Impact of blood group on survival following critical illness: a single-centre retrospective observational study
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ABSTRACT

Background Predicting patient outcomes following critical illness is challenging. Recent evidence has suggested that patients with blood group AB are more likely to survive following major cardiac surgery, and this is associated with a reduced number of blood transfusions. However, there are no current data to indicate whether a patient’s blood group affects general intensive care outcomes.

Objective The objective of this study was to determine if ABO blood group affects survival in intensive care. The primary outcome measure was 90-day mortality with a secondary outcome measure of the percentage of patients receiving a blood transfusion.

Design Retrospective analysis of electronically collected intensive care data, blood group and transfusion data.

Setting General intensive care unit (ICU) of a major tertiary hospital with both medical and surgical patients.

Patients All patients admitted to ICU between 2006 and 2016 who had blood group data available.

Intervention None.

Measurements and main results 7340 patients were included in the study, blood group AB accounted for 3% (221), A 41% (3008), B 10.6% (775) and O 45.4% (3336). These values are similar to UK averages. Baseline characteristics between the groups were similar. Blood group AB had the greatest survival benefit (blood group AB 90-day survival estimate 76.75, 95% CI 72.89 to 80.61 with the overall estimate 72.07, 95% CI 71.31 to 72.82 (log-rank $\chi^2$ 16.128, p=0.001)). Transfusion requirements were similar in all groups with no significant difference between the percentages of patients transfused (AB 23.1%, A 21.5%, B 18.7%, O 19.9%, Pearson $\chi^2$ 5.060 p=0.167).

Conclusion Although this is primarily a hypothesis generating study, intensive care patients with blood group AB appeared to have a higher 90-day survival compared with other blood groups. There was no correlation between blood group and percentage of patients receiving transfusion.

INTRODUCTION

Predicting patient outcomes following critical illness is challenging. Personalised medicine, where a greater understanding of patients’ risks from genetic, environmental and social factors is becoming increasingly important and may allow better planning of therapy and outcomes. Although genetic variation is known to be one factor in determining survival following admission to intensive care, this can be difficult and costly to assess in clinical practice.

At the onset of critical illness, a logical and pragmatic approach is required to effectively manage patients. ABO blood group testing is a routine medical test that provides a rapid patient phenotype to the clinician. If ABO blood group impacts survival, it could provide a useful additional facet to stratifying risk. In addition, it may direct clinicians towards novel therapeutic interventions in the future.

The effect of ABO group has been analysed in multiple disease pathologies with differences noted in venous thromboembolism,2 coronary artery disease3 and malignancies including those of the pancreas and stomach.4–6 Recent evidence has suggested that patients with blood group AB are more likely to survive following major cardiac surgery and this is associated with a reduced number of blood transfusions.7 There may also be an influence on patient outcomes according to the gender and age of transfused products.1

There is a body of evidence which demonstrates that blood group AB has higher levels of von Willebrand factor (vWF) and factor VIII,8 9 making this group more pro-thrombotic. While this may increase the risk of both arterial and venous thrombotic events,10 11 it may be beneficial in patient groups where
bleeding risks are high. In one study of more than 1100 healthy volunteers, mean vWF antigen was lowest in blood group O (74.8 U/dL), followed by blood group A (105.9 U/dL), group B (116.9 U/dL) and finally group AB (123.3 U/dL). This increases the rate of significant bleeding in group O patients most notably in surgical procedures with a high risk of blood loss.

Currently, there are no available data to indicate whether a patient’s blood group affects general intensive care outcomes.

The objective of the study was to determine if ABO blood group affects survival following admission to a general intensive care unit (ICU). The primary outcome measure was 90-day mortality with a secondary outcome measure of the percentage of patients receiving a blood transfusion during that hospital admission.

**Materials and Methods**

We performed a retrospective database analysis of all patients admitted to the ICU of a major tertiary University Hospital (both medical and surgical patients) between 2006 and 2016 where ABO blood group data were available. Baseline characteristics for each blood group were collected including, age, gender, body mass index (BMI), surgery during admission, days in hospital, ethnicity and APACHE II score. Continuous baseline characteristics were evaluated using analysis of variance (ANOVA). A $\chi^2$ test was used to determine equivalence of baseline characteristics of discrete variables.

Patients’ primary ABO blood group status was collected along with any blood product transfusions they received during their hospital stay.

The primary outcome for the study was 90-day hospital survival. Survival analysis was performed using the time from hospital admission to discharge. If patients had a hospital stay longer than 90 days, data were censored to 90 days. The secondary outcome measure was the percentage of each blood group that received any blood product transfusion.

