forming carbonic acid in-situ. It is well known that H₂O₂ stability is improved through the use of acidic conditions, through inhibition of the H₂O₂ decomposition pathway.^[46, 47] Furthermore, the presence of CO₂ has been shown to lead to an increase in H₂ solubility in a range of organic solvents including methanol.^[48] However, a similar enhancement is not observed in water only systems.^[49] The increased costs associated with using CO₂ as a gaseous diluent is, however, likely to preclude its application on an industrial scale. We therefore investigated the effect of replacing the CO₂ with a less expensive diluent, N₂, for the direct synthesis of H₂O₂ and its subsequent degradation (Figure 4). As expected, catalytic selectivity towards H₂O₂ decreases, while the degradation rates greatly increase. In

particular, the degradation activity of the 0.5%Au-0.5%Pd/TiO₂ catalyst (1019 mol_{H₂O₂}kg_{cat}⁻¹h⁻¹) doubled with the use of N₂ in comparison to that observed in the presence of CO₂ (517 mol_{H₂O₂}kg_{cat}⁻¹h⁻¹), which was accompanied by a corresponding decrease in H₂O₂ synthesis rates (13 mol_{H₂O₂}kg_{cat}⁻¹h⁻¹). As for the other reaction conditions presented in this work the presence of high Au content to maintain catalytic performance under such unfavourable conditions, with the 0.75%Au-0.25%Pd/TiO₂ catalyst observed to offer the greatest rates of H₂O₂ synthesis (16 mol_{H₂O₂}kg_{cat}⁻¹h⁻¹) when using H₂O as the solvent and N₂ as reaction gas diluent.

Figure 4. Catalytic activity of 1%AuPd/TiO₂ towards H₂O₂ synthesis and its subsequent degradation as a function of Au:Pd ratio, at 20 °C, water only reaction medium and N₂ as reaction gas diluent.



H₂O₂ direct synthesis reaction conditions: Catalyst (0.01g), H₂O (8.5g), 5% H₂ / N₂ (420 psi), 25% O₂ / N₂ (160 psi), 0.5 h, 25 °C 1200 rpm.

H₂O₂ degradation reaction conditions: Catalyst (0.01g), H₂O₂ (50 wt.% 0.68 g) H₂O (7.82g), 5% H₂ / N₂ (420 psi), 0.5 h, 25 °C 1200 rpm.

Comparison of catalytic selectivity towards H₂O₂ and H₂ conversion for the 0.5%Au-0.5%Pd/TiO₂ catalyst during the H₂O₂ synthesis reactions, reveals how the choice of conditions, namely solvent, temperature and gas diluent can influence the catalytic performance (Table 1).

Perhaps most interesting is the significant increase in H₂ conversion observed with the increase in reaction temperature, with this increasing from 40 % under sub-ambient a reaction temperature of 2 °C to 56% for the analogous reaction carried out at 25 °C. This can be related to the increased solubility of H₂ in methanol with increasing temperature. The significant decrease in selectivity towards H₂O₂ upon increasing reaction temperature, from 44 % at a

reaction temperature of 2 °C to 14 % at 25 °C is attributed to the increased rate of H₂O₂ degradation as well as the low stability of H₂O₂.

Furthermore, the role of the gaseous diluent is clearly highlighted, with catalytic selectivity towards H₂O₂ and H₂O₂ concentration decreasing significantly with the replacement of the gaseous diluent from CO₂ (8 %, 0.06 wt.% H₂O₂) to N₂ (3 %, 0.02 wt.% H₂O₂), although the rate of H₂ conversion remains similar. It should be noted that, even under ideal reaction conditions, H₂O₂ concentrations are significantly lower than those typically produced via the current industrial means of production. This highly efficient process is able to produce H₂O₂ concentrations of approximately 0.6-1.8 wt.%,^[50] which is then raised through numerous distillation steps to exceed 70 wt.% prior to shipping. However, typical applications utilise much lower final concentrations of H₂O₂, requiring dilution by the end user prior to use.


