

ORCA - Online Research @ Cardiff

This is an Open Access document downloaded from ORCA, Cardiff University's institutional repository:https://orca.cardiff.ac.uk/id/eprint/136580/

This is the author's version of a work that was submitted to / accepted for publication.

Citation for final published version:

Ingrole, Rohan S.J., Azizoglu, Erkan, Dul, Maria , Birchall, James C. , Gill, Harvinder S. and Prausnitz, Mark R. 2021. Trends of microneedle technology in the scientific literature, patents, clinical trials and internet activity. Biomaterials 267 , 120491. 10.1016/j.biomaterials.2020.120491

Publishers page: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biomaterials.2020.1204...

Please note:

Changes made as a result of publishing processes such as copy-editing, formatting and page numbers may not be reflected in this version. For the definitive version of this publication, please refer to the published source. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite this paper.

This version is being made available in accordance with publisher policies. See http://orca.cf.ac.uk/policies.html for usage policies. Copyright and moral rights for publications made available in ORCA are retained by the copyright holders.



Trends of microneedle technology in the scientific literature, patents, clinical trials and internet activity

Rohan S. J. Ingrole¹, Erkan Azizoglu^{2,3}, Maria Dul⁴, James C. Birchall⁴, Harvinder S. Gill¹, Mark R. Prausnitz^{2,*}

¹Department of Chemical Engineering, Texas Tech University, Lubbock, TX, 79409, USA

²School of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, GA 30332, USA

³Department of Pharmaceutical Technology, Faculty of Pharmacy, Ege University, Izmir, 35100, Turkey

⁴School of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences, Cardiff University, Cardiff, CF10 3NB, UK

*To whom correspondence should be addressed: <u>prausnitz@gatech.edu</u>. School of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, GA 30332, USA

Abstract

The powerful and intriguing idea that drives the emerging technology of microneedlesshrinking the standard needle to a micron scale—has fostered an entire field of microneedle study and subsequent exponential growth in research and product development. Originally enabled by microfabrication tools derived from the microelectronic industry, microneedles are now produced through a number of methods in a variety of forms including solid, coated, dissolvable, and hollow microneedles. They are used to deliver a broad spectrum of molecules, including small molecules, biomolecules, and vaccines, as well as various forms of energy into the skin, eye, and other tissues. Microneedles are also being exploited for use in diagnostics, as well as additional medical, cosmetic, and other applications. This review elucidates the relative roles of different aspects of microneedle technology development, as shown through scientific papers, patents, clinical studies, and internet/social media activity. Considering >1000 papers, 750 patents, and almost 80 clinical trials, we analyze different attributes of microneedles such as usage of microneedles, types of microneedles, testing environment, types of patent claims, and phases of clinical trials, as well as which institutions and people in academia and industry from different locations and in different journals are publishing, patenting, and otherwise studying the potential of microneedles. We conclude that there is robust and growing activity in the field of microneedles; the technology is rapidly developing and being used for novel applications to benefit human health and well-being.

Keywords: Microneedle, transdermal drug delivery, skin patch, diagnostic device, clinical trial, patent

1. Introduction

Conventionally, the hypodermic needle has been the most effective way to pass through the skin to deliver drugs and other substances into different tissues, including intramuscular and subcutaneous tissues. Although widely used, hypodermic needles have significant drawbacks: needle injections are often painful; self-administration with them is difficult; and their use entails risks of blood-borne disease transmission from re-used needles or accidental needle sticks [1], [2], [3], [4].

Skin, the largest organ of the body, offers a potential interface for delivery both into and out of the body [4], [5], [6]. Drug can be delivered into the body for systemic administration and/or local effects in the skin. In addition to drugs, other compounds, for example for diagnostic applications, can be administered into the body, as can energy, such as electromagnetic fields and light, for both therapeutic and diagnostic purposes.

Delivery of drug molecules through the skin can be more effective than administration through other routes, such as the oral route, where the drug can be affected by enzymatic degradation in the gastrointestinal fluid and poor absorption across the intestinal epithelium. Although the skin is an attractive route, few therapeutically active molecules can naturally penetrate the outermost layer of the skin (called the stratum corneum). Different approaches including chemical penetration enhancers, electric fields, ultrasound energy, thermal ablation, mechanical abrasion, and other physical interventions have been exploited to overcome the natural barrier of the skin [7], [8]. While these methods can increase drug delivery into skin, they often cause skin irritation and/or involve bulky devices requiring an energy source, which has limited their use in medicine. As a result, though some drugs are given topically or as transdermal patches, delivery across the skin is performed for most drugs using hypodermic needles.

The skin barrier often impedes application of electric fields to the skin, as in transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation or electroporation [9], [10], [11], or delivery of laser light, as in photodynamic therapy and tattoo removal [12], [13], [14], [15]. Transport out of the body is also impeded by the skin, e.g., when sampling skin tissue and/or fluid to measure glucose concentration [16], diagnosing skin disease [17], or collecting electrical signals for electrocardiograms [18], [19].

