

# **ORCA - Online Research @ Cardiff**

This is an Open Access document downloaded from ORCA, Cardiff University's institutional repository:https://orca.cardiff.ac.uk/id/eprint/134536/

This is the author's version of a work that was submitted to / accepted for publication.

Citation for final published version:

Stern, Cindy, Lizarondo, Lucylynn, Carrier, Judith, Godfrey, Christina, Rieger, Kendra, Salmond, Susan, Apostolo, Joao, Kirkpatrick, Pamela and Loveday, Heather 2020. Experiences and effectiveness of canine-assisted interventions on the health and well-being of older people residing in long-term care. JBI Evidence Synthesis 18 (10), pp. 2140-2147. 10.11124/JBISRIR-D-19-00224

Publishers page: http://dx.doi.org/10.11124/JBISRIR-D-19-00224

### Please note:

Changes made as a result of publishing processes such as copy-editing, formatting and page numbers may not be reflected in this version. For the definitive version of this publication, please refer to the published source. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite this paper.

This version is being made available in accordance with publisher policies. See http://orca.cf.ac.uk/policies.html for usage policies. Copyright and moral rights for publications made available in ORCA are retained by the copyright holders.



1 2 3	The experiences and effectiveness of canine-assisted interventions (CAIs) on the health and well-being of older people residing in long-term care: A mixed methods systematic review protocol
4 5	Abstract
6	Objective: To synthesize and integrate the best available evidence on the experiences and
7	effectiveness of canine-assisted interventions (CAIs) on the health and well-being of older people
8	residing in long-term care.
9	Introduction: Canine-assisted interventions (CAIs) are commonly used as an adjunct therapy to
10	enhance health and well-being and are often implemented in long-term care facilities. The number of
11	studies undertaken in this area has increased substantially over the last five years; therefore, an
12	update of two previous systematic reviews is warranted.
13	Inclusion criteria: This review will consider older people who reside in long-term care facilities and
14	who receive CAIs. For the quantitative component, CAIs will be compared to usual care, alternative
15	therapeutic interventions or no interventions and outcomes will be grouped under the following
16	headings: biological, psychological and social. For the qualitative component, the experiences of older
17	people receiving CAIs as well as the views of people directly or indirectly involved in delivering CAIs
18	will be explored. Quantitative, qualitative and mixed methods studies published from 2009 to the
19	present will be considered.
20	Methods: A search of 10 bibliographic databases and other various resources for published and
21	unpublished English language studies will be undertaken. Study selection, critical appraisal, data
22	extraction and data synthesis will be undertaken following the segregated JBI approach to mixed
23	methods reviews.
24	Systematic review registration number: PROSPERO XXXXX.
25	Review questions
26	The aim of this mixed methods review is to synthesize and integrate the best available evidence on
27	the experiences and effectiveness of canine-assisted interventions (CAIs) on the health and well-
28	being of older people residing in long-term care. More specifically the review questions are:
29	What are the experiences of older people residing in long-term care who receive CAIs?
30	<ul> <li>What are the views of people directly or indirectly involved in delivering CAIs to older</li> </ul>
31	adults (such as family and friends of the residents, healthcare workers and volunteers)
32	regarding CAIs for older people residing in long-term care facilities?
33	What is the effectiveness of CAIs on the health and well-being of older people residing in
34	long-term care facilities?
35	Introduction
36	The term 'human-animal bond' refers to the connection people and animals experience, considered to

be mutually beneficial and enhancing health and well-being. This two-way relationship (which some

