Predictions for the angular dependence of gas mass flow rate and metallicity in the circumgalactic medium
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ABSTRACT

We use cosmological hydrodynamical simulations to examine the physical properties of the gas in the circumgalactic media (CGM) of star-forming galaxies as a function of angular orientation. We utilize TNG50 of the IllustrisTNG project, as well as the EAGLE simulation to show that observable properties of CGM gas correlate with azimuthal angle, defined as the galactocentric angle with respect to the central galaxy. Both simulations are in remarkable agreement in predicting a strong modulation of flow rate direction with azimuthal angle: inflow is more substantial along the galaxy major axis, while outflow is strongest along the minor axis. The absolute rates are noticeably larger for higher (log (M_*/M_⊙) ~ 10.5) stellar mass galaxies, up to an order of magnitude compared to M ≲ 1 M_⊙ yr⁻¹ sr⁻¹ for log (M_*/M_⊙) ~ 9.5 objects. Notwithstanding the different numerical and physical models, both TNG50 and EAGLE predict that the average metallicity of the CGM is higher along the minor versus major axes of galaxies. The angular signal is robust across a wide range of galaxy stellar mass 8.5 < log (M_*/M_⊙) < 10.5 at z < 1. This azimuthal dependence is particularly clear at larger impact parameters b ≥ 100 kpc. Our results present a global picture, whereby despite the numerous mixing processes, there is a clear angular dependence of the CGM metallicity. We make forecasts for future large survey programmes that will be able to compare against these expectations. Indeed, characterizing the kinematics, spatial distribution and metal content of CGM gas is key to a full understanding of the exchange of mass, metals, and energy between galaxies and their surrounding environments.
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1 INTRODUCTION

The formation of structure in the Universe is well understood within the standard cosmological framework of ΛCDM. Small primordial density fluctuations from the cosmic microwave background epoch are amplified through gravitational instability with cosmic time. As fluctuations grow, they create a network of filaments of dark matter and an overdense gaseous medium. This gas ultimately feeds the formation of galaxies, groups, and clusters, providing the fuel for star formation. Powerful outflows driven by supernovae and supermassive black holes expel metal-enriched material from galaxies, transforming their surrounding circumgalactic medium (CGM).

These processes of gas motion and conversion are collectively described as the cosmic baryon cycle, which plays out in the CGM. This medium is the interface between the intergalactic medium (IGM) and the interstellar medium (ISM), and contains roughly half of the baryonic mass of the galaxy dark matter haloes (Werk et al. 2014; Tumlinson, Peeples & Werk 2017). It not only plays an important role in regulating gas flows into and out of galaxies but also encodes observational signatures of their baryonic assembly (Faucher-Giguère et al. 2016; Chen et al. 2017).

Hydrodynamical simulations have shown that the mechanism and morphology of gas accretion on to galaxies are complex (Kereš et al. 2005; van de Voort et al. 2011; Nelson et al. 2013). Gas cooling and inflow can deviate in important ways from spherically symmetric models (Silk 1977; White & Rees 1978), most notably by taking the form of filamentary accretion at high redshift (Kereš et al. 2005; Dekel et al. 2009; Ho, Martin & Turner 2019). At the same time, outflows driven by baryonic feedback processes reverse this flow of baryons into haloes.

In simulations, feedback is an integral part of current models and is needed to explain the most basic properties of the observed galaxy population: the small abundance of low-mass galaxies related to the dark matter halo mass function (Vogelsberger et al. 2014;...
The interaction between a galaxy and its CGM is therefore at the centre of our picture into how galaxies form and evolve across cosmic time. However, determining the key physical processes in the CGM remains a difficult task, both because of the complexity of simulating some of the relevant, and small-scale, physics (Scannapieco & Brüggen 2015; Mandelker et al. 2019), and with the difficulty of obtaining broad and robust observational constraints (Nielsen et al. 2015; Rubin et al. 2018; Lehner et al. 2019; Lan 2020). Major open questions include how do galaxies accrete their gas? How is the CGM structured and enriched with metals? How much mass, energy, and momentum do the galactic winds carry? What is the fraction and physical properties of the material escaping the gravitational potential well? How do inflows and outflows interact with each other?

Due to its diffuse nature (densities n_H < 0.1 cm$^{-3}$), direct detection of the CGM in emission is observationally challenging (Bertone et al. 2010a, b; Frank et al. 2012; van de Voort & Schaye 2013), although recent simulations provide ever more robust predictions (Augustin et al. 2019; Wijers et al. 2019; Corlies et al. 2020). In contrast, the CGM is commonly detected in absorption against bright background sources (Bouché et al. 2007a). This offers a compelling method to study the distribution, chemical properties, and kinematics of the CGM down to relatively low gas densities (Péroux & Howk 2020). While individual absorption measurements have been limited to a pencil-beam along the line of sight, large statistical samples can constrain the mean properties of the CGM around galaxies, showing for example that cool gas is omnipresent around galaxies (Adelberger et al. 2003; Prochaska, Hennawi & Simcoe 2013; Zhu et al. 2014). Strong Ly$\alpha$ absorption has been found around intermediate-redshift $z \sim 2$ galaxies, as well as high covering fractions of metal-enriched gas (Adelberger et al. 2005; Steidel et al. 2010; Rudie et al. 2019).

Contemporary to these works, simulations have laid out a refined scheme of the galactic-scale baryon cycle. While gas accretes on to galaxies from the cosmic web filaments, outflowing gas preferentially leaves the galaxy following the path of least resistance, along its minor axis. Where they compete, galactic winds prevent infall of material from regions above and below the disc place (Brook et al. 2011; Mitchell et al. 2020b; DeFelippis et al. 2020). As a result, infalling gas is almost co-planar with the major axis of the galaxy (Stewart et al. 2011; Shen et al. 2012; van de Voort et al. 2012). In this canonical view, the accreted gas co-rotates with the central disc in the form of a warped, extended disc, while strong radial/bi-conical outflows are ejected perpendicular to the disc. Observations also show that galactic-scale metal-rich outflows with velocities of several hundred kilometres per second are ubiquitous in massive star-forming galaxies at high redshift (e.g. Pettini et al. 2001; Shapley et al. 2003; Veilleux, Cecil & Bland-Hawthorn 2005; Weiner et al. 2009). Direct probes of infall are more difficult to obtain, possibly due to the small cross-section or because accretion is swamped by outflows in studies of absorption back-illuminated by the galaxy (the ‘down-the-barrel’ technique; e.g. Rubin et al. 2014). When bright background sources are used, projection effects prevent the differentiation of infalling versus outflowing motion, since redshifted gas could equally be outflowing away from the observer or be infalling gas situated in front of the galaxy (Shen et al. 2013).

