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Non-pharmacological and non-psychological approaches to the treatment of PTSD: results of a systematic review and meta-analyses

Jonathan I. Bisson, Marieke van Gelderen, Neil P. Roberts and Catrin Lewis

ABSTRACT
Background: Non-pharmacological and non-psychological approaches to the treatment of post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) have often been excluded from systematic reviews and meta-analyses. Consequently, we know little regarding their efficacy.

Objective: To determine the effect sizes of non-pharmacological and non-psychological treatment approaches for PTSD.

Method: We undertook a systematic review and meta-analyses following Cochrane Collaboration guidelines. A pre-determined definition of clinical importance was applied to the results and the quality of evidence was appraised using the Grading of Recommendations, Assessment, Development and Evaluations (GRADE) approach.

Results: 30 randomised controlled trials (RCTs) of a range of heterogeneous non-psychological and non-pharmacological interventions (28 in adults, two in children and adolescents) were included. There was emerging evidence for six different approaches (acupuncture, neurofeedback, saikokeishikankyoto (a herbal preparation), somatic experiencing, transcranial magnetic stimulation, and yoga).

Conclusions: Given the level of evidence available, it would be premature to offer non-pharmacological and non-psychological interventions routinely, but those with evidence of efficacy provide alternatives for people who do not respond to, do not tolerate or do not want more conventional evidence-based interventions. This review should stimulate further research in this area.

Enfoques no farmacológicos y no psicológicos para el tratamiento del tept: Resultados de una revisión sistemática y metanálisis

Antecedentes: Los enfoques no farmacológicos y no psicológicos para el tratamiento del trastorno de estrés postraumático (TEPT) han sido frecuentemente excluidos de las revisiones sistemáticas y los metanálisis. Consecuentemente, poco sabemos acerca de su eficacia.

Objetivo: Determinar los tamaños de efecto de los enfoques de tratamiento no farmacológicos y no psicológicos para el TEPT.

Método: Realizamos una revisión sistemática siguiendo las guías de la Colaboración Cochrane. Se aplicó una definición predeterminada de la importancia clínica a los resultados y se evaluó la calidad de la evidencia usando el enfoque de Calificación del Análisis, Desarrollo y Evaluación de las Recomendaciones (GRADE) por sus siglas en inglés de Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation.

Resultados: Se incluyeron 30 estudios controlados aleatorizados (RCTs) de un rango de intervenciones heterogéneas no psicológicas y no farmacológicas (28 en adultos, dos en niños y adolescentes). Hubo evidencia emergente para 6 diferentes enfoques (acupuntura, neurofeedback, saikokeishikankyoto (un preparado a base de hierbas), experiencia somática, estimulación magnética transcraneal y yoga).

Conclusiones: Dado el nivel de evidencia disponible, sería prematuro ofrecer intervenciones no farmacológicas y no psicológicas de forma rutinaria, pero aquellas con evidencia de eficacia brindan alternativas para las personas que no responden, no toleran o no quieren intervenciones convencionales basadas en la evidencia. Esta revisión debería estimular mayor investigación en esta área.
PTSD 的非药物和非心理学方法治疗：来自系统综述和元分析的结果

背景：治疗创伤后应激障碍（PTSD）的非药物学和非心理学方法常常被系统综述和元分析排除。因此，我们对其疗效知之甚少。

目的：确定PTSD的非药物和非心理治疗方法的效应量。

方法：我们根据Cochrane协作指南进行了系统综述。将临床重要性的预定义应用于结果，并使用GRADE方法评估证据的质量。

结果：纳入了30项各种非药物和非心理干预措施的随机对照试验（RCT）（成人28例，儿童和青少年2例）。有六种不同的方法：针灸，经颅磁刺激，热瑜伽，瑜伽，骶骨刺激疗法，以及一种草药制剂。

结论：鉴于可获得的证据水平，定期提供非药物和非心理干预为时过早，但有疗效的证据为那些不响应，不忍受或不愿接受更多传统循证干预的人提供了替代方案。这项综述应促进这一领域的进一步研究。

虽然心理、精神病学和药理学的治疗已被证明对治疗创伤后应激障碍（PTSD）（Hoskins et al., in review; Lewis, Roberts, Andrew, Starling, & Bisson, 2020）有帮助，但其他治疗方法如针灸、经颅磁刺激和瑜伽等也越来越受到关注。有六种不同的治疗方法：针灸，经颅磁刺激，热瑜伽，瑜伽，骶骨刺激疗法，以及一种草药制剂。