Other tissue barriers inhibit transport, such as epithelial barriers in the gastrointestinal tract, oral mucosa and eye, and endothelial barriers, like in the cardiovascular system [20], [21], [22]. In these cases, various formulations and devices have been developed, which sometimes involve hypodermic needles. While most applications seek to avoid tissue damage when crossing a biological barrier, in some cases causing minor injury is intended, such as when inducing collagen production in skin for cosmetic purposes [23] or stimulating the immune system to enhance vaccine responses [24].

Microneedle technology was invented to create a delivery system as robust as hypodermic needles, but without the associated pain and other disadvantages. Microneedles are micronsized projections that cross biological barriers in a minimally invasive manner. Microneedles can be broadly categorized into four categories: solid microneedles, coated microneedles, dissolvable microneedles, and hollow microneedles. Solid microneedles have no hollow bore and have no drug physically associated with them, and are typically used as piercing structures that create transport pathways or stimulate collagen production in skin, and sometimes used as electrodes. Coated microneedles are also solid but have a drug or other material coated onto their surface, typically for therapeutic or sensing applications. Dissolvable microneedles are made of materials that dissolve in water (i.e., in tissue) and typically have drug encapsulated within the microneedles. Finally, hollow microneedles have typically one or more hollow bores through which fluid can flow during injection.

Microneedle technology and applications have been the subject of many prior reviews that can provide further background and context [25-42]. The goal of this review is to provide an overview of activity and identify trends in the field of microneedles. Broadly, activities were identified and analyzed from four information sources: the scientific literature (i.e., research papers), patents, clinical trials, and internet/social media. For each of the four groups, further sub-classification was made to allow for organization and communication of the data.

2. Methods

For each of the four information sources, we selected search terms to identify activities related to microneedles. Among the microneedle activities, we further classified the activities according to their scope and application. Because of the nature of the activities and, more importantly, the way information was presented and classified in different information sources, classification categories were not always the same for data obtained from each information source, although we tried to make them similar.

2.1 Scientific literature

Research papers in the scientific literature were identified by searching PubMed (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/) with keywords "microneedle" or "microprojection". A search date range was set from 1990 to 2018 (with a cut-off of October 1, 2018, the data the search was conducted). The small number of book chapters that appeared in searches were disregarded. The final count for the published papers was 1190 (including research and review articles). We further narrowed the analysis to just research papers (1027). For these 1027 papers, objective data explicitly presented in the paper (e.g., title, authors, and publication year) and subjective data determined by expert review of the paper (e.g., type of microneedle, study testing environment) were tabulated in Microsoft Office Excel. A classification tree showing all of the types of data collected is provided in Fig. 1 (i).



Figure 1. Overview of research papers included in the study. (i). General outline for the categorization of microneedles and their uses. (ii). Total number of research and review articles published on microneedles from 1990 until Oct. 1, 2018: **a**) number of yearly publications, and **b**) percentage of research versus review articles.

A code was written in Excel to extract different Boolean combinations of microneedle classification attributes (such as "hollow" and "vaccine"). All graphs were plotted using GraphPad Prism (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA). Some papers could be classified into multiple categories: for example, some described use of microneedles for both molecule

(i)

delivery and diagnosis. Further, within the category of molecule delivery, some papers discussed use of microneedles to deliver multiple molecules. As a result, the total count for many categories did not sum to 1027. Solid, porous, pocketed (unless coated), skin pre-treatment, and post-treatment microneedles were all categorized as solid microneedles. Biodegradable, swellable, and biocompatible microneedles were all categorized as dissolvable. Delivery of bacteria, quantum dots, cells, gold nanoparticles, etc. were categorized as "Other" under molecule delivery. In analyzing use of microneedles for diagnosis, collection of biomarkers such as bio-signals; sensing of pH; use of microneedle for intraocular pressure measurement; and electromyography were all categorized under physiological parameters. The term "other" in usage represented other aspects of microneedles such as cost-effectiveness, acceptability, anti-bacterial properties of microneedles, and fabrication/characterization of microneedles.

We also categorized research papers on use of microneedles in different types of testing environments. *In vivo* referred to use of microneedles (for delivery or diagnosis) in living organisms, such as common laboratory animals where the microneedle patch is applied to different parts of the body depending upon its application. *In vitro* studies revolved around use of excised tissue to study different aspects of microneedles. Studies of microneedles used in humans for delivery of molecules, diagnosis, or to study microneedle safety were categorized as "human" studies. Fabrication/characterization studies discussed different fabrication techniques for creation of microneedles and characterization of their stability, strength, and safety/acceptability. Cost-effectiveness of microneedles was also included under fabrication/characterization.

Other aspects of the research papers categorized included affiliation of corresponding author with either academic institutions or industry. Other information such as country, author name, and institution was also determined based on association of corresponding authors for research papers (and for multiple corresponding authors on papers with more than one).

2.2 Patents

Inventors use different keywords to define microneedles. These can be general terms like "microprotrusions" or "microstructures"; this lack of specificity can complicate searches. We used the CPC (Cooperative Patent Classification) system developed by the European Patent Office (EPO) and United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO) to enable efficient searching. In this system, CPC symbols are used to find patents according to content, regardless of words used for definition.

