

ORCA - Online Research @ Cardiff

This is an Open Access document downloaded from ORCA, Cardiff University's institutional repository:https://orca.cardiff.ac.uk/id/eprint/134045/

This is the author's version of a work that was submitted to / accepted for publication.

Citation for final published version:

Pons-Sanz, Sara 2022. Medieval multilingualism and the expression of emotion: fear in the Gawain-Poet's texts. English Language and Linguistics 26 (2), pp. 361-398. 10.1017/S1360674320000350

Publishers page: https://doi.org/10.1017/S1360674320000350

Please note:

Changes made as a result of publishing processes such as copy-editing, formatting and page numbers may not be reflected in this version. For the definitive version of this publication, please refer to the published source. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite this paper.

This version is being made available in accordance with publisher policies. See http://orca.cf.ac.uk/policies.html for usage policies. Copyright and moral rights for publications made available in ORCA are retained by the copyright holders.





English Language and Linguistics, page 1 of 38. © The Author(s), 2022. Published by Cambridge University Press. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

doi:10.1017/S1360674320000350

Medieval multilingualism and the expression of EMOTION: FEAR in the Gawain-poet's texts¹

SARA M. PONS-SANZ Dear Cardiff University

(Received 15 April 2020; revised 5 August 2020)

The Gersum Project has significantly developed our understanding of Norse-derived terms in English by providing a highly systematic typology for their identification. However, this article shows that, in order to fully comprehend the lexical impact that Anglo-Scandinavian contact had on medieval English, we need to go beyond the identification of the Norsederived terms and explore their process of integration into English. To exemplify the benefits of this approach, the article analyses the make-up of the lexico-semantic field of EMOTION, particularly FEAR, in the texts attributed to the Gawain-poet, and examines the interaction between native, Norse- and French-derived terms. This analysis moves away from the traditional study of the texts' vocabulary in relation to their sociohistorical context, considering the terms instead from semasiological, onomasiological and stylistic perspectives. By taking this novel approach, this article addresses key linguistic and literary topics: the formal and semantic factors that facilitated the integration of Norsederived terms into this lexico-semantic field and, more broadly, the impact that multilingualism had on the expression of emotions in medieval England; diachronic and diatopic variation in the field; and the Gawain-poet's artistry and interest in fear as a key emotion closely linked to other affective and cognitive processes.

Keywords: Middle English, Old Norse, Anglo-French, emotions, lexis

1 Introduction

Old Norse was an important source of loans for the vocabulary of medieval English, particularly as a result of Anglo-Scandinavian contacts before the Norman Conquest. The introduction of Norse-derived terms was most probably facilitated by the similarity between Old Norse and Old English, but the close connections between the languages also significantly complicate the process of identification of Norse-derived terms in English.

¹ I am very grateful to Richard Dance, Brittany Schorn, Thorlac Turville-Petre and the anonymous reviewers for their comments and suggestions on previous versions of this article; any remaining shortcomings are solely my own. I also very grateful to the UK's Arts and Humanities Research Council (AHRC) for its financial support, as the research for this article has been conducted under the auspices of the AHRC-funded Gersum Project: The Scandinavian Influence on English Vocabulary (AH/M011054/1). Finally, I would like to express my gratitude to Marianne Fish for her help with data collection as part of the 2017 Cardiff University Research Opportunities Programme (Project no. 37).

The Gersum Project: The Scandinavian Influence on English Vocabulary, which I co-lead with Richard Dance and Brittany Schorn, has aimed to revolutionize our understanding of the Norse-derived terms attested in English. Its main output is a freely available database with etymological, dialectal, textual and semantic information for the terms that have been attributed a Norse origin by previous scholars and are recorded in a group of late Middle English texts from the Scandinavianized North and North-West Midlands associated with the so-called 'Alliterative Revival' (over 900 terms in total). At the core of the database lies a typology, piloted by Dance (2019), where the terms are divided into four main categories (A–D) and various subcategories according to the different types and levels of reliability of the evidence that we have for their identification as Norse-derived. This highly systematic typology makes a very important contribution to the field of English lexicology because it substantially increases consistency in etymological decisions.²

Nonetheless, identifying the Norse-derived terms is just the first step. In order to establish their impact on the vocabulary of medieval English, the discussion necessarily has to move next to their process of integration into and accommodation to English, in terms of their sociolinguistic (dialectal and sociolectal) distribution and, closely linked with this, the semantic and stylistic relationships that the terms established with their near-synonyms. This step is equally difficult because our research is necessarily restricted by our limited data and lack of direct access to contemporary informants. However, in spite of the complications, this is a key part in our understanding of how and why these terms were used, and there is much that can be learned from the careful analysis of the make-up of particular areas of vocabulary and intertextual comparisons, as this article hopes to demonstrate.

This article examines the integration of Norse-derived terms into the lexico-semantic field of EMOTION (particularly the subfield of FEAR) in the idiolect of the fourteenth-century Gawain-poet. His texts (Sir Gawain and the Green Knight, hereafter SGGK, Pearl, Cleanness and Patience), from Cheshire or nearby, are key members of the Gersum corpus. By focusing on a (sub)field which also attracted a high number of French loans, the work carried out here is significant beyond the article's immediate focus as this enquiry throws further light on the need to consider the complex multilinguistic situation in medieval England. Thus, the argument moves beyond artificial boundaries established in some scholarly research around the interaction between English and a single other language in order to explore the functioning of a lexico-semantic (sub)field as a whole, and provides further evidence for the need to take a nuanced approach to the impact of multilingualism on the lexis of medieval English. After an explanation of various methodological decisions (section 2), the discussion focuses on the formal and semantic factors that facilitated the poet's lexical choices (sections 3.1 and 3.2, respectively), as an indication of some of the issues at play in the integration of the terms into their lexico-semantic subfield.

² For an overview of the categories, see the appendix at the end of this article. For a more detailed description of the typology, see Dance (2019) and the project's website, which hosts the database: www.gersum.org

2 Focus and methodological decisions

There are various factors that make the study of the vocabulary of EMOTION significant for our understanding of the impact that language contact had on the development of medieval English lexis in general and the poet's idiolect in particular:

- (i) From a linguistic perspective, this lexico-semantic field is a central component of a language's expressive vocabulary, which is in constant need of new members to transmit a wide range of nuances, i.e. it is one of Weinreich's (1968: 58) 'onomastic low-pressure areas'. Indeed, there was much change amongst the terms for EMOTION from the Old to the Middle English period: over 2,000 of the Old English terms in this field became obsolete early in the Middle English period and an almost equal number were first recorded during the fourteenth and fifteenth centuries (Diller 2014: 114). Given the impact of language contact on the development of this lexico-semantic field, there have been recent calls to bring together the findings in the study of historical emotions and medieval multilingualism in order to gain a better understanding of 'the range of emotional utterance in Middle English texts' (Downes & McNamara 2016: 451).
- (ii) From formal and stylistic perspectives, the general need for varied expressive vocabulary was heightened in these four texts and, in general, all the texts associated with the 'Alliterative Revival' because their metrical structure required the use of near-synonyms starting with a different sound (the poet also had to contend with rhyme in *SGGK* and *Pearl*). This, as well as the poets' interest in technical detail, has made these poems (particularly those from the North Midlands and the North) well known for their extremely rich vocabulary, with words of different etymologies, besides varied dialectal and sociolectal associations, coming together in a single text (see Turville-Petre 2018: ch. 2). Thus, these texts are extremely helpful for exploring 'the range of emotional utterance in Middle English texts', in Downes & McNamara's words.
- (iii) From a thematic perspective, the *Gawain*-poet has been identified as someone highly interested in 'psychology, and in affective and cognitive processes' (Saunders 2015: 40), with this concern regarding the interplay between feelings and thoughts being reflected in all four texts, despite the notable difference between the chivalric topic of *SGGK* and the homiletic focus of the other three texts.

There is, then, much that commends the study of the lexico-semantic field of EMOTION in the *Gawain*-poet's texts but this cannot be undertaken without giving due consideration to a number of factors. We first need to delimit the field, which entails exploring what medieval speakers would have understood as an emotion. After all, the term *emotion* itself was first recorded during the Early Modern English period and it initially referred to political and civil unrest; it did not acquire its current meaning until the seventeenth century (*OED*, s.v. *emotion*, n.). Middle English speakers used other terms (e.g. ME

³ To put this figure into perspective, the *Oxford English Dictionary*'s (hereafter *OED*) timelines identify just over 86,000 new words during these two centuries, which means that around one in every forty-three new words referred to EMOTION.

mod, affect and passioun) but they are not full synonyms of emotion (cf. Kiricsi 2005). The medieval terms suggest the presence of external forces that affect the individual. Diller (2007) explains that the transition from passioun to emotion reflected a change from a medieval God-centred worldview to a modern anthropocentric worldview, where the focus lies on the person experiencing the emotion, not on the external forces affecting him/her. Nowadays, a comprehensive definition of emotion is still disputed and this difficulty is exacerbated when it comes to historical works (see Diller 2014: ch. 1). One solution would be to look at contemporary works focusing on emotions and their (near-)equivalents to understand, generally speaking, what speakers conceived of as an emotion. This is what Rosenwein (2016) does in her study of emotions and their expression by various emotional communities (understood as groups of people who share similar emotional values and express them according to similar social norms) from 600 to 1700. She explores the feelings that contemporary writers associated with the perceived seat of emotions (e.g. Latin animus/anima and Middle English [ME] herte), the emphasis being on the feelings themselves rather than their lexical expression. While this approach works very well in a sociohistorical study like Rosenwein's, it is not equally helpful when the emphasis lies on the identification of the terms that form a lexico-semantic field, which is the focus of this article. Accordingly, I follow instead the lexico-semantic classification in *The historical* thesaurus of English (hereafter HTE), the best available tool for the study of English historical semantics. Admittedly, this decision does not come without problems either, for we need to be clear that, when using the HTE, we are adopting a modern typology which might not fully coincide with medieval categorizations.

Closely linked with this, it is important to consider that 'emotions are an area of medieval life and thought where many discourses overlap, including the traditions of virtue and vice, of sin, of physiological, psychological and medical studies, and class-and gender-based ideologies' (Lynch 2015: 49). Indeed, when exploring the expression and conception of an emotion in medieval times, it is necessary to understand a wide range of issues, such as the role of the Church (for instance, the impact of St Thomas Aquinas' views on emotions in his *Summa theologiae*, 1265–74); the influence of Galen's (129 – c.200) psychosomatic humoral theory; or the different responses to emotions in the various emotional communities. It is therefore very important not to assume that modern and medieval conceptualizations of an emotion can be unproblematically equated. In fact, awareness of the differences between past and present understandings of emotions has led to much recent interest from cognitive linguists, precisely because of their belief in the inseparability between cognition and language (see Tissari 2017). The approach in this article is based mainly on structuralist semantics instead (bringing together semasiology and onomasiology to

⁴ For an edition and translation of Aquinas' *Summa theologiae*, see Gilby *et al.* (1964–81); all references to this work rely on this edition. On Galen's work, see Arikha (2007).

investigate the poet's lexical choices), but, where relevant, references to salient cognitive metaphors are also made.