Additional information on the orientation of the star-forming disc galaxies is then necessary to help distinguish outflowing from accreting gas. A common approach is to measure the ‘azimuthal angle’ between the absorber and the projected major axis of the galaxy (Bouché et al. 2012). A small (large) azimuthal angle aligns with the major (minor) axis of the galaxy (as illustrated in the left-hand panel of Fig. 1). In this way, observations have broadly found that absorption is not isotropic – it depends on the orientation of the galaxy. Boreli et al. (2011) found a strong azimuthal dependence of Mg$\II$ absorption, implying the presence of a bipolar outflow aligned along the disc rotation axis. Concomitantly, Bouché et al. (2012) and Kacprzak, Churchill & Nielsen (2012) found a bimodal distribution of azimuthal angles hosting strong Mg$\II$ absorption, suggestive of bipolar outflows contributing to the cold gas cross-section (Schroetter et al. 2019; Martin et al. 2019b). Such signatures are also found in hotter gas phases including ionized gas traced by OVI absorption (Kacprzak et al. 2015b). Interestingly, these trends are not as clearly seen towards active galactic nucleus (AGN)-selected galaxy samples (Kacprzak et al. 2015a), implying a stronger connection to stellar feedback. Collectively, these results suggest a picture whereby the cooler component of the CGM at $\sim 10^4$K originates from major-axis-fed inflows, and/or recycled gas, together with minor axis-driven outflows.

Recently, integral field unit spectrographs (IFUs) have emerged as powerful tools for examining the absorption by gas in the CGM. Early efforts started with the AO-equipped near-infrared spectrograph VLT/SINFONI (Bouché et al. 2007b; Péroux et al. 2011; Péroux et al. 2013, 2016; Schroetter et al. 2015). The potential of this technique for studying the CGM with the wide-field optical spectrograph VLT/MUSE has been further demonstrated (Bouché et al. 2016; Schroetter et al. 2016; Schroetter et al. 2019; Zabl et al. 2019; Muzahid et al. 2020; Loffhouse et al. 2020) as well as with the high spectral resolution optical spectrograph Keck/KCWI (Martin et al. 2019a; Nielsen et al. 2020). At $z_{abs} < 1$, the MUSE-ALMA Halos survey has measured the kinematics of the neutral, molecular, and ionized gas of the multiphase CGM (Péroux et al. 2017; Klitsch et al. 2018; Rahman et al. 2018a, b; Péroux et al. 2019; Hamanowicz et al. 2020). Large galaxy samples with IFUs increasingly constrain how the physical properties of the CGM vary with angular orientation.

Understanding the galaxy–CGM interactions, i.e. the role of inflows, outflows, star formation, and AGN feedback in governing the gaseous and metal content of galaxies and their environment, is the goal of many recent theoretical efforts. Hydrodynamical simulations of galaxy formation over large cosmological volumes have necessarily limited spatial and mass resolution, which constrains their ability to follow self-consistently the venting of metals by small galaxies and the transport of heavy elements from their production sites into the environment (Cen, Nagamine & Ostriker 2005; Oppenheimer & Davé 2008, 2009; Wiersma et al. 2009b; Cen & Chisari 2011). At the same time, zoom-in simulations with specialized CGM refinement schemes provide enhanced spatial and mass resolution at the cost of galaxy statistics (Peeples et al. 2019; Hummels et al. 2019; Suresh et al. 2019; van de Voort et al. 2019). Over the years, these works have explored the ability of theory to reproduce the main characteristics of the CGM such as ion covering fractions and equivalent width distributions, particularly as a function of impact parameter. We are now able to probe the distribution of metals in the CGM, focusing on how metallicity varies as a function of the galaxy-absorber azimuthal angle.
Our ultimate goal is to map the spatial distribution and characterize the physical properties of the multiphase gas in the CGM of galaxies. In this paper, we use two recent cosmological hydrodynamical simulations – EAGLE (Schaye et al. 2015; Crain et al. 2015) and TNG50 (Nelson et al. 2019b; Pillepich et al. 2019) – to investigate how properties of CGM gas vary with azimuthal angle, and develop diagnostics for differentiating inflows and outflows. We provide quantitative predictions for observables, with an eye towards interpreting data that will be available from future surveys.

The manuscript is organized as follows. Section 2 presents the simulations and methods used in this study. Section 3 details the global physical properties of the CGM with azimuthal angle, while Section 4 focuses on observable tracers of these trends. We summarize and conclude in Section 5. Here, we adopt an H$_0$ = 67.74 km s$^{-1}$ Mpc$^{-1}$, $\Omega_M = 0.3089$, and $\Omega_\Lambda = 0.6911$ cosmology.

2 METHODS

In order to obtain robust and more general results, we analyze two distinct cosmological hydrodynamical simulations, based on different numerical methodologies as well as different physical models for baryonic feedback and related processes.

2.1 The EAGLE simulation

The Evolution and Assembly of GaLaxies and their Environments (EAGLE)$^1$ project (Crain et al. 2015; Schaye et al. 2015; McAlpine et al. 2016) consists of a number of cosmological, hydrodynamical simulations with varying volumes, resolution, and sub-grid physics. The EAGLE simulations were run using a modified version of GADGET-3 (last described in Springel et al. 2005b), which is a smoothed particle hydrodynamics (SPH) code. A full description can be found in Schaye et al. (2015) and Crain et al. (2015) and the EAGLE data releases are described in McAlpine et al. (2016), but we will briefly summarize the main properties here.

Here, we use the flagship simulation (also referred to as ‘Ref-L100N1504’), which consists of a cubic volume 100 comoving Mpc on each side with 1504$^3$ dark matter particles and as many baryonic particles. The (initial) particle masses for baryons and dark matter are 1.8 $\times$ 10$^4$ M$_\odot$ and 9.7 $\times$ 10$^6$ M$_\odot$, respectively. The gravitational softening length is 0.7 physical kpc at $z < 2.8$.

