

ORCA - Online Research @ Cardiff

This is an Open Access document downloaded from ORCA, Cardiff University's institutional repository:https://orca.cardiff.ac.uk/id/eprint/132757/

This is the author's version of a work that was submitted to / accepted for publication.

Citation for final published version:

Fan, Yaoshen, Chen, Shenliang, Pan, Shunqi and Dou, Shentang 2020. Storm-induced hydrodynamic changes and seabed erosion in the littoral area of Yellow River Delta: a model-guided mechanism study. Continental Shelf Research 205, 104171. 10.1016/j.csr.2020.104171

Publishers page: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.csr.2020.104171

Please note:

Changes made as a result of publishing processes such as copy-editing, formatting and page numbers may not be reflected in this version. For the definitive version of this publication, please refer to the published source. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite this paper.

This version is being made available in accordance with publisher policies. See http://orca.cf.ac.uk/policies.html for usage policies. Copyright and moral rights for publications made available in ORCA are retained by the copyright holders.



Storm-induced hydrodynamic changes and seabed erosion in the littoral area of Yellow River Delta: A model-guided mechanism study

Yaoshen Fan, Shenliang Chen, Shunqi Pan, Shentang Dou

PII: S0278-4343(20)30127-8

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csr.2020.104171

Reference: CSR 104171

To appear in: Continental Shelf Research

Received Date: 27 January 2020

Revised Date: 26 May 2020

Accepted Date: 28 May 2020

Please cite this article as: Fan, Y., Chen, S., Pan, S., Dou, S., Storm-induced hydrodynamic changes and seabed erosion in the littoral area of Yellow River Delta: A model-guided mechanism study, *Continental Shelf Research* (2020), doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csr.2020.104171.

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

© 2020 Published by Elsevier Ltd.



1 Storm-induced hydrodynamic changes and seabed erosion in

the littoral area of Yellow River Delta: A model-guided mechanism study

- 4 Yaoshen Fan^{a,c}, Shenliang Chen^{a,*}, Shunqi Pan^{b,a}, Shentang Dou^c
- 5 ^a State Key Laboratory of Estuarine and Coastal Research, East China Normal University,
- 6 Shanghai 200241, China;
- 7 ^b Hydro-environmental Research Centre, School of Engineering, Cardiff University, Cardiff CF24
- 8 *3AA*, *UK*;
- 9 ^c Yellow River Institute of Hydraulic Research, Yellow River Conservancy Commission,
- 10 Zhengzhou 450003, China
- 11 ^{*}Corresponding author.
- 12 Tel: 021-54836498; Email address: <u>slchen@sklec.ecnu.edu.cn</u> (S.L. Chen)

13 Highlights

14	٠	Storm-induced	energetic	hydrodynamic	forces	intensify	sediment
15		resuspension and	d dispersal s	ignificantly.			

- Wave-induced bottom stress promotes sediment plume and enhances local
 resuspension.
- Storms increase suspended sediment concentration and offshore sediment
 transport.
- Storm-induced accumulative effect on seabed scour tends to cause long-term
 erosion.

22 Abstract

Morphological evolution of large river deltas is highly vulnerable to extreme 23 storm events due to insufficient sediment supply. As an abandoned delta lobe, the 24 coasts along the northern Yellow River Delta (YRD) and Gudong Oil Field have 25 recently suffered serious erosion due to extreme storm events and become 26 increasingly vulnerable. In this study, a well validated and tested Delft 3D module by 27 the observing hydrodynamic and sediment data to simulate the hydrodynamics and 28 seabed erosion during a storm event in the littoral area of YRD. Observed wave, 29 30 current and sediment data under both fair-weather and storm conditions were collected in the study area and used to validate the model. The results indicated that 31 the model can reproduce well the hydrodynamic and sediment transport processes. A 32 series of numerical experiments were carried out to examine the hydrodynamic 33 changes and sediment transports. In the numerical experiment of normal condition, 34 there is hardly any sediment transport off the YRD. The numerical experiment of 35 36 storm condition showed that storms enhanced tidal residual currents, weakened tidal shear front, and significant wave heights up to 2 m, considerably intensified the 37 38 sediment resuspension and dispersal. The local sediment resuspension due to the increased wave-induced bottom stress promoted the sediment plume to expand to the 39 central area of Laizhou Bay, which seemed to provide sediment source for offshore 40 and southward transport. During the storm, the active nearshore sediment 41 resuspension provided sediment source for offshore and southward transport. The 42 intensive dynamics and sediment transport under storm conditions caused significant 43

changes in seabed erosion and siltation. The main erosion occurred off the Gudong 44 and northern YRD, while the main siltation appeared in the central area of Laizhou 45 Bay. No significant recovery after a storm and frequent strong winds have an 46 accumulative effect on the erosion, which is very likely to dominate the erosive states 47 of the YRD coast in the future. 48

Keywords: Yellow River Delta; Storms; Tidal shear front; Sediment transport; 49 Seabed erosion; Morphodynamics 50

51

Introduction 1

Fluvial discharge, wave energy and tidal range are critical in determining 52 morphological evolutions of most deltas worldwide. Sediment input to deltas has been 53 reduced or eliminated (Syvitski and Kettner, 2011; Wang et al., 2011; Dai et al., 2016; 54 Liu et al., 2019), which causes delta erosion and sinking, and increasing delta's 55 wetlands will be drowned (Tessler et al., 2015; Wolters and Kuenzer, 2015; Murray et 56 al., 2019). Lack of knowledge on erosion mechanism and deltaic processes may lead 57 58 to erroneous conclusions about how deltas function. More recently, sea level rise, insufficient supply of sediment, human interventions and climate changes, which may 59 60 cause more extreme event, such as flood and storm have been the emerging key 61 factors to reshape mega-deltas (Nicholls and Cazenave, 2010; Blum and Roberts 2009; Yang et al., 2011a; Bi et al., 2014; Liu et al., 2017; Becker., 2020). 62

As we known, hydrodynamic changes and sediment transport control 63 morphological evolution of deltas (Gong et al., 2014; Wu et al., 2015). These control 64 impacts varied at different time scales. Wherein, hydrodynamic changes and sediment 65

transport in storm event can belong to a short-term effect (Ralston et al., 2013; 66 Anthony, 2015; Florin et al., 2017). However, it is difficult to observe them during the 67 68 storm event. Therefore, the numerical model, which integrate hydrodynamics, wave propagation, sediment transport and morphological changes numerical model, has 69 provided new indispensable tools to examine the effects of storm events. Numerous 70 numerical models have been developed with enhanced capability of simulating the 71 processes of currents, waves, salinities and sediments in delta areas, such as ECOM-si, 72 and FVCOM for estuarine circulations; ECOMSED for sediment transport; SWAN for 73 74 nearshore wave climates; and many other modelling systems, such as ROMS, MIKE 3 (DHI Water and Environment), and Delft3D for regional hydrodynamics and 75 morphodynamics. 76

The YRD has been gradually formed in the western Bohai Sea (Fig. 1a), since 77 the Yellow River migrated its main watercourse from the Yellow Sea to the Bohai Sea 78 in 1855. With the subsequent frequent avulsions both natural and engineered, the 79 80 YRD has developed several delta lobes (Fig. 1b), and the significant morphological evolution of the abandoned delta lobes have been observed in recent decades. For 81 82 example, the coastline along the northern YRD and Gudong Oil Field have suffered serious erosion in recent years (Qi and Liu 2017). Moreover, the energetic winds and 83 waves generated by storm events have been found to significantly impact on this 84 coastal region. During storm events, the wave action is particularly prominent off the 85 YRD, becoming a key factor in controlling sediment resuspension (Jia et al., 2012; 86 Zhang et al., 2018). Many studies have addressed its shoreline dynamics (Zhang, 2011; 87

- Kuenzer et al., 2014; Fan et al., 2018), morphological changes (Kong et al., 2015; Xu
- et al., 2016; Jiang et al., 2017; Wu et al., 2017) and sediment dispersals (Wang et al.,
- 90 2010; Bi et al., 2014; Wu et al., 2015).

91



Fig. 1. (a) Computational domain and topography of the Bohai Sea; (b) Detailed study area, where
blue triangles mark the locations of the vertical hydrological and sediment measurements and
other marks represent the locations of continuous survey during the storm event in April 2013.
Two alongshore sections are also indicated for detailed comparisons.

However, little research has been focused on the storm-induced hydrodynamic and morphological processes, especially in relation to the mechanism of coastal erosion. Therefore, this study focuses on exploring the hydrodynamic and sediment characteristics in the YRD during storms using the Delft3D model together with the measured sediment, wave, and tidal data during a storm event in April 2013, in an attempt to reveal the storm-induced hydrodynamic changes and seabed erosion.

