



# The identification of poultry processing in archaeological ceramic vessels using *in-situ* isotope references for organic residue analysis



A.C. Colonese <sup>a,\*</sup>, A. Lucquin <sup>a</sup>, E.P. Guedes <sup>b</sup>, R. Thomas <sup>c</sup>, J. Best <sup>d</sup>, B.T. Fothergill <sup>c</sup>, N. Sykes <sup>e</sup>, A. Foster <sup>c</sup>, H. Miller <sup>e</sup>, K. Poole <sup>f</sup>, M. Maltby <sup>d</sup>, M. Von Tersch <sup>a</sup>, O.E. Craig <sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> BioArCh, Department of Archaeology, University of York, York, YO10 5DD, United Kingdom

<sup>b</sup> Instituto de Biociências, Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul, Av. Bento Gonçalves 9500, 91501-970, Porto Alegre, RS, Brazil

<sup>c</sup> School of Archaeology and Ancient History, University of Leicester, University Road, Leicester, LE1 7RH, United Kingdom

<sup>d</sup> Department of Archaeology, Anthropology & Forensic Science, Bournemouth University, Fern Barrow, Poole, Dorset BH12 5BB, United Kingdom

<sup>e</sup> Department of Archaeology, School of Humanities, University of Nottingham, NG7 2RD, United Kingdom

<sup>f</sup> Department of Archaeology, University of Sheffield, Northgate House, West Street, Sheffield, S1 4ET, United Kingdom

## ARTICLE INFO

### Article history:

Received 14 February 2016

Received in revised form

4 November 2016

Accepted 1 December 2016

Available online 13 January 2017

### Keywords:

Poultry

Lipid

Stable isotopes

Organic residue analysis

Anglo-Saxon

Pottery

GC-MS

GC-c-IRMS

## ABSTRACT

Poultry products are rarely considered when reconstructing pottery use through organic residue analysis, impinging upon our understanding of the changing role of these animals in the past. Here we evaluate an isotopic approach for distinguishing chicken fats from other animal products. We compare the carbon isotopes of fatty acids extracted from modern tissues and archaeological bones and demonstrate that archaeological bones from contexts associated with pottery provide suitable reference ranges for distinguishing omnivorous animal products (e.g. pigs vs. chickens) in pots. When applied to pottery from the Anglo-Saxon site of Flixborough, England, we succeeded in identifying residues derived from chicken fats that otherwise could not be distinguished from other monogastric and ruminant animals using modern reference values only. This provides the first direct evidence for the processing of poultry or their products in pottery. The results highlight the utility of ‘*in-situ*’ archaeological bone lipids to identify omnivorous animal-derived lipids in archaeological ceramic vessels.

© 2016 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

## 1. Introduction

Products from omnivorous animals, such as pigs and poultry, dominate global meat production and are important for fuelling the next ‘Livestock Revolution’ (Delgado et al., 1999). Undoubtedly, these were also important commodities in many past contexts, as attested by the frequent occurrence of their skeletal remains on a wide range of archaeological sites (Maltby, 2014; O’Connor, 2014; Redding, 2015; Sykes, 2012). Whilst it is generally accepted that pig bones on archaeological sites provide evidence for the consumption of pork products, the use of poultry in the past is complicated by other historically and ethnographically documented uses, from recreation to ritual (Sykes, 2012). Even as a foodstuff, poultry have been overlooked and underinvestigated in

the past despite their undisputed importance today as a major global resource. Therefore, whilst there is clear faunal evidence attesting to the presence of domestic chicken on European archaeological sites since later prehistory (Peters et al., 2015; Serjeantson, 2009), it is unclear when, where and why poultry became routinely raised for their meat and eggs and viewed primarily as a foodstuff. One way to unequivocally demonstrate this link is by directly detecting poultry products in domestic cooking vessels.

Archaeological ceramic vessels provide a wealth of information on resource use, offering a window into past production, storage, transport and processing of food and other commodities. Lipids (fats, oils and waxes) can be readily absorbed in unglazed, porous ceramic vessels (Evershed et al., 1999), and preserved for hundreds to thousands of years (Craig et al., 2013). Analytical techniques, involving gas chromatography (GC) and GC-mass spectrometry (GC-MS), provide a means of associating broad classes of compounds to their biological precursors (Evershed et al., 1999;

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: [andre.colonese@york.ac.uk](mailto:andre.colonese@york.ac.uk), [andre@palaeo.eu](mailto:andre@palaeo.eu) (A.C. Colonese).

Evershed, 2008). Stable carbon isotope analysis of palmitic (C<sub>16:0</sub>) and stearic (C<sub>18:0</sub>) acids by GC-combustion–stable isotope ratio MS (GC-c-IRMS) provides a complementary method for animal fat identification in archaeological ceramic vessels (Copley et al., 2003; Craig et al., 2013; Evershed et al., 2002b; Mukherjee et al., 2008; Salque et al., 2013). This latter approach is routinely used to identify ruminant products (Craig et al., 2012), and dairying activities in the archaeological record. However, relatively few studies have considered using this approach to identify poultry products or to distinguish these from other omnivorous animals, such as pigs (Evershed et al., 2002b).

In a first attempt to determine the processing of poultry in ceramic vessels, we investigate a pottery assemblage from the Anglo-Saxon site of Flixborough in North Lincolnshire (England). The site was chosen as its faunal assemblage shows clear evidence of mixed monogastric and omnivorous animal exploitation, i.e. geese, chickens, pigs. To distinguish these, we measured carbon isotope values of fatty acids obtained from archaeological bones of monogastric-omnivorous animals associated with the pottery to provide *in-situ* reference values. Our aim was to determine whether different monogastric commodities were processed in ceramic vessels.

### 1.1. Isotopic variability in monogastric-omnivorous animal fats

The stable carbon isotopic ratios of monogastric and ruminant adipose fatty acids differ due to fundamental variations in digestive physiology and metabolic processes (Copley et al., 2003; Howland et al., 2003; Jim et al., 2004; Stott et al., 1997a). Notably, ruminants incorporate specific saturated compounds (e.g. C<sub>18:0</sub>) directly from their diet into their tissues, following biohydrogenation of the unsaturated precursors in the rumen (Harrison and Leat, 1975; Krogdahl, 1985). This process leads to measurable <sup>13</sup>C depletion compared to *de novo* synthesized components (e.g. C<sub>16:0</sub>). However, distinguishing fat from economically important monogastric and omnivorous animals (e.g. pigs and poultry) is less straightforward using this approach. Omnivorous animals consume a broader range of food sources compared to herbivores and consequently the carbon in fatty acids can be derived from a wider range of macro-nutrient sources, including lipids, carbohydrates and proteins from both animals and plants (Budge et al., 2011; Howland et al., 2003; Stott et al., 1997a; Trust Hammer et al., 1998). Therefore, fatty acids from omnivores exhibit considerably larger isotopic variability (e.g. Delgado-Chavero et al., 2013; Recio et al., 2013) compared to ruminant and monogastric herbivores, depending on the animal's diet and therefore husbandry practices. As these are variable in the past and most likely different from the present, modern references for omnivores may be inappropriate and ideally site specific “*in-situ*” baselines need to be constructed.

### 1.2. Archaeological bone as an *in-situ* baseline for organic residue analysis

All previous attempts to interpret fatty acids from archaeological pottery rely on comparison with reference fats from modern animals raised on known diets and preferably sourced close to the archaeological sites under investigation (Copley et al., 2003; Dudd and Evershed, 1998; Dunne et al., 2012; Evershed et al., 2002b; Gregg et al., 2009; Salque et al., 2013; Spangenberg et al., 2006). In Northern Europe, for example, comparative reference fats are typically derived from animals raised on C<sub>3</sub> vegetation with the assumption that they represent the variety of environmental/dietary conditions experienced by past animals (Dudd and Evershed, 1998). While this is entirely reasonable for herbivore ruminants, it does not account for the greater variability in the diet of omnivores.

Furthermore modern omnivore references may not be suitable analogues for animals raised in areas that have undergone substantial changes in vegetation composition during the Holocene (e.g. Near East, (Goodfriend, 1990); North Africa, (Castañeda et al., 2009)) or in cultural contexts where foddering strategies are known to have changed in the past (Hamilton and Thomas, 2012; Madgwick et al., 2012). Both geographic and temporal variability must be considered when deriving suitable isotopic reference ranges in order to capture environmental and cultural effects. Moreover, the use of modern reference fat inherently implies that animal fat preserved in ceramic vessels originate predominantly, or exclusively, from adipose tissue. Nevertheless, other animal tissues are similarly lipid rich (e.g. bone marrow) and thus are potential sources of animal fat preserved in ceramic vessels. The boiling of bone to release nutrients, for example in soups and broths, may provide another mechanism for transferring bone lipids to archaeological ceramics.

As soft tissues are very rarely preserved in archaeological contexts, animal bone may provide an alternative or complementary source of fatty acids for comparison. Animal bones are often found directly associated with pottery (i.e. in the same contexts, stratigraphic units or site areas, and in rare instances within the pots themselves) and are therefore chronologically coherent with cultural and environmental contexts. The lipid composition in adipose tissues and cortical bone are similar in nature (Kagawa et al., 1996; Ren et al., 2008) and studies have shown that lipids are preserved in archaeological bone (Evershed et al., 1995b; Spangenberg et al., 2014; Stott and Evershed, 1996). Recently Colonese et al. (2015) have demonstrated that endogenous palmitic (C<sub>16:0</sub>) and stearic (C<sub>18:0</sub>) fatty acids can be recovered in sufficient quantity from archaeological bones from a range of environments to permit stable isotope analysis by GC-C-IRMS. In agreement with earlier studies (Stott et al., 1997a, 1999) it has been shown that stable carbon isotope composition of bone lipid covaries with bone collagen (Colonese et al., 2015), supporting the endogenous origin of fatty acids and their potential for paleodietary reconstruction.

## 2. Flixborough

### 2.1. The site and economy

Excavations at Flixborough, North Lincolnshire, exposed a high-status Anglo-Saxon site with an occupation sequence stretching from the 7<sup>th</sup> to 11<sup>th</sup> centuries AD (with subsequent use in the 12<sup>th</sup>–15<sup>th</sup> centuries) (Loveluck and Gaunt, 2007; Loveluck, 1998). Over 200,000 fragments of animal bone were recovered from Flixborough, making it one of the largest assemblages of Middle to Late Anglo-Saxon date in England (Jaques et al., 2007). A hand-collected and coarse-sieved assemblage of over 41,000 mammal and bird bone fragments dating from the early 7<sup>th</sup> to late 10<sup>th</sup> century was identified to taxon. The most numerous domesticates (average %NISP) were cattle (*Bos taurus*, 29.4%), followed by sheep/goat (*Ovis aries*/*Capra hircus*, 27%), pig (*Sus scrofa domesticus*, 19.4%), chicken (*Gallus gallus domesticus*, 15%), and goose (*Anser* sp., 9.2%) respectively, although the relative proportions of these changed through time (Jaques et al., 2007).

Whilst cattle and sheep/goat could have provided multiple secondary products such as milk, wool and traction, pigs would have primarily been a meat resource. Chickens would have been a useful source of meat and feathers, eggs and potentially fertiliser in the form of dung. However, so far the role of ceramic vessels for processing and preparing these various animal products has been only preliminarily considered (Young and Vince, 2009). In particular, the identification of domestic poultry in pottery would help clarify their role at the site and provide the first insights into the

material culture associated with their processing.

