ORCA – Online Research @ Cardiff



This is an Open Access document downloaded from ORCA, Cardiff University's institutional repository:https://orca.cardiff.ac.uk/id/eprint/131080/

This is the author's version of a work that was submitted to / accepted for publication.

Citation for final published version:

Sun, Qiliang and Alves, Tiago 2020. Petrophysics of fine-grained mass-transport deposits: a critical review. Journal of Asian Earth Sciences 192, 104291. 10.1016/j.jseaes.2020.104291

Publishers page: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jseaes.2020.104291

Please note:

Changes made as a result of publishing processes such as copy-editing, formatting and page numbers may not be reflected in this version. For the definitive version of this publication, please refer to the published source. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite this paper.

This version is being made available in accordance with publisher policies. See http://orca.cf.ac.uk/policies.html for usage policies. Copyright and moral rights for publications made available in ORCA are retained by the copyright holders.



1	Petrophysics of fine-grained mass-transport deposits:
2	a critical review
3	
4	Qiliang Sun ^{a,b,c,d,*} , Tiago Alves ^e
5	^a College of Marine Science and Technology, China University of Geosciences (CUG),
6	Wuhan, Hubei 430074, PR China;
7	^b Laboratory for Marine Mineral Resources, Qingdao National Laboratory for Marine
8	Science and Technology, Qingdao 266061, China;
9	^c Key Laboratory of Tectonics and Petroleum Resources, China University of
10	Geosciences, Ministry of Education, Wuhan 430074, China;
11	^d Department of Earth Sciences, University of Oxford, Oxford OX1 3AN, United
12	Kingdom;
13	^e 3D Seismic Lab, School of Earth and Ocean Sciences, Cardiff University, Main
14	Building, Park Place, Cardiff CF10 3AT, United Kingdom;
15	
16 17	Highlights
18 19	1. Fine-grained MTDs comprise a 'main body' and a 'basal shear zone';
20	2. The main bodies of MTD have contrasting petrophysical properties to their basal
21 22	shear zones;
23 24 25	3. The main bodies of MTD tend to form a seal interval, whilst the basal shear zone are carriers of fluid;
26 27 28	4. Basal shear zones of MTDs can comprise weak layers promoting further slope instability.
29	Abstract:

^{*}Corresponding author: Dr. Qiliang Sun Telephone/fax: +86 27 67886167 E-mail address: <u>sunqiliang@cug.edu.cn</u>.

Submarine slope failures and their products occur at variable scales on continental 30 margins and island flanks. Here, we review the petrophysics of fine-grained mass-31 32 transport deposits (MTDs) from three representative regions: the Ulleung Basin from offshore Korea, the Ursa Region in the Gulf of Mexico, and the Amazon Fan in the 33 34 Equatorial Brazil. This study shows that fine-grained MTDs comprise a 'main body' and a 'basal shear zone'. Compared to undeformed 'background' hemipelagic 35 sediments, the main bodies of all studied MTDs are characterised by their: (1) higher 36 resistivity, density, velocity and shear strength, and (2) lower water content, porosity 37 38 and permeability. These properties indicate that MTDs are more consolidated than 'background' undeformed strata due marked dewatering and shear compaction during 39 40 their emplacement, thus enhancing the sealing competence of such strata. However, the 41 basal shear zones show contrasting petrophysical trends, recording an increase in porosity when compared to the main MTD bodies. This suggests that the fractured basal 42 shear zones of MTDs serve as main fluid paths, and fluids can accumulate within or 43 44 laterally migrate along them. This study ends by postulating that dipping strata on continental slopes can likely fail under its own gravity, with fractured, gas-charged 45 basal shear zones at the base of MTDs comprising weak layers for further slope 46 instability. 47

48

49 Keywords:

50 Mass-transport deposits; fluid migration; petrophysics; seal competence; IODP/ODP;

51 Gulf of Mexico; Ulleung Basin; Amazon Fan

52 **1. Introduction**

MTDs are a common component of continental margins and island flanks around the 53 54 world. They have been extensively studied over the past few decades, because of their significant roles in: (1) reshaping the morphology of continental margins, (2) 55 56 controlling the sedimentary architectures of continental slope basins (e.g. Posamentier and Kolla, 2003; Gee et al., 2006; Moscardelli et al., 2006; Moscardelli and Wood, 2008; 57 Gong et al., 2014), (3) transporting large volumes of sediment into deep-water areas 58 (e.g. Weimer, 1989; Frey-Martínez et al., 2005; Gee et al., 2006; Masson et al., 2006; 59 60 Lee and Stow, 2007; Moscardelli and Wood, 2008; Li et al., 2015), and (4) generating catastrophic near-seafloor geohazards (e.g. Locat and Lee, 2002; Krastel et al., 2006; 61 62 Masson et al., 2006; Alves, 2015).

63 Most studies of MTDs have thus far been based on geophysical data (e.g. multichannel seismic, multibeam bathymetry and sidescan sonar data) and, as a result, the 64 external morphologies and internal characters of such deposits are well known at a 65 66 decametric (10 m) scale (e.g. McAdoo et al., 2000; Posamentier, 2004; Sawyer et al., 2007; Moscardelli and Wood, 2008; Bull et al., 2009). Mass-transport deposits have 67 also been sampled by the DSDP/ODP/IODP drilling consortia and by exploration wells. 68 Their general petrophysical characters (e.g. a tendency for a relative increase in density 69 and a reduction in porosity) have confirmed that MTDs chiefly comprise seal intervals 70 in sedimentary basins (e.g. Piper et al., 1997; Shipp et al., 2004; Sawyer et al., 2007; 71 Dugan, 2012; Reece et al., 2012; Riedel et al., 2012; Hornbach et al., 2015; Gardona et 72 al., 2016; Bahk et al., 2017). Nevertheless, the scientific community recognises that the 73

petrophysical characters of MTDs are still insufficiently addressed due to a relative lack 74 of core samples and well-log data (e.g. Shipp et al., 2004; Dugan, 2012; Alves et al., 75 76 2014). Previous works have also been focused on discrete case studies, and broad syntheses using data from distinct continental margins has seldom been completed. In 77 78 order to improve the current knowledge on the petrophysical characters of MTDs, and to better assess their seal competence, one needs to: (1) quantitatively analyze the 79 petrophysical character of distinct MTDs, (2) integrate distinct datasets and lithological 80 information from different continental margins with MTDs, and (3) explore the 81 82 variability in the petrophysical characters of MTDs and their underlying geological 83 causes.

To understand the petrophysical character and seal competence of MTDs is 84 85 particularly important on continental margins where petroliferous basins are located and fluid (e.g. hydrocarbon) migration is active. MTDs triggered by fluid migration 86 occurs at present (e.g. Sultan et al., 2004; Flemings et al., 2008), but the opposite 87 88 scenario in which fluid migration is controlled by MTDs is still poorly understood (Sun 89 et al., 2017). Though they are primarily interpreted as competent seal intervals, thus 90 preventing vertical fluid migration (e.g. Shipp et al., 2004; Alves et al., 2014), strata disaggregation in MTDs, reflected as variations in their structural and petrophysical 91 properties, may also result in the migration and escape of fluid to the surface (e.g. Paull 92 et al., 2003; Bünz et al., 2005; Flemings et al., 2005; Masson et al., 2006). There is also 93 94 limited knowledge about how homogeneous and predictable is seal competence in the largest MTDs at different depths (from top to base) and in the three dimensions (3D). 95

96	This study uses well-log data from IODP/ODP Expeditions 308 and 155, and an
97	exploration well from the Ulleung Basin in South Korea (Riedel et al., 2012) (Fig. 1).
98	Several MTDs were drilled by these wells and their general petrophysical characters
99	are reported in previous studies (e.g. Piper et al., 1997; Sawyer et al., 2007, 2009;
100	Dugan, 2012; Reece et al., 2012; Riedel et al., 2012; Bahk et al., 2017). In this study,
101	we aim to:
102	
103	(1) quantitatively analyse and summarise the petrophysical characters of MTDs in three
104	representative regions, based on our detailed observations and previous work (e.g. Piper
105	et al., 1997; Sawyer et al., 2007; Dugan, 2012; Riedel et al., 2012);
106	(2) understand horizontal and vertical seal heterogeneity in MTDs;
107	(3) propose a formation model for slope failures, discussing the types of geohazards
108	related to them.
109	
110	Compared to previous work, our study is quantitative and described in more detail,
111	focusing on understanding the differences of petrophysical characters between MTDs
112	and undeformed strata, and also between different parts of an MTD ("main body" and
113	"basal shear zone"). This work also provides new data concerning the petrophysical
114	characters of MTDs (see following section).
115	
116	2. Data and methods

117 Logging-while-drilling (LWD) data (resistivity, gamma ray, bulk density, porosity,

compression velocity, shear strength and water content) from IODP Sites U1322, 118 U1323 and U1324 of Expedition 308 (Ursa Region in the Gulf of Mexico), and ODP 119 120 Sites 933, 936 and 941 of Expedition 155 (Amazon Fan), plus wireline data collected from exploration well UBGH 1-4 (Ulleung Basin, offshore Korea), are used to address 121 the petrophysical properties of representative MTDs in these regions. Most of the IODP 122 and ODP data are available on http://brg.ldeo.columbia.edu/logdb/files.php, 123 http://www-odp.tamu.edu/publications/pubs_pr.htm and http://publications.iodp.org/. 124 Data from exploration well UBGH 1-4 is based on Riedel et al. (2012). These data 125 126 are publicly available and were used in previous studies to address the presence of gas hydrates and fluid flow (e.g. Long et al., 2011; Riedel et al., 2012), local sedimentation 127 rates (e.g. Pirmez et al., 1997; Patricia et al., 1997; Yu et al., 2008), and the development 128 129 of slope instability features offshore South Korea (e.g. Piper et al., 1997; Sawyer et al., 2009; Urgeles et al., 2010; Dugan, 2012; Riedel et al., 2012). In this study, we mainly 130 focus our attention on the petrophysical characters of strata within and involving 131 132 discrete MTDs.

