

This is an Open Access document downloaded from ORCA, Cardiff University's institutional repository:<https://orca.cardiff.ac.uk/id/eprint/134596/>

This is the author's version of a work that was submitted to / accepted for publication.

Citation for final published version:

Ciampalini, R., Constantine, J. A., Walker-Springett, K. J., Hales, T. C. , Ormerod, S. J. and Hall, I. R. 2020. Modelling soil erosion responses to climate change in three catchments of Great Britain. *Science of the Total Environment* 749 , 141657. [10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.141657](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.141657)

Publishers page: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.141657>

Please note:

Changes made as a result of publishing processes such as copy-editing, formatting and page numbers may not be reflected in this version. For the definitive version of this publication, please refer to the published source. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite this paper.

This version is being made available in accordance with publisher policies. See <http://orca.cf.ac.uk/policies.html> for usage policies. Copyright and moral rights for publications made available in ORCA are retained by the copyright holders.



# 1 **Modelling soil erosion responses to climate change in three catchments of Great Britain**

2 R. Ciampalini<sup>1,2</sup>, J.A. Constantine<sup>3</sup>, K.J. Walker-Springett<sup>1,4</sup>, T.C. Hales<sup>1,5</sup>, S.J.Ormerod<sup>4</sup> and I.R. Hall<sup>1</sup>

3  
4 <sup>1</sup>School of Earth and Ocean Sciences, Cardiff University, Cardiff CF10 3AT, UK

5 <sup>2</sup>LISAH, INRA, IRD, Montpellier SupAgro, Univ Montpellier, FR, 34060, Montpellier, FR

6 <sup>3</sup>Department of Geosciences, Williams College, Williamstown, Massachusetts 01267 USA

7 <sup>4</sup>Water Research Institute, School of Biosciences, Cardiff University, Cardiff CF10 3AT, UK

8 <sup>5</sup>Sustainable Places Research Institute, Cardiff University, Cardiff CF10 3AT, UK

9  
10 Corresponding author: Rossano Ciampalini ([rossano.ciampalini@supagro.fr](mailto:rossano.ciampalini@supagro.fr))

## 11 12 13 14 **Abstract**

15 Simulations of 21<sup>st</sup> century climate change for Great Britain predict increased seasonal precipitation  
16 that may lead to widespread soil loss by increasing surface runoff. Land use and different vegetation  
17 cover can respond differently to this scenario, mitigating or enhancing soil erosion. Here, by means of  
18 a sensitivity analysis of the PESERA soil erosion model, we test the potential for climate and vegetation  
19 to impact soil loss by surface-runoff mechanisms to three differentiated British catchments. First, to  
20 understand general behaviours, we modelled soil erosion adopting regular increments for rainfall and  
21 temperature from the baseline values (1961-1990). Then, we tested future climate change scenarios  
22 adopting projections from UKCP09 (UK Climate Projections) under the IPCC (Intergovernmental  
23 Panel on Climate Change) on a defined medium CO<sub>2</sub> emissions scenario, SRES A1B (Special Report  
24 on Emissions Scenarios, SRES: IPCC, 2000), at the horizons 2010-39, 2040-69 and 2070-99. Our  
25 results indicate that the model reacts to the changes of the climatic parameters and the three catchments  
26 respond differently depending on their land use arrangement. Increases in rainfall produce a rise in soil  
27 erosion while higher temperatures tend to lower the process because of the mitigating action of the

28 vegetation. Even under a significantly wetter climate, warmer air temperatures can limit soil erosion  
29 across areas with permanent vegetation cover by enhancing primary productivity and in turn improving  
30 leaf interception, soil infiltration-capacity, and the erosive resistance of soil. Consequently, under a  
31 global balance and for specific land uses, the increase in air temperature associated with climate change  
32 can modify the rainfall thresholds required to generate soil loss, and rates of soil erosion could decline  
33 by up to about 30% from 2070-2099.

34 We deduce that enhanced primary productivity due to climate change can introduce a negative-feedback  
35 mechanism limiting soil loss by surface runoff as vegetation-induced impacts on soil hydrology and  
36 erodibility offset the effects of increased precipitation. The expansion of permanent vegetation cover  
37 could provide an adaptation strategy to reduce climate-driven soil loss.

38

39

40 **Keywords**

41 Soil erosion, Pesera model, sensitivity analysis, climate change, vegetation productivity

42

43

44

## 45 **1. Introduction**

46 Intensifying seasonal precipitation predicted to result from climate change (Kharin et al., 2007;  
47 O’Gorman and Schneider, 2009) will increase surface runoff and possibly rates of soil erosion  
48 (Nearing et al., 2004; Lal, 2004; Boardman, 2013; Galy et al., 2015, Burt et al., 2016), thereby  
49 increasing economic costs associated with soil loss, which was as high £177m (\$207m USD, 2019) in  
50 England and Wales alone (UK Environment Agency, 2019).

51 Surface runoff occurs as result of a number of natural mechanisms, including infiltration-excess  
52 overland flow, return flow, and direct precipitation onto saturated soil. These mechanisms may  
53 generate soil erosion by detaching and entraining soil particles over widespread areas (Hairsine and  
54 Rose, 1992; Whiting et al., 2001).

55 Vegetation can limit this process and may decrease the likelihood of surface runoff by reducing the  
56 intensity of rainfall through leaf interception as well as the moisture content of soil through  
57 transpiration (Zuazo et al., 2008). Nevertheless, its role in controlling surface runoff for assessing the  
58 potential climate-driven soil erosion by water remains uncertain (IPCC, 2019; Rogers et al. 2017).

59 Anthropogenic increases in atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations may increase the water-use efficiency of  
60 plants by lowering transpiration rates, which could promote the generation of surface runoff by  
61 enhancing the moisture content of soil (Cramer et al., 2001; Gedney et al., 2006, Keenan et al., 2013).  
62 However, increased atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> may also enhance plant productivity by acting as a fertilizer  
63 (Melillo et al., 1993), which could increase both plant water-usage and the infiltration capacity of soil  
64 through the addition of soil organic matter, thereby reducing the likelihood of surface runoff during  
65 precipitation events. Experimental results support predictions of increased plant productivity in  
66 response to elevated air temperatures and precipitation amounts (Piao et al., 2007; Walker et al.,  
67 2006), both of which are expected to increase in future climate scenarios (Boardman and Favis-  
68 Mortlock, 1993; Kharin et al., 2007; O’Gorman and Schneider, 2009).

69 The research of the climatic impact on soil erosion (Xiong et al., 2019) is typically based on erosion  
70 modelling techniques, of which extensive reviews are reported in Li and Fang (2016) and Pandey et  
71 al. (2016). Process-based models have been widely used in assessments of climate-driven impacts on  
72 soil erosion (e.g., Favis-Mortlock and Boardman, 1995; Luetzenburg et al., 2019; Mullan, 2012; 2013;

73 Nunes et al., 2013; Pastor et al., 2019, Routschek et al., 2014, 2015; Serpa et al., 2015), including  
74 impacts on vegetation and on agricultural practices in different climates (e.g., Garbrecht et al., 2015;  
75 Nearing et al., 2005; O’Neal et al., 2005; Pruski and Nearing, 2002a; Scholz et al., 2008; Zhang et al.,  
76 2005, 2012). Despite of the large number of approaches existing for modelling erosion, process-based  
77 models are frequently uniquely suited for explicitly considering specific soil transport mechanisms  
78 and the impacts of climatic factors on soil hydrology (Guo et al., 2019).

79 In this research, using a model sensitivity analysis, we aimed to investigate the potential role of  
80 climate change and plant productivity on soil erosion by surface runoff in a context of predicted  
81 increases of precipitation and temperature. For that, we opted to a process-based model  
82 implementable at spatial scales relevant to catchment-wide assessments of soil loss, in which  
83 catchments can be greater than 100 km<sup>2</sup> in size and be comprised of the full range of plant functional  
84 types. The Pan European Soil Erosion Risk Assessment (PESERA) model was specifically designed  
85 to accomplish such task (Kirkby et al., 2008).

86 PESERA calculates soil erosion via infiltration-excess overland flow by explicitly considering a range  
87 of physical processes controlling soil hydrology and has been successfully employed across many  
88 natural settings (e.g., Baggaley et al., 2010, De Vente et al., 2008; Esteves et al., 2012; Karamesouti et  
89 al., Licciardello et al., 2009; Meusburger et al., 2010; Pásztor et al., 2010; Tsara et al., 2005).

90 PESERA only considers soil transfer by sheet wash and rilling during infiltration-excess overland  
91 flow, but both are widespread and pervasive mechanisms of soil transfer that can be simply and  
92 explicitly linked to land cover and climate, making it particularly useful for assessing the ability of  
93 vegetation to mitigate climate impacts on widespread soil loss. PESERA has also been used as base-  
94 platform to prepare similar models that implement procedures simulating other soil surface processes.  
95 These include PESERA-L (Borselli et al., 2011), which integrates sediment yield due to shallow mass  
96 movement, PESERA-DESMICE (Fleskens et al., 2016), which extends its functionalities to evaluate  
97 the agricultural financial viability of the measures to mitigate land degradation, and PESERA-PEAT  
98 (Li et al., 2016), where the model was modified to include blanket peat erosion processes. Some  
99 specific sensitivity analyses of PESERA also exist, such as that by Cheviron et al. (2010; 2011) who

100 clarified the influence of the major model parameters, and Baggaley et al. (2017) who tested the effect  
101 of topography and soil erodibility characteristics.

102 We applied PESERA to three characteristic catchments comprised of the major land-cover types of  
103 Great Britain, similar to those in environments of temperate climates across the globe (Prentice et al.,  
104 1992). The Conwy (Wales), Ehen (England), and Dee (Scotland) catchments (Figure 1) are  
105 characterized by significant intra- and inter-catchment differences in topography, climate, soil and  
106 land use (Table 1), allowing us to describe the sensitivity of land-cover specific soil erosion to these  
107 variables. Further, the catchments have been recognized as important habitats for the endangered  
108 freshwater pearl mussel (*Margaritifera margaritifera*) (Cooksley et al., 2012; Joint Nature  
109 Conservation Committee, 2007), whose life cycles are negatively affected by fine-grained particulates  
110 derived from upland erosion (Geist and Auerswald, 2007).

111 Climatic responses to increased emissions of greenhouse gases are predicted to similarly affect each  
112 of the catchments. For example, under the IPCC-defined medium-emissions scenario SRES A1B  
113 (IPCC, 2000), UK Met Office Climate Predictions (Jenkins et al., 2010) indicate that, for the time  
114 period 2070-2099, average monthly rainfall within each catchment could increase by more than 20%  
115 relative to modern conditions, with much of this increase occurring during winter months. With the  
116 aim to inspect the impact of rainfall and air temperature on soil erosion over the current century, first,  
117 to understand the general behaviour, we adopted regular increments for rainfall (from -25 to +100%)  
118 and temperature (from +0 to +8°) from the baseline values (1961-1990), then, we assessed erosion  
119 rates for the periods 2010-39, 2040-69 and 2070-99 using specific future climate scenarios adopting  
120 projections from UKCP09 (UKCP09 - UK Climate Projections, 2017a, 2017b) under the IPCC  
121 (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change) on a defined medium CO<sub>2</sub> emissions scenario, SRES  
122 A1B (Special Report on Emissions Scenarios, SRES: IPCC, 2000).

123

## 124 **2. Materials and Methods**

### 125 **2.1 Summary of the modelling approach**

126 PESERA is a spatially distributed, physically-based, continuous model created to quantify soil erosion

127 over wide areas with different land-use types, soils, and landscape features. In PESERA, soil  
128 erodibility is a specific property that defines a soil's potential to be eroded and transported by water  
129 processes. However, the model does not differentiate between rill and interrill processes. Soil  
130 erodibility and soil crusting are associated to characteristic soil surface properties (e.g., texture,  
131 vegetation cover, and organic carbon) through the application of pedotranfer functions (Kirkby et al.,  
132 2003a; Le Bissonnais et al., 2002, 2005).