consider links to Bowlby's attachment theory)<sup>2,3</sup> has led to the introduction of animals being used in 38 39 therapeutic roles such as animal-assisted interventions (AAI, the focus of this review) and service 40 animals.4 Animal-assisted interventions refers to the "utilization of various species of animals in 41 diverse manners beneficial to humans"5para4 and are often further grouped into animal-assisted 42 therapies (AAT), animal-assisted activities (AAA) and animal-assisted education (AAE) (See Table 1 43 for explanation of terms). 44 <Table 1. Types of animal-assisted interventions<sup>5</sup>> 45 Commonly used as an adjunct to both pharmacological and non-pharmacological therapies, AAIs can be delivered one-on-one or in group formats with a range of animals being used. Shen and 46 47 colleagues suggest AAIs are highly accepted interventions across different populations, conditions 48 and settings<sup>6</sup> with the most common species utilized being canines 6-8 The holistic nature of AAIs 49 suggests potential benefits may extend across the physical, emotional and social spectrum however results are varied. 6,7,9-18 Nimer and Lundahl showed AAIs produced moderate effect sizes to improve 50 51 emotional well-being, behavioural problems, medical difficulties as well as autism spectrum 52 symptoms.8 In this meta-analysis, dogs were consistently associated with moderate effect sizes 53 which did not occur in the other animals examined.8 Reviews in this area generally indicate some 54 small benefit in outcomes but go on to acknowledge that the lack of methodological rigour in studies 55 impacts on the results of research. Despite these limitations, popularity of AAIs continues to increase 56 with the number of published studies rising. A search of "animal-assisted therapy" in PubMed 57 produced close to 450 results with over 50% of papers being published over the last five years 58 (search undertaken 9th May 2019). 59 One population and setting where AAIs are used is with older people in long-term care facilities. With 60 an increasingly ageing population<sup>19,20</sup> there is a demand for high quality long-term care. Additionally 61 once a person enters a care facility, increases in physical and psychosocial morbidities can occur.<sup>21</sup> 62 Animal-assisted interventions may be able to play a role in improving health and well-being of 63 residents for example by reducing depression and improving quality of life. This type of intervention 64 seems particularly relevant to older people living in long-term care facilities as human animal-65 interactions are not dependent on a high level of cognitive function<sup>22</sup> nor high physical and functioning 66 ability.<sup>23</sup> Further Maclean suggests that people with mental health issues that may be reluctant to use 67 conventional treatment may prefer alternative treatments such as AAIs.24 Two systematic reviews undertaken in 2011<sup>23,25</sup> focused exclusively on canine-assisted interventions 68 69 (CAIs) for this population. The first looked at the effects of CAIs while the other explored the 70 experiences of residents involved in CAIs. Heterogeneity across interventions and outcomes 71 prohibited pooling of studies in the quantitative review however, results from individual studies 72 indicated some physical and emotional short-term benefits. The review went on to acknowledge that 73 CAIs were no more effective than other interventions that were provided such as visits from people.<sup>23</sup>

The qualitative synthesis included only two studies with meta-aggregation producing two synthesized

findings. The first indicated that residents involved in CAI's may experience a range of mental, emotional, physiological and social benefits while the second finding related to the practical and safety concerns associated with CAI's.<sup>25</sup> With popularity of CAI's increasing (as demonstrated by the rise in primary research recently undertaken), the ageing population and the potential of these interventions to improve the health and well-being of residents in long-term care facilities, it is considered appropriate to strengthen the evidence by updating the original reviews. This aligns to the decision framework developed by Garner et al to assess systematic reviews for updating.<sup>26</sup> The importance of keeping reviews as current as possible has been recognized<sup>26,27</sup> with Garner and colleagues highlighting that by not updating reviews, authors are compromising a review's integrity, potentially misleading readers about the current state of the science.<sup>26</sup>

New guidance for the conduct of mixed methods reviews<sup>28</sup> provides the opportunity to combine the two reviews into one thereby allowing the integration of qualitative and quantitative evidence. Mixed methods reviews bring together the findings of effectiveness (quantitative evidence) and patient, family, staff or other's experiences (qualitative evidence) to enhance their usefulness to clinicians and clinical, policy or organizational decision-makers.<sup>28</sup> They broaden the focus of a systematic review allowing for a more in-depth exploration of healthcare phenomena thereby maximizing the findings that one method alone could not achieve.<sup>29</sup>