The HTE, the Middle English Dictionary (hereafter MED), and the editions of the four texts attributed to the Gawain-poet by Andrew & Waldron (2007), and Putter & Stokes (2014) have been the guiding tools for the identification and classification of the terms belonging to the lexico-semantic field of EMOTION (HTE, 2.4) on the basis of the careful reading of the texts. The notes and glossaries in the editions have been extremely helpful for establishing the meaning of a word in a particular context, given that, not only is EMOTION as a category fuzzy but also the various emotions that we currently identify can themselves be fuzzy, with terms linking them through interesting cases of polysemy (see below section 3.2). While it is important to establish the main meaning of a word in a particular context, this article also demonstrates that we have to bear in mind the semantic range of a term when analysing the reasons behind its use and what they can tell us about its place in its lexico-semantic (sub)field.

The appendix at the end of the article presents the list and classification of terms referring to EMOTION in the four texts on the basis of the aforementioned resources. The etymological information provided there and in the table below, which gives a summary of the distribution of the terms in relation to their origin, relies on the information in the *OED* (for the native and French-derived terms) and the Gersum database (for the Norse-derived terms). As far as the latter are concerned, terms classified as A–C (without doubling or tripling of the consonant) are given as certainly or very likely to be Norse-derived, and the remainder as simply possibly or even unlikely to be Norse-derived. The phrases *Norse*- and *French-derived terms* are preferred to *Norse* and *French loans* because the former comprise not only loans but also new-formations created on the basis of loans. Morphological productivity is a sign of the integration of a loan into its recipient language, and bringing loans and new-formations together gives a better idea about the ultimate impact of multilingualism on the field.

Table 1 shows that, together with native words, French-derived terms make up a very substantial part of the field. This might seem somewhat surprising given that such terms have traditionally been associated with the vocabulary referring to the social activities where (Anglo-)French had particular importance in sociolinguistic terms: e.g. administration, government, law, religion, and the courtly and leisurely pursuits of the higher social classes. Indeed, this has been the narrow focus of studies that have looked specifically at the use of French-derived terms in the *Gawain*-poet's texts (e.g. Clough 1985; Volkonsaya 2013). These works highlight the overt sociolinguistic prestige of the terms and the connotations of refinement that they bring with them. However, recent studies have contributed to change such perceptions: e.g. *The Bilingual Thesaurus of Everyday Life in Medieval England* compiled by Richard Ingham and Louise

⁵ I use French to refer to the whole language, when it is not possible, relevant or necessary to distinguish between its Continental varieties and Anglo-French, the variety spoken in medieval England.

Table 1. Etymological distribution of the terms for EMOTION in the Gawain-poet	t's texts
--	-----------

			Norse-deriv	ved terms
	Native terms	French-derived terms	Certain / Very likely	Possible / Unlikely
ASPECTS OF EMOTION	1			1
Absence of emotion	1			
SINCERE EMOTION	1			
ZEAL / EARNEST	2	2	2	
ENTHUSIASM	1		2	
Passion	1		2	
VIOLENT EMOTION	1	2	1	
EXCITEMENT	-	2	1	
CALMNESS	5	5		_
PLEASURE	35	21	1	5
Mental pain, suffering	48	27	4	8
Anger	17	4	8	6
Love	17	5	1	
HATRED, ENMITY	9	4	1	1
COMPASSION	4	7	1	2
Gratitude	2			
PRIDE	3	14		1
HUMILITY	4	3	6	
Fear	11	11	5	3
Courage	13	9	4	

Sylvester, where one can see the significant impact that French-derived terms had on occupational domains such as shipping and metalworking; and, more importantly for our purposes, Ingham's (2018) work in relation to the role of the clergy in the transmission of vocabulary beyond the lexico-semantic fields that have traditionally been associated with the higher, French-speaking classes. In this respect, the proportion of French-derived terms in the subfields of COMPASSION and PRIDE, which would have often been discussed in religious settings, is particularly notable.

The important presence of Norse-derived terms in the field of EMOTION is in keeping with the fact that most Norse-derived terms are associated with non-technical fields, an indication of the close social interaction between speakers of English and Norse. Regarding their distribution, their prominence in the subfield of HUMILITY stands out, with the ME $m\bar{e}k$ and loue word-fields being core members. This is not surprising, given that the dominance of the former is already visible in the twelfth-century text *Ormulum* (most probably from south Lincolnshire; see Pons-Sanz 2015). The subfields of ANGER and FEAR are also worth exploring further because of the interesting amalgamation of native, French- and Norse-derived words. The expression of ANGER during the Middle English period has already attracted much attention from historical

linguists in recent years (e.g. Gevaert 2007; Diller: 2014; ch. 14). That is not the case for FEAR. 6 even though its centrality in the emotional life of medieval England and its thematic representation in literary texts have been studied in some detail (e.g. McCann & McKechnie-Mason 2018). Notably, Johnson (2000) demonstrates that the *Gawain*-poet had a particular interest in this emotion, and exploited in his texts the potential of rhetorical and didactic discourses of the modus timendi in order to 'inspire in his audience the desire to flee from sin and damnation and approach fear-inspired, reverent perfection' (2000: v; see also Wallace 1991). Johnson, who does not pay any attention to the actual terms used for the expression of this emotion, focuses only on Patience and Cleanness, as the analysis of these two poems can take advantage of the fact that they are based on biblical texts, and a comparison between the poems and their sources can elucidate how the poet wove into them his discourse of fear (cf. Putter 1996: chs. 3 and 5). Nonetheless, the other two poems attributed to him (particularly SGGK) also reflect his deep interest in this emotion. Thus, given the significance of FEAR from a lexical and a thematic perspective, the remainder of this article explores the interaction between native and non-native terms belonging to this lexico-semantic subfield in the Gawain-poet's texts. While the words whose Norse origin is deemed to be certain or very likely (viz. ME *auelī*, rade, skerren and uglī) receive particular attention, the article presents an overview of the subfield and touches on most of the terms recorded in the four poems so as to explore more widely the impact of multilingualism in the expression of FEAR.

3 The lexico-semantic subfield of FEAR in the Gawain-poet's works

Before the discussion focuses on the way in which the terms referring to FEAR function in the texts from a semantic and stylistic perspective, it is important to address the two main caveats identified in section 2 in relation to the study of historical emotions. The focus on FEAR as an important affective factor in this article is justified not only by the *HTE*'s (2.4.21) inclusion of this lexico-semantic subfield in the more general field of EMOTION but also (and in terms of Rosenwein's 2016 approach) by the poet's own association of this feeling with the heart (e.g. *Cleanness*, 1. 1723; *Patience*, 1l. 367–8).⁷

More importantly, it is necessary to understand how this particular emotion was conceptualized by the poet and his contemporaries. Johnson (2000: chs. 1–2) and Loughlin (2002) explain that the late medieval understanding of fear was greatly influenced by the discussions in well-known philosophical and theological works, such as Peter Lombard's *Sententiae* (*c*.1159) and Aquinas' *Summa theologiae*. These works identified different types of fear, which were broadly classified as follows:

⁶ Tissari (2017: 88) mentions only Stanley (2006), which focuses mainly on Old English terms. See also Ogura (2006, 2013).

References to, quotations from and translations for the *Gawain*-poet's texts follow Andrew & Waldron's edition (2007).

⁸ In *Summa theologiae*, fear is discussed in I–II, 41–4 (vol. 21 in Gilby *et al.*'s 1964–81 edition). For an edition of Lombard's work, see Brady (1971–81); fear is discussed in book III.

- (i) Morally neutral fear (*timor naturalis*): this is the inherent fear of harm or death that both human beings and animals experience (e.g. 'Der drof in be dale, doted for drede', *SGGK*, l. 1151; 'the deer hurtled into the valley, frenzied with fear').
- (ii) Sinful or culpable fear (timor libidinosus): as it involves human cognition and will, it is a perversion of timor naturalis. Its two main subtypes are timor humanus (inordinate fear of death and bodily harm, to the extent that it leads one to make morally unacceptable decisions) and timor mundanus (inordinate fear of losing material possessions). While the Green Knight's reproach that 'al dares for drede withoute dynt schewed' (SGGK, 1. 315; 'everyone is cowering in fear without a blow being offered') represents an example of the former, the jeweller's fear of losing his child, his pearl, forever (e.g. 'I dred onende quat schulde byfalle / Lest ho me eschaped bat I ber chos', Pearl, ll. 186-7; 'I was afraid about what might happen, in case she whom I beheld there eluded me') could be understood as an example of the latter because it prevents him for quite a long time from understanding what she is trying to teach him. In SGGK the poet is interested in the close connection between timor naturalis, humanus and mundanus: even though the Green Knight takes Gawain's decision not to hand in the girdle as a direct consequence of the fact that the latter 'lufed [his] lyf' (SGGK, 1, 2368; 'loved [his] life'), Gawain interprets it in moral terms, linking various moral failings (including ME couardīse 'cowardice' and coveitīse 'greed') together (see SGGK, 11. 2374, 2379–80 and 2508; see further below, section 3.2).
- (iii) Laudable fear (*timor gratuitus*): here *timor naturalis* is elevated for spiritual purposes. Particularly important are *timor servilis* (it replaces fear of physical death with fear of spiritual and eternal death, following God's judgement and punishment) and *timor filialis* (this is fear in its most perfect sense, as it refers to one's fear of God the Father because of one's pure love for him and one's recognition of his superiority). The texts also record examples of these subtypes: on the one hand, the inhabitants of Nineveh change their ways because of *timor servilis* after Jonah has finally delivered God's message ('Such a hidor hem hent and a hatel drede, / Þat al chaunged her chere and chylled at þe hert', *Patience*, ll. 367–8; 'such a [*hidor*] seized them and a cruel dread, that their demeanour all changed and they grew cold at the heart'). On the other, Noah is presented as the perfect example of someone whose life is dictated by *timor filialis* ('In þe drede of Dryʒtyn his dayez he vsez', *Cleanness*, l. 295; 'in the fear of God he spends his days'; cf. *Cleanness*, l. 342).