Star formation is modelled following Schaye & Dalla Vecchia (2008). In EAGLE, gas above a metallicity-dependent threshold density (and with temperatures close enough to the prescribed equation of state) is allowed to form stars stochastically, reproducing the observed Kennicutt–Schmidt law (Kennicutt 1998) by construction. The abundances of 11 elements released by massive and intermediate-mass stars are followed as described in Wiersma et al. (2009c). Radiative cooling and heating are computed based on individual elemental abundances, assuming that all the gas is optically thin and in (photo-)ionization equilibrium with the ionizing background radiation from Haardt & Madau (2001), as in Wiersma, Schaye & Smith (2009a). Feedback from star formation is injected as thermal energy based on the prescription of Dalla Vecchia & Schaye (2012). The model for the formation and growth of supermassive black holes and their associated feedback is described fully in Rosas-Guevara et al. (2015) and Schaye et al. (2015).

The EAGLE simulations were tuned on the $z = 0$ stellar mass function and on galaxy sizes (the stellar component), but were not adjusted to reproduce any specific properties of the gas either within or outside of galaxies. They do, however, match a number of observational results fairly well, such as the H$_I$ column density distribution and covering fraction (Rahmati et al. 2015) and the distribution and optical depth of metal-line absorption systems (Rahmati et al. 2016; Turner et al. 2016). Discrepancies with observations of the intergalactic or CGM have also been identified, including a lower optical depth of high-ionization metals and a lower cosmic density of these ions at $z \sim 0$ and $z \sim 4$ (Schaye et al. 2015; Rahmati et al. 2016; Turner et al. 2016).

$^1$eagle.strw.leidenuniv.nl
2.2 The IllustrisTNG simulations and TNG50

The IllustrisTNG\footnote{www.tng-project.org} project (Marinacci et al. 2018; Naiman et al. 2018; Nelson et al. 2018a; Pillepich et al. 2018b; Springel et al. 2018) is a series of three large cosmological volumes, simulated with gravomagnetohydrodynamics (MHD) and incorporating a comprehensive model for galaxy formation physics. All data from TNG has been or will be publicly released (Nelson et al. 2019a).

The physical model has been comprehensively described (Weinberger et al. 2017; Pillepich et al. 2018a) and we will only summarize its main properties here. TNG uses the AREPO code (Springel 2010) which self-consistently evolves a cosmological mixture of dark matter, gas, stars, and black holes as prescribed by self-gravity coupled to ideal, continuum MHD (Pakmor, Bauer & Springel 2011; Pakmor & Springel 2013). The physical processes included in the simulations are gas radiation processes, including primordial and metal-line cooling, plus heating from a meta-galactic background radiation field (Faucher-Giguère et al. 2009); star formation within the cold component of a two-phase ISM model (Springel & Hernquist 2003); the evolution of stellar populations and subsequent chemical enrichment, including Supernovae Ia, II, and AGB stars (independently tracking the ten elements H, He, C, N, O, Ne, Mg, Si, Fe, and Eu); galactic-scale outflows generated by supernova feedback (see Pillepich et al. 2018a); the formation and mergers of supermassive balck holes (SMBHs) and their accretion of neighbouring gas (Springel, Di Matteo & Hernquist 2005a; Di Matteo, Springel & Hernquist 2005); SMBH feedback that operates in a dual mode with a thermal ‘quasar’ mode for high accretion rates; and a kinetic ‘wind’ mode for low accretion rates (see Weinberger et al. 2017).

TNG300 is in statistical agreement with the frequency of high-ionization absorbers at all columns, while TNG100 has slightly too common high column absorption. IllustrisTNG also recovers the 'wind' mode for low accretion rates (see W einberger et al. 2017).

3 RESULTS

Fig. 1 presents a visual representation of an example TNG50 galaxy at z = 0.5 with a stellar mass of $M_{\text{star}} = 10^{10.9} M_{\odot}$ and total halo mass of $M_{\text{halo}} = 10^{11.8} M_{\odot}$, rotated edge-on. This is a typical galaxy at this mass scale in TNG50, showing strong gaseous interactions with its surrounding medium in the form of accreting and outflowing gas. This typical object illustrates how the inflowing gas is dominantly co-planar with the major axis of the galaxy, while strong radial/bi-conical outflows are ejected perpendicular to the disc. The left-hand panel of Fig. 1 also presents the definition of the azimuthal angle between the background line of sight and the projected galaxy’s major axis on the sky.

3.1 Gas mass flow rate

The most important property of gas flows in the CGM is the rate of mass outflow or inflow, $\dot{M}$. The mass accretion rate provides a direct description of the gas supply for star formation in the system, constraining the efficiency of star formation. Likewise, the mass outflow rate provides a direct measurement of the ejective efficiency of combined, galactic-scale feedback processes.

By relating the mass outflow rate to the star formation rate (SFR) of the galaxy, the ultimate goal is to determine the mass loading factor of these objects, $\dot{M}_{\text{out}}/\text{SFR}$. The mass-loading factor characterizes the amount of material involved in a galactic outflow, and is an important input to many theoretical models for galaxy formation – hydrodynamical, analytical, and semi-analytical.

We therefore use the two simulations and measure the instantaneous average gas mass flow rates around galaxies at $z = 0.5$. We compute the radial velocity (with respect to the central galaxy) multiplied by the mass of all particles within the shell (of the cone) and divided by the width of the shell. We then normalize the quantity to 1 steradian (sr) rather than the surface area of the cone (which would vary depending on the azimuthal angle). We compute the local gas mass flow rate at an impact parameter $b$ as the average within a spherical shell of $90-110$ kpc. This shell is taken in 3D rather than 2D projection. We consider two stellar mass bins of $M_\ast = 10^{9.5} \pm 0.5 M_\odot$.

