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Abstract

*APOE-ε4* is a major genetic risk factor for late onset Alzheimer's disease (LOAD) that interacts with other risk factors, but the nature of such combined effects remains poorly understood. We quantified the impact of *APOE-ε4*, family history (FH) of dementia, and obesity on white matter (WM) microstructure in 165 asymptomatic adults (38-71 years) using quantitative magnetization transfer (qMT) and Neurite Orientation Dispersion and Density Imaging (NODDI). Microstructural properties of the fornix, parahippocampal cingulum (PHC) and uncinate fasciculus were compared with those in motor and whole-brain WM regions. Widespread interaction effects between *APOE*, FH and Waist-Hip-Ratio were found in the myelin-sensitive macromolecular proton fraction (MPF) from qMT. Amongst individuals with the highest genetic risk (FH+ and *APOE-ε4*) obesity was associated with reduced MPF in the right PHC while no effects were present for those without FH. Risk effects on apparent myelin were moderated by hypertension and inflammation-related markers. These findings suggest that genetic risk modifies the impact of obesity on WM myelin consistent with neuroglia models of aging and LOAD.
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1. Introduction

As the world’s population is aging, increasing numbers of people over 65 will develop cognitive impairment due to late onset Alzheimer’s disease (LOAD) (Gulland, 2012). The pathological processes leading to LOAD accumulate over many years (Jack et al., 2013) but it remains challenging to reliably identify those individuals at heightened risk that will develop memory impairments. It is therefore important to gain a better understanding of the effects of dementia risk factors on the brain, and of how they differ from healthy aging. Here we utilized multi-parametric magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) quantification of apparent myelin, cell metabolism, free water, axon density and dispersion to gain information about white matter microstructural differences associated with heightened risk of LOAD. The impact of three main risk factors, APOE-ε4 genotype, family history of dementia, and central obesity, on limbic white matter was studied in 165 cognitively healthy individuals from the Cardiff Ageing and Risk of Dementia Study (CARDS) (38 – 71 years) (Table 1) (Coad et al., 2020; Metzler-Baddeley et al., 2019a; Metzler-Baddeley et al., 2019c).

Insert Table 1 here

Accumulating evidence suggests that neuroglia damage may be critically involved in the pathogenesis of LOAD (Bartzokis, 2004; Bartzokis, 2011; De Strooper and Karran, 2016; Heneka, 2017; Nasrabady et al., 2018; Sarlus and Heneka, 2017; Sochocka et al., 2018; Tejera and Heneka, 2016). According to the myelin hypothesis (Bartzokis, 2011; Nasrabady et al., 2018) oligodendrocytes are particularly vulnerable to the impact of aging and insults from genetic and lifestyle risk factors, leading to an accelerated breakdown of axon myelin sheaths with advancing age (Bartzokis, 2004; Bartzokis et al., 2010; Bradl and Lassmann, 2010). Myelin regulates saltatory conduction and synaptic plasticity and is therefore critical for the speed of information transfer and the maintenance of healthy brain circuit functions (Fields, 2014; Fields et al., 2014; Fields and Dutta, 2019; Turner, 2019). When myelin gets
damaged, the brain triggers complex repair mechanisms involving, amongst others, the 
beta-secretase enzyme BACE1 (Hu et al., 2006; Hu et al., 2017; Nave and Salzer, 2006) 
that generates amyloid-β (Vassar, 2004). Thus, the myelin model predicts that myelin 
damage precedes the development of LOAD pathology (Bartzokis, 2011) and consequently, 
one may expect accelerated myelin damage in individuals at heightened risk of LOAD from 
midlife onwards (Bartzokis et al., 2010; Flechsig, 1920; Raz, 2001).

APOE is the main cholesterol transporter in the brain (de Chaves and 
Narayanaswami, 2008) and has three alleles ε2, ε3 and ε4. The APOE-ε4 isoform is thought 
to have fewer molecules to deliver cholesterol required for myelin and synaptic repair (de 
Chaves and Narayanaswami, 2008; Gong et al., 2002). APOE-ε4 is also the largest known 
genetic risk factor of LOAD with ε4 homozygotes having a 14-fold increase in their lifetime 
risk compared with ε2 and ε3 carriers (Hersi et al., 2017; Mahoney-Sanchez et al., 2016). It 
is well-established that APOE-ε4 at older age is associated with an earlier onset of LOAD 
(Filippini et al., 2011; Hersi et al., 2017) and a larger burden of amyloid-β plaques (Chételat 
and Fouquet, 2013; Gottesman et al., 2016; Kantarci et al., 2012; Lim et al., 2017; Toledo et 
al., 2019) and this is particularly the case in those individuals with a family history of LOAD 
(Payami et al., 1997; Yi et al., 2018). Furthermore, APOE-ε4 may interact with life-style 
related risk factors of LOAD, notably central obesity (Beydoun et al., 2008; Pedditizi et al., 
2016). Excessive abdominal visceral fat can lead to a metabolic syndrome, type 2 diabetes 
and cardiovascular disease (Cox et al., 2015), and midlife obesity has been shown to predict 
the onset of LOAD and neuropathology at autopsy (Chuang et al., 2016). APOE-ε4 carriers 
may be particularly susceptible to the adverse effects of obesity on metabolism and 
cardiovascular function and show an increased risk of developing hypertension, 
inflammation and insulin resistance (Ghebranious et al., 2011; Jones and Rebeck, 2018).

There is evidence for APOE-ε4, family history and obesity relating to white matter 
microstructural differences in pathways of the limbic system and those connecting temporal 
and frontal lobes (Adluru et al., 2014; Kullmann et al., 2015; Lancaster et al., 2016). All three
risk factors are also associated with differences in cognition including episodic memory, spatial navigation, executive functions and processing speed (Alfaro et al., 2018; Aschenbrenner et al., 2016; Bloss et al., 2008; Bondi et al., 1995; Kunz et al., 2015; Loprinzi and Frith, 2018; Luck et al., 2015; O'Donoghue et al., 2018a). For instance, APOE-ε4 carriers with a parental history of LOAD exhibited white matter microstructural alterations (increased axial diffusivity) in the uncinate fasciculus, a pathway that connects temporal and prefrontal cortices (Adluru et al., 2014). Similarly, baseline white matter microstructural differences in the fornix, the parahippocampal cingulum and the uncinate fasciculus predicted episodic memory decline over three years in asymptomatic older adults enriched with APOE-ε4 and family history of LOAD (Lancaster et al., 2016). Previously, we have found that central obesity measured with the Waist-Hip-Ratio (WHR) and abdominal visceral fat fractions had adverse effects on fornix microstructure (Metzler-Baddeley et al., 2019a). Accumulating evidence suggests that visceral obesity contributes to deep white matter lesions and cognitive impairments and that these effect may be mediated by neuroinflammation (Lampe et al., 2019; Metzler-Baddeley et al., 2019a; Zhang et al., 2018). Finally, stronger correlations between obesity state and performance in executive function and memory tests were observed in APOE-ε4 carriers than non-carriers (Zade et al., 2013), suggesting that APOE may modulate the effects of obesity on cognition.