The quotations above exemplify that the *Gawain*-poet was fully aware of the different types of fear discussed in near-contemporary works and surveyed their different effects on one's behaviour, and their moral and theological implications. More importantly for our purposes, they also show that he did not attempt to distinguish between them lexically, for

⁹ On the meaning of ME *hidour* in this context, see below, section 3.2.1.

the native ME $dr\bar{e}de$ 'dread' word-field is used in connection with all of them. Indeed, the members of this word-field, together with those of the ME $f\bar{e}re$ 'fear' word-field, can be identified as the core terms of the lexico-semantic subfield, as suggested by the number of their attestations and, particularly in the case of ME $dr\bar{e}de$, its low alliterative rank (cf. St Erkenwald, 1. 233). ¹⁰ In this respect, we see here (as in other Middle English texts; cf. Ogura 2013: 68–74) a clear difference from the expression of FEAR in Old English, when the members of OE $\bar{e}ge$ 'fear' word-field were the core terms (Díaz Vera 2011: 88–90; see further below, section 3.2.1).

Besides the different types of fear, the *Gawain*-poet was keen to express particular nuances in relation to the conceptualization of this emotion and its links to other affective and cognitive processes. Other members of the lexico-semantic subfield, most of them Norse- or French-derived terms, were especially helpful in this respect and it is to these lexical choices that we now turn our attention. The remarks below first assess the impact of the poem's metrical structure on the use of some Norse-derived terms before the discussion focuses on semantic matters.

3.1 Alliteration and the choice of terms for FEAR

It is widely acknowledged that sometimes formal rather than semantic factors (particularly alliterative needs) are likely to have been the main driving forces behind the selection of a term instead of its (near-)synonyms in medieval alliterative poems. However, the best poets are able to ensure that even in those cases form and meaning go hand in hand. The uses of ME *skerren* 'to scare' and ME *rade* 'afraid' in the corpus are good examples of the *Gawain*-poet's skill in this respect.

In his analysis of the portrayal of sodomy in the Sodom and Gomorrah episode of *Cleanness*, Frantzen (1996) discusses various inversions that the poet puts forward in order to highlight the moral depravity of the towns: what should be a heterosexual paradise (*Cleanness*, Il. 697–704) has been turned into a pitch-filled pit (*Cleanness*, Il. 1005–12) because what should be the practice of a despised minority has become the norm of the powerful majority. One further contrast, not explored by Frantzen, can be identified as the triggering factor for the use of ME *skerren* in this context: the portrayal of a mob of men who are not getting ready to fight an enemy in a manly way but are instead eager to engage in the cowardly act of killing a man for the sake of their desires (*Cleanness*, Il. 833–4). This behaviour implies that their unmanliness, specifically commented on in terms of their sexual practices (see *Cleanness*, I. 696),

For attestations of the ME fere word-field, see, for instance, Cleanness, Il. 386, 897 and 975; Patience, Il. 183 and 215; and SGGK, Il. 2130, 2272 and 2382. References to St Erkenwald, a text from the same dialectal area as the Gawain-poet, follow Burrow & Turville-Petre (2004: 221–34). As conceived by Brink (1920), alliterative rank refers to the frequency with which a term appears in one of the stressed alliterating positions, but Borroff (1962: 60) argues in favour of including as well their use in rhyming position because it is equally dictated by metrical considerations. Archaic, poetic or uncommon words tend to have a very high alliterative rank across various texts, while other terms that enjoyed wider use have a lower alliterative rank and often provide the final stress in the line.

has wider implications for other areas of their lives. In this respect, ME *skerren* would have been chosen in 1. 838 because of its usefulness as an alliterative partner for two key words in the development of the contrast with manly war, viz. ME *scoute-wacch* 'sentinel, guard' and *ascri*, a term that means mainly 'battle-cry'.¹¹

In grete flokkez of folk þay fallen to his 3atez;

As a scowte-wach scarred so be assery rysed;

With kene clobbez of bat clos bay clatz on be wowez,

And wyth a schrylle scarp schout bay schewe byse wordez (*Cleanness*, ll. 837–40)

('In great crowds of people they rush to the gates; the shout rose as though from a terrified watchman; with stout clubs they clatter on the walls of that enclosure, and with a shrill sharp shout they utter these words')

In this well-populated lexico-semantic subfield, ME *skerren* was the only Middle English verb meaning 'to feel fear' and 'to cause fear' or related meanings that starts with the cluster /sk/ and this made it popular in alliterating contexts. ¹² This formal usefulness might have contributed to its semantic broadening to refer to a state of perturbation, for a variety of reasons, be it fear, anxiety or displeasure (we see the fuzzy boundaries between emotions). ¹³ That might be the meaning in *Cleanness*, 1. 598, where 'scarrez' refers to God's reaction when he encounters repugnant actions:

He is so skoymos of bat skabe, He scarrez bylyue;

He may not dryze to draw allyt, bot drepez in hast:

And bat watz schewed schortly by a scape onez. (Cleanness, 11. 598–60)

('He has such repugnance of that sin, He [scarrez] immediately; He cannot bear to hold back, but strikes quickly; and that was once suddenly shown by a disaster.')

This context has caused some uncertainty amongst editors and lexicographers: while Andrew & Waldron (2007: 137 note to Il. 597–9, and 344, s.v. *scarre*) translate it as 'is provoked' and gloss the sense also as 'react fiercely' in the glossary to their edition, Putter & Stokes (2014: 126 note to 1. 598, and 933, s.v. *scarres*) prefer 'scatters, drives off' as its meaning in this context. The *OED* (s.v. *scare*, v., sense 2) renders it as 'to take fright, be scared', while the *MED* (s.v. *skerren*, sense b.) takes advantage of the current polysemy of the verb *alarm* and associates this context with the meaning 'to become alarmed'. Thorlac Turville-Petre (p.c.) prefers to understand the form as a reference to punishment ('strikes out?') and to identify it instead with a different verb, ME *skairen*, which also enjoyed some popularity amongst (alliterative) authors from Scandinavianized areas (see *MED*, s.v. *skairen*). *If* we are dealing with the same verb (ME *skerren*), these contexts might record different steps in the process of semantic

¹¹ Cf. Cleanness, 1. 1784. See below, note 14.

¹² See MED(s.v. skerren): of the thirteen texts where the verb is recorded in this entry (leaving aside its use as part of a surname), seven are either texts associated with the 'Alliterative Revival' or texts where alliteration plays a significant role (e.g. The castle of perseverance).

¹³ For the process of semantic broadening from a reference to fear to the expression of a more general state of emotional perturbation, cf. PDE *alarm* (see *OED*, s.v. *alarm*) and ME *affrai(en)* (see below, section 3.2.2).

broadening of the verb: (i) it is first attested in the *Ormulum* with seemingly strong negative connotations as it is only associated with the actions of the Devil, who enjoys terrifying humans already frightened by his presence instead of comforting them, as God's angels do (see Pons-Sanz 2015: 584–5; and *MED*, s.v. *skerren*); (ii) *Cleanness*, l. 838 records the verb meaning 'to scare, frighten' without any clear negative connotations; and (iii) in *Cleanness*, l. 598 the verb could be said to express a general state of perturbation, not necessarily or solely associated with fear. Yet it is important to be careful when presenting such a neat process of semantic change because the *Ormulum* is infamous for its repetitiveness and, therefore, it might portray a biased picture of the term that does not correspond with its actual (possibly wider) initial meaning.

Just as formal matters seem to have facilitated the only use of ME *skerren* in the corpus as a clear member of the FEAR subfield, they can also account for the two attestations of ME *rade*. This is most evidently the case as far as its only use in *Cleanness* is concerned, for there it helps to tie in the alliterative pattern of the line with its content through onomatopoeia (/r/ for the roaring of the frightened ox): 'romyes as a rad ryth þat rorez for drede' (*Cleanness*, 1. 1543; 'cries out like a frightened ox that roars for dread'). As in the case of ME *skerren*, the poet did not have many adjectives or past participles alliterating in /r/ at his disposal in this lexico-semantic subfield.

Formal matters are also likely to have dictated the choice of the adjective in its only other context in the corpus:

Þenn Arþour, bifore þe hi3 dece, þat auenture byholdez

And rekenly hym reuerenced, for rad was he neuer,

And sayde: 'Wy3e, welcum iwys to bis place.

Þe hede of bis ostel, Arthour I hat;

List luflych adoun and lenge, I be praye,

And quatso by wylle is we schal wyt after.' (SGGK, 11. 250–5)

('Then Arthur, before the high dais, beholds the strange happening and courteously greeted him, for he was not at all afraid, and said: "Sir, welcome indeed to this dwelling. I, the head of the house, am called Arthur. Kindly dismount and stay, I pray you, and whatever your wish is we shall learn afterwards."')

It might be the case that a pun is also intended here. The poet might have wanted his audience to bring to mind the homonymous native adjective and adverb ME *rade*, which refer to doing an action quickly, hastily or rashly. The narrator has just said that Arthur is somewhat childish and has a wild brain (*SGGK*, Il. 86 and 89); thus, the audience might be invited to interpret the statement sarcastically in relation to what is to come. After all, when faced with the Green Knight's disparaging words, Arthur *is* very rash in assuming that the visitor is looking for a physical fight even though the

¹⁴ Cleanness, 1. 1784, the only other (possible) attestation of the verb in the corpus, might represent yet another step in the semantic broadening of the verb, as it could refer to the process by which a loud (threatening?) cry goes up into the sky. However, the meaning and etymology of the verb in this context are highly contentious; see the Gersum database, s.v. scarre3.

latter explicitly denies it (*SGGK*, ll. 276–8), in taking the 'Christmas game' upon himself (*SGGK*, ll. 323–5), and in establishing what he thinks is the best way to carry the challenge through without considering other options (*SGGK*, ll. 372–4). ¹⁵ If this is the case, in this context we have an interesting combination of formal and semantic factors, which are further discussed in the next section.

3.2 Semantic nuances and the choice of terms for FEAR

On many occasions the *Gawain*-poet seems to move away from his preferred ME *drēde* and *fēre* word-fields because other terms allow him to explore the close relationship between fear and other emotions, as well as cognitive processes.