Table. 1. The role of reaction conditions on H₂ conversion and H₂O₂ selectivity over 0.5%Au-0.5%Pd / TiO₂.

Reaction Conditions (temp / solvent / gas diluent)	H ₂ Conversion / %	H ₂ O ₂ Selectivity / %	Productivity / mol _{H₂O₂} kg _{cat} ⁻¹ h ⁻¹	H ₂ O ₂ concentration / wt.%
2 ^o C, H ₂ O/MeOH, CO ₂	40	44	93	0.20
25 ^o C, H ₂ O/MeOH, CO ₂	56	14	75	0.15
25 ^o C, H ₂ O, CO ₂	29	8	28	0.06
25 ^o C, H ₂ O, N ₂	22	3	13	0.02

H₂O₂ direct synthesis reaction conditions: Catalyst (0.01g), solvent (8.5g), 5% H₂ / (N₂ / CO₂) (420 psi), 25% O₂ / (N₂ / CO₂) (160 psi), 0.5 h, 2-25 °C 1200 rpm.

Further comparison of catalytic activity of the 0.5%Au-0.5%Pd/TiO₂ catalyst towards H₂O₂ formation under ideal (2°C, H₂O/MeOH, CO₂) and non-ideal (25°C, H₂O, N₂) conditions can be seen in Figure 5. It is possible to observe that under both sets of reaction conditions H₂O₂ yield tends away from a linear relationship with reaction time, with this in part due to the activity of the catalyst towards H₂O₂ degradation, in particular under non-ideal reaction conditions. It is noteworthy that under both sets of reaction conditions H₂O₂ concentration continues to increase throughout the course of the reaction time studied. However, H₂O₂ concentrations produced using our optimised conditions (0.02 wt. %) are an order of magnitude greater than that observed when using non-ideal conditions (0.002 wt.%) clearly demonstrating the key role reaction conditions play in determining catalytic activity.

Figure 5. Reaction time profile for 0.5%Au-0.5%Pd/TiO₂ under (a) conditions optimised for H₂O₂ formation and (b) those more likely to be industrially applicable.




^(a)**H₂O₂ direct synthesis reaction conditions:** Catalyst (0.01g), H₂O (2.9g), MeOH (5.6g), 5% H₂ / CO₂ (420 psi), 25% O₂ / CO₂ (160 psi), 0.5 h, 2 °C 1200 rpm.

^(b)**H₂O₂ direct synthesis reaction conditions:** Catalyst (0.01g), H₂O (8.5g), 5% H₂ / N₂ (420 psi), 25% O₂ / N₂ (160 psi), 0.5 h, 25 °C 1200 rpm.

These observations, when coupled with the variation in H₂ conversion between reaction conditions optimised for H₂O₂ synthesis and those more likely to be adopted on an industrial scale (Table 1) suggest that catalytic performance is likely governed by gas solubility. In order to establish the extent that H₂ availability dictates H₂O₂ formation rate we varied H₂: O₂ ratio while maintaining total pressure, under conditions previously optimised for H₂O₂ synthesis (Figure 6). As expected we observe a clear variation in H₂O₂ synthesis activity, with the optimal H₂:O₂ ratio found to be 0.69 resulting in a marginal increase in catalytic activity (105 mol_{H₂O₂}kg_{cat}⁻¹h⁻¹) over that observed under our standard reaction conditions where H₂: O₂ is equal to 0.52 (93 mol_{H₂O₂}kg_{cat}⁻¹h⁻¹). As H₂: O₂ ratios diverge significantly from a value of 0.69 we observe a considerable decrease in H₂O₂ synthesis activity, with this attributed to a combination of limited reagent availability and an increase in H₂O₂ degradation, with the hydrogenation of H₂O₂ in particular likely responsible for H₂O₂ consumption at increased H₂: O₂ ratios. Finally it should be noted that there is little variation in CO₂ content regardless of H₂:O₂ ratio, with the formation of carbonic acid in-situ known to stabilise H₂O₂. [41] In fact the CO₂ content of the gas mixture varies by only approximately 12 % on moving from a H₂: O₂ ratio of 0.12 to 2.12. As such it is reasonable to assume that the significant loss in activity is not related to lower CO₂ content.