102

2 Model description

103 2.1 Study area and model grid

The YRD, located in mid-latitude region, is susceptible to storms throughout the 104 year, especially storms generated by cold-air outbreaks in winter, or in 105 autumn-to-winter and winter-to-spring seasonal transition (Wu et al., 2002). Such 106 storms usually lead to intense hydrodynamic changes and significant sea-level 107 anomalies around the YRD nearshore zone. In 2013, 12 storm surges occurred in the 108 littoral area of Yellow River Delta, all of which were extratropical storm (Beihai 109 Branch of State Oceanic Administration People's Republic of China, 2014). Among 110 them, the storm occurring in April 2013 was selected to simulate based on a coupled 111 112 model, which combines hydrodynamic model (Delft3D-FLOW), wave 113 (Delft3D-WAVE) and sediment transport (Delft3D-SED). In the early stage of this storm, the northwest wind was dominant. On April 13, 2013, the wind direction 114 turned to north, and then gradually turned to northeast. The storm event began at 2:00 115 on April 13 and ended at 3:00 on April 15, and lasted nearly 50 hours from growth to 116 decline, covering two tidal cycles, in which the wind speed maintained at about 20 117





119

120 Fig. 2. The wind process during the examined storm.

Since the Bohai Sea is a semi-enclosed sea, and cold-air outbreaks mostly occur 121 northerly in this region, the model domain was set to cover the entire Bohai Sea, with 122 an open boundary in the north Yellow Sea near the Bohai Strait. Curvilinear grid cells 123 that cover this domain were generated by Delft3D-RGFGRID with a refined high grid 124 resolution used in the areas of interest at the Yellow River subaqueous delta. The total 125 number of grid cells was 771×432 (Fig. 3 a). The average grid cell spacing was about 126 1 km; varying from the maximum mesh size of nearly 2 km at the open boundaries to 127 the minimum mesh size of approximately 150 m along the YRD coast (Fig. 3 b-d). 128 The topography data were based on the YRD surveys carried out in 2012 for the 129 subaqueous delta, with a spatial resolution of 300-500 m, and coastal surveys carried 130 out in 2009 for the other part of the Bohai Sea, with a spatial resolution of 1000-5000 131 m, respectively (Fig. 3 a). In winter, due to the prevalence of strong northerly wind 132 and concomitant high waves (Bi et al., 2011), the distribution of salinity, temperature 133 and sediment in the littoral area of YRD is found to be vertically homogeneous, 134 indicating a well-mixed water column (Yang et al., 2011). Thus, the model adopted 135 seven layers in the vertical direction, and from the bottom layer to surface layer, the 136

137 values of σ were set to 0.1, 0.1, 0.2, 0.2, 0.2, 0.1, and 0.1.



Fig. 3. (a) Numerical model mesh with the details of: (b) the Gudong coast (c) the northern YRD,
and (d) the active river mouth. The three red boxes in (a) from top to bottom mark the northern
YRD, the Gudong coast and the active river mouth, respectively.

142

138

2.2 Initial and boundary conditions

143 Using the modelling system above described, simulations started initially with a static state from the mean sea level, and zero flow velocity and sediment 144 concentration in the domain. The coastline boundaries were determined from the 145 high-water lines with a spatial resolution of 15 m, which were extracted from the false 146 color composite images of Landsat OLI data. The model was driven by the tide 147 forcing along the open boundary, consisting of 8 main tidal constituents, i.e. M2, S2, 148 N2, K2, K1, O1, P1 and Q1, as well as surface forcing from the ECMWF (European 149 Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts) wind and atmospheric pressure data 150 with a spatial resolution of $0.25^{\circ} \times 0.25^{\circ}$ (latitude× longitude). Compared with the 151

storm scale in the Bohai Sea, this resolution is sufficient for modeling land-oceangradients (Lv et al, 2014).

Suspended sediment concentration (SSC) at seaward boundary was set to 0, since 154 the open boundary is far from the interested area and the water depth is mostly deeper 155 than 30 m, so that the impact of sediment conditions from the open boundary on the 156 sediment transport in the nearshore region which was based on the local equilibrium 157 transport formula can be neglected. At landward boundary, distinct seasonal variation 158 of sediment delivery occurs from the Yellow River. River discharge boundary 159 conditions were imposed appropriately based on daily-averaged water discharge and 160 sediment concentration recorded from the Lijin hydrological station, provided by the 161 Yellow River Water Resource Commission. 162

163 **2.3 Parameter settings**

164 The bottom friction was parameterized using the Manning coefficient n, 165 calculated from the water depth (Xing et al., 2012):

$$n=(0.015+0.01/h)$$
, $h>1$ (1)

where *h* is the water depth (m). The bottom roughness for regions water depth below 1 m is prescribed by a uniform Manning coefficient of 0.025, which is the result of verification of the coupled model. It should be noted that the Manning coefficient was defined differently in Delft3D as $M_n=1/n$. The horizontal eddy viscosity and diffusivity are calculated with the Horizontal Large Eddy Simulation (HLES) sub-grid model.

According to Ren et al. (2012), the seabed composition off the YRD is highly

9

variable in space, and the median grain size (D_{50}) varies widely from ~ 5 to ~133 μ m. 173 Therefore, multiple sediment fractions were considered in the morphological model. 174 In this study, four mud fractions (fine to coarse, denoted as md1-md4) were used to 175 represent nearly the full range of cohesive sediment grain sizes (4, 7.5, 28, and 62.5 176 μ m). Specifically, one sand fraction (100 μ m) was included in the model, i.e., the 177 dominant fine sand fraction (denoted as sd1), to reduce the overestimation of erosion 178 along the coasts. The settling velocity (w_s) of each mud fraction was determined 179 relative to the grain size after calibrating the model against the spatial distribution of 180 depth-averaged SSC. 181

182 Critical erosion shear stress τ_{ce} was a key parameter for simulating fine-grained 183 sediment transport. For the critical shear stress of the cohesive sediment, the 184 following formulas were used (Dou, 1999; Lu et al., 2011):

$$\tau_{ce} = k^2 \rho \left(\frac{d'}{d^*}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \left[3.6 \frac{\rho_s - \rho}{\rho} g D_{50} + \left(\frac{\gamma_0}{\gamma_0 *}\right)^{\frac{5}{2}} \left(\frac{\varepsilon_0 + g h \delta \sqrt{\delta/D_{50}}}{D_{50}}\right) \right]$$
(2)

185 where ρ_s is the specific sediment density, 2650 kg m⁻³; ρ is the fresh water density, 186 1000 kg m⁻¹; g is gravity acceleration, and D_{50} is median size of sediment; ε_0 is 187 comprehensive cohesion coefficient, 1.75 cm³/s²; k is a coefficient of different status 188 of incipient motion, 0.128; δ is the thickness of pellicular water, and $\delta = 2.31 \times 10^{-5}$ 189 cm. In this study, d' = 0.5 mm when $D_{50} < 0.5$ mm, and $d^* = 10$ mm accordingly. 190 Initial dry bulk density γ_0 is:

$$\gamma_0 = \rho_s(1 - e_0 \eta) \tag{3}$$

191 and steady dry bulk density γ_0^* is:

$$\gamma_0^* = \rho_s (1 - \frac{\pi}{6} (1 - 2\sqrt[3]{D_{50}}) \tag{4}$$

where e_0 is the maximum porosity, and we used $e_0 = 0.625$. For all the mud fractions, the erosion parameter *M* is 5.0×10^{-5} kg/m²/s, the specific density is 2650 kg/m³, and the dry bed density is 500 kg/m³.

195 The erosion and deposition fluxes for cohesive sediment (< 64 μ m) were 196 calculated applying the following Partheniades-Krone formulations:

$$E_{i} = M_{i} \left(\frac{\tau_{b}}{\tau_{b,i}} - 1 \right), \text{ when } \tau_{cw} > \tau_{cw,i}, \text{ else } E_{i} = 0 \quad (5)$$
$$D_{i} = w_{s,i} c_{b,i} \quad (6)$$

where E_i , D_i and M_i are the erosion flux, deposition flux and erosion parameter of the *i*th mud fraction (kg/m²/s), respectively; $w_{s,i}$ is the settling velocity of the *i*th mud fraction (m/s); $c_{b,i}$ is the depth-averaged concentration of the *i*th mud fraction (kg/m³); τ_b is the combined bed shear stress due to currents and waves (N/m); and $\tau_{b,i}$ is the critical shear stress for erosion of the *i*th mud fraction (N/m²). For 2D depth-averaged flow bed shear stress induced by a turbulent flow is assumed to be given by a quadratic friction law:

204
$$\tau_b = \frac{\rho_{0}g\vec{U}|\vec{U}|}{C_{2D}^2} \tag{7}$$

Where \vec{U} is the magnitude of the depth-averaged horizontal velocity. Due to finest fractions can be entrained into the seabed (Winterwerp et al., 2007), the critical shear stress for deposition was omitted in the model, which means that continuous deposition was specified in the model. All those parameters used in the mode simulations are summarized in Table 1.