3.2.1 Fear and Hatred (DISGUST)

The investigation of what moral righteousness involves, and the divine or human punishments that one can expect when it is not upheld, gives the poet plenty of opportunities to analyse the effects of the well-established overlap between FEAR and HATRED, particularly DISGUST (HTE, 02.04.14.01.01), manifested as moral and/or physical revulsion (cf. Díaz Vera 2011: 92–3; Stefanowitsch 2006: 88–90). In some contexts it is harder for a modern audience to see the interaction between these emotions because of our limited knowledge of the semantic range of the terms involved; this is particularly the case with the use of ME skerren in Cleanness, 1, 598 (discussed above, section 3.1) and the only attestation of ME grīen in the corpus, where it refers to Gawain's reaction when the Green Knight points out his flawed behaviour: 'So agreued for greme he gryed withinne' (SGGK, 1. 2370; 'so overcome with vexation that shuddered within'). This uncommon verb, of uncertain meaning and etymology, appears to refer to shuddering mainly as a response to fear (cf. ME grūen 'to be terrified, shudder; to be troubled'; OE gryre 'fear, terror, dread'; and SGGK, 1. 2382, where we are told that Gawain has always been afraid to commit treachery and to break his troth) but also to distress more generally. 16 The latter is important in the context under discussion, where Gawain's distress is caused by a combination of emotions, his shame and hatred/disgust for his recent behaviour being some of them (cf. SGGK, Il. 2372 and 2374). ¹⁷ However, the overlap between the subfields of FEAR and DISGUST can be more clearly studied in relation to the uses of the loans ME uglī and hidour because we know more about the semantic ranges of these terms and their respective word-fields; consequently, the lexical choices around these terms are the main focus of this section.

The Norse-derived adjectives ME *auelī* and *uglī* could be said to be interchangeable because they both start in a vowel and refer to something that can provoke fear, but this interpretation would be missing important differences between them:

¹⁵ On the poet's taste for wordplay, see Hussey (1992).

¹⁶ See the Gersum database, s.v. gryed; and Dance (2019: II.279–81).

¹⁷ Putter & Stokes (2014: 870, s.v. *gryed*) translate the verb as 'felt revulsion'.

- (i) ME *auelī* belongs to a much more central word-field in this lexico-semantic subfield than ME *uglī*. The centrality of the ME *aue* word-field in the *Gawain*-poet's idiolect and dialect is suggested by the number of derivatives in the poet's corpus (the adjective and adverb ME *auelī*, as well as *auelēs*, a term associated with COURAGE: *HTE*, 02.04.22; see the Appendix) and the presence of the noun ME *aue* as the non-alliterative final stress in 1. 234 of *St Erkenwald*. In fact, in these texts the Norse-derived words have completely taken over from their native equivalents (i.e. the ME *eie* < OE *ēge* word-field), which, again, shows one step further in the integration of this word-field into English than in the *Ormulum*, where the two word-fields still coexist (see Pons-Sanz 2015: 576, 583). That this might not be just a diachronic difference is suggested by the fact that the works by Robert Manning, a near contemporary of the *Gawain*-poet from south Lincolnshire, do record the ME *eie* word-field (see *MED*, s.v. *eie*).
- (ii) From a semantic perspective, the two adjectives differ in that ME $ugl\bar{\iota}$ can also refer to something loathsome, be it from a sensory or moral perspective (cf. ME uggen 'to be fearful, fear; to feel loathing and disgust'). This polysemy seems to have arisen once the term was integrated into English, possibly by association with other terms that exhibit similar semantic overlap (e.g. ME $(a)gr\bar{\iota}sen$ and the hidour word-field, on which see below); the Norse word-field (cp. Old Icelandic ugga, ugligr, etc.) referred only to FEAR and this appears to have been the original semantic association of the word-field in English (see MED, s.vv. uggen and $ugl\bar{\iota}$).

When the Green Knight first walks into Camelot, its inhabitants are scared by his imposing appearance because of his height and, obviously, his colour; he causes both fear and awe: 'Per hales in at he halle dor an aghlich mayster, / On he most on he molde on mesure hyghe' (SGGK, Il. 136–7; 'there rushes in at the door a fearsome lord, the very biggest man on earth in height'). Later in the story, once his head has been cut off, we are told about his terrifying (ME *uglī*) body covered in blood and, more importantly, still moving and able to talk!

He brayde his bluk aboute, Pat vgly bodi þat bledde.

Moni on of hym had doute,

Bi bat his resounz were redde. (SGGK, 11. 440–3)

('He twisted his trunk around, that fearsome body that bled. Many a one was frightened of him by the time he had finished speaking.')

See above, note 10. Interestingly, ME auen does not seem to have been particularly common in Middle English texts; thus, when the Middle English alliterative poems needed a verb meaning 'to inspire fear or awe' starting in a vowel, ME arghen, a verb originally associated TIMIDITY and COWARDICE (see the Dictionary of Old English, hereafter DOE, s.v. eargian), seems to have been the term of choice (see MED, s.v. arghen, sense 2; and auen), with concomitant semantic broadening (cf. ME skerren; see above, section 3.1). The positioning of the French-derived cōuard word-field as the core terms for the expression of COWARDICE, as exemplified in this corpus, might have facilitated the verb's semantic change.

¹⁹ Cf. the adverb auelī in Cleanness, 1. 937, where it emphasizes the urgency in the angels' words because of the imminent punishment of the city.

Bertilak's explanation in *SGGK*, ll. 2460–2 underlines that this disgusting trick was fully intended to terrify Camelot (see further section 3.2.3). While this episode is physically repulsive, the chapel where the Green Knight can be found is presented as a horrible place, frightening as well as morally loathsome. It is an inversion of the chapels Gawain is used to: it is not the well-kept place of prayer where one worships God but an unkempt place more suitable for the Devil to 'pray' in:

```
'Wheher his be he Grene Chapelle?

Here my3t aboute mydny3t

Pe Dele his matynnes telle!'

'Now iwysse,' quoh Wowayn, 'wysty is here;

Pis oritore is vgly, with erbez ouergrowen['] (SGGK, Il. 2186–90)

("'Is this the Green Chapel? The Devil might well recite his 'matins' here around midnight!" "Now, indeed," said Gawain, "it is desolate here; this chapel is threatening, overgrown with plants."")
```

The use of ME *uglī* in *Cleanness*, where it refers to the forthcoming destruction of the morally corrupt towns, similarly brings together the association of something that is physically and morally detestable: 'Bot þay wern wakned al wrank þat þer in won lenged, / Of on þe vglokest vnhap þat euer on erd suffred' (*Cleanness*, Il. 891–2; 'but those who lived in the town were woken quite awry by the most terrifying calamity ever suffered on earth'). The destruction of the towns must, of course, have been terrifying, but the event is presented as equally disgusting: not only were they engulfed by the ground because of their sins but, before this happened, there was a rain of fire and sulphur that brought about a foul smell (see *Cleanness*, Il. 953–5).

In this respect, it might seem surprising that the poet uses the adverb ME $auel\bar{\imath}$ and not $ugl\bar{\imath}$ in a context referring to the threatening manner in which the Sodomites deliver their despicable message to Lot:

Penne be rebaudez so ronk rerd such a noyse

That ally hurled in his eres her harlotez speche:

'Wost bou not wel bat bou wonez here a wyze strange,

An outcomlyng, a carle? We kylle of byn heued!

Who joyned be be jostyse oure japez to blame,

Pat com a boy to bis bor3, ba3 bou be burne ryche?'

Pus bay brobled and brong and brwe vmbe his erez (Cleanness, 1l. 873–9)

('Then the scoundrels so proud raised such a noise that their villains' speech rushed menacingly into his ears: "Do you not know well that you live here an outsider, an immigrant, a knave? We will strike off your head! Who appointed you to be judge to disparage our pastimes, you who came to this city a churl, though you are a rich man?" Thus they jostled and pressed and crowded around his ears.')

The presence of ME *auelī* could be explained by the fact that the adverbs ME *ugliī* and *uglī* are not recorded until the fifteenth century (see *MED*, s.vv. *uglī*, adv.; and *ugliī*). However, a more interesting explanation, in keeping with the themes of the narrative, can also be put forward. The key to understanding this lexical choice might lie again in

the poet's desire to present the Sodomites as unmanly and morally corrupt, eager to take part in a battle for the wrong reasons and in a cowardly way. In this respect, it could be the case that the poet wanted his audience to associate the adverb, through phonetic similarity, with ME $arghl\bar{\imath}/arwel\bar{\imath}$ 'cowardly, disgracefully' (see note 18). The poet exploits the implications of the cognitive metaphor ARGUMENT IS WAR, which involves the conceptualization of (angry) words as flying projectiles that people throw at each other in an antagonistic way. The Vulgate here simply reads 'vimque faciebant Loth vehementissime' (Genesis 19.9; 'and they pressed very violently upon Lot'), whereas in the poem we have menacing speech rushing or even striking into ('hurled in') Lot's ears. ME $ugl\bar{\imath}$ might be the adjective that the poet prefers to refer to something frighteningly repulsive, but his choices can also be mediated by other factors. ²¹

Like ME grien, the French-derived ME hidour is only attested once in the Gawain-poet's texts (Patience, 1. 367, quoted above as exemplification of timor servilis and below for ease of reference) but, unlike the verb, this noun and other terms belonging to its word-field (e.g. the adjective ME hidous) are widely attested in Middle English texts. They appear in contexts referring to FEAR or DISGUST (either because of something physical or because of the moral implications of an action), a double usage that goes back to their Anglo-French etyma (AF hidur and hidus, respectively; see Anglo-Norman Dictionary Online, hereafter AND, svv. hidur and hidus). In his only use of the term, the Gawain-poet brings the polysemy of ME hidour to the forefront in order to encapsulate a key message of the narrative. If we look at other contexts where we are given access to the feelings of those facing God's wrath and punishment for their actions, we simply see timor naturalis; they are scared to die, but there is no remorse for their actions and hence no forgiveness from God (e.g. Cleanness, 11. 385-90, 989-92). The people of Nineveh are similarly afraid for their lives but, very importantly, they also feel revulsion for their previous practices: 'Such a hidor hem hent and a hatel drede / Þat al chaunged her chere and chylled at be hert' (Patience, 11. 367-8). The translation provided by Andrew & Waldron ('such a terror seized them and a cruel dread, that their demeanour all changed and they grew cold at the heart') does not capture the polysemy of the French loan, but one could argue that it is precisely the overlap of the two emotions that leads the Ninevites to change their ways, repent of their behaviour and hence be saved. A comparison between the poem and its biblical source, where FEAR does not feature ('et crediderunt viri ninevitae in Deo et praedicaverunt ieiunium et vestiti sunt saccis a maiore usque ad minorem', Jonah 3.5; 'and the men of Nineveh believed in God: and they proclaimed a fast, and put on sackcloth from the greatest to the least'), helps to highlight the significance of its discourse in the poet's oeuvre.