A preliminary search of PubMed, CINAHL, PROSPERO, The JBI Database of Systematic Reviews and Implementation Reports and The Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews indicated a number of single method reviews have been conducted since the original reviews were published however most have not focused specifically on this population (older people), the setting (long-term care) and the intervention (canines). 6,7,9,10,12-15,17,18 Cipriani et al (2013) did examine the effect of canine-assisted therapies (CAT) on older adults residing in long-term care however the search was undertaken up until 2010.<sup>11</sup> Out of the 19 studies included in the review, twelve demonstrated statistically significant improvement in outcomes for residents. No mixed methods reviews were located in the search. A PROSPERO record registered in 2017<sup>30</sup> indicates a systematic review containing both qualitative and quantitative evidence is in progress which focuses on older people in long-term care however the review is not restricted to canines and the approach to bringing the results together is not clearly detailed. The authors have been contacted for additional information regarding the approach being taken to integration and when the review is anticipated to be completed (since the expected date provided has passed); however, no further details were provided. Therefore the overall aim of this review is to update and combine two previous systematic reviews to explore the experiences and effectiveness of CAIs on the health and social care of older people who reside in long-term care.

# Keywords

animal-assisted; canine, dog; pet therapy; mixed methods; qualitative; quantitative

## **Participants** 111 112 The review will consider studies that include older people (60 years and older) who reside in longterm care facilities and who receive CAIs. Studies that contain people younger than 60 will be 113 included as long as the mean age is 60. There will be no exclusions based on medical conditions or 114 115 co-morbidities. 116 117 Additionally for the qualitative component, the views of people directly or indirectly involved in 118 delivering CAIs to older adults such as family and friends of the residents, healthcare workers and 119 volunteers will also be considered 120 Intervention 121 The quantitative component of the review will consider studies that evaluate CAIs. Interventions will 122 be grouped as either canine-assisted activities (CAAs) or canine-assisted therapies (CATs). For the 123 purpose of this review definitions will be based on those provided by the American Veterinary Medical 124 Associations. 5 Canine-assisted activities "provide opportunities for motivational, educational, and/or 125 recreational benefits to enhance quality of life."5para7 Canine-assisted therapies are "a goal directed 126 intervention directed and/or delivered by a health/human service professional with specialised expertise, and within the scope of practice of his/her profession."5para5 Canine-assisted education will 127 128 not be considered since this intervention is rarely measured in studies in this area. There will be no 129 limitations to the duration of interventions or the required follow-up. 130 Comparator 131 The quantitative component of the review will consider studies that compare the intervention to usual care, alternative therapeutic interventions or no intervention. 132 133 **Outcomes** 134 The quantitative component of this review will consider studies that include outcomes related to health and well-being including but not limited to: loneliness, depression, anxiety, well-being, quality of life, 135 136 mood, satisfaction, morale, self-esteem, activity participation/involvment, activities of daily living, 137 blood pressure, and social interaction. Where possible review outcomes will be grouped under the 138 biopsychosocial model<sup>31</sup> e.g.: Biological (e.g. blood pressure) 139**•** 140**•** Psychological (e.g. depression)

110

**Inclusion Criteria** 

Social (e.g. social interaction)

141<u>•</u>

142 Outcomes can be measured using any validated instrument, via observation or by self-report, and 143 measured during or immediately after the intervention or at a follow-up period. 144 Phenomena of interest The qualitative component of this review will consider studies that investigate the experiences of older 145 146 people receiving the CAIs as well as the views of people directly or indirectly involved in delivering CAIs to them such as family and friends of the residents, healthcare workers and volunteers. 147 148 Context 149 The review will consider studies undertaken in long-term care facilities which will include any setting 150 for older people who are unable to manage independently in the community including nursing homes, 151 skilled aged care facilities, assisted living facilities and hostels for the aged. There will be no limits 152 regarding cultural factors or geographical location. 153 Types of studies 154 This review will consider quantitative, qualitative and mixed methods studies. Quantitative studies will 155 include experimental and quasi-experimental study designs, analytical observational studies, 156 analytical cross-sectional studies and descriptive observational study designs. Randomized controlled 157 trials (RCTs) will be considered as the primary focus however in their absence other research designs 158 will be considered. Qualitative studies will include designs such as phenomenology, grounded theory, 159 ethnography, qualitative description, action research and feminist research. Mixed method studies will 160 be considered if data from the quantitative or qualitative components can be clearly extracted. Where 161 data is not reported, authors will be contacted. 162 Studies published in English will be included. Studies published from April 2009 to the present will be 163 included as this is an update of two previous systematic reviews. 23,25 164 Methods The proposed systematic review will be conducted in accordance with the Joanna Briggs Institute 165 166 (JBI) methodology for Mixed Methods Systematic Review (MMSR).<sup>28</sup> This review title has been 167 registered in PROSPERO, registration number XXX. 168 Search strategy The search strategy will aim to find both published and unpublished studies. An initial limited search 169 170 of MEDLINE and CINAHL was undertaken to identify articles on the topic. The text words contained in 171 the titles and abstracts of relevant articles, and the index terms used to describe the articles were 172 used to develop a full search strategy for CINAHL (see Appendix I). The search strategy, including all