133

134 PESERA uses topography, climate, and soil characteristics to determine when rainfall intensity  
135 exceeds infiltration capacity generating runoff. Vegetation directly affects this threshold by limiting  
136 rainfall intensity through interception and increasing infiltration capacity through soil organic matter.  
137 Vegetation also functions to protect soil particles from being detached, thus reducing soil erodibility.  
138 Gross primary productivity is determined as a function of actual evapotranspiration based on  
139 empirical data (Lieth, 1975), with net primary productivity determined as the difference between  
140 gross primary productivity and temperature-driven respiration (Kirkby et al., 2008). Forests are  
141 comprised of a composite of conifers and deciduous trees, where the timing of leaf senescence is  
142 driven by changes in air temperature (Kirkby et al., 2008). The PESERA estimate of plant  
143 productivity can be seen as a maximum because the impacts of the limited availability of nutrients are  
144 not considered. Any enhancement in primary productivity could result in an exhaustion of nutrients  
145 within the rooting zone, thereby limiting vegetation growth in the long-term (Reich et al., 2014).

146 In its implementation, PESERA iterates model runs integrating vegetation-growth dynamics and a  
147 soil-water balance until a temporally stable output between rainfall, soil, and vegetation growth is  
148 generated. The implementation also assumes that daily rainfall amounts follow a gamma distribution,  
149 which is defined using empirical data described below and a probability density function based on  
150 input precipitation values to calculate daily rainfall, runoff, and erosion for all possible storm events.

151

152 We note that, in PESERA, rainfall characterization may underestimate the role of short-duration,  
153 high-intensity precipitation events in fostering surface runoff. However, the model has been calibrated  
154 against field measurements (Pan-European Soil Erosion Risk Assessment map, Kirkby et al., 2003a)

155 that show non-linear increases in surface runoff and soil erosion with intensifying precipitation. This  
156 non-linear relationship is consistent with process-based models involving hourly rainfall rates  
157 (Nearing et al., 2005; Pruski and Nearing, 2002b). Most important to our analysis, the characterization  
158 of rainfall at daily timescales allows PESERA simulations to be directly integrated with UKCP09  
159 predictions.

160

161 We first assessed the sensitivity of erosion to changes in rainfall and air temperature, ensuring that the  
162 ranges of rainfall and temperature changes encompassed the expected variations reported in the  
163 UKCP09 projections. We defined baseline conditions for each catchment using the UKCP09 defined  
164 baseline period, 1961-1990, with values of monthly average temperature, monthly average rainfall,  
165 and daily total rainfall derived and interpolated from available weather station data. The sensitivity  
166 analysis then involved increasing mean-monthly air temperature from 1 to 8 °C above the 1961-1990  
167 equivalent baseline values. Mean-monthly rainfall was adjusted by a fixed percentage from -25 to  
168 +100% of the corresponding baseline values (Figure 4).

169

170 In our modelling framework, we held the spatial distribution of land cover unchanged, allowing us to  
171 directly consider specific UKCP09 projections for the SRES A1B emissions scenario during the  
172 following defined time periods: 2010-2039, 2040-2069, and 2070-2099 (Figure 2). UKCP09 climate  
173 simulations indicate probable changes in future air temperature and precipitation, and we utilised the  
174 10th, 50th, and 90th percentiles of the outputs for each period. We used two-tailed t-tests and  
175 Kruskal-Wallis (KW) tests to quantify the significance of differences in the populations of our  
176 measurements. Kolmogorov-Smirnov (KS) tests were applied to assess the distinctiveness of  
177 measurement distributions.

178

## 179 **2.2 Description of PESERA**

180 Details of the modelling framework and data requirements of PESERA have been previously reported  
181 (Kirkby et al., 2003a; 2003b; 2008), but we offer a description of the modelling framework here. An



182 initial assumption of the model is that, during overland flow, sediment is transported at a rate equal to  
183 the flow's transport capacity per unit of flow width ( $T$ ), which can be stated as the following based on  
184 the formulation by Kirkby et al. (2008):

185

$$186 \quad T = k_v q^2 \Lambda, \quad (1)$$

187

188 where  $T$  is measured in units of  $\text{kg m}^{-1} \text{day}^{-1}$ ,  $k_v$  is soil erodibility of a vegetated surface with units of  
189  $\text{kg m day L}^{-2}$ ,  $q$  is overland flow discharge per unit of flow width with units of  $\text{L m}^{-1} \text{day}^{-1}$ , and  $\Lambda$  is  
190 the local slope gradient. To account for the upslope contribution to overland flow, Eq. (1) can be  
191 restated as:

192

$$193 \quad T = k_v (rx)^2 \Lambda, \quad (2)$$

194

195 where  $r$  is the infiltration-excess runoff in units of  $\text{L m}^{-2} \text{day}^{-1}$  for each storm and  $x$  is the distance  
196 from the drainage divide in units of meters. The cumulative value of  $T$  that results from the frequency  
197 distribution of storm events that occur in a month can then be written as:

198

$$199 \quad \sum T = k_v x^2 \Lambda \sum r^2. \quad (3)$$

200

201 Eq. (3) is used in a relation that allows for estimates of the hillslope-length averaged Sediment Yield  
202 ( $Y$ ) to the slope base, or:

203

$$204 \quad Y = \frac{\sum C_b}{x_b} = k_v x_b \Lambda_b \sum r^2 \quad (4)$$

205

206 where the unit of  $Y$  is  $\text{kg m}^{-2} \text{day}^{-1}$  and the subscript  $b$  denotes an evaluation at the hillslope base.

207 Eq. (4) does not consider fractions of sediment stored within the hillside during soil transfer, and

208 PESERA does not model soil transfer through the catchment network. Moreover, the equation also

209 does not consider the range of grain sizes that can be mobilized across the hillside, effectively treating  
210 all grain sizes as equally mobile. PESERA solves Eq. (4) within a raster model of the landscape that  
211 requires spatially distributed values of  $k_s$ , estimates of local relief derived from a digital elevation  
212 model, and spatially distributed estimates of  $r$  derived from a biophysical model.

213 The calculation of  $r$  is based on a bucket model that states:

214

$$215 \quad r = p(R - h), \quad (5)$$

216

217 where  $R$  is the total daily rainfall that reaches the soil surface and  $h$  is the runoff threshold or the  
218 maximum rainfall amount that can infiltrate into the soil, having unit  $m$ , and  $p$  is the proportion of  
219 rainfall above the runoff threshold. The value of  $h$  is determined from soil classification data and  
220 estimates of the hydrological conditions within the near surface, including surface roughness (e.g., the  
221 storage capacity of furrows), the soil water holding capacity, and soil crusting. For the hydrological  
222 conditions of the near surface, PESERA constructs a water balance for each storm event, estimating  
223 amounts of interception loss due to vegetation cover, evapotranspiration loss due to vegetation cover  
224 and climate conditions, and the loss due to the subsurface flow of infiltrated water modelled using  
225 TopModel (Beven and Kirkby, 1979).

226

227 Vegetation is explicitly modelled by PESERA, which considers both natural and crop cover, and  
228 exerts three important controls on the likelihood of soil erosion during storm events. First, the  
229 presence of vegetation cover limits the amount of rainfall that reaches the surface through  
230 interception, so that  $R = R0(1 - pI)$  where  $R0$  ( $m$ ) is the rainfall above the canopy and  $pI$  is the  
231 proportion of rainfall that is intercepted. PESERA determines  $pI$  using the following:

232

$$233 \quad pI = 1 - \exp\left(-\frac{V}{5}\right), \quad (6)$$

234

235 where  $V$  is aboveground plant biomass in units of  $\text{kg ha}^{-1}$ , which dynamically evolves as a function of  
236 climatic conditions. Second, vegetation increases the organic content of soil, which increases the  
237 soil's water-holding capacity and thus the runoff threshold,  $h$ . PESERA accounts for this in its  
238 calculation of  $h$ , using the following:

239

$$240 \quad h = bh_m + VI + \lambda O, \quad (7)$$

241

242 where  $b$  is the proportion of bare soil,  $h_m$  is water storage within the mineral components of the soil,  $I$   
243 is the canopy storage of intercepted water per unit of biomass,  $\lambda$  is water storage within the organic  
244 components of the soil per unit of mass of organic soil, having unit  $m$ , and  $O$  ( $\text{kg ha}^{-1}$ ) is the mass of  
245 organic soil. And third, the presence of vegetation decreases soil erodibility, limiting rates of soil loss  
246 during a storm, and the soil erodibility of a vegetated surface ( $k_v$ ) is calculated as:

247

$$248 \quad k_v = k_0 \exp\left(-\frac{\theta}{pr_0\varepsilon}\right), \quad (8)$$

249

250 where  $k_0$  is the soil erodibility of the bare surface derived from soil classification data ( $\text{kg m day L}^{-2}$ ),  
251  $\theta$  is a flow-threshold for sediment entrainment ( $\text{L m}^{-1} \text{day}^{-1}$ ),  $r_0$  is the mean rainfall amount per rain  
252 day ( $\text{L m}^{-2} \text{day}^{-1}$ ) and  $\varepsilon$ , with unit  $m$ , is the product of slope length and  $\mathcal{A}$ . The variable  $k_0$  is primarily  
253 a function of grain-size characteristics, with the highest values for sandy and silty soils with low clay  
254 content (Kirkby et al., 2008). The variable  $\theta$  reflects the partitioning of shear stress exerted by  
255 overland flow onto both the soil surface and vegetation. The less vegetation that is present, the greater  
256 the amount of shear stress exerted onto the soil surface and the lower the value of  $\theta$ .

257

258 The model keeps track of three important values associated with vegetation. The outline of this  
259 portion of the model is presented here; for details and supporting references, interested readers should  
260 see Kirkby et al. (2008). The change in foliar cover ( $c$ ) is determined as:

261

262  $\Delta c = (c_0 - c)e^{-0.2V},$  (9)

263

264 where  $c_0$ , the equilibrium cover, is calculated as the ratio between the actual evapotranspiration and  
265 the potential evapotranspiration. At each time-step,  $V$  is determined by adding the net primary  
266 productivity ( $NPP$ ) to the previous time-step's biomass. The  $NPP$ , in turn, is calculated as:

267

268  $NPP = GPP - \Sigma - \Omega,$  (10)

269

270 where  $GPP$  is gross primary productivity,  $\Sigma$  is respiration, and  $\Omega$  is leaf and root fall.  $GPP$  is  
271 determined as a linear function of plant water use based on available empirical data (Lieth et al.,  
272 1975); note, however, that these data are for  $NPP$  and, thus, the model will conservatively  
273 underestimate the amount of biomass generation. The variable  $\Sigma$  is determined as a function of  
274 temperature, and  $\Omega$  contribute organic matter to the soil at a rate that is dependent on biomass; the soil  
275 organic matter, in turn, decays at an exponential rate dependent on temperature.