These findings point to complex interplays between APOE-ε4, family history, and obesity but the nature of these relationships and their underlying mechanisms remain poorly understood. It is generally assumed that APOE-ε4 combines with family history and obesity to greater impairments in ways that are influenced by age and sex (Bendlin et al., 2010; Jones and Rebeck, 2018; Yi et al., 2018). However, it is also conceivable that a lack of main effects of APOE-ε4 on white matter microstructure observed in some studies (Bendlin et al., 2010; Dell'Acqua et al., 2015) may be due to counteracting or masking effects of other genetic and/or lifestyle factors and vice versa. For instance, there are reports of positive associations between white matter microstructure and central obesity (Birdsill et al., 2017)
as well as serum cholesterol levels (Warstadt et al., 2014) and the question arises whether such apparent protective effects could mask any detrimental effects of APOE-ε4 when not controlled for. For these reasons we were interested in modelling main and interaction effects between APOE, family history of dementia, and central obesity (assed with WHR) on a number of multi-parametric MRI measurements sensitive to apparent myelin and axon microstructure in white matter.

*Insert Figure 1 here*

Based on the myelin model, we hypothesized that risk-related interaction effects would be particularly apparent in myelin-sensitive metrics. We therefore went beyond conventional diffusion tensor imaging (Beaulieu and Allen, 1994; De Santis et al., 2014; Pierpaoli and Basser, 1996) and employed more myelin-sensitive indices from quantitative magnetization transfer (qMT) (Cercignani and Alexander, 2006; Eng et al., 1991; Henkelman et al., 1993; Henkelman et al., 2001; Sled, 2018) and T1-relaxometry (Callaghan et al., 2015). The qMT macromolecular proton fraction (MPF) (Sled, 2018), and to a lesser extent the forward exchange rate $k_f$ (Sled, 2018), have been shown highly sensitive to the myelin content in white matter confirmed by histology in Shiverer mice and puppies (Ou et al., 2009a, b; Samsonov et al., 2012; Schmierer et al., 2007; Sled, 2018). MPF has also been reported sensitive to longitudinal myelin changes in Multiple Sclerosis (MS) (Levesque et al., 2010) and to demyelination in MS brains (Schmierer et al., 2007). In addition, widespread reductions in gray matter $k_f$ have been found in LOAD and proposed to reflect impaired cell metabolism (Giulietti et al., 2012) (Figure 1) and the effects of inflammation on the brain (Harrison et al., 2015). Furthermore, the longitudinal relaxation rate $R_1$ (Callaghan et al., 2015) is a myelin-sensitive measure (Dick et al., 2012; Sereno et al., 2013) that has been associated with LOAD pathology in patients and transgenic mice (for review see (Tang et al., 2018)).

To gain a comprehensive characterisation of white matter microstructure, these myelin-sensitive measurements were complemented by estimates of axon microstructure.
from Neurite Orientation Dispersion and Density Imaging (NODDI) (Zhang et al., 2012). NODDI models diffusion-weighted data acquired with two or more b-values to separate free water from hindered and restricted diffusion compartments (Zhang et al., 2012) yielding the isotropic signal fraction (ISOSF) as estimate of free water, the intracellular signal fraction (ICSF) as estimate of apparent axon density, and an axon orientation dispersion index (ODI) (Figure 1). Recent studies in late and early onset AD and transgenic mice suggest that NODDI indices may be sensitive to microstructural degeneration in LOAD (Colgan et al., 2016; Fu et al., 2019; Slattery et al., 2017; Vogt et al., 2019).

Microstructural measurements were derived from the fornix, the parahippocampal cingulum and the uncinate fasciculus as pathways susceptible to synergistic effects of APOE-ε4 and family history (Adluru et al., 2014; Lancaster et al., 2016). To assess regional specificity motor cortico-spinal tracts and whole brain white matter masks were included as control areas (Figure 1). Based on the myelin model we predicted risk-related reductions in myelin-sensitive measures of MPF, $k_f$, and $R_1$ that may be accompanied by reductions in ICSF and increases in ISOSF and ODI. These microstructural differences were expected to be particularly prominent in the three temporal lobe pathways.

Finally, we also investigated the impact of risk factors on a wide range of cognitive domains including episodic memory (Rey, 1941; Schmidt, 1996), spatial navigation (Hamilton et al., 2002), working memory, executive function, and processing speed (Hampshire et al., 2012; Owen et al., 2010) and tested whether differences in blood pressure, plasma markers of inflammation (C-Reactive Protein, Interleukin 8), and adipose tissue dysfunction (leptin/adiponectin ratio) (Frühbeck et al., 2018) moderated any risk effects to explore potential contributing mechanisms.
2. Materials and Methods

The methods of the CARDS study have been previously detailed (Coad et al., 2020; Metzler-Baddeley et al., 2019a; Metzler-Baddeley et al., 2019c) but will be briefly described in the following. CARDS received ethical approval from the School of Psychology Research Ethics Committee at Cardiff University (EC.14.09.09.3843R2) and all participants gave written informed consent.

2.1 Participants

Community-dwelling adults between the age of 35 and 75 years were recruited via Cardiff University community panels, notice boards and local poster advertisements. Exclusion criteria of CARDS included a history of neurological and/or psychiatric disease, head injury with loss of consciousness, drug or alcohol dependency, high risk cardio-embolic source or known significant large-vessel disease. All participants had good command of the English language. In total 166 volunteers fulfilled MRI screening criteria and underwent scanning at the Cardiff University Brain Research Imaging Centre. Table 1 summarises details about their demographic background, their cognition, and their genetic and lifestyle risk variables. Participants’ intellectual function was assessed with the National Adult Reading Test (NART) (Nelson, 1991), cognitive impairment was screened for with the Mini Mental State Exam (MMSE) (Folstein et al., 1975) and depression with the Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ-9) (Kroenke et al., 2001).

2.2 Assessment of genetic risk factors

Participants provided saliva samples using the Genotek Oragene-DNA kit (OG-500) for DNA extraction and APOE genotyping. APOE genotypes ε2, ε3 and ε4 were determined by TaqMan genotyping of single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) rs7412 and KASP genotyping of SNP rs429358 (Metzler-Baddeley et al., 2019a). Genotyping was successful in 165 of the 166 participants who had an MRI scan. Information about family history of
dementia, i.e. whether a first-grade relative (parent or sibling) was affected by LOAD, vascular dementia or any other type of dementia was provided by self-report.

2.3 Assessment of metabolic syndrome-related risk factors

Participants’ waist and hip circumferences and their resting systolic and diastolic blood pressure (BP) were measured. Central obesity was defined as a WHR ≥ 0.9 for males and ≥ 0.85 for females and hypertension as systolic BP ≥ 140 mm Hg. Other metabolic risk factors, such as diabetes mellitus, statin medication, history of smoking, and weekly alcohol intake were self-reported in a medical history questionnaire (Metzler-Baddeley et al., 2013) (Table 1). As there were relatively few diabetics, smokers, and individuals on statins these variables were not included in the statistical analyses (Table 1).