### 3. Material and methods

#### 3.1. Modern samples

In order to confirm the utility of bone lipids as reference samples, differences in  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  values of  $\text{C}_{16:0}$  and  $\text{C}_{18:0}$  between adipose (subcutaneous and skin fat) and bone lipid was investigated in three distinct groups of modern chickens raised in Britain. Specimens were slaughtered for commercial purposes or died of natural causes before the experiment. Group 1 includes 10-week old, free range specimens acquired from an organic farm in Yorkshire (CH-FR,  $n = 10$ ). These specimens were fed on organic,  $\text{C}_3$ -plant derived pellets and grass; Group 2 includes 4-week old, commercial non-free range broilers (fresh class A), from a big-chain supermarket (CH-SP,  $n = 5$ ); Group 3 includes >28-week old, non-commercial free-range specimens, fed *ad libitum* with occasional supplements of mealworms (CH-D,  $n = 8$ ). Unfortunately the adipose tissue for the Group 3 was not available and could not be sampled. However the bone isotope data was included to explore variability between the populations. Bone lipid  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  values are also compared with previously reported values from chicken adipose tissues (Dudd and Evershed, 1998; Evershed et al., 2002b), which were 3-week old broilers fed with pellets. In chickens, *de novo* synthesis of fatty acids occurs mainly in the liver and it is transferred by lipoproteins to the site of deposition, whereas in pig it takes place mainly in the adipose tissue, similar to ruminants (Lalotot et al., 2010). In order to investigate any isotopic differences in fatty acids associated with biosynthesis, subcutaneous adipose and bone lipids were also investigated in commercial non-free range pigs from several supermarkets and a local butcher in York (Pig-SP,  $n = 5$ ). The stable carbon and nitrogen isotope composition of bone collagen was also analyzed from the modern specimens and compared with bone lipid  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  values.

#### 3.2. Archaeological samples

Animal bones retrieved from external refuse contexts at Flixborough (5983, 5653), dated to mid-8th to early-9th century (phase 3biv), were selected for collagen and bone lipid stable isotopic analysis. These included chicken (Chk,  $n = 10$ ), goose (Gos,  $n = 10$ ) and pig (Pig,  $n = 5$ ). Whenever possible, samples were selected to represent individual animals by sampling the same-side of a specific element. Ceramic vessels ( $n = 60$ ) from 25 Anglo-Saxon contexts were selected for molecular and isotopic analysis of extracted lipids (Table 5). These contexts were selected for their high relative abundance of chicken remains (i.e. phase 3i) and good preservation of ceramic fragments, that allowed comparison of organic residues against pottery size, shape and form. Where possible potsherds were assigned to small, medium and large sized jars and bowls (Maxey type fabric B, E, U; Table 5; Young and Vince, 2009).

#### 3.3. Collagen extraction of modern and archaeological bones

After manually removing the adipose tissue and the bone marrow, modern chicken (ulnae and femora) and pig (ribs) bones were defatted. Lipids were removed with repeated rinses ( $\times 3$ ) of a 2:1 dichloromethane:methanol solvent solution (DCM:MeOH;  $3 \times 2$  mL), ultrasonicated for 15 min and centrifuged (850 g) for 10 min. Samples were then rinsed with deionized water and dried at room temperature. Collagen extraction of archaeological and modern bone followed a modified Longin method (Brown et al., 1988) and details can be found in previous studies (e.g. Craig et al., 2010). Briefly, fragments of modern (100–186 mg) and

archaeological bones (245–630 mg) were demineralised using 0.6 M HCl, at 4 °C for several days. Samples were then rinsed with distilled water and gelatinised with 0.001 M HCl at 80 °C for 48 h. Initially, the supernatant containing the collagen of modern bones were ultrafiltered (30 kDa, Amicon® Ultra-4 centrifugal filter units; Millipore, MA, USA). Given that the bones were modern, or very well-preserved, the remaining samples were filtered using Polyethylene Ezee filters (Elkay Laboratories Ltd., 9 mL, pore size 60–90  $\mu\text{m}$ ). There were no isotopic differences between ultrafiltered and non-ultrafiltered samples (*t*-test,  $t = -1.44$ ;  $p = 0.223$ ;  $t = -1.78$ ;  $p = 0.148$ ), in agreement with previous studies (Sealy et al., 2014). Samples were then frozen and lyophilised.

#### 3.4. Lipid extraction: bone, adipose tissue and potsherds

Lipids were extracted from archaeological (~160–580 mg) and modern bones free of marrow (~20–250 mg) following a two-step method (Colonese et al., 2015). Archaeological and modern bones were rinsed several times in distilled water. Archaeological bones were dried at room temperature while modern bones were frozen and subsequently freeze-dried. Mechanically cleaned chunks or coarsely ground bones were lipid-extracted using the same procedure as for defatting modern bones (see above). Exogenous lipids were first removed with 2:1 DCM:MeOH ( $3 \times 2$  mL) from each bone sample. The supernatant was removed, discarded and the bone powder was dried completely under a gentle stream of  $\text{N}_2$ . The remaining bone samples were lipid-extracted using acidified methanol (e.g. Correa-Ascencio and Evershed, 2014; Craig et al., 2013). After adding 2 mL of methanol, the samples were ultrasonicated for 15 min. Subsequently, 400  $\mu\text{L}$  of  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$  was added and the samples were heated at 70 °C for 4 h. The samples were then centrifuged (850 $\times$ g) for 5 min. The supernatant was extracted with hexane ( $3 \times 2$  mL) and neutralised with  $\text{K}_2\text{CO}_3$ . The extracts were then dried under a gentle stream of  $\text{N}_2$  and an internal standard (10  $\mu\text{g}$  hexatriacontane) added to each sample before further analysis by GC/MS and GC/C/IRMS. The same method (acidified methanol) was applied for the subcutaneous adipose tissue (5 and 100 mg), after tissues were rinsed repeatedly with deionized water, frozen and lyophilised.

Ceramic powder drilled (d. 2 mm–5 mm) from the internal sherd surface (~1 g) was lipid-extracted using the methanol (4 mL) and  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$  (800  $\mu\text{L}$ ) procedure as for bone lipids. A selection of samples ( $n = 10$ ) were solvent extracted (DCM:MeOH; 2:1 vol/vol,  $3 \times 2$  mL, 15 min) using established protocols (e.g. Dunne et al., 2012). These were silylated *N,O*-bis(trimethylsilyl) trifluoroacetamide at 70 °C for 1 h and analyzed by high temperature GC (HT-GC) to determine the presence of any acyl lipids (mono-, di- and triglycerides).

Bone, adipose tissue and potsherd samples were screened by GC using an Agilent 7890A gas chromatograph (Agilent Technologies, Cheshire, UK). The injector was splitless and maintained at 300 °C and injected 1  $\mu\text{L}$  of sample into the GC. The column used was a 100% Dimethylpolysiloxane DB-1 (15 m  $\times$  320  $\mu\text{m}$   $\times$  0.1  $\mu\text{m}$ ; J&W Scientific, Folsom, CA, USA). The carrier gas was hydrogen with a constant flow rate of 2 ml/min. The temperature program was set at 100 °C for 2 min, rising by 20 °C/min until 325 °C. This temperature was maintained for 3 min. The total run time was 16.25 min. The lipids were quantified according to the internal standard and diluted appropriately prior to GC-MS and GC-c-IRMS as described below. To avoid co-elution, samples with a higher concentration of  $\text{C}_{18:1}$  were also treated with  $\text{AgNO}_3$  to isolate the saturated counterpart prior to isotopic analysis. Stable isotope values of paired samples did not show evidence of isotopic fractionation between  $\text{AgNO}_3$  treated and untreated samples.

### 3.5. Carbon and nitrogen stable isotope analysis of bulk collagen

Collagen samples (1 mg) were analyzed in duplicate or triplicate by EA-IRMS in a GSL analyser coupled to a 20–22 mass spectrometer (Sercon, Crewe, UK) at the University of York. The analytical error for both  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  and  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  values, calculated from repeated measurements of each sample and measurements of the bovine control from multiple extracts, was  $<0.2\%$  ( $1\sigma$ ).  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ ,  $\delta^{15}\text{N} = [(R_{\text{sample}}/R_{\text{standard}} - 1)] \times 1,000$ , where  $R = {}^{13}\text{C}/{}^{12}\text{C}$  and  ${}^{15}\text{N}/{}^{14}\text{N}$ . The standard for  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  is Vienna PeeDee Belemnite (V-PDB), the standard for  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  is air  $\text{N}_2$ . In-house collagen standards (bovine control) were exchanged between laboratories (University of Bradford) to ensure accuracy.

### 3.6. Gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS)

GC-MS was carried out on all samples using a 7890A Series chromatograph attached to a 5975C Inert XL mass-selective detector with a quadrupole mass analyser (Agilent Technologies, Cheshire, UK). The carrier gas used was helium, and the inlet/column head-pressure was constant. A splitless injector was used and maintained at 300 °C. The GC column was inserted directly into the ion source of the mass spectrometer. The ionisation energy of the mass spectrometer was 70 eV and spectra were obtained by scanning between  $m/z$  50 and 800. Three different analytical columns were used.

General screening was performed using a DB-5ms (5%-phenyl)-methylpolysiloxane column (30 m  $\times$  0.250 mm  $\times$  0.25  $\mu\text{m}$ ; J&W Scientific, Folsom, CA, USA). The temperature for this column was set at 50 °C for 2 min, then raised by 10 °C  $\text{min}^{-1}$  to 325 °C, where it was held for 15 min. This column was also used with the MS in Single Ion Monitoring (SIM) mode to selectively detect ions characteristic of alkylphenyl alkanolic acids (APAAs;  $m/z$  105,  $m/z$  262,  $m/z$  290,  $m/z$  318) in order to increase the sensitivity for the detection of lipids derived from aquatic products (Evershed et al., 2008a,b; Hansel et al., 2004). For this purpose, the temperature was set at 120 °C for 2 min, raised by 6 °C  $\text{min}^{-1}$  to 260 °C, and then raised by 20 °C  $\text{min}^{-1}$  to 325 °C where it was held for 10 min.

A second, more polar column (DB-23, 50%-cyanopropyl-methylpolysiloxane, 60 m  $\times$  0.25 mm  $\times$  0.25  $\mu\text{m}$ ; J&W Scientific) was used to provide better resolution of isoprenoid fatty acids. The temperature was set at 50 °C for 2 min, raised by 10 °C  $\text{min}^{-1}$  to 100 °C, then raised at 4 °C  $\text{min}^{-1}$  to 250 °C, where it was held for 20 min. This column was also used with the MS in Single Ion Monitoring (SIM) mode to selectively detect ions characteristic of APAA ( $m/z$  105,  $m/z$  262,  $m/z$  290,  $m/z$  318).

Solvent extracted samples were analyzed with a HT-DB1, 100% Dimethylpolysiloxane (15 m  $\times$  0.320 mm  $\times$  0.1  $\mu\text{m}$ ) (J&W Scientific, Folsom, CA, USA). The injector was maintained at 350 °C. The temperature of the oven was set at 50 °C for 2 min, and then raised by 10 °C  $\text{min}^{-1}$  to 350 °C, where it was held for 15 min.