210 **Table 1**

			1	6	
Туре	Fraction	D ₅₀ (μm)	$ au_{ce}~(\mathrm{N/m}^2)$	<i>w_s</i> (mm/s)	$M (kg/m^2/s)$
Mud	md1	4		0.06	
	md2	7.5	Spatially	0.14	5.0×10^{-5}
	md3	28	varying	0.22	5.0×10
	md4	62.5		0.26	
Sand	sd1	100	_	_	-

211 Sediment and mud fractions considered in the morphological model

The sediment transport processes responsible for bed-level changes vary greatly off the YRD due to the spatial variations of the bed sediment grain size. Therefore, our model considers both non-cohesive (sand) and cohesive sediment (mud), which are treated separately in Delft3D, and sand-mud interactions are excluded as a first approximation. Suspended sediment transport is calculated by solving the depth-averaged advection-diffusion equation, which includes source and sink terms and is presented below:

$$\frac{\partial hc_i}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial huc_i}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial hvc_i}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(h\varepsilon_h \frac{\partial c_i}{\partial x}) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y}(h\varepsilon_h \frac{\partial c_i}{\partial y}) + S_i$$
(7)

where c_i is the sediment concentration of the *i*th sediment fraction (kg/m³), *u* and *v* are horizontal velocity components (m/s), ε_h is horizontal eddy diffusivity (m²/s), and S_i is the source and sink term of the *i*th sediment fraction representing the exchange between the water colum and the bed. For non-cohesive sediment transport ($\geq 64 \mu$ m), we follow the approach of Van Rijn (1993).

224 **3 Model validations**

225 **3.1 Tidal regime**

The tides in the Bohai Sea are relatively small and fall into the micro-tidal/mixed-semidiurnal categories. Tides from the northwest Pacific

propagates into the Bohai Sea through the Bohai Strait. There are two amphidromic 228 points for semidiurnal tidal constituents (M2 and S2) in the Bohai Sea: one at the 229 offshore area of Qinhuangdao and the other near the Yellow River mouth. One 230 amphidromic point for diurnal tidal constituents (K1 and O1) appears in the Bohai 231 Strait. The tidal model ran 30 days in order to obtain tidal constituents harmonic 232 constants. Harmonic constants of tidal elevation of each constituent are obtained by 233 applying harmonic analysis to modeled time series of sea level at each model grid. 234 The results showed that our model successfully simulated tide systems. The co-tidal 235 and co-range lines for M2, S2, K1, O1 constituents (Fig. 4) fitted well with 236 observations (Chen et al., 1992) and the results of Huang (1995). The changes of the 237 YRD influenced its surrounding tidal wave and obstructed tidal energy (Pelling et al., 238 2013), and the amphidromic points here calculated using new coastlines were farther 239 to land than previous studies. Also, this result agreed well with other publications 240 (Hao et al., 2010). 241



Fig. 4. Co-tidal charts of M2, S2, K1, O1 constituents from the model simulations (dotted and solid lines indicating the amplitude and phase, respectively.

245 **3.2 Tide velocity**

242

The accurate prediction of flow velocity and direction was a crucial step for the 246 simulations of sediment transport which strongly depends on the shear stress, 247 deposition criterion, and turbulence characteristics in the bottom boundary layer. The 248 time series of currents and SSCs measured along the YRD coast were used to validate 249 the model in normal conditions. The observations were taken at eight sites, as shown 250 in Fig. 1b, at N1, N2, N3 and N4 in July 2009, and S1, S2, S3 and S4 in October 2009. 251 252 The correlation coefficient (CC), the skill score (SS), and the root mean square errors (RMSE) were calculated to evaluate the quality of the model performance: 253

$$CC = \frac{\sum(X_{mod} - \overline{X_{mod}})(X_{obs} - \overline{X_{obs}})}{\left[\sum(X_{mod} - \overline{X_{mod}})^2 \sum(X_{obs} - \overline{X_{obs}})^2\right]^{1/2}}$$
(8)

$$SS=1-\frac{\sum(X_{mod}-X_{obs})^2}{\sum(X_{mod}-\overline{X_{obs}})^2}$$
(9)

$$RMSE = \sqrt{\frac{\sum (X_{mod} - X_{obs})^2}{N}}$$
(10)

254	where X_{mod} is the modeled result and X_{obs} is the observed data. The performance of
255	model is classified as suggested by Allen et al., 2007; Ralston et al., 2010; Luo et al.,
256	2017 as show in Table 2.
257 258	Table 2 Classification of model performance
	SS >0.65 0.65-0.5 0.5-0.2 <0.2
	Performance Excellent Very good Good Poor
259	We first validated the simulations with the normal conditions. The model
260	simulation began June 15, 2009. After running for half a year with the observed runoff
261	and 6-hourly ECMWF re-analyzed wind, the model results were output for
262	comparison. Comparisons of the depth-averaged flow velocity and direction with the
263	model results and the observation data are shown in Fig. 5. The type of tidal current
264	was semidiurnal and rectilinear, and the velocity curve showed four peaks and four
265	valleys within one day. Statistical assessments of validation are shown in Table 3. It is
266	clear that the average CC of flow velocity at N1-N4 was 0.78, which was lower than
267	those at S1-S4, 0.89, because those sites locate at the river mouth, the estuarine
268	circulation is rather complicated. The average SS at S1-S4 and N1-N4 was 0.62 and
269	0.49 (Table 3), respectively, ranking "very good" and "good" according to the
270	categories described above. The RMSE were also reasonable.

15



271

Fig. 5. Comparison of measured depth-average flow velocity and flow direction (blue dots) withthe computed results (solid line) at eight measurement locations.

274 **3.3 Suspended sediment concentration**

The computed SSCs were compared with the observed SSCs at Sites S1-S4 and N1-N4 (Fig. 6). The computed SSC was well reproduced with tidal variation. For example, the tide had a transition from spring tides to neap tide during Oct 11 to Oct 15, 2009, so the modeled SSCs of sites S1-S4 during the period had decrease trends. The modeled SSC had the same order of magnitude as the measurements. The relatively large errors between the modeled and observed data appearing at sites S3 and S4 were mainly due to the erosion caused by waves, which was difficult to

estimate during neap tides. The average *SS* of SSC at sites S1-S4 and N1-N2 (not with N3 and N4 because of less samples) were 0.24 and 0.26 (Table 3), indicating that the model preformed satisfactory. The *CC* and *RMSE* in Table 2 also illustrated the good performance of the model. The results clearly indicate that the model was properly set up and can be used to study the dynamics of sediment process off the YRD coast during normal conditions.



Fig. 6. Comparison of depth-average flow velocity and flow direction between the simulated(solid line) and the observed (blue dots) at eight sites.

291 Table 3

288

292 Correlation Coefficient, Root-Mean-Square Error, and Skill Score of each measured site

	S1-S4 (average)			N1-N4 (average)		
	CC	CC SS RMSE		CC	SS	RMSE
Velocity (m/s)	0.89	0. 62	0.08	0.78	0.49	0.11
SSC (kg/m^3)	0.57	0.24	0.05	0.62	0.26	0.04

293 **3.4 Storm validations**

The measured data during a storm in April 2013 were employed for storm verification of hydrodynamic and sediment characteristics. More details of this survey can be seen in the work by Quan (2014) and Bian et al (2016). The water levels data

were provided by Central Platform of Shengli Oil Field (P1, locations are labeled in
Fig. 1b) and Gudong gauge station (P2). The flow and wave data were collected with
an ADCP (Acoustic Doppler Current Profilers) at P3, and the SSC data were collected
with a turbidity meter (OBS-3D) at P4.

To verify the accuracy of the coupled Delft3D-WAVE model, the P3 site was 301 selected to compare the wave height and period between observation and simulation 302 during the storm period of April 15-17 2013 (Fig. 7). The SWAN model generally 303 well-reproduced variations of the significant wave height and period (Fig. 7a). A cold 304 front passed on the April 13, resulting in a marked increase in wave height (maximum 305 wave height of approximately 2.0 m). The simulated wave heights were slightly 306 underestimated due to the low temporal resolution of the meteorological forcing, 307 which was unable to capture the peaks of wind velocities values adequately. The skill 308 assessments are summarized in Table 4. The SS of wave height was 0.41, ranking 309 "good" according to the categories described above. The CC and RMSE were also 310 reasonable. Through comparison between simulated and observed storm tide at P1 311 and P2 sites (Fig. 7b), the maximum and minimum water level as well as the phase 312 313 were in reasonable agreement with the measurements. The SS of storm tide at site P1 and P2 was 0.26 and 0.27, respectively, which indicated the reasonableness of storm 314 tide verification. The flow and SSC validations are shown in Fig. 7c and Fig. 7d. The 315 model reproduced a similar sectional pattern to the survey, specifically, the SS of flow 316 velocity and SSC were 0.51 and 0.21, and the CC were 0.65 and 0.49, respectively. 317 Verification results showed that model predictions during storm period based on the 318



319 model were quite consistent with the observations at these sites.