²⁰ On the poet's keenness on this metaphor, see Pons-Sanz (2020). Cf. ME arwe 'arrow'.

²¹ In Cleanness, 1. 937 the presence of ME ugli or uglili would not have been appropriate as the semantic range of the word-field would have made them unsuitable for the speech of angels.

3.2.2 Fear and mental suffering

The association of the lexico-semantic subfields of FEAR and SUFFERING (HTE, 02.04.11) is also well rooted in the English vocabulary, as another example of the fuzziness that surrounds emotional boundaries. In terms of cognitive semantics, the two emotions share a metaphorical identification with an OPPONENT/OPPRESSOR that causes physical or mental pain (see Kövecses 1990: 74–9; Fabiszak 2002; Díaz Vera 2011: 97–8). The Gawain-poet is also keen to stress the close relationship between these emotions. At times this is done by bringing together different terms referring to them: e.g. the presence of ME grēven (a term associated with SUFFERING) and glopning (a polysemous term associated with FEAR, as well as SUFFERING) in SGGK, Il. 2460–1 helps to highlight the emotional impact that the trick of a talking head detached from the body is intended to cause (see further section 3.2.3).²² At other times the poet relies primarily on polysemous terms that can refer to either emotion. This is particularly the case with two nouns: the French-derived ME affrai and the native ME cāre.

The ME *affraien* word-field is likely to have had connotations of physicality and violence because, besides being part of the lexico-semantic subfield of FEAR, these terms could also refer to launching a physical attack on someone.²³ This might have been the original meaning of the word-field if the generally accepted interpretation of the French terms as Germanic loans (< Latin *exfridare* 'to take sb. out of their peace', cf. Proto-Germanic *fripu, OE frið, German Friede* 'peace') is correct, with the 'peace' one is 'taken out of' referring, originally, to one's physical (and/or sociopolitical) situation rather than a mental state.²⁴ Such violent connotations are put to good use in *Cleanness*, 1. 1553, which presents Belshazzar's terrified reaction when seeing a bodiless fist scratch letters on the wall. Here the experiencer is the 'flesche' rather than the 'herte', which further highlights the physical effects of his fear:

Sone so be kynge for his care carping my3t wynne,
He bede his burnes bo3 to bat were bok-lered,
To wayte be wryt bat hit wolde, and wyter hym to say –

'For al hit frayes my flesche, be fyngres so grymme'. (*Cleanness*, ll. 1550–3)

('As soon as the king was able to speak again for his [care], he ordered his scholars to come, to examine the writing [to see] what it meant, and to tell him clearly – "for the fingers so grim utterly frighten my flesh".')

While the aphetic form ME *fraien* does not seem to have included SUFFERING in its semantic range, ME *affraien* and its related noun, ME *affrai*, did, and we see this overlap of FEAR, SUFFERING and the intensity of the emotions connoted by the word-field in the only other context where its members denote FEAR in the corpus:²⁵

^{22 &}lt;glopnyng> is a generally accepted emendation for the manuscript spelling <gopnyng>. See the Gersum database, s.v. glopnyng; and Dance (2019: II.259–62).

²³ See MED (s.vv. affraien; affrai; and fraien, v.1) and AND (s.vv. effrei and effreer).

²⁴ See Dictionnaire étymologique de l'ancien français (s.v. effreer) and Dictionary of medieval Latin from British sources (s.v. exfridiare). For an alternative etymological explanation, see Scivoletto (1960).

²⁵ ME affraien in Cleanness, 1. 1780 might mean 'to wake up' or, more generally, 'to disturb'.

My hede vpon þat hylle wattz layde
Peras my perle to grounde strayd.
I raxled, and fel in gret affray,
And, sykyng, to myself I sayd:
'Now al be to þat Pryncez paye'. (*Pearl*, ll. 1172–6)
('My head was laid upon the hill where my pearl slipped away in the earth. I stretched and fell into great [*affray*], and, sighing, said to myself: "Now may all be to that Prince's satisfaction".')

The polysemy of ME *affrai* helps to emphasize the strength of the emotional turmoil that the dreamer feels when he realizes that his beloved pearl has disappeared from his sight and is lost forever. In *Cleanness*, Il. 1550–3 it is mainly ME *cāre*, a term referring prototypically to suffering as well as general mental perturbation, rather than ME *fraien*, that highlights the complexity of the king's feelings (cf. Latin *conturbare* 'to disturb' in Daniel 5.6 and 5.9).²⁶

A medieval audience is likely to have been familiar with the effect that the various meanings of these nouns bring to these contexts, but capturing them in modern translations is difficult. This has contributed to some scholarly disagreement about how best to interpret ME affrai and cāre in these contexts: e.g. while MED (s.vv. cāre, sense 4.a; and affrai, sense 2) seems to associate them with FEAR, Andrew & Waldron (2007: 174, note to II. 1550–2; and 108–9, note to II. 1171ff.) prefer to analyse them as references to SUFFERING instead. However, breaking these semantic connections apart detracts from the overall effect of the terms in their attempt to capture the dreamer's and the ruler's emotional disturbance. Anxiety, which can also refer to the two emotions in Present-day English, might be a good translation for the two nouns. This is precisely the term that Putter & Stokes (2014: 826, s.v. care) choose to render ME cāre in the other context where the overlap between the two emotions is brought to the forefront. As we have seen in section 2, while the Green Knight rather generously attributes Gawain's fault (not honouring in full the exchange of winnings agreement) to his love for life, Gawain blames it on his anxiety about the blow that he was expecting to receive: 'For care of by knokke, cowardyse me ta3t / To acorde me with couetyse, my kynde to forsake' (SGGK, 11. 2379-80; 'because of [care] about your blow, cowardice taught me to reconcile myself with covetousness, and to forsake my nature'). Here ME cāre makes us think about his fear as well as the mental distress ('bro bo3tes'; oppressive thoughts) that he experiences in his dreams before the Lady of Hautdesert comes to his room on the morning when he accepts the girdle (SGGK, ll. 1750-4), an acceptance that is also presented in terms of patient suffering and tolerance (*SGGK*, 1. 1959).

Interestingly, other than his reference to cowardice in the long list of moral failings that he attributes to himself, neither Gawain nor the narrator ever acknowledges openly that he felt fear during the challenge, this use of ME $c\bar{a}re$ being the closest he comes to it. This can

²⁶ See MED (s.v. cāre, n.1), OED (s.v. care, n.1) and DOE (s.v. caru). HTE does not associate care with FEAR, only with SUFFERING.

be associated with the poet's attempt to bring together two seemingly irreconcilable demands on chivalric emotional communities: to face death bravely and, at the same time, to fear it appropriately. In the text, knights strongly deny that they feel fear (see *SGGK*, Il. 2210–1) with the half-hearted help of the narrator. Characters and narrator manage instead to achieve a difficult compromise by associating these two approaches to fear with gender, as noted by Yeo (2016). The only two uses of the French-derived ME *dismaien* in the text (and the whole corpus) present an example of this approach: while we are told that the Green Knight, the epitome of virility, is not at all 'dismayd' (1. 336; nor 'bayst', 1. 376) by the fact that Gawain has taken on his challenge, Arthur encourages Guinevere, as representative of all the ladies in Camelot, not to 'demay [herself] neuer' (1. 470) because of what has happened in front of her, which implies that she, as a woman, is likely to feel that way.

3.2.3 FEAR and UNCERTAINTY

Medieval audiences were familiar with the fact that fear and the emotional distress it is associated with are closely linked to one's cognitive processes, to the extent that they can hinder or stop orderly thought. Thus, Aquinas explains that 'if fear should develop to the point where it upsets reason, then even mental functioning will be disturbed' (Summa theologiae, I-II, 44.4). Our poet also touches on the interaction between MENTAL CAPACITY (HTE, 2.1) and EMOTION through his choice of terms for FEAR. While the French-derived ME dismaien and baishen seem to have partially referred to a disconcerting feeling provoked by fear, as native terms did before them (e.g. OE abracian; see MED, s.vv. baishen and dismaien; DOE, s.v. abracian; and section 3.2.2), the French-derived ME *doute* word-field enables the poet to highlight instead a feeling of uncertainty or perplexity that can lead to fear. That is the case in all the uses of the word-field in the corpus bar one (viz. SGGK, 1. 784); they are recorded in SGGK and are associated with the challenge that the Green Knight proposes. While in SGGK, 1. 222 we are told that the Green Knight does not fear the possible events that he might face in Camelot ('Driuande to be here dece - dut he no wobe'; 'making for the high dais – he feared no danger), l. 246 refers to the fear felt amongst Arthur's knights, which arises from being faced with a green visitor whose attire, actions and words send contrasting messages (cf. ME *auelī*; see section 3.2.1):

As al were slypped vpon slepe so slaked hor lotez In hy3e – I deme hit not al for doute, Bot sum for cortaysye – (SGGK, ll. 244–7)

²⁷ Cf. the ironic use of the highly alliterative ME *freke* in non-alliterating position and its association with ME *arghe* 'afraid' in *SGGK*, 1. 240 (on which see Pons-Sanz 2020: 365–6); the less-than-convincing explanation for the knights' behaviour in *SGGK*, II. 244–7 (see section 3.2.3); the narrator's address to Gawain in *SGGK*, II. 487–90; and the use of the expression 'let as' (which is clearly associated with pretence rather than reality; cf. *SGGK*, II. 1190 and 1281) in *SGGK*, 1. 2257.

('Their voices died away as though they had all fallen asleep suddenly – I judge it not wholly for fear but partly for courtesy')

Once Gawain has cut the Green Knight's head off, fear arises in 1. 442 (quoted in section 3.2.1) from the unnatural fact that a bodiless head can continue to talk. As noted above, Bertilak pays particular attention to this trick in his final explanation about the point of the adventure; he highlights that the trick of the talking, bodiless head was intended to cause deep astonishment and terror:

Ho wayned me bis wonder your wyttez to reue,
For to haf greued Gaynour and gart hir to dy3e
With glopnyng of bat ilke gome bat gostlych speked
With his hede in his honde bifore be hy3e table. (SGGK, 1l. 2459–62)
('She sent this marvel to deprive you of your senses, in order to distress Guinevere and cause her to die from terror at that man who spoke in supernatural manner with the head in his hand before the high table.')

Cognitive and affective processes ('your wyttez to reue', 'greued' and 'glopnyng') are brought together to draw full attention to the amazement, fear and general distress that Morgan le Fay was hoping to cause to the inhabitants of Camelot in general, and to Guinevere in particular.