The interpreted wireline data was loaded into *Resform* software. Sediment porosity (ø) values from IODP Expedition 308 were calculated from the bulk density (ρ_b) data using the method of Dugan (2012). Porosity is defined as $\phi = (\rho_b - \rho_g)/(\rho_w - \rho_g)$, where ρ_g and ρ_w are solid-grain density and pore-fluid density, respectively. Based on the observed porewater chemistry and measured grain density, the standard seawater density is 1.024 g/cm³ and the constant grain density is 2.7 g/cm³ in the Gulf of Mexico (Flemings et al., 2005; Dugan, 2012).

140

141 **3. Results**

142

143 **3.1.** Petrophysical character of MTDs in the Gulf of Mexico

Six sites were drilled in the Brazos-Trinity Basin and Ursa Region, Gulf of Mexico,
during IODP Expedition 308, held in 2005 (Fig. 1). MTDs were crossed at four sites
(IODP Sites U1320, U1322, U1323 and U1324; Expedition 308 Scientists, 2005), and
their general petrophysical characters have been described by previous studies (e.g.
Sawyer et al., 2009; Dugan, 2012; Reece et al., 2012). Here, we focus on three sites
(IODP Sites U1322, U1323 and U1324) in which fine-grained MTDs accumulated.

150

151 **3.1.1. IODP Site U1322**

IODP Site U1322 drilled clay and mud to a depth of ~234.5 meters below the sea 152 floor (mbsf). Four MTDs, MTD1 to 4, are identified on wireline data (Fig. 2). At IODP 153 154 Site U1322, resistivity increases from ~0.52 to ~0.95 ohm m above MTD 1 (Fig. 2). It jumps slightly to ~0.98 ohm m at the top of MTD 1, quickly reaching a maximum of ~ 155 1.22 ohm m at ~53.6 mbsf. It quickly decreases to ~1.05 ohm m at the base of this same 156 MTD (Fig. 2). Peaks in resistivity are also observed at the tops of MTD 2, MTD 3 and 157 158 MTD 4. For example, resistivity increases from ~ 1.20 to ~ 1.22 ohm m at the top of MTD 2. Conversely, lower resistivity values are observed at the bases of MTD 2, MTD3 159 160 and MTD 4 (Fig. 2).

161 Gamma-ray values are highly variable, but no obvious differences are observed

162 between MTDs and undeformed strata (Fig. 2).

The bulk density of MTD 1 increases at its top until it reaches a maximum of ~ 1.76 163 g/cm³. It then decreases to ~ 1.70 g/cm³ at its base (Fig. 2). Similarly to MTD 1, bulk 164 density sharply increases from ~ 1.80 g/cm³ to ~ 1.85 g/cm³ at the top of MTD 2. It 165 gradually reaches ~1.98 g/cm³ at ~118.6 mbsf within MTD 2, and then decreases to 166 ~1.85 g/cm³ at its base (Fig. 2). The bulk density of MTD 4 has a similar character to 167 MTDs 1 and 2. However, the bulk density in MTD 3 decreases from its top (~1.96 168 g/cm^3) to its base (~1.89 g/cm³). In general, average bulk density is higher in MTDs 169 170 than that in undeformed strata (Fig. 2). For instance, MTD 2 is ~8.4% and ~3.2% denser than undeformed strata above and below. 171

Porosity gradually decreases below the sea floor (Fig. 2). In addition, both the tops 172 173 and bases of MTDs 1, 2 and 4 are marked by sharp porosity changes (Fig. 2). The porosity of MTD 1 decreases from ~65% at its top to a minimum of ~55.5% at 57.6 174 mbsf. It then increases to ~59.6% at its base (Fig. 2). MTD 2 has similar trend to MTD 175 1. Its porosity decreases from ~53.1% at its top to ~43.0% at ~118.7 mbsf, increasing 176 to $\sim 50.5\%$ at its base (Fig. 2). The average porosity of MTD 2 is $\sim 11.4\%$ and $\sim 3.3\%$ 177 lower than the porosities of undeformed strata confining it. The porosity of MTD 3 178 increases from ~43.3% at its top to ~46.9% at its base, showing an average porosity of 179 ~44.6%. The porosity of MTD 4 is similar to that of MTD 1. It decreases to a minimum 180 of ~44.4% at ~190.0 mbsf, to increase once again at its base (Fig. 2). 181

182

183 **3.1.2. IODP Site U1323**

IODP Site U1323 drilled through 241 m of hemipelagic mud (0-195 mbsf) and silty
sand (195-241 mbsf) (Fig. 3). Two MTDs are identified on seismic and wireline data at
this site. The shallower, mud-dominated MTD 5 is ~9 m thick (41-50 mbsf). The deeper
MTD 6 is also mud dominated, but shows thin silt intervals (3-6 m). MTD 6 is ~98 m
thick, occurring between 97 and 195 mbsf (Fig. 3).

At IODP Site U1323, resistivity increases from ~0.5 to ~1.4 Ohm m in the first 195 189 m, within mud-dominated sediments (Fig. 3). Two sharp increases in resistivity, 190 corresponding to the tops of MTDs, are observed. For example, resistivity increases 191 192 from ~1.06 to ~1.30 Ohm m at the top of MTD 6; the average resistivity of MTD 5 and MTD 6 is ~18% and ~23.6% higher than undeformed strata above, and ~8% and ~24.8% 193 higher when compared with undeformed strata below (Fig. 3; Table 1). Compared to 194 195 muddy undeformed sediment, the silty intervals drilled within and below MTD 6 have low resistivity. 196

There are minor differences in the gamma-ray curves when comparing MTDs with undeformed strata (Fig. 3). In general, gamma-ray values in the mud are much higher than in silt- or sand-dominated sediments (Fig. 3; Table 1).

The bulk density of MTD 5 increases sharply at its top and keeps increasing to a maximum of ~1.68 g/cm³ at its base. The average bulk density of MTD 5 is slightly higher than undeformed strata (Table 1). There is a ~0.1 g/cm³ jump in bulk density at the top of MTD 6. Bulk density then remains constant at ~2.0 g/cm³ from 120 to 184 mbsf, with only two drops in density that correspond to silt/sand intervals (Fig. 3). At the base of MTD 6 (184 - 195 mbsf), the bulk density decreases markedly and is ~12% lower than the average bulk density of MTD strata above. The bulk density of the
silt/sand interval below MTD 6 gradually increases to ~1.86 g/cm³, remaining constant
until the bottom of IODP Site U1323 (Fig. 3). In general, the average bulk density of
MTD 6 is ~7% higher than that of undeformed strata (Table 1).

Porosity has a negative relationship with bulk density at IODP Site U1323; it 210 gradually decreases from $\sim 66\%$ at the top of MTD 5 to $\sim 60\%$ at its base (Fig. 3). The 211 average porosity of MTD 5 is $\sim 4.5\%$ and $\sim 3\%$ lower than the average porosities of 212 undeformed strata above and below, respectively (Table 1). Porosity gradually 213 214 decreases to ~43% at 120 mbsf. Except for two small increases that correspond to silty intervals, porosity is mostly constant with a minor fluctuation from 120 to 184 mbsf 215 (Fig. 3). However, porosity increases markedly at the base of MTD 6 (184 - 195 mbsf), 216 217 where is ~28.3% higher than the average porosity of MTD strata above. The porosity of silt/sand intervals below MTD 6 gradually decreases to ~52% and is constant until 218 the bottom of IODP Site U1323 (Fig. 3). In general, the average porosity of MTD 6 is 219 \sim 14.3% lower than the porosity of undeformed sediments (Table 1). 220

221

222 **3.1.3. IODP Site U1324**

IODP Site U1324 terminates at 612 mbsf and crosses a 57 m-thick MTD 7 (110-167 mbsf) that is mud-dominated (Fig. 4). MTD 7 is characterised on seismic data by its chaotic internal reflections and marked top and basal surfaces (Fig. 4). Changes in resistivity, gamma ray, density and porosity are less marked at the top of MTD 7 than at its base (Fig. 4). In terms of resistivity, there is a minor increase (\sim 0.06 Ohm \cdot m) at

228	the top of MTD 7, to then gradually increase with depth to an average of ~1.23 Ohm \cdot m
229	(Fig. 4). At the base of MTD 7, resistivity decreases sharply to ~1.1 Ohm \cdot m (Fig. 4).
230	Gamma-ray values at IODP Site U1324 decrease from ~78.0 to ~69.0 gapi from
231	undeformed strata towards MTD 7 (Fig. 4). In MTD 7, gamma-ray values increase to
232	~81.5 gapi from its top to ~133 mbsf, and then decrease to ~62.0 gapi from 133 to 159
233	mbsf. At the base of MTD 7, the gamma ray increases again and reaches a maximum
234	of ~82.0 gapi at 166 mbsf (Fig. 4).

Bulk density increases to $\sim 2.0 \text{ g/cm}^3$ from the sea floor to 150 mbsf, and remains constant at $\sim 2.0 \text{ g/cm}^3$ from 150 to 162 mbsf (Fig. 4). The bulk density sharply decreases at the base of MTD 7 (162 - 166 mbsf) where a minimum of $\sim 1.78 \text{ g/cm}^3$ is reached.

The porosity of MTD 7 shows a negative relationship with the bulk density curve. It increases sharply to reach to \sim 55% at the base of MTD 7 (Fig. 4). The porosity of undeformed sediments below MTD 7 is nearly constant, with an average bulk density of \sim 46.7%.

243

3.2. Petrophysical character of MTDs in the Ulleung Basin, South Korea

Exploration wells were drilled in the Ulleung Basin in 2007 and 2010 to verify the

presence of gas hydrates. MTDs were found in several wells (e.g. UBGH1-4, UBGH1-

247 14, UBGH2-4, UBGH2-5A and UBGH2-8) (Riedel et al., 2012, 2013; Ryu et al., 2012;

Bahk et al., 2017). Wireline data for UBGH 1-4 is re-assessed in this work.