322 and the observed (blue dots) at eight sites.

323 Table 4

320

324 Correlation Coefficient, Root-Mean-Square Error, and Skill Score of each measured site

	P1, P2 (average)		P3			P4			
	CC	SS	RMSE	CC	SS	RMSE	CC	SS	RMSE
Wave height (m)) -	-	-	0.84	0.71	0.13	-	-	-
Storm surge (m)	0.89	0.73	0.18	-	-	-	-	-	-
Velocity (m/s)	-	-	-	0.65	0.51	0.11	-	-	-
SSC (kg/m ³)	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.49	0.21	0.06

325 4 Results and discussion

In total, three runs were considered in this study to examine the impacts of storm conditions on hydrodynamics and sediment transport over the nearshore seabed of YRD, as shown in Table 5. Run 1 (control run) was embedded into a wave-tide-circulation coupled model and driven by climatological daily mean river discharge and calm wind (speed below 3 m/s) as well as water flux and salinity in open ocean boundary. We can understand the characteristics of hydrodynamics and

332	sediment in normal conditions from the control run. In Run 2, the calm wind and
333	normal atmospheric pressure conditions used in Run 1 were replaced by strong wind
334	and low atmospheric pressure conditions (data from ECMWF) for setting storm
335	conditions. By comparing the results of these two runs, we can quantitatively identify
336	storm impacts. In addition, Run 3 was conducted with storm conditions without tides.
337	The impacts of storm-induced wave on the seabed erosion were examined by
338	comparing the results of three runs All the numerical experiments were run over a
339	period of one month, beginning on April 1, 2013, and the data calculated by the model
340	from April 13 to April 15 were used to analyze the hydrodynamic processes and
341	sediment transport.

342 Table 5

343	Three different	conditions for	model sir	nulations

Simulation	Tide	Wind	Waves
Run 1 (control run)	Yes	No (normal condition)	Yes
Run 2	Yes	Strong	Yes
Run 3	No	Strong	Yes

344 4.1 Waves

Calm winds forcing for Run 1 typically produced waves with significant wave height of less than 0.8 m (Figure 8a), and period of less than 3 s in the study area. The weak wave dynamic is attributed to the causes of wave in this area. The Bohai Sea has poor water exchange capacity with open ocean due to its narrow strait occupied by islands. Surface waves are generated by local winds.

The time series of wind stress for Run 2 are shown in Fig. 8c from April 12 to 14, 2013. The wind vectors were surface area averaged off the YRD, and on the conventional geographical coordinate system. As shown in the Fig. 8c, strong wind

(more than 12 m/s) began in the morning of April 13 with directions moved from 353 northwest to the northeast on April 13 and moved the northwest again on April 14. 354 The northeasterly wind speeds shown two acceleration processes, in the morning and 355 the end of April 13, respectively. The results from Run 2 showed that the wave height 356 of approximately 2 m along the Gudong coast under the maximum wind speed, and 357 the wave height of more than 1.2 m along the northern YRD coast (Fig. 8b). The time 358 series of significant wave height, direction and period of site N3 is presented in Fig. 359 8d to show the changes at temporal scales of wave features. It can be seen that the 360 significant wave height and period were generally accordant with wind speed, and the 361 wave directions changed with wind directions. The significant wave height reached its 362 maximum, more than 2 m, at site N3, during the first wind acceleration process of 363 northeasterly wind, and reached more than 1.5 mduring the second wind acceleration 364 process. 365



366

Fig. 8. (a) Significant wave height during calm condition forcing for Run 1. (b-d) Wave features
during the examined storm: (b) significant wave height and direction with the maximum wind
speed; (c) time series of surface area averaged wind vector off the YRD (118-120°E, 37.3-38°N);

370 (d) significant wave height (black line), direction (gray arrow) and period (blue line) at site N3.

4.2 Currents

372 4.2.1 Water mass transport

Storm-induced seaward coastal sediment transport can be key for to the inner 373 shelf (Goff et al., 2010). To investigate the impacts of storm-surge flood and ebb on 374 sediment transport, we first examined storm-induced current fields. The model runs 375 were either forced by calm wind (Run 1), or strong northerly wind (Run 2). Fig. 9a 376 shows the current was reciprocated with southeastern flood and northwestern ebb in 377 normal conditions, with areas of high current velocity locating off the northern YRD 378 coast and the active river mouth. Reciprocated current was predominant in shallow 379 area (roughtly within the 15m isobaths), while it gradually turned into rotated current 380 with the increase of water depth. In storm conditions, not only the current velocities 381 increased, especially the flood velocity, but the directions were also changed: rotated 382 current was predominant (Fig. 9b). Special attention should be paid to the feathers in 383 the shallow area: most of these current vectors were along the flood-ebb axis or 384 directed to the right side of the ebb direction. Nonlinear interaction between the tide, 385 wind-driven current, and the Coriolis force should be responsible for this phenomenon. 386 Huang et al. (1996) and Cao and Lou (2011) suggested that on the surface, the 387 wind-driven current flows along the wind direction. During flood tide, wind-driven 388 current added to tide current, the water mass could flow to southeast with higher 389 velocity. During ebb, when the sea surface elevation decreases, the tidal water 390 returned to the outlets hard and flowed north-northwestward, opposing with 391 wind-driven current, and the water mass could turn into right-neighbored outlets. 392

Wind intensity and direction can generate changes in residual currents in the shallowareas, which are also found in the shore of the Tagus Estuary (Vaz and Dias, 2014).

In order to quantitatively reveal the contributions of the storm to water mass transport, the residual transport of water (Tr_w) through a unit width was calculated, which can be defined as follows:

$$Tr_{w} = \frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} \int_{-H}^{\eta} \vec{V}(x, y, z, t) dt$$
(11)

where η is the surface elevation, *H* is the still water depth, and \vec{V} is the horizontal velocity vector, and *T* is the time period. Previous studies (Wu et al., 2014, 2018) showed that residual transport velocity is a more reasonable method than the Eulerian residual current to index the subtidal transport in the shallow coastal water. In this study, 3 d (from 0:00 on April 13 to 16 April), were used as an statistical time window to obtain the *Tr_w* in normal and storm conditions, respectively, as shown in Fig. 10.



405 Fig. 9. Tide velocity vectors of depth-averaged current during an ebb-flood process in (a) normal406 conditions and (b) storm conditions.

404





Fig. 10. Residual water mass transport in (a) normal and (b) storm conditions.

In normal conditions, the influence of wind was weak, and the residual currents 409 were greatly affected by tidal current. In the shallow area, the Tr_w was generally less 410 than 0.1 m^3/s . Affected by the northerly strong wind, the residual transport of water 411 was transported southward in storm conditions, and the Tr_w was generally greater 412 than 0.2 m^3/s , which was 2 to 4 times as large as that in normal conditions. Deep 413 414 water mass transports southwest as a whole, while it begins to transports southeast gradually after reaching the central part of the Bohai Bay. Off the northern YRD, the 415 residual currents flow eastward along the E-W coast. The direction of residual current 416 along the Gudong coast was southeast in storm conditions, basically consistent with it 417 in normal conditions. While, converging the water masses from the shallow area of 418 the Bohai Bay and the northern YRD, and supported by the water mass from deep 419 area, water mass in this area performs a notable transport rate, which was 4 times 420 larger than it in normal conditions. The water transport rate off the river mouth also 421 significantly increase, and massive water was transported to the central area of the 422 Laizhou Bay with the northward inflow. This water transport model also explains why 423 high water level always occurs in the Laizhou Bay and the Bohai Bay during storms 424

425 (Li et al., 2016).