Figure 6. The effect of H₂: O₂ ratio on H₂O₂ concentration over 0.5%Au-0.5%Pd/TiO₂ under optimal reaction conditions.



H₂O₂ direct synthesis reaction conditions: Catalyst (0.01g), H₂O (2.9g), MeOH (5.6g), 5% H₂ / CO₂ (420 psi), 25% O₂ / CO₂ (160 psi), 0.5 h, 2 °C 1200 rpm.

Catalyst Characterisation.

In order to determine if a change in mean nanoparticle size or metal dispersion can account for the difference in catalytic selectivity towards H₂O₂, we investigated the bi- and mono-metallic AuPd catalysts by both XRD (Figure S.1) and TEM (Table 2, Figure S.2). Analysis by XRD reveals that upon impregnation of Au and Pd and after exposure to a reductive heat treatment at 400 °C no reflections associated with Au or Pd could be observed, indicating the highly dispersed nature of metal nanoparticles. The high metal dispersion is further corroborated by TEM analysis which shows a relatively tight particle size distribution, which is often difficult to achieve for AuPd catalysts prepared by conventional wet impregnation methods.[51] However, the presence of some larger nanoparticles (>10 nm) is observed, with these likely to be Au dominant in composition, as previously reported in the literature.[51-53]

It is observed that upon addition of Pd, mean particle size decreases significantly from 8.4 nm for the 1%Au / TiO₂ catalyst to 2.8 nm for the 0.5%Au-0.5%Pd/TiO₂ catalyst, which is in agreement with our previous studies.[33]. The bimetallic catalysts of varying metal composition show similar activity towards the direct synthesis of H₂O₂ under all conditions investigated despite exhibiting a discrepancy in mean particle size, which suggests that particle size alone is not responsible for the activity of the catalysts. Previous investigations

by Tian et al.[54] revealed that the catalytic performance of mono-metallic Pd catalysts remains unchanged when particle size is increased beyond 2.5 nm, which is also in agreement with our findings.[55] It should be noted that although the presence of Pd in the 1%Pd/TiO₂ catalyst has been established by EDX analysis (Figure S.3) we are unable to determine mean nanoparticle size via TEM, due to issues associated with resolution limits. As previously reported by Sankar et al.[33], analysis by EDX reveals the highly dispersed nature of Pd on the support and as such we ascribe the inability to observe Pd via TEM to be a result of small nanoparticle size, rather than the poor dispersion of Pd.

Table 2. Particle Size of 1%AuPd/TiO₂ catalysts as determined by TEM.

Catalyst	Mean Particle Size / nm (Standard Deviation)
1%Au / TiO ₂	8.4 (3.0)
0.75%Au-0.25%Pd/TiO ₂	4.8 (5.2)
0.5%Au-0.5%Pd/TiO ₂	2.8 (0.7)
0.25%Au-0.75%Pd/TiO ₂	4.9 (1.4)
1%Pd / TiO ₂	n.d

All catalysts exposed to a reductive heat treatment (4 h, 400 ° C, 10 ° Cmin⁻¹, 5%H₂/Ar).
n.d: unable to determine.

It is well known that it is possible to tailor catalytic activity and selectivity through modification of Pd²⁺ : Pd⁰, with metallic Pd widely reported to be more active towards H₂O₂ synthesis than PdO.[56, 57] Indeed, there is growing support within the literature that Pd⁰ is the true active site for H₂O₂ synthesis.[52, 58] XPS analysis (Table 3) reveals that there is minimal change in Pd²⁺ : Pd⁰ ratio upon the incorporation of Au into a 1 %Pd / TiO₂ catalyst, which correlates well with H₂O₂ synthesis rates. Although it should be noted that it was not possible to determine Pd²⁺:Pd⁰ ratio for the 0.75 %Au-0.25 %Pd/TiO₂ catalyst due to the low Pd content.