### 3.7. Gas chromatography-combustion-isotope ratio mass spectrometry (GC-c-IRMS)

Carbon stable isotopes were determined on two fatty acid methyl esters; methyl palmitate ( $\text{C}_{16:0}$ ) and methyl stearate ( $\text{C}_{18:0}$ ) in each extract using an Isoprime 100 (Isoprime, Cheshire, UK) linked to a Hewlett Packard 7890B series gas chromatograph (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA) with an Isoprime GC5 interface (Isoprime, Cheshire, UK). The gases eluting from the chromatographic column were split into two streams. One of these was directed into an Agilent 5975C inert mass spectrometer detector (MSD), for sample identification and quantification, while the other was directed through the GC5 furnace held at 850 °C to

oxidise all carbon species into  $\text{CO}_2$ . All samples were diluted with hexane and subsequently 1  $\mu\text{L}$  of each sample was injected into a DB-5MS fused-silica column. The temperature was set for 0.5 min at 50 °C, and raised by 10 °C  $\text{min}^{-1}$  until 300 °C was reached, at which it stayed for 10 min. The carrier gas was ultra-high purity grade helium with a flow rate of 3  $\text{mL min}^{-1}$ . Eluted products were combusted to  $\text{CO}_2$  and ionized in the mass spectrometer by electron impact. Ion intensities of  $m/z$  44, 45, and 46 were monitored in order to automatically compute the  ${}^{13}\text{C}/{}^{12}\text{C}$  ratio of each peak in the extracts. Computations were made with IonVantage Software (Isoprime, Cheshire, UK) and were based on comparisons with a standard reference gas ( $\text{CO}_2$ ) of known isotopic composition that was repeatedly measured. The results from the analysis are reported in parts per mil (‰) relative to an international standard (V-PDB). Replicate measurements of each sample and a mixture of fatty acid methyl esters (FAMES) with  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  values traceable to international standards were used to determine instrument precision ( $<0.3\%$ ) and accuracy ( $<0.5\%$ ). Values were also corrected subsequent to analysis to account for the methylation of the carboxyl group that occurs during acid extraction. Corrections were based on comparisons with a standard mixture of  $\text{C}_{16:0}$  and  $\text{C}_{18:0}$  fatty acids of known isotopic composition processed in each batch as a sample.

### 3.8. Statistical analysis


Fatty acid  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  values from bone and adipose tissue in modern chicken and pig samples were compared using a paired  $t$ -test (after checking for equality of variance with a  $F$  test) in PAST 3.x (Hammer et al., 2001).

Theoretical mixing curves were computed in order to estimate the effect of mixing of different animal fats on the fatty acid  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  values of ceramic sherds (e.g. Dudd, 1999; Evershed, 2008; Mukherjee et al., 2008). Four mixing curves were computed between chicken and pig, goose, ruminant adipose and milk fat. This approach takes into account the average  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  values and the relative abundance of  $\text{C}_{16:0}$  and  $\text{C}_{18:0}$  fatty acids from each animal fat. Fatty acid  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  values for goose, pig, and chicken were taken from the archaeological bones, while fatty acid  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  values for ruminant adipose and milk were sourced from the literature (Dudd and Evershed, 1998). The relative proportions of  $\text{C}_{16:0}$  and  $\text{C}_{18:0}$  fatty acids (average % of free fatty acid distribution) were taken from Dudd (1999).

## 4. Results and discussion

### 4.1. Molecular and stable isotope composition of modern bone and adipose tissue

Derivatized fatty acids from bone and adipose tissues were similar for both chicken and pig samples (Fig. 1), except for a lower concentration of unsaturated and polyunsaturated fatty acids recovered in bone, which can be in part attributed to the solvent wash. Similar results were obtained for pig samples. In chicken and pig, the main lipids extracted were  $\text{C}_{18:1}$ ,  $\text{C}_{16:0}$ ,  $\text{C}_{18:0}$ ,  $\text{C}_{18:2}$ ,  $\text{C}_{20:4}$ . In chicken these were followed by other saturated ( $\text{C}_{12:0}$  to  $\text{C}_{24:0}$ ), monounsaturated ( $\text{C}_{14:1}$ ,  $\text{C}_{17:1}$ ), polyunsaturated fatty acids ( $\text{C}_{20:2}$ ,  $\text{C}_{20:3}$ ,  $\text{C}_{20:5}$ ) and cholesterol derivatives (particularly in bone). The fatty acid distribution is consistent with poultry fat reported in the literature (Givens et al., 2011; Koizumi et al., 1991) and some variation in relative abundance between groups most likely reflects diversification in dietary components (Crespo and Esteve-Garcia, 2002). In pig samples, other extracted lipids also include saturated ( $\text{C}_{12:0}$  to  $\text{C}_{24:0}$ ), monounsaturated ( $\text{C}_{17:1}$  to  $\text{C}_{24:1}$ ), polyunsaturated fatty acids ( $\text{C}_{20:2}$ ,  $\text{C}_{20:3}$ ,  $\text{C}_{20:5}$ ,  $\text{C}_{22:4}$ ,  $\text{C}_{22:5}$ ,  $\text{C}_{22:6}$ ) and



**Fig. 1.** Partial gas chromatograms of adipose and bone lipid extracts from modern chicken tissues (CH-SP1) and archaeological chicken bone (CHK1).  $C_{n:x}$  indicates fatty acid with  $n$  carbon atoms and  $x$  double bonds; P, phthalates. IS indicates internal standard.

cholesterol derivatives (particularly in bone).

The  $\delta^{13}C$  values of  $C_{16:0}$  and  $C_{18:0}$  in bone and adipose tissues are reported in Table 1. Free range organic  $C_3$  specimens (Group 1) have average  $\delta^{13}C$  values of  $C_{16:0}$  and  $C_{18:0}$  in subcutaneous fat of  $-29.2 \pm 1.0\text{‰}$  and  $-28.9 \pm 1.2\text{‰}$  respectively statistically indistinguishable from bone ( $-29.0 \pm 0.9\text{‰}$  and  $-28.5 \pm 0.7\text{‰}$  paired  $t$ -test,  $t = -1.018$ ,  $p = 0.335$  and  $t = -1.659$ ,  $p = 0.131$  respectively). The mean pairwise differences in  $\delta^{13}C$  between adipose and bone lipids

is  $0.2\text{‰}$  and  $0.4\text{‰}$  for  $C_{16:0}$  and  $C_{18:0}$  respectively. In commercial non-free range chickens (Group 2), the average  $\delta^{13}C$  values of  $C_{16:0}$  and  $C_{18:0}$  are significantly lower in adipose tissue ( $-29.2 \pm 0.6\text{‰}$  and  $-29.4 \pm 0.4\text{‰}$ ) compared to bone ( $-28.5 \pm 0.3$  and  $-28.3 \pm 0.3\text{‰}$ ) by  $0.8\text{‰}$  (paired  $t$ -test,  $t = -6$ ,  $p = 0.003$ ) and  $1.1\text{‰}$  ( $t = -7.78$ ,  $p = 0.001$ ) respectively. No significant differences were observed in the distribution of  $\delta^{13}C$  values of  $C_{16:0}$  and  $C_{18:0}$  between adipose tissue ( $-29.3 \pm 0.6\text{‰}$  and  $-28.4 \pm 0.6\text{‰}$ ) and bone ( $-29.5 \pm 0.7\text{‰}$  and  $-28.6 \pm 0.3\text{‰}$ ) from commercial pork samples (paired  $t$ -test,  $t = 1.15$ ,  $p = 0.327$  and  $t = -1.17$ ,  $p = 0.304$  respectively). The small isotopic offset between adipose and bone lipid in chicken noticed in Group 2 might reflect differential turnover rate and isotopic fractionation during *de novo* synthesis and assimilation from diet of fatty acid in liver, bone and adipose tissue (Nir et al., 1988). However, the magnitude of the adipose/bone pairs is small.


Comparisons between bone fatty acid  $\delta^{13}C$  values reveal no overall differences between specimens from Groups 1 and 2 (organic free range and battery) for both  $C_{16:0}$  ( $t = -1.18$ ,  $p = 0.256$ ) and  $C_{18:0}$  ( $t = -0.61$ ,  $p = 0.549$ ; Fig. 2). By contrast, non-commercial free-range specimens (Group 3) have  $\delta^{13}C$  values of  $C_{16:0}$  and  $C_{18:0}$  in bone ( $-25.4 \pm 0.6\text{‰}$  and  $-25.8 \pm 0.9\text{‰}$ ) significantly higher than specimens from both Groups 1 and 2 ( $p < 0.005$  for both groups). Average  $\delta^{13}C$  values of  $C_{16:0}$  ( $-30.2\text{‰}$ ) and  $C_{18:0}$  ( $-29.4\text{‰}$ ) in adipose chicken fat reported by Dudd and Evershed (1998) also differ statistically from bone lipid from Groups 1, 2 and 3 ( $p < 0.005$  for all groups). The dietary regimes the animals were reared under have a clear effect on the isotopic composition of their fatty acid values.

In agreement with previous studies (Colonese et al., 2015; Stott et al., 1997b) both  $C_{16:0}$  and  $C_{18:0}$  are depleted in  $^{13}C$  relative to bulk collagen from the same samples (Table 2). However, the range of the offset (ca.  $3\text{‰}$ – $7\text{‰}$ ) is greater than previously observed. The isotopic offset fundamentally reflects differences between the biosynthesis of collagen and fatty acids, and particularly the kinetic

**Table 1**

$\delta^{13}C$  values of  $C_{16:0}$  and  $C_{18:0}$  fatty acids of bone and adipose tissues from modern chicken (CH) and pig samples. Fatty acid  $\delta^{13}C$  values are not corrected for the effect of modern atmospheric  $\delta^{13}C$  values.

Group	Sample	Anat. portion	Data of death	Adipose			Bone			$\Delta C_{16:0}$ adipose-bone	$\Delta C_{18:0}$ adipose-bone
				$\delta^{13}C_{C_{16:0}}$	$\delta^{13}C_{C_{18:0}}$	$\Delta C_{18-16:0}$	$\delta^{13}C_{C_{16:0}}$	$\delta^{13}C_{C_{18:0}}$	$\Delta C_{18-16:0}$		
Group 1	CH-FR1	Femur	11/01/2013	-30.2	-30.3	-0.1	-29.9	-29.2	0.7	-0.3	-1.1
	CH-FR2	Femur	14/01/2014	-30.3	-30.3	0.0	-29.4	-28.7	0.7	-0.9	-1.6
	CH-FR3	Femur	14/03/2014	-28.1	-27.8	0.3	-27.6	-27.6	0.0	-0.5	-0.2
	CH-FR4	Femur	12/03/2014	-27.7	-26.9	0.8	-28.0	-27.4	0.6	0.3	0.5
	CH-FR5	Femur	12/03/2014	-30.3	-30.4	-0.1	-29.5	-28.8	0.7	-0.8	-1.6
	CH-FR6	Femur	13/08/2014	-28.6	-28.3	0.3	-28.7	-28.6	0.1	0.1	0.3
	CH-FR7	Femur	05/05/2014	-28.7	-28.2	0.5	-29.7	-28.5	1.2	1.0	0.3
	CH-FR8	Femur	13/01/2016	-28.9	-28.7	0.2	-29.4	-29.3	0.1	0.5	0.6
	CH-FR9	Femur	10/11/2014	-30.4	-30.0	0.4	-29.6	-29.3	0.3	-0.8	-0.7
	CH-FR10	Femur	10/03/2016	-28.4	-28.4	0.0	-27.7	-27.4	0.3	-0.7	-1.0
Group 2	CH-SP1	Right wings	02/04/2015	-28.9	-29.1	-0.2	-28.4	-28.2	0.2	-0.5	-0.9
	CH-SP2	Right wings	02/04/2015	-29.2	-29.4	-0.2	-28.1	-27.9	0.2	-1.1	-1.5
	CH-SP3	Right wings	02/04/2015	-29.2	-29.3	-0.1	-28.2	-28.2	0.0	-1.0	-1.1
	CH-SP4	Right wings	02/04/2015	-29.5	-29.5	0.0	-28.7	-28.2	0.5	-0.8	-1.3
	CH-SP5	Right wings	02/04/2015	-29.3	-29.5	-0.2	-28.9	-28.8	0.1	-0.4	-0.7
Group 3	CH-D1	Femur	04/2012	-	-	-	-24.4	-24.1	0.3	-	-
	CH-D2	Femur	03/2013	-	-	-	-24.9	-26.1	-1.2	-	-
	CH-D3	Femur	04/2012	-	-	-	-26.2	-26.2	0.0	-	-
	CH-D4	Femur	02/2011	-	-	-	-25.1	-25.0	0.1	-	-
	CH-D5	Femur	03/2013	-	-	-	-25.4	-26.6	-1.2	-	-
	CH-D6	Femur	03/2013	-	-	-	-25.8	-26.6	-0.8	-	-
	CH-D7	Femur	12/2010	-	-	-	-25.9	-26.1	-0.2	-	-
	CH-D8	Femur	03/2013	-	-	-	-25.3	-25.3	0.0	-	-
Pig	Pig-SP1	Rib	30/07/2015	-28.4	-27.4	1.0	-28.4	-27.3	1.1	0.0	-0.1
	Pig-SP2	Rib	01/08/2015	-29.9	-28.8	1.1	-29.8	-28.6	1.2	-0.1	-0.2
	Pig-SP3	Rib	31/07/2015	-29.1	-28.2	0.9	-29.1	-28.1	1.0	0.0	-0.1
	Pig-SP4	Rib	29/07/2015	-29.4	-28.8	0.6	-30.1	-28.9	1.2	0.7	0.1
	Pig-SP5	Rib	31/07/2015	-29.9	-28.8	1.1	-30.1	-28.8	1.3	0.2	0.0



**Fig. 2.** Plot of  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  values of  $\text{C}_{16:0}$  and  $\text{C}_{18:0}$  fatty acids from modern chicken bones and adipose tissue. Fatty acid  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  values are not corrected for the effect of modern atmospheric  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  values.

isotopic fractionation associated to the oxidative decarboxylation of pyruvate to acetyl Co-A during lipid biosynthesis (DeNiro and Epstein, 1977). Strong positive correlations between bone lipid and collagen  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  and  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  values confirm that the lipids are endogenous (Fig. 3).