173 identified keywords and index terms will be adapted for each included information source. The 174 reference list of all studies selected for critical appraisal will be screened for additional studies. 175 Information Sources 176 The databases to be searched include: PubMed, CINAHL (EBSCO Host), EMBASE (Elsevier), 177 PsycINFO (Ovid), PsycARTICLES (Ovid), AUSThealth (Informit), Scopus (Elsevier), Web of Science (Web of Science Core Collection; CABI; Current Contents Connect), OT seeker and PEDro. 178 179 The trial registers to be searched include: Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials, 180 Clinicaltrials.gov (For quantitative studies only) 181 The search for unpublished studies and gray literature will include: Trove, The Networked Digital 182 Library of Theses and Dissertations (NDLTD), Proquest Dissertations and Theses (Global), Delta Society Australia website (https://www.deltasociety.com.au), Pet Partners website 183 184 (https://petpartners.org/) (previously known as the Delta Society) 185 Study selection Following the search, all identified citations will be loaded into EndNote version 8 (Clarivate Analytics, 186 187 PA, USA) and duplicates removed. Titles and abstracts will then be screened by two independent 188 reviewers for assessment against the inclusion criteria for the review. Potentially relevant studies will 189 be retrieved in full and their citation details imported into the Joanna Briggs Institute's System for the 190 Unified Management, Assessment and Review of Information (JBI SUMARI; Joanna Briggs Institute, 191 Adelaide, Australia). The full text of selected citations will be assessed in detail against the inclusion 192 criteria by two independent reviewers. Reasons for exclusion of full text studies that do not meet the 193 inclusion criteria will be recorded and reported in the systematic review. Any disagreements that arise 194 between the reviewers at each stage of the study selection process will be resolved through 195 discussion, or with a third reviewer. The results of the search will be reported in full in the final review 196 and presented in a Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analyses (PRISMA) 197 flow diagram.32 198 Assessment of methodological quality 199 Quantitative papers (and quantitative component of mixed methods papers) selected for retrieval will 200 be assessed by two independent reviewers for methodological validity prior to inclusion in the review 201 using standardized critical appraisal instruments from JBI SUMARI based on study design e.g. RCT, 202 quasi-experimental studies etc.33 203 Qualitative papers (and qualitative component of mixed methods papers) selected for retrieval will be 204 assessed by two independent reviewers for methodological validity prior to inclusion in the review 205 using the standard JBI critical appraisal checklist for Qualitative Research available in JBI SUMARI.34

Authors of papers will be contacted to request missing or additional data for clarification, where required. Any disagreements that arise between the reviewers will be resolved through discussion, or with a third reviewer. The results of critical appraisal will be reported in narrative form and in a table. All studies, regardless of the results of their methodological quality, will undergo data extraction and synthesis (where possible) and the impact of methodological quality will be considered when developing conclusions and recommendations for practice. **Data extraction** For the quantitative component, data will be extracted from quantitative and mixed methods (quantitative component only) studies included in the review by two independent reviewers using the standardized Joanna Briggs Institute data extraction tool in JBI SUMARI.33 The data extracted will include specific details about the populations, study methods, interventions, and outcomes of significance to the review objective. For the qualitative component, data will be extracted from qualitative and mixed methods (qualitative component only) studies included in the review by two independent reviewers using the standardized Joanna Briggs Institute data extraction tool in JBI SUMARI34 The data extracted will include specific details about the population, context, culture, geographical location, study methods and the phenomena of interest relevant to the review objective. Findings, and their illustrations will be extracted and assigned a level of credibility using the JBI ranking scale available through JBI SUMARI. Any disagreements that arise between the reviewers will be resolved through discussion, or with a third reviewer. Authors of papers will be contacted to request missing or additional data, where required. **Data synthesis** This review will follow a convergent segregated approach to synthesis and integration according to the JBI methodology for MMSR using JBI SUMARI.<sup>28</sup> This will involve separate quantitative and qualitative synthesis followed by integration of the resultant quantitative evidence and qualitative evidence. Quantitative synthesis Studies will, where possible, be pooled with statistical meta-analysis using JBI SUMARI. Effect sizes will be expressed as either odds ratios (for dichotomous data) or weighted (or standardized) final post-intervention mean differences (for continuous data) and their 95% confidence intervals will be calculated for analysis. Heterogeneity will be assessed statistically using the standard chi squared and I2 tests. The choice of model (random or fixed effects) and method for meta-analysis will be