Ninety-day survival was estimated by Kaplan-Meier plots, with binary logistic regression used to calculate odds ratios between different blood groups. Binary logistic regression with Pearson’s $\chi^2$ test was used to determine OR between blood groups. ABO blood groups were individually compared and then pooled to compare AB versus non-AB blood groups. A subgroup analysis was performed on patients that had surgery during their admission.

Intensive care data were merged with individual patient transfusion data using R (V.1.0.136, 2016, R Studio). The combined data were exported to SPSS (V.23.0, IBM) for statistical evaluation. The study was granted scientific approval by Wales research ethics review service.

**Results**

During January 2010 to January 2016, 7906 patients were admitted to the ICU with blood group data available for 7340. Blood group AB accounted for 3% (221), A 41% (3008), B 10.6% (775) and O 45.4% (3336). These values are similar to UK averages. The mean age was 58.8±17.2 years; 41.7% of patients (n=3063) were female and average BMI was 27.05±6.4 (Table 1). Admission APACHE score was 13.45±7.86 and the percentage of patients undergoing surgery during their admission was 46.3% (n=3399). Baseline characteristics were statistically

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Overall</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>AB</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>O</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number (%)</td>
<td>n=7340</td>
<td>3008</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>775</td>
<td>3336</td>
<td>45.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean age, years (SD)</td>
<td>58.8 (17.2)</td>
<td>59.3 (17.1)</td>
<td>58 (16.6)</td>
<td>58.8 (17.3)</td>
<td>58.5 (17.3)</td>
<td>0.245</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female, % (n)</td>
<td>41.7% (3063)</td>
<td>41.7% (1255)</td>
<td>36.2% (80)</td>
<td>43.7% (339)</td>
<td>41.7% (3063)</td>
<td>0.508</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI, mean (SD)</td>
<td>27.05 (6.4)</td>
<td>27.1 (6.3)</td>
<td>26.8 (6.8)</td>
<td>27 (7.2)</td>
<td>27.1 (6.2)</td>
<td>0.894</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surgery during admission, % (n)</td>
<td>46.3% (3399)</td>
<td>46.5% (1398)</td>
<td>41.6% (92)</td>
<td>44.6% (346)</td>
<td>46.9% (1563)</td>
<td>0.356</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Days in hospital, mean (SD)</td>
<td>30.2 (45.2)</td>
<td>31.5 (53.0)</td>
<td>29 (28.1)</td>
<td>28.2 (37.2)</td>
<td>29.6 (39.9)</td>
<td>0.202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Caucasian (n)</td>
<td>95.5% (7013)</td>
<td>97.1% (2922)</td>
<td>95.5% (211)</td>
<td>90.3% (700)</td>
<td>95.3% (3180)</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APACHE score, mean (SD)</td>
<td>13.45 (7.86)</td>
<td>13.59 (7.87)</td>
<td>13.39 (7.82)</td>
<td>13.52 (8.26)</td>
<td>13.30 (7.77)</td>
<td>0.528</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BMI, body mass index.
similar across the blood groups except for the ethnicity of the groups with blood group B having fewer Caucasians 90.3% compared with an average of 95.5% (table 2).

Blood group AB had the greatest survival difference when assessing individual blood groups (figure 1) (blood group AB 90-day survival estimate 76.75, 95% CI 72.89 to 80.61 with the overall estimate 72.07, 95% CI 71.31 to 72.82) (log-rank $\chi^2$ 16.128, p=0.001).

When pooling blood group data (figure 2), Kaplan-Meier analysis showed blood group AB (n=221) to have improved survival compared with non-AB blood groups (n=7119) (blood group AB 90-day survival estimate 76.75, 95% CI 72.89 to 80.61 non-AB groups 71.92, 95% CI 71.15 to 72.69) (log-rank $\chi^2$ 3.890, p=0.049).

Compared with AB, non-AB groups had an OR for death of 1.413 (95% CI 1.002 to 1.992, p=0.049). Blood group AB also showed a trend towards improved 90-day survival in the subgroup (n=3399) of patients who underwent surgery during their hospital admission (figure 3) (blood group AB 83.89, 95% CI 79.64 to 88.14 with the overall estimate 78.26, 95% CI 77.32 to 79.20), however this benefit was not statistically significant (log-rank $\chi^2$ 5.537, p=0.136).

Transfusion requirements were similar in all groups (table 3) with no significant difference between the percentages of patients transfused (AB 23.1%, A 21.5%, B 18.7%, O 19.9%, Pearson $\chi^2$ 5.060 p=0.167).

### DISCUSSION

This is the first study to describe an effect of blood group on survival following critical illness. We observed patients with blood group AB to have an improved 90-day survival following admission to the ICU.