The EPO database was used as the source for patents in this study. Searching was carried out with two different methods. In this study, we only considered US patents. The area for the publication number was filled with "US" and "USB". US was used as a country code. "B" was used to narrow the results to the issued US patents.

The first search was made with keywords to identify the frequently used CPC symbols associated with microneedles. The search form of keyword-based search used the following Boolean logic: "microneedle*" OR "micro needle*" OR "microprojection* array*" OR "microneedle* array*" OR "microprotrusion* array*" OR "microprotrusion* array*" in the title or abstract AND USB as the publication number. The asterisk was used to include possible plural forms.

After this first search to identify relevant CPC symbols, we performed a second CPC-based search using the CPC symbols shown in **Table S1** in Supplementary Information (SI). This

analysis focused on frequently used CPC symbols. Some frequently used symbols were not used as search criteria because they were not specific (e.g., "for piercing elements" or "blade, lancet, cannula, needle"). Some CPC symbols were included even though they might include irrelevant patents (e.g., "intradermal administration" or "through microneedle arrays, needleless injectors"). Selected CPC symbols were combined with the final search form USB as the publication number AND a single year, e.g., "1990", used as the publication date for each search: AND (A61B5/14514 OR A61B5/150984 OR A61B5/685 OR A61K9/0021 OR A61M37/0015/low OR B81B2201/055) were used as the CPC symbols. Espacenet, the database of EPO, displays only the first 500 results per search. Therefore, individual searches were combined. Patent files were then reviewed based on the abstracts, mosaics, description, and claims of the patents to exclude tangentially related or irrelevant patents. The remaining data were processed using conditional formatting formulas and Boolean combinations in Excel. The graphs were plotted using GraphPad Prism.

The data on total issued patents were used to determine the number of yearly issued patents and their general classification based on type of assignee. Data exported from Espacenet were also processed to provide information about the top inventors, assignees, and contributions of countries and continents in this field. A further search was made with the CPC symbols. The symbols were fragmented for a diagram of categories at different levels to get an overview of areas of focus in the microneedle field. The CPC symbols associated with each patent were expressed according to the taxonomy shown in **Fig. 2**, which follows conventional practice in Espacenet [43]. Microneedle types, application areas, and relationships between these categories were analyzed based on the assigned CPC symbols. A general outline for the analysis is shown in **Fig. 3**.

Patents are commonly issued with multiple CPC symbols, and such patents were doublecounted in different areas. For this reason, the total number of some charts is larger than the total number of reviewed patents. It should also be noted that some categories of classification used in patents differ from other sources of information. For example, CPC symbols for microneedle types are defined as microneedles having a lumen (A61M2037/003); microneedles having a channel at the side surface (A61M2037/0038), and solid microneedles (A61M2037/0046), which differs from the microneedle categories used to analyze the scientific literature. Also, related CPC symbols like detecting, measuring, or recording for diagnostic purposes (A61B5) and other methods or instruments for diagnosis (A61B10) were combined within the general diagnosis category to better align with categorization used in other information sources.

CPC Taxonomy: A61M37/0015



Figure 2. Taxonomy of a CPC symbol with definitions of categories and subcategories.



Figure 3. General outline of the categorization of microneedles and their uses.

2.3 Clinical trials

A search was performed using the ClinicalTrials.gov database using the keywords "microneedle" OR "microprojection" [44]. That search initially identified 83 clinical trials. Two of those studies were disregarded because the study did not actually concern use of microneedles, and a further two studies had been withdrawn by investigators and were therefore not included in our analysis. The final number of clinical trials used in the analyses was 79, where 17 were ongoing and 62 studies had been completed at the time of analyses.

From each of the 79 clinical trials, the following information was abstracted into an Excel spreadsheet: i) year when the clinical trial started, ii) stage (i.e., phase) of clinical trial, iii) status of clinical trial, iv) application of microneedle, i.e., delivery or diagnosis, v) type of microneedle, i.e., coated, dissolvable, hollow, solid, or non-specified, vi) type of material delivered, i.e., biomolecule, energy, placebo, small molecule, vaccine, or other, vii) indications to be treated, viii) sponsor affiliation, i.e., academia and/or hospital versus industry, and ix) study location. Microneedles used for skin pre-treatment were categorized as solid microneedles. Trials without FDA-defined phases, including trials of devices or behavioral interventions, were classified in terms of stage as not applicable, i.e., N/C (Fig. 4 (i)). The analyzed data were plotted using GraphPad Prism.



Figure 4. Overview of clinical trials included in the study. (i) General outline of the categorization of microneedles and their uses in clinical trials. (ii) Total number of registered clinical trials involving the use of microneedles listed in ClinicalTrials.gov on Oct. 18, 2018 (N=79): a) classification of clinical trials based on number of clinical trials at various stages each year, b) percentage of clinical trials at each stage, c) percentage of clinical trials having different status. A full listing of clinical trials is shown in **Table S2 in SI**.