249 UBGH 1-4 crossed a mud-dominated succession comprising three distinct MTDs

(Fig. 5). The shallower MTD 8 is ~9 m thick (~38 - 47 mbsf), whereas the deeper MTD
10 is only ~4 m thick (~146 - 150 mbsf). MTD 9 at the middle of the drilled succession
has a thickness of ~56 m from ~66 to 122 mbsf (Fig. 5).

- In UBGH 1-4, resistivity increases to ~1.4 ohm·m at the top of MTD 8, dropping to ~0.8 ohm·m at its base (Fig. 5). The resistivity sharply increases from ~0.8 to 1.4 ohm·m at the top of MTD 9 (Fig. 5). It fluctuates between ~1.2 and ~1.4 ohm·m in MTD 9, with a sharp increase between 112 and 115 mbsf (maximum of ~1.8 ohm·m). It decreases to ~1.1 ohm·m at the base of MTD 9 (Fig. 5). The resistivity of MTD 10 has a similar pattern to MTDs 8 and 9, increasing to ~1.5 ohm·m at its top and dropping to ~0.8 ohm·m at its base (Fig. 5).
- Gamma-ray curves do not reveal differences between MTDs and undeformed strata (Fig. 5). In MTD 9, gamma-ray values decrease slightly in its upper part, to then increase in its lower half (Fig. 5). There is a minor drop in gamma-ray values at the base of MTD 9 (Fig. 5).
- 264 P-wave velocity (Vp) increases to ~1540 m/s from ~1480 m/s at the top of MTD 8 (~36- 41 mbsf), dropping to ~1490 m/s at its base (~44 - 46 mbsf) (Fig. 5). Vp values 265 are nearly constant (~1490 - 1500 m/s) in the strata separating MTD 8 and MTD 9. Vp 266 values increase to ~1580 m/s at the top of MTD 9 and are kept between 1550 m/s and 267 1700 m/s, values that are ~10.0% higher than those of undeformed strata. Vp decreases 268 markedly at the base of MTD 10 (119 - 122 mbsf) (Fig. 5). Except for a Vp maximum 269 of ~1700 m/s in MTD 10, the strata below MTD 10 show Vp values between ~1520 270 m/s and ~1580 m/s, with moderate variations (Fig. 5). 271

Bulk density increases from ~1.4 g/cm³ to ~1.85 g/cm³ at the top of MTD 8, dropping to ~1.4 g/cm³ at its base (Fig. 5). Bulk density also increases at the top of MTD 9, ranging from ~1.6 g/cm³ to ~2.0 g/cm³ within this latter MTDs (Fig. 5). At the base of MTD 9 (~119 - 122 mbsf), the bulk density decreases sharply to ~1.75 g/cm³ (Fig. 5). The bulk density of strata underlying MTD 9 ranges from ~1.5 g/cm³ to ~1.7 g/cm³ (Fig. 5).

Neutron porosity gradually decreases from the sea floor to the lower part of MTD 8. 278 However, porosity increases to ~64% at the base of MTD 8 (Fig. 5). Porosity gradually 279 280 decreases below the top of MTD 9; it ranges between ~48 and ~52% from ~77 to ~99 mbsf. It varies markedly between ~99 and ~112 mbsf to reach a maximum of ~60% at 281 ~112 mbsf (Fig. 5). From ~112 to ~119 mbsf, the porosity gradually decreases to ~42%282 283 at ~119 mbsf, quickly increasing to ~54% at the base of MTD 9 (~119 - 122 mbsf). Except for a large drop in porosity within MTD 10 (to a minimum of ~40%), the 284 porosity of strata below MTD 9 ranges between ~52 and 60%, with moderate variations 285 286 (Fig. 5).

287

3.3. Petrophysical character of MTDs in the Amazon Fan

MTDs were penetrated at ODP Sites 931, 933, 935, 936, 941 and 944 (ODP Leg 155), where they are intercalated with thick, predominately muddy, channel-levee deposits (Piper et al., 1997). The petrophysical characters of MTDs at ODP Sites 933, 936 and 941, which drilled fine-grained sediments, are analyzed. Compared to the latest IODP and exploration well data, as referred to in the previous sections, ODP Leg 155 was drilled in 1994, sampling cores at intervals of 1.0 to 6.0 m. Therefore, we could only rely on the trends of well-log data and could not identify detailed variations in petrophysical properties, especially at the tops and bases of MTDs. In other words, the main body of MTDs, and their basal shear zones, are not well resolved in the Amazon Fan sites (Fig. 6).

299

300 **3.3.1. ODP Site 933**

301 ODP Site 933 has a total length of cored section approaching 254.2 m, in which a 302 mass-transport deposit (MTD 11) with a thickness of ~70 m (~97.6-167.3 mbsf) was 303 drilled (Fig. 6).

The porosity of ODP Site 933 gradually decreases from the sea floor to the bottom of the well. Porosity greatly decreases at the top of MTD11, increasing at its base (Fig. 6a). For example, the porosity of MTD 11 decreases to ~50.8% from ~52% at its top and increases to ~58.1% from ~44.6% at its base. The porosity of MTD 11 ranges from ~41.1 to ~50.8%, with an average of ~45.8%. This is ~15.6% and ~14.2% lower than the average porosity of undeformed strata above (~54.3%) and below (~53.4%) MTD 11 (Fig. 6a).

Resistivity is highly variable and generally increases with depth (Fig. 6b). It increases from ~0.39 ohm·m in undeformed strata to ~0.45 ohm·m at the top of MTD 11 (Fig. 6b). It also decreases from ~0.54 ohm·m at the base of MTD 11 to ~0.36 ohm·m in undeformed strata below MTD 11. In general, MTD 11 has an average resistivity of ~0.47 ohm·m that is ~9.3% and ~17.5% higher than undeformed strata above (with an average of ~0.43 ohm·m within a ~20 m interval) and below (average of ~0.40 ohm·m within a ~30 m interval).

MTD 11 is denser than undeformed strata above and below (Fig. 6c). The density increases from ~1.87 g/cm² to ~1.92 g/cm² at the top of MTD 11, and decreases to ~1.79 g/cm² from ~2.04 g/cm² at the base of MTD 11. In general, MTD 11 has an average density of ~2.00 g/cm², ranging from ~1.91 to 2.11 g/cm². It is ~6.4% higher than undeformed strata above (~1.88 g/cm² within a ~20 m interval) and below (~1.88 g/cm² within a ~30 m interval).

324

325 **3.3.2. ODP Site 936**

ODP Site 936 drilled ~300 m of muddy deposits. A ~141 m thick MTD 12 was
crossed from ~153 to 294 mbsf (Fig. 6).

Similarly to ODP Site 933, porosity gradually decreases with depth (Fig. 6a). 328 Porosity decreases from ~52.1% in undeformed strata above MTD 12 to ~45.9% at its 329 top. It increases from ~40% of the base of MTD 12 to ~45.3% in undeformed strata 330 below. The average porosity of MTD 12 is ~44%, varying from ~39.1% to 53.3% (Fig. 331 6a). These values are $\sim 19.1\%$ and $\sim 3.6\%$ lower than the average porosity of undeformed 332 strata above (average of ~54.4% in a ~50 m-thick interval above MTD 12) and below 333 (with an average porosity of ~45.7% in a ~10 m-thick interval below MTD 12). 334 The resistivity of MTD 12 at ODP Site 936 ranges between ~0.41 ohm m and ~0.73 335

ohm \cdot m with an average of ~0.51 ohm \cdot m (Fig. 6b). It is ~24.4% and ~8.5% higher than

337 the average resistivity of undeformed strata above (~0.41 ohm \cdot m within a ~40 m

interval) and below (~0.47 ohm·m within a ~10 m interval). Moreover, the resistivity varies sharply at the boundaries between MTD 12 and undeformed strata (Fig. 6b). For example, it increases from ~0.42 ohm·m to ~0.48 ohm·m at the top of MTD 12, and decreases from ~0.61 ohm·m to ~0.44 ohm·m at the base of MTD 12.

MTD 12 has a higher density compared to undeformed strata (Fig. 6c). The density increases to ~2.03 g/cm² from ~1.87 g/cm² at the top of MTD 12, and decreases to ~2.00 g/cm² from ~2.13 g/cm² at its base. In general, the average density of MTD 12 is ~2.04 g/cm², ranging between ~1.84 g/cm² and 2.15 g/cm². It is ~9.1% and ~1.0% larger than the density of undeformed strata above (average of ~1.87 g/cm² in a ~40 m-thick interval above MTD 12) and below (with an average of ~2.02 g/cm² in a ~10 m-thick interval below MTD 12).

349

350 **3.3.3. ODP Site 941**

ODP Site 941 drilled to a depth of ~177.9 mbsf and crossed a shallow MTD 13 from
~5.3 mbsf to ~129.7 mbsf (Fig. 6a).

The porosity of MTD 13 ranges from ~44.3% to ~64.3% and has an average of ~54.8%. It is ~8.1% higher than the average porosity of undeformed strata below (average of ~50.7% in a ~20 m-thick interval), but ~26.7% lower than the average porosity of undeformed strata above (~74.8%, ~5.3 m). Porosity increases from ~49.4% at the base of MTD 13 to ~51.3% in undeformed strata below. It also increases from 64.2% at the top of MTD 13 to ~74.8% in undeformed strata above (Fig. 6a). Resistivity varies sharply across the upper and lower boundaries of MTD 13 (Fig.

360	6b). For example, it decreases from ~ 0.41 ohm m at the base of MTD 13 to ~ 0.39
361	ohm \cdot m in undeformed strata below. The average resistivity of MTD 13 is ~0.33 ohm \cdot m,
362	ranging from ~0.21 ohm \cdot m to ~0.47 ohm \cdot m; i.e. ~65% higher than that of undeformed
363	strata above (~ 0.20 ohm·m in the 5.3 m-thick interval to the sea floor). However, it is
364	~25% lower than the resistivity of undeformed strata below MTD 13 (~0.44 ohm m
365	within a ~20 m interval).

