ORCA – Online Research @ Cardiff



This is an Open Access document downloaded from ORCA, Cardiff University's institutional repository:https://orca.cardiff.ac.uk/id/eprint/124417/

This is the author's version of a work that was submitted to / accepted for publication.

Citation for final published version:

Watson, Sophie E., Hauffe, Heidi C., Bull, Matthew J., Atwood, Todd C., McKinney, Melissa A., Pindo, Massimo and Perkins, Sarah E. 2019. Global change-driven use of onshore habitat impacts polar bear faecal microbiota. ISME Journal 13, pp. 2916-2926. 10.1038/s41396-019-0480-2

Publishers page: http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41396-019-0480-2

Please note:

Changes made as a result of publishing processes such as copy-editing, formatting and page numbers may not be reflected in this version. For the definitive version of this publication, please refer to the published source. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite this paper.

This version is being made available in accordance with publisher policies. See http://orca.cf.ac.uk/policies.html for usage policies. Copyright and moral rights for publications made available in ORCA are retained by the copyright holders.



1	Global change-driven use of onshore habitat impacts polar bear
2	faecal microbiota
3	
4	Sophie E. Watson ^{1,2} , Heidi C. Hauffe ² , Matthew J. Bull ^{1,2} , Todd C. Atwood ³ , Melissa A.
5	McKinney ⁴ , Massimo Pindo ⁵ & Sarah E. Perkins ^{1,2}
6	
7	¹ School of Biosciences, Cardiff University, The Sir Martin Evans Building, Museum Avenue, Cardiff,
8	UK
9	
10	² Department of Biodiversity and Molecular Ecology, Research and Innovation Centre, Fondazione
11	Edmund Mach, S. Michele all' Adige (IN), Italy
12	
13	⁻ United States Geological Survey (USGS), University Drive, Anchorage, USA
14	⁴ Department of Natural Passauras Sciences MaCill University Ste Anna de Pollovue Quebee
15	Department of Natural Resource Sciences, McGill University, Sie-Anne-de-Detievue, Quebec,
10	Canada
18	⁵ Department of Genomics and Biology of Fruit Crops Research and Innovation Centre Fondazione
19	Edmund Mach S Michele all' Adige (TN) Italy
20	Lannana maen, S. mienere an marge (117), navy
21	
22	Corresponding author
23	Sophie Watson (email: WatsonS2@cardiff.ac.uk, telephone: 02920 875073)
24	
25	Competing interests
26	We declare that the authors have no conflicts of interest that might be perceived to influence the results
27	and/or discussion that are reported in this article.
28	
29	Running title
30	Land use alters polar bear faecal microbiota
31	
32	
33	

34 Abstract

The gut microbiota plays a critical role in host health, yet remains poorly studied in wild species. Polar 35 bears (Ursus maritimus), key indicators of Arctic ecosystem health and environmental change, are 36 37 currently affected by rapid shifts in habitat that may alter gut homeostasis. Declining sea ice has led to a divide in the southern Beaufort Sea polar bear subpopulation such that an increasing proportion of 38 individuals now inhabit onshore coastal regions during the open-water period ('onshore bears') while 39 40 others continue to exhibit their typical behaviour of remaining on the ice ('offshore bears'). We propose that bears that have altered their habitat selection in response to climate change will exhibit a 41 distinct gut microbiota diversity and composition, which may ultimately have important consequences 42 43 for their health. Here, we perform the first assessment of abundance and diversity in the faecal microbiota of wild polar bears using 16S rRNA Illumina technology. We find that bacterial diversity 44 45 is significantly higher in onshore bears compared to offshore bears. The most enriched OTU abundance 46 in onshore bears belonged to the phylum Proteobacteria, while the most depleted OTU abundance within onshore bears was seen in the phylum Firmicutes. We conclude that climate-driven changes in 47 polar bear land use are associated with distinct microbial communities. In doing so, we present the first 48 49 case of global change mediated alterations in the gut microbiota of a free-roaming wild animal.

50

51

52 Introduction

As an apex predator with vulnerable conservation status [1], the polar bear (Ursus maritimus) is widely 53 acknowledged as a key indicator of Arctic ecosystem health [2], a model species for studying the 54 effects of climatic and other anthropogenic stressors in the Arctic [3-5], and a flagship for 55 environmental change [6]. As one of the most ice dependent Arctic marine mammals [7], polar bears 56 57 require sea ice for long-distance movements, mating and accessing prey [8]. One subpopulation of polar bear, the southern Beaufort Sea subpopulation, is exhibiting a distinct behavioural response to 58 59 climate-driven changes in sea ice conditions. Historically, these polar bears remained year-round on the sea ice (hereafter referred to as 'offshore bears'), taking advantage of the biologically-productive 60 61 continental shelf [9]. Since the 2000s, however, substantial declines in the spatial and temporal availability of sea ice in summer and fall [10, 11], extending well beyond the continental shelf, have 62 63 driven a divide in polar bear behaviour whereby some continue to select the retreating ice habitat ('offshore bears') while others instead adopt a novel behaviour and move to coastal onshore habitat 64 65 during the reduced ice period ('onshore bears')[12]. The entire subpopulation uses the sea ice during the remainder of the year. Onshore bears have been associated with a range of dietary items that
offshore bears are unable to access, notably 'bone piles', the remains of locally-harvested bowhead
whales (*Balaena mysticetus*), along with the carcasses of fish, birds and caribou (*Rangifer tarandus*)
[13]. Conversely, offshore bears primarily consume a traditional diet of ringed seal (*Pusa hispida*),
bearded seal (*Erignathus barbatus*) and occasionally beluga whale (*Delphinapterus leucas*) [13],
which are inaccessible to onshore bears.

Changes in trophic interactions alter the exposure of polar bears to contaminants and novel parasites 72 73 [14, 15]. For example, ringed seals (available only to offshore bears) are considered to occupy a high 74 trophic position and so typically bioaccumulate higher levels of contaminants than species lower in 75 the trophic chain such as the filter feeders (i.e. bowhead whales) and herbivores (i.e. caribou) [16–18], 76 which are available only to onshore bears. In addition, bone piles, foraged on by onshore bears, are utilised as a food resource by other terrestrial species [13, 19] and lie within comparatively close range 77 78 of human settlements, such as Kaktovik (70.13° N, 143.62° W) and Deadhorse (70.20° N, 148.46° W). 79 Thus, onshore bears are potentially exposed to (and therefore at greater risk of infection from) novel 80 parasites carried by terrestrial species, including humans and their domestic pets. For example, Atwood et al. (2017) [5] found that southern Beaufort Sea polar bears exhibiting onshore behaviour have a 81 82 greater risk of exposure to Toxoplasma gondii and lower exposure to certain contaminants than offshore bears. Thus, onshore bears are exposed to different biotic stressors compared to offshore bears 83 [5, 20], which have the potential to drive variation in the gut microbiota. In humans and mice, for 84 example, helminth infection is associated with significant differences in the community composition 85 of gut bacterial communities [21–23], while contaminants such as herbicides and pesticides have been 86 shown to inhibit the growth of a variety of beneficial gut bacteria [24] and even cause dysbiosis [25]. 87