虽然有六种不同的方法，但它们的效果各不相同。例如，针灸在治疗创伤后应激障碍方面有较好的疗效，而经颅磁刺激和瑜伽等方法对治疗创伤后应激障碍的效果也较好。值得注意的是，虽然这些方法在治疗创伤后应激障碍方面有一定的疗效，但其疗效的证据水平仍需进一步提高。因此，需要更多的研究来评估这些方法在治疗创伤后应激障碍方面的疗效。
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Intervention</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Trauma</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>Random sequence generation</th>
<th>Allocation concealment</th>
<th>Blinding of outcome</th>
<th>Incomplete outcome data assessment</th>
<th>Selective reporting</th>
<th>Other sources of bias</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ahmadizadeh and Rezaei (2018)</td>
<td>TMS</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>Military veterans</td>
<td>Sham TMS</td>
<td>Unclear</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Unclear</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brenner et al. (2017)</td>
<td>MBSR</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>Military veterans</td>
<td>Present-centred therapy</td>
<td>Unclear</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Unclear</td>
<td>Unclear</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brom, Kleber, and Defares (1989)</td>
<td>Hypnotherapy</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>Various</td>
<td>WL/TAU</td>
<td>Unclear</td>
<td>Unformed</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Unclear</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brom et al. (2017)</td>
<td>Somatic experiencing</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>Various</td>
<td>WL</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Unclear</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carr et al. (2012)</td>
<td>Group music therapy</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>Various</td>
<td>WL</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Unclear</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carter, Gerbarg, Brown, Ware, and D’Ambrosio (2013)</td>
<td>Yoga</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>Military veterans</td>
<td>TAU</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Unclear</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cohen et al. (2004)</td>
<td>BMBS</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>Various</td>
<td>Sham TMS</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Unclear</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Unclear</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Davis et al. (2019)</td>
<td>MBSR</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>Military veterans</td>
<td>PCT</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Unclear</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Unclear</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goldstein et al. (2017)</td>
<td>Group physical exercise</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>Military</td>
<td>WL</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Unclear</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kearney, McDermott, Malte, Martinez, and Simpson (2013)</td>
<td>Group MBSR</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>Military</td>
<td>WL/TAU</td>
<td>Unclear</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Unclear</td>
<td>Unclear</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mitchell et al. (2014)</td>
<td>Yoga</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>Various, females only</td>
<td>WL/TAU</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Unclear</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Unclear</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Unclear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Niles et al. (2012)</td>
<td>MBSR</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>Military</td>
<td>Psychoeducation</td>
<td>Unclear</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Unclear</td>
<td>Unclear</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noohi, Miraghaie, and Arabi (2017)</td>
<td>Neurofeedback</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Various</td>
<td>WL/TAU</td>
<td>Unclear</td>
<td>Unformed</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Unclear</td>
<td>Unclear</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Numata et al. (2014)</td>
<td>Sailokeishikanyakoto (Japanese herbal formula)</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>Earthquake</td>
<td>WL/TAU</td>
<td>Unclear</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Unclear</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polusny et al. (2015)</td>
<td>MBSR</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>Military</td>
<td>PCT</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Unclear</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reinhardt et al. (2018)</td>
<td>Yoga</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Military</td>
<td>WL/TAU</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Unclear</td>
<td>Unclear</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Unclear</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schoot, Putman, and Van Der Does (2013)</td>
<td>Attentional bias modification</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>Various</td>
<td>WL/TAU</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seppäälä et al. (2014)</td>
<td>Yoga</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Military</td>
<td>WL/TAU</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Unclear</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Unclear</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>van der Kolk et al. (2014)</td>
<td>Yoga</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>Women</td>
<td>WL/TAU</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Unclear</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Unformed</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>van der Kolk et al. (2016)</td>
<td>Neurofeedback</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>Various</td>
<td>WL/TAU</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Unclear</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Unformed</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Watts, Landon, Groft, and Young-Xu (2012)</td>
<td>TMS</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Various</td>
<td>Sham TMS</td>
<td>Unclear</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Unclear</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Unclear</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lysyuk-Stelzer, Singer, Patricia, and Chemtob (2007)</td>
<td>Trauma-focused expressive art therapy</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>Children various</td>
<td>WL/TAU</td>
<td>Unclear</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Unclear</td>
<td>Unclear</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CBT-TF: cognitive-behavioural therapy with a trauma focus; MBSR: mindfulness-based stress reduction; PCT: present-centred therapy; TMS: transcranial magnetic stimulation; WL/TAU: wait list/treatment as usual.
present-centred therapy \([k = 1; N = 173; SMD = -0.37, CI = -0.68 to -0.07]\); and mindfulness-based stress reduction over psychoeducation \([k = 1; N = 27; SMD = -1.23, CI = -2.07 to -0.40]\).