2.4 Blood plasma analysis

As previously reported (Metzler-Baddeley et al., 2019a), venous blood samples were drawn into 9ml heparin coated plasma tubes after 12 hours overnight fasting and were centrifuged for 10 minutes at 2,000xg within 1 hour from blood collection. Plasma samples were then transferred into 0.5 ml polypropylene microtubes and stored in a freezer at -80°C. Circulating levels of high-sensitivity C-Reactive Protein (CRP) in mg/dL were assayed using a human CRP Quantikine enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) kit (R & D Systems, Minneapolis, USA). Six individuals had a CRP value > 10 mg/ml indicative of acute infection and were therefore excluded from analyses testing for moderating effects of inflammation. Leptin concentrations in pg/ml were determined with the DRP300 Quantikine ELISA kit (R & D Systems) and adiponectin in ng/ml with the human total adiponectin/Acrp30 Quantitkine ELISA kit (R & D Systems). Leptin/adiponectin ratios for each participant were calculated. Interleukin IL-8 levels in pg/mL were determined using a high sensitivity CXCL8/INTERLEUKIN-8 Quantikine ELISA kit (R & D Systems). Determination of interleukin-1β, interleukin-6 and Tumor Necrosis Factor α (TNFα) levels were trialled with high-sensitivity
Quantikine ELISA kits but did not result in reliable measurements consistently above the level of detection for each assay.

2.5 Cognitive assessment

Details of the cognitive assessment can be found in Coad et al (2020). Verbal and visual recall were assessed with the Rey Auditory Verbal Learning Test (RAVLT) and the complex Rey figure (Rey, 1941; Schmidt, 1996). Short-term topographical memory was measured with the Four Mountains Test (Chan et al., 2016). Spatial navigation was assessed with a virtual Morris Water Maze Task (vMWMT) (Hamilton et al., 2002) that required participants to find and navigate to a hidden platform in a water pool. This task also included a motor control condition, where participants navigated to a visible platform. Working memory capacity and executive functions were assessed with computerised tests from the Cambridge Brain Sciences battery (Hampshire et al., 2012; Owen et al., 2010) and included the following: digit and spatial span, a version of the Stroop test (Double-Trouble), problem solving with a version of the Tower of London task (the Tree task), abstract reasoning with grammatical reasoning and odd-one-out, the ability to manipulate and organize spatial information with a self-ordered spatial span task, intra- and extradimensional shift, choice-reaction time, and object-location paired-associate learning (PAL). Cognitive outcome measures were the number of correct responses and response latencies.

2.6 MRI data acquisition

MRI data were collected on a 3T MAGNETOM Prisma clinical scanner (Siemens Healthcare, Erlangen, Germany) (Metzler-Baddeley et al., 2019a,b). A three-dimension (3D) magnetization-prepared rapid gradient-echo (MP-RAGE) sequence (256 x 256 acquisition matrix, TR = 2300 ms, TE = 3.06 ms, TI = 850ms, flip angle θ = 9°, 176 slices, 1mm slice thickness, FOV = 256 mm and acquisition time of ~ 6 min) was used to acquire T₁-weighted anatomical images.
Diffusion-weighted images were acquired with High Angular Resolution Diffusion Imaging (HARDI) (Tuch et al., 2002) using a spin-echo echo-planar dual shell HARDI sequence with diffusion encoded along 90 isotropically distributed orientations (Jones et al., 1999) (30 directions at b-value = 1200 s/mm², 60 directions at b-value = 2400 s/mm²) and six non-diffusion weighted scans with dynamic field correction (TR = 9400ms, TE = 67ms, 80 slices, 2 mm slice thickness, 2 x 2 x 2 mm voxel, FOV = 256 x 256 x 160 mm, GRAPPA acceleration factor = 2, acquisition time of ~15 min).

An optimized 3D MT-weighted gradient-recalled-echo sequence (Cercignani and Alexander, 2006) was used to collect the quantitative magnetization transfer weighted imaging data with the following parameters: TR = 32 ms, TE = 2.46 ms; Gaussian MT pulses, duration t = 12.8 ms; FA = 5°; FOV = 24 cm, 2.5 x 2.5 x 2.5 mm³ resolution. Cramer-Rao lower bound optimization was used to optimize the following off-resonance irradiation frequencies (Θ) and their corresponding saturation pulse nominal flip angles (ΔSAT) for the 11 MT-weighted images: Θ = [1000 Hz, 1000 Hz, 2750 Hz, 2768 Hz, 2790 Hz, 2890 Hz, 1000 Hz, 1000 Hz, 12060 Hz, 47180 Hz, 56360 Hz] and their corresponding ΔSAT values = [332°, 333°, 628°, 628°, 628°, 628°, 628°, 628°, 628°, 332°]. The longitudinal relaxation time, T₁, of the system was estimated by acquiring three 3D gradient recalled echo sequence (GRE) volumes with three different flip angles (θ = 3°, 7°, 15°). This was done with the same acquisition parameters as used in the MT-weighted sequence (TR = 32 ms, TE = 2.46 ms, FOV = 24 cm, 2.5 x 2.5 x 2.5 mm³ resolution). Finally, data for computing the static magnetic field (B₀) were collected using two 3D GRE volumes with different echo-times (TE = 4.92 ms and 7.38 ms respectively; TR= 330ms; FOV= 240 mm; slice thickness 2.5 mm) (Jezzard and Balaban, 1995).

2.7 HARDI and qMT data processing

Microstructural data processing has been detailed in Metzler-Baddeley et al. (2019a,b). The dual-shell HARDI data were split and b = 1200 and 2400 s/mm² data were corrected separately for distortions induced by the diffusion-weighted gradients and motion
artefacts with appropriate reorientation of the encoding vectors (Leemans and Jones, 2009) in ExploreDTI (Version 4.8.3) (Leemans A et al., 2009). EPI-induced geometrical distortions were corrected by warping the diffusion-weighted image volumes to the T₁-weighted images (Irfanoglu et al., 2012). Subsequently, the NODDI model (Zhang et al., 2012) was fit to the HARDI data with the fast, linear model fitting algorithms of the Accelerated Microstructure Imaging via Convex Optimization (AMICO) framework (Daducci et al., 2015). By modelling the dual-shell ($b = 1200$ and $2400 \text{ s/mm}^2$) HARDI data, NODDI allowed the separation of free water contributions to the diffusion signal from hindered and restricted diffusion compartments (Zhang et al., 2012) to calculate isotropic signal fraction (ISOSF), intracellular signal fraction (ICSF), and orientation dispersion index (ODI) maps.

The qMT method models the exchange rate between macromolecular protons and protons in surrounding free water when macromolecular protons are selectively saturated by a radiofrequency pulse with a frequency that is off-resonance for protons in free water (Cercignani and Alexander, 2006; Eng et al., 1991; Henkelman et al., 1993; Henkelman et al., 2001; Sled, 2018). MT-weighted GRE volumes were co-registered to the MT-volume with the most contrast using a rigid body (6 degrees of freedom) registration to correct for inter-scan motion using Elastix (Klein et al., 2010). Data from the 11 MT-weighted GRE images and T₁-maps were fit by the two-pool Ramani pulsed-MT approximation model (Ramani et al., 2002). This approximation provided MPF, $k_f$ and $R_1$ maps. MPF maps were thresholded to an upper intensity limit of 0.3 and $k_f$ maps to an upper limit of 3.0 using the FMRIB’s fslmaths imaging calculator to remove voxels with noise-only data.