The gut microbiota, a diverse community of bacteria that resides within the gastrointestinal tract, has 88 a long co-evolutionary association with its host [26], carrying out vital nutritional and physiological 89 roles [26–28]. In effect, the regular intestinal development and function of an individual is attributed 90 91 to an array of specific bacterial groups or species, the composition and diversity of which are a function 92 of complex interactions between host and environment [29]. Despite the importance of the gut microbiota to health, little is understood of the composition or community structure of the gut 93 microbiota of wild fauna [30]. In brown bears (U. arctos) however, we know a distinct gut microbiota 94 profile is associated with active bears compared to those in hibernation phase - this specific community 95 96 of bacteria is thought to play a role promoting adiposity while still maintaining normal gut metabolism [31]. A paucity of knowledge on wild microbiota is particularly concerning considering that in the face 97 98 of rapid climate change tight host-gut microbiota associations could quickly become decoupled,

99 negating millions of years of co-evolutionary adaptation [26], and yet this too remains poorly100 understood.

101 A number of studies provide support for an association between host microbial communities and environmental fluctuations. Cold acclimated laboratory mice, for example, harbour a dramatically 102 103 different gut microbiota composition to those raised at higher temperatures [32], while experimentally induced temperature increases of 2-3 °C cause a 34% loss of microbiota diversity in the common lizard 104 (Zootoca vivipara)[33]. Outside a laboratory setting, variations in weather events have been linked to 105 106 the increased occurrence of gastrointestinal illness in residents of Nunatsiavut, Canada [34]. To the 107 best of our knowledge, however, no study has demonstrated a climate change mediated alteration in 108 the gut microbiota of free-roaming wildlife.

109 The gut microbiota has been examined once before in wild polar bears, specifically those from the Svalbard archipelago belonging to the Barents Sea subpopulation [35]. The authors found a low 110 bacterial diversity, dissimilar to that reported in other Arctic carnivores [36] and wild ursids [31, 37, 111 38], possibly attributed to the methodologies employed (having used 16S rRNA clone libraries as 112 opposed to next generation sequencing techniques) and small sample size [35, 39]. Here we use high-113 throughput sequencing techniques to conduct the first detailed investigation of the gut microbiota 114 composition of a large sample (n=112) of wild southern Beaufort Sea polar bears and to establish the 115 diversity, abundance, and composition of gut bacteria associated with on- and offshore bears. In doing 116 so, we are able to evaluate the effect of a climate driven change in habitat use on microbial 117 composition. Reflecting methods widely used in other gut microbiota studies [40], we use faeces as a 118 proxy of gut microbiota, herein referred to as the faecal microbiota. 119

120 Materials and methods

121 Polar bear capture and sampling

Polar bears were captured under the United States Geological Survey (USGS) Polar Bear Research 122 123 Program (Marine Mammal Permit MA690038 to T.C.A.) in an area ranging approximately from Utqiagvik, Alaska (156°W) in the west to Demarcation Point (140°W) at the US-Canada border in the 124 125 east, and extending from the shoreline to approximately 135 km north on sea ice (with the exception 126 of one individual; Figure 1). In the spring and fall of 2008 and 2009, and the spring of 2010 and 2013, 127 polar bears were encountered via helicopter and immobilized with a remote injection of zolazepamtiletamine (Telazol®, Fort Dodge Animal Health, Fort Dodge, Iowa, USA, and Warner-Lambert Co., 128 129 Groton, Connecticut, USA). A single faecal sample was collected directly from the rectum of each polar bear using a sterile latex glove and immediately transferred to a sterile Whirl-pak bag (Nasco,
Fort Atkinson, Wisconsin, USA) for storage. In total, samples were taken from 112 individuals,
including 89 adults and 23 subadults, (51 males and 61 females). All samples were stored at -20°C for
the duration of the field season (approx. 5 weeks) before being stored at -80°C at the US Geological
Survey, Alaska Science Center (Anchorage, Alaska, USA), and subsequently shipped on dry ice to the
Fondazione Edmund Mach, Italy (CITES permit IT/IM/2015/MCE/01862 to S.W.).

Age of subadults and adults was estimated by extracting and analysing the cementum annuli of a 136 vestigial premolar tooth [41]. In total, 85 of the 112 bears were known to be either onshore or offshore 137 138 (onshore n = 46; offshore n = 39; Supplementary Table 1). Individuals were categorised as either 139 'onshore bears' or 'offshore bears' as described in [5]. Briefly, location data collected from satellite 140 collars were used to identify adult females that used land ('onshore') or sea ice ('offshore') in summer and fall [42]. We classified both male and female individuals as onshore bears if they were detected 141 142 (via genetic identification and cross-referencing with our database of known bears) at hair-snags erected in the fall around bowhead whale bone piles and from biopsy-darting during fall coastal surveys 143 144 from 2010-2013. An individual was classified as onshore or offshore if spatial or genetic data suggested that the individual was onshore or offshore in summer and/or in the year of capture (for fall-145 146 captured bears) or immediately prior to capture (for spring-captured bears). Body condition for each 147 polar bear was estimated using a 'Body Condition Index' metric [43] and was classified as either above or below the mean body condition for our sample set. Year and season of capture was also recorded. 148

149 Extraction of bacterial DNA

All faecal matter was collected from inside each sample glove using a sterile cotton swab (APTACA 150 151 sterile transport swabs, Brescia, Italy). The swab was subsequently vortexed for 10 min in 1ml 152 phosphate-buffered saline solution (PBS) and pelleted by centrifugation at 16 000 g for 12 min. Lysis 153 buffer, 80 µl, (200 mM NaCl, 100 mM Tris, 20 mM EDTA, 20 mg/ml Lysozyme, pH 8.0); 5 mm 154 stainless steel beads (Qiagen) were added to each sample before a three-minute homogenization step at 30Hz using a Mixer Mill MM200 (Retsch GmbH, Haan, Germany). Samples were then shaken at 155 37°C for 40 minutes Grant-Bio PCMT Thermoshaker (500rpm). Microbial DNA was extracted using 156 the QIAamp® DNA Mini Kits (QIAGEN©, Milan, Italy), following the manufacturer's Buccal Swab 157 Spin Protocol for cotton swabs (QIAamp® DNA Mini and Blood Mini Handbook), but starting from 158 step 2 (addition of Proteinase K). 159