### 2. Quality of evidence

As illustrated in Table 2, the quality of evidence was judged as very low for all the interventions considered except yoga for which it was considered low, leading to significant uncertainty about the estimates generated. The quality of evidence was lower than found for pharmacological and psychological treatments (Hoskins et al., in review; Lewis et al., 2020). It is noteworthy, however, that the quality of some individual studies was high, as demonstrated by low risk of bias ratings in Table 1.

#### Table 2. Results of included interventions versus treatment as usual or wait list.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Intervention</th>
<th>Description of intervention</th>
<th>Summary result versus TAU/WL (number of studies; number of participants; standardised mean difference; and 95% confidence intervals)</th>
<th>GRADE judgement for quality of evidence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS)</td>
<td>Magnetic fields used repetitively to stimulate nerve cells in targeted areas of the brain.</td>
<td>(k = 3; N = 94; SMD = -1.53, CI = -2.76 to -0.30)</td>
<td>Very uncertain about the estimate.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mantram repetition</td>
<td>Repeating a holy word(s) or phrase(s).</td>
<td>(k = 2; N = 175; SMD = -0.27, CI = -0.57 to 0.02)</td>
<td>Very uncertain about the estimate.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acupuncture</td>
<td>Insertion of fine needles at specific points on the body (acupressure points).</td>
<td>(k = 1; N = 48; SMD = -0.92, CI = -1.51 to -0.32)</td>
<td>Very uncertain about the estimate.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypnotherapy</td>
<td>Hypnosis used to induce an altered state of consciousness before undertaking therapeutic work.</td>
<td>(k = 1; N = 52; SMD = -0.04, CI = -0.58 to 0.51)</td>
<td>Very uncertain about the estimate.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somatic experiencing</td>
<td>Focuses on perceived body sensations and how to regulate these.</td>
<td>(k = 1; N = 60; SMD = -0.75, CI = -1.28 to -0.22)</td>
<td>Very uncertain about the estimate.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group music therapy</td>
<td>Improvisation with musical instruments, with therapists providing improvised instrumental support and interaction.</td>
<td>(k = 1; N = 16; SMD = -2.12, CI = -3.41 to -0.83)</td>
<td>Very uncertain about the estimate.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yoga</td>
<td>An integrative practice of body postures, breathing, and meditation.</td>
<td>(k = 5; N = 162; SMD = -0.37, CI = -0.68 to -0.05)</td>
<td>Further research likely to have an important impact on confidence in the estimate of effect and likely to change the estimate.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nature adventure therapy</td>
<td>Engaging in outdoor group activities to support recovery.</td>
<td>(k = 1; N = 42; SMD = -0.40, CI = -1.01 to 0.22)</td>
<td>Very uncertain about the estimate.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mindfulness-based stress reduction</td>
<td>Includes meditation practice, mindful awareness practice, and its application to real-life situations and to facilitate acceptance of traumatic memories.</td>
<td>(k = 1; N = 47; SMD = -0.49, CI = -1.07 to 0.09)</td>
<td>Very uncertain about the estimate.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neurofeedback</td>
<td>Real-time displays of brain activity used to help individuals train (self-regulate) their brain activity.</td>
<td>(k = 2; N = 74; SMD = -2.14, CI = -4.20 to -0.08)</td>
<td>Very uncertain about the estimate.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saikokeishikankyoto</td>
<td>Traditional Japanese herbal medicine. Usually a programme of aerobic exercise.</td>
<td>(k = 1; N = 43; SMD = -0.91, CI = -1.55 to -0.28)</td>
<td>Very uncertain about the estimate.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical exercise</td>
<td>Computer-based training to keep attention away from threatening information.</td>
<td>(k = 2; N = 105; SMD = -0.36, CI = -0.75 to 0.03)</td>
<td>Very uncertain about the estimate.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attentional bias modification</td>
<td>Using the mind to impact physical functioning.</td>
<td>(k = 1; N = 102; SMD = -0.23, CI = -0.62 to 0.16)</td>
<td>Very uncertain about the estimate.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mind–body skills in children</td>
<td>Using art as a medium for trauma-focused work.</td>
<td>(k = 1; N = 30; SMD = -1.46, CI = -2.30 to -0.63)</td>
<td>Very uncertain about the estimate.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trauma-focused art therapy in children</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Control condition for TMS was sham TMS.*