All parametric maps and region of interest masks were spatially aligned to the T₁-weighted anatomical volume in within-subject space with linear affine registration (12 degrees of freedom) using FMRIB’s Linear Image Registration Tool (FLIRT) (Jenkinson et al., 2012).

2.8 Tractography

As previously reported (Metzler-Baddeley et al., 2019a,b), the RESDORE algorithm (Parker, 2014) was applied to identify outliers and whole brain tractography with the damped
Richardson-Lucy algorithm (dRL) (Dell'acqua et al., 2010) was carried out on the 60 direction, \( b = 2400 \text{ s/mm}^2 \) HARDI data for each dataset in single-subject space using in house software (Parker, 2014) coded in MATLAB (the MathWorks, Natick, MA). To reconstruct fibre tracts, dRL fibre orientation density functions (fODFs) were estimated at the centre of each image voxel with seed points positioned at the vertices of a 2x2x2 mm grid superimposed over the image. The tracking algorithm interpolated local fODF estimates at each seed point and then propagated 0.5mm along orientations of each fODF lobe above a threshold on peak amplitude of 0.05. Individual streamlines were subsequently propagated by interpolating the fODF at their new location and propagating 0.5mm along the minimally subtending fODF peak. This process was repeated until the minimally subtending peak magnitude fell below 0.05 or the change of direction between successive 0.5mm steps exceeded an angle of 45°. Tracking was then repeated in the opposite direction from the initial seed point. Streamlines whose lengths were outside a range of 10mm to 500mm were discarded.

The fornix, parahippocampal cinguli (PHC), uncinate fasciculus (UF) and corticospinal (CST) pathways were reconstructed with an in-house automated segmentation method based on principal component analysis (PCA) of streamline shape (Parker et al., 2012). This procedure involves the manual reconstruction of a set of tracts that are then used to train a PCA model of candidate streamline shape and location. Twenty datasets were randomly selected as training data. Tracts were reconstructed by manually applying waypoint region of interest (ROI) gates (“AND”, “OR” and “NOT” gates following Boolean logic) to isolate specific tracts from the whole brain tractography data. ROIs were placed in HARDI data native space on color-coded fiber orientation maps (Pajevic and Pierpaoli, 1999) in ExploreDTI following previously published protocols (Metzler-Baddeley et al., 2013; Metzler-Baddeley et al., 2012a; Metzler-Baddeley et al., 2011; Metzler-Baddeley et al., 2012b). The trained PCA shape models were then applied to all datasets: candidate streamlines were selected from the whole volume tractography as those bridging the gap between estimated end points of the candidate tracts. Spurious streamlines were excluded by means of a shape comparison with the trained PCA model. All automatic tract reconstructions underwent quality control through visual inspection.
and any remaining spurious fibers that were not consistent with the tract anatomy were removed from the reconstruction where necessary. Mean values of all qMT and NODDI indices were extracted for all white matter pathways.

2.9 Whole brain white matter segmentation

Whole brain white matter masks were automatically segmented from T₁-weighted images with the Freesurfer image analysis suite (version 5.3), which is documented online (https://surfer.nmr.mgh.harvard.edu/). Whole brain white matter masks were thresholded to exclude ventricular cerebrospinal fluid spaces from the mask. Mean values of all qMT and NODDI indices were extracted for the whole brain white matter mask. This was done by loading each participants’ microstructural maps on his/her whole brain white matter mask using the fslnmaths command from the FMRIB Software Library v6.0 (Jenkinson et al., 2012) and then calculating the mean microstructural value from all voxels within the whole brain white matter mask with the fslstats command.

2.10 Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were conducted in SPSS version 20 (IBM, 2011). All microstructural data were examined for outliers defined as above or below three times the interquartile range (75th percentile value - 25th percentile value) to fulfil assumptions of normal distribution and variance homogeneity of parametric testing. This led to an exclusion of 2.3% of the microstructural and 2.4% of the cognitive data.

A full-factorial linear multivariate analysis of covariance (MANCOVA) that modelled the main effects of APOE genotype (ε4+, ε4-), FH (FH+, FH-) and obesity (WHR+, WHR-) as well as any two-way and three-way interaction terms (i.e. APOE*FH, APOE*FH, APOE*FH*WHR) on all microstructural indices (MPF, kᵣ, R₁, ISOSF, ICSF, ODI) in all white matter pathways (left PHC, right PHC, left UF, right UF, left CST, right CST and fornix) and the WBWM region was employed. The same MANCOVA was carried out to test for effects on all cognitive factors (see below). These analyses were controlled for age, sex and NART-
IQ as these variables are known to influence LOAD risk (Altmann et al., 2014; Damoiseaux et al., 2012). A False Discovery Rate (FDR) of 5% using the Benjamini-Hochberg procedure (Benjamini and Hochberg, 1995) \( p_{\text{BHadj}} \) was applied to all primary and post-hoc models to account for multiplicity of testing (Cramer et al., 2016). Information about effects sizes was provided with partial eta squared \( \eta_p^2 \), \( r \) and \( R^2 \) indices. All reported p-values were two-tailed.

The dimensionality of the cognitive data was reduced with exploratory factor analyses (EFA) with unweighted least squares and orthogonal varimax rotation with a maximum of 5000 iterations for conversion (Coad et al., 2020). Variables with communalities < 0.4 were excluded from the final EFA (Osborne et al., 2008). After inspection of Cattell’s scree plot (Cattell, 1952), factors with an eigenvalue exceeding 2 were extracted and factor loadings exceeding 0.5 were considered for the interpretation of the factors. The resulting cognitive factors were then entered as dependent variables into the above described MANCOVA model. Finally, Pearson correlation coefficients were calculated to explore relationships between white matter microstructure and cognition.

### 3. Results

#### 3.1 Participant characteristics

There were 166 participants between the ages of 38 and 71 years (Mean = 55.8, SD = 8.2) (94 females) who underwent MRI scanning. CARDS participants as a group were of above average intelligence (Table 1) and were cognitively healthy with the exception of one individual with a MMSE score of 26, who was excluded from the analysis (n = 165). Eight participants scored ≥ 10 in the PHQ-9 suggesting moderate levels of depression but no participant was severely depressed. Two participants reported high levels of weekly alcohol consumption (42 and 60 units).