2.4 Internet/social media

The level of internet activity relating to microneedles was determined through analyses of statistics provided from Google Trends (Google, Mountain View, CA) and Altmetric (London, UK). Google Trends provided insight into internet search patterns by analyzing the proportion of all web queries on the Google Search website and other affiliated Google sites [45]. The Google Trends search was performed using the search terms "microneedle" OR "microprojection"; however, only results from the microneedle search contributed to the data, as the term microprojection resulted in a finding of "not enough data." The data were expressed in terms of interest in the related search term over time, where the numbers represent search interest relative to the highest point on the chart for the given region (worldwide) and time. A value of 100 is the peak popularity for the term. A score of 0 means that there was not enough data for the term. Google Trends provided data from the year 2004 to October 22, 2018. The tool also provided information on related topics or queries, which we searched for alongside the term microneedle. The scoring for top related topics or queries is a relative scale, where a value of 100 is the most commonly search topic or query.

Bibliometrics of research impact within the field were provided by Altmetric, a source of metrics that tracks the attention that research outputs such as scholarly papers and databases receive online [46]. Altmetric searches information on the chosen term from a wide variety of sources such as policy documents, news articles, academic and non-academic blogs, online reference managers (e.g., Mendeley and CiteULike), online journal clubs, and social media (including Twitter, Facebook, Weibo, Google+, Pinterest, and Reddit). Altmetric also analyses online references within Wikipedia, reviews on YouTube, and patent citations. A demographic analysis of the metrics for online mentions (total number of 4410) of microneedle or microprojection were also included. The analyzed data were plotted using GraphPad Prism.

3. Results

Based on analysis of publicly available information sources, we identified and analyzed trends in microneedle technology activities in the scientific literature, patents, clinical trials, and internet/social media.

3.1 Analysis of the scientific literature

We identified trends in the scientific literature by searching PubMed.

3.1.1 Scientific literature: annual publication trend

A total of 1190 papers on microneedles were published in the period analyzed between 1990 and 2018. More than 85% (1027 papers) original research; the remainder were review articles **(Fig. 1 (ii) b)**. Among the research papers, just 1% were published from 1990 to 2000, 20% from 2001 to 2010, and the remaining 79% from 2011 to 2018 **(Fig. 5 (i))**. The number of papers published per year has increased almost every year, with 148 papers being published in 2017 (only a partial year of publications is shown for 2018).



Figure 5. Total research papers published on microneedles. **i)** Number of yearly publications, and their classification based on **ii)** usage of microneedles, **iii)** type of microneedles, and **iv**) testing environment. "Fab./Char." means fabrication or characterization of microneedles.

3.1.2 Scientific literature: trends in microneedle types, use, and testing environment

Microneedles were originally conceptualized for delivery of different molecules, primarily into the skin. Of the 1027 published research papers on microneedles, 73% (Fig. 5 (ii)) were related to the delivery of molecules. Use of microneedles for diagnosis was studied to a lesser extent, 13% (Fig. 5 (ii)). There is, however, growing interest in use of microneedles to extract biomarkers from the skin for diagnostic purposes, in addition to ongoing interest in making physiological measurements with microneedles. The remaining 13% of publications were categorized as other: studying aspects of microneedles such as cost-effectiveness and acceptability; anti-microbial properties of microneedles; *in vivo* imaging of microneedles; effect of tissue stiffness on microneedle insertion; and fabrication/characterization of microneedles (Fig. 5 (ii)).

Among the different types of microneedles, the largest fraction of papers (35%) were published about solid microneedles. An almost equal number of papers addressed dissolvable and hollow microneedles (~25% each), and the lowest share belonged to coated microneedles (~16%) (**Fig. 5 (iii)**). Coated microneedles were heavily represented in the early literature but have been displaced by dissolvable microneedles more recently. With respect to the environment of investigation, almost half (49%) of the papers involved *in vivo* (excluding humans) studies, 25% were studied *in vitro*, 17% were studied in humans, and the remaining 9% only addressed fabrication and/or physical characterization of the microneedles (**Fig. 5 (iv)**).

3.1.3 Scientific literature: trends in delivery using microneedles

We examined various uses of microneedles for delivery to determine trends. Use of microneedles for delivery has been largely via the transdermal route. The choice of microneedle insertion site depends upon the target molecule as well as the targeted indication. It is well-established that microneedles enhance the permeability of molecules into skin, where the molecule is delivered into the viable epidermis and/or dermis. Microneedles can achieve targeted delivery in the suprachoroidal space of the eye as well as in the mouth and tongue for targeted delivery of anti-cancer drugs and vaccines. Solid and dissolvable microneedles together formed the dominant approach of microneedle-based delivery (>30% each). These were followed by almost equal use of hollow and coated microneedles, each of whose use was described in 18–19% of delivery-related research papers (Fig. 6 (i) a).



Coated Dissolvable Solid Hollow

Figure 6. Analysis of microneedles used for delivery in the scientific literature. (i) Classification based on **a**) type of microneedle used for delivery, **b**) type of material or energy delivered, and **c**) testing environment. (ii) The different types of molecules delivered are identified for each type of microneedle: **a**) dissolvable, **b**) coated, **c**) hollow, and **d**) solid. (iii) The different types of

microneedles are identified for each type of molecule delivered: **a**) vaccine, **b**) biomolecule, **c**) small, **d**) other and **e**) energy.