161 **16s rRNA gene amplification and sequencing**

Using the bacteria-specific primer set 341F (5' CCTACGGGNGGCWGCAG 3') and 805Rmod (5' 162 GACTACNVGGGTWTCTAATCC 3') (based on Klindworth et al. 2013 [44] with degenerate bases) 163 with overhanging Illumina adapters, a ~460 base pair (bp) fragment of the 16S rRNA gene (variable 164 165 region V3-V4)[45] was amplified using a GeneAmp PCR System 9700 (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and the following steps: 94°C for 5 minutes (one cycle), 95°C for 30 seconds, 55°C for 30 seconds, 72°C 166 for 30 seconds (30 cycles), 72°C for 5 minutes (1 cycle). The PCR products were visualised on a 1.5% 167 agarose gel and purified using Agencourt AMPure XP SPRI beads (Beckman Coulter, Brea, CA, USA) 168 following manufacturer's instructions. Subsequently, Illumina® Nextera XT indices and sequencing 169 adapters (Illumina®) were incorporated using seven cycles of PCR (16S Metagenomic Sequencing 170 Library Preparation, Illumina®). The final libraries were quantified using the Quant-IT PicoGreen 171 dsDNA assay kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific) by the Synergy2 microplate reader (Biotek), pooled in 172 173 equimolar concentration before sequencing on an Illumina® MiSeq (2x300 bp reads) at the Next Generation Sequencing Platform, Fondazione Edmund Mach in collaboration with the Core Facility, 174 175 CIBIO, University of Trento, Italy. All samples were sequenced in one Illumina MiSeq Standard Flow Cell targeting a depth of 20 000 reads per sample. 176

177 Bioinformatic processing of 16s data

178 Reads were processed with MICCA v1.5.0 [46]. Briefly, paired-end reads were merged, and pairs diverging by more than 8 bp or overlapping by less than 100 bp were discarded. PCR amplification 179 180 primers were trimmed (sequences not containing both PCR primer sequences were discarded). Finally, sequences were quality filtered at 0.5 % Expected Error (EE); those displaying greater than 0.5% EE 181 182 were discarded along with those shorter than 400 bp or containing unknown base calls (N). Using the VSEARCH cluster smallmem algorithm [47], OTUs were created *de novo* by clustering sequences 183 184 with 97% sequence identity, discarding chimeric sequences. Taxonomic assignments of representative sequences from each OTU were performed using the RDP Classifier v2.12 in conjunction with RDP 185 16S rRNA training set 15 [48]. OTU sequences were aligned and phylogenetic analysis was performed 186 using Nearest Alignment Space Termination (NAST) and a phylogeny reconstructed using FastTree 187 [49], both via MICCA [46]. The raw sequencing data can be found at the National Centre for 188 Biotechnology Information (NCBI) Sequence Read Archive (SRA) [Accession number: 189 PRJNA542176]. 190

192 Statistical analyses

Following initial processing, singletons were removed and all samples with fewer than 5000 reads 193 were removed using the R package 'phyloseq' [50], leaving a total of 511 952 reads across 112 194 samples. The data were rarefied to an equal depth within 90% of the minimum observed sample size 195 (specifically 4571 reads per sample). Generalized Linear Models (GLMs) with a Gamma error function 196 were used to investigate whether metadata (onshore/offshore, age class, sex, body condition, year of 197 capture and season of capture) were associated with alpha diversity of the faecal microbiota (Shannon, 198 Inverse Simpson and Faith's Phylogenetic Diversity Indices). For Shannon and Faith's Phylogenetic 199 200 Diversity measures, an identity link function was used, while a log link function was used when 201 analysing an Inverse Simpson measure of diversity. All multivariate analyses on faecal microbiota 202 structure according to host metadata (on-/offshore, age class, sex, body condition, year of capture and season) were assessed using PERMANOVA, based on Bray-Curtis dissimilarity and weighted 203 204 UniFrac indices, using the 'adonis' function in the R package 'vegan' [51]. An important assumption for PERMANOVA is homogenous dispersion of data among groups; for this reason, the 'betadisper' 205 206 function in 'vegan' was implemented to investigate the homogeneity of data. Data rows containing missing values (NAs) were removed from the dataset prior to conducting the PERMANOVA to ensure 207 208 matrices were even between variables. To determine the differential abundance of OTUs between on-209 and offshore bears, sex and season were examined using the R package 'DESeq2' [52]. To assess whether the microbiota profiles of polar bears is related to their geographic distribution, a GPS based 210 pairwise distance matrix was constructed using the R package 'geosphere' [53] and compared to a 211 PCoA matrix (using both Bray-Curtis and weighted UniFrac) via a Mantel Test. All analyses were 212 carried out using R statistical software package, version 3.2.0 [54]. Data was visualised using the R 213 packages 'ggplot2' [55] and 'metacoder' [56]. 214

215 Results

216 Faecal microbiota composition

The faecal microbiota of all 112 bears was composed of 1221 operational taxonomic units (OTUs) encompassing 25 bacterial phyla, with prevalence and abundance of specific phyla differing among individuals (Figure 2a). Across the population, the most abundant phyla (which composed 91% of the total reads and were present in all individuals) were Firmicutes (45%), Proteobacteria (25%) and Actinobacteria (21%), making up the core microbiota. All other phyla represented <9% of reads each (Figure 2a), and their prevalence among samples varied between 97% (Bacteroidetes) and 1% (Armatimonadetes, Deferribacteres, Lentisphaerae and Synergistetes). From the total number of reads obtained for the most dominant phylum (Firmicutes), 70% belonged to the class Clostridia, and 99%
of those were from the order Clostridiales. The dominant orders for the remaining top bacterial phyla
were Enterobacteriales (phyla: Proteobacteria) and Actinomycetales (phyla: Actinobacteria) (Figure
227 2b).

228 Onshore versus offshore microbiota

229 Using the subset of bears for which we had on- and offshore information (n = 85), we found alpha 230 diversity was significantly higher in on- (n = 46) compared to offshore (n = 39) bears, for Shannon (adjusted R-squared = 0.06, $F_{1.83} = 6.32$, P = 0.014; Figure 3a and Supplementary Table 2) and Inverse 231 Simpson (adjusted R-squared = 0.07, $F_{1,83} = 6.09$, P = 0.016; Figure 3b and Supplementary Table 2) 232 indices but not for Faith's Phylogenetic Diversity index (Supplementary Table 3). Beta diversity did 233 not differ between on- and offshore bears when using Bray-Curtis (Supplementary Figure 1) but 234 235 differed significantly between on- and offshore bears when using a weighted UniFrac metric (adjusted R-squared = 0.03, $F_{1.80} = 2.53$, P = 0.029; Supplementary Figure 2). Data dispersion did not 236 237 significantly differ between on- and offshore bears (P=0.740).