The high concentration of occurrences of the *doute* word-field in connection with the initial interaction between Camelot and the Green Knight emphasizes the astonishing nature of the events that unfold during the Christmas celebrations. The other significant occurrence of a member of the word-field comes at the end of the romance, when Gawain is about to meet the Green Knight again. Not knowing how he will fare when he receives the blow that he is owed is what terrifies him, even though he is determined to pretend that is not the case and to control his body even if he cannot placate his mind:

He lened with be nek and lutte
And schewed bat schyre al bare,
And lette as he no3t dutte;
For drede he wolde not dare. (SGGK, Il. 2255–8)
('He lent and bowed his neck and showed the white flesh all bare, and behaved as though he feared nothing; he did not intend to flinch for fear.')

ME *douten* puts Gawain in direct contrast to the Green Knight. The latter, who represents otherworldly manliness, enters the unknown with the comfort of knowing that he has come to a Christian court renowned for its good manners and courtesy, and that he has the protection of an accomplished sorceress. In contrast, Gawain, an example of human fallibility, goes to face his fate at the hands of a man described as 'methless and mercy non uses' (l. 2106; 'intemperate and practises no mercy') with the help of a magical object of untested powers.

4 Conclusions

This article has explored the make-up of the lexico-semantic subfield of FEAR in four late Middle English texts renowned for their lexical richness and, in doing so, it has helped to redress the imbalance between the significant attention that this emotion and its expression in Middle English texts have received from literary critics and historians, and its limited treatment by historical linguists. Written under the auspices of the Gersum Project, the main aim of the article was to exemplify the benefits of the in-depth study of the Norse-derived terms on the basis of their form and its contribution to the alliterative structure of the texts (stylistics), their semantic range (semasiology) and the other (near-)synonyms in the poet's idiolect (onomasiology) in order to gain a better understanding of their process of integration into and accommodation to medieval English. In spite of the significance of such understanding, this is an aspect in the study of the lexical effects of Anglo-Scandinavian interactions that has received less attention than the identification of the Norse loans themselves.

The integration of Norse-derived terms into English was a complex process, with different issues at play. This article has shown that the form of some Norse loans (ME skerren and rade; see section 3.1), in particular the nature of their onset, was an important factor for their use in contexts where alliteration played a significant role because they contributed to the expansion of the range of alliterating environments the lexico-semantic subfield could participate in. In some cases, the existence of native cognates which were central to the lexico-semantic subfield can also be seen to have facilitated the integration of the Norse loans and the expansion of their word-field into English (cf. the ME *aue* and $eie < OE \bar{e}ge$ word-fields; see sections 2 and 3.2). Their meaning and the nuances that they could help express have similarly been shown to have greatly contributed to their integration. Consider, for instance, the semantic differences between ME *auelī* and *uelī*; the latter shared with the French-derived ME hidour word-field the ability to bring together the FEAR and HATRED (particularly DISGUST) lexico-semantic subfields. This article has explained how the Gawain-poet relied on such semantic matters for clear thematic purposes and has thus exemplified the need to consider the whole semantic range of a term when studying its use in a particular context.

Because of the necessity to explore the interaction between the Norse-derived terms and the other members of the FEAR subfield, the significance of this article goes beyond the boundaries of the lexical effects of Anglo-Scandinavian interaction. The article's second aim was to analyse the impact that language contact more broadly had on the expression of FEAR in Middle English. The discussion in section 2 has shown that the *Gawain*-poet relied heavily on native terms as the core members of this lexico-semantic subfield (the ME *drēdren* and *fēren* word-fields). However, he was also keen to exploit the polysemy of his vocabulary in order to enrich the message being transmitted, regardless of whether the terms that allowed him to do so were native (e.g. ME *cāre*) or had originally been borrowed from either Old Norse or French (e.g. the French-derived ME *affraien* and *douten* word-fields and, possibly, *glopning*, a

term that might be Norse-derived). In this respect, this article has led the way in engaging with recent scholarly calls to explore the impact that medieval multilingualism had on 'the range of emotional utterance in Middle English texts' (see section 2), bringing together two important areas of research where there is still much more work to be done, separately and in conjunction.

Indeed, understanding how loans helped speakers to talk and think about particular emotions can be of mutual benefit to both areas of research, as this article has demonstrated. On the one hand, in terms of research into the expression and conceptualization of emotions, this article has shown that with this approach we can better understand processes of semantic change within a subfield (e.g. the use of ME arghen with the meaning 'to inspire fear or awe'; see above, note 18) and the shaping of cognitive associations. We have seen that the overlap between the expression of FEAR and other emotions, such as DISGUST (section 3.2.1) and SUFFERING (section 3.2.2), and between FEAR and MENTAL CAPACITY (section 3.2.3) was already well established in Old English. Loans (e.g. the French-derived ME hidour word-field) helped to maintain these associations, which in turn facilitated the semantic change of some borrowed word-fields once integrated into English (e.g. the Norse-derived ME uglī word-field and, possibly, skerren) and thus further strengthened these associations. At the same time, loans also allowed the development of further nuances within such well-established interactions (e.g. the French-derived ME doute word-field). On the other hand, with regard to the study of the impact of multilingualism on medieval lexis, through its focus on a lexico-semantic (sub)field conceptually very far from the technical fields that French influence is commonly associated with, this article has re-emphasized the need to rethink the sociolinguistic situation of medieval England in order to present a more nuanced account of the perception, use and influence of the various languages spoken at various times across the realm.

Finally, even a superficial comparison between the manifestations of the lexico-semantic subfield of FEAR in the *Gawain*-poet's fourteenth-century texts and the twelfth-century *Ormulum* has shown interesting results in relation to the integration of the Norse-derived terms into Middle English, in terms of their possible semantic development (ME *skerren*) and their competition with native (near-)synonyms (ME *aue* and *eie* word-fields). A detailed study of the make-up of particular lexico-semantic fields, based on texts from different periods and dialectal areas, still remains a key desideratum for the study of the integration of Norse loans (as well as loans from other languages) into medieval English. Bringing together semantic, stylistic, diachronic, diatopic, and, whenever possible, diaphasic and diastractic matters would take further McIntosh's (1989) message that historical linguists need to engage in Middle English word geography in order to advance our knowledge of medieval vocabulary in general, and the significance of Norse influence in particular.

Author's address:

School of English, Communication and Philosophy

Cardiff University
Colum Drive
Cardiff CF10 3EU
UK
Pons-SanzS@cardiff.ac.uk

References

- Andrew, Malcolm & Ronald Waldron (eds.). 2007. *The poems of the Pearl manuscript:* Pearl, Cleanness, Patience, Sir Gawain and the Green Knight, 5th edn. Exeter: Exeter University Press. [AND =] Anglo-Norman dictionary online. 2017. Ed. W. Rothwell *et al.* www.anglo-norman.net (accessed 10 March 2020).
- Arikha, Noga. 2007. *Passions and tempers: A history of the humours*. New York: HarperCollins. *The bilingual thesaurus of everyday life in medieval England*. Ed. Richard Ingham & Louise Sylvester. https://thesaurus.ac.uk/bth/search/ (accessed 28 March 2020).
- Borroff, Marie. 1962. Sir Gawain and the Green Knight: A stylistic and metrical study. New Haven, CT: Yale University Press.
- Brady, I. (ed.). 1971–81. *Sententiae in iv libris distinctae*, 3rd edn, 2 vols. Grottaferrata: Editiones Collegii S. Bonaventurae ad Claras Aquas.
- Brandsma, Frank, Carolyne Larrington & Corinne Saunders (eds.). 2015. *Emotions in medieval Arthurian literature: Body, mind, voice*. Woodbridge: Brewer.
- Brink, August. 1920. *Stab und Wort im Gawain: Eine stilistische Untersuchung*. Halle: Niemeyer. Burrow, J. A. & Thorlac Turville-Petre. 2004. *A book of Middle English*, 3rd edn. Oxford: Blackwell.
- Clough, Andrea. 1985. The French element in *Sir Gawain and the Green Knight*: With special reference to the description of Bertilak's castle in Il. 785–810. *Neuphilologische Mitteilungen* 86, 187–96.
- Dance, Richard. 2019. Words derived from Old Norse in Sir Gawain and the Green Knight: An etymological survey, 2 vols. Chichester: John Wiley & Sons.
- Díaz Vera, Javier. 2011. Reconstructing the Old English cultural model for fear. *Atlantis: Journal of the Spanish Association of Anglo-American Studies* 33, 85–103.
- Dictionnaire étymologique de l'ancien français, online edition. 1971–. Ed. Kurt Baldinger, Frankwalt Möhren & Thomas Städtler. Tübingen: Niemeyer. https://deaf-server.adw.uni-heidelberg.de/ (accessed 22 January 2020).
- Dictionary of medieval Latin from British sources. 1975–2013. Ed. R. E. Latham, D. R. Howlett & R. K. Ashdowne. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Dictionary of Old English online: A–I. 2018. Compiled by Angus Cameron, Ashley Crandell Amos & Antonette di Paolo Healey *et al.* Toronto: Dictionary of Old English Project. https://tapor.library.utoronto.ca/doe/ (accessed 15 February 2020).
- Diller, Hans-Jürgen. 2007. From *mōd* to *emotion* (or almost): The medieval gestation of a modern concept. In Gabriella Mazzon (ed.), *Studies in Middle English forms and meanings*, 13–39. Frankfurt am Main: Lang.
- Diller, Hans-Jürgen. 2014. Words for feelings: Studies in the history of the English emotion lexicon. Heidelberg: Winter.
- Downes, Stephanie & Rebecca F. McNamara. 2016. The history of emotions and Middle English literature. *Literature Compass* 13, 444–56.
- Fabiszak, Małgorzata. 2002. A semantic analysis of FEAR, GRIEF and ANGER words in Old English. In Javier Díaz Vera (ed.), *A changing world of words: Studies in English historical lexicography, lexicology and semantics*, 255–74. Amsterdam: Rodopi.

