CARDIFF UNIVERSITY PRIFYSGOL CAERDY

ORCA – Online Research @ Cardiff

This is an Open Access document downloaded from ORCA, Cardiff University's institutional repository:https://orca.cardiff.ac.uk/id/eprint/130591/

This is the author's version of a work that was submitted to / accepted for publication.

Citation for final published version:

Allen, Christopher M., Feng, Jenny J., Willis, Mark D., McGinley, Marisa, Ontaneda, Daniel, Tallantyre, Emma C. and Evangelou, Nikos 2020. Clinical observation during alemtuzumab administration. Multiple Sclerosis and Related Disorders 37, 101412. 10.1016/j.msard.2019.101412

Publishers page: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.msard.2019.101412

Please note:

Changes made as a result of publishing processes such as copy-editing, formatting and page numbers may not be reflected in this version. For the definitive version of this publication, please refer to the published source. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite this paper.

This version is being made available in accordance with publisher policies. See http://orca.cf.ac.uk/policies.html for usage policies. Copyright and moral rights for publications made available in ORCA are retained by the copyright holders.



Title: Clinical observation during alemtuzumab administration

Authors: Christopher M Allen MBBS,¹ Jenny J Feng MD,² Mark D Willis PhD,³ Marisa McGinley DO,² Daniel Ontaneda MD MS,² Emma C Tallantyre PhD³ and Nikos Evangelou DPhil¹

Affiliations and addresses:

- 1. Neurology Group, Division of Clinical Neuroscience, University of Nottingham C Floor, South Block, Queen's Medical Centre, Nottingham, NG7 2UH, United Kingdom
- Mellen Center for Multiple Sclerosis, Cleveland Clinic 9500 Euclid Ave U10 Mellen, Cleveland, Ohio, 44195
- 3. Division of Psychological Medicine and Clinical Neurosciences, Cardiff University Cardiff and Vale University Health Board, Heath Park, Cardiff, CF14 4XW

Article type: Commentary

Word count: 601

Keywords:

20 : Therapeutics

23 : ALEMTUZUMAB (CAMPATH-1H)

Highlights:

- Alemtuzumab has been associated with stroke and cervicocephalic dissections
- Monitoring blood pressure is currently recommended by the EMA
- Monitoring blood pressure is not useful in predicting these rare side effects

Corresponding author: Christopher M Allen

Department of Clinical Neurology, Division of Clinical Neuroscience

C Floor, South Block

Queen's Medical Centre

Nottingham

NG7 2UH

United Kingdom

Email: christopher.allen@nottingham.ac.uk

Phone: +441158231192

There is growing evidence regarding the risk of cervical arterial dissection and intracerebral haemorrhage immediately following alemtuzumab infusion, for relapsing remitting multiple sclerosis (MS). Durand-Dubief et al. reported a case of multiple cervical arterial dissections days after treatment with alemtuzumab.¹ Five cases of intracerebral haemorrhage were recently reported by Azevedo et al.² In November 2018, this concern prompted the United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA) to add the risk of stroke within three days of administration to the boxed warning on the drug's label.³ The FDA commented on the possible causative role of cytokine release syndrome, but decided there was insufficient evidence. The European Medicines Agency (EMA) have recently launched a safety review, placed interim restrictions on the prescription of alemtuzumab, and focussed on blood pressure, advising: "For patients being treated with Lemtrada®, vital signs should be monitored before and during the intravenous infusion."⁴ Azevedo et al. suggested that hypertension, or acute fluctuations in blood pressure, may be responsible for acute haemorrhagic strokes and proposed four criteria that should prompt admission for inpatient observation with strict blood pressure control. Either a 20% or 20mmHg increase in the mean daily systolic blood pressure (SBP), or a one-off value that exceeds the patients' baseline SBP by the same extent. Chinea et al. subsequently reported their prospective observational safety study of alemtuzumab administration and found that 39% of their cohort had a SBP ≥ 160 mmHg at least once during treatment, and only half of this group had a pre-existing diagnosis of hypertension.⁵

We audited 83 consecutive patients receiving their first course of alemtuzumab therapy for MS from our three MS centres. Blood pressure was recorded prior to infusion and at 30-minute intervals for up to four hours post infusion. The cohort was 73% female; had a mean age of 36; a median EDSS of 3.0, and 27% received alemtuzumab as first line therapy. Five patients had a pre-existing diagnosis of hypertension, two were obese, two were current smokers, one was an ex-smoker and one had type two diabetes mellitus. Pre-medication given prior to each infusion consisted of methylprednisolone 500-1000mg, acetaminophen 1000mg, and diphenhydramine 50mg or cetirizine 10mg.