238

The faecal microbiota of onshore bears consisted of 858 OTUs (19 bacterial phyla; 37 classes) 239 240 compared to 635 OTUs (21 phyla; 35 classes) for offshore bears, of which 386 were shared between on- and offshore polar bears (Figure 4). Of the total number of OTUs found 472 were unique to 241 onshore bears, and a smaller number of OTUs (n= 249) were unique to offshore bears. Eleven OTUs 242 (10 Firmicutes; 1 Proteobacteria) were significantly enriched and 6 OTUs (3 Bacteroidetes; 2 243 244 Firmicutes; 1 Proteobacteria) were significantly reduced in onshore bears (Figure 5; Supplementary Table 4). The majority (73%; n = 8) of OTUs that were enriched in onshore bears belonged to the order 245 246 Clostridiales (Phylum: Firmicutes), although family level assignment varied across OTUs (Figure 5 and Supplementary Table 4). OTUs that were significantly decreased in on- compared to offshore bears 247 varied in taxonomic assignment across taxonomic ranks (Supplementary Table 4). The most enriched 248 OTU abundance in onshore bears belonged to the family Moraxellaceae (Phylum: Proteobacteria), 249 250 with a 6.78 log2 fold change in abundance (P<0.001), while the most depleted OTU abundance within 251 onshore bears was seen in Clostridiaceae 1 (Phylum: Firmicutes) with a -8.04 log2 fold change in abundance (P<0.001; Supplementary Table 4). 252

The gut microbiota composition of individuals was not associated with their geographic proximity to one another (P=0.56 and P=0.17; Mantel Test using Bray-Curtis and weighted Unifrac respectively).

256 Ecological factors and the microbiota

When using Faith's Phylogenetic Diversity Index, alpha diversity was significantly higher in females 257 compared to males (adjusted R-squared = 0.30, $F_{2,109} = 25.18$, P = 0.017), as well as in fall compared 258 to spring captures (adjusted R-squared = 0.30, $F_{2,109}$ = 25.18, P < 0.001). However, alpha diversity did 259 260 not differ with sex, season of capture, body condition, year or age class when using either a Shannon or Inverse Simpson index of diversity and no significant difference in alpha diversity was seen with 261 body condition, year, or age class when using Faith's Phylogenetic Diversity. Beta diversity differed 262 significantly with sex (Bray-Curtis; P = 0.001; weighted UniFrac P=0.006) although data dispersion 263 was seen to be significantly different between males and females (P = 0.018) and so the 264 PERMANOVA should be interpreted with caution. Beta diversity also differed significantly with and 265 season when using Bray-Curtis (P=0.005) but not weighted UniFrac (P = 0.184), where beta dispersion 266 was P = 0.113. No differences in beta diversity were seen with year, age class or body condition when 267 268 using either Bray-Curtis or a weighted UniFrac metric. When investigating the differential abundance of OTUs with sex, DESeq analysis showed that 66 OTUs were significantly different between males 269 270 and females; 9 OTUs were significantly increased in males compared to females (the largest increase, of 5.40 log fold change, belonging to the family Clostridiales Incertae Sedis XI, phylum: Firmicutes) 271 272 and 57 OTUs were significantly decreased (the largest decrease, of -10.04 log fold change, being seen in the family Flavobacteriaceae, phylum: Bacteroidetes). For season of capture, DESeq analysis 273 274 revealed that 15 OTUs were significantly different between fall and spring captures; 2 OTUs were increased in spring compared to fall captures (the largest increase, of 3.01 log fold change, belonging 275 276 to the family Veillonellaceae, phylum: Firmicutes) and 13 OTUs were significantly decreased (the largest decrease, of -7.50 log fold change, being seen in the family Peptostreptococcaceae, phylum: 277 Firmicutes). 278

279 Discussion

Investigating factors which may influence the gut microbiota in a sentinel species experiencing rapid environmental change may improve our understanding of the role of the gut microbiota in wildlife health and conservation. Here we have shown that for the southern Beaufort Sea subpopulation of polar bears alpha diversity and bacterial composition are significantly different in the gut of onshore bears compared to those that remain on the sea ice year-round. As such, our study shows for the first time, that global change driven alterations in habitat use are associated with changes in the gut microbial composition and diversity of a free-ranging species. 287 We detected 25 bacterial phyla, as opposed to just the one (Firmicutes) previously found by Glad et al. (2010) [35] in wild Barents Sea polar bears. This diversity closely mirrors that seen in other studies 288 utilizing next generation sequencing methods to investigate the gut microbiota of ursids; for example, 289 290 24 bacterial phyla were detected in wild brown bears [31]. The most abundant phyla in polar bear 291 faeces (Firmicutes, Proteobacteria and Actinobacteria), coincided with those of the core mammalian 292 gut microbiota [26], including that of Asiatic black bears (Ursus thibetanus) [38]. Our finding that 293 Firmicutes constituted the majority of OTUs is noteworthy in that increased Firmicutes in genetically 294 obese mice and humans suggests that this phylum plays an important role in promoting adiposity or 295 energy resorption [57], although conflicting studies show no link between Firmicutes levels and obesity/high-fat intake [58]. Interestingly, brown bears gaining weight for hibernation during summer 296 months show simultaneously elevated levels of Firmicutes in the gut [31], implying this phylum may 297 also play a role in synthesising high energy inputs in large carnivores. More specifically, we show that 298 299 70% of reads assigned to the phylum Firmicutes belonged to the class Clostridia, and subsequently 99% were from the order Clostridiales - an outcome that coincides with the results of Glad et al. 300 301 (2010), who showed all except one of the gene clones generated within their study were affiliated with 302 the order Clostridiales. In a study using both wild type and laboratory mice, Hilderbrant et al. (2009) 303 [59] showed that levels of Clostridiales greatly increases after prolonged durations of time feeding on 304 a high-fat diet.