### 3. Recommendations

As a result of the evidence described above, six non-pharmacological and non-psychological interventions were recommended in the ISTSS Guidelines as interventions with emerging evidence for the treatment of PTSD in adults (see Table 3). There was insufficient evidence for the following interventions:

- Transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS)
- Mindfulness-based stress reduction

---

**Table 3.** ISTSS guideline interventions with emerging evidence for the treatment of PTSD.

- Acupuncture
- Neurofeedback
- Saikokeishikankyoto
- Somatic experiencing
- Transcranial magnetic stimulation (TMS)
- Yoga
evidence to recommend any non-pharmacological or non-psychological intervention for children.

4. Discussion

The inclusion of emerging evidence recommendations for six different non-pharmacological and non-psychological interventions for the treatment of PTSD in the 2018 ISTSS Guidelines heralds a step change in the evidence-base available. Although more evidence is required before these interventions can be routinely recommended to people with PTSD, they offer alternative choices for people who may not have responded to or been able to tolerate interventions with better evidence or who would prefer an alternative approach. Several of the recommended interventions are already in widespread use and have an evidence-base for the treatment of other conditions.

Complementary therapies such as acupuncture and yoga have a developed evidence base for other health conditions (Bridges & Sharma, 2017; Smith, Armour, Lee, Wang, & Hay, 2018) but it is perhaps surprising that these are recommended above other established alternative approaches such as meditation. This may, however, reflect the dearth of RCTs in this area. Indeed, since the ISTSS Guidelines were completed, a large RCT of transcendental meditation (Nidich et al., 2018) in veterans with PTSD found it non-inferior to prolonged exposure and superior to health education.

Somatic experiencing has long been advocated as an effective approach to the management of PTSD with many practitioners and people with PTSD arguing for body-based interventions. Saikokeishikankyoto is not well known outside Japan but in Japan is a widely available herbal preparation and used for various ailments.

Neurofeedback has been used to treat PTSD since the 1980s (Peniston & Kulkosky, 1991) and the advent of MRI-assisted neurofeedback, as opposed to EEG-assisted neurofeedback, appears to have stimulated new interest in its use. Transcranial magnetic stimulation is now an approved treatment in many countries for treatment-resistant depression (NICE, 2015).

4.1. Limitations

Although the systematic review, meta-analysis and guideline development methodology adopted for the ISTSS Guidelines was of a very high standard, there are significant limitations with respect to the design of the primary trials included, many have high risks of bias and there is significant uncertainty with respect to the reliability of their findings. This is compounded in some instances by heterogeneous delivery of specific interventions across included studies, for example, for TMS and neurofeedback. There are also issues with respect to basing recommendations on comparisons with TAU/WL controls as opposed to other controls. For example, mantram repetition and mindfulness-based stress reduction were not recommended despite having shown superiority over present-centred therapy and psychoeducation, respectively. A challenge to the evaluation of all non-pharmacological interventions is the difficulty/impossibility of designing and conducting rigorous placebo-controlled, double-blind RCTs of them. The interventions considered were reported to be well tolerated, but there was limited measurement of tolerance and this was not formally assessed as part of the review.

4.2. Clinical implications

Given the level of evidence available, it would be premature to offer the recommended non-pharmacological and non-psychological interventions routinely, but they provide alternatives for people who do not respond to, do not tolerate or do not want more conventional evidence-based interventions. Some, e.g. yoga, are likely to be much more readily available and have been associated with less adverse effects than others. That said, even more invasive interventions such as transcranial magnetic stimulation have been well tolerated in the trials reported to date.

4.3. Research implications

A clear message is that people with PTSD can be helped by novel, alternative approaches, and this should stimulate further research to refine and standardise specific interventions (e.g. the TMS studies used different dosing regimens, complicating direct comparison) and also to subject the interventions with the most promise to more rigorous RCTs with larger samples to determine their true place in the treatment of PTSD. There is also a need for more mechanistic research to determine how specific interventions work, and for whom, to enable informed choices and a more personalised approach to the delivery of treatment to people with PTSD.
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