The results indicate that the  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  values of  $\text{C}_{16:0}$  and  $\text{C}_{18:0}$  in bone reflect the isotopic composition of adipose fat in chicken and pig samples. Therefore, we conclude that fatty acids from bone are a suitable reference for comparison with adipose derived fatty acids extracted from archaeological pottery.

#### 4.2. Molecular and stable isotope composition of archaeological bone

The lipid extracts from archaeological bones (chicken, goose, pig) are in general dominated by saturated fatty acids ( $\text{C}_{12:0}$  to  $\text{C}_{28:0}$ ), notably  $\text{C}_{16:0}$  and  $\text{C}_{18:0}$ , and by lower concentrations of monounsaturated fatty acids (particularly  $\text{C}_{18:1}$ ), along with some products of cholesterol oxidation (cholesta-3,5-diene; Evershed et al., 1995b). Traces of linoleic acid ( $\text{C}_{18:2}$ ) were present in chicken, pig and goose bones, while phytanic acid (3,7,11,15-tetramethylhexadecanoic acid) was recorded in all goose bones. These compounds are compelling evidence for the endogenous origin of lipids in the bone as they can only be incorporated through diet and are rare in the depositional environment. Phytanic acid in the goose samples is likely to be attributable to the direct ingestion of aquatic organisms (zooplanktons) (Lucquin et al., 2016; van den Brink et al., 2004; Wanders et al., 2011).

Archaeological bones yielded sufficient amounts of fatty acids for stable carbon isotopic analysis (Table 3). No significant correlations were observed between total fatty acid yield ( $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ ) and the  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  values for both  $\text{C}_{16:0}$  ( $R^2 = 0.04$ ,  $p = 0.30$ ) and  $\text{C}_{18:0}$  ( $R^2 = 0.10$ ,  $p = 0.11$ ) respectively. Similarly, no significant correlation was observed between  $\text{C}_{16:0}$  yields and their  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  values ( $R^2 = 0.13$ ,  $p = 0.06$ ), while significant but a very weak correlation was found between  $\text{C}_{18:0}$  yields and their  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  values ( $R^2 = 0.20$ ,  $p = 0.02$ ). The results confirm that degradation of fatty acids has no effect on their stable carbon isotope composition, as already demonstrated for fatty acids preserved in archaeological ceramics (e.g. Evershed et al., 1999). Furthermore moderate to high correlations between fatty acid and collagen  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  values (Fig. 4) support the preservation of lipids in the archaeological bone assemblages analysed, as observed in previous studies (Colonese et al., 2015). The  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  values of archaeological bone lipids are compared with modern authentic carcass fat and milk for the UK (Copley et al., 2003; Dudd and Evershed, 1998; Evershed et al., 2002a) from

**Table 2**  
The  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  and  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  values of collagen extracted from modern chicken and pig bones and the offset with the  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  values of  $\text{C}_{16:0}$  and  $\text{C}_{18:0}$  fatty acids. \*Samples extracted by Ultrafiltration.

Group	Samples	Species	Species	C/N	C%	N%	Coll yield (%)	$\delta^{13}\text{C}$ (‰)	$\delta^{15}\text{N}$ (‰)	$\Delta\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{C}_{16:0}} - \delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{coll}}$	$\Delta\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{C}_{18:0}} - \delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{coll}}$
Group 1	CH-FR1*	Chicken	Femur	3.3	44.3	15.7	8.9	-23.7	3.7	-6.2	-5.5
	CH-FR2*	Chicken	Femur	3.3	42.4	14.8	7.3	-23.1	4.7	-6.3	-5.6
	CH-FR3	Chicken	Femur	3.2	45.5	16.7	15.2	-20.5	6.3	-7.1	-7.1
	CH-FR4	Chicken	Femur	3.2	44.5	16.1	25.0	-20.8	6.4	-7.2	-6.6
	CH-FR5	Chicken	Femur	3.5	45.5	15.1	21.4	-23.7	5.0	-5.8	-5.1
	CH-FR6	Chicken	Femur	3.2	44.8	16.5	27.3	-22.7	4.3	-6.0	-5.9
	CH-FR7	Chicken	Femur	3.2	44.2	16.0	26.1	-23.3	4.6	-6.4	-5.2
	CH-FR8	Chicken	Femur	3.2	45.9	16.9	27.4	-23.3	3.3	-6.1	-6.0
	CH-FR9	Chicken	Femur	3.2	46.3	16.7	20.6	-23.9	4.2	-5.7	-5.4
	CH-FR10	Chicken	Femur	3.1	43.5	16.3	23.3	-21.1	6.1	-6.6	-6.3
Group 2	CH-SP1	Chicken	Right wings	3.3	42.4	15.1	15.7	-23.0	2.9	-5.4	-5.2
	CH-SP2	Chicken	Right wings	3.3	43.0	15.3	17.1	-22.1	2.6	-6.0	-5.8
	CH-SP3	Chicken	Right wings	3.3	44.0	15.5	17.7	-23.3	3.3	-4.9	-4.9
	CH-SP4	Chicken	Right wings	3.3	41.9	14.9	21.3	-22.8	2.7	-5.9	-5.4
	CH-SP5	Chicken	Right wings	3.2	43.2	15.5	17.3	-22.3	2.7	-6.6	-6.5
Group 3	CH-D1	Chicken	Femur	3.2	41.2	14.9	15.9	-21.0	6.8	-3.4	-3.1
	CH-D2	Chicken	Femur	3.4	40.5	14.1	29.6	-21.8	7.7	-3.1	-4.3
	CH-D3	Chicken	Femur	3.2	38.5	14.0	17.1	-22.1	6.2	-4.1	-4.1
	CH-D4	Chicken	Femur	3.2	42.3	15.6	26.7	-20.9	6.9	-4.2	-4.1
	CH-D5	Chicken	Femur	3.2	41.7	15.3	27.9	-20.6	7.3	-4.8	-6.0
	CH-D6	Chicken	Femur	3.2	41.9	15.3	16.8	-21.3	6.1	-4.5	-5.3
	CH-D7	Chicken	Femur	3.2	42.0	15.3	26.3	-22.6	7.3	-3.3	-3.5
	CH-D8	Chicken	Femur	3.2	43.0	15.7	25.5	-20.6	7.0	-4.7	-4.7
	Pig-SP1	Pig	Rib	3.2	43.7	16.0	26.8	-22.9	4.8	-5.5	-4.4
	Pig-SP2	Pig	Rib	3.2	43.0	15.7	25.3	-24.3	4.3	-5.5	-4.3
	Pig-SP3	Pig	Rib	3.2	41.5	15.3	25.6	-23.7	4.4	-5.4	-4.4
	Pig-SP4	Pig	Rib	3.1	38.3	14.2	28.5	-24.2	4.1	-5.9	-4.7
	Pig-SP5	Pig	Rib	3.3	39.7	14.3	24.7	-24.0	5.5	-6.1	-4.8




Fig. 3. Plot of  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  values of  $\text{C}_{16:0}$  and  $\text{C}_{18:0}$  fatty acids from modern chicken bones against their respective collagen  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  and  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  values.

Table 3

$\delta^{13}\text{C}$  values of  $\text{C}_{16:0}$  and  $\text{C}_{18:0}$  fatty acids of archaeological bones.

Samples	Context	Phase	Relative Age (AD)	Taxa	Anatomy	FA yield ( $\mu\text{g/g}$ )	$\text{C}_{16:0}$ ( $\mu\text{g/g}$ )	$\text{C}_{18:0}$ ( $\mu\text{g/g}$ )	$\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{C}_{16:0}}$	$\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{C}_{18:0}}$
GOS1	5983	3biv	8th–9th	<i>Anser</i> sp.	Sternum	300.2	96.8	56.7	–27.7	–27.2
GOS2	5983	3biv	8th–9th	<i>Anser</i> sp.	Sternum	333.0	89.4	96.4	–29.0	–29.7
GOS3	5983	3biv	8th–9th	<i>Anser</i> sp.	Sternum	511.0	140.0	123.5	–29.4	–29.1
GOS4	5983	3biv	8th–9th	<i>Anser</i> sp.	Sternum	84.7	18.4	9.5	–29.3	–29.4
GOS5	5983	3biv	8th–9th	<i>Anser</i> sp.	Sternum	65.7	16.9	7.7	–28.7	–28.5
GOS6	5983	3biv	8th–9th	<i>Anser</i> sp.	Sternum	126.5	41.0	14.3	–27.7	–26.9
GOS7	5983	3biv	8th–9th	<i>Anser</i> sp.	Sternum	310.2	98.0	82.4	–31.1	–30.4
GOS8	5983	3biv	8th–9th	<i>Anser</i> sp.	Sternum	181.4	46.2	26.7	–27.5	–27.7
GOS9	5983	3biv	8th–9th	<i>Anser</i> sp.	Sternum	122.3	25.1	13.5	–28.7	–27.9
GOS10	5983	3biv	8th–9th	<i>Anser</i> sp.	Sternum	254.2	80.5	42.4	–27.7	–28.4
Chk1	5983	3biv	8th–9th	<i>Gallus gallus domesticus</i>	Right coracoid	77.1	13.4	5.9	–27.6	–28.9
Chk2	5983	3biv	8th–9th	<i>Gallus gallus domesticus</i>	Right coracoid	161.6	32.3	24.6	–27.9	–28.7
Chk3	5983	3biv	8th–9th	<i>Gallus gallus domesticus</i>	Right coracoid	114.0	25.6	10.0	–27.1	–28.6
Chk4	5983	3biv	8th–9th	<i>Gallus gallus domesticus</i>	Right coracoid	230.7	51.1	33.1	–27.2	–28.4
Chk5	5983	3biv	8th–9th	<i>Gallus gallus domesticus</i>	Right coracoid	86.5	21.4	9.6	–27.5	–28.9
Chk6	5983	3biv	8th–9th	<i>Gallus gallus domesticus</i>	Right coracoid	137.6	23.2	11.6	–27.1	–28.3
Chk7	5983	3biv	8th–9th	<i>Gallus gallus domesticus</i>	Right coracoid	170.8	29.8	19.1	–26.8	–27.1
Chk8	5983	3biv	8th–9th	<i>Gallus gallus domesticus</i>	Right coracoid	94.9	15.9	6.6	–27.3	–28.6
Chk9	5983	3biv	8th–9th	<i>Gallus gallus domesticus</i>	Right coracoid	155.0	23.0	12.3	–26.7	–27.9
Chk10	5983	3biv	8th–9th	<i>Gallus gallus domesticus</i>	Right coracoid	284.6	35.2	13.4	–27.2	–28.3
PIG1	5653	3biv	8th–9th	<i>Sus scrofa domesticus</i>	Metacarpus	29.6	4.8	1.6	–28.2	–28.2
PIG2	5653	3biv	8th–9th	<i>Sus scrofa domesticus</i>	Phalange	19.4	4.3	4.1	–28.6	–28.2
PIG3	5653	3biv	8th–9th	<i>Sus scrofa domesticus</i>	Metatarsus	23.6	6.7	7.4	–28.3	–27.8
PIG4	5653	3biv	8th–9th	<i>Sus scrofa domesticus</i>	Radius	32.8	10.4	12.2	–28.4	–27.7
PIG5	5653	3biv	8th–9th	<i>Sus scrofa domesticus</i>	Phalange	49.4	13.8	15.6	–28.3	–28.1
PIG6	5653	3biv	8th–9th	<i>Sus scrofa domesticus</i>	Astragalus	28.5	7.9	9.6	–28.4	–28.0

ruminant and monogastric-omnivorous animals raised on  $\text{C}_3$  plants (Fig. 5). As the diet between ancient and modern herbivores is unlikely to be very different in this context, we consider these values to be a suitable proxy.