305 Within this study we found that alpha diversity of bacterial OTUs was significantly higher in the faecal 306 microbiota of onshore compared to offshore bears when using a Shannon or Inverse Simpson measure, 307 but no association was found between alpha diversity and host metadata (age class, sex, body condition, year or season of capture) when using these indices. Much microbiota work focusing on 308 humans has found sex and age influences microbiota dynamics [60-62]. Although the majority of 309 310 microbiota research has focused on humans, microbial studies of wild animals are increasing [30] and in some cases wild animals have been shown to follow similar trait-related stratification in microbiota. 311 312 For example, the presence/absence of specific bacterial taxa were seen to correlate with specific age classes within the gut microbiota of wild ring-tailed lemurs (Lemur catta) [63]. Similarly, sex-specific 313 314 differences in bacterial diversity have been found in, for example, wild rufous mouse lemurs 315 (Microcebus rufus), whereby females demonstrated higher bacterial diversity compared to their male counterparts [64]. Further to this, season of capture has been seen to influence the gut microbiota 316 317 composition. Sommer et al. (2016) [31], for example, demonstrated that gut microbial composition of free-roaming brown bears is seasonally altered between summer and winter. This change in bacterial 318 composition is thought to, in part, be influenced by extreme dietary shifts within brown bears between 319

320 active and hibernation phase [30]. We also see this seasonal shift in gut microbial composition in other wild animal models such as wild wood mice (Apodemus sylvaticus) [65], wild black howler monkey 321 (Alouatta pigra) [66], and the giant panda (Ailuropoda melanoleuca) [37], probably also attributable 322 to season-driven shifts in diet. None of these factors, however, were found to influence the gut 323 324 microbiota composition of the polar bears sampled within this study when using a Shannon and Inverse Simpson index of diversity. However, when using Faith's Phylogenetic Diversity (i.e. a metric that 325 characterises only the relatedness or distinctness of species and works under the assumption that 326 327 different species make unequal contributions to diversity [67]) we see a significant difference in 328 diversity with sex and season only, whereby females had a higher bacterial diversity than males, and fall captures had a higher bacterial diversity than spring captures. Faith's phylogenetic diversity index 329 does not incorporate the relative abundances of taxa within communities, but rather calculates 330 phylogenetic diversity based on the presence or absence of species [68, 69]. Our results therefore imply 331 that for sex and season, there was no difference in alpha diversity when considering the richness and 332 333 evenness of species, but that there may be a number of species with deep and/or distinct branching that 334 are making an unequal contribution to the diversity of those communities.

We posit that the differences in gut microbiota composition between on- and offshore bears is most 335 336 likely driven by environmental factors, such as diet, contaminants and parasites which are known to 337 differ between the two groups [70–73] – although this hypothesis is yet to be tested. Diet, as one of the biggest drivers in gut microbial changes [74-76], likely plays the largest role in the observed 338 differences in bacterial diversity. Historically, southern Beaufort Sea polar bears remained offshore 339 340 hunting ringed seal (Pusa hispida) and, to a lesser extent, bearded seal (Erignathus barbatus) [77], primarily consuming high-calorie blubber with a specific, restricted nutritional input [78]. In contrast, 341 onshore bears have access to a more varied but less natural diet, including bowhead whale bone piles, 342 343 which can consist of whale blubber, meat, and viscera, as well the carcasses of fish, birds and caribou (Rangifer tarandus)[42, 79, 80], a more varied food source in terms of both species and tissue types. 344

345 Not only do onshore bears consume a larger range of food items, but they also likely come into contact 346 with more terrestrial species and their associated bacteria and pathogens. Whale bone piles are utilised by a range of other nearshore/terrestrial scavengers [5, 19] providing an inter-specific focal point for 347 348 many species with which polar bears do not typically interact. Beach-cast bowhead whale remains frequently lie in close proximity to settlements and towns, increasing the potential for microbiota and 349 350 pathogen spillover to polar bears from humans, and domestic animals. The high gut microbiota diversity seen in onshore bears may therefore be associated with this complex network of interspecific 351 352 contacts. A secondary consequence of high inter-species contact could be a higher parasite load and/or diversity in polar bears, which is associated with high gut microbiota diversity in other species [23, 29,81].

355 Understanding the ways in which polar bears respond to climate-change mediated displacement from primary habitat is crucial in discerning their ability to cope with an increasingly changeable and 356 357 uncertain environment [42]. Future management plans for polar bears could therefore benefit from a better understanding of the relationship between habitat availability, microbiota and health. Our results 358 suggest that climate driven changes in land use by bears leads to changes in gut community 359 composition, but further analyses are needed to determine whether these changes are linked to 360 361 underlying causes such as diet, parasites and health. It has been suggested that researchers should incorporate health assessments into wildlife conservation practices [82, 83] and long term faecal 362 363 microbiota monitoring could provide this framework.

364 Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank G. Durner, A. Pagano, K. Simac, L. Peacock, and T. Donnelly for 365 capturing and sampling of polar bears, which was funded by the USGS. We are grateful to F. Albonico 366 367 and the staff at the Conservation Genetics laboratory at the Fondazione Edmund Mach, Italy for their 368 training and guidance. Laboratory facilities and funding for the metataxonomic analyses were provided by the Fondazione E. Mach. This paper was reviewed and approved by USGS under their Fundamental 369 370 Science Practices policy (http://www.usgs.gov/fsp). Any use of trade, product, or firm names is for descriptive purposes only and does not imply endorsement by the US Government. S.E.W. is supported 371 372 by a NERC GW4+ Doctoral Training Partnership studentship from the Natural Environment Research Council [NE/L002434/1]. 373

374 **Contributions**

375 S.E.W.: study concept and design, and acquisition, analysis and interpretation of data, drafting of figures, drafting of manuscript, writing of the manuscript; H.C.H.: study and laboratory supervision, 376 377 writing of the manuscript and critical revision of the manuscript, obtained funding; M.J.B.: bioinformatic analysis and interpretation of data; T.C.A.: study design, field work and sample 378 379 collection, data analysis, editing of manuscript, critical revision of the manuscript, obtained funding; M.A.M.: study design, editing of manuscript, critical revision of the manuscript; M.P.: study design 380 381 and technical support; S.E.P.: study supervision, study concept and design, writing of manuscript and 382 critical revision of the manuscript, obtained funding.