- Frantzen, Allen J. 1996. The disclosure of sodomy in Cleanness. PMLA 111, 451–64.
- Gevaert, Caroline. 2007. The history of anger: The lexical field of ANGER from Old to Early Modern English. PhD dissertation, University of Leuven.
- Gilby, Thomas *et al.* (eds. and trans.). 1964–81. *Summa theologiae: Latin text and English translation, introduction, notes, appendices and glossaries*, 60 vols. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- [HTE =] The historical thesaurus of English, database version 4.21. 2020. Glasgow: University of Glasgow. www.hte.ac.uk (accessed 5 April 2020).
- Hussey, S. S. 1992. Vocabulary in Sir Gawain and the Green Knight. Florilegium 11, 22-31.
- Ingham, Richard. 2018. The diffusion of higher-status lexis in medieval England: The role of the clergy. *English Language & Linguistics* 22, 207–24.
- Johnson, Eric J. 2000. 'In dry3 dred and daunger': The tradition and rhetoric of fear in *Cleanness* and *Patience*. PhD dissertation, University of York.
- Kiricsi, Ágnes. 2005. Semantic rivalry of *mod/mood* and *gemynd/minde* in Old and Middle English literature. PhD dissertation, Eötvös Loránd University.
- Kövecses, Zoltán. 1990. Emotion concepts. New York: Springer.
- Loughlin, Stephen. 2002. The complexity and importance of *timor* in Aquinas's *Summa theologiae*. In Anne Scott & Cynthia Kosso (eds.), *Fear and its representations in the Middle Ages and Renaissance*, 1–16. Turnhout: Brepols.
- Lynch, Andrew. 2015. 'What cheer?' Emotion and action in the Arthurian world. In Brandsma et al., 47–63.
- McCann, Daniel & Claire McKechnie-Mason (eds.). 2018. Fear in the medical and literary imagination, medieval to modern: Dreadful passions. London: Palgrave Macmillan.
- McIntosh, Angus. 1989. Middle English word-geography: Its potential role in the study of long-term impact of the Scandinavian settlements upon English. In Margaret Laing (ed.), *Middle English dialectology: Essays on some principles and problems by Angus McIntosh*, *M. L. Samuels and Margaret Laing*, 98–105. Aberdeen: Aberdeen University Press.
- [MED =] Middle English dictionary, online edition in the Middle English Compendium. 2000–18. Ed. Frances McSparran *et al.* Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press. https://quod.lib.umich.edu/m/middle-english-dictionary/dictionary (accessed 05 April 2020).
- [OED =] Oxford English dictionary, online edition. 2000—. Ed. Michael Proffitt. Oxford: Oxford University Press. www.oed.com (accessed 5 April 2020).
- Ogura, Michiko. 2006. ME *douten* and *dreden*. In Graham D. Caie, Carole Hough & Irené Wotherspoon (eds.), *The power of words: Essays in lexicography, lexicology and semantics in honour of Christian J. Kay*, 117–30. Amsterdam: Rodopi.
- Ogura, Michiko. 2013. Words and expressions of emotion in medieval English. Frankfurt am Main: Peter Lang.
- Pons-Sanz, Sara M. 2015. Norse-derived terms in Orm's lexico-semantic field of EMOTION. *Journal of English and Germanic Philology* 114, 552–86.
- Pons-Sanz, Sara. 2020. Fights and games: Terms for speech in *Sir Gawain and the Green Knight. Journal of English and Germanic Philology* 119, 353–79.
- Putter, Ad. 1996. An introduction to the Gawain poet. London: Longman.
- Putter, Ad & Myra Stokes (eds.). 2014. *The works of the* Gawain *poet:* Pearl, Cleanness, Patience, Sir Gawain and the Green Knight. London: Penguin.
- Rosenwein, Barbara. 2016. *Generations of feeling: A history of emotions, 600–1700*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Saunders, Corinne. 2015. Mind, body and affect in English Arthurian romance. In Brandsma *et al.* (eds.), 31–45.
- Scivoletto, Nino. 1960. Francese 'effrayer' da *exfrigidare > exfridare o da *exfridare. Giornale italiano di filologia 13, 106–8.

Stanley, Eric G. 2006. Fear chiefly in Old and Middle English. *Poetica* 66, 73–114.

Stefanowitsch, Anatol. 2006. Words and their metaphors: A corpus-based approach. In Anatol Stefanowitsch & Stephan Th. Gries (eds.), *Corpus-based approaches to metaphor and metonymy*, 63–105. Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter.

Tissari, Heli. 2017. Current emotion research in English linguistics: Words for emotions in the history of English. *Emotion Review* 9, 86–94.

Turville-Petre, Thorlac. 2018. *Description and narrative in Middle English alliterative poetry.* Liverpool: Liverpool University Press.

Volkonsaya, Maria. 2013. Loanwords and stylistics: On the gallicisms in *Sir Gawain and the Green Knight. Journal of Estonian and Finno-Ugric Linguistics* 4, 145–56.

Wallace, David. 1991. *Cleanness* and the terms of terror. In Robert J. Blanch, Miriam Youngerman Miller & Julian N. Wasserman (eds.), *Text and matter: New critical perspectives of the* Pearl-poet, 93–100. Troy, NY: Whitson.

Weinreich, Uriel. 1968. *Languages in contact: Findings and problems*. The Hague: Mouton. Yeo, Jayme M. 2016. 'Dere dame, to-day demay yow neuer': Gendering fear in the emotional community of *Sir Gawain and the Green Knight. Exemplaria* 28, 248–63.

Appendix: Terms from the lexico-semantic field of EMOTION (HTE, 02.04) in the corpus

The tables below present a semantic and etymological classification of the terms associated with the lexico-semantic field of EMOTION in the four texts attributed to the *Gawain*-poet. The semantic classification is based on the *HTE*; the etymological classification of the native and French-derived terms relies on the *OED*, while the identification of Norse-derived terms and their distribution into various categories, given in brackets, follow the work carried out as part of the Gersum Project. In the Gersum typology, terms are divided into four main categories and various subcategories according to the existing evidence for Norse origin:

- Category A includes the terms for which we have reliable phonological (A1), morphological (A2), or phonological *and* morphological evidence (A3) for their Norse origin, regardless of whether a native cognate is attested in Old or Middle English or not.
- Category B includes the terms whose root is not recorded early enough in Old English for their native origin to be beyond doubt but is recorded in Old Norse; these terms are further subdivided into B1, when there is no clear evidence for the existence of forms derived on the same root in any other Germanic languages; and B2, when forms derived on the same root are also attested in other Germanic languages, which could be argued to make the existence of a native cognate more likely. When lack of early attestation in English can be explained by ways other than Norse derivation (e.g. when the word refers to a rather uncommon concept, or when it can be said to be a demotic word that might not have been deemed acceptable or suitable for the extant records), the initial letter is doubled or tripled, depending on the plausibility of its Norse origin (i.e. BB or BBB; the higher the number of times the letter is repeated, the lower the likelihood of Norse derivation).
- Category C includes the terms whose root has been attested early enough in Old English but where we cannot fully rule out some Norse influence on one particular derivational

form (C1), on the word's orthographic form (when the phonological structure signalled by the term's spelling is not a decisive test for Category A; C2), on one of the term's senses (C3), on the use of the term in a particular compound or phrase (C4), or on an increase in the frequency of the term that cannot be easily explained otherwise (C5). As is the case in Category B, the initial letter is doubled or tripled (i.e. CC or CCC) when the evidence for Norse derivation is not as strong because the differences between the attested Old English forms and the forms for which Norse derivation is claimed are rather small and could be accounted for without the need to invoke Norse influence.

• Category D records the terms whose Norse derivation is most problematic, either because there is no generally accepted etymological explanation (D1), or because the form or sense of the word is unclear and it is therefore very difficult to establish a reliable etymological explanation (D2). The doubling of the initial letter (i.e. DD) indicates that Norse derivation is most difficult to prove.

02.04.01 ASPECTS OF EMOTION

			Norse-deri	ved terms	
	Native terms	French-derived terms	Certain / Very likely	Possible / Unlikely	
		.03 Quality of affecti	NG EMOTIONS		
Verb	werken			grīen (DD2)	
02.04.02	Absence of emo	OTION			
			Norse-derived terms		
	Native terms	French-derived terms	Certain / Very likely	Possible / Unlikely	
Adjective	cōld				
02.04.4 S	SINCERE EMOTION				
			Norse-deri	ved terms	
	Native terms	French-derived terms	Certain / Very likely	Possible / Unlikely	
Adjective	dērelī				

02.04.05 Zeal, Earnest Enthusiasm

			Norse-derived terms	
	Native terms	French-derived terms	Certain / Very likely	Possible / Unlikely
Adjective Adverb	busīlī	nyaat	thrō (A1)	
Advero	fast	prestlī	thrō (A1) thrōlī (A1)	

02.04.06 PASSION

			Norse-deri	ved terms
	Native terms	French-derived terms	Certain / Very likely	Possible / Unlikely
		. 01 Ardour / fe	RVOUR	
Noun Verb	swelme		love-loue (B2) forbrennen (C2)	

02.04.07 VIOLENT EMOTION

			Norse-deri	ved terms
	Native terms	French-derived terms	Certain / Very likely	Possible / Unlikely
Adjective	wīlde			

02.04.08 Excitement

			Norse-deri	ved terms	
	Native terms	French-derived terms	Certain / Very likely	Possible / Unlikely	
		. 05 Nervous exci	TEMENT		
Verb		rāven			
	.08 Excitability of temperament				
Adjective		jolī	brōth (A1)		

02.04.09 CALMNESS

			Norse-derived terms	
	Native terms	French-derived terms	Certain / Very likely	Possible / Unlikely
Adjective Adverb	sadlī	unstrained		
		.03 Meekness / m	ILDNESS	
Adjective	mīlde			
		.04 Patience	E	
Noun	abīdinge	sufferaunce mercī		
Adjective Adverb	soflī	pāciente		
Verb	thōlen	sufferen		

02.04.10 PLEASURE

	Native terms			Norse-derived terms		
		French-derived terms	Certain / Very likely	Possible / Unlikely		
Noun	game	plēsaunce	taite (A1)			
		.01 Quality of being	G PLEASANT			
Noun Adjective	lēf quēme win	paie plēsaunte	taite (A1)	bēne (DD1) fārande (D1)		
Verb	listen liken	comforten paien plēsen				
Adverb	swētelī	1		bēne (DD1) fārandelī (D1)		
		.03 Smiling	9			
Noun Verb	smīlinge smīlen grennen					
		.04 Contentment or s	SATISFACTION			
Noun		grē				

		(Continued	<i>i</i>)	
			Norse-deri	ved terms
	Native terms	French-derived terms	Certain / Very likely	Possible / Unlikely
		.05 Happine	SS	
Noun	sēle			
	.06	Freedom from trouble	, CARE OF SORROW	
Noun		ēse		
	.0′	7 State of being consol	LED OR RELIEVED	
Noun Verb	lēthen līthen	comfort comforten		
		.08 Joy, gladness o	R DELIGHT	
Noun Adjective	blisse gladnesse glē līkinge mirthe wēle win blissful	comfort dēlite joie sōlās jolī		list (CC2)
Adverb	fain glad fain gāmelī gladly gladden	joiful		
	granieri	.09 Cheerfuli	JECC	
Noun		bon-chēf	1200	
Adjective	blithe light rēceles	оон-спед	taite (A1)	
Adverb	blīthelī gladly			
Verb	6······/	cherishen sōlāsen		

					Norse-derived terms		
	Native terms	French-deriv	ved terms	Certain / '	Very likely	Possible / Unlike	
		.10	MERRIME	NT			
Noun Adjective Adverb	mirthe mirīe mirīe mirīelī	gai gai					
Verb	mirthen						
		.1	1 Laughte	ER.			
Noun	game laughter laughing	bourde bourding jape					
Verb	laughen	J.T.					