It is possible to correlate the maintenance of H₂O₂ synthesis rates of the bi-metallic AuPd catalysts with catalytic activity towards H₂O₂ degradation, which decreases significantly upon Au introduction. It is this enhancement in selectivity which is believed to be responsible for the minimal change in H₂O₂ synthesis rates observed over the supported AuPd catalysts regardless of Pd content. The ability of Au to enhance catalytic performance through incorporation into supported Pd catalysts is well known. Wilson et al. have recently observed that the greater catalytic performance of Au-rich, bi-metallic AuPd catalysts is as a result of significant electronic changes made to Pd by Au, with the activation enthalpies for both H₂O₂ formation and the hydrogenation of H₂O₂ to H₂O increasing with Au content.[59] While it is also possible that with increasing Au content there is an inhibition in the formation of contiguous Pd ensembles which have previously been attributed to lead to increased cleavage of the O-O bond present in both H₂O₂ and O₂, leading to the formation of H₂O and a loss of catalytic selectivity.[60] It is likely that both electronic and geometric modifications contribute

to the enhancement in catalytic performance observed with the addition of Au to a supported Pd catalyst.

Table 3. Elemental Surface Composition of 1%AuPd/TiO₂ catalysts as determined by XPS analysis.

Catalyst	Au: Pd	Pd ²⁺ : Pd ⁰
1%Au / TiO ₂	-	-
0.75%Au-0.25%Pd/TiO ₂	0.71	n.d.
0.5%Au-0.5%Pd/TiO ₂	0.32	0.29
0.25%Au-0.75%Pd/TiO ₂	0.15	0.30
1%Pd / TiO ₂	-	0.29

All catalysts exposed to a reductive heat treatment (4 h, 400 °C, 10⁰ Cmin⁻¹, 5%H₂/Ar).
n.d: unable to determine

Analysis of Au and Pd leaching after H₂O₂ synthesis via ICP (Table S. 2) reveals that under our standard reaction conditions and those considered to be the least conducive to H₂O₂ stability (water only solvent, 25 °C and N₂ diluent) leaching of Au and Pd is minimal. We observe no Au leaching under either set of reaction conditions, while leaching of Pd is minimal (0.2 %) and only observed under reaction conditions that are less favourable to H₂O₂ stability. The high stability of supported AuPd catalysts for the direct synthesis of H₂O₂ have been well reported in the literature when prepared by a conventional wet impregnation methodology [34, 55] and we can now report a similar high stability of catalysts produced via a modified impregnation procedure.

Conclusion.

In conclusion we have investigated the effect of key reaction conditions on the catalytic activity towards the direct synthesis and subsequent degradation of H₂O₂, over a series of stable 1%AuPd/TiO₂ catalysts prepared by a modified impregnation procedure, in a batch reactor. We highlight that the presence of Au is key in maintaining catalytic performance under conditions that are considered less conducive to H₂O₂ formation but more likely to be favoured on an industrial scale. However, selectivity is still a major concern and although we recognise the ability to better discern differences in catalytic performance under more realistic industrial conditions it is clear that further efforts on catalyst design are now required.

Acknowledgements.

The authors wish to acknowledge the financial support of and research discussion with Dŵr Cymru Welsh Water and the Cardiff University electron microscope facility for the transmission electron microscopy.

References

[1] J. Yu, D. Shao, C. Sun, C. Xu, D. Hinks. Pilot-plant investigation on low-temperature bleaching of cotton fabric with TBCC-activated peroxide system, *Cellulose*, **2017**, 24, 2647-2655.