While there was no significant change in mean or peak SBP or diastolic blood pressure over the five infusion days (\leq 3mmHg), Azevedo's proposed criteria were met by 59% of the cohort at least once across all five days of treatment (table 1). There was no routine monitoring for evidence of cytokine release syndrome. In our cohort, there was one case of carotid artery dissection, occurring after the third day of alemtuzumab infusion in a female patient aged 25 years old. Just as with Durand-Dubief's case, she had no history of a connective tissue disorder, trauma, or a recent infection. This patient met only one of Azevedo's proposed criteria; on the day the dissection occurred the patient's SBP had a one-off value in excess of 20mmHg of that day's baseline.

Based on this data, we believe that the clinical observations suggested by Azevedo et al. and the EMA lack clinical utility in identifying people at risk of cervicocephalic arterial dissection or cerebrovascular events during treatment with alemtuzumab. The proposed criteria lack specificity due to the variability of routine clinical SBP recordings and the rarity of adverse events. We believe further safety studies are needed to help mitigate the risks of therapy, exploring the value of additional monitoring for evidence of cytokine release syndrome, not just blood pressure monitoring. Monitoring for cytokine release syndrome might include a combination of clinical observation and blood testing for markers of cytokine release such as D-dimers, prothrombin time, $TNF-\alpha$, $IFN-\gamma$ and IL-6.

Table 1

Proposed Criteria	Patients meeting criteria (%)
Mean daily SBP >20% pre-treatment baseline	11 (13)
Mean daily SBP >20mmHg pre-treatment baseline	13 (16)
Daily peak SBP >20% daily baseline	33 (40)
Daily peak SBP >20mmHg daily baseline	45 (54)
Meeting any of the criteria during treatment	49 (59)

References

1. Durand-Dubief, F., Marignier, R., Berthezene, Y., Cottin, J., Nighoghossian, N., & Vukusic, S. Spontaneous multiple cervical artery dissections after alemtuzumab. Multiple Sclerosis Journal. 2019. https://doi.org/10.1177/1352458519828663

2. Azevedo CJ, Kutz C, Dix A, Boster A, Sanossian N, Kaplan J. Intracerebral haemorrhage during alemtuzumab administration. The Lancet Neurology. 2019;18(4):329-31

3. US FDA. FDA warns about rare but serious risks of stroke and blood vessel wall tears with multiple sclerosis drug Lemtrada (alemtuzumab) [Accessed 13 May; 2019]. Available from: <u>https://www.fda.gov/drugs/drug-safety-and-availability/fda-warns-about-rare-serious-risks-stroke-and-blood-vessel-wall-tears-multiple-sclerosis-drug</u>

4. EMA. Use of multiple sclerosis medicine Lemtrada restricted while EMA review is ongoing [Accessed 13 May 2019]. Available from: <u>https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/referral/lemtrada-article-</u> 20-referral-use-multiple-sclerosis-medicine-lemtrada-restricted-while-ema-review en.pdf

5. Chinea A, Honeycutt WD, Miller T, Graves D, Jacobs A, Wu J, et al. Clinically Insignificant Effect of Alemtuzumab Infusions on Vital Signs: A Prospective Observational Study in Patients with Relapsing-Remitting Multiple Sclerosis. International Journal of MS Care. 2019. <u>https://doi.org/10.7224/1537-2073.2018-076</u>

Acknowledgements

We thank the patient for consenting to have details of her case published in this commentary.

Author's Contributions

The article was conceived by NE, ECT and DO. Data collection was performed by CMA, JJF, MDW and MM. Data analysis was performed by CMA who also drafted the manuscript. All authors reviewed the manuscript for intellectual content and approved the final version.