276

### 277 **2.3 The Study Sites**

278 The 55-km long River Conwy drains 627 km<sup>2</sup> of north Wales into the Irish Sea at the Conwy Estuary.  
279 The Conwy uplands extend into the Meignant Moor of Snowdonia National Park, a Special Area of  
280 Conservation designated under the European Union Habitats Directive and characterized by steep  
281 slopes and flashy discharge. The average annual discharge of the River Conwy is 19 m<sup>3</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>, with a  
282 95% exceedance discharge of 1.4 m<sup>3</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> and a 5% exceedance discharge of 46 m<sup>3</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> at the  
283 Cwmlanerch gauging station (EA No. 66011) for the period 1964-2011. Climate data for the period  
284 1961-1990 indicate an increase in rainfall from the coast to the upland borders of the catchment, from  
285 400 to nearly 2000 mm annually. The climate data also indicate that precipitation events are most  
286 frequent during autumn (September to November) and winter months (December to February). Mean  
287 winter and summer temperatures (at sea level) are 4.9 and 15.0 °C. UK Met Office Climate  
288 Predictions (UKCP09, Jenkins et al., 2010) indicate that, for the period between 2070 and 2099,  
289 summer and winter temperatures across Wales will increase on average by 3.3 and 2.9 °C, with

290 precipitation decreasing by 18.2% during summer months and increasing by 11.3% during winter  
291 months relative to 1961-1990 averages. Ordovician, Silurian and Cambrian igneous and sedimentary  
292 rocks underlie much of the Conwy catchment, which generally weather into brown podzolic soils,  
293 peats and gleys. Overall, the Conwy catchment is rural, with urban areas making up only 1.2% of the  
294 region. The dominant land use is pasture and grassland, accounting for 64% of the catchment and  
295 supporting the primary contributor to the economy, cattle and sheep farming. Over 28% of the  
296 remaining land area is managed or natural forest (Fuller et al., 2002).

297

298 The 27-km long River Ehen drains 225 km<sup>2</sup> of England's west coast into the Irish Sea, with  
299 headwaters in the Ennerdale Water, a deep glacial lake that also serves as a reservoir for several urban  
300 areas in the region. The average annual discharge of the river is 5.2 m<sup>3</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>, with a 95% exceedance  
301 discharge of 0.94 m<sup>3</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> and a 5% exceedance discharge of 11.9 m<sup>3</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> at the Braystones gauging  
302 station (EA No. 74005) for the period 1974-2011. Average annual precipitation is between 158 and  
303 1250 mm across the catchment with much of the precipitation occurring during autumn and winter  
304 months. The mean seasonal summer to winter temperature range is approximately 10.7 oC, with a  
305 winter mean temperature of 3.8 °C and a summer mean temperature of 14.5 °C. Predictions (UKCP09,  
306 Jenkins et al., 2010) indicate that, for the period between 2070 and 2099, summer and winter  
307 temperatures across the region will increase on average by 3.4 and 2.6 °C, with precipitation  
308 decreasing by 15.7% during summer months and increasing by 20.2% during winter months relative  
309 to 1961-1990 averages. Impervious Borrowdale volcanics underlie the upper portions of the  
310 catchment, and Ordovician sedimentary rocks are found in the lower portions, forming podzolic soils,  
311 peats and gleys in the uplands and brown soils in the lowlands. Most of the catchment is comprised of  
312 pasture and grassland (55%), with arable and forested lands being equally represented, each  
313 comprising 20% of the catchment (Fuller et al., 2002). Conservation efforts have led to the end of  
314 managed forestry, thought to be a major instigator of soil erosion within the uplands of the catchment  
315 (Killeen, 2009).

316

317 The 140-km long River Dee drains 2100 km<sup>2</sup> of eastern Scotland into the North Sea at the Dee  
318 Estuary (Baggaley et al., 2009). The headwaters are found in the Cairngorm massif in north-eastern  
319 Scotland, which forms part of the Cairngorm National Park. The average annual discharge of the Dee  
320 is 47.1 m<sup>3</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>, with a 95% exceedance discharge of 8.75 m<sup>3</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> and a 5% exceedance discharge of 95.4  
321 m<sup>3</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> at the Park gauging station (SEPA No. 12002) for the period 1972-2011. The catchment  
322 receives approximately 810 to 2100 mm of precipitation annually, most of which falls in the winter  
323 months, 30% of it as snow. The mean seasonal summer-winter temperature range is 10.8°C, with a  
324 mean winter temperature of 3.4 °C and a mean summer temperature of 14.2 °C (at sea level).  
325 Predictions (UKCP09, Jenkins et al., 2010) indicate that, for the period between 2070 and 2099,  
326 summer and winter temperatures across eastern Scotland will increase on average by 3.4 and 2.3 °C,  
327 with precipitation decreasing by 10.7% during summer months and increasing by 7.4% during winter  
328 months relative to 1961-1990 averages. Heavily metamorphosed Precambrian sedimentary rocks  
329 flanked by igneous intrusive rocks of the Caledonian orogeny underlie the catchment, forming humic-  
330 iron podzolic soils in the lowlands and expansive areas of poorly drained blanket peat bogs and  
331 podzolic soils in the uplands. Most of the catchment is comprised of forest and moorland (71.2%),  
332 with pasture and grassland comprising 17.5% and arable land comprising 7.9% (Fuller et al., 2002).  
333 The uplands have been identified as being particularly susceptible to erosion due to the prevalence of  
334 peaty soils (Towers et al., 2006).

335

#### 336 **2.4 Terrain data**

337 Topographic information for each catchment was derived from the Landmap Digital Terrain Model,  
338 which provides photogrammetrically derived elevation-data at 5-m resolution resampled, which we  
339 resampled to 100-m to fit an affordable resolution for modelling. Data for soil characteristics of the  
340 Conwy and Ehen catchments were obtained under license from the UK National Soil Research  
341 Institute (NATMAP1000, National Soil Map of England and Wales, 2013), and of the Dee from the  
342 James Hutton Research Institute (Soil Information for Scottish Soils, SIFSS). The soil data were used  
343 to give field capacity and saturated water capacity for each dominant soil series. At a resolution of  
344 100-m with soils data of a lower resolution (1-km) than used in PESERA, crusting and erodibility

345 were obtained through a conversion from soil texture (percentage of sand, silt and clay) (Baggaley et  
346 al., 2010, 2011).

347

348 Land cover data were obtained from the UK Centre for Ecology and Hydrology under the Land Cover  
349 Map 2000 (LCM2000) based on Landsat image data. Most of each of the catchments is covered by  
350 forest or grassland, providing a permanent cover of the soil surface throughout the year. Agricultural  
351 crops consist of vegetables, forage, and other minor cultures such as root crops and oilseeds. These  
352 cultures have a harvesting cycle mainly between March and August, and specific calendars for  
353 planting and harvesting have been obtained from UK Agri-Environment Offices (Table 1).

354

## 355 **2.5 Climate**

356 In our assessment of potential climate-change impacts, we defined the period 1961-1990 as the  
357 baseline following the UKCP09 – Observed UK climate data (1961-1990) (UKCP09, 2017a). For  
358 each catchment, precipitation data were processed for PESERA by computing monthly rainfall  
359 metrics at each rain gauge site as follows: mean monthly rainfall, mean rain per rain day, and the  
360 coefficient of variation of mean rain per rain day. Linear regressions of gauge elevation and rainfall  
361 were used to interpolate the station data between gauge sites, forming a contiguous grid across all  
362 three catchments. Rainfall gauges were grouped according to elevation (0-10 m; 10-100 m; 100-200  
363 m; 200-300 m; 300-400 m & 400-500 m), and monthly and daily rainfall data were averaged across  
364 all gauges within each elevation group. These mean values for monthly and daily rainfall were then  
365 plotted against the upper value of each elevation groups (i.e. 10 m; 100 m, 200 m, 300 m; 400 m &  
366 500 m) from which a linear regression was fitted, giving an equation linking rainfall metric (monthly  
367 or daily) to elevation. To calculate the coefficient of variation of mean rain per rain day across the  
368 whole catchment, the monthly coefficient of variation of mean rain per rain day data were first  
369 grouped into seasons (December to February – winter; March to May – spring; June to August –  
370 summer; September to November – Autumn) before being averaged across all gauges within each  
371 elevation group and plotted, as described previously. Finally, we converted gridded elevation data into  
372 monthly rainfall metrics using the regression equations described above. Mean daily temperature and

373 the daily temperature range were calculated from the weather stations in each catchment and then  
374 standardised by recalculating them at sea level using a lapse rate of 6°C per 1000 m. Where  
375 catchments had more than one temperature gauge, the catchments were divided into equally spaced  
376 parcels with temperature values derived from the nearest weather station.

377

378 Climate predictions of future changes were interpolated from weather station data provided by the UK  
379 Met Office and proportionally modified for the future scenarios using UKCP09 projections under the  
380 medium-emissions scenario SRES 1AB, projections are based on the UK Met Office Hadley Centre  
381 climate model HadCM3. Estimates of potential evapotranspiration across each catchment were  
382 obtained from the UK Met Office Rainfall and Evaporation Calculation System (MORECS, Hough et  
383 al., 1997).

384

385 Precipitation and temperature data for the periods 2010-2039, 2040-2069, and 2070-2099 were  
386 obtained from the 25 km-grid UK Climate Predictions (UKCP) User Interface (UK Climate  
387 Predictions 2012, Figure 2) for each calendar month under the SRES A1B emissions scenario. In  
388 particular, data were downloaded for each calendar month under the low, medium and high emissions  
389 scenarios and at the 10%, 50% and 90% probability levels. Probabilistic climate projection are  
390 measures of strength of evidence in different future climate change outcomes. These measures are  
391 based on the current available evidence, encapsulating some of the uncertainty associated with  
392 projecting future climate and conventionally concerning the probability of change being less than  
393 given thresholds. (Murphy et al., 2010). The resolution of UKCP predictions are 40 km<sup>2</sup>, and so each  
394 100-m<sup>2</sup> grid square of each catchment was attributed to the correct UKCP grid number using the  
395 extract value to points tool in ArcGIS. The nearest land predictions were used for parcels of land  
396 within UKCP09 grid squares that were predominantly ocean. Temperature data were standardised  
397 using a lapse rate of 6°C per 1000 m. The absolute change values from the UKCP-09 data were then  
398 added to the baseline values for precipitation and temperature. No change was made to the coefficient  
399 of rainfall per rain day values since the monthly and daily rainfall values had all been manipulated by  
400 exactly the same amount the degree of change remained constant. Temperature range was adjusted



401 using the absolute change values for minimum and maximum daily temperatures.

402

## 403 **2.6 Sensibility Analysis implementation**

404 Temperature and rainfall increments were examined using an experimental framework, combining  
405 temperatures ranging from 0 to 8 °C and rainfall variations from -25 to +100% compared to the  
406 baseline values (i.e., averages of 1961/1990 dataset). It should be noted that this combination attempts  
407 to represent and explore how the PESERA models react to a systematic change of these two variables  
408 and is not used for climatic modelling of the area (Figure 4). Within the charts, dots indicate the  
409 points related to the effective rainfall temperature combination of the three climatic scenarios derived  
410 from UKCP09 SRES A1B simulations. The procedure is useful to observe the reaction of the model,  
411 explore values other than those from real simulated scenarios, and to test different feedbacks in the  
412 context of climate and vegetation type.

413

## 414 **3. Results and Discussion**

### 415 **3.1 Retrospective**

416 The assessment of soil erosion by modelling remains a complex exercise due to uncertainty of the  
417 outputs, result validation (Alewell et al., 2019; Batista et al., 2019; Boardman, 2018; Evans & Brazier,  
418 2005; Evans et al., 2016; Nearing, 2011), and the models behaviour in simulating hydrological fluxes  
419 (Baartman et al., 2020; Eekhout & De Vente, 2019). In addition to inspecting PESERA's capabilities  
420 under the climate change constraints, some reflections should be directed to the previous soil erosion  
421 observations, when possible, in areas close to the catchments we modelled. The intention is to provide  
422 support, even if marginal, as an ideal validation of our results.