- [2] D. Yu, M. Wu, J. Lin. Establishment of an effective activated peroxide system for low-temperature cotton bleaching using synthesized tetramido macrocyclic iron complex, *Fibers and Polym.*, **2017**, 18, 1741-1748.
- [3] M. Lin, C. Xia, B. Zhu, H. Li, X. Shu, Green and efficient epoxidation of propylene with hydrogen peroxide (HPPO process) catalyzed by hollow TS-1 zeolite: A 1.0kt/a pilot-scale study, *Chem. Eng. J.*, **2016**, 295, 370-375.
- [4] Y. Wang, H. Li, W. Liu, Y. Lin, X. Han, Z. Wang, Effect of TS-1 Treatment by Mixed Alkaline on Propylene Epoxidation, *Trans. Tianjin Univ.*, **2018**, 24, 25-31.
- [5] G. Liu, J. Wu, H.a. Luo, Ammoximation of Cyclohexanone to Cyclohexanone Oxime Catalyzed by Titanium Silicalite-1 Zeolite in Three-phase System, *Chin. J. Chem. Eng.*, **2012** 20, 889-894.
- [6] L. Xu, J. Ding, Y. Yang, P. Wu, Distinctions of hydroxylamine formation and decomposition in cyclohexanone ammoximation over microporous titanosilicates, *J. Catal.*, **2014**, 309, 1-10.
- [7] L. Dal Pozzo, G. Fornasari, T. Monti, TS-1, catalytic mechanism in cyclohexanone oxime production, *Catal. Commun.*, **2002**, 3, 369-375.
- [8] E.V. Spinace, H.O. Pastore, U. Schuchardt, Cyclohexane Oxidation Catalyzed by Titanium Silicalite (TS-1): Overoxidation and Comparison with Other Oxidation Systems, *J. Catal.*, **1995**, 157, 631-635.
- [9] P. Borah, A. Ramesh, A. Datta, Dispersion of VOPO₄·2H₂O on different supports through exfoliation and their catalytic activity for the selective oxidation of cyclohexane, *Catal. Commun.*, **2010** 12 (2010) 110-115.
- [10] A. Babuponnusami, K. Muthukumar, A review on Fenton and improvements to the Fenton process for wastewater treatment, *J. Environ. Chem. Eng.*, **2014**, 2, 557-572.
- [11] M.S. Yalfani, S. Contreras, F. Medina, J.E. Sueiras, Hydrogen substitutes for the in situ generation of H₂O₂: An application in the Fenton reaction, *J. Hazard. Mater.*, **2011**, 192, 340-346.
- [12] C. Samanta, Direct synthesis of hydrogen peroxide from hydrogen and oxygen: An overview of recent developments in the process, *Appl. Catal., A*, **2008**, 350, 33-149.
- [13] J.M. Campos-Martin, G. Blanco-Brieva, J.L. Fierro, Hydrogen peroxide synthesis: an outlook beyond the anthraquinone process, *Angew. Chem Int. Ed.*, **2006**, 45, 6962-6984.
- [14] R. Underhill R. J.Lewis, S. J. Freakley, M. Douthwaite, P. J. Miedziak, J. K. Edwards, O. Akdim, G. J. Hutchings, Oxidative Degradation of phenol using in-situ generated H₂O₂ combined with Fenton's process., *Johnson Matthey Technol. Rev.*, **2018**, 62, 417-425.
- [15] A. Georgi, M. Velasco Polo, K. Crincoli, K. Mackenzie, F.-D. Kopinke, Accelerated Catalytic Fenton Reaction with Traces of Iron: An Fe–Pd-Multicatalysis Approach, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, **2016**, 50, 5882-5891.
- [16] A. Dhakshinamoorthy, S. Navalon, M. Alvaro, H. Garcia, Metal Nanoparticles as Heterogeneous Fenton Catalysts, *ChemSusChem*, **2012**, 5, 46-64.
- [17] B. Iurascu, I. Siminiceanu, D. Vione, M.A. Vicente, A. Gil, Phenol degradation in water through a heterogeneous photo-Fenton process catalyzed by Fe-treated laponite, *Water Res.*, **2009**, 43, 1313-1322.
- [18] N. Wang, T. Zheng, G. Zhang, P. Wang, A review on Fenton-like processes for organic wastewater treatment, *J. Environ. Chem. Eng.*, **2016**, 4, 762-787.
- [19] N. De la Cruz, L. Esquius, D. Grandjean, A. Magnet, A. Tugler, L.F. de Alencastro, C. Pulgarín, Degradation of emergent contaminants by UV, UV/H₂O₂ and neutral photo-Fenton at pilot scale in a domestic wastewater treatment plant, *Water Res.*, **2013**, 47, 5836-5845.
- [20] S. Giannakis, M.I. Polo López, D. Spuhler, J.A. Sánchez Pérez, P. Fernández Ibáñez, C. Pulgarin, Solar disinfection is an augmentable, in situ-generated photo-Fenton reaction—Part 1: A review of the mechanisms and the fundamental aspects of the process, *Appl. Catal., B*, **2016**, 199, 199-223.
- [21] S. Giannakis, M. Voumard, D. Grandjean, A. Magnet, L.F. De Alencastro, C. Pulgarin, Micropollutant degradation, bacterial inactivation and regrowth risk in wastewater effluents: Influence of the secondary (pre)treatment on the efficiency of Advanced Oxidation Processes, *Water Res.*, **2016**, 102, 505-515.