Sixty-four individuals were \( APOE-\varepsilon4 \) carriers (7 homozygotes), 69 reported a family history (FH) of dementia and 103 were centrally obese. Only three individuals reported being
diabetic. The frequency of \textit{APOE-\varepsilon4} carriers in CARDS was 36\%, which is higher than expected in the normal population (15-20\%). We propose that this may reflect a recruitment bias as volunteers in dementia research are more likely to have a family history of disease and therefore an increased \textit{APOE-\varepsilon4} frequency. Having said this the proportion of \textit{APOE-\varepsilon4} carriers did not differ between individuals with or without FH (p = 0.2) [30.5\% (n = 18) for FH+ versus 43\% (n = 46) for FH-]. There were no significant differences in WHR between \textit{APOE-\varepsilon4} carriers and non-carriers (p = 0.35) or between individuals with and without FH (p = 0.9). Groups were comparable with regards to age (APOE: p = 0.7, FH: p = 0.05, WHR: p = 0.07) and NART-IQ (APOE: p = 0.8, FH: p = 0.8, WHR: p = 0.5) although there were trends for individuals with FH and central obesity to be slightly older. The FH groups were comparable with regards to the distribution of sex (56\% FH+ females, 57\% FH- females). \textit{APOE-\varepsilon4} carriers had an equal distribution of men and women (50\%) but there were more women amongst non-carriers (61\%). More men than women were centrally obese (41\% females) and more women than men had a normal WHR (83\% females). Neither \textit{APOE} or FH groups showed differences in systolic blood BP (APOE: p = 0.7, FH: p = 0.3), diastolic BP (APOE: p = 0.6, FH: p = 0.9), CRP (APOE: p = 0.2, FH: p = 0.98), IL-8 (APOE: p = 0.6, FH: p = 0.8) or in the leptin/adiponectin ratio (APOE: p = 0.2, FH: p = 0.9). Central obesity relative to normal individuals had higher diastolic BP [t(164) = 2.6, p = 0.01] with a trend for higher systolic BP (p = 0.07) and had a lower leptin/adiponectin ratio [t(146) = 2.3, p = 0.02]. They did not differ in CRP (p = 0.5) or IL-8 levels (p = 0.6).

\textit{Insert Table 2 and Figure 2 here}

\textbf{3.2 Multivariate covariance analysis (MANCOVA) of white matter microstructural indices}

MANCOVA tested for the effects of \textit{APOE} genotype (\varepsilon4+, \varepsilon4-), family history (FH+, FH-) and central obesity (WHR+, WHR-) on all microstructural indices (MPF, k, R, ISOSF,}
ICSF, ODI) in all white matter pathways (left PHC, right PHC, left UF, right UF, left CST, right CST and fornix) and WBWM regions whilst controlling for age, sex and NART-IQ.

Omnibus effects: Main effects were observed for age \([F(48,60) = 2.4, \rho_{BHadj} = 0.005, \eta^2_p = 0.66]\) and sex \([F(48,60) = 2.7, \rho_{BHadj} < 0.001, \eta^2_p = 0.68]\). A three-way interaction effect was present between \(APOE\), FH and WHR \([F(48,60) = 1.8, \rho_{BHadj} = 0.04, \eta^2_p = 0.6]\).

Post-hoc effects: Ageing was associated with reductions in MPF, \(k_f\), and \(R_1\) in WBWM, fornix and right UF (Table 2). MPF and \(k_f\) reductions were also present in the left UF and reductions in \(k_f\) in bilateral CST. In addition, age-related increases in ISOSF were observed in the fornix and WBWM (Table 2, Figure 2A). Women relative to men showed higher MPF and lower ISOSF in the fornix and lower \(k_f\) and ISOSF in WBWM (Table 2, Figure 2B).

Three-way interaction effects between FH, \(APOE\) and WHR were observed for MPF in WBWM, bilateral CST, bilateral UF and right PHC (Figure 3B). For right PHC there was also an effect on ICSF. These three-way interaction effects were followed up by testing for \(APOE\) and WHR interactions in FH+ and FH- individuals separately (Figure 3C). FH- individuals did not show an omnibus interaction effects between \(APOE\) and WHR \([F(7,88) = 0.9, \rho_{BHadj} = 0.05]\). In contrast, FH+ individuals exhibited a significant omnibus \(APOE\times WHR\) interaction effect \([F(7,45) = 4.3, \rho_{BHadj} = 0.002, \eta^2_p = 0.4]\) due to the following pattern: For \(APOE-\kappa4+\) carriers, centrally obese relative to normal individuals had lower MPF and ICSF in the right PHC. The opposite pattern was observed for non-carriers with obese relative to normal individuals showing larger MPF in the right PHC (Table 2, Figure 3C).

3.3 Effects of vascular and inflammation-related moderators

We then explored with two separate MANCOVAs whether controlling for differences in i) cardiovascular measures of systolic and diastolic BP as well as BP medication and ii) inflammation-related measures of CRP, IL-8 and leptin/adiponectin ratio would account for
the three-way interaction effects on MPF in WBWM, bilateral CST, bilateral UF and right PHC and right PHC ICSF.

There was a main effect of systolic BP \( [F(7,135) = 4.7, \, \rho_{\text{BHadj}} < 0.001, \, \eta_p^2 = 0.19] \) with systolic BP correlating negatively with WBWM MPF \( (r = -0.22, \, \rho_{\text{BHadj}} = 0.01) \). After the inclusion of BP covariates there was only a trend for the interaction effect between APOE, FH and WHR \( (\rho_{\text{BHadj}} = 0.07) \). No significant main effects were observed for CRP, IL-8 and leptin/adiponectin ratio but accounting for these variables removed the interaction effect between APOE, FH and WHR \( (\rho_{\text{BHadj}} = 0.54) \).

3.4 Exploratory Factor Analysis of cognitive scores

Exploratory Factor Analysis (EFA) reduced the dimensionality of the cognitive data to seven factors, explaining together 49% of the variance in cognition (see Table 3 in Coad et al 2020). The first factor captured “Verbal Recall” with high loadings on the Rey Auditory Verbal Learning Test (RAVLT). The second factor captured elements of “Motor planning and speed” with high loadings on first move latencies in all conditions of the vMWMT and of total latencies in the motor control condition in the vMWMT. A third factor captured “Spatial Navigation” behaviour with high loadings on path lengths and total latencies in the hidden platform condition of the vMWMT. The fourth factor captured “Attention Set” with high loadings on the intra-dimensional subcomponents of the an intra- and extradimensional (IDED) attention set task. The fifth factor captured “Visuospatial Memory” with loadings on immediate and delayed recall of the Rey Complex Figure and the Spatial Span task. The sixth factor captured “Working Memory” capacity due to loadings on Digit Span and Spatial Search. Finally, the seventh “Paired Associated Learning” factor had high loadings on an object object-location paired associate learning task.
3.5 MANCOVA of cognitive measurements

MANCOVA testing for the effects of APOE genotype, FH and WHR on the seven cognitive factors were carried out while controlling for age, sex and NART-IQ.

Omnibus effects: There was a main effect of age \(F(7,105) = 5.9, p_{BHadj} < 0.001, \eta^2_p = 0.28\]. A trend for an omnibus interaction effect between FH and WHR was observed \(p_{BHadj} = 0.08\).

Post-hoc effects: Age had significant effect on the "Motor Speed and Planning" factor \(F(1,111) = 14.7, p_{BHadj} < 0.001, \eta^2_p = 0.15\] reflecting a slowing of response latencies with age \(r_{Age-MotorSpeed} = 0.37, p < 0.001\).