426 4.2.2 Tidal shear front

427 Tidal shear front is an interface between two water bodies with opposing flow directions and significant low velocity zone, which are instantaneous extraordinary 428 gradients closely related to sediment dynamics and morphological variabilities (Wang 429 et al., 2007). Tidal shear front in the littoral area of the YRD has been observed and 430 modeled in the previous studies (Qiao et al., 2008; Wang et al., 2017). The front was 431 first reported by Li et al. (1994) who concluded that the shear front, occurring twice 432 during a tidal cycle, could be classified into two types: inner-flood-outer-ebb (IFOE) 433 and inner-ebb-outer-flood (IEOF). 434

From Run 1, both types of tidal shear front were observed in normal conditions, 435 and shown in Fig. 11a and Fig. 11c, respectively. The IEOF type was closer to land 436 and grows to the northern YRD with larger range than the IFOE type. While, strong 437 winds had a predominant impact on tidal shear front. Under the pressure of northerly 438 wind, both the IFOE type (Fig. 11b) and the IEOF type (Fig. 11d) have been 439 weakened with smaller ranges of tidal shear front than in the normal conditions. 440 Besides, the trends of tidal shear front changed to be perpendicular to the coasts 441 comparing with the parallel trends in normal conditions, and the low velocity zones 442 along the YRD coast disappeared, especially at the IFOE type happens (Fig. 11b). 443 These changes of tidal shear front were because of the formation of rotated currents in 444 deep area in strong wind circumstance: tides through rotated currents complete phase 445 changes, not entirely form oppose-direction flow in shallow area. The changes such as 446

weakened shear front, disappearance of low velocity zone, were benefit for thesediment resuspension and dispersal.



Fig. 11. Locations of tidal shear front of (a) and (b) IFOE type in Runs 1 and 2; and (c) and (d)
IEOF type in Runs 1 and 2. The yellow solid lines represent the fronts, and the dashed lines
represent the ranges of rotated currents.

453 **4.3 Sediment process**

454 *4.3.1 SSC*

The suspended sediment off the YRD is either introduced by river sources or resuspended from the seabed in response to various forcing conditions. We first performed process study to examine suspended sediment distributions. The simulated distribution of depth-averaged SSC from Run 1 and Run 2 are shown in Fig. 12a and Fig. 12b, respectively. When using Landsat data to create sediment color images, we can estimate the simulated results. For example, we chose the Landsat ETM+ data for

461 22 March 2014 (3.6 m/s average wind speed at S1-S4 and N1-N4) and 27 November 462 2012 (10.2 m/s average wind speed at S1-S4 and N1-N4) to show the turbid water 463 distribution in normal and storm conditions, respectively. The model reproduced 464 distribution of SSC matches well with the satellite images in Fig. 13, and compared 465 well with the observed data (Yang et al., 2011b; Wang et al., 2014) and the satellite 466 ocean color data (Zhang et al., 2014).

Bottom shear stress is an important dynamic factor for sediment erosion and 467 deposition. When the bottom shear stress is greater than the critical bottom shear 468 stress, the bottom sediment will be suspended. The total bottom shear stress (τ_{cw}) is 469 composed of current-induced bottom shear stresses (τ_c) and wave-induced bottom 470 shear stresses (τ_w) under wave-current interaction. The τ_c and τ_{cw} could be obtained 471 from the Run 1 and Run 2, by Formula (7). To further understand the effect of τ_w on 472 the formation of the sediment plume, a numerical Run 3 was conducted in which only 473 wave and strong wind were included. Fig. 14a, 14b and Fig. 14c show the 3-day 474 average τ_c , τ_w and τ_{cw} in the storm conditions, respectively. 475

High SSC values were observed in two regions under normal conditions (Fig. 12a) and formed two substantial sediment plumes, one nearshore the northern YRD and the other at the active river mouth, with a value about 1.5 kg/m³. The sediment plume of the river mouth diffuses to the south, which results in the higher SSC in the northern area of Laizhou Bay. Off the Gudong coast, the SSC was relatively low, less than 0.5 kg/m³. The distribution of high and low SSC is consistent with that of τ_c (Fig. 14a), which indicates that the τ_c is strong enough to stir the bottom sediment,

and formed the sediment plumes off the northern YRD and the river mouth.

Compared with the normal conditions, the ranges of high SSC area enlarge 484 significantly under storm conditions (Fig. 12b). The maximum SSC off the northern 485 YRD and the active river mouth was more than 2.6 kg/m^3 . High SSC was profound 486 off the Gudong coast, which was 3 times as large as that in normal conditions, with a 487 maximum value of 2.5 kg/m³, appearing at the most prominent point of Gudong dyke 488 toward the sea. Off the river mouth, the weakened shear front and disappearance of 489 low velocity zone were benefit for the sediment dispersal. Thus, the sediment plume 490 of the river mouth diffuses to the central area of the Laizhou Bay, which causes high 491 SSC appearing at this area. 492

The characteristics of SSC distribution were related to the τ_w and τ_{cw} under 493 storm conditions. The τ_w was higher along the Gudong coast due to larger wave 494 height, where its value reached approximately 1.0 N/m² (Fig. 14b). The high value of 495 τ_w at the river mouth was attributed to the shallow water of the mouth bar. In the most 496 of the littoral area of YRD, the τ_{cw} reached approximately 1.1 N/m² (Fig. 14c), and 497 the Gudong coast with larger values which was attributed to the higher τ_w (Fig. 14b). 498 This fact indicates that the high SSC along the Gudong coast is generated by local 499 sediment resuspension in storm conditions. During storms, researchers found that the 500 waves as an important agent in the reworking and retreat at the mud-rich deltas that 501 are generally considered as either 'river-dominated', such as the Mississippi (Anthony, 502 503 2015) or 'tide-dominated', such as the Chao Phraya (Uehara et al., 2010).





505 Fig. 12. The depth-averaged SSC: (a) in normal conditions; and (b) in storm conditions.



Fig. 13. Surface SSC (SSSC) retrieved from Landsat in the YRD region under: (a) in normal 507

119.5



506

509



119 Longitude (°E)

119.5

118.5

119.5

118.5

511 wave-induced; and (c) total.

118.5

37.5°N

4.3.2 Sediment transport 512

In this section, the sediment transport characteristics were analyzed by 513 calculating the residual transport of sediment (Tr_{sed}) through a unit width, which can 514 be defined as follows: 515

$$Tr_{sed} = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \int_{-H}^{\eta} \vec{V}(x, y, z, t) \cdot C(x, y, z, t) dt$$
(12)

where η is the surface elevation, H is the still water depth, and \vec{V} is the horizontal 516 velocity vector, C is the sediment concentration, and T is the time period. As shown in 517 Fig. 7, the whole process of the repeated storm lasted for nearly 50 hours. During the 518 whole process, a wind turn occurred, forming two strong wind periods with different 519 directions, i.e. the northeasterly wind period (NEP) and the northwesterly wind period 520 (NWP). The dividing time of these two periods was approximately the middle time of 521 the whole storm process, thus we can take 25 h as T to calculate Tr_{sed} of these two 522 periods. The average SSC and residual of sediment transport rate are shown in Fig. 523 524 15.

From Fig. 15, we can see that the NEP was the growth and duration of storm 525 process with larger average wind speed, while the NWP showed a downward trend. 526 Therefore, the NEP appeared a larger maximum value of than the NWP. Although the 527 wind speed was weakening as a whole during the NWP, there was also a process of 528 wind acceleration with a maximum wind speed of 18.0 m/s. During the process of 529 offshore and southward transportation of sediment, a large amount of suspended 530 sediment diffuses to the sea and Laizhou Bay. Therefore, during the NWP the area of 531 high SSC was more widely distributed, and the area larger than 1.5 kg/m³ increased 532 by nearly 50% compared with the NEP. 533



Fig. 15. The sediment transport features: (a) the suspended sediment concentrations and (b)
residual sediment mass transport during northeasterly wind; (c) the suspended sediment
concentrations and (d) residual sediment mass transport during northwesterly wind.

534

During the NEP, the residual transport of sediment increased with the decrease of 538 water depth. The larger value appeared off the Gudong coast, with the value of 0.23 539 kg/s. Although the residual transport of sediment during the NWP was less than that 540 during the NEP, it also showed a trend of increasing with the decrease of water depth, 541 with a high value of more than 0.18 kg/s off the Gudong coast and river mouth. The 542 543 directions of sediment transport were similar during these two periods, and basically consistent with the direction of water transport. The main difference of them occurred 544 in the deep area, but the rates were mostly less than 0.05 kg/s. In both periods, the 545 sediment was transported offshore and southward as a whole. Specifically, the 546 sediment along the northern YRD coast was mainly transported eastward. After 547

arriving at the Gudong coast, sediment was transported southeast, and continued totransport to the central area of the Laizhou Bay after passing the river mouth.