In terms of density, MTD 13 shows similar trends to MTD 11 and MTD 12 (Fig. 6c). It shows a sharp increase in density from ~1.50 g/cm² in undeformed strata above, to ~1.65 g/cm² at the top of MTD 12. At the base of MTD 13, the density decreases from ~1.99 g/cm² to ~1.92 g/cm². In general, the density of MTD 13 ranges from ~1.65 g/cm² to ~2.02 g/cm², for an average of ~1.85 g/cm². This average value is ~23.3% higher than for undeformed strata above MTD 13 (~1.5 g/cm² in the 5.3 m-thick interval to the sea floor).

373

3.3.4. Trendlines of MTDs and undeformed strata in the Amazon Fan

Three ODP sites (ODP Sites 933, 936 and 941) in the Amazon Fan show some typical
differences (trendlines) between fine-grained MTDs and undeformed strata (Fig. 6).

The densities of MTDs and undeformed strata from the three sites show well-fitted logarithm relationships; $R^2 = 0.76$ for undeformed strata and $R^2 = 0.80$ for MTDs, respectively (Fig. 6c). However, the calculated best-fit curves suggest that the density of MTDs is larger than undeformed strata, with this difference becoming more pronounced with depth (Fig. 6c).

Regarding porosity, MTDs and undeformed strata also show a well-fitted logarithm 382 relationship; $R^2 = 0.73$ for undeformed strata and $R^2 = 0.85$ for MTDs (Fig. 6c). 383 Similarly to the density trend, the difference between the porosity of MTDs and 384 undeformed strata becomes more pronounced with depth (Fig. 6c). The best-fit curves 385 suggest that the porosity is always lower in MTDs than in undeformed strata (Fig. 6c). 386 Compared to density and porosity, the best-fit resistivity curves differ for MTDs and 387 undeformed strata (Fig. 6b). In shallow strata (<100 mbsf), the resistivity of MTDs is 388 lower than undeformed strata. However, the opposite occurs in strata deeper than 100 389 mbsf. In general, the resistivities of MTDs and undeformed strata have well-fitted 390 logarithm curves; $R^2 = 0.60$ for undeformed strata and $R^2 = 0.80$ for MTDs (Fig. 6b). 391

392

393 3.5. Shear strength of MTDs

The shear strength of strata drilled at IODP Sites 1322 and 1324 in the Gulf of 394 Mexico is highly variable, increasing gradually with depth (Fig. 7a). When considering 395 MTD 1 and MTD 2 at IODP Site 1322, shear strength quickly increases from their top 396 to their base, with maxima in shear strength recorded at their bases (Fig. 7a). Shear 397 strength usually decreases sharply from the bases of MTDs into undeformed strata, e.g. 398 shear strength drops from ~86.3 kPa to ~48.4 kPa at the base of MTD 2 (Fig. 7a). In 399 MTD 7 at IODP Site 1324, shear strength increases from the top of MTD 7 to 400 undeformed strata below. In general, the shear strengths of MTDs and undeformed 401 strata at IODP Sites 1322 and 1324 show linear trends (Fig. 7a). The shear strength of 402 undeformed strata is well-fitted with a $R^2 = 0.71$. However, the shear strength of MTDs 403

404 only shows a tentative linear relationship ($R^2 = 0.30$) (Fig. 7a). The trends in shear 405 strength are usually higher in MTDs than in undeformed strata, but they tend to intersect 406 at relatively shallow depths below the sea floor (Fig. 7a).

The shear strength of strata drilled at ODP Sites 933, 936 and 941 (Amazon Fan) is 407 also highly variable, once again increasing gradually with depth (Fig. 7b). The shear 408 strength of MTDs is usually higher than undeformed strata. For example, the average 409 shear strength of MTD 11 (~59.1 kPa) is ~53.8% and ~31.4% higher than undeformed 410 strata above (~38.4 kPa within a ~20 m interval) and below (~45.0 kPa within ~20 m 411 412 interval) (Fig. 7b). Moreover, the shear strength usually varies sharply at the boundaries of MTDs with undeformed strata, showing greater shear strength than undeformed 413 strata above and below. For example, shear strength drops from ~69.3 kPa at the base 414 415 of MTD 12 to ~53.6 kPa in undeformed strata below (Fig. 7b). In general, both the shear strengths of MTDs and undeformed strata at ODP Sites 933, 936 and 941 show 416 well-fitted linear relationships (Fig. 7b). Importantly, the fitted curves of shear strength 417 diverge with depth, with the MTDs' best-fit curve being always above that of 418 undeformed strata (Fig. 7b). 419

420

421 **3.6. Water content in MTDs**

The water content of ODP Sites 933, 936 and 941 in the Amazon Fan is addressed in this section. Water content generally decreases with depth, revealing sharp variations when crossing the boundaries of MTDs into undeformed strata (Fig. 8). Water content decreases from ~28.9% in undeformed strata above MTD 12 to ~23.9% within this

426	mass-transport deposit. It decreases from \sim 52.6% in undeformed strata above to \sim 40.2%
427	at the top of MTD 13. In contrast, water content usually sharply increases from the
428	MTDs per se into undeformed strata below (Fig. 8). For example, they increase from
429	~23.2% and ~19.8% at the base of MTD 11 and MTD 12 to ~34.0% and ~23.9% in
430	undeformed strata below, respectively. The average water content of MTD 11 (~24.1%)
431	is ~18.6% and ~19.4%, lower than those of undeformed strata above (average of ~29.6%
432	within a ~20 m interval) and below (average of ~29.9% within a ~20 m interval). The
433	average water content of MTD 12 is ~22.7%, ranging from ~19.4% to 30.2%. It is ~27.0%
434	and ~4.6% lower than those of undeformed strata above (average of ~31.1% within a
435	~10 m-thick interval) and below (average of ~23.8% in a ~10 m-thick interval).
436	MTD 13 has an average water content of ~31.2%, ranging from ~22.9% to ~40.4%;
437	a value that is $\sim 40.2\%$ lower than that recorded by undeformed strata above (average
438	of ~52.2% within a 5.3 m interval) and ~13.5% lower than that of undeformed strata
439	below (average of 27.5% within a 10 m interval). Water contents for MTDs and
440	undeformed strata show well-fitted logarithm relationships; $R^2 = \sim 0.92$ for undeformed
441	strata and $R^2 = -0.87$ for MTDs (Fig. 8). In addition, the water content curve for
442	undeformed strata is always above that of MTDs, and this difference is kept constant at
443	depth (Fig. 8).

444

445 3.7. Petrophysical properties of the main bodies and basal shear zones of MTDs

446 According to the trends of wireline curves, MTDs can be subdivided into two parts:

their main bodies (the upper parts of MTDs) and their basal shear zones (the lowermost

parts of MTDs) (Figs. 2-5). These two distinctive parts show opposite trends on wireline
data. For example, resistivity, bulk density and p-wave velocity (Vp) sharply decrease
at the basal shear zone, when compared to main body of MTD (Figs. 2-5). Porosity
greatly increases at the basal shear zone, contrasting to the relatively lower porosity
documented in the main body of MTD (Figs. 2-5).

The average resistivity of the main body and basal shear zone of MTD shows a linear correlation ($R^2 = -0.54$; Fig. 9a). Moreover, most of the average resistivity of basal shear zone (75%) is higher than that of the main body of MTD (Fig. 9a; Table 2). In contrast, there are no major differences between the average gamma-ray of the main MTD bodies and their basal shear zones (Fig. 9b; Table 2). The R^2 value of -0.92estimated for gamma-ray indicates a near-perfect linear relationship (Fig. 9b).

459 The average density of basal shear zones is lower than those in the main MTD bodies, with a \mathbb{R}^2 value of ~0.64 (Fig. 9c; Table 2). In contrast to the average density, the 460 average porosity of basal shear zones is higher than those in the main MTD bodies 461 (Table 2), and it has a relatively moderate R^2 value of around 0.45 (Fig. 9d). Though 462 the maximum thickness of basal shear zones can reach up to ~10.5 m (MTD 2), most 463 (75%) are markedly thin, ranging in thickness between ~ 2.7 m and ~ 5.0 m (Fig. 9e; 464 Table 2). The main bodies of MTDs are usually 2 to 19 times thicker than the basal 465 shear zones (see also Alves and Lourenço, 2010) (Fig. 9e). The total thickness of MTDs 466 also shows a partial correlation with the thicknesses of basal shear zones ($R^2 = -0.42$; 467 Fig. 9f). 468

4. Discussion

472	4.1. Factors influencing the petrophysical properties of MTDs
473	Through the detailed analysis of petrophysical data from fine-grained MTDs in the
474	Gulf of Mexico, Ulleung Basin and Amazon Fan, and from MTDs samples by previous
475	work (e.g. Piper et al., 1997; Sawyer et al., 2007, 2009; Dugan, 2012; Riedel et al.,
476	2012; Reece et al., 2012), several key properties were recognised. They include:
477	
478	(1) Resistivity, bulk density and p-wave velocity (Vp) usually increase at the top of
479	MTDs, but decreasing sharply at their bases;
480	(2) Average resistivity is much higher in MTDs than that in undeformed strata
481	confining these former deposits (Figs. 2-5; Table 1);
482	(3) Gamma-ray values show variable patterns in distinct MTDs, with no clear trends
483	observed between MTDs and undeformed strata (Figs. 2-5);
484	(4) Porosity usually drops at the top of MTDs to increase at their bases, showing a
485	reverse trend to bulk density (Figs. 2-5, 10);
486	(5) The average porosity of MTDs is usually lower than the porosity of undeformed
487	strata directly above and below (Figs. 2-5);
488	(6) The shear strength of MTDs increases from their tops to their bases, and is usually
489	higher than the shear strength of undeformed strata above and below (Figs. 7, 10);
490	(7) Water content in MTDs is much lower than that in undeformed strata, with sharp
491	variations observed at the top and base of MTDs (Fig. 8).