206

207

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

217

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

- based on the guidance by Tufunaru et al. 33 Subgroup analyses will be conducted where there is
- 240 sufficient data to investigate CATs and CAAs and morbidities. Sensitivity analyses will be conducted
- to test decisions made regarding methodological quality. Where statistical pooling is not possible the
- 242 findings will be presented in narrative form including tables and figures to aid in data presentation,
- 243 where appropriate. A funnel plot will be generated to assess publication bias if there are 10 or more
- studies included in a meta-analysis. Statistical tests for funnel plot asymmetry (Egger test, Begg test,
- Harbord test) will be performed where appropriate.
- 246 Qualitative synthesis
- 247 Qualitative research findings will, where possible be pooled using JBI SUMARI with the meta-
- aggregation approach.<sup>34</sup> This will involve the aggregation or synthesis of findings to generate a set of
- 249 statements that represent that aggregation, through assembling the findings and categorizing these
- 250 findings based on similarity in meaning. These categories are then subjected to a synthesis to
- 251 produce a comprehensive set of synthesized findings that can be used as a basis for evidence-based
- practice. Where textual pooling is not possible the findings will be presented in narrative form.
- 253 Integration of quantitative evidence and qualitative evidence
- The findings of each single method synthesis included in this review will then be configured according
- 255 to the JBI methodology for mixed methods systematic reviews.<sup>28</sup> This will involve quantitative
- 256 evidence and qualitative evidence being juxtaposed together and organized/linked into a line of
- 257 argument to produce an overall configured analysis. Where configuration is not possible the findings
- 258 will be presented in narrative form
- 259 **References**
- 1. American Veterinary Medical Association (AVMA). Human-Animal Bond; [internet]. [cited
- 261 Available from: https://www.avma.org/KB/Resources/Reference/human-animal-
- 262 bond/Pages/Human-Animal-Bond-AVMA.aspx accessed 9th May 2019.
- 263 2. Cookman CA. Older people and attachment to things, places, pets, and ideas. Image J Nurs Sch
- 264 1996; 28(3): 227-231.
- 3. Zilcha-Mano S, Mikulincer M and Shaver PR. Pet in the therapy room: an attachment perspective
- on Animal-Assisted Therapy. Attach Hum Dev 2011; 13(6): 541-561.
- 4. Ernst L. Animal-Assisted Therapy: An Exploration of Its History, Healing Benefits, and How Skilled
- Nursing Facilities Can Set Up Programs. Annals of Long-Term Care: Clinical Care and Aging 2014;
- 269 22(10): 27-32.
- 5. American Veterinary Medical Association (AVMA). Animal-Assisted Interventions: Definitions;
- 271 [internet]. [cited Available from: https://www.avma.org/KB/Policies/Pages/Animal-Assisted-
- 272 <u>Interventions-Definitions.aspx</u> accessed 9th May 2019.
- 6. Shen RZZ, Xiong P, Chou UI and Hall BJ. "We need them as much as they need us": A systematic
- 274 review of the qualitative evidence for possible mechanisms of effectiveness of animal-assisted
- intervention (AAI). Complement Ther Med 2018; 41: 203-207.
- 7. Farid A. 111REVIEW OF ANIMAL ASSISTED THERAPY WITH VISITING DOGS IN DEMENTIA. Age and
- 277 Ageing 2019; 48(Supplement 1): i32-i35.
- 8. Nimer J and Lundahl B. Animal-assisted therapy: A meta-analysis. Anthrozoös 2007; 20(3): 225-
- 279 238.