3.6 Relationship between motor speed and white matter myelin

To test the hypothesis that age-related differences in apparent white matter myelin were underpinning age-related response slowing, Pearson correlation coefficient was calculated between differences in “Motor Speed and Planning” and differences in WBWM MPF followed by a partial correlation controlling for age. There was a negative correlation between “Motor Speed and Planning” and WBWM MPF \(r = -0.23, p = 0.015\) which was removed when controlling for age \(r = -0.1, p = 0.3\).
4. Discussion

The strength of the present study consisted in utilizing advanced multi-parametric MRI quantifications of white matter microstructure to investigate combined effects of \textit{APOE-}\varepsilon4 genotype, family history of dementia, and central obesity on brain microstructure in 165 cognitive healthy adults. Quantitative indices from qMT, relaxometry and NODDI sensitive to apparent myelin, cell metabolism, free water, axon density and dispersion were employed to provide information about white matter differences due to heightened dementia risk. This approach also allowed us to dissociate risk-specific effects on white matter microstructure from those due to aging and sex.

We observed no main effects but widespread three-way interactions between \textit{APOE}, FH and WHR in the macromolecular proton fraction MPF from qMT. These effects were most prominent in the right PHC. MPF has been found highly sensitive to white matter myelin in combined imaging and histology Shiverer studies (Ou et al., 2009a, b; Samsonov et al., 2012; Schmierer et al., 2007; Sled, 2018). No risk effects though were observed on $k_r$, $R_1$, ISOSF, or ODI. Furthermore, ICSF, a measure of apparent axon density, was only affected in the right PHC but not in any other white matter regions. As MPF was considered the most sensitive index for white matter myelin, these findings suggest that \textit{APOE}, FH and central obesity were primarily associated with differences in white matter myelin rather than cell metabolism, axon microstructure or free water. These findings are consistent with the myelin model (Bartzokis, 2011) that proposes adverse effects of aging and risk on myelin sheaths preceding the development of LOAD pathology.

In contrast to white matter microstructure we did not find any effects of risk factors on cognition although a trend for an interaction between FH and WHR was observed. As it has been recognised that impaired cognition in older adults at heightened risk may arise from early LOAD pathology (O'Donoghue et al., 2018b), it is possible that CARDS participants were too young to have developed any significant pathology to affect cognition. Instead we propose that the risk-related differences in apparent myelin may reflect very early
vulnerabilities that precede LOAD pathology and do not affect cognition in asymptomatic individuals yet. On the other hand, it is also possible that our cognitive measures were not sufficiently sensitive to reveal subtle cognitive differences between the risk groups (O’Donoghue et al., 2018b). In this context it is noteworthy that post-hoc exploration of the FH and WHR interaction trend revealed a potential impact on the Spatial Navigation factor that would be interesting to pursue further in future studies.

Post-hoc analyses of the three-way interaction risk effect revealed that effects between APOE and WHR were dependent on an individual’s family history state. For those individuals with the highest genetic risk, based on APOE-ε4 and a positive family history of dementia, obesity was associated with lower MPF and ICSF in the right PHC, whilst APOE-ε2 and ε3 carriers with a positive family history showed the opposite effect, i.e. larger MPF in obese compared to non-obese individuals. Meanwhile APOE or WHR had no effect in those individuals without a family history of dementia.

The PHC connects the posterior cingulate and parietal cortices with the medial temporal lobes (Bubb et al., 2018). Reductions in glucose metabolism and blood oxygen-level dependent signal in these regions have been reported in young and middle-aged APOE-ε4 carriers and in LOAD (Chételat and Fouquet, 2013; Reiman et al., 2004). Parahippocampal white matter volume has also been found a sensitive predictor of LOAD in cognitively normal people (Stoub et al., 2014). Recently, Chen et al. (2015) applied graph-based network analysis and revealed reduced global efficiency and functional connectivity in white matter networks as well as reduced functional connectivity in medial temporal lobe regions in older asymptomatic APOE-ε4 carriers (Chen et al., 2015). In this study the right parahippocampal gyrus (rPHG) was the only brain region that showed simultaneous functional and structural dysconnectivity, and node efficiency in rPHG mediated APOE-ε4-related effects on delayed recall (Chen et al., 2015). Our study provides novel evidence for risk-related myelin vulnerabilities in the right PHC, a pathway that connects those cortical regions with functional abnormalities in APOE-ε4 and LOAD.
These risk-specific effects contrasted with age- and sex-related microstructural differences. Aging was not only associated with widespread reductions in MPF but also with reductions in $k_f$ and in $R_1$ as well as with increases in ISOSF while no effects were observed on ICSF and ODI (Metzler-Baddeley et al., 2019b). These effects were present in most white matter regions except in the PHC and were particularly prominent in the fornix tract. This pattern suggests widespread age-related white matter atrophy (ISOSF) primarily due to a loss of myelin (MPF, $R_1$) rather than axons (ICSF, ODI) and an age-related slowing of cell metabolism ($k_f$). Reductions in $k_f$ are consistent with findings of compromised metabolic states related to energy synthesis and oxidative stress in the aging brain (Gaignard et al., 2017; Mattson and Arumugam, 2018) and accord with a recent report of age-related changes in brain metabolites including decreases in N-acetylaspartate (NAA) concentrations (Maghsudi et al., 2019).

The observed aging pattern (Coad et al., 2020; Metzler-Baddeley et al., 2019b) is also consistent with a post-mortem study of rhesus monkeys, that found age-related differences in myelin sheaths and a loss of myelinated but not of unmyelinated axons (Peters et al., 2010). These findings accord with imaging findings indicating that fornix maturation peaks in late adolescence with subsequent volume reductions in healthy ageing that partly reflect a loss of myelinated fibers (Amaral et al., 2018; Douet and Chang, 2014). The overall conclusion from present and previous research is that myelinated white matter fibers are particularly sensitive to aging and risk factors consistent with the myelin model. Our study also provides further evidence for the view that age-related reductions in myelin may underpin response slowing (Bartzokis, 2004; Salthouse, 1976). Besides reductions in apparent white matter myelin, aging was associated with slowing in the motor speed and planning factor. In addition, this factor correlated negatively with whole brain white matter MPF and this correlation was removed when age was controlled for.

With regards to sex we found that women compared with men had higher MPF and lower ISOSF in the fornix and lower ISOSF and $k_f$ in WBWM. In the CARDS cohort women were less obese, had lower systolic BP, and reported drinking less alcohol than men.
(Metzler-Baddeley et al., 2019a). All of these factors may have contributed to women showing “healthier” white matter microstructure in terms of larger apparent myelin and less free water contamination. In addition, sex-related differences in $k_f$ may reflect differences in brain mitochondrial function related to oxidative stress between men and women (Gaignard et al., 2018; Gaignard et al., 2015)

It might be noteworthy that we observed anatomical dissociations between genetic risk- and age/sex-effects. Risk interactions on MPF were most prominent in the right PHC but absent in the fornix. In contrast, age and sex effects were strongest in the fornix but absent in the PHC. Thus, genetic risk factor ($APOE$ and family history) had an impact on medial temporal lobe input (PHC) but not output (fornix) structures, whilst age and sex as well as obesity (Metzler-Baddeley et al., 2019a) affected output (fornix) but not input (PHC) structures. As $APOE$ and family history are associated with earlier and larger amyloid and tau burden (Ossenkoppele et al., 2016; Yi et al., 2018), and LOAD pathology is known to spread from the entorhinal cortices and parahippocampal gyrus into the medial temporal lobes (Braak and Del Tredici, 2015; Braak and Del Tredici, 2015), these findings might reflect tissue vulnerabilities that may precede the development of LOAD pathology.