\footnote{In the EAGLE sample we also require a minimum of 100 star-forming gas particles in order to better resolved disks.}
Figure 2. Left-hand panel: TNG50 and EAGLE predictions of the gas mass flow rate $\dot{M}$ (in units of $M_\odot \text{yr}^{-1} \text{sr}^{-1}$) as a function of azimuthal angle, defined as the net rate of outflow versus inflow at each angle. We stack galaxies similar to quasar absorber hosts detected in current surveys ($z = 0.5$, stellar mass of $M_\star = 10^{9.5 \pm 0.2} M_\odot$ and $M_\star = 10^{10.5 \pm 0.2} M_\odot$, at an impact parameter of $100 \pm 10$ kpc). The band shows 16–84th percentiles (i.e., 1σ, for EAGLE $M_\star = 10^{10.5 \pm 0.2} M_\odot$ only). The horizontal dotted line represents a gas mass flow rate of zero. Note the y-scale is linear at $< \pm 10 M_\odot \text{yr}^{-1} \text{sr}^{-1}$ and logarithmic at $> \pm 1 M_\odot \text{yr}^{-1} \text{sr}^{-1}$. TNG50 and EAGLE simulations show a remarkable agreement predicting a clear trend of the inflowing gas along the major axis (negative gas mass flow rates) and outflowing gas along the minor axis (positive gas mass flow rates). Right-hand panel: The data points indicate observational measurements of mass inflow rates by Bouché et al. (2013), Rahmani et al. (2018a), Zabl et al. (2019), and mass outflow rates by Bouché et al. (2012), Schroetter et al. (2013), Kacprzak et al. (2014), and Schroetter et al. (2019). We stress that observational works distinguish inflows from outflows based on the azimuthal angle such that the divide along the abscissa of the figure is an assumption of these measurements. The phases probed are different, as the observations are based on Mg II absorption which traces cool, $< 10^5 K$ gas, while the simulation results include all gas. Barring a direct comparison at present, our results indicate the expectation that both the direction and rate of gas flow are strong functions of azimuthal angle.

On average, absolute gas mass flow rates are small, tending to be constrained to $M < 1 M_\odot \text{yr}^{-1} \text{sr}^{-1}$. The inflows and outflows have comparable values within a stellar mass bin. The absolute rates are noticeably larger for higher stellar mass galaxies, up to an order of magnitude for the outflow rate. For these galaxies with $M_\star \sim 10^{10.5} M_\odot$, there is a strong modulation of net flow rate with azimuthal angle: inflow is strongest at angle $= 0^\circ$, while outflow is strongest at angle $= 90^\circ$. The halo-to-halo scatter, shown by the shaded regions, is large and some haloes show much stronger inflow and outflow rates. In a minority of systems, inflows (outflows) can even be found along the minor (major) axis.

Gas mass flow rates are challenging to measure observationally, partially because the quantity itself is a time derivative, while empirical estimates are fundamentally instantaneous measurements. More critically, the direction of the flow is largely unconstrained, and assumptions must be made about distance, gas column, ionization state, velocity profile, geometry/opening angle, filling factor, and so on. We stress that observational works distinguish inflows from outflows based on the azimuthal angle such that the divide along the abscissa of the figure is an assumption of these measurements. The phases probed are different, as the observations are based on Mg II absorption which traces cool, $< 10^5 K$ gas, while the simulation results include all gas. Barring a direct comparison at present, our results indicate the expectation that both the direction and rate of gas flow are strong functions of azimuthal angle.

and $M_\star = 10^{10.5 \pm 0.2} M_\odot$. The redshift of $z = 0.5$ is chosen to match those of ongoing MUSE surveys of quasar absorbers (Schroetter et al. 2019; Hamanowicz et al. 2020; Muzahid et al. 2020), while the stellar mass corresponds to the mean stellar mass of high column density quasar absorbers (Augustin et al. 2018).

The left-hand panel of Fig. 2 shows gas mass flow rates as a function of azimuthal angle wrapped every 90 deg. Negative gas mass flow rate values denote inflows and positive values denote outflows. Our measurement from the simulations represents the net rate at each angle, such that an equal flux of inflow and outflow produces zero net, as occurs at an azimuthal angle of $\sim 40$ deg. We find a clear trend whereby inflowing gas dominates along the major axis, while outflowing gas dominates along the minor axis. The correlation is equally present at impact parameter of 50 $\pm$ 10 kpc (not shown for clarity). This signature of gas outflow rate modulated by azimuthal angle is similar to the findings in Nelson et al. (2019b) for TNG50 at higher redshift. We remark that Mitchell et al. (2020) compare outflow rates between TNG and EAGLE and report notable similarities and/or differences depending on stellar mass and distance from the galaxy. Specifically, while the outflow rates we measure at $M_\star = 10^{10.5 \pm 0.2} M_\odot$ are qualitatively similar between the two models, significant differences exist at other masses (and radii) due fundamentally to the distinct physical models invoked for galactic outflows. Therefore, while the trend reported in Fig. 2 depends largely on the feedback mechanisms implemented in the two different simulations, the results displayed show a remarkable agreement. These findings lend quantitative support to the canonical scenario, where the physical properties of the CGM are a strong function of the azimuthal angle.
estimated local escape velocity, which could suggest that the outflows
do not escape the galaxy halo and are recycled back into the galaxy
(although see Steidel et al. 2010).

In the right-hand panel of Fig. 2 we also include these observational
measurements, converted to units of $M_\odot \, yr^{-1} \, sr^{-1}$ for bi-conical
outflows with mean opening angles of 30 deg. The mass inflow
rates as a function of azimuthal angle are taken from the works by
Bouché et al. (2012, 2013, 2016), Rahmani et al. (2018a), and Zabl et al.
(2019), while the mass outflow rates are taken from Bouché et al.
We stress that these observational works distinguish inflows from
outflows based on the azimuthal angle itself, such that the divide
along the abscissa of the right-hand panel of Fig. 2 is an assumption,
rather than a result, of these empirical measurements. The statistics
of current observations are relatively limited, and error estimates on
individual flow rates are large, such that significantly larger samples
and better constraints on the modelling assumptions would be useful
to further improve our understanding of the dependence of $M$ on
azimuthal angle.

Comparing the simulation prediction with the data, we note a
reasonable agreement in the broadest sense. We caution, however,
that the values shown from the simulations represent the average
behaviour in an azimuthal bin: observational measurements intersect
a much smaller subset of CGM gas, restricted to an observable phase,
which could result in substantially different values and larger scatter.
Observations tend to have higher mass gas flow rates, although this
may be a selection effect: current detection limits mean that gas
mass flow rates $M < 0.05 \, M_\odot \, yr^{-1} \, sr^{-1}$ are challenging to detect.
The phases probed are also different, as the observations are based on
Mg II absorption which traces cool, $\sim 10^4 \, K$ gas, while the simulation
results include all gas, which is generally dominated by hotter,
ionized gas. We have explicitly checked that calculating mass gas
flow rates including only gas with temperature $T < 10^4 \, K$ in the
simulation results in low inflow rates ($M \sim 0.01 \, M_\odot \, yr^{-1} \, sr^{-1}$) for all
azimuthal angles, with scatter significantly larger than the azimuthal
angle signal. We find that while there is outflowing cool gas in some
of these haloes, it does not dominate the median net gas mass flow rate.
Interestingly, DeFelippis et al. (2020) report that there is always
inflowing cold gas along the disk plane in TNG100 galaxies, but only
high-angular momentum objects show the presence of net outflowing
cold gas along the minor axis. Barring a direct comparison at present,
our results indicate the expectation that both the direction and rate
of gas flow are strong functions of azimuthal angle.