423

424 The main concern is scarcity of specific observations within the studied catchments as well as the  
425 availability of direct monitoring at the basin scale. Nevertheless, Great Britain presents consistent  
426 literature on soil erosion and a rich dataset of soil erosion observations, which has been collected  
427 since the 1960s using a wide range of methodologies on various spatial and temporal scales  
428 (Boardman, 2006, 2013; Boardman et al., 1990; Boardman & Evans, 2006, 2019; Brazier, 2004;

429 Evans, 1995, 2005; Evans et al., 2016, 2017). In situ observations over long periods have been  
430 performed in several programs. For instance, studies, such as the regional Soil Survey of England and  
431 Wales (SSEW, 1982-1986), Soil Survey and Land Research Centre (SSLRC, 1996-1998) on the  
432 location of the National Soil Inventory (NSI), and Agricultural Development and Advisory Service  
433 (ADAS, 1989-1994), have constituted some of the largest efforts in quantifying soil erosion  
434 nationwide until now (Boardman, 2002; Evans, 2005).

435

436 Recent works inventoried Great Britain erosion data to improve the understanding of the  
437 phenomenon. Benaud et al. (2020) realised a web-based, open-access, interactive geodatabase from  
438 previous records of soil erosion, which is at now available and integrable by users (i.e.,  
439 <https://piabenaud.shinyapps.io/SoilErosionMap>), that undoubtedly provides excellent support to  
440 collect past and future data as support for modelling validations. For a general reference of the  
441 phenomenon, a total of 1566 individual records across Great Britain have reported soil loss averages  
442 of 1.27 and 0.72 t ha<sup>-1</sup> y<sup>-1</sup> for arable and grassland, respectively. In addition, Graves et al. (2015)  
443 grouped erosion values from the available national datasets for the principal soils of Great  
444 Britain and determined erosion averages of 1.0 to 22.4, 0.05 to 0.75, and 0.01 to 0.5 t ha<sup>-1</sup> y<sup>-1</sup> in  
445 different soil textures of arable land, grasslands, and forestry, respectively. Similar values were  
446 summarized by Rickson et al. (2014) based on reports of Brazier (2004) and Brazier et al. (2012),  
447 providing rates of erosion from hillslope to the large catchment scale from various soil/land use  
448 combinations. Erosion data generally have a wide distribution of values (by one order of magnitude),  
449 and significant temporal trends have not been clearly identified by the numerous field observations  
450 over the country. Nevertheless, an increase in flooding due to land use changes have been recorded,  
451 such as in the case of observations of S-E England (South Downs) collected over 25 years  
452 (Boardman, 1976-2000; Boardman, 2003; Evans & Boardman, 2003). In general, even if the erosion  
453 is supposed to increase in the future because of increased rainfall (Burt et al., 2016) due to climate  
454 change (Boardman & Favis-Mortlock, 2001; Mullan et al., 2012), there are a multitude of other  
455 factors that make it difficult to understand this phenomenon such as changes in land use and crops  
456 that increase erosive cultures meant to increase soil loss (Boardman et al., 2009; Evans, 2002),

457 conversion to more intensive livestock rearing (Evans, 2006b) or changes in the timing of crop  
458 planting from spring to autumn. A report of state-of-the-art observations as support for erosion  
459 modelling activities is given by Evans et al. (2016).

460

461 To the best of our knowledge, there have been a few observations close to our study sites. For  
462 instance, in Cumbria County (Ehen catchment), Brazier (2004), from previous studies done by the  
463 Agricultural Development and Advisory Service in collaboration with the Soil Survey of England and  
464 Wales (1982-86), founded averages of about 0.22 t ha<sup>-1</sup> y<sup>-1</sup> in medium and light sandy loams, while  
465 Skinner and Chambers (1996), and similarly Evans (1993, 2013), reported rates of 1.5 m<sup>3</sup> ha<sup>-1</sup> for a  
466 four-year record in the same catchment.

467 The available information for Northern Wales (Conwy catchment) is, for the most part, referred to as  
468 the extensive grassland of the region. For instance, James et al. (1998) estimated annual soil loss  
469 ranging 0-2.7 t ha<sup>-1</sup> y<sup>-1</sup> from grassland in Clwydian Hill using plot simulations. McHug et al. (2002,  
470 2007) observed general increases in highlands of Wales and England fields and no changes in erosion  
471 for sites of northern Wales based on with data collected from 1997-1999 and 2001-2002.

472 Much of what we know about erosion rates on agricultural land in Eastern Scotland (Dee catchment)  
473 comes from individual studies (Davidson et al., 2001). Watson and Evans (2008) obtained large  
474 distribution values with a median of about 2.5 m<sup>3</sup> ha<sup>-1</sup> from field observations in agricultural lowlands.  
475 Rickson et al. (2019) utilized Scottish Environment Protection Agency (SEPA) surveys on 10 selected  
476 catchments and summarized previous datasets to estimate erosion rates of 0.01-23.0 t ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> in  
477 arable areas of Scotland in addition to soil losses of 0.13-0.33, 0.07-0.12, and 0.04-0.07 mm yr<sup>-1</sup> for  
478 arable land, rough grassland, and forests, respectively.

479

### 480 **3.2 Modelling results**

481 Our modelling results, under baseline conditions, determined average soil erosion rates of 0.24 and  
482 0.28 t ha<sup>-1</sup>yr<sup>-1</sup> for Conwy and Ehen catchments, respectively (Figure 3, Table 2). The Dee catchment  
483 experienced higher rates of soil loss, with a catchment-wide average soil erosion rate equal to 0.65 t  
484 ha<sup>-1</sup>yr<sup>-1</sup> due to the prevalence of highly erodible soils within steep catchment margins. Model results

485 under baseline conditions also reveal the importance of land cover in soil loss. In all cases, average  
486 soil erosion rates for forests and grasslands were significantly less than those for arable lands (t-tests:  
487  $\alpha=0.05$ ; KW:  $\alpha=0.05$ ). KS tests further confirm that the distribution of soil erosion rates for arable  
488 lands differs from forests and grasslands ( $\alpha<0.05$ ) in all catchments.

489 On average, PESERA predicts that forests, grasslands, and arable lands yield 0.36, 0.26, and 1.09  
490 t ha<sup>-1</sup>yr<sup>-1</sup> of soil during baseline conditions (Table 2). Values obtained for soils of forests, grassland,  
491 and arable land in Conwy, Ehen, and Dee catchments were determined to be 0.20, 0.22, 1.17; 0.25,  
492 0.23, 0.48; and 0.62, 0.32, 1.62 t ha<sup>-1</sup>yr<sup>-1</sup>, respectively.

493

494 In climatic projections for 2010-2039, 2040-2069, and 2070-2099 (Figure 4, Table 2), all the  
495 catchments show, at the 10<sup>th</sup> and 50<sup>th</sup> percentiles, values lower or slightly lower than the baseline  
496 (from 0.17 to 0.21, 0.22 to 0.28 and 0.44 to 0.66, t ha<sup>-1</sup>yr<sup>-1</sup>, for the Conwy, Ehen and Dee,  
497 respectively). At the 90<sup>th</sup> percentile, the Conwy catchment still holds values close to the baseline (0.23  
498 to 0.25 t ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>), while Ehen and Dee are higher than the reference (0.34 to 0.45 and 0.90 to 0.95 t  
499 ha<sup>-1</sup>yr<sup>-1</sup>, respectively).

500 Sensitivity analyses of testing temperature changes from 0 to 8 °C and rainfall variations from -25%  
501 to +100% reveal specific erosion trends, proportional to rainfall and inversely proportional to  
502 temperature risings (Figure 4). Globally, the values span from a minimum of 0.02 in Conwy to a  
503 maximum of 3.26 t ha<sup>-1</sup>y<sup>-1</sup> in Dee (Figure 5a) with variations from the baseline ranging from -90% to  
504 +402.9% (Figure 5b).

505

### 506 **3.3 General considerations**

507 The sensitivity analysis reveals that the three catchments have similar responses to the variations of  
508 climatic parameters (Figures 4 and 5): 1) The erosion rate displays a growing trend proportional to  
509 increasing rainfall, which is less evident in the Conwy and more pronounced in the Ehen and Dee, 2)  
510 A reduction effect of temperature on soil loss is observed in the Conway and Ehen catchments most  
511 likely due to vegetation production, but less evident in Dee as detailed below.

512 Regarding impacts of incremental increases in rainfall on soil erosion but at baseline temperature (i.e.,  
513 temperature increment = 0) (Figure 5b), we note a minor effect across the Conwy catchment (from 0  
514 to 213.5%), an average response across the Ehen (from -24.1 to 315.1%), and a maximum influence  
515 across the Dee (from -24.5 to 379.5%), which appears to result from the integrative effects of  
516 different land uses. Forest and grassland, the most mitigating surfaces, are dominant (92%) in the  
517 Conwy, where there are also limited crops (1.8%), and thus can contribute to reduced erosion. In  
518 contrast, crops can enhance the effect across the Dee as they comprise 7.2% of the land surface.  
519 Temperature also has a contribution in reducing erosion. At baseline rainfall values (Figure 5b) (i.e.,  
520 rainfall increment = 0), increases in temperature can reduce erosion to -45.5, -36.8, and -24.2% for the  
521 Conwy, Ehen, and Dee, respectively.

522 When erosion reduction is calculated respect to the baseline temperature within each relative rainfall  
523 increment (Figure 5c), it is still the greatest in the Conwy (from -90% at -25% rainfall to -28.5% at  
524 +100% rainfall), has an average effect in the Ehen (from -32.7% at -25% rainfall to -18.9% at +100%  
525 rainfall), and exerts a more constraining effect in the Dee (from -30% at -25% rainfall to 2.8% at  
526 +100% rainfall). This influence is more evident in the Conwy and Ehen due to the vegetation  
527 productivity of forest and grassland, which respectively comprise a total of 92% and 94% of the  
528 surface compared to 88% in the Dee catchment.

529 Based on our results, temperature growth can compensate for the increased erosion that would have  
530 otherwise been generated through increases in rainfall. As shown in Figure 5b, a reversal point is  
531 detected at 25% rainfall between 4-6 °C in the Conwy, at +5% rainfall between 0-1°C in the Ehen,  
532 and at +5% rainfall between 2-4°C in the Dee. The difference is still due to the effect of the higher  
533 percent of forest and grassland in the Conwy and Ehen than in the Dee.

534 Generally, the sensitivity analysis reveals the potential role of vegetation in limiting rates of soil loss  
535 caused by increased rainfall. Each catchment is capable of experiencing reduced rates of soil loss  
536 relative to baseline conditions when the increased rainfall is associated with higher air temperatures.  
537 If primary productivity is not limited by nutrient availability or soil moisture, then sustained increases  
538 in air temperature should lead to greater vegetation cover, requiring more rainfall to accelerate soil  
539 loss. Temperature-driven increases in aboveground biomass decrease the likelihood of infiltration-

540 excess runoff because of the associated increases in both rainfall interception and the soil's water-  
541 holding capacity (Routschek et al., 2014).

542

### 543 **3.4 Land use influence**

544 In conjunction with a decrease in soil erodibility caused by the enriched biomass, these effects can  
545 lead to a potential negative-feedback mechanism that can limit climate-driven soil loss, but only  
546 where vegetation cover persists throughout the year. Forests and grasslands, which comprise a greater  
547 proportion of the land surface of all catchments, appear relatively resilient to increased rainfall, where  
548 any associated increases in air temperature generally reduce changes in erosion (Figure 4, a and b).  
549 Conversely, large surfaces of arable lands can be more reactive to rainfall changes with a lower  
550 response to increases in temperature (Figure 4c). These behaviours are well observed in charts for  
551 different land uses, as shown in Figure 6, where the threshold line is shifted to the right in grassland  
552 and forest (towards high rainfall - high temperatures), with no substantial effect from high  
553 temperatures on arable lands.

554 The negative feedback provided by forests and grasslands should be limited during winter months,  
555 when aboveground biomass is reduced and rainfall is more intense. Seasonal patterns in soil loss  
556 across arable lands are more sensitive to agricultural cycles associated with particular crops, which  
557 will define the time periods when arable lands are fallow. Arable lands will thus require mitigation  
558 strategies to minimize soil loss during fallow periods when vegetation cover is minimal or absent.