It is also noteworthy that, in contrast to age and sex, we did not observe any main effects of risk on white matter microstructure. This pattern of results suggests complex interplays between an individual’s genetic make-up and obesity. Besides $APOE$ genotype, genome wide association studies (GWAS) have identified a number of genetic risk loci for LOAD, involved in immunity, lipid metabolism as well as tau binding and amyloid precursor protein processing (Kunkle et al., 2019). As self-report of parental history of dementia is likely to represent the presence of some of these genetic risk variants (Marioni et al., 2018), our effects most likely reflect interactions between $APOE$, obesity and an individuals’ polygenic risk for dementia.

With regards to potential mechanisms, we explored whether vascular factors (systolic and diastolic BP, BP medication) as well as inflammation-related plasma markers (CRP, IL-8, leptin/adiponectin ratio) were moderating risk effects on white matter MPF. Hypertension
is a well-established risk factor for vascular cognitive impairment, small vessel disease, and LOAD (Iulita and Girouard, 2017; Sierra, 2020). Consistent with previous findings suggesting that hypertension is associated with small vessel lesions that can result in white matter myelin damage (Fan et al., 2015), we found adverse effects of high systolic BP on white matter MPF. In addition, after the inclusion of BP covariates, there was only a trend for the three-way risk interaction, suggesting that hypertension contributed to the combined effects of risk on white matter myelin. Furthermore, while no omnibus effects of CRP, IL-8 or the leptin/adiponectin ratio were observed, including these covariates removed the interaction between APOE, FH and WHR. Concentrations of CRP and IL-8 in blood plasma increase in response to inflammation. Leptin and adiponectin are involved in the modulation of inflammatory responses and glucose control (Arnoldussen et al., 2014). Leptin is known to up-regulate pro-inflammatory cytokines, while adiponectin down-regulates the release and the expression of pro-inflammatory cytokines (López-Jaramillo et al., 2014). Central obesity is known to be associated with an imbalance between increased leptin and reduced adiponectin, and hence the ratio between leptin and adiponectin may be a sensitive marker of obesity-related inflammatory states as well as of insulin resistance (Frühbeck et al., 2018) (Lopez-Jaramillo et al., 2014). APOE-ε4 carriers have an increased risk for cardiovascular disease and metabolic syndromes (Jones and Rebeck, 2018) and APOE has recently been reported to attenuate the effects of inflammation (Yin et al., 2019). Together our findings suggest that the complex interactions between central obesity and genetic risk of LOAD were moderated by impaired vasculature and inflammatory processes. This conclusion is in line with previous studies that have shown that proinflammatory cytokines mediated the contributions of visceral obesity to deep white matter lesions and cognitive impairments (Lampe et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2018).

Besides vascular and inflammatory mechanisms, it is also feasible that differences in lipid metabolism may have contributed to the effects. As myelin synthesis and maintenance are highly sensitive to cholesterol concentrations, and both APOE-ε4 and obesity are
associated with hypercholesterolemia (Jones and Rebeck, 2018), it is possible that
differences in cholesterol metabolism pathways may adversely interact with vascular and
inflammatory mechanisms. Although cholesterol concentrations in the brain are largely
independent of peripheral cholesterol levels, there is evidence of positive associations
between white matter microstructure and serum cholesterol (Warstadt et al., 2014) and
cognition (Lamar et al., 2019; Waldstein and Elias, 2001). In contrast, hypercholesterolemia
in older adults or the presence of other cardiovascular risk factors is associated with lower
white matter microstructure and increased risk of LOAD (Alfaro et al., 2018; Lamar et al.,
2019; Shobab et al., 2005). Positive associations between white matter microstructure and
allele dosage of the cholesterol gene CETP (cholesteryl ester transfer protein), which can
influence the APOE-related risk of LOAD (Arias-Vasquez et al., 2007), were also observed
for young individuals while the opposite pattern was found for older adults (Warstadt et al.,
2014). Furthermore Birdsill et al. (Birdsill et al., 2017) reported greater waist circumference
to be associated with larger fractional anisotropy and lower white matter diffusivities in
middle-aged community-dwelling individuals, while other studies found obesity-related
reductions of white matter microstructure (Kullmann et al., 2016; Kullmann et al., 2015). In
combination these results suggest that the direction of obesity effects on white matter
microstructure may dependent on combined effects of genetic (polygenic risk, APOE) and
demographic (age, sex) factors that need to be taken into account in future obesity imaging
studies.

In light of this evidence one may speculate that APOE-ε4 in combination with other
polygenic risk factors potentially captured, at least in part, by family history, interacts
adversely with obesity and triggers accelerated myelin damage and impaired myelin repair.
Myelin decline may be particularly pronounced in obese APOE-ε4 carriers with a family
history, due to a combination of metabolic, vascular, and inflammatory processes that cause
myelin damage in the presence of inefficient cholesterol transport for myelin repair. In
contrast, obese individuals without genetic risk of LOAD and other cardiovascular or
demographic risk factors, may exhibit larger white matter myelin due to hypercholesterolemia. Such complex interactions between genetic factors and vascular, inflammation and lipid pathways may potentially hold an explanation for the “obesity paradox”, that refers to studies in the literature that have reported protective effects of obesity in older age with regards to brain health (Birdsill et al 2017) and dementia risk (Qizilbash et al., 2015).

Finally, a number of limitations of our research need to be considered. CARDS is a cross-sectional study that cannot answer whether the observed risk effects on MPF are predictive of accelerated development of LOAD pathology, cognitive or neuronal decline. Future prospective longitudinal studies are required to address these questions. Such studies should also include GWAS based information about individuals’ polygenic risk of LOAD, and a more comprehensive investigation of inflammatory, vascular, lipid and glucose/insulin moderators to inform about potential mechanisms. It would also be worthwhile to quantify white matter hyperintensities with T2-weighted or Fluid-Attenuated Inversion Recovery (FLAIR) MRI to assess more directly whether reductions in white matter MPF are linked to vascular events. We also propose that our findings require replication in a larger sample as the number of participants in the different risk profile subgroups was small.

It has recently been observed that head motions are more prominent in obese than normal weighted cohorts (Beyer et al., 2020). In the present study HARDI but not qMT data were corrected for motion artefacts. Therefore, the possibility that obesity-related head motion may have contributed to our results must be considered. However, a significant proportion of MR images were visually inspected for motion artefacts, extreme outlier data were removed from the statistical analyses and no significant main effects of WHR on the qMT or NODDI metrics were present. Together this makes it unlikely that a systematic obesity-related motion artefact was driving our results.