- [22] M. Seo, H.J. Kim, S.S. Han, K. Lee, Direct Synthesis of Hydrogen Peroxide from Hydrogen and Oxygen Using Tailored Pd Nanocatalysts: A Review of Recent Findings, *Catal. Surv. Asia*, **2017**, 21, 1-12.
- [23] J. R. Scoville, I. A. Novicova (Cottrell Ltd.) Hydrogen peroxide disinfecting and sterilizing compositions. US 5900256, **1996**.
- [24] B. Blaser, K. H. Worms, J. Schiefer (Henkel & Cie G.m.b.H) Stabilizing agent for peroxy-compounds and their solutions, US 3122417 A, **1964**.
- [25] P. Wegner, (Wenger Paul C.), Hydrogen peroxide stabilizer and resulting product and applications, US20050065052 A1, **2003**.
- [26] R.J. Lewis, J.K. Edwards, S.J. Freakley, G.J. Hutchings, Solid Acid Additives as Recoverable Promoters for the Direct Synthesis of Hydrogen Peroxide, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.*, **2017**, 56, 13287-13293.
- [27] P. Biasi, J.-P. Mikkola, S. Sterchele, T. Salmi, N. Gemo, A. Shchukarev, P. Centomo, M. Zecca, P. Canu, A.-R. Rautio, K. Kordàs, Revealing the role of bromide in the H₂O₂ direct synthesis with the catalyst wet pretreatment method (CWPM), *AIChE J.*, **2017**, 63, 32-42.
- [28] G. Gallina, J. García-Serna, T.O. Salmi, P. Canu, P. Biasi, Bromide and Acids: A Comprehensive Study on Their Role on the Hydrogen Peroxide Direct Synthesis, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.*, **2017**, 56, 13367-13378.
- [29] J. Li, T. Ishihara, K. Yoshizawa, Theoretical Revisit of the Direct Synthesis of H₂O₂ on Pd and Au@Pd Surfaces: A Comprehensive Mechanistic Study, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, **2011** 115, 25359-25367.
- [30] A. Staykov, T. Kamachi, T. Ishihara, K. Yoshizawa, Theoretical study of the direct synthesis of H₂O₂ on Pd and Pd/Au surfaces, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, **2008**, 112, 19501-19505.
- [31] A.V. Beletskaya, D.A. Pichugina, A.F. Shestakov, N.E. Kuz'menko, Formation of H₂O₂ on Au₂₀ and Au₁₉Pd Clusters: Understanding the Structure Effect on the Atomic Level, *The J. Phys. Chem. A*, **2013**, 117, 6817-6826.
- [32] P. Landon, P.J. Collier, A.J. Papworth, C.J. Kiely, G.J. Hutchings, Direct formation of hydrogen peroxide from H₂/O₂ using a gold catalyst, *Chem. Commun.*, **2002**, 2058-2059.
- [33] M. Sankar, Q. He, M. Morad, J. Pritchard, S.J. Freakley, J.K. Edwards, S.H. Taylor, D.J. Morgan, A.F. Carley, D.W. Knight, C.J. Kiely, G.J. Hutchings, Synthesis of stable ligand-free gold-palladium nanoparticles using a simple excess anion method, *ACS Nano*, **2012**, 6, 6600-6613.
- [34] J. Edwards, B. Solsona, P. Landon, A. Carley, A. Herzing, C. Kiely, G. Hutchings, Direct synthesis of hydrogen peroxide from H₂ and O₂ using TiO₂-supported Au–Pd catalysts, *J. Catal.*, **2005**, 236, 69-79.
- [35] D.A. Crole, S.J. Freakley, J.K. Edwards, G.J. Hutchings, Direct synthesis of hydrogen peroxide in water at ambient temperature, *Proc. R. Soc. A*, **2016**, 20160156.
- [36] J. Pritchard, L. Kesavan, M. Piccinini, Q. He, R. Tiruvalam, N. Dimitratos, J.A. Lopez-Sanchez, A.F. Carley, J.K. Edwards, C.J. Kiely, G.J. Hutchings, Direct Synthesis of Hydrogen Peroxide and Benzyl Alcohol Oxidation Using Au-Pd Catalysts Prepared by Sol Immobilization, *Langmuir*, **2010**, 26, 16568-16577.
- [37] E.N. Ntainjua, M. Piccinini, S.J. Freakley, J.C. Pritchard, J.K. Edwards, A.F. Carley, G.J. Hutchings, Direct synthesis of hydrogen peroxide using Au–Pd-exchanged and supported heteropolyacid catalysts at ambient temperature using water as solvent, *Green Chem.*, **2012**, 14, 170.
- [38] Q. Liu, J.C. Bauer, R.E. Schaak, J.H. Lunsford, Direct synthesis of H₂O₂ from H₂ and O₂ over Pd–Pt/SiO₂ bimetallic catalysts in a H₂SO₄/ethanol system, *Appl. Catal., A*, **2008**, 339, 130-136.
- [39] Q. Liu, J.H. Lunsford, Controlling factors in the direct formation of H₂O₂ from H₂ and O₂ over a Pd/SiO₂ catalyst in ethanol, *Appl. Catal., A*, **2006**, 314, 94-100.
- [40] S. Melada, F. Pinna, G. Strukul, S. Perathoner, G. Centi, Direct synthesis of H₂O₂ on monometallic and bimetallic catalytic membranes using methanol as reaction medium, *J. Catal.*, **2006**, 237, 213-219.