3.2 Gas metallicity

A key diagnostic of the CGM is the metallicity of the gas. Many
processes, including supernovae and black hole-driven winds, as
well as metals stripped or ejected from satellites galaxies, all leave
chemical imprints in the CGM. Their relative contributions, however,
involve complex baryonic processes that are challenging to model,
and which are poorly constrained by present data. Broadly speaking,
gas accreting inwards is expected to have characteristically lower
metallicity than gas being expelled outwards.

For example, simulations from Shen et al. (2013) show that
gas inflows are enriched to metallicities of only a tenth solar by
previous episodes of star formation in the main host and in nearby
dwarfs. Hafen et al. (2020) further speculate that low-ionization
absorption systems are likely to probe accreting gas. At the same
time, cosmological models of galaxy formation show that strong
outflows are necessary to regulate galaxy growth (Anglés-Alcázar
et al. 2014; Hopkins et al. 2014; Somerville, Popping & Trager 2015)
and explain the metal enrichment of the IGM (Aguirre et al. 2001;
Oppenheimer & Davé 2006). Indeed, metals are produced in stars
within galaxies over billions of years, which enrich the outflowing
gas (van de Voort & Schaye 2012; Shen et al. 2013). Therefore,
metal-rich gas is likely tracing galactic winds, recycled outflows and
gas tidally stripped from galaxies.

Here, we make a quantitative assessment of this scenario. We
compute the gas metallicity as a function of radial velocity, where
negative velocities trace inflows while positive velocities trace outflow.
We stack together $\sim$70 galaxies from TNG50 at $z = 0.5$ with stellar mass
$M_*$ $\sim 10^{10} \pm 0.3 M_\odot$, and include all sightlines with an impact parameter $100 \pm 10$ kpc, showing that
outflows have preferentially higher metallicity than inflows, and occur
more aligned with the minor axis of galaxies (larger azimuthal angles, as indicated by the colour).

Here, we use both EAGLE and TNG50 (see Section 2) to explore
the spatial variation of metallicity in the CGM. We consider mass-
weighted metallicity, with all gas phases contributing equally. We
therefore avoid uncertainties related to estimates of particular ele-
mental abundances or ionization states, which are affected by models
for stellar yields and details of ionization post-processing including
assumptions on collisional versus photoionization from cosmic and
local radiation fields.

We define a set of fiducial analysis parameters, which are a narrow
stellar mass bin at $\pm M_* = 10^{9.5} \pm 0.1 M_\odot$, redshift $z = 0.5$, impact parameter $b = 100 \pm 10$ kpc, and $N_{\text{int min}} = 0$, i.e. no cut-off in $N$(H I) column density so that the metallicity summed over all
phases of the gas is taken into account. As in Fig. 2, the stellar

Figure 3. Gas metallicity as a function of radial velocity, with the vertical
line demarcating inflow ($< 0$) versus outflow ($> 0$). We stack together $\sim$70
galaxies from TNG50 at $z = 0.5$ with stellar mass $M_*$ $\sim 10^{10} \pm 0.3 M_\odot$, and
include all sightlines with an impact parameter $100 \pm 10$ kpc, showing that
outflows have preferentially higher metallicity than inflows, and occur
more aligned with the minor axis of galaxies. This finding demonstrates the
connection between gas metallicity and flow direction. Namely, that
outflows have preferentially higher metallicity than inflows, making
gas-phase metallicity a valuable indicator for the baryon cycle
phase gas is participating in. With this scenario in mind, and given the
relation established between gas flow direction and azimuthal angle,
one naturally expects a trend of increasing CGM metallicity with
increasing azimuthal angle, two readily observable quantities.

3.2.1 Fiducial galaxy sample and analysis

Here, we use both EAGLE and TNG50 (see Section 2) to explore
the spatial variation of metallicity in the CGM. We consider mass-
weighted metallicity, with all gas phases contributing equally. We
therefore avoid uncertainties related to estimates of particular ele-
mental abundances or ionization states, which are affected by models
for stellar yields and details of ionization post-processing including
assumptions on collisional versus photoionization from cosmic and
local radiation fields.

We define a set of fiducial analysis parameters, which are a narrow
stellar mass bin at $\pm M_* = 10^{9.5} \pm 0.1 M_\odot$, redshift $z = 0.5$, impact parameter $b = 100 \pm 10$ kpc, and $N_{\text{int min}} = 0$, i.e. no cut-off in $N$(H I) column density so that the metallicity summed over all
phases of the gas is taken into account. As in Fig. 2, the stellar

Figure 3. Gas metallicity as a function of radial velocity, with the vertical
line demarcating inflow ($< 0$) versus outflow ($> 0$). We stack together $\sim$70
galaxies from TNG50 at $z = 0.5$ with stellar mass $M_*$ $\sim 10^{10} \pm 0.3 M_\odot$, and
include all sightlines with an impact parameter $100 \pm 10$ kpc, showing that
outflows have preferentially higher metallicity than inflows, and occur
more aligned with the minor axis of galaxies. This finding demonstrates the
connection between gas metallicity and flow direction. Namely, that
outflows have preferentially higher metallicity than inflows, making
gas-phase metallicity a valuable indicator for the baryon cycle
phase gas is participating in. With this scenario in mind, and given the
relation established between gas flow direction and azimuthal angle,
one naturally expects a trend of increasing CGM metallicity with
increasing azimuthal angle, two readily observable quantities.
mass range is representative of quasar absorber hosts in current observational samples (Péroux et al. 2019; Hamanowicz et al. 2020). See Section 2.3 for more details on the analysis.

Fig. 4 presents the dependence of CGM metallicity with azimuthal angle, under our fiducial set of analysis parameters. We find a significant trend of increasing metallicity from $Z_{\text{gas}}/Z_{\odot} \sim 10^{-1.2}$ to $10^{-0.8}$ as sightlines shift from the major to minor axis. There is a remarkable agreement between EAGLE and TNG50 predictions. We stress that both the trend and normalization of the metallicity curves are direct outputs of the simulations, and result from the convolution of the galaxy assembly, stellar enrichment, and baryonic feedback processes in each model.