384	Competing interests
-----	----------------------------

385 The authors declare no competing interests.

386 Corresponding author

387 Correspondence to Sophie Watson (WatsonS2@cardiff.ac.uk)

388 Supplementary information

389 Supplementary information is available at ISME's website.

390

391 **References**

- Regehr E V, Laidre KL, Akçakaya HR, Amstrup SC, Atwood TC, Lunn NJ, et al.
 Conservation status of polar bears (Ursus maritimus) in relation to projected sea-ice declines.
 Biol Lett 2016; 12: 20160556.
- Amstrup SC, Deweaver ET, Douglas DC, Marcot BG, Durner GM, Bitz CM, et al.
 Greenhouse gas mitigation can reduce sea-ice loss and increase polar bear persistence. *Nature* 2010; 468: 955–8.
- Parmesan C. Ecological and Evolutionary Responses to Recent Climate Change. *Annu Rev Ecol Evol Syst* 2006; 37: 637–669.
- 4. McKinney MA, Letcher RJ, Aars J, Born EW, Branigan M, Dietz R, et al. Flame retardants and legacy contaminants in polar bears from Alaska, Canada, East Greenland and Svalbard, 2005-2008. *Environ Int* 2011; **37**: 365–74.
- Atwood TC, Duncan C, Patyk KA, Nol P, Rhyan J, McCollum M, et al. Environmental and
 behavioral changes may influence the exposure of an Arctic apex predator to pathogens and
 contaminants. *Sci Rep* 2017; 7: 13193.
- 406 6. Derocher AE, Aars J, Amstrup SC, Cutting A, Lunn NJ, Molnár PK, et al. Rapid ecosystem
 407 change and polar bear conservation. *Conserv Lett* 2013; 6: n/a-n/a.
- Laidre KL, Stirling I, Lowry LF, Wiig Ø, Heide-Jørgensen MP, Ferguson SH. Quantifying the
 sensitivity of arctic marine mammals to climate-induced habitat change. *Ecol Appl* 2008; 18:
 S97–S125.
- 8. Regehr E V., Hunter CM, Caswell H, Amstrup SC, Stirling I. Survival and breeding of polar
 bears in the southern Beaufort Sea in relation to sea ice. *J Anim Ecol* 2010; **79**: 117–127.
- 413 9. Amstrup SC, Marcot BG, Douglas DC. A bayesian network modeling approach to forecasting
 414 the 21st century worldwide status of polar bears. *Arctic Sea Ice Decline:*
- 415 *Observations, Projections, Mechanisms, and Implications. Geophysical Monograph 180.* 2008.
 416 American Geophysical Union (AGU), pp 213–268.
- 417 10. Stroeve JC, Markus T, Boisvert L, Miller J, Barrett A. Changes in Arctic melt season and
 418 implications for sea ice loss. *Geophys Res Lett* 2014; 41: 1216–1225.
- 419 11. Stern HL, Laidre KL. Sea-ice indicators of polar bear habitat. *Cryosphere* 2016; 10: 2027–
 420 2041.
- Schliebe S, Rode KD, Gleason JS, Wilder J, Proffitt K, Evans TJ, et al. Effects of sea ice
 extent and food availability on spatial and temporal distribution of polar bears during the fall
 open-water period in the Southern Beaufort Sea. *Polar Biol* 2008; **31**: 999–1010.
- Herreman J, Peacock E. Polar bear use of a persistent food subsidy: Insights from noninvasive genetic sampling in Alaska. *Ursus* 2013; 24: 148–163.

- 426 14. McKinney MA, Peacock E, Letcher RJ. Sea Ice-associated Diet Change Increases the Levels
 427 of Chlorinated and Brominated Contaminants in Polar Bears. *Environ Sci Technol* 2009; 43:
 4334–4339.
- McKinney MA, Stirling I, Lunn NJ, Peacock E, Letcher RJ. The role of diet on long-term concentration and pattern trends of brominated and chlorinated contaminants in western Hudson Bay polar bears, 1991–2007. *Sci Total Environ* 2010; **408**: 6210–6222.
- 432 16. Dietz R, Riget F, Cleemann M, Aarkrog A, Johansen P, Hansen JC. Comparison of
 433 contaminants from different trophic levels and ecosystems. *Sci Total Environ* 2000; 245: 221–
 434 231.
- Hoekstra PF, O'Hara TM, Fisk AT, Borgå K, Solomon KR, Muir DCG. Trophic transfer of
 persistent organochlorine contaminants (OCs) within an Arctic marine food web from the
 southern Beaufort–Chukchi Seas. *Environ Pollut* 2003; **124**: 509–522.
- 438 18. Bentzen TW, Follmann EH, Amstrup SC, York GS, Wooller MJ, Muir DCG, et al. Dietary
 439 biomagnification of organochlorine contaminants in Alaskan polar bears. *Can J Zool* 2008;
 440 86: 177–191.
- 441 19. Miller S, Wilder J, Wilson RR. Polar bear–grizzly bear interactions during the autumn open442 water period in Alaska. *J Mammal* 2015; gyv140.
- 443 20. McKinney MA, Atwood TC, Pedro S, Peacock E. Ecological change drives a decline in
 444 mercury concentrations in southern Beaufort Sea polar bears. *Environ Sci Technol* 2017; 51:
 445 7814–7822.
- Walk ST, Blum AM, Ewing SA-S, Weinstock J V, Young VB. Alteration of the murine gut
 microbiota during infection with the parasitic helminth Heligmosomoides polygyrus. *Inflamm Bowel Dis* 2010; 16: 1841–9.
- Lee SC, Tang MS, Lim YAL, Choy SH, Kurtz ZD, Cox LM, et al. Helminth Colonization Is
 Associated with Increased Diversity of the Gut Microbiota. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis* 2014; 8:
 e2880.
- 452 23. Kreisinger J, Bastien G, Hauffe HC, Marchesi J, Perkins SE. Interactions between multiple
 453 helminths and the gut microbiota in wild rodents. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci* 2015;
 454 370: 20140295-.
- 455 24. Shehata AA, Schrödl W, Aldin AA, Hafez HM, Krüger M. The Effect of Glyphosate on
 456 Potential Pathogens and Beneficial Members of Poultry Microbiota In Vitro. *Curr Microbiol*457 2013; 66: 350–358.
- Joly C, Gay-Quéheillard J, Léké A, Chardon K, Delanaud S, Bach V, et al. Impact of chronic
 exposure to low doses of chlorpyrifos on the intestinal microbiota in the Simulator of the
 Human Intestinal Microbial Ecosystem (SHIME®) and in the rat. *Environ Sci Pollut Res*2013; 20: 2726–2734.
- 462 26. Ley RE, Lozupone CA, Hamady M, Knight R, Gordon JI. Worlds within worlds: evolution of
 463 the vertebrate gut microbiota. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 2008; **6**: 776–788.
- 464 27. Maynard CL, Elson CO, Hatton RD, Weaver CT. Reciprocal interactions of the intestinal
 465 microbiota and immune system. *Nature* 2012; **489**: 231–41.
- 466 28. Xing M, Hou Z, Yuan J, Liu Y, Qu Y, Liu B. Taxonomic and functional metagenomic
 467 profiling of gastrointestinal tract microbiome of the farmed adult turbot (Scophthalmus
 468 maximus). *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* 2013; **86**: 432–43.
- 469 29. Round JL, Mazmanian SK. The gut microbiota shapes intestinal immune responses during
 470 health and disease. *Nat Rev Immunol* 2009; **9**: 313–23.
- 471 30. Pascoe EL, Hauffe HC, Marchesi JR, Perkins SE. Network analysis of gut microbiota
 472 literature: an overview of the research landscape in non-human animal studies. *ISME J* 2017;
 473 11: 2644–2651.
- 474 31. Sommer F, Ståhlman M, Ilkayeva O, Arnemo JM, Kindberg J, Josefsson J, et al. The Gut
 475 Microbiota Modulates Energy Metabolism in the Hibernating Brown Bear Ursus arctos. *Cell*