- [41] S.J. Freakley, M. Piccinini, J.K. Edwards, E.N. Ntainjua, J.A. Moulijn, G.J. Hutchings, Effect of Reaction Conditions on the Direct Synthesis of Hydrogen Peroxide with a AuPd/TiO₂ Catalyst in a Flow Reactor, *ACS Catal.*, **2013**, 3, 487-501.
- [42] V. Paunovic, V. V. Ordonsky, V. L. Sushkevich, J. C. Schouten, T. A. Nijhuis, Direct synthesis of hydrogen peroxide over Au-Pd catalyst – the effect of co-solvent addition, *ChemCatChem*, **2015**, 7, 1161-1176.
- [43] N.M. Wilson, D.W. Flaherty, Mechanism for the Direct Synthesis of H₂O₂ on Pd Clusters: Heterolytic Reaction Pathways at the Liquid–Solid Interface, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **2016**, 138, 574-586.
- [44] J.K. Edwards, B. Solsona, E.N. Ntainjua, A.F. Carley, A.A. Herzing, C.J. Kiely, G.J. Hutchings, Switching off hydrogen peroxide hydrogenation in the direct synthesis process, *Science*, **2009**, 323, 1037-1041.
- [45] N. Mizuno, M. Misono, Heteropolyacid catalysts, *Curr. Opin. Solid State Mater. Sci.*, **1997**, 2, 84-89.
- [46] V.R. Choudhary, C. Samanta, T.V. Choudhary, Factors influencing decomposition of H₂O₂ over supported Pd catalyst in aqueous medium, *J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem.*, **2006**, 260, 115-120.
- [47] V.R. Choudhary, C. Samanta, P. Jana, Formation from direct oxidation of H₂ and destruction by decomposition/hydrogenation of H₂O₂ over Pd/C catalyst in aqueous medium containing different acids and halide anions, *Appl. Catal., A*, **2007**, 317, 234-243.
- [48] Z. K. Lopez-Castillo, S. N. V. K. Aki, M. A. Stadtherr, J. F. Brennecke, Enhanced solubility of hydrogen in CO₂-expanded liquids, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.*, **2008**, 47, 3, 570-576.
- [49] P.G. Jessop, B. Subramaniam, Gas-expanded liquids, *Chem. Rev.*, **2007**, 107, 6, 2666-2694.
- [50] H. Li, B. Zheng, Z. Pan, B. Zong, M. Qiao, Advances in the slurry reactor technology of the anthraquinone process for H₂O₂ production Front, *Chem. Sci. Eng.* **2018**, 12, 124-131.