- 280 9. Charry-Sanchez JD, Pradilla I and Talero-Gutierrez C. Animal-assisted therapy in adults: A
- 281 systematic review. Complement Ther Clin Pract 2018; 32: 169-180.
- 282 10. Charry-Sanchez JD, Pradilla I and Talero-Gutierrez C. Effectiveness of Animal-Assisted Therapy in
- 283 the Pediatric Population: Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Controlled Studies. J Dev Behav
- 284 Pediatr 2018; 39(7): 580-590.
- 285 11. Cipriani J, Cooper M, DiGiovanni NM, Litchkofski A, Nichols AL and Ramsey A. Dog-Assisted
- Therapy for Residents of Long-Term Care Facilities: An Evidence-Based Review with Implications for
- Occupational Therapy. Physical & Occupational Therapy In Geriatrics 2013; 31(3): 214-240.
- 12. Hoagwood KE, Acri M, Morrissey M and Peth-Pierce R. Animal-Assisted Therapies for Youth with
- or at risk for Mental Health Problems: A Systematic Review. Appl Dev Sci 2017; 21(1): 1-13.
- 290 13. Hu M, Zhang P, Leng M, Li C and Chen L. Animal-assisted intervention for individuals with
- 291 cognitive impairment: A meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials and quasi-randomized
- controlled trials. Psychiatry Res 2018; 260: 418-427.
- 293 14. Jones MG, Rice SM and Cotton SM. Incorporating animal-assisted therapy in mental health
- treatments for adolescents: A systematic review of canine assisted psychotherapy. PLoS One 2019;
- 295 14(1): e0210761.
- 15. Lundqvist M, Carlsson P, Sjodahl R, Theodorsson E and Levin LA. Patient benefit of dog-assisted
- interventions in health care: a systematic review. BMC Complement Altern Med 2017; 17(1): 358.
- 298 16. Stern C and Chur-Hansen A. Methodological Considerations in Designing and Evaluating Animal-
- 299 Assisted Interventions. Animals (Basel) 2013; 3(1): 127-141.
- 300 17. Yakimicki ML, Edwards NE, Richards E and Beck AM. Animal-Assisted Intervention and Dementia:
- A Systematic Review. Clinical Nursing Research 2019; 28(1): 9-29.
- 302 18. Zafra-Tanaka JH, Pacheco-Barrios K, Tellez WA and Taype-Rondan A. Effects of dog-assisted
- therapy in adults with dementia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. BMC Psychiatry 2019; 19(1):
- 304 41.
- 305 19. Australian Bureau of Statistics. 2071.0 Census of Population and Housing: Reflecting Australia -
- 306 Stories from the Census, 2016 2017.
- 307 20. United Nations. World Population Prospects. Key findings and advance tables. In: Division
- 308 DoEaSAP editor. New York2017. p. Working Paper No. ESA/P/WP/248.
- 309 21. Richards S. The experiences of older people permanently relocating from their home in the
- 310 community to a long term care facility: a systematic review. Faculty of Health Science. Adelaide:
- 311 University of Adelaide; 2011.
- 312 22. Marx MS, Cohen-Mansfield J, Regier NG, Dakheel-Ali M, Srihari A and Thein K. The impact of
- 313 different dog-related stimuli on engagement of persons with dementia. Am J Alzheimers Dis Other
- 314 Demen 2010; 25(1): 37-45.
- 315 23. Stern C and Konno R. The effects of Canine-Assisted Interventions (CAIs) on the health and social
- care of older people residing in long term care: a systematic review. JBI Libr Syst Rev 2011; 9(6): 146-
- 317 206.
- 318 24. MacLean B. Equine-assisted therapy. Journal of Rehabilitation Research & Development 2011;
- 319 48(7): xi-xii.
- 320 25. Stern C. The meaningfulness of Canine-Assisted Interventions (CAIs) on the health and social care
- of older people residing in long term care: a systematic review. JBI Libr Syst Rev 2011; 9(21): 727-
- 322 790.
- 323 26. Garner P, Hopewell S, Chandler J, MacLehose H, Schunemann HJ, Akl EA, et al. When and how to
- update systematic reviews: consensus and checklist. BMJ 2016; 354: i3507.
- 325 27. Higgins JPT, Green S and Scholten RJPM. Chapter 3: Maintaining reviews: updates, amendments
- and feedback. In: T HJP and Green S, eds. Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of
- 327 Interventions, 2011.
- 328 28. Lizarondo L, Stern C, Carrier J, Godfrey C, Rieger K, Salmond S, et al. Chapter 8: Mixed methods
- 329 systematic reviews. In: Aromataris E and Munn Z, eds. Joanna Briggs Institute Reviewer's Manual,
- 330 The Joanna Briggs Institute, 2017.