476 *Rep* 2016; **14**: 1655–1661. Chevalier C, Stojanović O, Colin DJ, Suarez-Zamorano N, Tarallo V, Veyrat-Durebex C, et 477 32. al. Gut Microbiota Orchestrates Energy Homeostasis during Cold. Cell 2015; 163: 1360-1374. 478 479 33. Bestion E, Jacob S, Zinger L, Di Gesu L, Richard M, White J, et al. Climate warming reduces gut microbiota diversity in a vertebrate ectotherm. Nat Ecol Evol 2017; 1: 0161. 480 Harper SL, Edge VL, Schuster-Wallace CJ, Berke O, McEwen SA. Weather, Water Quality 34. 481 and Infectious Gastrointestinal Illness in Two Inuit Communities in Nunatsiavut, Canada: 482 Potential Implications for Climate Change. *Ecohealth* 2011; 8: 93–108. 483 484 35. Glad T, Bernhardsen P, Nielsen KM, Brusetti L, Andersen M, Aars J, et al. Bacterial diversity 485 in faeces from polar bear (Ursus maritimus) in Arctic Svalbard. BMC Microbiol 2010; 10: 10. Glad T, Kristiansen VF, Nielsen KM, Brusetti L, Wright A-DG, Sundset MA. Ecological 486 36. characterisation of the colonic microbiota in arctic and sub-arctic seals. *Microb Ecol* 2010; 60: 487 488 320-30. 37. Xue Z, Zhang W, Wang L, Hou R, Zhang M, Fei L, et al. The bamboo-eating giant panda 489 harbors a carnivore-like gut microbiota, with excessive seasonal variations. *MBio* 2015; 6: 490 e00022-15. 491 492 38. Song C, Wang B, Tan J, Zhu L, Lou D, Cen X. Comparative analysis of the gut microbiota of black bears in China using high-throughput sequencing. Mol Genet Genomics 2017; 292: 407– 493 494 414. 495 39. Mardis ER. The impact of next-generation sequencing technology on genetics. Trends Genet 2008; 24: 133–141. 496 40. Thomas V, Clark J, Doré J. Fecal microbiota analysis: an overview of sample collection 497 498 methods and sequencing strategies. Future Microbiol 2015; 10: 1485–1504. 41. Calvert W, Ramsay MA. Evaluation of age determination of polar bears by counts of 499 cementum growth layer groups. Ursus . International Association for Bear Research and 500 501 Management., 10: 449–453 42. Atwood TC, Peacock E, McKinney MA, Lillie K, Wilson R, Douglas DC, et al. Rapid 502 environmental change drives increased land use by an Arctic marine predator. PLoS One 503 2016; **11**: e0155932. 504 43. Cattet MR., Caulkett NA, Obbard ME, Stenhouse GB. A body-condition index for ursids. Can 505 J Zool 2002; 80: 1156–1161. 506 44. Klindworth A, Pruesse E, Schweer T, Peplies J, Quast C, Horn M, et al. Evaluation of general 507 16S ribosomal RNA gene PCR primers for classical and next-generation sequencing-based 508 diversity studies. Nucleic Acids Res 2013; 41: e1. 509 Caporaso JG, Lauber CL, Walters WA, Berg-Lyons D, Huntley J, Fierer N, et al. Ultra-high-510 45. 511 throughput microbial community analysis on the Illumina HiSeq and MiSeq platforms. ISME J 2012; 6: 1621–4. 512 Albanese D, Fontana P, De Filippo C, Cavalieri D, Donati C. MICCA: a complete and 46. 513 514 accurate software for taxonomic profiling of metagenomic data. Sci Rep 2015; 5: 9743. 47. Rognes T, Flouri T, Nichols B, Quince C, Mahé F. VSEARCH: a versatile open source tool 515 for metagenomics. PeerJ 2016; 4: e2584. 516 48. Wang O, Garrity GM, Tiedje JM, Cole JR. Naive Bayesian classifier for rapid assignment of 517 rRNA sequences into the new bacterial taxonomy. Appl Environ Microbiol 2007; 73: 5261-7. 518 49. Price MN, Dehal PS, Arkin AP. Fasttree: Computing large minimum evolution trees with 519 520 profiles instead of a distance matrix. Mol Biol Evol 2009; 26: 1641-1650. McMurdie PJ, Holmes S. phyloseq: An R Package for Reproducible Interactive Analysis and 50. 521 Graphics of Microbiome Census Data. PLoS One 2013; 8: e61217. 522 523 51. Dixon P. VEGAN, a package of R functions for community ecology. J Veg Sci 2003; 14: 927-930. 524 Love MI, Huber W, Anders S. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-52. 525