559

### 560 **3.5 Climatic scenarios effect**

561 Mapping the UKCP09 projections for the IPCC medium-emissions scenario SRES A1B onto the  
562 results of the sensitivity analysis (Figures 4 and 6) reveals perhaps the most important implication of  
563 our findings. UKCP09 projections indicate that future climate changes may involve consistent  
564 changes in average-monthly rainfall and average-annual air temperatures. Consequently, moving  
565 through the 2010-39, 2040-69, and 2070-99 projections, we observe some differences between the  
566 erosion response of the catchments (Figure 4, Table 2). For the Conwy, we report a decrease of  
567 erosion rate at all the percentile levels (from 4.2 to -33%), which is more consistent at the 10<sup>th</sup>

568 percentile. In the Ehen, a slight decrease in erosion (from 0 to -28.6%) is detected at the lower  
569 percentiles (10<sup>th</sup> and 50<sup>th</sup>), while an increase is observed from 21.4 to 60.7% at the 90<sup>th</sup> percentile. The  
570 Dee catchment has a similar behaviour, but with a more consistent decrease for the 10<sup>th</sup> and 50<sup>th</sup>  
571 percentiles (from 1.5 to -35.8%) and higher erosion rates for the 90<sup>th</sup> percentile (from 31.1 to 46.2%).  
572 Over the statistical framework of the climatic scenario projections, it is reasonable to say that,  
573 considering the 90<sup>th</sup> percentile as the more “inclusive” of the climatic predictions, a generalised future  
574 decrease of the erosion rates is verified in the Conwy catchment, while an increase is identified for the  
575 Ehen and Dee.

576 Critical to our findings is that patterns of land use is the principal variable determining the varying  
577 responses to climate change between the catchments. Forest and grassland are responsible for a  
578 decreasing or stationary change in erosion rates, more pronounced for the Conwy and less marked in  
579 the Ehen and Dee. In the Dee, more erodible soils due to topography cause a slightly different  
580 response, but the effect of arable lands associated with increased erosion are present in almost all  
581 projections, especially in the Ehen and Dee catchments starting from 50<sup>th</sup> and 90<sup>th</sup> percentiles.

582 Although the manner by which vegetation will respond to climate change remains unclear (Arneth,  
583 2015, Davies-Barnard et al., 2015), including a possible expansion of arable lands (Adams et al., 1990;  
584 Nelson et al., 2014), our findings indicate the potential of climate change to reduce soil loss by  
585 enhancing primary productivity. Our results, illustrating the potential impacts of climate change on  
586 vegetation growth, are comparable to previous assessments (Bull, 1991; Melillo et al., 1993; Acosta et  
587 al., 2015). Moreover, the negative-feedback mechanism that we observed may be pervasive  
588 throughout permanently vegetated environments, given that soil erosion can occur due to a range of  
589 processes that can be limited by enhanced primary productivity (e.g., rain splash, debris flow).

590

#### 591 **4. Conclusions**

592 The objective of this research was to verify the impact of climate change and vegetation on soil  
593 erosion in three catchments by the way of a sensitivity analysis as modelled by the Pesera. For that,  
594 with adopted a systematic implementation of changes of temperature and rainfall to acknowledge  
595 general behaviour of the model, then, we assessed the impact on erosion using the climatic projection

596 from UKCP09 at the horizons 2010-39, 2040-69 and 2070-99. The results of the modelling suggest  
597 that an increasing in rainfall has a direct and positive effect on erosion, while temperature rise can  
598 mitigate runoff and erosion. Current evidence suggests that climate change is increasing net primary  
599 productivity (Nemani et al., 2003; Buermann et al., 2016; Ballantyne et al., 2017) with the potential  
600 for increased water usage (Frank et al., 2015; Berg et al., 2016) and vegetation cover on a global scale  
601 (Betts et al., 1997). Based on our findings, the presented modelling work is in line with these  
602 observations and highlights the potential impacts of climate change on soil erosion due to overland  
603 flow.

604

605 In areas with permanent vegetation cover, climate change could reduce the likelihood of overland  
606 flow by increasing interception losses and infiltration rates. Increases in below-ground biomass would  
607 also reduce soil erodibility. This effect is evident when arable lands and forest/grassland are  
608 compared: forest and grasslands are highly affected by primary vegetation productivity, mitigating the  
609 impact of climatic change (i.e., increased rainfall and temperature) on soil erosion; while arable lands  
610 are less influenced by the vegetation productivity effect due to their annual agricultural cycle. That  
611 said, there are three important issues should be further addressed regarding our findings.

612

613 First, plant growth in our modelling approach is driven primarily by air temperature and does not  
614 consider increases in atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>, which may end up improving plant water-use efficiency (Van  
615 der Sleen et al., 2015). Second, our use of daily rainfall in assessing precipitation may be too coarse to  
616 adequately document the impacts of short-lived, high-intensity events. Although predictions of hourly  
617 and sub-hourly rainfall resulting from climate change may be speculative, advances in modelling  
618 performance provide an opportunity to assess the impacts of rainfall extremes (Kendon et al., 2014).

619 And finally, our approach does not consider sustained shifts in land cover that may result directly  
620 (Eigenbrod et al., 2015) or indirectly (Nelson et al., 2014) from climate change. Nevertheless, our  
621 results confirm the potentially negative feedback of the function of plants in the environment as  
622 implemented in the model. Indeed, the results verify the importance of both conserving and expanding



623 vegetation cover to improve the landscapes' ability to withstand the impacts of climate change on  
624 widespread soil loss.

625

### 626 **Acknowledgments, Samples, and Data**

627 We thank Emmanuel Gabet, Loraine Whitmarsh, Nikki Baggaley, and Elizabeth B. Kendon for  
628 assistance in hypothesis development and data collection. The study was supported by an  
629 ESRC/NERC Interdisciplinary Research Studentship to K. Walker-Springett (ES/I004165/1) and  
630 funding from the Climate Change Consortium of Wales for R. Ciampalini. J.A.C. and S.J.O.  
631 conceived of the study. R.C. and K.W.-S. compiled baseline data and conducted model simulations.  
632 T.C.H. and I.R.H. assisted in hypothesis development and provided expertise in climate-change  
633 impacts and soil erosion. R.C., K.W.-S. and J.A.C. led data analysis and interpretation, assisted by all  
634 co-authors. J.A.C. drafted the paper, which was then reviewed by all co-authors. The authors declare  
635 no competing financial interests. A special appreciation is addressed to the reviewers who, in the  
636 different phases of this editorial realization, provided constructive contributions that largely aided the  
637 completion of this article in the right direction.

638

639 **References**

- 640 Acosta, V.T., T.F. Schildgen, B.A. Clarke, D. Scherler, B. Bookhagen, H. Wittmann, F. von  
641 Blanckenburg, & Strecker, M.R., 2015. Effect of vegetation cover on millennial-scale landscape  
642 denudation rates in East Africa. *Lithosphere*. 7, 408-420. doi: 10.1130/L402.1.
- 643 Adams, R.M., C. Rosenzweig, R.M. Peart, J.T. Ritchie, B.A. McCarl, J.D. Glycer, R.B. Curry, J.W. Jones,  
644 K.J. Boote, & Allen Jr., L.H., 1990. Global climate change and US agriculture. *Nature*. 345, 219-  
645 224. doi: 10.1038/345219a0.
- 646 Alewell, C., Borrelli, P., Meusburger, K., & Panagos, P. (2019). Using the USLE: Chances, challenges and  
647 limitations of soil erosion modelling. *International Soil and Water Conservation Research*, 7(3),  
648 203–225, doi:10.1016/j.iswcr.2019.05.004.
- 649 Arneth, A., 2015. Uncertain future for vegetation cover. *Nature*. 524, 44-45, doi: 10.1038/524044a.
- 650 Baartman, J. E. M., Nunes, J. P., Masselink, R., Darboux, F., Biielders, C., Degre, A., Cantreul, V., Cerdan,  
651 O., Grangeon, T., Fiener, P., Wilken, F., Shindewolf, M., Wainwright, J., 2020. What do models tell  
652 us about water and sediment connectivity? *Geomorphology*, 107300.  
653 doi:10.1016/j.geomorph.2020.107300.
- 654 Baggaley, N., 2011. Discussion regarding use of PESERA model, James Hutton Research Institute,  
655 Aberdeen.
- 656 Baggaley, N., Langan, S.J., Futter, M.N., Potts, J.M., and Dunn, S.M., 2009. Long-term trends in hydro-  
657 climatology of a major Scottish mountain river. *Science of the Total Environment*. 407, 4633-4641.  
658 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2009.04.015>.
- 659 Baggaley, N., Lilly, A., Walker, R., Castellazzi, M., 2010. An assessment of the data resolution required to  
660 run the PESERA soil erosion model at a catchment scale in a high latitude agricultural catchment,  
661 19th World Conference of Soil Solutions for a Changing World, Brisbane, Australia.
- 662 Baggaley, N., Potts, J., 2017, Sensitivity of the PESERA soil erosion model to terrain and soil inputs.  
663 *Geoderma Regional*. 11,104-112.
- 664 Ballantyne, A., Smith, W., Anderegg, W., Kauppi, P., Sarmiento, J., Tans, P., Shevliakova, E., Pan, Y.,  
665 Poulter, B., Anav, A., Friedlingstein, P., Houghton, R. & Running, S., 2017. Accelerating net  
666 terrestrial carbon uptake during the warming hiatus due to reduced respiration. *Nature Climate  
667 Change*. 7, 148-152. doi:10.1038/nclimate320.

668 Batista, P. V. G., Davies, J., Silva, M. L. N., & Quinton, J. N., 2019. On the evaluation of soil erosion  
669 models: Are we doing enough? *Earth-Science Reviews*, 102898.  
670 doi:10.1016/j.earscirev.2019.102898.

671 Benaud, P., Anderson, K., Evans, M., Farrow, L., Glendell, M., James, M. R., Quine, T.A., Quinton, J.N.,  
672 Rawlins, B., Rickson, R.J., Brazier, R.E., 2020. National-scale geodata describe widespread  
673 accelerated soil erosion. *Geoderma*, 371, 114378. doi:10.1016/j.geoderma.2020.114378.

674 Berg, A., et al., 2016. Land–atmosphere feedbacks amplify aridity increase over land under global  
675 warming, *Nature Climate Change*. 6, 869, doi:10.1038/nclimate3029.

676 Betts, R.A., Cox, P.M., Lee, S.E. & Woodward, F.I., 1997. Contrasting physiological and structural  
677 vegetation feedbacks in climate change simulations. *Nature*. 387, 796-799.

678 Beven, K.J. and Kirkby, M.J., 1979. A physically based, variable contributing area model of basin  
679 hydrology. *Hydrol. Sci. Bull. Sci. Hydrol.* 24, 1-3.

680 Boardman, J., 2002. The Need for Soil Conservation in Britain: Revisited. *Area* 34, 419–427.  
681 <https://doi.org/10.2307/20004273>.

682 Boardman, J., 2003. Soil erosion and flooding on the eastern South Downs, southern England, 1976-2001.  
683 *Transactions of the Institute of British Geographers*, 28(2), 176–196. doi:10.1111/1475-5661.00086.

684 Boardman, J., 2006. Soil erosion science: Reflections on the limitations of current approaches. *CATENA*,  
685 68(2-3), 73–86. doi:10.1016/j.catena.2006.03.007.

686 Boardman, J., 2013. Soil Erosion in Britain: Updating the Record. *Agriculture*. 3, 418-442,  
687 doi:10.3390/agriculture3030418.

688 Boardman, J., 2018. The Challenge of Soil Erosion: Where Do We Now Stand? *Int. J. Environ. Sci. Nat.*  
689 *Res.*, 15(1), 24-26, doi:10.19080/IJESNR.2018.15.555904.