Microneedles have been used to deliver small molecules, biomolecules, vaccines, energy, and other molecule types. In our classification, small molecules include dyes and low molecular weight drugs. Biomolecules include macromolecules such as DNA, RNA, proteins, and peptides. Vaccines include different antigens such as subunit vaccines (e.g., proteins), live and inactivated viruses, and DNA. Delivery of energy is often related to beautification and associated cosmetic applications (e.g., collagen induction therapy). Energy also includes use of microneedles for delivery of electromagnetic energy (such as radiofrequency energy) to the skin for treatment of acne vulgaris, primary axillary hyperhidrosis, and acne scars; to cause electroporation for gene transfer; and to stimulate nerves. Finally, delivery of molecules such as bacteria, quantum dots, cells, gold nanoparticles are categorized as other.

Almost one-third (32%) of papers discussed delivery of small molecules. Similar numbers of papers were published examining delivery of biomolecules or vaccines using microneedles (23-28% each). Delivery of energy and other molecules collectively represented 17% of total usage for delivery (**Fig. 6 (i) b**). Delivery of molecules in humans was studied in 16% of the research papers. In over 55% of the research papers, use of microneedles involved delivery of molecules *in vivo* (excluding humans). About 23% of the research papers studied delivery of molecules *in vitro*, while the remaining 5% focused on fabrication/characterization of microneedles (**Fig. 6 (i) c**). The fact that almost three-quarters (72%) of papers studied delivery in humans or animals *in vivo* indicates the translational emphasis of the field from device engineering to medical and other applications.

Considering different types of microneedles, we found that dissolvable microneedles were used most frequently for delivery of small molecules (40%) followed by biomolecules (30%) and vaccines (26%). They were rarely used for other delivery applications (4%), and not used at all for energy delivery (**Fig. 6 (ii) a**). In contrast, coated microneedles were used for vaccine delivery the majority of the time (54%), followed by biomolecules (23%) and small molecules (17%), with other uses (3%) and energy delivery (2%) accounting for the remaining applications (**Fig. 6 (ii) b**). Unlike other microneedle types, hollow microneedles were often used for "other" delivery scenarios (24%), but their primary use was for biomolecule delivery (38%). Hollow microneedles were also used for delivery of small molecules (22%) and vaccines (14%), but rarely for energy (2%) (**Fig. 6 (ii) c**). Finally, solid microneedles differed from the others in that they were often used for delivery of energy (25%). Solid microneedles were used most frequently for small molecules (39%), with biomolecules (22%), vaccines (9%) and others (5%) delivered as well (**Fig. 6 (ii) d**).

We examined the different molecules delivered to see which types of microneedles were preferred. Vaccines were mostly delivered using coated (42%) and dissolvable (34%) microneedles, with hollow (12%) and solid (12%) microneedles used the rest of the time (Fig. 6 (iii) a). Biomolecule delivery was more evenly split among dissolvable (34%), hollow (27%), solid (25%), and coated (15%) microneedles (Fig. 6 (iii) b). Small molecules were delivered primarily by dissolvable (39%) and solid (38%) microneedles, followed by hollow (14%) and coated (10%) microneedles (Fig. 6 (iii) c). Other compounds were mostly delivered by hollow microneedles (59%), in addition to solid (18%), dissolvable (15%), and coated (8%) microneedles (Fig. 6 (iii) d). Finally, energy was almost exclusively delivered using solid microneedles (90%), with hollow (6%) and coated (4%) microneedles comprising the remaining scenarios (Fig. 6 (iii) e).

Overall, all four types of microneedles were popular for delivery applications, where delivery of molecules (small molecules, biomolecules and vaccines) dominated over other materials and energy and the majority of studies addressed delivery *in vivo* (Fig. 6 (i)). Dissolvable and coated microneedles were used mostly for small molecules, biomolecules, and vaccines. Hollow microneedles were also used for delivery of other compounds, and solid microneedles were used additionally for energy delivery (Fig. 6 (ii)).

Energy was mostly delivered by solid microneedles; other materials were mostly delivered by hollow microneedles; and small molecules, biomolecules, and vaccines were delivered using all four types of microneedles. Each type of microneedle is useful in different scenarios for delivering small molecules, biomolecules, and vaccines, but the versatility and minimal formulation needs associated with hollow microneedle delivery makes it preferred for other materials, and the electrically conductive pathway enabled by (metal) solid microneedles makes them most attractive for (electrical) energy delivery.

3.1.4 Scientific literature: trends in diagnosis using microneedles

In recent years, microneedle usage for diagnosis has seen an upward trend. Although only 13% of the scientific literature overall deals with use of microneedles for diagnosis (Fig. 5 (ii)), there has been a recent increase in collection of body biomarkers to detect presence of different biomarkers in the body; 71% of papers on diagnosis have been published since 2010. Microneedles have also been coupled to pumps for extraction of interstitial fluid from skin and to microfluidic devices where biomarkers are separated and analyzed in real time. Swellable microneedles have been used to collect bodily fluids, which fill the porous structure of these microneedles, for subsequent analysis. Examples of diagnostic applications include measurement of physiological parameters, biomolecules, and bodily fluids for biomarker measurements. As per our classification, collection of different biomarkers such as bio-signals, sensing of pH, use of microneedles for intraocular pressure measurement, and electromyography are all categorized under physiological parameters. Biomarker encompass detection of glucose, antibodies, cancer biomarkers, DNA, and lactate. "Collection of fluids" refers to use of microneedles to collect different bodily fluids such as blood, sweat, and interstitial fluid. Note that the term diagnosis is used broadly here to include all types of measurements, whether they are actually used, for example, to diagnose a disease or for other applications.