The  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  values of  $\text{C}_{16:0}$  and  $\text{C}_{18:0}$  in archaeological goose bone differ from UK modern references. There is larger variability in archaeological samples, which probably reflects different management strategies and environments, or potentially the presence of both wild and domestic geese in the archaeological sample (Budge et al., 2011; Trust Hammer et al., 1998). Finally, substantial isotopic differences are observed between omnivorous animals, notably chickens and pigs. Although pigs and chickens were being raised at elite settlements, historical documents indicate that pigs were often supplied by lower status people to elites as food rents,

with chickens also being provided in this manner (Hagen, 1995). Accordingly, the observed isotopic differences may in part reflect different management strategies by groups not resident at Flixborough.

Fatty acids from archaeological pig bones have  $\text{C}_{16:0}$  and  $\text{C}_{18:0}$  consistently depleted in  $^{13}\text{C}$  by  $\sim 3\%$  compared to those from modern pigs originating from the UK, but are within the observed range of  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  values for Central Europe (Spangenberg et al., 2006). Archaeological pig bones also have narrower ranges of  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  values for both  $\text{C}_{16:0}$  (0.4‰) and  $\text{C}_{18:0}$  (0.6‰) compared to modern reference fat. By contrast, the  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  values of  $\text{C}_{16:0}$  in chicken bones from Flixborough are higher by  $\sim 2\%$  compared to the modern chicken sample. Differences between archaeological and modern samples may be related to differences in foddering practices and access to




Fig. 4. Plot of  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  values of  $\text{C}_{16:0}$  and  $\text{C}_{18:0}$  fatty acids from pig, chicken and geese bones against their respective collagen  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  and  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  values.




Fig. 5.  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  values of  $\text{C}_{16:0}$  and  $\text{C}_{18:0}$  fatty acids from archaeological bones. Data are compared with ellipses (68% confidence) calculated for fatty acid  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  values of modern samples from the UK (Dudd and Evershed, 1998).

food sources with variable lipid content and isotopic signatures. Most likely, Medieval pigs and chickens were raised on a mixture of plants and animal products, however measurable differences between pigs and chickens also point toward species-specific husbandry practices. This is further supported by collagen  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  and  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  values (Fig. 6; Table 4), which reveal that chickens had access to food sources at a higher trophic position than pigs or their diet contained a higher proportion of animal to plant products. Pathological data provide further information about how pigs were raised at Flixborough. Frequencies of linear enamel hypoplasia on pig teeth indicate the Flixborough pigs were kept in a semi-natural husbandry regime, including use of a woodland environment, which provided suitable foraging opportunities (Dobney et al., 2002). Interestingly, O'Connell and Hull's (2011) synthesis of animal isotope data from the Anglo-Saxon period (predominantly Early and Middle-Saxon) indicated that the degree of omnivory




Fig. 6. Collagen  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  and  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  values of archaeological bones.

among the pigs was not high, showing some overlap with sheep and cattle. This was consistent with the pigs being raised in a free-range system, including pannage. The chickens and geese in their samples also have elevated  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  values compared to other species. Data from Flixborough thus supports the view that chickens were generally raised in local/household settings, while pigs had greater access to woodland products and higher plant content in their diet.

The contribution of animal products to chicken diet is further confirmed by the correlations between  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  of fatty acids and collagen (Fig. 4). The high correlation between  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  values of  $\text{C}_{16:0}$  and collagen reveals that the  $\text{C}_{16:0}$  fatty acid in pig, chicken and goose bones was predominantly synthesized *de novo* from carbohydrates and protein precursors. By contrast the lower correlation observed between  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  values of  $\text{C}_{18:0}$  and collagen, mainly due to an overall  $^{13}\text{C}$ -depletion in the  $\text{C}_{18:0}$  fatty acid of chickens is more difficult to explain. This could imply some degree of direct incorporation of  $\text{C}_{18:0}$  fatty acid in chicken bone from diet (Baião and



**Table 4**  
The  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  and  $\delta^{15}\text{N}$  values of archaeological bone collagen.

Samples	Taxa	Anatomy	$\delta^{13}\text{C}$ (‰)	$\delta^{15}\text{N}$ (‰)	C/N	C%	N%	Coll yield (%)
GOS1	<i>Anser</i> sp.	Sternum	-21.9	7.7	3.2	45.1	15.8	8.3
GOS2	<i>Anser</i> sp.	Sternum	-22.3	5.3	3.2	46.9	17.1	15.9
GOS3	<i>Anser</i> sp.	Sternum	-22.3	5.6	3.2	41.9	15.5	14.8
GOS4	<i>Anser</i> sp.	Sternum	-21.8	4.7	3.3	43.2	15.5	3.6
GOS5	<i>Anser</i> sp.	Sternum	-21.3	8.2	3.2	39.2	14.2	16.8
GOS6	<i>Anser</i> sp.	Sternum	-22.1	5.6	3.3	44.1	15.8	9.7
GOS7	<i>Anser</i> sp.	Sternum	-23.0	5.6	3.2	45.3	16.3	13.2
GOS8	<i>Anser</i> sp.	Sternum	-21.1	7.8	3.2	40.1	14.7	14.9
GOS9	<i>Anser</i> sp.	Sternum	-22.1	12.4	3.2	41.9	15.4	20.7
GOS10	<i>Anser</i> sp.	Sternum	-21.3	7.0	3.2	40.9	15.0	3.1
Chk1	<i>Gallus gallus domesticus</i>	Right coracoid	-20.5	10.8	3.2	41.2	15.1	13.7
Chk2	<i>Gallus gallus domesticus</i>	Right coracoid	-20.7	9.1	3.2	40.8	14.9	6.6
Chk3	<i>Gallus gallus domesticus</i>	Right coracoid	-20.3	8.9	3.2	43.8	16.1	7.1
Chk4	<i>Gallus gallus domesticus</i>	Right coracoid	-21.0	8.3	3.2	43.2	15.6	5.7
Chk5	<i>Gallus gallus domesticus</i>	Right coracoid	-20.2	8.6	3.2	42.4	15.4	3.6
Chk6	<i>Gallus gallus domesticus</i>	Right coracoid	-20.5	10.0	3.2	48.1	17.4	10.6
Chk7	<i>Gallus gallus domesticus</i>	Right coracoid	-20.2	10.8	3.2	40.6	14.9	10.3
Chk8	<i>Gallus gallus domesticus</i>	Right coracoid	-20.5	8.2	3.2	40.4	14.8	11.2
Chk9	<i>Gallus gallus domesticus</i>	Right coracoid	-19.8	8.4	3.2	45.8	16.7	8.7
Chk10	<i>Gallus gallus domesticus</i>	Right coracoid	-20.3	10.4	3.2	44.6	16.3	7.0
PIG1	<i>Sus scrofa domesticus</i>	Metacarpus	-20.9	6.5	3.2	40.9	15.1	16.3
PIG2	<i>Sus scrofa domesticus</i>	Phalange	-21.4	8.2	3.1	40.2	14.9	9.9
PIG3	<i>Sus scrofa domesticus</i>	Metatarsus	-21.4	7.5	3.2	41.4	15.0	2.6
PIG4	<i>Sus scrofa domesticus</i>	Radius	-21.3	6.9	3.3	41.9	14.6	8.1
PIG5	<i>Sus scrofa domesticus</i>	Phalange	-21.5	7.5	3.3	42.2	15.1	7.0
PIG6	<i>Sus scrofa domesticus</i>	Astragalus	-21.1	7.8	3.2	38.0	14.0	11.4

Lara, 2005; Villaverde et al., 2006).

#### 4.3. Molecular and stable isotope composition of organic residues in archaeological ceramics

Appreciable amounts of absorbed lipids were extracted from the majority of analyzed potsherds (90%,  $n = 54$ ), demonstrating relatively good preservation, with concentrations up to  $\sim 2 \text{ mg g}^{-1}$  (average  $1.02 \text{ mg g}^{-1}$ ) compared to other studies (Evershed, 2008; Evershed et al., 2008a,b). They revealed a range of saturated and unsaturated mid-chain length  $n$ -alkanoic acids (fatty acids) with even numbers of carbon atoms, particularly dominated by  $\text{C}_{16:0}$  and  $\text{C}_{18:0}$  (Table 5; Fig. 7). Most of these samples contain trace amounts of isoprenoid fatty acids (phytanic acid), short chain diacids, scarce amounts of cholesterol and long mid-chain ketones. These compounds demonstrate that the vessels were used for heating animal fat, possibly along with plant resources (Baeten et al., 2013; Evershed et al., 1995a; Raven et al., 1997). Traces of  $\text{C}_{16}$  and  $\text{C}_{18}$   $\omega$ -(*o*-alkylphenyl) alkanolic acids (APAAs), likely formed from mono-di and tri-unsaturated fatty acid precursors (Hansel et al., 2004) were found in most of the sherds, along with short-chain alkanes. Significantly however, longer chain length APAAs typically formed from processing aquatic organisms (Evershed et al., 2008a,b) were absent, even when the MS was used to selectively monitor ions from these compounds thereby maximising the chance of detection. Other lipids at high concentration in aquatic tissues, such as 4,8,12-TMTD (Hansel et al., 2004), were also absent. Therefore there is no evidence that fish were processed in pottery from Flixborough.

Triacylglycerols (TAGs) were recovered from only two sherds out of ten that were solvent extracted. The acyl carbon distribution of TAGs in these samples ( $\text{C}_{42}$ - $\text{C}_{50}$  and  $\text{C}_{46}$ - $\text{C}_{54}$ ) is consistent with dairy and ruminant adipose fat respectively, and this inference is supported by their  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  values of  $\text{C}_{16:0}$  and  $\text{C}_{18:0}$ . Apart from these observations, there were no other compounds that could be used to further resolve the source of the residue. Most likely, extensive degradation has substantially altered the original lipid distributions.

Identification of the degraded animal fats recovered from the pottery was assessed through the determination of the  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  values of  $\text{C}_{16:0}$  and  $\text{C}_{18:0}$  (Table 5). The results were compared with fatty acid  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  values from archaeological bones (pig, chicken, goose) and modern ruminant adipose and milk reference for the UK (Dudd and Evershed, 1998). Over half of the potsherds (62.9%) have fatty acid  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  values broadly consistent with modern ruminant adipose fat (Fig. 8). However, as these values fall between the ellipses of modern ruminant adipose and dairy as well as archaeological monogastric-omnivore bone fats, mixing of these commodities would produce similar results. Such equifinality cannot be easily resolved. The remaining potsherds have fatty acid  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  values that fall within the reference ranges for archaeological bone fat from pig and goose (16.6%), chicken (9.25%) and modern ruminant milk (7.4%). Notably, the three vessels that produced almost identical values to archaeological chicken bones are from the 8–9th century. These data are not as easily explained by mixing of different fats. From theoretical mixing curves, it is crudely estimated that these three ceramic sherds must contain at least 90% chicken fat if mixed with pig/goose or ruminant carcass fat (Fig. 8). Modelling mixtures of other fats (curves not shown) does not produce values that plot within the chicken ellipse.