690 Boardman, J., Evans, R., Favis-Mortlock, D. T., & Harris, T. M., 1990. Climate change and soil erosion on  
691 agricultural land in England and Wales. *Land Degradation and Development*, 2(2), 95–106.  
692 doi:10.1002/ldr.3400020204.

693 Boardman, J., & Evans, B., 2006. Britain. *Soil Erosion in Europe*, 439–453.  
694 doi:10.1002/0470859202.ch33.

695 Boardman, J., & Evans, R., 2019. The measurement, estimation and monitoring of soil erosion by runoff at  
696 the field scale: Challenges and possibilities with particular reference to Britain. *Progress in Physical*  
697 *Geography: Earth and Environment*, 030913331986183. doi:10.1177/0309133319861833.

698 Boardman, J. and Favis-Mortlock, D.T. 1993. Climate change and soil erosion in Britain. *The*  
699 *Geographical Journal*. 159(2), 179-183, doi: 10.2307/3451408. Pp. 498-501 in *Soil Erosion*

700 Boardman, J., & Favis-Mortlock, D.T., 2001. How will Future Climate Change and Land-Use Change  
701 Affect Rates of Erosion on Agricultural Land? Research for the 21st Century, Proc. Int. Symp. (3-5  
702 January 2001, Honolulu, HI, USA). Eds. J.C. Ascough II and D.C. Flanagan. St. Joseph, MI:  
703 ASAE.701P0007.

704 Boardman, J., Shephard, M. L., Walker, E., & Foster, I. D. L., 2009. Soil erosion and risk-assessment for  
705 on- and off-farm impacts: A test case using the Midhurst area, West Sussex, UK. *Journal of*  
706 *Environmental Management*, 90(8), 2578–2588. doi:10.1016/j.jenvman.2009.01.018.

707 Borrelli, P., Van Oost, K., Meusburger, K., Alewell, C., Lugato, E., & Panagos, P., 2018. A step towards a  
708 holistic assessment of soil degradation in Europe: Coupling on-site erosion with sediment transfer  
709 and carbon fluxes. *Environmental Research*, 161, 291–298. doi:10.1016/j.envres.2017.11.009.

710 Borselli L., Salvador Sanchism. P., Batolini D., Cassi P., Lollino P., 2011. PESERA-L model: an  
711 addendum to the PESERA model for sediment yield due to shallow mass movement in a  
712 watershed. CNR-IRPI, Italy Report n. 82. Scientific report deliverable 5.2.1, DESIRE PROJECT.  
713 EU FP6 DESIRE project P.28.

714 Brazier, R., 2004. Quantifying soil erosion by water in the UK: a review of monitoring and modelling  
715 approaches. *Progress in Physical Geography*, 28(3), 340–365. doi:10.1191/0309133304pp415ra.

716 Brazier R, Anderson K, Bellamy P, Ellis M, Evans M, Quine T, Quinton JN, Rawlins B, Rickson RJ.,  
717 2012. Developing a cost-effective framework for monitoring soil erosion in England and Wales.  
718 Final Report to Defra: Project SP1303.

719 Buermann, W., Beaulieu, C., Parida, B., Medvigy, D., Collatz, G.J., Sheffield, J. & Sarmiento, J.L., 2016.  
720 Climate-driven shifts in continental net primary production implicated as a driver of a recent abrupt  
721 increase in the land carbon sink. *Biogeosciences*. 13, 1597-1607. doi: 10.5194/bg-13-1597-2016.

722 Bull, W.B., 1991. *Geomorphic Responses to Climate Change*. Oxford University Press, 326p.

723 Burt, T., Boardman, J., Foster, I., & Howden, N., 2016. More rain, less soil: long-term changes in rainfall  
724 intensity with climate change. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms*. 41(4), 563-  
725 566, doi:10.1002/esp.3868.

726 Cramer, W., Bondeau, A., Woodward, F.I., Prentice, I.C., Betts, R.A., Brovkin, V., Cox, P.M., Fisher, V.,  
727 Foley, J.A., Friend, A.D., Kucharik, C., Lomas, M.R., Ramankutty, N., Sitch, S., Smith, B., White,  
728 A., & Young-Molling, C., 2001. Global response of terrestrial ecosystem structure and function to  
729 CO<sub>2</sub> and climate change: results from six global vegetation models. *Global Change Biology*. 7, 357-  
730 373. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-4932.2001.00383.x.

731 Cheviron B., S.J. Gumiere, Y. Le Bissonnais, Raclot, D., and Moussa, R., 2010. Sensitivity analysis of  
732 distributed erosion models - framework. *Water Resour. Res.* 46, W08508.

733 Cheviron B., Le Bissonnais, Y., Desprats, J.F., Couturier, A., Gumiere, S.J., Cerdan, O., Darboux, F. and  
734 Raclot, D., 2011. Comparative sensitivity analysis of four distributed erosion models, *Water Resour.*  
735 *Res.* 47, W01510.

736 Collins, A. L., & Anthony, S. G., 2008. Assessing the likelihood of catchments across England and Wales  
737 meeting “good ecological status” due to sediment contributions from agricultural sources.  
738 *Environmental Science & Policy*, 11(2), 163–170. doi:10.1016/j.envsci.2007.07.008.

739 Cooksley, S.L., Brewer, M.J., Donnelly, D., Spezia, L. & Tree, A., 2012. Impacts of artificial structures on  
740 the freshwater pearl mussel *Margaritifera margaritifera* in the River Dee, Scotland. *Aquatic*  
741 *Conservation*. 22, 318-330. doi: 10.1002/aqc.2241.

742 Cramer, W., Bondeau, A., Woodward, F.I., Prentice, I.C., Betts, R.A., Brovkin, V., Cox, P.M., Fisher, V.,  
743 Foley, J.A., Friend, A.D., Kucharik, C., Lomas, M.R., Ramankutty, N., Sitch, S., Smith, B., White,  
744 A. & Young-Molling, C. 2001. Global response of terrestrial ecosystem structure and function to  
745 CO<sub>2</sub> and climate change: results from six global vegetation models. *Glob. Change Biol.* 7, 357-373.

746 Davidson, D. A., Grieve, I. C., & Tyler, A. N., 2001. An Assessment of Soil Erosion by Water in Scotland.  
747 *The GeoJournal Library*, 93–108. doi:10.1007/978-94-017-2033-5\_6.

748 Davies-Barnard, T., Valdes, P. J., Singarayer, J. S., Wiltshire, A. J., Jones, C. D., (2015). Quantifying the  
749 relative importance of land cover change from climate and land use in the representative  
750 concentration pathways. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*. 29(6), 842-853,  
751 doi.org/10.1002/2014GB004949.

752 De Vente, J., Poesen., J., Verstraeten., g., Van Rompaey, Govers., G., 2008. Spatially distributed  
753 modelling of soil erosion and sediment yield at regional scales in Spain. *Global and Planetary*  
754 *Change*. 60, 393–415.

755 Eekhout, J. P. C., & De Vente, J., 2019. How soil erosion model conceptualization affects soil loss  
756 projections under climate change. *Progress in Physical Geography: Earth and Environment*,  
757 030913331987193. doi:10.1177/0309133319871937.

758 Eigenbrod, F., P. Gonzalez, J. Dash, and Steyl, I., 2015. Vulnerability of ecosystems to climate change  
759 moderated by habitat intactness, *Global Change Biology*. 21(1), 275-286, doi:10.1111/gcb.12669.

760 Esteves, T. C. J., Kirkby, M. J., Shakesby, R. A., Ferreira, A. J. D., Soares, J. A. A., Irvine, B.J., Ferreira,  
761 C.S.S., Coelho, C.O.A., Bento, C.P.M., Carreiras, M.A., 2012. Mitigating land degradation caused  
762 by wildfire: Application of the PESERA model to fire-affected sites in central Portugal. *Geoderma*.  
763 191, 40–50.

764 Evans, R., 1990. Soils at risk of accelerated erosion in England and Wales. *Soil Use and Management*,  
765 6(3), 125–131. doi:10.1111/j.1475-2743.1990.tb00821.x

766 Evans, R., 1993. Extent, frequency and rates of rilling of arable land in localities in England and Wales. In:  
767 Wicherek S (ed.) *Farm Land Erosion in Temperate Plains Environment and Hills*. Amsterdam:  
768 Elsevier, 177–190.

769 Evans, R., 1995. Some methods of directly assessing water erosion of cultivated land - a comparison of  
770 measurements made on plots and in fields. *Progress in Physical Geography*, 19(1), 115–129.  
771 doi:10.1177/030913339501900106.

772 Evans, R., 2002. An alternative way to assess water erosion of cultivated land – field-based measurements:  
773 and analysis of some results. *Applied Geography*, 22(2), 187–207. doi:10.1016/s0143-  
774 6228(02)00004-8.

775 Evans, R., 2005. Monitoring water erosion in lowland England and Wales—A personal view of its history  
776 and outcomes. *CATENA*, 64(2-3), 142–161. doi:10.1016/j.catena.2005.08.003.

777 Evans, R., 2006a. Land use, sediment delivery and sediment yield in England and Wales. In: Owens PN,  
778 Collins AJ, editors. *Soil Erosion and Sediment Redistribution in River Catchments*. Wallingford:  
779 CAB International, p. 70–84.

780 Evans, R., 2006b. Outdoor pigs and flooding: an English case study. *Soil Use and Management*, 20(2),  
781 178–181. doi:10.1111/j.1475-2743.2004.tb00354.x.

782 Evans, R., & Boardman, J., 2003. Curtailment of muddy floods in the Sompting catchment, South Downs,  
783 West Sussex, southern England. *Soil Use and Management*, 19(3), 223–231. doi:10.1111/j.1475-  
784 2743.2003.tb00308.x.

785 Evans, R., & Boardman, J., 2016. The new assessment of soil loss by water erosion in Europe. Panagos P.  
786 et al., 2015 *Environmental Science & Policy* 54, 438–447—A response. *Environmental Science &*  
787 *Policy*, 58, 11–15, doi:10.1016/j.envsci.2015.12.013.

788 Evans, R., & Brazier, R., 2005. Evaluation of modelled spatially distributed predictions of soil erosion by  
789 water versus field-based assessments. *Environmental Science & Policy*, 8(5), 493–501,  
790 doi:10.1016/j.envsci.2005.04.009.

791 Evans, R., Collins, A. L., Foster, I. D. L., Rickson, R. J., Anthony, S. G., Brewer, T., Deeks, L., Newell-  
792 Price, J.P., Truckell, I.G., & Zhang, Y., 2016. Extent, frequency and rate of water erosion of arable  
793 land in Britain - benefits and challenges for modelling. *Soil Use and Management*, 32, 149–161.  
794 doi:10.1111/sum.12210.

795 Evans, R., Collins, A. L., Zhang, Y., Foster, I. D. L., Boardman, J., Sint, H., Lee, M.R.F., Griffith, B. A.,  
796 2017. A comparison of conventional and 137 Cs-based estimates of soil erosion rates on arable and  
797 grassland across lowland England and Wales. *Earth-Science Reviews*, 173, 49–64.  
798 doi:10.1016/j.earscirev.2017.08.005

799 Favis-Mortlock, D. and Boardman, J., 1995. Nonlinear responses of soil erosion to climate change: a  
800 modelling study on the UK South Downs. *Catena*. 25(1-4), 365-387, doi.org/10.1016/0341-  
801 8162(95)00018-N.

802 Favis-Mortlock, D., and Mullan, D., 2011. Soil erosion by water under future climate change. In *Soil*  
803 *Hydrology, Land Use and Agriculture* (ed. M. Shukla), 384-414.

804 Fleskens., L., Kirkby, M.J., Irvine, B.J., 2016. The PESERA-DESMICE Modeling Framework for Spatial  
805 Assessment of the Physical Impact and Economic Viability of Land Degradation Mitigation  
806 Technologies. *Front. Environ. Sci.* 4:31, doi: 10.3389/fenvs.2016.00031.