492

Apart from these common characteristics, we also observe that the petrophysical
character of basal shear zones of MTDs have opposite trends to their main bodies, i.e.
increasing porosities and decreasing resistivity, bulk density and velocity (Figs. 2-5,
10).

Gamma-ray values are mainly controlled by lithology. As the studied MTDs are
mainly composed of mud-dominated sediment of similar composition to 'background'
slope strata, there are no sharp increases or decreases in gamma-ray values between
MTDs and their confining undeformed intervals (Figs. 2-5, 10).

Resistivity, bulk density, velocity and porosity indicate that the MTDs are more 501 consolidated than background sediment, as also reported by many previous studies (e.g. 502 503 Piper et al., 1997; Shipp et al., 2004; Strasser et al., 2011; Dugan, 2012; Sun et al., 2018) (Fig. 10). Apart from overburden stress, failed sediment is also subjected to shear stress 504 during its emplacement (Fig. 10), partly justifying the observed over-consolidation of 505 the studied MTDs (Figs. 2-6). This additional source of shear stress results in a 506 reduction in porosity, with consequent dewatering of failed strata. Dewatering would 507 also result in relative increases in density and Vp values, as observed in this study; 508 resistivity is more sensitive to the loss of water in mud-dominated sediments, and thus 509 sharp variations can be observed at the tops and bases of MTDs (Fig. 10). 510

511 Opposite trends to the latter are observed when comparing the basal shear zones with 512 the main bodies of MTDs, suggesting that the consolidation of strata in basal shear 513 zones is relatively moderate. This characteristic is likely caused by extreme shearing at

the base of MTDs to form shear fractures and pervasive fabric in their basal shear zones 514 (Alves and Lourenço, 2010; Alves, 2015; Cardona et al., 2016) (Fig. 10). The shear 515 stress always increases from the tops of the MTDs to their bases (e.g. Piper et al., 1997; 516 De Blasio et al., 2004), because of the relative movement (friction) experienced by 517 failed sediment, and also between the failed sediment and undeformed strata below. 518 Such an observation is supported by the wireline curve shapes in the MTDs, particularly 519 by the gradual increase in resistivity recorded in them (Figs. 2-6). When the shear stress 520 exceeds the shear strength of inclined strata on a continental slope, at the critical point 521 522 for shear failure, fractures would form within the soon-to-be basal shear zone, as corroborated by: (1) the larger shear stress limits of strata at the base of MTDs (Fig. 7), 523 and (2) the widespread occurrence of fractures at the base of MTDs in cores and FMI 524 525 (resistivity image logging) data from the Ulleung Basin (Riedel et al., 2012), Nankai Trough (Expedition 333 Scientists, 2011), Amazon Fan (Piper et al., 1997) and eastern 526 North American margin (Tripsanas et al., 2008). In the Ulleung Basin, fractures are also 527 perpendicular to the seismically defined flow-path of MTD 9 (Riedel et al., 2012), 528 suggesting they were caused by significant shearing during its emplacement. 529

530

531 **4.2. MTDs and fluid flow**

Based on the low water contents of MTDs (Fig. 8) and the abundant fluid flow structures in them, we postulate a large volume loss of fluid during MTD emplacement (Piper et al., 1997; Shipp et al., 2004; Strasser et al., 2011). The over-consolidation of MTDs during their emplacement (and burial) not only results in the loss of porosity, but

also causes a relative reduction in permeability. For example, MTDs at IODP Sites 536 U1324 (MTD 7) and U1322 (MTD 2) in the Gulf of Mexico show reductions in 537 permeability in the order of ~33% and ~71% with respect to normally-consolidated 538 mud above and below (Dugan, 2012). Porosity and permeability losses in MTDs greatly 539 increase their seal competence, a character indicating that they generally comprise seal 540 intervals and hinder vertical fluid flow after their emplacement (e.g. Shipp et al., 2004; 541 Reece et al., 2012; Alves et al., 2014; Hornbach et al., 2015; Sun et al., 2017, 2018) 542 (Fig. 10). 543

544 Compared to the main body of the MTDs, the basal shear zone has a distinctive role in controlling fluid flow (Fig. 10). Due to the occurrence of highly porous zones (e.g. 545 fractured strata), fluid can accumulate within or migrate upslope along basal shear 546 547 zones (e.g, Alves and Lourenço, 2010; Sun et al., 2017). This is the main reason why fluid seepage and cold-water carbonates are usually observed at the headwall scarps of 548 MTDs, such as in the case of the Eivissa Channel of western Mediterranean Sea (Lastras 549 550 et al., 2004), Amazon Fan (Dano et al., 2014), north Sicily continental margin (Pennino et al., 2014) and Great Bahama Bank (Principaud et al., 2015). The latest observations 551 from the South China Sea show that free gas accumulates at the bases of MTDs, 552 confirming their basal shear zones are relatively porous (Sun et al., 2017). 553

554

4.3. Implications to the recurrent triggering of submarine landslides

Submarine slope instability is a theme widely studied, and phenomena such as
earthquakes (e.g. Moscardelli et al., 2006), high sedimentation rates (e.g. Dalla Valle et

al., 2013; Noda et al., 2013), gas hydrate dissolution (e.g. Laberg and Vorren, 2000; 558 Maslin et al., 2005), sea-level variations (e.g. Smith et al., 2013; Urlaub et al., 2013), 559 and tectonic activity (e.g. Chadwick et al., 2012; Laberg et al., 2014), have been 560 proposed in the literatures as capable of triggering large landslides. However, one is not 561 entirely sure of the factors triggering slope failures in most documented cases of 562 submarine slope instability. In particular, there is significant less knowledge about the 563 critical conditions under which a slope failure will occur. Most published data concerns 564 ancient slope failures, with their formation mechanisms and triggers being hard to 565 566 estimate. In addition, it is difficult to predict when and where a slope failure will occur in the future, and there is an overall lack of *in-situ* measurements in the areas where 567 recent submarine landslides have occurred. 568

569 This study suggests that slope sediments can fail under their own gravity when gravitational forces along the slope overcome the shear strength of sediments. This 570 failure mechanism does not require other triggers, such as earthquakes, gas hydrate 571 572 dissolution and sea-level fluctuations, and is likely responsible for some of the frequent submarine slope failures at the steep slopes, such as offshore northern Norway where 573 muddy contourites failed along the surfaces between them and coarse-grained turbidites 574 on a steep continental slope (Laberg et al., 2016) (Fig. 11). Accompanying this increase 575 in sediment thickness, fractures would occur in slope strata in response to increasing 576 shear stress (Figs. 10, 11b). Fluids in-situ or in adjacent intervals could migrate into 577 578 these fractures, decreasing the shear strength of slope strata to form a weak layer (Fig. 11b). Under the effect of fluids and the subsequent formation of the weak layer, 579

overlying strata will fail and slope failure will occur in a process similar to the pressuredriven slope failure reported in Flemings et al. (2008), Shillington et al. (2012), Le
Friant et al. (2015) and Hornbach et al. (2015) (Fig. 11c). Fluids can migrate laterally
along the basal shear zone, to finally escape from the extensional headwall zone (Fig.
11c), as documented in the Eivissa Channel of the western Mediterranean Sea (Lastras
et al., 2004).

This study also suggests that the main bodies of MTDs can generate important 586 overpressure below them, with unexpected high pore pressures being likely 587 588 encountered during drilling. Such overpressures have been documented under MTDs in the Gulf of Mexico (Sawyer et al., 2009; Reece et al., 2012) and the Islands of 589 Montserrat and Martinique in the Caribbean Sea (Hornbach et al., 2015). Furthermore, 590 591 the lateral migration of fluids, especially along the basal shear zone, may trigger new slope instability in shallow strata to form regressive slope failures, as reported in the 592 South China Sea (Sun et al., 2017). 593

594

595 **5. Conclusions**

Through the detailedly qualitative and quantitative analysis of well-log data from IODP/ODP Sites and one exploration well, we find that the main bodies of MTDs generally have similar geophysical properties to those indicated in previous studies. However, we identify some new characteristics and trends that are important for the analysis of MTDs as potential geohazards. The main results of this work are as follows:

602 1. When compared to undeformed strata above and below, the main bodies of MTDs
603 are typically characterized by their higher resistivity, velocity, bulk density and shear
604 strength, plus their lower porosity, water content and permeability;

2. The main bodies of MTDs are more consolidated than undeformed strata above and
below, probably a character resulting from dewatering and shear compaction during
MTD emplacement;

3. The petrophysical character of the lowermost parts of MTDs (basal shear zones) are
the opposite (i.e. they show lower resistivity, velocity, bulk density and higher
porosity) from the main bodies of MTDs. This difference is likely attributed to the
presence of fractures in basal shear zones caused by excessive shear stress;

4. The main bodies of MTDs could serve as good seal units after their emplacement to

613 hinder vertical fluid migration. However, fluids could accumulate or laterally migrate

along fractured basal shear zones;

5. Inclined sediments can fail under their own gravity without any triggers (e.g.
earthquakes) with fractured zones, possibly charged by fluid, acting as weak layers.
In addition, fractured basal shear zone with high pore pressure represents an
important geohazard when drilling.

619

620 Acknowledgments

This research supported by the National Scientific Foundation of China (Grant Nos.

41676051 and 41372112), the Programme of Introducing Talents of Discipline to

623 Universities (No. B14031), the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central

Universities-the China University of Geosciences (Wuhan) (No. CUG160604) and the
China Scholarship Council (201906415013). This work benefited from the dedication
and efforts of the participants and technical staff of ODP Expedition 155 and IODP
Expedition 308. Finally, we thank Editor Michel Faure and an anonymous reviewer for
their constructive comments on this manuscript.