- [51] J.K. Edwards, A.F. Carley, A.A. Herzing, C.J. Kiely, G.J. Hutchings, Direct synthesis of hydrogen peroxide from H₂ and O₂ using supported Au–Pd catalysts, *Faraday Discuss.*, **2008**, 138, 225.
- [52] S. Kanungo, L. van Haandel, E.J.M. Hensen, J.C. Schouten, M.F. Neira d'Angelo, Direct synthesis of H₂O₂ in AuPd coated micro channels: An in-situ X-Ray absorption spectroscopic study, *J. Catal.*, **2019**, 370, 200-209.
- [53] J.C. Pritchard, Q. He, E.N. Ntainjua, M. Piccinini, J.K. Edwards, A.A. Herzing, A.F. Carley, J.A. Moulijn, C.J. Kiely, G.J. Hutchings, The effect of catalyst preparation method on the performance of supported Au-Pd catalysts for the direct synthesis of hydrogen peroxide, *Green Chem.*, **2010**, 12, 915-921.
- [54] P. Tian, L. Ouyang, X. Xu, C. Ao, X. Xu, R. Si, X. Shen, M. Lin, J. Xu, Y.-F. Han, The origin of palladium particle size effects in the direct synthesis of H₂O₂: Is smaller better?, *J. Catal.*, **2017**, 349 (2017) 30-40.
- [55] R. J. Lewis, K. Ueura, Y. Fukuta, S.J. Freakley, L. Kang, R. Wang, Q. He, J.K. Edwards, D.J. Morgan, Y. Yamamoto, G.J. Hutchings, The Direct Synthesis of H₂O₂ Using TS-1 Supported Catalysts, *ChemCatChem*, **2019**, 11, 1673-1680
- [56] R. Burch, P.R. Ellis, An investigation of alternative catalytic approaches for the direct synthesis of hydrogen peroxide from hydrogen and oxygen, *Appl. Catal., B*, **2003**, 42, 203-211.
- [57] Q. Liu, K.K. Gath, J.C. Bauer, R.E. Schaak, J.H. Lunsford, The Active Phase in the Direct Synthesis of H₂O₂ from H₂ and O₂ over Pd/SiO₂ Catalyst in a H₂SO₄/Ethanol System, *Catal. Lett.*, **2009**, 132, 342.
- [58] D.W. Flaherty, Direct Synthesis of H₂O₂ from H₂ and O₂ on Pd Catalysts: Current Understanding, Outstanding Questions, and Research Needs, *ACS Catal.*, **2018**, 8, 1520-1527.
- [59] N.M. Wilson, P. Priyadarshini, S. Kunz, D.W. Flaherty, Direct synthesis of H₂O₂ on Pd and AuPd₁ clusters: Understanding the effects of alloying Pd with Au, *J. Catal.*, **2018**, 357, 163-175.

[60] S. Han, C.B. Mullins, Surface Alloy Composition Controlled O₂ Activation on Pd–Au Bimetallic Model Catalysts, *ACS Catal.*, **2018**, 8, 3641-3649.

Table of Contents Graphic