550 Different from the offshore transport in the littoral area of YRD during storm surge, the sediment is transported landward by the storm surge in the Yangtze River 551 submerged delta (Dai et al. 2015). Coastal geometry may account for this difference: 552 compared with Bohai Bay and Laizhou Bay, the main coastline of the Yellow River 553 Delta protrude toward the sea, which makes the storm energy easier to gather in its 554 near shore; while the Yangtze River Delta is characterized as a channel-shoal system 555 with multiple outlets and shallow shoals, and the sediment can be transported to the 556 shore along the channel. Similarly, along the Ebro Delta coast (Spain) whose 557 geometry is cusp, researchers found that future trends in sea level rise produce 558 exacerbated cross-shore sediment transport by storm forcing (Grases et al. 2020). 559

560 **4.4 Seabed erosion**

Having identified the main directions and magnitudes of sediment transport, the 561 resulting morphological changes due to the storm are investigated. The final 562 bathymetric changes, obtained from Runs 1 and 2, are shown in Fig. 16a and Fig. 16b, 563 respectively. Positive values hereafter represent accretion and negative values 564 represent erosion. It can be seen that in most parts of the littoral area the bed level 565 changed within the confines of -0.05 m to 0.05 m in normal conditions (Fig. 16a). The 566 seabed erosion off Gudong was also slight due to its insignificant sediment transport. 567 Whereas in storm conditions, the changing hydrodynamics and sediment transport 568 induced significant nearshore erosion. Fig. 16b shows that the seabed erosion of the 569

Gudong and the northern YRD reached 0.1 m to 0.15 m, even more than 0.2 m near 570 the dike area. While, the main siltation occurred in the central area of Laizhou Bay. 571 Overall, the area (off the YRD, 118°E -120°E, 37.3°N -38°N) of seabed erosion in 572 storm conditions was 362.67 km^2 , nearly 3 times as large as that in normal conditions, 573 and the erosion volume is 0.0543 km³, about 20 times as large as that in normal 574 conditions. Therefore, the seabed erosion caused by the changes of hydrodynamics 575 and sediment transport under storm surge is an important factor in the coastal seabed 576 erosion of the YRD. 577

In order to explore the seabed changes after the storm, the bathymetric changes 578 during the recovery period after the storm were calculated. The time range of recovery 579 period was determined according to the wind speed and direction observed in P2 site. 580 As shown in Fig. 17, the end of this strong wind at 3:00 on April 15, indicating the 581 recovery period began at this time. At the time of 22:00 on April 18, strong wind 582 occurred again, indicating the recovery period began at this time and it lasted totaling 583 91 hours. Similar to the SSC calculated in the normal conditions in experiment 1, the 584 SSC off the north YRD and the active river mouth area was about 1.5 kg/m³, while in 585 other areas it was less than 0.5 kg/m³ (Fig. 18a). The residual transport of sediment 586 through a unit width was also similar to that calculated in Experiment 1 in light wind 587 conditions. There was no strong deposition or erosion area, and it was basically in the 588 equilibrium state during recovery period (Fig.18b). Along Guong coast, which had 589 been severely eroded during storm period, the bathymetry did not change significantly. 590 It can be seen that it was difficult to recover the seabed erosion in the short term after 591



the storm, due to the insignificant sediment transport and deposition.

593

596

Fig. 16. Computed bathymetric changes (a) in normal conditions, and (b) in storm conditions.Positive values represent accretion and negative values represent erosion.



Fig. 17. The changes of wind speed and direction during and after the storm.



598

599 Fig. 18. (a) Suspended sediment concentrations and residual sediment mass transport after the600 storm; (b) erosion and accretion pattern after the storm.

601 **4.5 Frequency of strong wind**

The northern YRD and the Gudong coast are abandoned delta lobes, which underwent erosion after the river channel shifted southward. Despite of the coastal defenses (dikes) being built, the overall coastal area continued to be in the state of

erosion and coastal managers were obliged to build protection works constantly, for
example the pipe pile projects outside the destroyed dikes in 2004 and in 2016.
Previous studies attributed the long term erosion to the avulsion of Yellow River and
subsequent lack of sediment supply (Li et al., 2000; Xing et al., 2016), while this short
timescale event hardly explain the long-term eroded states.

Although the winter storm surge is an extreme event, the storm-induced coast 610 erosion plays an important role in the coastal geomorphological changes of the YRD. 611 On the one hand, the effects of a storm give a distinguished interpretation for the 612 613 seabed erosion, and on the other hand, storms occur at a higher frequency. Based on the hourly wind field data of P2 site from 2005 to 2014, the time series variation 614 process of wind speed is analyzed. As shown in Fig. 19, the wind speed series has 615 616 obvious seasonal variation characteristics. In winter and spring, the wind speeds are larger, while in summer, the wind speed is smaller. From the monthly scale change 617 process of wind speed, the average probability of strong wind (speed more than 10.8 618 m/s) for 7 consecutive months from October to May of next year is 10.28%, the 619 maximum is 22.14%, which appears in February of 2009. 620







Using the ECMWF wind data, the frequency and interval time of strong wind in

autumn-winter-spring (October 15 to April 15 of next year) since 1976 can be 624 calculated. The results are shown in Fig. 20. Statistics show that the average number 625 of strong wind occurred 39 times per period, 31 times at least, from October 1981 to 626 May 1982, and 48 times at most, from October 2016 to May 2017. Since 1976, the 627 number of strong winds has fluctuated slightly upward, while the average time 628 interval of strong wind has fluctuated slightly downward. Thus, in the past 40 years, 629 the YRD has seen more frequent strong winds. This fact indicates that the erosion 630 state is mainly due to the accumulative effect of scour during storms, not merely 631 632 attributable to the frequent avulsion.



Fig. 20. Variation of the number of strong winds in autumn-winter-spring and the mean timebetween two strong winds since 1976.

636 **5** Conclusions

633

In this study, the effect of storms on the hydrodynamics and sediment transport off the YRD were examined using a coupled modelling system including tides, waves, and sediment processes. Verifications of flow field, wave heights, tides, sediment concentrations demonstrated that the model can reproduce the hydrodynamic and sediment processes and indicated storm erosion occurring in nearshore zones of the northern YRD and Gudong.

The results of numerical experiments show that the interactions between the tide 643 and wind-driven current during a storm period strengthen the residual currents and 644 weaken the tidal shear front in the shallow areas. In addition to hydrodynamic 645 changes, the strong northerly wind used in this numerical model causes the maximum 646 wave heights of more than 2 m appearing at the Gudong coast. Under the influence of 647 changing hydrodynamics during the storm, resuspension and sediment transport 648 occurs, which leads to higher sediment concentration, with the maximum SSC 649 exceeding 2.5 kg/m³ nearshore the northern YRD. The local resuspension due to 650 greater wave-induced bottom stress promotes the sediment plume to shift to the 651 Gudong coast. Besides, the sediment transported offshore and southward. The 652 dynamic and sediment transport changes under storm conditions caused significant 653 changes in seabed erosion and siltation. The area of seabed erosion area was nearly 654 three times as large as that under normal conditions, and the erosion volume was 655 nearly 20 times as large as that under normal conditions. No significant recovery after 656 a storm and frequent strong winds have an accumulative effect on the seabed erosion. 657 The results from this study improve our understanding of the formation mechanism of 658 the eroded coast in the YRD: the accumulated storm erosion is more likely to 659 dominate the long-term erosive coastal states, not just the frequent avulsion and of 660 Yellow River and subsequent discontinuity of sediment supply. 661

662 Acknowledgements

The Landsat data used in this study are available at <u>https://glovis.usgs.gov</u>. Sea
surface wind and atmospheric pressure data obtained from ECMWF are available at

665	http://apps.ecmwf.int/datasets/. Open ocean boundary tidal information included the
666	major tide harmonic constituents is provided by the TPXO 7.2 Global Tidal Solution,
667	at http://volkov.oce.orst.edu/tides/tpxo8_atlas.html. The source code of the Delft3D
668	model is freely available at https://oss.deltares.nl/web/delft3d/source-code. The field
669	observation data and input files which are necessary to reproduce the experiments
670	used in this study are available upon formal request to the correspondence author
671	(slchen@sklec.ecnu.edu.cn). This study was financially supported by the National
672	Key Research and Development Program of China (No. 2017YFC0405503), the
673	National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. U1706214) and the Open
674	Research Fund of SKLEC (SKLEC-PGKF201903). The authors appreciate the two
675	reviewers for their excellent comments and suggestions that improved this paper. We
676	also acknowledge the editors for their assistance in editing the manuscript.