- 331 29. Bressan V, Bagnasco A, Aleo G, Timmins F, Barisone M, Bianchi M, et al. Mixed-methods research
- in nursing a critical review. Journal of Clinical Nursing 2016; 26(19-20): 2878-2890.
- 30. Orr N, Bethel A, Whear R, Abbot R, Garside R, Thompson-Coon J, et al. What are the effects of
- human-animal interaction on the health and wellbeing of residents in care homes? A synthesis of
- 335 qualitative and quantitative evidence; [internet]. [cited Available from: PROSPERO 2017
- 336 CRD42017058201 Available from:
- 337 http://www.crd.york.ac.uk/PROSPERO/display record.php?ID=CRD42017058201 accessed.
- 338 31. Engle G. The clinical application of the biopsychosocial model. American Journal of Psychiatry
- 339 1980; 137(5): 535-544.
- 32. Liberati A, Altman DG, Tetzlaff J, Mulrow C, Gotzsche PC, Ioannidis JP, et al. The PRISMA
- 341 statement for reporting systematic reviews and meta-analyses of studies that evaluate health care
- interventions: explanation and elaboration. J Clin Epidemiol 2009; 62(10): e1-34.
- 33. Tufanaru C, Munn Z, Aromataris E, Campbell J and Hopp L. Chapter 3: Systematic reviews of
- effectiveness. In: Aromataris E and Munn Z, eds. Joanna Briggs Institute Reviewer's Manual,
- 345 2015/09/12 edn. The Joanna Briggs Institute, 2017.
- 34. The Joanna Briggs Institute, Lockwood C, Porrit K, Munn Z, Rittenmeyer L, Salmond S, et al.
- Chapter 2: Systematic reviews of qualitative evidence. In: Aromataris E and Z. M, eds. Joanna Briggs
- 348 Institute Reviewer's Manual 2017.

349

350

### Appendix I - Search Strategy

- 351 CINAHL search conducted 16th May 2019
- 352 S1 ((MH "Aged") OR (MH "Frail Elderly") OR (MH "Aged, 80 and Over") ) OR TI ( "aged" OR "elderly"
- OR "senior" OR "older people" OR "geriatric" OR "older person" ) OR AB ( "aged" OR "elderly" OR
- "senior" OR "older people" OR "geriatric" OR "older person" ) OR ( (MH "Nursing Home Patients") OR
- 355 (MH "Residential Facilities") OR (MH "Long Term Care") OR (MH "Residential Care") OR (MH
- "Nursing Homes") OR (MH "Housing for the Elderly") OR (MH "Gerontologic Care") ) OR TI ( "nursing
- home resident" OR "residential facilit\*" OR "long term care" OR "residential care" OR "nursing home"
- 358 OR "aged care") OR AB ( "nursing home resident" OR "residential facilit\*" OR "long term care" OR
- "residential care" OR "nursing home" OR "aged care") (879,304)
- 360 S2( (MH "Animal Assisted Therapy (Iowa NIC)") OR (MH "Pet Therapy") OR (MH "Dogs") ) OR TI (
- 361 "animal-assisted" OR "pet therapy" OR "animal facilitated therapy" OR "pet facilitated therapy" OR
- "dogs") OR AB ("animal-assisted" OR "pet therapy" OR "animal facilitated therapy" OR "pet
- 363 facilitated therapy" OR "dogs" ) (10,518)
- 364 S3 S1 AND S2 (851)
- 365 S4 S1 AND S2 Limiters Published Date: 20090401-20190531; English Language (480)