The results therefore represent the first direct identification of poultry lipids in archaeological ceramic vessels and implies that these three vessels, at least, were used largely for this purpose. However, further consideration of uncertainties associated with the reference ranges of different fatty acids in the source fats and their concentration is needed to discern the degree to which other commodities may have been mixed in these vessels. The deconvolution of multiple sources requires the development and application of more sophisticated mixing models.

The vessel typology was only evident in approximately half of the vessels that produced interpretable lipid residues but some preliminary observations could still be made (Table 5). For example, residues from the omnivorous animals were only clearly distinguishable in jars, which generally had a wider range of uses compared to bowls. Similar results were observed in the early medieval site of West Cotton, in Britain, where jars contained the

**Table 5**

The description of the pottery sherds submitted for analysis and details of the absorbed lipid residues detected. Composition of lipid extracts. Abbreviations: SFA, saturated fatty acid; MUFA, monounsaturated fatty acid; PUFA, polyunsaturated fatty acid; K, mid-chain ketones; ALK, *n*-alkanes; ALC, *n*-alcohols; Di, diols; D, diacids; CH, cholesterol, Phy, Phytanic acid; APAA, C<sub>16</sub> and C<sub>18-ω</sub>-(*o*-alkylphenyl) alkanolic acids; MAG, monoacylglycerols; DAG, diacylglycerols; TAG, triacylglycerol. Phases, contexts and relative age (AD) from Young and Vince (2009). Samples trimethylsilylated\*. Attributions have been made according to the  $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{FA}}$  proximity to the reference ranges shown in Fig. 8 and therefore indicate only the most prominent source of animal fat contributing to the contents.

Sample	Context	Phase	Relative Age (AD)		Lipid concentration (μg/g)	Lipid detected	$\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{C16:0}}$	$\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{C18:0}}$	Attribution
FLX1	6235	3bv	8th–9th	Fragment not described	238.7	SFA, MUFA, ALK	–28.6	–31.2	Ruminant mixed
FLX3	6235	3bv	8th–9th	Fragment not described	16.5	SFA, MUFA, D, ALK	–29.7	–30.1	Pig, goose
FLX4	6235	3bv	8th–9th	Fragment not described	453.7	SFA, MUFA, D, ALK, Phy	–30.5	–34.7	Dairy
FLX5	6235	3bv	8th–9th	Fragment not described	731.1	SFA, MUFA, APAA, ALK, Phy	–28.5	–31.6	Ruminant mixed
FLX6	5983	3iv	8th–9th	Fragment not described	28.8	SFA, MUFA, ALK	–28.6	–29.1	Pig, goose
FLX7	5983	3iv	8th–9th	Fragment not described	1281.7	SFA, MUFA, D, APAA, ALK, Phy	–30.3	–33.5	Ruminant mixed
FLX8	5983	3iv	8th–9th	Fragment not described	84.2	SFA, MUFA, ALK,	–28.4	–30.1	Ruminant mixed
FLX10	5617	3iv	8th–9th	Fragment not described	532.4	SFA, MUFA, D, APAA, ALK, Phy	–29.2	–32.2	Ruminant mixed
FLX11	5617	3iv	8th–9th	Fragment not described	41.8	SFA, MUFA, D, ALK	–29.5	–29.4	Pig, goose
FLX12	5503	4ii	8th–9th	Fragment not described	228.2	SFA, MUFA, D, APAA, ALK	–28.1	–27.4	Pig, goose
FLX13	5503	4ii	8th–9th	Fragment not described	9.1	SFA, MUFA, ALK	–27.9	–28.7	Monogastric mixed
FLX14	5503	4ii	8th–9th	Fragment not described	6.3	SFA, ALK	–27.2	–29.0	Chicken
FLX16	5503	4ii	8th–9th	Fragment not described	367.6	SFA, MUFA, D, APAA, ALK, Phy	–30.1	–32.5	Ruminant mixed
FLX17	5503	4ii	8th–9th	Fragment not described	375.1	SFA, MUFA, D, APAA, ALK, Phy	–29.8	–33.2	Ruminant mixed
FLX18	5503	4ii	8th–9th	Fragment not described	615.0	SFA, MUFA, APAA, Phy	–28.6	–30.2	Ruminant mixed
FLX19	5503	4ii	8th–9th	Fragment not described	472.3	SFA, MUFA, D	–27.3	–28.9	Chicken
FLX20	5503	4ii	8th–9th	Fragment not described	2216.4	SFA, MUFA, ALK, Phy	–27.3	–28.8	Chicken
FLX21	5503	4ii	8th–9th	Fragment not described	20.9	SFA, MUFA, ALK	–29.2	–29.4	Pig, goose
FLX22	5503	4ii	8th–9th	Fragment not described	17.4	SFA, MUFA, ALK, Phy	–27.3	–26.4	Monogastric mixed
FLX24	5503	4ii	8th–9th	Fragment not described	679.5	SFA, MUFA, ALK, Phy	–29.4	–31.4	Ruminant mixed
FLX25	5503	4ii	8th–9th	Fragment not described	1438.7	SFA, MUFA, D, APAA, ALK	–28.3	–30.4	Ruminant mixed
FLX26	5503	4ii	8th–9th	Fragment not described	1218.3	SFA, MUFA, ALK,	–29.2	–31.8	Ruminant mixed
FLX27	5503	4ii	8th–9th	Fragment not described	921.2	SFA, MUFA, APAA, ALK, Phy	–29.9	–33.8	Dairy
FLX28	5503	4ii	8th–9th	Fragment not described	1633.2	SFA, MUFA, PUFA, Phy	–29.0	–32.6	Ruminant mixed
FLX29	5503	4ii	8th–9th	Fragment not described	244.6	SFA, MUFA, D, APAA, ALK	–29.3	–33.1	Dairy
FLX30	5503	4ii	8th–9th	Fragment not described	7.3	SFA	–27.9	–27.8	Pig, goose
FLX45*	1012	2–3a	Mixed	Maxey type fabric B, large type lb jar with post-firing hole below rim and external soot, possibly lugged	42.6	SFA, MUFA, ALC, Di, D, ALK, APAA, Phy	–26.8	–29.5	Chicken
FLX54*	2024	6iii	9th	Maxey type fabric B, large round lugged jar	303.6	SFA, MUFA, D, ALK, D, CH, Phy	–28.6	–31.3	Ruminant mixed
FLX57*	3893	3a	8th–9th	Maxey type fabric B, medium-sized type lia jar with external soot	1243.2	SFA, MUFA, APAA, ALK, D, Phy	–28.5	–30.4	Ruminant mixed
FLX62*	3734	5a–5b	9th–10th	Maxey type fabric B, medium-sized type lib jar with small rounded lug and external soot,	207.5	SFA, MUFA, D, APAA, ALK, Phy, MAG, DAG, TAG	–27.9	–31.1	Ruminant mixed
FLX65	4917	4i–4ii	8th–9th	Maxey type fabric B, medium-sized type lic jar with external soot	995.3	SFA, MUFA, D, K, ALK, APAA, Phy	–28.9	–31.4	Ruminant mixed
FLX69	2562	5b	9th–10th	Maxey type fabric B, medium-sized type lid jar with external soot	1255.7	SFA, MUFA, D, APAA, AKA, Phy	–29.1	–32.3	Ruminant mixed
FLX70*	72	5a	9th–10th	Maxey type fabric B, medium-sized type lle jar with external soot.	1061.3	SFA, MUFA, D, APAA, ALK, Phy	–29.0	–29.6	Pig, goose
FLX86	2024	6iii	9th–10th	Maxey type fabric B, large type Vb bowl with external soot	965.4	SFA, MUFA, PUFA, D, APAA, ALK, Phy	–29.2	–31.4	Ruminant mixed
FLX91	323	2–4ii	Mixed	Maxey type fabric B, medium-sized type Via, bowl	798.0	SFA, MUFA, D, APAA, ALK, Phy	–28.6	–31.5	Ruminant mixed
FLX104	2127	6i–6ii	9th–10th	Maxey type fabric B, small type VIIa bowl with thick internal soot	289.8	SFA, MUFA, D, Di, APAA, ALK, ALC, Phy	–29.6	–31.9	Ruminant mixed
FLX113*	72	5a	9th–10th	Maxey type fabric B, large jar with rounded lug having off-centre hole	466.1	SFA, MUFA, D, ALK, Phy	–28.9	–30.7	Ruminant mixed
FLX130*	4737	4i–4ii	8th–9th	Maxey type fabric E, large type lh jar	23.6	SFA, MUFA, ALK	–27.3	–28.8	Chicken

Table 5 (continued)

Sample	Context	Phase	Relative Age (AD)		Lipid concentration (µg/g)	Lipid detected	$\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{C16:0}}$	$\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{C18:0}}$	Attribution
FLX165	400	2i	Mixed	Maxey type fabric E, type IV jar	3012.3	SFA, MUFA, Di, D, APAA, ALK, Phy	-28.8	-32.6	Ruminant mixed
FLX176	2024	6iii	9th–10th	Maxey type fabric E, medium-sized type Ivi bowl with small triangular lug, external soot.	777.0	SFA, MUFA, D, APAA, APAA, ALK, Phy	-29.1	-32.9	Dairy
FLX180*	3219	5b	9th–10th	Maxey type fabric E, medium-sized type Vik bowl with external soot	474.3	SFA, MUFA, D, APAA, ALK, Phy	-28.1	-30.5	Ruminant mixed
FLX192	1462	6iii	9th–10th	Maxey type fabric U. large type Ib jar,	23.9	SFA, MUFA, D, K, ALK, ALC	-28.9	-30.2	Ruminant mixed
FLX195*	2024	6iii	9th–10th	Maxey type fabric U with coarse shell, large round lugged type Ie jar with external soot,	431.1	SFA, MUFA, D, K, ALK, Phy, MAG, DAG, TAG	-27.9	-30.8	Ruminant mixed
FLX197	72	5a	9th–10th	Maxey type fabric U with coarse shell, medium-sized type Iia jar	118.5	SFA, MUFA, D, ALK, Phy	-28.7	-31.6	Ruminant mixed
FLX199	968	3bi–3bv	8th–9th	Maxey type fabric U.3. medium-sized type lic jar with external soot	709.5	SFA, MUFA, D, APAA, ALK, Phy	-28.4	-27.8	Pig, goose
FLX203*	968	3bi–3bv	8th–9th	Maxey type fabric U with coarse shell, medium-sized type IIf jar with external soot	18.0	SFA, MUFA, D, ALK, CH	-28.1	-28.4	Pig, goose
FLX206	3666	1b	–	Maxey type fabric U.1, medium-sized jar with external soot,	1446.9	SFA, MUFA, APAA, ALK, Phy	-29.4	-30.9	Ruminant mixed
FLX217	2488	5b–6ii	9th–10th	Maxey type fabric U with coarse shell, small type IIIf jar with internal and external soot	2049.7	SFA, MUFA, D, K, APAA, ALK, Phy	-29.0	-30.9	Ruminant mixed
FLX220	636	6iii	9th–10th	Maxey type fabric U.3. large type Va bowl, possibly lugged, with external soot	296.8	SFA, MUFA, D, ALK, Phy	-28.3	-31.4	Ruminant mixed
FLX227	687	2	–	Maxey type fabric U.3. medium sized type Vib bowl with internal soot	994.5	SFA, MUFA, K, APAA, ALK, Phy	-29.4	-32.6	Ruminant mixed
FLX236	2611	5a	9th–10th	Maxey type fabric U, with coarse shell, medium-sized type VII bowl with external soot	950.4	SFA, MUFA, K, Phy	-28.4	-31.8	Ruminant mixed
FLX276	1672	5b–6i	9th–10th	Grey burnished ware with white fabric, jar with rolled-out rim (sample AG190). Vessel 42	832.8	SFA, MUFA, K, APAA, Phy	-28.5	-31.3	Ruminant mixed
FLX105_11	1728	5b	9th–10th	Maxey type fabric B, small type VIIa bowl,	2452.0	SFA, MUFA, APAA, ALK, Phy	-28.6	-30.7	Ruminant mixed
FLX105_13	1728	5b	9th–10th	Maxey type fabric B, small-type VIIa bowl	210.8	SFA, MUFA, D, APAA, ALK, Phy	-28.9	-31.9	Ruminant mixed

largest range of lipid compounds and may have had a more versatile function compared to other vessels (Charters et al., 1993). By contrast, pottery size and fabric did not influence lipid distribution and isotopic composition.