Unlike delivery applications, for diagnosis, hollow microneedles were most widely used (47%), followed by solid microneedles (34%). Coated microneedles (11%) and dissolvable microneedles (8%) were less frequently studied for use in diagnosis (**Fig. 7 (i) a**). This may be because hollow microneedles provide a conduit for fluid collection, solid microneedles create skin puncture for fluid flow, coated microneedles can have biomarker collection or sensing functionality on their surface, and dissolvable microneedles (when crosslinked) can swell to collect body fluid.



Figure 7. Analysis of microneedles used for diagnosis in the scientific literature. (i) Classification based on a) type of microneedle used for diagnosis, b) type of biomarker for diagnosis, and c) testing environment. (ii) The different types of biomarkers identified for each type of microneedle: a) dissolvable, b) coated, c) hollow and d) solid. (iii) The different types of

microneedles identified for each type of biomarker: **a)** collection of physiological parameters, **b)** collection of fluid, and **c)** collection of biomarker.

In diagnostic settings, microneedles were mostly used to directly collect physiological parameters (46%) or biomarkers, (39%). Collection of body fluid for indirect measurement of biomarkers was less popular (15%) (**Fig. 7 (i) b**). Roughly half (51%) of the research papers involved *in vivo* studies, 26% *in vitro*, and 20% studies in humans; the remainder (4%) dealt with characterization/fabrication of microneedles (**Fig. 7 (i) c**).

Among different types of microneedles, dissolvable microneedles were mostly used to collect biomarkers (75%) and sometimes for fluid collection (25%) (**Fig. 7 (ii) a**). Coated microneedles were used predominantly for biomarker (47%) and physiological parameter (47%) collection, with limited use for fluid collection (7%) (**Fig. 7 (ii) b**). Hollow microneedles were used about half of the time for measurement of physiological parameters (52%), with the remaining applications on fluid (26%) and biomarker (23%) collection (**Fig. 7 (ii) c**). Finally, solid microneedles were evenly split between physiological parameter (47%) and biomarker (47%) applications, with the remaining uses for fluid collection (6%) (**Fig. 7 (ii) d**).

Considering the types of microneedles used for each diagnostic scenario, there was a greater preference for use of hollow (53%) and solid (36%) microneedles in collection of physiological parameters; the remaining papers used coated microneedles (11%), and none used dissolvable microneedles (**Fig. 7 (iii) a**). Collection of fluid took place primarily with hollow microneedles (71%), in addition to solid (13%) and dissolvable (13%) microneedles, and occasionally coated microneedles (4%) (**Fig. 7 (iii) b**). Biomarkers were frequently collected using solid microneedles (43%), followed by hollow (28%), dissolvable (17%), and coated (13%) microneedles (**Fig. 7 (iii) c**).

Overall, hollow and solid microneedles were most commonly used for diagnostic applications (Fig. 7 (i)). Dissolvable microneedles were used mostly for biomarker measurement, coated and solid microneedles were used mostly for measurement of physiological parameters and biomarkers, and hollow microneedles were used for physiological parameters, biomarkers, and fluid collection (Fig. 7 (ii)). Hollow and solid microneedles may be most popular for all three of the diagnostic applications (Fig. 7 (iii)) due to their ability to provide channels for flow of fluids (hollow microneedles) and electricity (solid microneedles) out of the skin. Also, the amount of fluid that can be collected is generally greater when flowing through hollow microneedles or channels created by puncture with solid microneedles, compared to fluid volumes that can be collected or dissolvable microneedles.

3.1.5 Scientific literature: testing environments used in performing microneedle research

Two-thirds (66%) of microneedle studies were conducted in living organisms, with 49% performed *in vivo* (animals) and 17% in humans. Most of the remaining studies were performed *in vitro* (25%), with some addressing fabrication/characterization (9%) (**Fig. 5 (iv)**). Similar trends were seen when analyzing the use of hollow microneedles (**Fig. 8 (i) c**), whereas dissolvable and coated microneedles showed greater use for *in vivo* studies (65% and 72%, respectively) and less use in humans (9% and 4%, respectively), and solid microneedles found greater purpose for *in vitro* (31%) and human (29%) studies, and relatively less for *in vivo* studies (38%) (**Fig. 8 (i)**).

When analyzing the different testing environments, all four types of microneedles were often used *in vivo* and *in vitro* (Fig. 8 (ii) a and b), whereas human studies were dominated by solid

microneedles (55%) followed by hollow microneedles (27%) (Fig. 8 (ii) c)., and fabrication/characterization of microneedles was performed mostly on dissolvable microneedles (63%) (Fig. 8 (ii) d).