These simulations show that CGM regions are subject to non-negligible metal recycling and hence mixing processes over time (Nelson et al. 2020). Sightlines through the CGM are therefore likely to intersect gas of multiple origins. Indeed gas that accretes on to a galaxy can later be ejected back into the CGM by galactic winds, while gas which was ejected from haloes can later re-accrete on to the CGM. Therefore, metal-rich outflows recycle through the CGM and mix with the accreting metal-poor gas (Oppenheimer et al. 2010b; Rubin et al. 2012; Anglés-Alcázar et al. 2017; Zheng et al. 2017; Hafen et al. 2019, 2020). Despite the complexity of the physical processes at play, Fig. 4 shows a consistent picture where CGM metallicity is a function of azimuthal angle.

### 3.2.2 Signal dependence on galaxy and analysis parameters

We now use the statistics available in the cosmological volume of TNG50 to explore the effects of our choice of fiducial model on predictions of CGM metallicity with azimuthal angle. In particular, Fig. 5 explores trends with impact parameter (upper left), stellar mass (upper right), redshift (lower left), and $N$(H) neutral gas column density threshold (lower right). Each panel varies one parameter at a time, holding the others fixed at our fiducial choices; the solid lines show this same, fiducial result.

The upper left-hand panel of Fig. 5 considers four values of impact parameter: $b = 25, 50, 100$, and $200$ pkpc. The most striking result is the change in the overall metallicity normalization, whereby most metal-rich gas is located closer to the galaxy. From just outside the disc to $b \sim 100$ pkpc, the correlation with azimuthal angle increases with impact parameter. We speculate that this is because fountains do not yet promote metal mixing over the full volume (i.e. range of azimuthal angles) at these distances, as occurs closer to the galaxy. At the largest impact parameter, $b = 200$ pkpc, the differential signal is largely the same as at $100$ pkpc, which is beneficial as observational statistics are typically maximal at these distances.

The upper right-hand panel of Fig. 5 shows the trends for five bins of stellar mass, from $M_* = 10^{9.5} \pm 0.1 M_{\odot}$ to $10^{11} \pm 0.1 M_{\odot}$. Naturally, we find that the normalization of CGM gas-phase metallicity is a strong function of the galaxy stellar mass, reflecting the mass metallicity relation (Nelson et al. 2018a; Torrey et al. 2019). To check whether the effect is partially due to the chosen impact parameter at fixed physical scale, we perform identical calculations at a fixed halo radius instead ($r_{200}$). The results show that while the metallicity normalization are higher, the offsets with stellar mass remain. Importantly, the change of normalization with $M_*$ generally exceeds the change with azimuthal angle. As a result, observational samples in a sufficiently small, and measured, stellar mass range are needed to uncover the predicted signal. This is particularly challenging given that galaxy stellar mass measurement is not immediately available.
Angular dependence of the CGM

Figure 5. Dependence of the CGM metallicity versus azimuthal angle trend in TNG50 with four parameters: impact parameter (upper left), stellar mass (upper right), redshift (lower left), and $N_{\text{HI}}$ threshold (lower right). When not varied, other parameters remain at their fiducial values: $M_\star = 10^{9.5} \pm 0.1$ $M_\odot$, $b = 100 \pm 10$ pkpc, $z = 0.5$, and $N_{\text{HI},\text{min}} = 0$ indicated by solid lines. The bands show 38–62th percentiles (i.e. $\sim \sigma/3$) for clarity. The overall metallicity normalization varies strongly with impact parameter, stellar mass, and redshift. Importantly, however, the trends of increasing metallicity with azimuthal angle are robust against different parameter choices, including the case when only sightlines with high neutral gas column densities are included.

from an absorption detection, but must be obtained with additional follow-up in the continuum.

From the theoretical point of view, this broad galaxy stellar mass range encompasses different physical mechanisms for driving galaxy outflows. In the TNG model in particular, these include supernovae-driven winds at lower masses (Pillepich et al. 2018a) and supermassive black hole (SMBH)-driven winds at higher masses (Weinberger et al. 2018). Both feedback mechanisms have been shown to produce anisotropic outflows around TNG50 galaxies (Nelson et al. 2019b). In the most massive bin shown, $M_\star = 10^{11} \pm 0.1$ $M_\odot$, SMBH-driven outflows dominate, but the qualitative signal of CGM metallicity versus azimuthal angle remains present, implying that black hole feedback in massive discs can produce a similar signature as supernovae feedback in galaxies one hundred times less massive.

The lower left-hand panel of Fig. 5 presents the redshift evolution of the metallicity trend with azimuthal angle, at $z = 2.5$, 1.5, 0.5, and $z = 0$. We recover the general evolution of increasing metallicity with cosmic time (De Cia et al. 2018; Poudel et al. 2018), as stellar populations age, more stars are formed and enrich the Universe towards redshift zero. The signal of metallicity with azimuthal angle increases towards the present day: at high redshift, the metallicity gradient is shallower than at $z = 0$. These results indicate that observational samples should target lower redshift objects when possible, and that we expect no angular signal whatsoever, for our fiducial impact parameter and stellar mass bin, at $z > 2$ where the cosmic time is too short to enrich the CGM.

Finally, the lower right-hand panel of Fig. 5 evaluates the impact of including only sightlines above a threshold (minimum) neutral gas column density $N_{\text{HI}}$. This is because observational determination of the metallicity requires measurement of the amount of hydrogen present, in addition to the amount of a metal tracer. Observations focus on the cold (i.e. neutral hydrogen) phase at $\sim 10^4$ K, where ionization corrections are minimal, implying that sightlines with metallicity measurements could probe biased regions of the CGM. We find that the trend of metallicity with azimuthal angle remains qualitatively present for most $N_{\text{HI}}$ thresholds.

This is not obvious a priori, as many sightlines with lower neutral hydrogen column densities pass through primarily more diffuse and hotter regions of the CGM, including unobserved phases at $\geq 10^6$ K. The trends become less statistically significant with higher $N_{\text{HI}}$ cuts, and larger samples are required to recover the signal. At the highest column thresholds (lighter colour lines), the trend with azimuthal angle becomes non-monotonic as a peak emerges near a zero azimuthal angle. As this aligns with the disc plane, we speculate that this could be due to enrichment of inflows from fountain mixing.
within the CGM, and/or the preference of accreting satellite galaxies and their associated denser, enriched gas to be found aligned with the angular momentum axis of the central.