Study of the faunal bone assemblage from Flixborough has shown that animal exploitation was mainly focused on cattle and sheep, followed by pigs and poultry (chicken, geese) in the mid-8<sup>th</sup> to early 9<sup>th</sup> century. Organic residue analysis demonstrates that all these animals were processed in ceramic containers, as well as dairy products, as may have been expected. The pottery evidence that poultry were processed in the same way and probably mixed with multiple products from other livestock and perhaps perceived similarly, at least in terms of their culinary value. However, it is important to point out that using this isotopic approach we cannot differentiate lipids derived from chicken eggs or meat. Ruminant products (meat and/or dairy) appear to be the most frequently processed animal resource in ceramic containers at Flixborough, followed by dairy products and then omnivorous animals, including chickens, which show some similarities with the relative abundance of faunal remains (Jaques et al., 2007). However, further determination of the proportional contribution of poultry and other products to the pottery at Flixborough currently lacks accurate quantification using the approach we have described.

#### 4.4. Archaeological implications


This study emphasises the value of conducting isotopic analyses of residues and integrating zooarchaeological remains and ceramic artefacts. Compared to larger mammals such as cattle, sheep and pig, bird bones are often overlooked during excavation because of their small size and are therefore potentially under-represented in many faunal assemblages. Similarly, avian products are rarely

considered in studies of pottery use or when reconstructing human diet using stable isotopes. These changes were not uniform across all of society however. Greater numbers of bones of chickens, and domestic birds more generally, are found at high status and ecclesiastical sites during the Middle and Late Anglo-Saxon periods (Poole and Lacey, 2014). Comparison of different sites thus has real potential to demonstrate differences in dietary intake and consumption practices between different parts of society. In earlier periods, residue analysis using the approach we describe is essential to understand the culinary role of poultry and distinguish this from other uses of chickens, such as in ritual practices or for fighting.


#### 5. Conclusion

In this study we show that:

- $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  values of fatty acids ( $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{FA}}$ ) and collagen ( $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{coll}}$ ) from modern omnivorous animal bone are correlated and reflect diet
- $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{FA}}$  values in bone is a good proxy for adipose fat in modern omnivorous animals. However, it is reasonable to expect that different animal tissues (e.g. adipose, bone and bone marrow) were processed in the past and should also be considered.
- $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{FA}}$  ( $\text{C}_{16:0}$  fatty acid) and  $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{coll}}$  values in archaeological bone are highly correlated. The former are therefore endogenous and both reflect diet.
- There is substantial variation in  $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{FA}}$  values between archaeological bone and modern adipose tissues for monogastric-omnivorous animals reflecting different husbandry practices between past and present. Therefore it is highly unlikely that any global  $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{FA}}$  ranges can be derived for distinguishing monogastric-omnivorous animal fats.



**Fig. 7.** Partial gas chromatogram of main lipid extracts from Anglo-Saxon potsherds at Flixborough. Fatty acid  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  values are indicative of dairy (A), ruminant fat (B), possible chicken fat (C), and goose/pig fat (D). Partial HT chromatogram of a solvent extract (E).  $\text{C}_{n:x}$  indicates fatty acid with  $n$  carbon atoms and  $x$  double bonds. Phy. - phytanic acid, Alk -  $n$ -alkanes, K - mid-chain ketones, Ch - Cholesterol derivatives. MAGs are monoacylglycerols of carbon chain length  $x$ , DAGs are diacylglycerols and  $\text{C}_x$  TAGs are triacylglycerols of carbon chain length  $x$ . IS indicates internal standard.



**Fig. 8.**  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  values for the  $\text{C}_{16:0}$  and  $\text{C}_{18:0}$  fatty acids extracted from Anglo-Saxon potsherds at Flixborough. Each data point represents an individual vessel. Data are compared with ellipses (68% confidence) calculated for the  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  values of archaeological bone lipids (dotted) and modern adipose and milk (Dudd and Evershed, 1998). The theoretical mixing curves illustrate the  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  values which would result from the mixing of chicken fat with ruminant adipose and milk, goose and pig fat (unfilled circles represent 10% increments between mean values).

- Archaeological bone  $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{FA}}$  values from omnivorous animals therefore complement or provide an alternative to modern reference fat for interpreting  $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{FA}}$  values from archaeological ceramics.
- Fatty acids extracted from several vessels from the Anglo-Saxon site of Flixborough have similar  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  values as those from chicken bones in the same deposits providing the first compelling evidence of their processing.
- Substantial mixing of different ruminant and monogastric-omnivore products needs to be resolved in order to determine the importance and nature of poultry processing in the past.

#### Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Arts and Humanities Research Council as part of the project “Cultural and Scientific Perspectives of Human-Chicken Interactions” (Grant No AH/L006979/1) and by Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq) of Brazil. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript. The authors want to thank Deborah Jaques for providing information on the chicken remains. The authors are grateful to the comments of the two anonymous reviewers, which improved the quality of the manuscript.