With regards to the CARDS cohort we would like to mention that eight participants had a PHQ score of $\geq 10$ suggesting moderate levels of depression and two participants reported high weekly alcohol consumption of 42 and 60 units. While these participants were
not excluded from the main statistical modelling, we did check that their exclusion did not change our findings. Given the high obesity frequency in CARDS it was also surprising that so few participants self-reported a diagnosis of diabetes mellitus. It is therefore possible that some participants had Type II diabetes but were unaware of this due to a lack of a formal diagnosis. Finally, as CARDS participants ranged from 30 – 71 years in age and age is known to interact with APOE, family history and obesity factors, it is possible that age may also have moderated the risk effects observed here. Notably, parents of younger participants in their 40s may not have developed late-onset dementia yet, which will have resulted in an underestimation of the presence of family history of dementia in this age group. The current study therefore controlled for the effects of age in the statistical modelling of risk effects. However, future research could further evaluate the interaction between age and risk in the CARDS cohort and could collect additional information about grandparents’ history of dementia.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mean (SD) (range)</th>
<th>Participants (n = 166)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (in years)</td>
<td>55.8 (8.2) (38 - 71)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Females</td>
<td>56%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Years of education</td>
<td>16.5 (3.3) (9.5 - 26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NART-IQ</td>
<td>116.7 (6.7) (96 - 128)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMSE</td>
<td>29.1 (1.0) (26 - 30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHQ-9</td>
<td>2.6 (2.9) (0 - 13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FH+</td>
<td>35.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APOE4+</td>
<td>36.3% (4.2% ε4/ε4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdominal obesity*</td>
<td>61.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic hypertension (≥ 140 mm Hg)</td>
<td>28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic blood pressure (mm Hg)</td>
<td>131.9 (18.7) (68.3 – 196)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic blood pressure (mm Hg)</td>
<td>83.3 (9.4) (59 – 119)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension medication</td>
<td>11.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smokers</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statins</td>
<td>7.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol units per week</td>
<td>7.4 (9.3) (0 - 60)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-Reactive Protein (lg ng/ml)</td>
<td>3.1 (0.47) (1.9 - 4.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interleukin 8 (lg pg/ml)</td>
<td>0.71 (0.15) (0.35 – 1.24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leptin (lg pg/ml)</td>
<td>4.1 (0.47) (2.7 - 4.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adiponectin (lg ng/ml)</td>
<td>4.1 (0.26) (3.3 - 4.8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table 1 Summary of demographic, genetic, and lifestyle risk information of participants. Based on waist to hip ratio ≥ 0.9 for males and ≥ 0.85 for females (Organisation, 2008). Abbreviations: APOE, Apolipoprotein-E; FH, Family History of dementia; MMSE = Mini Mental State Exam (Folstein et al., 1975); NART = National Adult Reading Test(Nelson, 1991); PHQ-9 = Patient Health Questionnaire (Kroenke et al., 2001).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Effect</th>
<th>ROI</th>
<th>Index</th>
<th>$F_{(1,107)}$ value</th>
<th>$p_{BHadj}$</th>
<th>$r$</th>
<th>$p_{BHadj}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Whole brain WM</td>
<td>MPF</td>
<td>25.4</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td>-0.37</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ISOSF</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$R_t$</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>-0.30</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$k_t$</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td>-0.29</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fornix</td>
<td>MPF</td>
<td>29.5</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td>-0.42</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ISOSF</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$R_t$</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td>-0.41</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$k_t$</td>
<td>27.4</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td>-0.41</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L UF</td>
<td>MPF</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>-0.21</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$k_t$</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>-0.25</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R UF</td>
<td>$R_t$</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td>-0.31</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MPF</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>-0.21</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$k_t$</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>-0.24</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L CST</td>
<td>$k_t$</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>-0.26</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R CST</td>
<td>$k_t$</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td>-0.36</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>Whole brain WM</td>
<td>$k_t$</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td>3.46</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ISOSF</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>2.92</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fornix</td>
<td>ISOSF</td>
<td>28.8</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MPF</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>-3.0</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L PHC</td>
<td>$k_t$</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R PHC</td>
<td>$k_t$</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L UF</td>
<td>$k_t$</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R CST</td>
<td>$R_t$</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FH x APOE x WHR</td>
<td>Whole brain WM</td>
<td>MPF</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R PHC</td>
<td>MPF</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td>3.63$^+$</td>
<td>0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ICSF</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>3.0$^+$</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L CST</td>
<td>MPF</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R CST</td>
<td>MPF</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L UF</td>
<td>MPF</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R UF</td>
<td>MPF</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 Post-hoc effects on white matter microstructure. *Contrast: centrally obese (n = 8) relative to normal weighted (n = 10) APOE-$\varepsilon$4 carriers (APOE-$\varepsilon$2/$\varepsilon$4, APOE-$\varepsilon$3/$\varepsilon$4, APOE-$\varepsilon$4/$\varepsilon$4) with a family history of dementia. ++Contrast: centrally obese (n = 13) relative to normal weighted (n = 28) APOE-$\varepsilon$4 non-carriers (APOE-$\varepsilon$2/$\varepsilon$2, APOE-$\varepsilon$2/$\varepsilon$3, APOE-$\varepsilon$3/$\varepsilon$3) with a family history. Abbreviations: APOE, Apolipoprotein E; CST, corticospinal tract; FH, family history of dementia; ICSF, intracellular signal fraction; ISOSF, isotropic signal fraction;
\( k \), forward exchange rate; MPF, macromolecular proton fraction; ODI, orientation density index; \( p_{\text{BHadj}} \), Benjamini-Hochberg adjusted p-value; PHC, parahippocampal cingulum; \( R_1 \), longitudinal relaxation rate; ROI, region of interest; UF, uncinate fasciculus; WM, white matter.
Figure 1 displays the white matter pathways and whole brain white matter region of interest as well as the microstructural maps for one representative participant. Abbreviations: CST, corticospinal tract; ICSF, intracellular signal fraction; ISOSF, isotropic signal fraction; $k_f$, forward exchange rate; MPF, macromolecular proton fraction; NODDI, neurite orientation dispersion and density imaging; ODI, orientation density index; PHC, parahippocampal cingulum; UF, uncinate fasciculus; $R_1$, longitudinal relaxation rate; WBWM, whole brain white matter.
Figure 2 Main effects of age (A) and sex (B) and three-way interaction effect between Family History of dementia (FH), APOE genotype and Waist Hip Ratio (WHR) (C) on white matter microstructure colour-coded according to 5% False Discovery Rate (FDR) corrected p-values. Abbreviations: APOE, Apolipoprotein E; APOE4+, APOE-ε4 carriers (APOE-ε2/ε4, APOE-ε3/ε4, APOE-ε4/ε4); APOE4-, APOE-ε4 non-carriers (APOE-ε2/ε2, APOE-ε2/ε3, APOE-ε3/ε3); FH, Family History of dementia; FH+, positive family history; FH-, negative family history; ICSF, intracellular signal fraction; ISOSF, isotropic signal fraction; L, left; MPF, macromolecular proton fraction; pHadj, p-value corrected for multiple comparison with 5% FDR using the Benjamini-Hochberg adjustment; R, right; R₁, longitudinal relaxation rate; PHC, parahippocampal cingulum; WHR, Waist Hip Ratio; WHR+, centrally obese; WHR-, WHR in normal range.