We note that to reduce the noise in this panel due to the relative sparsity of high \( N_{\text{HI}} \) column sightlines we increased the size of the impact parameter bin from 100 \( \pm 10 \) to 100 \( \pm 30 \) pkpc. We also carry out two further checks: (i) computing the signal including only gas cells with a neutral gas metallicity of \( \geq 10^{-3} \), and (ii) computing the signal where the contribution of each gas cell is weighted by neutral H\( ^{\text{I}} \) mass instead of total gas mass. The result of (i) is similar to the results we show varying \( N_{\text{HI,min}} \) and the result of (ii) is qualitatively consistent with all the trends we present, only tending to slightly increase the overall metallicity normalization independent of azimuthal angle.

Overall, Fig. 5 demonstrates that the result of increasing metallicity with azimuthal angle is robust with choices of different parameters. Furthermore, the normalization varies strongly with impact parameter, stellar mass, and redshift. While observational samples with well-determined impact parameter and redshift are readily available, determination of the galaxy stellar mass is less common. Indeed, measures of the stellar mass of the galaxy associated with the gas probed in absorption requires detection of the galaxy continuum over a wide wavelength range. It is clear that a sample spanning too large a range in \( M_{\star} \) will fail to clearly distinguish the underlying trend, and that homogeneous samples are needed. The angular signal is, however, commonly found in many accessible regimes, making it a robust and generic feature of lower-redshift galaxies.

4 DISCUSSION: IMPLICATIONS FOR OBSERVABLES

The primary observational method for studying CGM gas is through absorption line spectroscopy, and the metallicity of absorbing gas offers clues as to its origin. Specifically, observations indicate a wide range of CGM metallicities (spanning >2 dex; Lehner et al. 2013; Fumagalli et al. 2016; Wotta et al. 2016; Prochaska et al. 2017; Zahedy et al. 2019), presumably tracing both accretion and outflows.

Lyman limit systems (LLSs, defined as systems optically thick at the Lyman limit with \( 16.2 < \log (N_{\text{HI}}/\text{cm}^{-2}) < 17.0 \)) trace overdense structures in the haloes of galaxies (Ribaudo et al. 2011; Tripp et al. 2011; Fumagalli, O’Meara & Prochaska 2011). Intriguingly, Wotta et al. (2016) and Lehner et al. (2018) find that the metallicity distribution of LLSs is bimodal at \( z < 1 \), with a minimum at one tenth solar (log \( Z/Z_{\odot} = -1.0 \)). The low-metallicity systems likely imply that the CGM of \( z < 1 \) star-forming galaxies is host to a substantial mass of cool, dense, metal-poor gas (Wotta et al. 2019). The higher metallicity, \( Z > 0.1Z_{\odot} \) LLSs presumably arise in outflows, recycling winds, and tidally stripped gas around galaxies.

Therefore, studies of individual metal-line-selected absorbers have found tentative evidence for accretion and feedback signatures in the CGM. However, it has not yet been demonstrated that cosmological simulations can reproduce this bimodality (Hafen et al. 2017; Rahmati & Oppenheimer 2018; Lehner et al. 2019). Furthermore, it is unclear whether this bimodality is also observed at higher redshifts (Quiret et al. 2016; Lehner et al. 2016), and the overall interpretation is complicated by the metal-line selection of absorbers, potentially limiting detections of accretion, as well as the substantial ionization corrections required (Fumagalli et al. 2016).

To date, measurements of both the absorber metallicity and the orientation of the galaxy disc with respect to the quasar sightline are limited to only a few cases. With the benefit of a strong H\( ^{\text{I}} \)-selected quasar absorber sample, Péroux et al. (2016) connected metallicity with azimuthal angle, although the small dataset indicated no sign of correlation. Kacprzak et al. (2019) recently expanded the samples to lower column densities but find a similar lack of trend. These works focused on the difference between the galaxy metallicity, based on emission from H\( ^{\text{II}} \) regions, and the neutral gas metallicity, from absorption, in order to control for the stellar mass trend. The direct comparison of absorption gas metallicity with azimuthal angle, however, also shows no strong correlation (Pointon et al. 2019). We note that these latter samples contain systems with column densities in the range \( 13.8 < \log (N_{\text{HI}}/\text{cm}^{-2}) < 19.9 \) and depend on non-negligible ionisation corrections. By restricting to mostly neutral quasar absorbers with \( \log (N_{\text{HI}}/\text{cm}^{-2}) > 19.5 \) one can largely circumvent these complications.

An additional issue is the, a priori unknown, level of metal depletion on to dust grains. Dust is not yet commonly modelled in cosmological simulations, and is not included in either EAGLE or TNG50. However, dust grains are thought to play a central role in catalyzing the formation of the molecules essential to star formation and hence metal production, and there is a tight relation between metallicity and dust content, as seen in the evolution of the dust-to-gas ratio with metallicity (Jenkins 2009; De Cia et al. 2016; Péroux & Howk 2020). Hence, we expect the metallicity trend reported here to also appear versus dust or molecular content (see e.g. Wendt et al. (2020) who report a trend of dust content versus azimuthal angle in MgII-selected systems). In addition, gas-phase metals can be trapped in dust. As a result, if metallicity estimates are not corrected for dust-depletion the corresponding values may be biased (Kacprzak et al. 2019; Pointon et al. 2019).

To give a current view of the available data, we therefore show dust-depletion corrected \([\text{Zn}/\text{H}])\ gas metallicity estimates based on dominantly neutral absorbers purely selected on H\( ^{\text{I}} \). Fig. 6 displays the small sample of data currently available (taken from Péroux et al. 2011, 2012; Péroux et al. 2017, 2019; Bouché et al. 2013; Bouché et al. 2016). Eight data points are available, and half have stellar masses, which range from \( 10^{8.1} \) \( M_{\odot} \) to \( 10^{9.7} \) \( M_{\odot} \) (indicated by text labels). The data also span a wide redshift range, where we separate...


$z \sim 1$ (star symbols) and $z \sim 2$ (pentagon symbols), as well as impact parameter, spanning $b = 6$ pkpc to $b = 52$ pkpc as indicated by the colour bar.