## References

- Baeten, J., Jervis, B., De Vos, D., Waelkens, M., 2013. Molecular evidence for the mixing of meat, fish and vegetables in Anglo-Saxon coarseware from Hamwic, UK. *Archaeometry* 55, 1150–1174.
- Baião, N.C., Lara, L., 2005. Oil and fat in broiler nutrition. *Rev. Bras. Cienc. Avic.* 7, 129–141.
- Brown, T.A., Nelson, E.E., Vogel, S.J., Southon, J.R., 1988. Improved collagen extraction by modified Longin method. *Radiocarbon* 30, 171–177.
- Budge, S.M., Wang, S.W., Hollmén, T.E., Wooller, M.J., 2011. Carbon isotopic fractionation in eider adipose tissue varies with fatty acid structure: implications for trophic studies. *J. Exp. Biol.* 214, 3790–3800.
- Castañeda, I.S., Mulitz, S., Schefuss, E., Lopes dos Santos, R.A., Sinninghe Damsté, J.S., Schouten, S., 2009. Wet phases in the Sahara/Sahel region and human migration patterns in North Africa. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 106, 20159–20163.
- Charters, S., Evershed, R.P., Goad, L.J., Leyden, A., Blinkhorn, P.W., Denham, V., 1993. Quantification and distribution of lipid in archaeological ceramics: implications for sampling potsherds for organic residue analysis and the classification of vessel use. *Archaeometry* 35, 211–223.
- Colonese, A.C., Farrell, T., Lucquin, A., Firth, D., Charlton, S., Robson, H.K., Alexander, M., Craig, O.E., 2015. Archaeological bone lipids as palaeodietary markers. *Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom.* 29, 611–618.
- Copley, M.S., Berstan, R., Dudd, S.N., Docherty, G., Mukherjee, A.J., Straker, V., Payne, S., Evershed, R.P., 2003. Direct chemical evidence for widespread dairying in prehistoric Britain. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 100, 1524–1529.
- Correa-Ascencio, M., Evershed, R.P., 2014. High throughput screening of organic residues in archaeological potsherds using direct acidified methanol extraction. *Anal. Methods* 6, 1330–1340.
- Craig, O.E., Allen, R.B., Thompson, A., Stevens, R.E., Steele, V.J., Heron, C., 2012. Distinguishing wild ruminant lipids by gas chromatography/combustion/isotope ratio mass spectrometry. *Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom.* 26, 2359–2364.
- Craig, O.E., Biazzo, M., Colonese, A.C., Di Giuseppe, Z., Martinez-Labarga, C., Lo Vetro, D., Lelli, R., Martini, F., Rickards, O., 2010. Stable isotope analysis of Late Upper Palaeolithic human and faunal remains from Grotta del Romito (Cosenza), Italy. *J. Archaeol. Sci.* 37, 2504–2512.
- Craig, O.E., Saul, H., Lucquin, A., Nishida, Y., Taché, K., Clarke, L., Thompson, A., Altoft, D.T., Uchiyama, J., Ajimoto, M., Gibbs, K., Isaksson, S., Heron, C.P., Jordan, P., 2013. Earliest evidence for the use of pottery. *Nature* 496, 351–354.
- Crespo, N., Esteve-García, E., 2002. Nutrient and fatty acid deposition in broilers fed different dietary fatty acid profiles. *Poult. Sci.* 81, 1533–1542.
- Delgado, C., Rosegrant, M., Steinfeld, H., Ehui, S., Courbois, C., 1999. Livestock to 2020 the Next Food Revolution. Food, agriculture and the environment discussion paper 28. Food, agriculture, and the environment international food policy research institute (IFPRI), Washington D.C.
- Delgado-Chavero, C.L., Zapata-Márquez, E., García-Casco, J.M., Paredes-Torronteras, A., 2013. Statistical model for classifying the feeding systems of Iberian pigs through gas chromatography (GC-FID) and isotope ratio mass spectrometry (GC-C-IRMS). *Grasas Aceites* 64, 157–165.
- DeNiro, M.J., Epstein, S., 1977. Mechanism of carbon isotope fractionation associated with lipid synthesis. *Sci. New Ser.* 197, 261–263.
- Dobney, K., Ervynck, A., Ferla, B.L.F., 2002. Assessment and further development of the recording and interpretation of linear enamel hypoplasia in archaeological pig populations. *Environ. Archaeol.* 7, 35–46.
- Dudd, S.N., 1999. Molecular and Isotopic Characterisation of Animal Fats in Archaeological Pottery (PhD thesis). University of Bristol.
- Dudd, S.N., Evershed, R.P., 1998. Direct demonstration of milk as an element of archaeological economies. *Science* 282, 1478–1481.
- Dunne, J., Evershed, R.P., Salque, M., Cramp, L., Bruni, S., Ryan, K., Biagetti, S., di Lernia, S., 2012. First dairying in green Saharan Africa in the fifth millennium BC. *Nature* 486, 390–394.
- Evershed, R.P., 2008. Organic residue analysis in archaeology: the archaeological biomarker revolution. *Archaeometry* 50, 895–924.
- Evershed, R.P., Copley, M.S., Dickson, L., Hansel, F.A., 2008a. Experimental evidence for the processing of marine animal products in pottery vessels. *Archaeometry* 50, 101–103.
- Evershed, R.P., Dudd, S.N., Charters, S., Mottram, H., Stott, A.W., Raven, A., van Bergen, P.F., Bland, H.A., 1999. Lipids as carriers of anthropogenic signals from prehistory. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci.* 354, 19–31.
- Evershed, R.P., Dudd, S.N., Copley, M.S., Berstan, R., Stott, A.W., Mottram, H., Buckley, S.A., Crossman, Z., 2002a. Chemistry of archaeological animal fats. *Acc. Chem. Res.* 35, 660–668.
- Evershed, R.P., Dudd, S.N., Copley, M.S., Mutherjee, A., 2002b. Identification of animal fats via compound specific  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  values of individual fatty acids: assessments of results for reference fats and lipid extracts of archaeological pottery vessels. *Doc. Praehist.* XXIX, 73–96.
- Evershed, R.P., Payne, S., Sherratt, A.G., Copley, M.S., Coolidge, J., Urem-Kotsu, D., Kotsakis, K., Ozdoğan, M., Ozdoğan, A.E., Nieuwenhuys, O., Akkermans, P.M.M.G., Bailey, D., Andeescu, R.-R., Campbell, S., Farid, S., Hodder, I., Yalman, N., Özbaşaran, M., Biçakçı, E., Garfinkel, Y., Levy, T., Burton, M.M., 2008b. Earliest date for milk use in the Near East and south-eastern Europe linked to cattle herding. *Nature* 455, 528–531.
- Evershed, R.P., Stott, A.W., Raven, A., Dudd, S.N., Charters, S., Leyden, A., 1995a. Formation of long-chain ketones in ancient pottery vessels by pyrolysis of acyl lipids. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 36, 8875–8878.
- Evershed, R.P., Turner-Walker, G., Hedges, R.E.M., Tuross, N., Leyden, A., 1995b. Preliminary results for the analysis of lipids in ancient bone. *J. Archaeol. Sci.* 22, 277–290.
- Givens, D.I., Gibbs, R.A., Rymer, C., Brown, R.H., 2011. Effect of intensive vs. free range production on the fat and fatty acid composition of whole birds and edible portions of retail chickens in the UK. *Food Chem.* 127, 1549–1554.
- Goodfriend, G.A., 1990. Rainfall in the Negev Desert during the middle Holocene, based on  $^{13}\text{C}$  of organic matter in land snail shells. *Quat. Res.* 34, 186–197.
- Gregg, M.W., Banning, E.B., Gibbs, K., Slater, G.F., 2009. Subsistence practices and pottery use in Neolithic Jordan: molecular and isotopic evidence. *J. Archaeol. Sci.* 36, 937–946.
- Hagen, A., 1995. A Second Handbook of Anglo-saxon Food & Drink: Production & Distribution. Anglo-Saxon Books, Hockwold-cum-Wilton.
- Hamilton, J., Thomas, R., 2012. Pannage, pulses and pigs: isotopic and zooarchaeological evidence for changing pig management practices in later Medieval England. *Mediev. Archaeol.* 56, 234–259.
- Hammer, Ø., Harper, D., Ryan, P.D., 2001. PAST: paleontological statistics software package for education and data analysis. *Palaeontol. Electron.* 4.
- Hansel, F.A., Copley, M.S., Madureira, L.A.S., Evershed, R.P., 2004. Thermally produced  $\omega$ -(o-alkylphenyl) alkanolic acids provide evidence for the processing of marine products in archaeological pottery vessels. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 45, 2999–3002.
- Harrison, F.A., Leat, M.F., 1975. Digestion and absorption of lipids in non-ruminant and ruminant animals: a comparison. *Proc. Nutr. Soc.* 34, 203–210.
- Howland, M.R., Corr, L.T., Young, S.M.M., Jones, V., Jim, S., Van Der Merwe, N.J., Mitchell, A.D., Evershed, R.P., 2003. Expression of the dietary isotope signal in the compound-specific  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  values of pig bone lipids and amino acids. *Int. J. Osteoarchaeol.* 13, 54–65.
- Jaques, D., Dobney, K., Barrett, J., Johnstone, C., Carrott, J., Hall, A., 2007. The nature of the bioarchaeological assemblages. In: Excavations at Flixborough Oxford (Ed.), Farmers, Monks and Aristocrats: the Environmental Archaeology of Anglo Saxon Flixborough, pp. 36–58.
- Jim, S., Ambrose, S.H., Evershed, R.P., 2004. Stable carbon isotopic evidence for differences in the dietary origin of bone cholesterol, collagen and apatite: implications for their use in palaeodietary reconstruction. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 68, 61–72.
- Kagawa, M., Matsubara, K., Kimura, K., Shiono, H., Fukui, Y., 1996. Species identification by the positional analysis of fatty acid composition in triacylglyceride of adipose and bone tissues. *Forensic Sci. Int.* 79, 215–226.
- Koizumi, I., Suzuki, Y., Kaneko, J.J., 1991. Studies on the fatty acid composition of intramuscular lipids of cattle, pigs and birds. *J. Nutr. Sci. Vitaminol.* 37, 545–554.
- Krogdahl, A., 1985. Digestion and absorption of lipids in poultry. *J. Nutr.* 115, 675–685.
- Laliotis, G.P., Bizelis, I., Rogdakis, E., 2010. Comparative approach of the de novo fatty acid synthesis (lipogenesis) between ruminant and non-ruminant mammalian species: from biochemical level to the main regulatory lipogenic genes. *Curr. Genomics* 11, 168–183.
- Loveluck, C., Gaunt, G., 2007. Introduction. In: Loveluck, C., Atkinson, D. (Eds.), The Early Medieval Settlement Remains from Flixborough, Lincolnshire. The Occupation Sequence, C. AD 600–1000. Oxbow Books, Oxford, pp. 1–12.
- Loveluck, C.P., 1998. A high-status Anglo-Saxon settlement at Flixborough, Lincolnshire. *Antiquity* 72, 146–161.
- Lucquin, A., Colonese, A.C., Farrell, T.F.G., Craig, O.E., 2016. Utilising phytanic acid diastereomers for the characterisation of archaeological lipid residues in pottery samples. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 57, 703–707.
- Madgwick, R., Mulville, J., Stevens, R.E., 2012. Diversity in foddering strategy and herd management in late Bronze Age Britain: an isotopic investigation of pigs and other fauna from two midden sites. *Environ. Archaeol.* 17, 126–140.
- Maltby, M., 2014. The exploitation of animals in Roman Britain. In: Millett, M., Revell, L., Moore, A. (Eds.), The Oxford Handbook of Roman Britain. Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- Mukherjee, A.J., Gibson, A.M., Evershed, R.P., 2008. Trends in pig product processing at British Neolithic Grooved Ware sites traced through organic residues in potsherds. *J. Archaeol. Sci.* 35, 2059–2073.
- Nir, I., Nitsan, Z., Keren-Zvi, S., 1988. Fat deposition in birds. In: Leclercq, B., Whitehead, C.C. (Eds.), Leanness in Domestic Birds: Genetic, Metabolic and Hormonal Aspects, Leanness in Domestic Birds: Genetic, Metabolic and Hormonal Aspects. Elsevier, pp. 141–174.
- O'Connell, T.C., Hull, B.D., 2011. Diet: recent evidence from analytical chemical techniques. In: Hinton, D.A., Crawford, S., Hamerow, H. (Eds.), The Oxford Handbook of Anglo-saxon Archaeology.
- O'Connor, T., 2014. Livestock and animal husbandry in early medieval England. *Quat. Int.* 346, 109–118.
- Peters, J., Lebrasseur, O., Best, J., Miller, H., Fothergill, T., Dobney, K., Thomas, R.M., Maltby, M., Sykes, N., Hanotte, O., O'Connor, T., Collins, M.J., Larson, G., 2015. Questioning new answers regarding Holocene chicken domestication in China. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 112, E2415.
- Poole, K., Lacey, E., 2014. Avian auality in Anglo-Saxon England. *World Archaeol.* 46, 400–415.
- Raven, A.M., van Bergen, P.F., Stott, A.W., Dudd, S.N., Evershed, R.P., 1997. Formation of long-chain ketones in archaeological pottery vessels by pyrolysis of acyl lipids. *J. Anal. Appl. Pyrolysis* 267–285.

- Recio, C., Martín, Q., Raposo, C., 2013. GC-C-IRMS analysis of FAMES as a tool to ascertain the diet of Iberian pigs used for the production of pork products with high added value. *Grasas Aceites* 64, 181–190.
- Redding, R.W., 2015. The pig and the chicken in the Middle East: modeling human subsistence behavior in the archaeological record using historical and animal husbandry data. *J. Archaeol. Res.* 23, 325–368.
- Ren, J., Dimitrov, I., Sherry, A.D., Malloy, C.R., 2008. Composition of adipose tissue and marrow fat in humans by <sup>1</sup>H NMR at 7 Tesla. *J. Lipid Res.* 49, 2055–2062.
- Salque, M., Bogucki, P.I., Pyzel, J., Sobkowiak-Tabaka, I., Grygiel, R., Szmyt, M., Evershed, R.P., 2013. Earliest evidence for cheese making in the sixth millennium BC in northern Europe. *Nature* 493, 522–525.
- Sealy, J., Johnson, M., Richards, M., Nehlich, O., 2014. Comparison of two methods of extracting bone collagen for stable carbon and nitrogen isotope analysis: comparing whole bone demineralization with gelatinization and ultrafiltration. *J. Archaeol. Sci.* 47, 64–69.
- Serjeantson, D., 2009. Birds. In: *Cambridge Manuals in Archaeology*.
- Spangenberg, J.E., Ferrer, M., Jacomet, S., Bleicher, N., Schibler, J., 2014. Molecular and isotopic characterization of lipids staining bone and antler tools in the Late Neolithic settlement, Zurich Opera Parking, Switzerland. *Org. Geochem* 69, 11–25.
- Spangenberg, J.E., Jacomet, S., Schibler, J., 2006. Chemical analyses of organic residues in archaeological pottery from Arbon Bleiche 3, Switzerland – evidence for dairying in the late Neolithic. *J. Archaeol. Sci.* 33, 1–13.
- Stott, A.W., Davies, E., Evershed, R.P., 1997a. Monitoring the routing of dietary and biosynthesised lipids through compound – specific stable isotope ( $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ ) measurements at natural abundance. *Naturwissenschaften* 84, 82–86.
- Stott, A.W., Evershed, R.P., 1996.  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  Analysis of cholesterol preserved in archaeological bones and teeth. *Anal. Chem.* 68, 4402.
- Stott, A.W., Evershed, R.P., Jim, S., Jones, V., Rogers, J.M., Tuross, N., Ambrose, S., 1999. Cholesterol as a new source of palaeodietary information: experimental approaches and archaeological applications. *J. Archaeol. Sci.* 26, 705–716.
- Stott, A.W., Evershed, R.P., Tuross, N., 1997b. Compound-specific approach to the  $\delta^{13}\text{C}$  analysis of cholesterol in fossil bones. *Org. Geochem.* 26, 99–103.
- Sykes, N., 2012. A social perspective on the introduction of exotic animals: the case of the chicken. *World Archaeol.* 44, 158–169.
- Trust Hammer, B., Fogel, M.L., Hoering, T.C., 1998. Stable carbon isotope ratios of fatty acids in seagrass and redhead ducks. *Chem. Geol.* 152, 29–41.
- van den Brink, D.M., van Miert, J.N.L., Dacremont, G., Rontani, J.-F., Jansen, G.A., Wanders, R.J.A., 2004. Identification of fatty aldehyde dehydrogenase in the breakdown of phytol to phytanic acid. *Mol. Genet. Metab.* 82, 33–37.
- Villaverde, C., Baucells, M.D., Cortinas, L., Barroeta, A.C., 2006. Effects of dietary concentration and degree of polyunsaturation of dietary fat on endogenous synthesis and deposition of fatty acids in chickens. *Br. Poult. Sci.* 47, 173–179.
- Wanders, R.J.A., Komen, J., Ferdinandusse, S., 2011. Phytanic acid metabolism in health and disease. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1811, 498–507.
- Young, J., Vince, A., 2009. The Anglo-Saxon pottery. In: Evans, D.H., Loveluck, C. (Eds.), *Life and Economy at Early Medieval Flixborough, C. AD 600–1000. Excavations at Flixborough*, Oxbow Books, pp. 339–397.