677 **References**

- Allen, J.I., Somerfield, P.J., Gilbert, F.J., 2007. Quantifying uncertainty in high-resolution coupled
 hydrodynamic-ecosystem models. Journal of Marine Systems 64, 3-14.
- Anthony, E.J., 2015. Wave influence in the construction, shaping and destruction of river deltas: A
 review. Marine Geology 361, 53-78.
- Becker, M., Papa, F., Karpytchev, M., Delebecque, C., Krien, Y., Khan, J.U., Ballu, V., Durand, F.,
 Cozannet, G.L., Islam, A.K.M.S., Calmant, S., Shum, C.K., 2020. Water level changes,
 subsidence, and sea level rise in the Ganges-Brahmaputra-Meghna delta. PNAS 117(4),
 1867-1876.
- Beihai Branch of State Oceanic Administration People's Republic of China. The northern China
 Marine Disasters Bulletin (2013) [EB/OL] http://ncs.mnr.gov.cn/. 2014-04-01.
- Bi, N.S., Wang, H.J., Yang, Z.S., 2014. Recent changes in the erosion-accretion patterns of the
 active Huanghe (Yellow River) delta lobe caused by human activities. Continental Shelf

- 690 Research 90: 70-78.
- Bian, S.H., Hu, Z., Liu, J., Zhu, Z., 2016. Sediment suspension and the dynamic mechanism
 during storms in the Yellow River Delta. Environmental Monitoring & Assessment, 189: 3.
- Bjarnadottir, S. 2011. Social vulnerability index for coastal communities at risk to hurricane
 hazard and a changing climate. Natural Hazards 59, 1055-1075.
- Blum, M.D., Roberts, H.H., 2009. Drowning of the Mississippi Delta due to insufficient sediment
 supply and global sea-level rise. Nature Geoscience 2, 488-491.
- Boudet, L.F., Sabatier, F., Radakovitch, O., 2017. Modelling of sediment transport pattern in the
 mouth of the Rhone delta: Role of storm and food events. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf
 Science 198, 568-582.
- Brown, J.M., Ciavola, P., Masselink, G., Mccall, R., Plater, A.J., 2016. Preface: monitoring and
 modelling to guide coastal adaptation to extreme storm events in a changing climate. Natural
 Hazards & Earth System Sciences 16, 463-467.
- Cao, Z.D., Lou, A.G., 2011. A three dimensional numerical simulation of the wind-driven
 circulation during winter in the Bohai Sea by FVCOM (in Chinese with English abstract).
 Periodical of Ocean University of China, 6787: 183-194
- Chen, G., Niu, Y., Wen, S., Bao, C., Wu, B., Zhang, R., Gu, H., 1992. Marine Atlas of Bohai Sea,
 Yellow Sea, East China Sea: Hydrology. China Ocean Press, Beijing. p.523.
- Chen, S., Zhang, G., Chen, X., 2006. Coastal erosion feature and mechanism at Feiyantan in the
 Yellow River delta. Marine Science Bulletin 8, 11-20.
- Dai, Z.J., Fagherazzi, S., Mei, X.F., Gao, J.J., 2016. Decline in suspended sediment concentration
 delivered by the Changjiang (Yangtze) River into the East China Sea between 1956 and 2013.
- **712** Geomorphology 268, 123-132.
- Dou, G.R., 1999. Incipient motion of coarse and Fine sediment (in Chinese, with English abstract).
 Journal of Sediment Research 6, 1-9.
- 715 Edmonds, D.A., Slingerland, R.L., 2010. Significant effect of sediment cohesion on delta
 716 morphology. Nature Geoscience 3, 105-109.
- Fan, Y.S., Chen, S.L., Zhao, B., Pan, S., Jiang, C., Ji, H.Y., 2018. Shoreline dynamics of the active
- 718 Yellow River delta since the implementation of Water-Sediment Regulation Scheme: a
- remote-sensing and statistics-based approach. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science 200,

- 720 406-419.
- Florin, I.Z., Florin, T., Nikolay, N.V., Alfred, V.S., 2017. Storm climate on the Danube delta coast:
 evidence of recent storminess change and links with large-scale teleconnection patterns.
 Natural Hazards 87, 1-23.
- Goff, J.A., Allison, M.A., Gulick, S.P.S., 2010. Offshore transport of sediment during cyclonic
 storms: Hurricane Ike (2008), Texas Gulf Coast, USA. Geology 38, 351–354.
- 726 Gong, W., Jia, L., Shen, J., Liu, J.T., 2014. Sediment transport in response to changes in river
- discharge and tidal mixing in a funnel-shaped micro-tidal estuary. Continental Shelf Research76, 89-107.
- Grant, W.D., Madsen, O.S., 1979. Combined wave and current interaction with a rough bottom.
 Journal of Geophysical Research 84, 1797-1808.
- Grases, A., Gracia, V., García-León, M., Jue, L.Y., Sierra, J.P., 2020. Coastal flooding and erosion
 under a changing climate: Implications at a low-lying coast (Ebro Delta). Water 12, 346.
- Hao, Y., Le, K.T., Liu, X.Q., 2010. A numerical prediction of the tidal characteristics in 2010 of
 Yellow River Delta (in Chinese, with English abstract). Chinese Marine Science 24, 43-46.
- Huang, D., 1995. Modeling Studies of Barotropic and Baroclinic Dynamics in the Bohai Sea.

736 Ph.D Thesis. Hamburg, Germany: Hamburg University.

- Huang, D.J., Chen, Z.Y., Su, J.L., 1996. Application of three-dimensional shelf sea model in the
- Bohai Sea: I. tidal current, wind-driven current and their interaction (in Chinese, with English
 abstract). Aeta Oceanologia Sinica 18, 1-15.
- Jiang, C., Pan, S., Chen, S.L., 2017. Recent morphological changes of the Yellow River (Huanghe)
 submerged delta: causes and environmental implications. Geomorphology 293, 93-107.
- 742 Kong, D., Miao, C., Borthwick, A.G.L., Duan, Q.Y., Liu, H., Sun, Q.H., Ye, A.Z., Di, Z.H., Gong
- W., 2015. Evolution of the Yellow River delta and its relationship with runoff and sedimentload from 1983 to 2011. Journal of Hydrology 520, 157-167.
- 745 Uehara, K., Pramot, S., Yoshiki, S., Thanawat, J., 2010. Erosion and accretion processes in a
 746 muddy dissipative coast, the Chao Phraya River delta, Thailand. Earth Surface Processes and
 747 Landforms 35(14), 1701-1711.
- 748 Kuenzer, C., Ottinger, M., Liu, G.H., Sun, B., Baumhauer, R., Dech, S., 2014. Earth observation
- based coastal zone monitoring of the Yellow River delta: dynamics in China's second largest

- oil producing region observed over four decades. Applied Geography 55, 92-107.
- Li, G.X., Cheng, G., Wei, H., Pan, W., Ren, Y., Ding, D., Zhou, Y., Zhao, J., 1994. Tidal shear
 front off modern Yellow River mouth. Chinese Science Bulletin 39, 928–932.
- Li, G.X., Zhuang, K., Wei, H., 2000. Sedimentation in the Yellow River delta. Part III. Seabed
 erosion and diapirism in the abandoned subaqueous delta lobe. Marine Geology 168,
 129-144.
- Li, Y., Tian, L.Z., Pei, Y.D., Wang, F., Wang, H., 2016. Numerical simulation of storm surge
 inundation in the west zone of Bohai Bay. Geological Bulletin of China 35(10), 1638-1645.
- Liu, F., Chen, H., Cai, H., Luo, X., Ou, S., Yang, Q., 2017. Impacts of ENSO on multi-scale
 variations in sediment discharge from the Pearl River to the South China Sea.
 Geomorphology 293, 24-36.
- Liu, F., Xie, R., Luo, X., Yang, L., Cai, H., Yang, Q., 2019. Stepwise adjustment of deltaic
 channels in response to human interventions and its hydrological implications for sustainable
 water managements in the Pearl River Delta, China. Journal of Hydrology 573, 194-206.
- Liang, B.C., Li, H.J., 2008. Bottom shear stress under wave-current interaction. Journal of
 Hydrodynamics Series B 20, 88-95.
- Lu, J., Qiao, F.L., Wang, X.H., Wang, Y.G., Teng, Y., Xia, C.S., 2011. A numerical study of
 transport dynamics and seasonal variability of the Yellow River sediment in the Bohai and
 Yellow Seas. Estuarine Coastal and Shelf Science 95, 39-51
- Luo, Z., Zhu, J., Wu, H., Li, X., 2017. Dynamics of the sediment plume over the Yangtze Bank in
 the Yellow and East China Seas. Journal of Geophysical Research Oceans 122, 10073-10090.
- Lv, X., Yuan, D., Ma, X., Tao, J., 2014. Wave characteristics analysis in Bohai Sea based on
 ECMWF wind field. Ocean Engineering 91, 159-171.
- Martin, J., Sheets, B., Paola, C., Hoyal, D., 2009. Influence of steady base-level rise on channel
 mobility, shoreline migration, and scaling properties of a cohesive experimental delta. Journal
 of Geophysical Research Earth Surface 114, F03017.
- Milly, P.C., Wetherald, R.T., Dunne, K.A., Delworth, T.L., 2002. Increasing risk of great floods in
 a changing climate. Nature 415, 514-517.
- 778 Murray, N.J., Phinn, S.R., DeWitt, M., 2019. The global distribution and trajectory of tidal flats.
- 779 Nature 565, 222-225.