02.04.11 Mental Pain, Suffering

			Norse-deri	ved terms
	Native terms	French-derived terms	Certain / Very likely	Possible / Unlikely
Noun	cāre grāme pīne sore	distresse languor noi peine stresse	anger (C1) sīte (A1)	bāle (CCC5) grēme (CC1)
Adjective Adverb Verb	sore drīen dīven thōlen	forpeined sufferen		
		.01 Cause of mental pa	IN, SUFFERING	
Adjective Adverb Verb	sore sore	grēven		
		.02 Mental anguish	, TORMENT	
Noun Adjective	hēvī	angwisshe		

			Norse-derived terms	
	Native terms	French-derived terms	Certain / Very likely	Possible / Unlikely
		.03 Sorrow, G	RIEF	
Noun	grētinge harm lõnginge lõth mõn morninge sīkinge sorwe tēne	dōl grēf grēvinge penaunce pitē	sīte (A1) wrong (C1)	mis (CC1) reuthe (CCC1)
Adjective	careful dōleful hēvi sōre unblīthe vōmerlī			
Adverb	cārefullī reufullī sīkinge tēnefullī yōmerlī	dōlefullī		traythlī (D1)
Verb	crīen grēten grōnen merren mornen rēmen sīken tēnen wēpen	grēven pleinen regrēten		bolnen (CC1)
		.04 Regret		
Noun Verb	morning forthinken reuen	remorden	sīte (A1)	
		.05 Misery	-	
Noun Adjective Adverb	wō sore cārefullī cōld			

			Norse-deri	ved terms
	Native terms	French-derived terms	Certain / Very likely	Possible / Unlikely
).	06 State of being upset	OR PERTURBED	
Noun	lōnginge			
Verb	cāren	abaishen		
	tēnen	grēven		
		noien		
		.08 Дејестіс	Ν	
Adjective	cōld	joielēs		
	unglad	māt		
	sad	mornīf		
		pensīf		
Adverb	heighlī	sōbrelī		
	sadlī			
		.09 Displeasu	JRE	
Noun			ille (B1)	
Adjective			ille (B1)	sour (DD2)
Adverb	grucchinge		ille (B1)	
Verb	forthinken	displēsen		
	mislīken	pleinen		
		.10 Annoyance, v	EXATION	
Noun	tēne			
Adjective		agrēved		
Verb				irken (DD1)

02.04.12 Anger

		French-derived terms	Norse-derived terms	
	Native terms Fr		Certain / Very likely	Possible / Unlikely
Noun	grāme īre mōd tēne wratthe		anger (C1) brāthe (A1) sīte (A1) thrō (A1)	grēme (CC1)

			Norse-deri	ved terms
	Native terms	French-derived terms	Certain / Very likely	Possible / Unlikely
Adjective	hōt		brōth (A1)	
J	tēne		wēmōd	
	wrōth		(<waymot>) (A1)</waymot>	
Adverb	wrōthlī			
Verb	wratthen	entīcen		grēmen (CC1)
		grēven		
		.01 Manifestation	OF ANGER	
Noun	grispinge			
	grist			
	hatel			
		.02 Furious an	IGER	
Noun	wōdschipe	noi		grindellaik (DD1)
Adjective	brēme		brōthī (A1)	grindel (DD1)
-	wōde		thrō (A1)	
Adverb				grindell $\bar{\imath}$ (DD1)
		.03 Indignation, res	SENTMENT	
Noun		disdeine	anger (C1)	
			thrō (A1)	
		.05 Irascibili	TY	
Noun	galle			
Verb				gloumen (DD1)

02.04.13 Love

			Norse-deri	ved terms
	Native terms	French-derived terms	Certain / Very likely	Possible / Unlikely
Noun Verb	love loven			
-		.01 Liking, favourab	LE REGARD	
Verb	loven			

			Norse-derived terms	
	Native terms	French-derived terms	Certain / Very likely	Possible / Unlikely
		.02 Loved on	NE	
Noun	lēf lemman love			
Adjective	lēf lēflī			
		.05 Affection)N	
Noun Adjective Adverb	love dēre dērelī	specialtē		
		.06 Tenderne	ESS	
Verb		cherishen		
		.08 Embrac	E	
Verb		acōlen		
		.09 Kiss		
Noun	cos kissing			
Verb	kissen			
		.10 Amorous i	OVE	
Noun	treu-love	drūerīe paramore		
		.13 Courtship, w	OOING	
Noun	love wōuinge			
		.15 Friendlin	ESS	
Noun Adjective Adverb	frēnde lovelī smōthe lovelī		fēlaushipe (C4)	

02.04.14 HATRED, ENMITY

	Native terms	French-derived terms	Norse-derived terms	
			Certain / Very likely	Possible / Unlikely
Noun	fōship	malīce		hāte (CC2)
	lōth			
Adjective	hātel			
Verb	hāten			
		.03 Loathing, deti	ESTATION	
Adjective		squaimous		
Adverb	lōthlī	1		
Verb	wlāten			
		.04 Object of deti	ESTATION	
Noun	lōthlī			
Adjective	hātel		laith (A1)	
J	lōth		` '	
	lōthlī			
Adverb	$lar{o}thlar{\imath}$			
		.05 Hostilit	Y	
Noun		maugrē		
		spite		

02.04.16 COMPASSION

		Norse-derived terms	
Native terms	French-derived terms	Certain / Very likely	Possible / Unlikely
mēthe	grāce mercī misericorde pitē		blīthe (CC1) reuthe (CCC1)
reuen	merciāble pitouslī	mēke (B1)	
	.01 Quality of exc	ITING PITY	
reuful reulī	pitiouslī		
	.02 Pitilessni	ESS	
	mercīlēs		
	mēthe reuen reuful	mēthe grāce mercī misericorde pitē merciāble pitouslī reuen .01 Quality of exce	Native terms French-derived terms Certain / Very likely mēthe grāce mercī misericorde pitē merciāble pitouslī reuen .01 QUALITY OF EXCITING PITY reuful reulī pitiouslī .02 PITILESSNESS

02.04.18 Gratitude

			Norse-derived terms	
	Native terms	French-derived terms	Certain / Very likely	Possible / Unlikely
Noun Verb	thank thanken			

02.04.19 PRIDE

			Norse-deri	ved terms
	Native terms	French-derived terms	Certain / Very likely	Possible / Unlikely
Noun		prīde surquidrīe		
Adjective Adverb	rank ranklī	proud		
	.01	SWELLING OR INFLAMMA	TION WITH PRIDE	
Verb				bolnen (CC1)
		.03 Arrogan	CE	
Noun		angarde surquidrīe		
		.09 Haughtiness / disi	DAINFULNESS	
Noun Adverb		hautesse fērslī		
		.15 Vaingloi	RY	_
Noun		veinglōrīe		
		.17 Ostentati	ON	
Noun	bōst	bobaunce olipraunce prīde solempnitē		
Adjective Adverb		solempne proudlī solempnelī		

02.04.20 Humility

		French-derived terms	Norse-derived terms	
	Native terms		Certain / Very likely	Possible / Unlikely
Noun Adjective Adverb	mīlde		mēkenesse (B1) mēke (B1) loulī (A1)	
Verb			mēkelī (B1) mēkenen (B1)	
		.02 Humiliati	ON	
Noun Verb	bīsmāre		louen (A1)	
		.03 Feeling of s	НАМЕ	
Noun Verb	shāme shāmen	baishment abaishen		
		.04 Modest	Y	
Adjective		simple		

02.04.21 Fear

		Norse-derived terms	
Native terms	French-derived terms	Certain/ Very likely	Possible / Unlikely
		rade (B1)	
fēren	douten	, ,	
	.01 Physical symptom	IS OF FEAR	
quāken			dāren (DD1)
	.03 Terror or ho	ORROR	
fērde	hidour		
drēde			
gast			
drēden			
	.05 Apprehensi	ION	
	afrai		glopning (D2)
	doute		
			glopnedlī (D2)
	fēren quāken fērde drēde gast	fēren douten .01 Physical symptom quāken .03 Terror or ho fērde hidour drēde gast drēden .05 Apprehensi	Native terms French-derived terms Certain/ Very likely rade (B1) feren douten .01 Physical symptoms of fear quāken .03 Terror or horror ferde hidour drēde gast drēden .05 Apprehension afrai

-	C	
1	Continued	١
٠,	Communica	,

	Native terms		Norse-derived terms	
		French-derived terms	Certain/ Very likely	Possible / Unlikely
		.06 Nervousness or u	NEASINESS	
Noun	cāre			
		.07 Timidity		
Adjective Verb	argh arghen wōnden			
		.08 Dismay		
Verb		baishen dismaien		
		.09 COWARDICE OR PUS	ILLANIMITY	
Noun Adjective Adverb		couardīse couard recrēaunt couardlī		
Adverb			ANIG PELP	
		.10 Quality of inspir		
Adjective Adverb Verb	arghen fleien	fraien	auelī (C1) uglī (B1) auelī (C1) skerren (A1)	

02.04.22 COURAGE

	Native terms	French-derived terms	Norse-derived terms	
			Certain / Very likely	Possible / Unlikely
Noun	bēlde	valour		
		.01 Spirit		
Noun Adjective	hert	fër fërs		
Adverb		jolīlī		

			Norse-derived terms
	Native terms	French-derived ter	rms Certain / Very likely Possible / Unlikely
		.02 Bravery o	PR BOLDNESS
Adjective Adverb	fade bōldlī	fēl	derf (C1) derflī (C1)
		.03 DA	RING
Adjective	bōld kēne	hardī	
Verb	durren		
		.04 Her	COISM
Noun Adjective	bōld stalworth		
		.05 VAI	OUR
Noun Adjective Adverb	doughtī sterne orpedly	bountē stoute	wight (A2)
		.06 Снг	VALRY
Adjective		preue	
		.10 Fearli	ESSNESS
Adjective	drēdelēs		auelēs (C1)