Human studies may be dominated by hollow and solid microneedles because those types can often be used with little or no drug reformulation, which simplifies the regulatory pathway. Dissolving microneedles may be the greatest subject of fabrication/characterization studies because their fabrication is often the most complex and their characterization involves not only mechanical properties, but also dissolution processes.



Figure 8. Analysis of testing environment of microneedles in the scientific literature. (i) Different testing environments for each type of microneedle: **a**) dissolvable, **b**) coated, **c**) hollow, and **d**) solid. (ii) Different types of microneedles in each type of testing environment: **a**) *in vivo*, **b**) *in vitro*, **c**) in humans, and **d**) fabrication/characterization of microneedles.

3.1.6 Scientific literature: microneedle research in academia and industry

Next, we analyzed the fields of academia and industry, comparing different aspects of microneedle research: usage, type of microneedle, type of molecule delivered, type of biomarker collected, and testing environment. Overall, 88% of papers were published by academics, 7% were published by individuals/groups outside academia and industry (designated as 'Others', data not shown), and only 5% were published by industry (Fig. 9). The 'Others' included public health institutes, clinics, hospital (not associated with a university) and national labs. Among the academic- and industry-authored papers, microneedles for delivery of molecules were most prevalent (73% and 85%, respectively), while the remaining fraction was equally distributed between diagnosis and other applications (Fig. 9 (i)). In academia, solid (35%) and dissolvable (28%) microneedles were most commonly used, whereas industry studies mostly examined hollow (39%) and coated (30%) microneedles (Fig. 9 (ii)). This difference may reflect industry preference for the more straightforward translational potential of hollow and coated microneedles, which do not generally require direct integration of a drug into the microneedle structure. In contrast, academics may prefer for the more complex but more powerful attributes of dissolvable microneedles, which have a patch format, reduce or eliminate sharps waste, enable enhanced thermostability, etc.



Figure 9. Contributions on microneedles in the scientific literature in terms of (i) usage of microneedles, (ii) type of microneedle, (iii) type of molecule delivered, (iv) type of biomarker collected, and (v) testing environment, as contributed by (a) academia and (b) industry.

Among papers studying delivery, small molecules (34%), biomolecules (27%), and vaccines (22%) each received significant attention in academia (Fig. 9 (iii) a), whereas industry focused more on biomolecules (40%) and vaccines (38%) and less on small molecules (15%) (Fig. 9 (iii) b). Delivery of energy and other materials was least frequently studied in both academic and industry settings (2–8%) (Fig. 9 (iii)). Industry may place a greater emphasis on biomolecules and vaccines because they are often higher added-value products that can justify increased expenses associated with introducing a new delivery technology.

Analyzing papers for diagnosis revealed similar, preferential use of microneedles for collection of physiological parameters and biomarkers by both academia and industry (Fig. 9 (iv)). Studies on collection of fluid using microneedles were only published by those in academia (15%) (Fig. 9 (iv) a). It is also notable that there have only been four papers published by industry authors on microneedle biomarker collection, indicating its very early stage of commercialization.

About half of the papers involved *in vivo* studies in both academia (49%) and industry (57%) (**Fig. 9 (v)**). While *in vitro* studies represented 26% of papers in academia, industry has published very few (2%). Industry instead emphasized studies involving humans (35%), compared to academia where only 14% of papers include human subjects (**Fig. 9 (v)**). This likely reflects the more-translational nature of industry, in which 92% of studies were *in vivo* in animals or in humans.

3.1.7 Scientific literature: geographic distribution of microneedle research

Authors from North America (38%) and Asia (36%) each contributed more than one-third of the published literature on microneedles, followed by Europe (22%) and Australia (3%) (Fig. 10 (i)). Among the research papers published globally, 37% were published by authors from the United States (USA), 12% each from South Korea and the United Kingdom (UK), 8% each from China and Japan, and 3.5% from Australia (Fig. 10 (ii)). In total, authors from 33 countries have contributed to the microneedle literature (Table S3 in SI).



Figure 10. Contributions on microneedles in the scientific literature by location, institution, researcher, and journal. Contributions to the scientific literature on microneedles by location: (i) continents and (ii) top 10 countries. The 10 most prevalent contributors to the scientific literature on microneedles research by institution, researcher, and journal: (iii) universities among academic institutions, (iv) companies among industry institutions, (v) researchers, and (vi) journals. U = University. Georgia Tech = Georgia Institute of Technology. UNC = University of North Carolina. NC State = North Carolina State University.

Papers from different parts of the world sometimes emphasized different topics. Research on delivery represented 64–76% of research in each of the four continents primarily contributing to microneedle research (**Fig. S1 (i) in SI**). While research in North America was fairly evenly distributed among the four types of microneedles, papers from Europe and Asia somewhat favored solid microneedles and had less emphasis on coated microneedles (**Fig. S1 (ii) in SI**). In contrast, Australian researchers mostly studied coated and solid microneedles.