- Nichollsm R.J., Cazenavem, A., 2010. Sea-level rise and its impact on coastal zones. Science 328,
 1517.
- Pelling, H.E., Uehara, K., Green, J.A.M., 2013. The impact of rapid coastline changes and sea
 level rise on the tides in the Bohai Sea, China. Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans 118,
 3462-3472.
- Qi, S., Liu, H., 2017. Natural and anthropogenic hazards in the Yellow River Delta, China. Natural
 Hazards 85, 1-5
- Qiao, L.L., Bao, X.W., Wu, D.X., Wang, X.H., 2008. Numerical study of generation of the tidal
 shear front off the Yellow River mouth. Continental Shelf Research 28, 1782-1790.
- 789 Quan, Y.Z., 2014. Numerical simulation of sediment movement and its dynamic mechanism in a
- strong wind processed of northern sea of the Yellow River Delta. Doctoral Dissertation.Qingdao, China: Ocean University of China.
- Ralston, D.K., Geyer, R., Lerczak, J.A., 2010. Structure, variability, and salt flux in a strongly
 forced salt wedge estuary. Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans 115, C06005.
- Ralston, D.K., Warner, J.C., Geyer, W.R., Wall, G.R., 2013. Sediment transport due to extreme
 events: the Hudson River estuary after tropical storms Irene and Lee. Geophysical Research
 Letters 40, 5451-5455.
- Reitz, M.D., Jerolmackm, D.J., 2012. Experimental alluvial fan evolution: Channel dynamics,
 slope controls, and shoreline growth. Journal of Geophysical Research: Earth Surface 117,
 F02021.
- Ren, R., Chen, S.L., Dong, P., Liu, F., 2012. Spatial and temporal variations in grain size of
 surface sediments in the littoral area of Yellow River delta. Journal of Coastal Research 28,
 44-53.
- Shi, W., Wang, M., Jiang, L., 2011. Spring-neap tidal effects on satellite ocean color observations
 in the Bohai Sea, Yellow Sea, and East China Sea. Journal of Geophysical Research 116:
 C12032.
- Signell, R.P., Beardsley, R.C., Graber, H.C., Capotondi, A., 1990. Effect of wave-current
 interaction on wind-driven circulation in narrow, shallow embayments. Journal of
 Geophysical Research 95, 9671-9678.
- 809 Syvitski, J.P.M, Kettner, A., 2011. Sediment flux and the Anthropocene. Philosophical

42

- 810 Transactions of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences 369,
- 811 957-975.
- Tessler, Z.D., Vorosmarty, C.J., Grossberg, M., et al., 2015. Profiling risk and sustainability in
 coastal deltas of the world. Science 349, 638-643.
- Vaz, N., Dias, J.M., 2014. Residual currents and transport pathways in the Tagus estuary, Portugal:
 the role of freshwater discharge and wind. Journal of Coastal Research 70, 610-615.
- Van Rijn, L.C., 1993. Principles of sediment transport in river, estuaries and coastal seas. AQUA
 Publications, the Netherlands, p.535.
- Wang, H., Bi, N., Saito, Y., Wang, Y., Sun, X., Zhang, J., Yang, Z., 2010. Recent changes in
 sediment delivery by the Huanghe (Yellow River) to the sea: causes and environmental
 implications in its estuary. Journal of Hydrology 391, 302-313.
- Wang, H., Saito, Y., Zhang, Y., Bi, N., Sun, X., Yang, Z., 2011. Recent changes of sediment flux to
 the western Pacific Ocean from major rivers in East and Southeast Asia. Earth-Science
 Reviews 108, 80-100.
- Wang, H., Wang, A., Bi, N., Zeng, X., Xiao, H., 2014. Seasonal distribution of suspended
 sediment in the Bohai Sea, China. Continental Shelf Research 90, 17-32.
- Wang, H., Yang, Z., Li, Y., Guo, Z., Sun, X., Wang, Y., 2007. Dispersal pattern of suspended
 sediment in the shear frontal zone off the Huanghe (Yellow River) mouth. Continental Shelf
 Research 27, 854-871.
- Wang, N., Li, G., Qiao, L., Shi, J., Dong, P., Xu, J., Ma, Y., 2017. Long-term evolution in the
 location, propagation, and magnitude of the tidal shear front off the Yellow River mouth.
 Continental Shelf Research 137, 1-12.
- Warner, J.C., Sherwood, C.R., Signell, R.P., Harris, C.K., Arango, H.G., 2008. Development of a
 three-dimensional, regional, coupled wave, current, and sediment-transport model.
 Computers & Geosciences 34, 1284-1306.
- Winterwerp, J.C., Maa, J., Sanford, L., Schoellhamer, D., 2007. On the sedimentation rate of
 cohesive sediment. In: Estuarine and Coastal Fine Sediments Dynamics INTERCOH 2003.
- 837 Elsevier, p. 209-226.
- Wolters, M.L., Kuenzer, C., 2015. Vulnerability assessments of coastal river deltas-categorization
 and review. Journal of Coastal Conservation 19, 345-368.

- Wu, X., Bi, N., Xu, J., Nittrouer, J.A., Yang, Z., Saito, Y., Wang, H., 2017. Stepwise
 morphological evolution of the active Yellow River (Huanghe) delta lobe (1976–2013):
 dominant roles of riverine discharge and sediment grain size. Geomorphology 292, 115-127.
- Wu, X., Bi, N., Yuan, P., Li, S., Wang, H., 2015. Sediment dispersal and accumulation off the
 present Huanghe (Yellow River) delta as impacted by the Water-Sediment Regulation
 Scheme. Continental Shelf Research 111, 126-138.
- Xing, F., Wang, Y.P., Wang, H.V. 2012. Tidal hydrodynamics and fine-grained sediment transport
 on the radial sand ridge system in the southern Yellow Sea. Marine Geology 291-294,
 192-210.
- Xing, G., Wang, H., Yang, Z., Bi, N., 2016. Spatial and temporal variation in erosion and
 accumulation of the subaqueous Yellow River delta (1976–2004). Journal of Coastal
 Research 74, 32-47.
- Xu, C., Gu, S., Liu, Z., Zhang, N., Yu, L., 2016. Characteristics of the river mouth bar in the past
 14 years of the Yellow River Water-Sediment Regulation (in Chinese, with English abstract).
 Yellow River 38, 69-73.
- Yang, S., Milliman, J.D., Li, P., Xu, K., 2011a. 50,000 dams later: erosion of the Yangtze River
 and its delta. Global and Planetary Change 75, 14-20.
- Yang, Z., Ji, Y., Bi, N., Lei, K., Wang, H., 2011b. Sediment transport off the Huanghe (Yellow
 River) delta and in the adjacent Bohai Sea in winter and seasonal comparison. Estuarine
 Coastal and Shelf Science 93, 173-181.
- Ying, M., Li, J.F., Chen, S.L., Dai, Z.J., 2008. Dynamics characteristics and topographic profile
 shaping process of Feiyan Shoal at the Yellow River delta. Marine Science Bulletin 10,
 74-88.
- Yuan, D., Zhu, J., Li, C., Hu, D., 2008. Cross-shelf circulation in the Yellow and East China Seas
 indicated by MODIS satellite observations. Journal of Marine Systems 70, 134-149.
- Zhang, M., Dong, Q., Cui, T., Xue, C., Zhang, S., 2014. Suspended sediment monitoring and
 assessment for Yellow River estuary from Landsat TM and ETM+ imagery. Remote Sensing
 of Environment 146, 136-147.
- Zhang, Y., 2011. Coastal environmental monitoring using remotely sensed data and GIS
 techniques in the modern Yellow River delta, China. Environmental Monitoring &

870 Assessment 179, 15-29.

Journal Pre-proof

Highlights

- Storm-induced energetic hydrodynamic forces intensify sediment resuspension and dispersal significantly.
- Wave-induced bottom stress promotes sediment plume and enhances local resuspension.
- Storms increase suspended sediment concentration and offshore sediment transport.
- Storm-induced accumulative effect on seabed scour tends to cause long-term erosion.

ournal

Conflict of interest

The authors declared that they have no conflicts of interest to this work. We declare that we do not have any commercial or associative interest that represents a conflict of interest in connection with the work submitted.

Journal Pression