807 Frank, D. C., et al., 2015. Water-use efficiency and transpiration across European forests during the  
808 Anthropocene, *Nature Climate Change*. 5, 579, doi:10.1038/nclimate2614.

809 Fuller, R.M., Smith, G.M., Sanderson, J.M., Hill, R.A., Thomson, A.G., Cox, R., Brown, N.J., Clarke,  
810 R.T., Rothery, P., & Gerard, F.F., 2002. Land Cover Map 2000: A guide to the classification  
811 system, Centre for Ecology and Hydrology, Cambridgeshire, UK.

812 Galy, V., Peucker-Ehrenbrink, B. & Eglinton, T., 2015. Global carbon export from the terrestrial biosphere  
813 controlled by erosion. *Nature*. 521, 204-207, doi:10.1038/nature14400.

814 Garbrecht, J. D., Zhang, X.C., 2015. Soil erosion from winter wheat cropland under climate change in  
815 central Oklahoma. *Applied Engineering in Agriculture*. 31(3), 439-454.

816 Gedney, N., Cox, P.M., Betts, R.A., Boucher, O., Huntingford, C. & Stott, P.A., 2006. Detection of a  
817 direct carbon dioxide effect in continental river runoff records. *Nature*. 439, 835-838.

818 Geist, J. & Auerswald, K., 2007. Physicochemical stream bed characteristics and recruitment of the  
819 freshwater pearl mussel (*Margaritifera margaritifera*). *Freshwater Biology*. 52, 2299-2316, doi:  
820 10.1111/j.1365-2427.2007.01812.x.

821 Graves, A. R., Morris, J., Deeks, L. K., Rickson, R. J., Kibblewhite, M. G., Harris, J. A., Farewell, T.S.,  
822 Truckle, I., 2015. The total costs of soil degradation in England and Wales. *Ecological Economics*,  
823 119, 399–413. doi:10.1016/j.ecolecon.2015.07.026 .

824 Guo, Y., Peng, C., Zhu, Q., Wang, M., Wang, H., Peng, S., & He, H., 2019. Modelling the impacts of  
825 climate and land use changes on soil water erosion: Model applications, limitations and future  
826 challenges. *Journal of Environmental Management*. 250, 109403,  
827 doi:10.1016/j.jenvman.2019.109403.

828 Hairsine, P.B. & Rose, C.W., 1992. Modeling water erosion due to overland flow using physical principles  
829 1. Sheet flow. *Water Resources Research*. 28, 237-243, doi: 10.1029/91WR02380.

830 IPCC, 2000. Nakicenovic N , Alcamo J, Grubler A , Riahi K , Roehrl RA, Rogner H-H, & Victor N  
831 (2000). Special Report on Emissions Scenarios (SRES), A Special Report of Working Group III of  
832 the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, ISBN 0-  
833 521-80493-0.

834 IPCC, 2019: Climate Change and Land: an IPCC special report on climate change, desertification, land  
835 degradation, sustainable land management, food security, and greenhouse gas fluxes in terrestrial  
836 ecosystems [P.R. Shukla, J. Skea, E. Calvo Buendia, V. Masson-Delmotte, H.-O. Pörtner, D. C.  
837 Roberts, P. Zhai, R. Slade, S. Connors, R. van Diemen, M. Ferrat, E. Haughey, S. Luz, S. Neogi, M.



838 Pathak, J. Petzold, J. Portugal Pereira, P. Vyas, E. Huntley, K. Kissick, M. Belkacemi, J. Malley,  
839 (eds.)]. In press.

840 Jenkins, G., Murphy, J., Sexton, D., Lowe, J., Jones, P. & Kilsby, C., 2010. UK Climate Projections:  
841 Briefing Report. Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs and Department of Energy  
842 and Climate Change.

843 Joint Nature Conservation Committee, 2007. Second Report by the United Kingdom under Article 17 on  
844 the implementation of the Habitats Directive from January 2001 to December 2006. Peterborough,  
845 JNCC.

846 Hough, M. N. and Jones, R. J. A., 1997. The United Kingdom Meteorological Office rainfall and  
847 evaporation calculation system: MORECS version 2.0-an overview, *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.*, 1,  
848 227-239, doi:10.5194/hess-1-227-1997.

849 Karamesouti, M., Petropoulos, G.P., Papanikolaou, I.D., Kairis, O., Kosmas, K., 2016. Erosion rate  
850 predictions from PESERA and RUSLE at a Mediterranean site before and after a wildfire:  
851 Comparison & implications. *Geoderma*. 26,144–58.

852 Keenan, T. F., Hollinger, D. Y., Bohrer, G., Dragoni, D., Munger, J. W., Schmid, H. P., & Richardson, A.  
853 D., 2013. Increase in forest water-use efficiency as atmospheric carbon dioxide concentrations rise.  
854 *Nature*. 499(7458), 324–327. doi:10.1038/nature12291

855 Kendon, E.J., Roberts, N.M., Fowler, H.J., Roberts, M.J., Chan, S.C., Senior, C.A., 2014. Heavier summer  
856 downpours with climate change revealed by weather forecast resolution model. *Nature Climate  
857 Change*. 4, 570–576.

858 Kharin, V.V., Zwiers, F.W., Zhang, X. & Hegerl, G.C., 2007. Changes in temperature and precipitation  
859 extremes in the IPCC ensemble of global coupled model simulations. *J. Climate*. 20, 1419-1444.

860 Killeen, I., 2009. Conservation and restoration of a freshwater pearl mussel (*Margaritifera margaritifera*)  
861 population in Northern England, in: Henrikson, L., Arvidsson, B., Österling, M. (Ed.), *Aquatic  
862 Conservation with a focus on Margaritifera margaritifera*. Karlstad University, Sundsvall, Sweden.

863 Kirkby, M.J., and the Pesera Team, 2003a. Pan-European Soil Erosion Risk Assessment: The PESERA  
864 Map, Version 1 October 2003. Explanation of Special Publication Ispra 2004 No.73 (S.P.I.04.73).

865 Kirkby, M.J., Gobin, A., Irvine, B., 2003b. Pan-European Soil Erosion Risk Assessment. PESERA Project,  
866 Deliverable 05: Pesera Model Strategy, Land Use and Vegetation Growth.

867 Kirkby, M.J., Irvine, B.J., Jones, R.J.A., Govers, G. & the PESERA team, 2008. The PESERA coarse scale  
868 erosion model for Europe. I. – Model rational and implementation. *Eur. J. Soil Sci.* 59, 1293-1306.

869 Lal, R., 2004. Soil carbon sequestration impacts on global climate change and food security. *Science*. 304,  
870 1623-1627.

871 LCM2000, 2000. Land Cover Map 2000, <https://www.ceh.ac.uk/services/land-cover-map-2000>.

872 Le Bissonnais., Y., Montier, C., Jamagne, M., Daroussin, J., King, D., 2002. Mapping erosion risk for  
873 cultivated soil in France. *Catena*. 46, 207–220.

874 Le Bissonnais, Y., Cerdan, O., Lecomte, V., Benkhadra, H., Souchere, V., Martin, P., 2005. Variability of  
875 soil surface characteristics influencing runoff and interrill erosion. *Catena*. 62, 111-124.

876 Li, P., Holden, J., Irvine, B., Grayso, R., 2016. PESERA-PEAT: a fluvial erosion model for blanket  
877 peatlands. *Earth surface processes and landforms*. 41, 14, 2058-2077.

878 Li, Z. and Fang, H., 2016. Impacts of climate change on water erosion: A review. *Earth-Science Reviews*.  
879 163, 94–117.

880 Lilly, A.; Baggaley, N.J.; Loades, K.W.; McKenzie, B.M.; Troldborg, M., 2018. Soil erosion and  
881 compaction in Scottish soils: adapting to a changing climate., *ClimateXChange Report*, 21pp.

882 Lilly, A., Grieve, I.C., Jordan, C., Baggaley, N.J., Birnie, R.V., Futter, M.N., Higgins, A., Hough, R.,  
883 Jones, M., Nolan, A.J., Stutter, M.I. and Towers W., 2009. Climate change, land management and  
884 erosion in the organic and organo-mineral soils in Scotland and Northern Ireland. *Scottish Natural  
885 Heritage Commissioned Report No.325 (ROAME No. F06AC104 - SNIFFER UKCC21)*.

886 Licciardello, F., Govers., G., Cerdan., O., Kirkby., M.J., Vacca, A., Kwaad., F.J.P.M., 2009. Evaluation of  
887 the PESERA model in two contrasting environments. *Earth Surf. Process. Landforms*. 34, 629–640.

888 Lieth, H., 1975. Modeling the primary productivity of the world. In *Ecological Studies – Analysis and  
889 Synthesis*. Springer-Verlag. 14, 237-263.

890 Luetzenburg, G., Bittner, M. J., Calsamiglia, A., Renschler, C. S., Estrany, J., & Poepl, R., 2019. Climate  
891 and land use change effects on soil erosion in two small agricultural catchment systems Fugnitz -  
892 Austria, Can Revull - Spain. *Science of The Total Environment*. 135389.  
893 doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.135389.

894 McHugh, M., 2007. Short-term changes in upland soil erosion in England and Wales: 1999 to 2002.  
895 *Geomorphology*, 86(1-2), 204–213. doi:10.1016/j.geomorph.2006.06.010.

896 McHugh, M., Harrod, T., & Morgan, R., 2002. The extent of soil erosion in upland England and Wales.  
897 Earth Surface Processes and Landforms, 27(1), 99–107. doi:10.1002/esp.308.

898 Melillo, J.M., McGuire, A.D., Kicklighter, D.W., Moore III, B., Vorosmarty, C.J. & Schloss, A.L., 1993.  
899 Global climate change and terrestrial net primary production. Nature. 363, 234-240.

900 Meusburger, K., Konz, N., Schaub, M., Alewell, C., 2010. Soil erosion modelled with USLE and PESERA  
901 using QuickBird derived vegetation parameters in an alpine catchment. International Journal of  
902 Applied Earth Observation and Geoinformation. 12(3), 208-215.

903 Mullan, D., 2013. Soil erosion under the impacts of future climate change: Assessing the statistical  
904 significance of future changes and the potential on-site and off-site problems. Catena. 109, 234-246.

905 Mullan, D.J., Favis-Mortlock, D.T., Fealy, R., 2012. Addressing key limitations associated with modelling  
906 soil erosion under the impacts of future climate change. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology. 156,  
907 18–30.

908 Murphy, J.M., Sexton, D.M.H., Jenkins, G.J., Boorman, P.M., Booth, B.B.B., Brown, C.C., Clark, R.T.,  
909 Collins, M., Harris, G.R., Kendon, E.J., Betts, R.A., Brown, S.J., Howard, T. P., Humphrey, K. A.,  
910 McCarthy, M. P., McDonald, R. E., Stephens, A., Wallace, C., Warren, R., Wilby, R., Wood, R. A.,  
911 2010. UK Climate Projections Science Report: Climate change projections. Version 3. Met Office  
912 Hadley Centre, Exeter.

913 NATMAP1000, 2013. National Soil Map of England and Wales, Cranfield University.

914 Nearing, M.A., Hairsine, P., 2011. The Future of Soil Erosion Modelling. In: Morgan, R.P. and M.A.  
915 Nearing (eds.). Handbook of Erosion Modelling. Wiley-Blackwell Publishers, Chichester, West  
916 Sussex, UK. p. 387-397.

917 Nearing, M.A., Jetten, V., Baffaut, C., Cerdan, O., Couturier, A., Hernandez, M., Le Bissonnais, Y.,  
918 Nichols, M.H., Nunes, J.P., Renschler, C.S., Souchere, V., van Oost, K., 2005. Modeling response  
919 of soil erosion and runoff to changes in precipitation and cover. Catena. 61, 131–154.