629

630 **References**

- Alves, T.M., 2015. Submarine slide blocks and associated soft-sediment deformation
- in deep-water basins: A review. Marine and Petroleum Geology, 67, 262-285,

633 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpetgeo.2015.05.010.

Alves, T.M., Kurtev, K., Moore, G.F., Strasser, M., 2014. Assessing the internal

635 character, reservoir potential, and seal competence of mass-transport deposits using

636 seismic texture: A geophysical and petrophysical approach. AAPG Bulletin, 98, 793-

637 824, https://doi.org/10.1306/09121313117.

Alves, T.M., Lourenco, S., 2010. Geomorphologic features related to gravitational

639 collapse: submarine landsliding to lateral spreading on a Late Miocene-Quaternary

- slope (SE Crete, eastern Mediterranean). Geomorphology, 123, 13-33,
- 641 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2010.04.030.
- 642 Bahk, J.J., Kang, N.K., Yi, B.Y., Lee, S.H., Jeong, S.W., Urgeles, R., Yoo, D.G., 2017.
- 643 Sedimentary characteristics and processes of submarine mass-transport deposits in
- the Ulleung Basin and their relations to seismic and sediment physical properties.
- 645 *Marine Geology*, 393, 124-140, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.margeo.2017.05.010.

- Bull, S., Cartwright, J., Huuse, M., 2009. A review of kinematic indicators from mass-
- transport complexes using 3D seismic data. *Marine and Petroleum Geology*, 26,
- 648 1132-1151, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpetgeo.2008.09.011.
- Bünz, S., Mienert, J., Bryn, P., Berg, K., 2005. Fluid flow impact on slope failure from
- 3D seismic data: A case study in the Storegga Slide. *Basin Research*, 17, 109-122,
- 651 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2117.2005.00256.x.
- 652 Chadwick, W., Dziak, R., Haxel, J., Embley, R., Matsumoto, H., 2012. Submarine
- landslide triggered by volcanic eruption recorded by in situ hydrophone. *Geology*,
- 654 *40*, 51-54, https://doi.org/10.1130/G32495.1.
- Dalla Valle, G., Gamberi, F., Rocchini, P., Minisini, D., Errera, A., Baglioni, L.,
- Trincardi, F., 2013. 3D seismic geomorphology of mass transport complexes in a
- foredeep basin: examples from the Pleistocene of the Central Adriatic Basin
 (Mediterranean Sea). *Sedimentary Geology*, 294, 127-141,
- 659 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sedgeo.2013.05.012.
- 660 Dano, A., Praeg, D., Migeon, S., Augustin, J.M., Ceramicola, S., Ketzer, J., Augustin,
- A.H., Ducassou, E., Mascle, J., 2014. Fluid Seepage in Relation to Seabed
- 662 Deformation on the Central Nile Deep-Sea Fan, Part 1: Evidence from Sidescan
- 663 Sonar Data, In: Krastel, S., et al. eds., Submarine Mass Movements and Their
- 664 Consequences, Advances in Natural and Technological Hazards Research, 37, 129-
- 665 139, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-00972-8 12.
- 666 Dugan, B., 2012. Petrophysical and consolidation behavior of mass-transport deposits
- from the northern Gulf of Mexico, IODP Expedition. *Marine Geology*, 315-318, 98-

668 107, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.margeo.2012.05.001.

- Expedition 308 Scientists., 2005. Overpressure and fluid flow processes in the 669 deepwater Gulf of Mexico: slope stability, seeps, and shallow-water flow: IODP 670 Preliminary Report, 308, https://doi.org/10:2204/iodp.pr.308.2005 671 Expedition 333 Scientists., 2011. NanTroSEIZE Stage 2: subduction inputs 2 and heat 672 flow. IODP Preliminary Report, 333, https://doi.org/10.2204/iodp.pr.333.2011. 673 Flemings, P. B., Behrmann, I., Davies, T., John, C., the Expedition 308 Project Team., 674 2005. Gulf of Mexico hydrogeology-Overpressure and fluid flow processes in the 675 676 deepwater Gulf of Mexico: Slope stability, seeps, and shallow-water flow: IODP Scientific Prospects, https://doi.org/30810.2204/iodp.sp.308. 677 Flemings, P.B., Long, H., Dugan, B., Germaine, J., John, C., Behrmann, J.H., Sawyer, 678 679 D., Scientists, I.E., 2008. Pore pressure penetrometers document high overpressure near the seafloor where multiple submarine landslides have occurred on the 680 continental slope, offshore Louisiana, Gulf of Mexico. Earth Planetary Science 681 Letters, 269, 309-324, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2007.12.005. 682 Frey-Martínez, J., Cartwright, J., Hall, B., 2005. 3D seismic interpretation of slump 683 complexes: examples from the continental margin of Israel. Basin Research, 17, 83-684 108, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2117.2005.00255.x. 685 Fu, Y.Z., von Dobeneck, T., Franke, C., Heslop, D., Kasten, S., 2008. Rock magnetic 686
- marine sediments from the Gulf of Mexico (IODP Hole U1319A). Earth and

687

689 *Plantary Science Letters*, 275, 233-245, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2008.07.034.

identification and geochemical process models of greigite formation in Quaternary

690	Cardona, S., Wood, L.J., Day-Stirrat, R.J., Moscardelli, L., 2016. Fabric Development
691	and Pore-Throat Reduction in a Mass-Transport Deposit in the Jubilee Gas Field,
692	Eastern Gulf of Mexico: Consequences for the Sealing Capacity of MTDs. In:
693	Lamarche G. et al. (eds) Submarine Mass Movements and their Consequences.
694	Advances in Natural and Technological Hazards Research, Springer, Cham, 41,
695	https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-20979-1_3.

- 696 Gee, M.J.R., Gawthorpe, R.L., Friedmann, S.J., 2006. Triggering and evolution of a
- giant landslide, offshore Angola revealed by 3D seismic stratigraphy and
 geomorphology. *Journal of Sedimentary Research*, 76, 9-19,
 https://doi.org/10.2110/jsr.2006.02.
- 700 Gong, C.L., Wang, Y.M., Hodgson, D.M., Zhu, W.L., Li, W.G., Xu, Q., Li, D., 2014.
- 701 Origin and anatomy of two different types of mass transport complexes: A 3D
- seismic case study from the northern South China Sea margin. *Marine and Petroleum*
- 703 *Geology*, 54, 198-215, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpetgeo.2014.03.006.
- Hornbach, M.J., Manga, M., Genecov, M., Valdez, R., Miller, P., Saffer, D., Adelstein,
- E., Lafuerza, S., Adachi, T., Breitkreuz, C., Jutzeler, M., Le Friant, A., Ishizuka, O.,
- Morgan, S., Slagle, A., Talling, P.J., Fraass, A., Watt, S. F.L., Stroncik, N.A.,
- Aljahdali, M., Boudon, G., Fujinawa, A., Hatfield, R., Kataoka, K., Maeno, F.,
- Martinez-Colon, M., McCanta, M., Palmer, M., Stinton, A., Subramanyam, K.S.V.,
- Tamura, Y., Villemant, B., Wall-Palmer, D., Wang, F., 2015. Permeability and
- 710 pressure measurements in Lesser Antilles submarine slides: Evidence for pressure-
- driven slow-slip failure. Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth, 120, 7986-

- 712 8011, https://doi.org/10.1002/2015JB012061.
- Judd, A.G., Hovland, M., 2007. Seabed fluid flow: the impact on Geology, Biology and

the Marine Environmen. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.

- 715 Krastel, S., Wynn, R.B., Hanebuth, T.J.J., Henrich, R., Holz, C., Meggers, H.,
- Kuhlmann, H., Georgiopoulou, A., Schulz, H.D., 2006. Mapping of seabed
- morphology and shallow sediment structure of the Mauritania continental margin,
- 718 Northwest Africa: some implications for geohazard potential. *Norwegian Journal of*
- 719 *Geology*, 86, 163-176, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asr.2005.07.022
- Laberg, J.S., Baeten, N.J., Vanneste, M., Forsberg, C.F., Forwick, M., Haflidason, H.,
- 2016. Sediment failure affecting muddy contourites on the continental slope offshore
- northern Norway: Lessons learned and some outstanding issues. In: Lamarche, G. et
- al. (eds) Submarine Mass Movements and their Consequences. Advances in Natural
- and Technological Hazards Research, Springer, Cham, 41, 281-289,
- 725 https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-20979-1_28.
- Laberg, J.S., Vorren, T.O., 2000. The Trænadjupet Slide, offshore Norway morphology,
- evacuation and triggering mechanisms. *Marine Geology*, *171*, 95-114,
 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0025-3227(00)00112-2.
- Laberg, J.S., Kawamura, K., Amundsen, H., Baeten, N., Forwick, M., Rydningen, T.A.,
- Vorren, T.O., 2014. A submarine landslide complex affecting the Jan Mayen Ridge,
- 731 Norwegian Greenland Sea: slide scar morphology and processes of sediment
- evacuation. Geo-Marine Letter, 34, 51-58, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00367-013-
- 733 0345-z.