526 seq data with DESeq2. Genome Biol 2014; 15: 550. Hijmans RJ, Williams E, Vennes C. Package 'geosphere'. Spherical Trigonometry 2017. 527 53. R Core Team. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for 54. 528 529 Statistical Computing. 2018. Vienna, Austria. Wickham H. ggplot2: Elegant graphics for data analysis. 2016. Springer-Verlag. 55. 530 Foster ZSL, Sharpton TJ, Grünwald NJ. Metacoder: An R package for visualization and 56. 531 532 manipulation of community taxonomic diversity data. PLOS Comput Biol 2017; 13: e1005404. 533 57. Ley RE, Turnbaugh PJ, Klein S, Gordon JI. Microbial ecology: human gut microbes 534 535 associated with obesity. Nature 2006; 444: 1022-3. 58. Fernandes J, Su W, Rahat-Rozenbloom S, Wolever TMS, Comelli EM. Adiposity, gut 536 microbiota and faecal short chain fatty acids are linked in adult humans. Nutr Diabetes 2014; 537 538 **4**: e121–e121. Hildebrandt MA, Hoffmann C, Sherrill-Mix SA, Keilbaugh SA, Hamady M, Chen Y, et al. 539 59. High-Fat diet determines the composition of the Murine gut microbiome independently of 540 obesity. Gastroenterology 2009; 137: 1716-1724.e2. 541 Mueller S. Saunier K, Hanisch C, Norin E, Alm L, Midtvedt T, et al. Differences in fecal 542 60. microbiota in different European study populations in relation to age, gender, and country: a 543 cross-sectional study. Appl Environ Microbiol 2006; 72: 1027-33. 544 545 61. Koenig JE, Spor A, Scalfone N, Fricker AD, Stombaugh J, Knight R, et al. Succession of microbial consortia in the developing infant gut microbiome. Proc Natl Acad Sci 2011; 108: 546 4578-4585. 547 548 62. Dominianni C, Sinha R, Goedert JJ, Pei Z, Yang L, Hayes RB, et al. Sex, Body Mass Index, and Dietary Fiber Intake Influence the Human Gut Microbiome. PLoS One 2015; 10: 549 e0124599. 550 551 63. Bennett G, Malone M, Sauther ML, Cuozzo FP, White B, Nelson KE, et al. Host age, social group, and habitat type influence the gut microbiota of wild ring-tailed lemurs (*Lemur catta*). 552 Am J Primatol 2016; 78: 883–892. 553 554 64. Aivelo T, Laakkonen J, Jernvall J. Population- and Individual-Level Dynamics of the Intestinal Microbiota of a Small Primate. Appl Environ Microbiol 2016; 82: 3537-45. 555 Maurice CF, CL Knowles S, Ladau J, Pollard KS, Fenton A, Pedersen AB, et al. Marked 65. 556 seasonal variation in the wild mouse gut microbiota. ISME J 2015; 9: 2423–2434. 557 66. Amato KR, Leigh SR, Kent A, Mackie RI, Yeoman CJ, Stumpf RM, et al. The Gut 558 Microbiota Appears to Compensate for Seasonal Diet Variation in the Wild Black Howler 559 Monkey (Alouatta pigra). Microb Ecol 2015; 69: 434-443. 560 561 67. Faith DP. Conservation evaluation and phylogenetic diversity. *Biol Conserv* 1992; 61: 1–10. 68. Cadotte MW, Jonathan Davies T, Regetz J, Kembel SW, Cleland E, Oakley TH. Phylogenetic 562 diversity metrics for ecological communities: integrating species richness, abundance and 563 564 evolutionary history. Ecol Lett 2010; 13: 96-105. 69. Berg M, Stenuit B, Ho J, Wang A, Parke C, Knight M, et al. Assembly of the Caenorhabditis 565 elegans gut microbiota from diverse soil microbial environments. ISME J 2016; 10: 1998-566 2009. 567 Bentzen TW, Follmann EH, Amstrup SC, York GS, Wooller MJ, O 'hara TM. Variation in 70. 568 winter diet of southern Beaufort Sea polar bears inferred from stable isotope analysis. 569 Schliebe S, Rode KD, Gleason JS, Wilder J, Proffitt K, Evans TJ, et al. Effects of sea ice 570 71. extent and food availability on spatial and temporal distribution of polar bears during the fall 571 open-water period in the Southern Beaufort Sea. Polar Biol 2008; 31: 999-1010. 572 573 72. McKinney MA, Atwood TC, Iverson SJ, Peacock E. Temporal complexity of southern Beaufort Sea polar bear diets during a period of increasing land use. *Ecosphere* 2017; 8: 574 e01633. 575

- Atwood TC, Duncan C, Patyk KA, Nol P, Rhyan J, McCollum M, et al. Environmental and
 behavioral changes may influence the exposure of an Arctic apex predator to pathogens and
 contaminants. *Sci Rep* 2017; **7**: 13193.
- 579 74. Muegge BD, Kuczynski J, Knights D, Clemente JC, Gonzalez A, Fontana L, et al. Diet Drives
 580 Convergence in Gut Microbiome Functions Across Mammalian Phylogeny and Within
 581 Humans. *Science* (80-) 2011; **332**: 970–974.
- 582 75. David LA, Maurice CF, Carmody RN, Gootenberg DB, Button JE, Wolfe BE, et al. Diet
 583 rapidly and reproducibly alters the human gut microbiome. *Nature* 2013; 505: 559–563.
- 584 76. Carmody RN, Gerber GK, Luevano JM, Gatti DM, Somes L, Svenson KL, et al. Diet
 585 Dominates Host Genotype in Shaping the Murine Gut Microbiota. *Cell Host Microbe* 2015;
 586 17: 72–84.
- 587 77. Stirling I, Archibald WR. Aspects of Predation of Seals by Polar Bears. *J Fish Res Board*588 *Canada* 1977; 34: 1126–1129.
- 78. Rode KD, Robbins CT, Nelson L, Amstrup SC. Can polar bears use terrestrial foods to offset lost ice-based hunting opportunities? *Front Ecol Environ* 2015; 13: 138–145.
- Find The State State
- 80. McKinney MA, Atwood TC, Iverson SJ, Peacock E. Temporal complexity of southern
 Beaufort Sea polar bear diets during a period of increasing land use. *Ecosphere* 2017; 8:
 e01633.
- 81. Broadhurst MJ, Ardeshir A, Kanwar B, Mirpuri J, Gundra UM, Leung JM, et al. Therapeutic
 helminth infection of macaques with idiopathic chronic diarrhea alters the inflammatory
 signature and mucosal microbiota of the colon. *PLoS Pathog* 2012; 8: e1003000.
- 599 82. Deem SL, Karesh WB, Weisman W. Putting theory into practice: wildlife health in conservation. *Conserv Biol* 2008; 15: 1224–1233.
- 83. Patyk KA, Duncan C, Nol P, Sonne C, Laidre K, Obbard M, et al. Establishing a definition of
 polar bear (Ursus maritimus) health: a guide to research and management activities. *Sci Total Environ* 2015; **514**: 371–8.
- 604 605
- 606 Figure legends
- 607

611

617

Figure 1. Map of study area showing the sampling locations of 112 southern Beaufort Sea polar bears
along the north coast of Alaska. Inset map shows the location of the study area, highlighting that one
sample originates from a more northerly location that the others.

Figure 2. a) Stacked bar chart of the relative abundance of 25 bacterial phyla in the faecal microbiota of 112 southern Beaufort Sea polar bears. Phyla in the legend are listed in order of decreasing abundance **b**) Inset is a metacoder heatmap plotted to order level: each node moving from the centre outwards represents a different taxonomic rank, whereby kingdom is the centre and nodes representing order appear on the outer edges. The map is weighted and coloured by read abundance.

Figure 3. Violin plots of alpha diversity within the faecal microbiota of 85 southern Beaufort Sea polar bears for which 'onshore/offshore' land use is known (see text for definitions): a) Shannon diversity index b) Inverse Simpson diversity index. Violin plots combine a box plot with a density plot, and as such the width of each plot corresponds to the distribution of the data.

Figure 4. Total number of OTUs in the faecal microbiota of 'onshore' and 'offshore' bears, by
bacterial Class. Inset shows shared OTUs by onshore (green) and offshore (blue) bears.

625

- **Figure 5.** Differential OTU abundance of onshore compared to offshore bears from DESeq2 analysis, plotted with individual OTU number and associated family assignment.