Overall, the normalization of the metallicity values are characteristically higher than most of the simulation correlations explored in Section 3.2.2. The lack of low metallicity measurements in the data does not reflect a detection limit of the observations, which are typically sensitive to metallicity down to $\log (Z/\odot) = -2.5$ at $z < 1.5$ and as low as $\log (Z/\odot) = -3.5$ at higher redshifts (Péroux & Howk 2020). The high metallicities found by the observations are in part related to the low impact parameters probed in the range $b = 6$ pkpc to $b = 52$ pkpc. While the data span $-1.0 \lesssim \log (Z_{\text{gas}}/\odot) \lesssim 0.2$ we have found in TNG50 that the highest of these metallicities are only likely to be found at the smallest impact parameters, $b < 25$ pkpc, and around more massive galaxies, $M_* \gtrsim 10^{10} \odot$ (Fig. 5, upper panels). Still, the gas more likely to be cosmic inflows has not been clearly detected, perhaps simply as a consequence of the different geometries, covering fractions, and available statistics.

Most importantly, it is clear that no strong trend of metallicity versus azimuthal angle of any kind can be inferred with the current data. As discussed earlier, we imagine that this is primarily because of the heterogeneous mix of the sample, and the broad range of impact parameters, stellar masses, and redshifts in the data set. Indeed, we would not expect to see the predicted trend, highlighting the current limits of our empirical constraints (Péroux et al. 2016; Pointon et al. 2019; Kacprzak et al. 2019) and the utility of significantly larger data samples. We stress that an accurate measurement of the metallicity of the gas requires simultaneous knowledge of the hydrogen column density and an elemental abundance with minimal depletion on to dust grains.

An empirical verification of the trend of increasing metallicity with azimuthal angle, as found in the EAGLE and TNG50 simulations and shown in Fig. 4, would lend strong support to key aspects of physical models of galaxy formation and evolution. For example, on numerical issues such as the treatment of sub-grid metal diffusion (Shen, Wadsley & Stinson 2010; Hafen et al. 2019), as well as physical aspects, such as the expected mass loading factors from supernovae type II driven winds as a function of local gas properties (Schaye et al. 2015; Pillepich et al. 2018a) or the role of magnetic fields (see e.g. van de Voort et al. (2020) who report that the trend identified here is stronger in the presence of magnetic fields). Better measurements will also indirectly help constrain stellar population yields (e.g. Kobayashi, Leung & Nomoto 2020), particularly as more complete treatments of the relevant chemistry become common in cosmological simulations (Ploeckinger & Schaye 2020).

Many physical processes and mechanisms contribute to the physical properties of gas in the CGM, and mapping the metallicity throughout the haloes of galaxies offers a key diagnostic of the cosmic baryon cycle.

5 CONCLUSIONS

In this work, we have used two recent cosmological galaxy simulations, TNG50 (Nelson et al. 2019b; Pillepich et al. 2019) and EAGLE (Schaye et al. 2015; Crain et al. 2015), to explore the spatial distribution of gas in the multiphase, CGM around galaxies. We focused on the dependence of gas mass flow rates and gas metallicity as a function of azimuthal angle with respect to the central galaxy as signposts capable of distinguishing inflow versus outflow. Our main results are as follows:

(i) Gas mass flow rates show clear variation with azimuthal angle, and are higher along directions aligned with both the major and minor axes of galaxies. High inflow rates preferentially trace accreting gas along the major axis, while high outflow rates more likely trace galactic winds along the minor axis. Results from TNG50 and EAGLE show a remarkable agreement of the predicted signal. The angular modulation of $M$ is clear around the minor and major axes, where it becomes broadly compatible with observational data (Figs 1 and 2).

(ii) CGM gas metallicity correlates with radial velocity, demonstrating the connection between gas metallicity and flow direction. Outflows are found to have preferentially higher metallicity than inflows, making gas-phase metallicity a valuable indicator of the physical properties of the CGM (Fig. 3).

(iii) The metallicity of gas in the CGM is a strong function of azimuthal angle for our fiducial choice of $M_* = 10^{9.5 \pm 0.1} \odot$ at $z = 0.5$ and impact parameter $b = 100 \pm 10$ pkpc (Fig. 4). The EAGLE and TNG50 simulations both predict a consistent signal, with metallicity higher along the minor axis than the major axis, reflecting the higher enrichment of galactic outflows versus cosmic gas accretion.

(iv) The normalization of CGM metallicity varies strongly with impact parameter, stellar mass and redshift, increasing for larger $M_*$, smaller $b$, and towards $z = 0$. However, the correlation of metallicity with azimuthal angle is robust against different parameter choices, across a broad mass range of $8.5 < \log (M_*/\odot) < 10.5$, for all redshifts $z < 1$, and particularly for $b \gtrsim 100$ pkpc. This angular signal also remains when restricting to sightlines which intersect high neutral gas column densities, albeit with lower significance for the highest $N(\text{H})$ threshold (Fig. 5).

(v) The data available to constrain this relation between CGM metallicity and azimuthal angle, based on [Zn/H] dust-free estimates, are currently too limited and too heterogeneous to (in)validate the simulations’ outcome (Fig. 6).

We have provided quantitative predictions for a CGM observable, focused on our ability to interpret forthcoming data from future large survey programs. Our results demonstrate that the azimuthal angle is a good discriminant to identify the gas flow direction. While fundamental, observational measurements of gas mass flow rates remain challenging because of the time derivative nature of the quantity, the numerous critical assumptions and the model-dependent approach. Our findings indicate that a statistically significant, controlled sample of galaxy-quasar absorber pairs should reveal a trend of metallicity with azimuthal angle that represents signatures of inflowing and outflowing gas.

The increasing availability of wide, high throughput and 3D integral-field unit spectrographs allows for studies of absorption fields which will enable statistically robust comparisons with these theoretical expectations. These include programmes on VLT/MUSE (and Keck/KCWI), including MEGAFLOW, MUSEQuES, MUSE-ALMA Halos, MAGG, and similar surveys (Zabl et al. 2019; Muzahid et al. 2020; Hamanowicz et al. 2020; Lothhouse et al. 2020). Our results reveal that a large sample of galaxy-absorber pairs with robust metallicity measurements (including mostly neutral gas traced by higher $N(\text{H})$ i and reliable dust-depletion correction) with impact parameters up to $b = 200$ kpc at $z < 1$ are best to uncover the trend of metallicity with azimuthal angle. Additional measures of the stellar masses of the galaxies are however essential. IFU-based kinematics of associated galaxies are also needed in order to determine their orientation (azimuthal angle) with respect to absorbing gas and thus constrain the relationship between galaxies and gas flows through their circumgalactic media.

Ultimately, observational signatures of both cosmic gas inflows and galactic outflows are important probes of how matter, energy, and
metals move into and out of galaxies, and characterizing these flows provides unique insight into the processes of feeding and feedback, two crucial components of galaxy formation.
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