734	Lastras, G., Canals, M., Urgeles, R., Hughes-Clarke, J.E., Acosta, J., 2004. Shallow
735	slides and pockmark swarms in the Eivissa Channel, western Mediterranean Sea.
736	Sedimentology, 51, 837-850, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3091.2004.00654.x.
737	Lee, S., Stow, D.V., 2007. Laterally contiguous, concave-up basal shear surfaces of

- submarine landslide deposits (Miocene), southern Cyprus: differential movement of
- sub-blocks within a single submarine landslide lobe. *Geosciences Journal*, 11, 315-
- 740 321, https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02857048.
- Le Friant, A., Ishizuka, O., Boudon, G., Palmer, M.R., Talling, P.J., Villemant, B.,
- Adachi, T., Aljahdali, M., Breitkreuz, C., Brunet, M., Caron, B., Coussens, M.,
- 743 Deplus, C., Endo, D., Feuillet, N., Fraas, A.J., Fujinawa, A., Hart, M.B., Hatfield,
- R.J., Hornbach, M., Jutzeler, M., Kataoka, K.S., Komorowski, J.-C., Lebas, E.,
- 745 Lafuerza, S., Maeno, F., Manga, M., Martínez Colón, M., McCanta, M., Morgan,
- S., Saito, T., Slagle, A., Sparks, S., Stinton, A., Stroncik, N., Subramanyam, K.S.V.,
- Tamura, Y., Trofimovs, J., Voight, B., Wall-Palmer, D., Wang, F., Watt, S.F.L., 2015.
- 748Submarine record of volcanic island construction and collapse in the Lesser Antilles
- 749 arc: First scientific drilling of submarine volcanic island landslides by IODP
- 750 Expedition 340. Geochemistry Geophysics Geosystem, 16, 420-442,
- 751 https://doi.org/10.1002/2014GC005652.
- Li, W., Alves T.M., Wu, S.G., Völker, D., Zhao, F., Mi, L.J., Kopf, A., 2015. Recurrent
 slope failure and submarine channel incision as key factors controlling reservoir
 potential in the South China Sea (Qiongdongnan Basin, South Hainan Island).
- 755 Marine and Petroleum Geology, 64, 17-30,

- 756 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpetgeo.2015.02.043.
- Locat, J., Lee, H.J., 2002. Submarine landslides: advances and challenges. *Canadian Geotechnical Journal*, *39*, 193-212, https://doi.org/10.1139/t01-089.
- Long, H., Flemings, P.B., Germaine, J.T., Saffer, D.M., 2011. Consolidation and
- overpressure near the seafloor in the Ursa Basin, Deepwater Gulf of Mexico. *Earth*
- 761
 and
 Planetary
 Science
 Letters,
 305,
 11-20,

 762
 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2011.02.007.
- 763 Maslin, M., Vilela, C., Mikkelsen, N., Grootes, P., 2005. Causes of catastrophic
- sediment failures of the Amazon Fan. *Quaternary Science Reviews*, 24, 2180-2193,
- 765 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2005.01.016.
- Masson, D.G., Harbitz, C.B., Wynn, R.B., Pedersen, G., Lovholt, F., 2006. Submarine
- ⁷⁶⁷ landslides: processes, triggers and hazard prediction: Philosophical Transactions.
- 768 Series A, Mathematical, Physical, and Engineering Sciences, 364, 2009-2039,
- 769 https://doi.org/10.1098/rsta.2006.1810.
- 770 McAdoo, B.G., Pratson, L.F., Orange, D.L., 2000. Submarine landslide geomorphology,
- 771 U.S. Continental slope. Marine Geology, 169, 103-136, doi: 10.1016/S0025-
- 772 3227(00)00050-5.
- 773 Moscardelli, L., Wood, L., 2008. New classification system for mass-transport
- 774 complexes in offshore Trinidad. *Basin Research*, 20, 73-98,
 775 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2117.2007.00340.x.
- 776 Moscardelli, L., Wood, L., Mann, P., 2006. Mass-transport complexes and associated
- processes in the offshore area of Trinidad and Venezuela. AAPG Bulletin, 90, 1059-

- 1088, https://doi.org/10.1306/02210605052.
- Noda, A., TuZino, T., Joshima, M., Goto, S., 2013. Mass transport-dominated 779 sedimentation in a foreland basin, the Hidaka Trough, northern Japan. Geochemistry, 780 Geophysics, Geosystems, 14, 2638-2660, https://doi.org/10.1002/ggge.20169. 781 Patricia, L. M., Pirmez, C., Busch, W., Cramp, A., 1997. Grain-size characterization of 782 Amazon Fan deposits and comparison to seismic facies units, in Flood, R.D., Piper, 783 D.J.W., Klaus, A., and Peterson, L.C., eds., Proceedings of the Ocean Drilling 784 Scientific Program, Results. 155, 35-52. 785 786 https://doi.org/10.2973/odp.proc.sr.155.209.1997. Paull, C.K., Brewer, P., Ussler, W., Peltzer, E., Rehder, G., Clague, D., 2003. An 787 experiment demonstrating that marine slumping is a mechanism to transfer methane 788 789 from seafloor gas-hydrate deposits into the upper ocean and atmosphere. Geo-Marine Letters, 22, 198-203, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00367-002-0113-y. 790
- Pennino, V., Sulli, A., Caracausi, A., Grassa, F., Interbartolo, F., 2014. Fluid escape
- structures in the north Sicily continental margin. *Marine and Petroleum Geology*, 55,
- 793 202-213, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpetgeo.2014.02.007.
- Piper, D.J.W., Pirmez, C., Manley, P.L., Long, D., Food, R.D., Normark, W.R., Showers,
- W., 1997. Mass-transport Deposits of the Amazon Fan, in Flood, R.D., Piper, D.J.W.,
- Klaus, A., and Peterson, L.C., eds., Proceedings of the Ocean Drilling Program,
- 797 Scientific Results, 155, 109-146, https://doi.org/10.2973/odp.proc.sr.155.212.199.
- Pirmez, C., Flood, R.D., Baptiste, J., Yin, H.Z., Manley, P.L., 1997. Clay content,
- porosity and velocity of Amazon Fan sediments determined from ODP Leg 155 cores

- and wireline logs. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 24, 317-320,
 https://doi.org/1029/96GL03469.
- 802 Posamentier, H., 2004. Stratigraphy and geomorphology of deep-water mass transport
- complexes based on 3D seismic data: Offshore Technology Conference, Houston,
- Texas, Extended Abstract, OTC16740, https://doi.org/10.4043/16740-MS.
- Posamentier, H.W., Kolla, V., 2003. Seismic geomorphology and stratigraphy of
- depositional elements in deep-water settings. *Journal of Sedimentary Research*, 73,
- 807 367-388, https://doi.org/10.1306/111302730367.
- Principaud, M., Mulder, T., Gillet, H., Borgomano, J., 2015. Large-scale carbonate
- submarine mass-wasting along the northwestern slope of the Great Bahama Bank
- 810 (Bahamas): Morphology, architecture, and mechanisms. *Sedimentary Geology*, 317,
- 811 27-42, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sedgeo.2014.10.008.
- 812 Reece, J.S., Flemings, P.B., Dugan, B., Long, H., Germaine, J.T., 2012. Permeability-
- porosity relationships of shallow mudstones in the Ursa Basin, northern deepwater
- 814 Gulf of Mexico. Journal of Geophysical Research, 117, B12102,
- 815 https://doi.org/10.1029/2012JB009438.
- 816 Riedel, M., Bahk, J.J., Scholz, N.A., Ryu, B.J., Yoo, D. G., Kim, W., Kim, G.Y., 2012.
- 817 Mass-transport deposits and gas hydrate occurrences in the Ulleung Basin, East Sea
- e Part 2: Gas hydrate content and fracture-induced anisotropy. *Marine and Petroleum*
- *Geology*, *35*, 75-90, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpetgeo.2012.03.005.
- 820 Sawyer, D.E., Flemings, P.B., Dugan, B., Germaine, J.T., 2009. Retrogressive failures
- recorded in mass-transport deposits in the Ursa Basin, Northern Gulf of Mexico.

B22 Journal of Geophysical Research, 14, B10102, https://doi.org/10.1029/2008JB006159.

- 824 Sawyer, D.E., Flemings, P.B., Shipp, R.C., Winker, C.D., 2007. Seismic
- geomorphology, lithology, and evolution of the late-Pleistocene Mars-Ursa turbidite
- region, Mississippi Canyon area, northern Gulf of Mexico. AAPG Bulletin, 91, 215-
- 827 234, https://doi.org/10.1306/08290605190.
- 828 Shillington, D.J., Seeber, L., Sorlien, C.C., Steckler, M.S., Kurt, H., Dondurur, D., Çifçi,
- 6., İmren, C., Cormier, M.-H., McHugh, C.M.G., Gürçay, S., Poyraz, D., Okay, S.,
- Atgın, O., Diebold J.B., 2012. Evidence for widespread creep on the flanks of the
- 831 Sea of Marmara transform basin from marine geophysical data. *Geology*, 40, 439-
- 442, https://doi.org/10.1130/G32652.1.
- 833 Shipp, R.C., Nott, J.A., Newlin, J.A., 2004. Physical characteristics and impact of mass
- transport complexes on deepwater jetted conductors and suction anchor piles:
- Offshore Technology Conference, Houston, Texas, Extended Abstract, OTC16751,
- 836 https://doi.org/10.4043/16751-MS.
- 837 Smith, D.E., Harrison, S., Jordan, J.T., 2013. Sea level rise and submarine mass failures
- on open continental margins. *Quaternary Science Reviews*, 82, 93-103,
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2013.10.012.
- 840 Strasser, M., Moore, G.F., Kimura, G., Kopf, A.J., Underwood, M.B., Guo, J.H.,
- 841 Screaton, E.J., 2011. Slumping and mass transport deposition in the Nankai fore arc:
- Evidence from IODP drilling and 3-D reflection seismic data. Geochemistry,
- 843 *Geophysics, Geosystems, 12*, Q0AD13, https://doi.org/10.1029/2010GC003431.

Sultan, N., Cochonat, P., Canals, M., Cattaneo, A., Dennielou, B., Haflidason, H.,
Laberg, J.S., Long, D., Mienert, J., Trincardi, F., 2004. Triggering mechanisms of
slope instability processes and sediment failures on continental margins: a
geotechnical approach. *Marine Geology*, 213, 291-321,
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.margeo.2004.10.011.