ABO blood group system is the major component of categorising a patients’ blood type. The ABO blood group system was first described by Landsteiner in 1900; despite more than 300 antigens identified on red blood cells (RBC), the ABO blood group system remains the
most significant in cases of mismatch. The ABO system of blood grouping refers to antibodies against glycoprotein antigens present on RBC, and other cells including sensory neurons, platelets and endothelium. An isolated gene on chromosome 9 at the loci 9q34.1-q34.2 determines ABO blood group. This locus encodes a glycosyltransferase that produces N-acetylgalactosamine (A antigen) and D-galactose (B antigen) for the surface of the RBC. These antigens are formed from a precursor antigen H encoded on chromosome 19 (loci 19q13.3). The immune system then forms antibodies against the ABO blood group antigens not found in the individual.

ABO blood group has been implicated as a risk factor in both venous thromboembolism and coronary artery disease. One proposed mechanism for the varying clinical outcomes in patients is the different amounts of vWF and factor VIII per blood group. ABO blood group plays an important role in determining levels of vWF and factor VIII per blood group. ABO blood group has been implicated as a risk factor in both venous thromboembolism and coronary artery disease. One proposed mechanism for the varying clinical outcomes in patients is the different amounts of vWF and factor VIII per blood group. ABO blood group plays an important role in determining levels of vWF and factor VIII per blood group. ABO blood group has been implicated as a risk factor in both venous thromboembolism and coronary artery disease. One proposed mechanism for the varying clinical outcomes in patients is the different amounts of vWF and factor VIII per blood group. ABO blood group plays an important role in determining levels of vWF and factor VIII per blood group.

Individual levels of vWF vary widely. Blood group O demonstrates lower levels of vWF and factor VIII and this is thought to have a functional effect on thrombosis with a reduced risk. Higher levels of factor VIII and vWF are associated with higher levels of venous thromboembolism and arterial disease, particularly myocardial infarction. Blood group O levels of vWF are up to 25% lower than non-O blood groups. Among the non-O blood groups, blood group AB has the highest levels of vWF. Blood group AB may therefore represent a higher risk for thrombosis under normal conditions, however this may lead to a survival benefit under periods of physiological stress such as surgery or critical illness where a prothrombotic state is potentially beneficial.

There are a number of limitations to the current study. The data available in this retrospective analysis did not allow us to perform covariate adjusted analysis using pre-existing conditions, critical care management or specific reasons for current admission. This is a constraint in an observational study of this type which may be addressed in future studies with more comprehensive datasets.

We hypothesised that survival of blood group AB patients may be related to levels of vWF/Factor VII but these were not measured. There was no statistical difference in the numbers of patients receiving blood transfusion in any of the groups, however we do not know if there were differences in the number of units transfused or indeed other blood products. It is also possible that the number of patients in our study was too small to detect a difference in transfusion requirements. This is in contrast to patients undergoing cardiac surgery, as this population of patients is more likely to receive blood transfusions and therefore differences may be more evident. The relevance of vWF/FVII in modulating the observed association is only speculative and other mechanisms are described in the literature.

The retrospective study design limits the conclusions we can draw from this study, as we can suggest there is an association between survival and AB blood group but no causation. In addition, using a 90-day measure for survival means we cannot draw long-term outcomes from our study. This is the first study to assess the effects of blood group on patients with critical illness. This work supports the findings of Welsby et al that patients with blood group AB are more likely to survive cardiac surgery. There are a number of overlaps between the two population groups, however our population was in a general ICU setting. As such there was a greater variety of aetiologies of critical illness with both medical and surgical cohorts of patients included. A subgroup analysis showed that surgical patients in the ICU had a greater survival benefit of being blood group AB, however this was not statistically significant.

Our study used 10 year data at a single centre. The patients included in our study was representative of the distribution of ABO blood groups within the UK, blood group AB is a relatively small proportion accounting for 3% in our study (n=221) and across the UK. It is also important to highlight that we compared results based on the phenotype of patients not their individual blood group genotype. This is in keeping with clinical practice and the literature on ABO blood group and clinical outcomes. It is likely that there are a wide variety of genetic factors that are interlinked that help to determine an individual’s outcome from disease. However, it can be difficult to elicit an individual patient’s genetic risk, particularly within clinical practice. ABO blood typing is a ubiquitous clinical test that provides a window onto the genetic nature of disease and variations in patient outcome. It seems likely that ABO blood group is interlinked with other genetic and patient factors to determine differences in prevalence and outcome from varying disease pathologies. Future studies could measure vWF/FVII in different blood groups and a future therapeutic intervention based on mechanistic studies may include targeted transfusion or use of drugs such as desmopressin.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Intensive care patients with blood group AB have a significantly higher 90-day survival compared with other blood groups. There was no correlation between blood group and percentage of patients receiving transfusion. Blood group AB is relatively rare accounting for 3% of the study population and therefore our study may have been underpowered to determine this bleeding risk. Improved
survival outcomes in blood group AB are a promising area for further study.
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