920 Nearing, M.A., Pruski, F.F. & O’Neal, M.R., 2004. Expected climate change impacts on soil erosion rates:  
921 a review. J. Soil Water Conserv. 59, 43-50.

922 Nelson, G.C., Valin, H., Sands, R.D., Havlík, P., Ahammad, H., Deryng, D., Elliot, J., Fujimori, S.,  
923 Hasegawa, T., Heyhoe, E., Kyle, P., Von Lampe, M., Lotze-Campen, H., d’Croze, D.M., van Meijl,  
924 H., van der Mensbrugge, D., Müller, C., Popp, A., Robertson, R., Robinson, S., Schmid, E.,

925 Schmitz, C., Tabeau, A., & Willenbockel, D., 2014. Climate change effects on agriculture:  
926 economic responses to biophysical shocks. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the*  
927 *United States of American.* 111, 3274-3279. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1222465110.

928 Nemani, R.R., Keeling, C.D., Hashimoto, H., Jolly, W.M., Piper, S.C., Tucker, C.J., Myneni, R.B. &  
929 Running, S.W., 2003. Climate-driven increases in global terrestrial net primary production from  
930 1982 to 1999. *Science.* 1560-1563.

931 Nunes, J.P., Seixá, J., Keizer, J.J., 2013. Modeling the response of within-storm runoff and erosion  
932 dynamics to climate change in two Mediterranean watersheds: a multi-model, multi-scale  
933 approach to scenario design and analyses. *Catena.* 102, 27–39.

934 O’Neal, M.R., M.A. Nearing, R.C. Vining, J. Southworth, Pfeifer., R.A., 2005. Climate change impacts on  
935 soil erosion in Midwest United States with changes in corn-soybean-wheat management. *Catena.*  
936 61(2-3):165-184.

937 O’Gorman, P.A. & Schneider, T., 2009. The physical basis for increases in precipitation extremes in  
938 simulations of 21st-century climate change. *P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 106, 14773-14777.

939 Panagos, P., Borrelli, P., Poesen, J., Ballabio, C., Lugato, E., Meusburger, K., Montanarella, L., Alewell,  
940 C., 2015. The new assessment of soil loss by water erosion in Europe. *Environ. Sci. Policy* 54, 438–  
941 447. doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.envsci.2015.08.012>.

942 Pandey, A., Himanshu, S.K, Mishra, S.K., Singh, V.P., 2016. Physically based soil erosion and sediment  
943 yield models revisited. *Catena.* 147, 595–620.

944 Pastor, A.V., Nunes, J.P., Ciampalini, R., Koopmans, M., Baartman, J., Huard, F., Calheiros, T., Le  
945 Bissonnais, Y., Keizer, J. and Raclot, D., 2019. Projecting future impacts of global change including  
946 fires on soil erosion to anticipate better land management in the forests of NW Portugal. *Water.*  
947 11(12), 2617, <https://doi.org/10.3390/w11122617>.

948 Pásztor, L., Waltner, I., Centeri, C., Belényesi, M., Takács, K., 2016. Soil erosion of Hungary assessed by  
949 spatially explicit modelling. *Journal of Maps.* 12(1), 407–414.

950 Piao, S., Friedlingstein, P., Ciais, P., de Noblet-Ducoudré, N., Labat, D. & Zaehle, S., 2007. Changes in  
951 climate and land use have a larger direct impact than rising CO<sub>2</sub> on global river runoff trends. *P.*  
952 *Natl. Acad. Sci. USA.* 104, 15242-15247.

953 Prentice, I. C., Cramer, W., Harrison, S.P., Leemans, R., Monserud, R.A., & Soloman, A.M., 1992. Special  
954 paper: a global biome model based on plant physiology and dominance, soil properties and climate.  
955 *Journal of Biogeography*. 19, 117-134. doi: 10.2307/2845499.

956 Pruski, F.F. and Nearing, M.A., 2002a. Runoff and soil loss responses to changes in precipitation: a  
957 computer simulation study. *J. Soil and Water Cons.* 57(1): 7-16.

958 Pruski, F.F. and Nearing, M.A., 2002b. Climate-Induced Changes in Erosion during the 21st Century for  
959 Eight U.S. Locations. *Water Resources Research*. 38(12): art. no. 1298.

960 Reich, P.B., Hobbie, S.E. & Lee, T.D., 2014. Plant growth enhancement by elevated CO<sub>2</sub> eliminated by  
961 joint water and nitrogen limitation. *Nature Geoscience*. 7, 920-924. doi: 10.1038/ngeo2284.

962 Rickson, R.J., 2014. Can control of soil erosion mitigate water pollution by sediments? *Sci. Total Environ.*  
963 468-469, 1187-1197.

964 Rickson, R.J., Baggaley, N., Deeks, L.K., Graves, A., Hannam, J., Keay, C and Lilly, A., 2019.  
965 Developing a method to estimate the costs of soil erosion in highrisk Scottish catchments. Report to  
966 the Scottish Government. Available online from <https://www.gov.scot/ISBN/978-1-83960-754-7>.

967 Rogers, A., Medlyn, B.E., Dukes, J.D., Bonan, G., von Caemmerer, S., Dietze, M.C., Kattge, J., Leakey,  
968 A.D.B., Mercado, L.M., Niinemets, U., Colin Prentice, I., Serbin, S.P., Sitch, S., Way, D.A., and  
969 Zaehle, S., 2017. A roadmap for improving the representation of photosynthesis in Earth system  
970 models. *New Phytol.* 213, 22–42, doi:10.1111/nph.14283.

971 Routschek, A., Schmidt, J., Kreienkamp, F., 2015. Climate Change Impacts on Soil Erosion: A High-  
972 Resolution Projection on Catchment Scale Until 2100. In: *Engineering Geology for Society and*  
973 *Territory*. 1, 135-141.

974 Routschek, A., Schmidt, J., Kreienkamp, F., 2014. Impact of climate change on soil erosion? A high-  
975 resolution projection on catchment scale until 2100 in Saxony/Germany. *Catena*. 121, 99-109.

976 Serpa, D., Nunes, J. P., Santos, J., Sampaio, E., Jacinto, R., Veiga, S., Lima, J.C., Moreira, M., Corte-Real,  
977 J., Keizer, J.J., Abrantes, N., 2015. Impacts of climate and land use changes on the hydrological and  
978 erosion processes of two contrasting Mediterranean catchments. *Science of The Total Environment*.  
979 538, 64–77.

980 Scholz, G., Quinton, J.N., Strauss, P., 2008. Soil erosion from sugar beet in Central Europe in response to  
981 climate change induced seasonal precipitation variations. *Catena*. 72(1), 91-105,  
982 doi.org/10.1016/j.catena.2007.04.005.

983 Skinner, R. J., & Chambers, B. J., 1996. A survey to assess the extent of soil water erosion in lowland  
984 England and Wales. *Soil Use and Management*, 12(4), 214–220. doi:10.1111/j.1475-  
985 2743.1996.tb00546.x.

986 Towers, W., Grieve, I.C., Hudson, G., Campbell, C.D., Lilly, A., Davidson, D.A., Bacon, J.R., Langan,  
987 S.J. & Hopkins, D.W., 2006. Report on the current state and threats to Scotland's soil resource.  
988 Scottish Government.

989 Tsara, M., Kosmas, C., Kirkby, M.J., Kosma, D., and Yassoglou, N., 2005. An evaluation of the PESERA  
990 soil erosion model and its application to a case study in Zakynthos, Greece. *Soil Use and*  
991 *Management*. 21, 377–385.

992 UK Environment Agency, 2019. The state of the environment: soil. June 2019.

993 UKCP09: Hadley Centre for Climate Prediction and Research, 2017a. Observed UK climate data (1961-  
994 1990). Centre for Environmental Data Analysis.  
995 <http://catalogue.ceda.ac.uk/uuid/87b3ab3b9bae47adab0c15d594d443b8>

996 UKCP09: Hadley Centre for Climate Prediction and Research, 2017b. Probabilistic projections data of  
997 climate parameters over UK land. Centre for Environmental Data Analysis.  
998 <http://catalogue.ceda.ac.uk/uuid/31cebae359e643ca9dbd1a8d0235d6fe>

999 Van der Sleen, P., P. Groenendijk, M. Vlam, N. P. R. Anten, A. Boom, F. Bongers, T. L. Pons, G. Terburg,  
1000 and Zuidema, P.A., 2014. No growth stimulation of tropical trees by 150 years of CO2 fertilization  
1001 but water-use efficiency increased, *Nat Geosci*. 8, 24, doi:10.1038/ngeo2313.

1002 Walker, M.D., Wahren, C.H., Hollister, R.D., Henry, G.H.R., Ahlquist, L.E., Alatalo, J.M., Bret-Harte,  
1003 M.S., Calef, M.P., Callaghan, T.V., Carroll, A.B., Epstein, H.E., Jónsdóttir, I.S., Klein, J.A.,  
1004 Magnússon, B., Molau, U., Oberbauer, S.F., Rewa, S.P., Robinson, C.H., Shaver, G.R., Suding,  
1005 K.N., Thompson, C.C., Tolvanen, A., Totland, O., Turner, P.L., Tweedie, C.E., Webber, P.J. &  
1006 Wookey, P.A., 2006. Plant community responses to experimental warming across the tundra biome.  
1007 *P. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 103, 1342-1346.

1008 Walling, D.E., Zhang, Y., 2010. A national assessment of soil erosion based on Caesium-137  
1009 measurements. *Adv. GeoEcology* 41, 89–97.

1010 Watson, A., & Evans, R., 2007. Water erosion of arable fields in North-East Scotland, 1985 – 2007.  
1011 *Scottish Geographical Journal*, 123(2), 107–121. doi:10.1080/14702540701474287.

1012 Whiting, P.J., Bonniwell, E.C. & Matisoff, G., 2001. Depth and areal extent of sheet and rill 613 erosion  
1013 based on radionuclides in soils and suspended sediment. *Geology*. 29, 1131-1134. 614  
1014 [https://doi.org/10.1130/0091-7613\(2001\)029%3C1131:DAAEOS%3E2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1130/0091-7613(2001)029%3C1131:DAAEOS%3E2.0.CO;2).

1015 Wood, G.A., McHugh, M., Morgan, R.P.C and Williamson, A., 2006. Estimating sediment generation from  
1016 hill slopes in England and Wales: development of a management planning tool. In *Soil Erosion and*  
1017 *sediment Redistribution in River Catchments: Measurements, Monitoring and Management* (eds.  
1018 Owens, P.N. and Collins, A.J.). CABI Publishing, Wallingford, UK, 217-227.

1019 Xiong, M., Sun, R., & Chen, L., 2019. A global comparison of soil erosion associated with land use and  
1020 climate type. *Geoderma*, 343, 31–39, doi:10.1016/j.geoderma.2019.02.013.

1021 Zhang, X.C. and Nearing, M.A., 2005. Impact of Climate Change on Soil Erosion, Runoff, and Wheat  
1022 Productivity in Central Oklahoma. *Catena*. 61(2-3), 185-195.

1023 Zhang, Y.-G., M. Hernandez, E. Anson, M.A. Nearing, H. Wei, J.J. Stone, Heilman, P., 2012. Modeling  
1024 climate change effects on runoff and soil erosion in southeastern Arizona rangelands and  
1025 implications for mitigation with rangeland conservation practices. *J. Soil and Water Conservation*.  
1026 67(5), 390-405.

1027 Zuazo, V.H.D., & Pleguezuelo, C.R.R., 2008. Soil-erosion and runoff prevention by plant covers. A  
1028 review. *Agronomy for Sustainable Development*, 28(1), 65–86. doi:10.1051/agro:2007062.  
1029  
1030