- Sun, Q.L., Alves, T., Xie, N.N., He, J.X., Li, W., Ni, X.L., 2017. Free gas accumulations
 in basal shear zones of mass-transport deposits (Pearl River Mouth Basin, South
 China Sea): An important geohazard on continental slope basins. *Marine and Petroleum Geology*, *81*, 17-32, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpetgeo.2016.12.029.
- Sun, Q.L., Alves, T.M., Lu, X.Y., Chen, C. X., Xie, X.N., 2018. True volumes of slope

failure estimated from a Quaternary mass-transport deposit in the northern South

- 855 China Sea. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 45, 2642-2651,
 856 https://doi.org/10.1002/2017GL076484.
- 857 Tripsanas, E.K., Piper, D.J.W., Jenner, K.A., Bryant, W., 2008. Submarine mass-
- transport facies: new perspectives on flow processes from cores on the eastern North
- 859 American margin. *Sedimentology*, 55, 97-136, https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-

860 3091.2007.00894.x.

- Urgeles, R., Locat, J., Sawyer, D.E., Flemings, P.B., Dugan, B., Binh, N.T.T., 2010.
- 862 History of pore pressure build up and slope instability in mud-dominated sediments
- of Ursa Basin, Gulf of Mexico continental slope. In: Mosher, D.C; Shipp, R.C;
- Moscardelli, L; Chaytor, J.D; Baxter, C.D.P; Lee, H.J; Urgeles, R (Eds), Submarine
- 865 Mass Movements and Their Consequences. Advances in Natural and Technological

- Hazards Research, Springer, Dordrecht, 28, 179-190. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-
- 867 90-481-3071-9_15.
- 868 Urlaub, M., Talling, P.J., Masson, D.G., 2013. Timing and frequency of large submarine
- 869 landslides: implications for understanding triggers and future geohazard. *Quaternary*
- 870 *Science Reviews*, 72, 63-82, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2013.04.020.
- 871 Weimer, P., 1989. Sequence stratigraphy of the Mississippi fan (Plio-Pleistocene), Gulf
- of Mexico. *Geo-Marine Letters*, 9, 185-272, https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02431072.

Figure captions



Figure 1: Locations of the representative mass-transport deposits (MTDs) analysed in

this study. AF = Amazon Fan; GB = Gulf of Mexico; UB = Ulleung Basin.



Petrophysical properties of strata drilled at IODP Site 1322, Gulf of Mexico

Figure 2: Petrophysical data for IODP Site U1322 (Expedition 308), Gulf of Mexico, showing borehole resistivity, gamma ray, bulk density and porosity in MTDs 1 to 4, and in undeformed slope strata. Lithological column is modified from Expedition 308 Scientists (2005).



Figure 3: Petrophysical data for IODP Site U1323 (Expedition 308), Gulf of Mexico, showing borehole resistivity, gamma ray, bulk density and porosity in MTDs 5 and 6, and in undeformed slope strata. The seismic profile and lithological column are modified from Expedition 308 Scientists (2005).



Figure 4: Petrophysical data for IODP Site U1324 (Expedition 308), Gulf of Mexico, showing borehole resistivity, gamma ray, bulk density and porosity in MTD 7 and in undeformed slope strata. Seismic profile and lithological column are modified from Expedition 308 Scientists (2005).

	Depth	Resistivity (ohm/m)	Gamma Ray (API)	Velocity (m/s)	Density (g/m³)	Neutron Porosity (%)
Lithology	— 0 –	0.5 1.5	0 100	1400 1700	1.0 2.0	40 80
Clay/mud		mmm	mumu		Mr. marrie	mark Mithing hay
MTD 8	_	A	ζ	MTD 8	A.	Ę
Clay/mud	50	MANAMA	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~			March Johnson March
MTD 9	100	Monorman	MTD 9	Main body of MTD	MTD 9	Mary Manuna Manuna Man
Clay/mud		Markam	- month	Basal shear zone		MANNAM MANA
MTU 10	15(~	2	M		~
Clay/mud		MMMMM	manna	murh	MMM	Mundina

Petrophysical properties of strata drilled at Well 1-4, offshore South Korea

Figure 5: Petrophysical data for UBGH 1-4 in the Ulleung Basin (South Korea) showing borehole resistivity, gamma ray, compressional velocity, bulk density and neutron porosity in MTDs 8 to 10, and in undeformed slope strata. Figure is modified from Riedel et al. (2012).



Summary of petrophysical properties of strata drilled at ODP Sites 933, 936 and 941, Amazon Fan

Figure 6: Petrophysical data for ODP Sites 933, 936 and 941 (Expedition 155), Amazon Fan, showing borehole porosity, resistivity, and bulk density.



Shear strength of strata drilled at IODP Sites 1322-1324 and ODP Sites 933, 936 and 941

Figure 7: (a) Shear strength of strata at IODP Site U1322 and U1324 in the Gulf of Mexico; (b) Shear strength of strata drilled at ODP Sites 933, 936 and 941, Amazon Fan. The shear strength of MTD intervals (MTD 1-2, MTD 7, MTD 11-13) is generally higher than in undeformed slope strata. It also increases gradually from the top to the base of MTDs.



Figure 8: Water content in strata at ODP Sites 933, 936 and 941, Amazon Fan. The

water content of MTDs is often lower than in background strata.



Figure 9: Characteristics of the main body (MB) and basal shear zones (BSZ) of MTDs. (a) Resistivity of BSZ vs. resistivity of MB; (b) Gamma-ray curve of BSZ vs. gamma ray of MB; (c) bulk density of BSZ vs. bulk density of MB; (d) porosity of BSZ vs. porosity of MB; (e) thickness of BSZ vs. thickness of MB; (f) thickness of BSZ vs. total thickness of MTD.



Figure 10: Summaries and interpretations of well-log data for undeformed strata, main

body of MTDs, and their basal shear zone(s).



Figure 11: Schematic diagram showing the formation processes of MTDs (under their own gravity) and fluid migration along their basal shear zones. Fractures caused by excessive shear stress at the basal shear zone form a weak layer. These fractures and subsequent fluid charges likely promote the occurrence of slope failure. Fluids escapes onto the sea floor through extensional faults at the upper (head) zones of MTDs during and after their emplacement.

	MTD1					MTD2				
	Overlying	MTD	Underlying	Overlying	Underlying	Overlying	MTD	Underlying	Overlying	Underlying
	strata		strata	strata (%)	strata (%)	strata		strata	strata (%)	strata (%)
Resistivity	0.88	1.04	0.96	18.0	8.0	1.06	1.31	1.05	23.6	24.8
Gamma	64.5	64.34	63.57	-0.2	1.2	68.66	73.93	60.65	7.4	21.9
Ray										
Caliper	14.05	13.37	13.32	-4.8	0.4	11.70	10.81	10.61	-7.6	1.9
Density	1.59	1.63	1.60	2.5	1.9	1.77	1.89	1.76	6.8	7.4
Porosity	0.67	0.64	0.66	-4.5	-3.0	0.56	0.48	0.56	-14.3	-14.3

=

Table 1: Comparison among the petrophysical characters of MTD 1 and MTD 2, and undeformed strata in the Gulf of Mexico. The data for undeformed strata around MTD 1 include the average values for sediment 10 m above and below the MTD. The data for undeformed around MTD 2 include the average values for sediment 30 m above and 25 m below the MTD.

MTDs		Resistivity	Gamma	Density	Porosity	Thickness
		(ohm.m)	Ray (GAPI)	(g/cm ³)	(%)	(m)
MTD1	MTD	1.08	67.16	1.74	57.2	17.0
(U1322)	strata					
	Basal	1.15	64.34	1.74	57.3	5.0
	shear					
	zone					
MTD2	MTD	1.16	68.5	1.94	44.2	28.0
(U1322)	strata					
	Basal	1.31	71.65	1.91	45.6	7.0
	shear					
	zone					
MTD3	MTD	1.35	69.48	1.94	45.3	8.0
(U1322)	strata					
	Basal	none	none	none	none	none
	shear					
	zone					
MTD4	MTD	1.36	72.34	2.00	44.3	7.0
(U1322)	strata					
	Basal	1.41	70.94	1.96	44.5	4.0
	shear					
	zone					
MTD5	MTD	1.33	73.24	1.92	46.8	87.5
(U1323)	strata					
	Basal	1.45	78.32	1.70	59.4	10.5
	shear					
	zone					
MTD6	MTD	1.23	71.95	1.92	46.6	54.0
(U1323)	strata					
	Basal	1.12	74.58	1.84	51.4	3.0
	shear					
	zone					
MTD7	MTD	1.13	86.34	1.85	47.6	49.0
(U1324)	strata					
	Basal	0.95	92.25	1.76	53.2	8.0
	shear					
	zone					
MTD8	MTD	1.20	74.4	1.69	51.9	6.8
(UBGH 1-4)	strata					
	Basal	1.24	77.0	1.63	54.4	3.5
	shear					
	zone					
MTD9	MTD	1.34	93.3	1.80	46.1	53.0
(UBGH 1-4)	strata					

	Basal	1.33	91.8	1.85	47.7	2.8
	shear					
	zone					
MTD10	MTD	1.22	90.3	1.79	47.6	2.2
(UBGH 1-4)	strata					
	Basal	1.25	89.5	1.77	51.4	2.7
	shear					
	zone					
MTD11(Site	MTD	0.47	none	2.00	45.8	69.7
933)	strata					
	Basal	Unknown	none	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown
	shear					
	zone					
MTD12 (Site	MTD	0.51	none	2.04	43.9	139.7
936)	strata					
	Basal	Unknown	none	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown
	shear					
	zone					
MTD13 (Site	MTD	0.33	none	1.85	49.4	124.4
941)	strata					
	Basal	Unknown	none	Unknown	Unknown	Unknown
	shear					
	zone					

Table 2: Average resistivity, gamma ray, density, porosity and thickness of the main bodies and basal shear zones of MTDs. Note that the longitudinal resistivity is used at ODP Sites 933, 936 and 941. The term "none" signifies that no basal shear zone developed in a MTDs. The term "unknown" signifies that there is no clear basal shear zone in a MTD because of the sparse sampling or coring.