The type of molecule delivered was relatively evenly split among small molecules, biomolecules, and vaccines in North America and Europe, with few studies on energy delivery (Fig. S1 (iii) in SI). In Asia, researchers focused more on energy delivery and less on vaccines, whereas Australian researchers conducted more than three-quarters of their work on vaccines. North America and Europe each had roughly equal levels of activity regarding diagnostic systems for measuring physiological parameters and biomarkers, with less work on fluid collection (Fig. S1 (iv) in SI). Asian researchers much more frequently measured physiological parameters, while Australians focused almost exclusively on biomarker measurements. Finally, *in vivo* research represented at least half of studies in North America, Asia, and Australia, while European researchers' work was more balanced between *in vitro* and *in vivo* studies (Fig. S1 (v) in SI). In general, distribution of research topics in North America and Europe tended to track each other more closely than research in Asia and Australia. Australian research sometimes had a significantly different scope because it was dominated by a single research group.

Of the top ten academic institutions that have published research papers, four were from the United States and three from the United Kingdom. Georgia Institute of Technology has published the most research papers (9%), followed by Queens University Belfast (6%), Yonsei University (5%), Emory University (3.5%) and University of Queensland (3.3%), and (Fig. 10 (iii)). While only 10 institutions are shown here, it is worth noting that authors from 258 institutions overall have published papers on microneedles, indicating widespread interest and activity in the field (Table S4 in SI).

Among all papers, 7% were from institutions other than academia or industry. Among these other institutes, 14% of the research papers were published by authors affiliated with the Chinese Academy of Sciences, 9% were published by those with the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, and 4% from Sandia National Laboratories **(Table S5 in SI)**.

Among research papers published by industry, authors from BD Technologies published 21% of studies and ALZA/Zosano Pharma published 19%. 3M (7.5%), NanoPass Technologies (6%), and TransDerm (6%) were also notably active in the field (**Fig. 10 (iv)**). BD Technologies and NanoPass Technologies both emphasized hollow microneedles in their studies, ALZA/Zosano Pharma mostly studied coated microneedles, and 3M utilized three different kinds of microneedles: solid, hollow, and coated. Twenty-two companies published on microneedles, largely comprising companies heavily focused on microneedle technology, in addition to those more broadly focused on pharmaceuticals, medical devices, or other technologies that have an interest in microneedles (**Table S6 in SI**).

The list of authors publishing on microneedles closely mirrored the list of institutions from which the authors came, indicating that most institutions had just one research group heavily active in microneedles. As such, the largest number of papers came from the laboratory of Mark Prausnitz (9%, Georgia Tech) followed by Ryan Donnelly (5%, Queens University Belfast), Hyungil Jung (3%, Yonsei University), and Mark Kendall (3%, University of Queensland) (Fig. 10(v)). Ajay Banga, Joke Bouwstra, Richard Compans, Audra Stinchcomb, Diganta Das, and Sang Moo Kang have each contributed to ~2% of published research papers.

The top three journals that have published papers related to microneedle research were pharmaceutical journals: The *Journal of Controlled Release* (9%), *Pharmaceutical Research* (5%), and *International Journal of Pharmaceutics* (4%) (Fig.10(vi)). Papers have been published in journals from other fields, including vaccines (*Vaccine*, 3.5%), medical devices (*Biomedical Microdevices*, 3.5%), ophthalmology (*Investigative Ophthalmology & Visual Science*, 3%) and biomaterials (*Biomaterials*, 2%). It is worth noting that the journals in which the most publications appear are among the most respected journals in those fields, indicating the high impact of research on microneedles. In total, microneedles research has been published in 259 different journals, indicating the breadth of interest in this interdisciplinary field (Table S7 in SI).

3.2 Analysis of patents

Espacenet was used to gather and evaluate trends of microneedle inventions that appeared in patents.

3.2.1 Patent search: keyword and CPC based searches

Our initial keyword-based search identified 323 US patents, among which 1069 different CPC symbols were used 2941 times. Among the CPC symbols, most were used only once and ~98% were used fewer than 10 times. Our subsequent CPC-based search was based on frequently used and microneedle-related codes identified in the first search. A total of 2342 published and issued US patents were found between 2001–2018. Among these results, 45% were issued patents. Results that were not related to microneedles (e.g., needleless injectors) were removed from the list after our expert review, which left 750 issued patents. Our analysis is based on these patents. We found that all patents were assigned to at least two CPC categories, with a mean of 12 CPC symbols, mode of 5 CPC symbols and a maximum of 66 CPC symbols per patent (Fig. S2).

3.2.2 Patent search: annual US patent issuance trends

Similar to activity among scientific publications (Fig. 5 (i)), the number of issued patents per year has also increased over time (Fig. 11 (i)). In the first decade included in our analysis (2001–2010), 26% of the total patents were issued; in the most recent five years (2014–2018), more than 55% of the total patents were issued. An almost equal number of patents were issued in the first 10 years and the last 2 years, demonstrating greatly increased interest in patenting microneedles. Additional analysis of patenting trends over time are shown in terms of type of microneedles (Fig. S3 in SI) and usage of microneedles (Fig. S4 in SI).



Figure 11. Total number of issued US patents on microneedles. i) Number of yearly issued patents, and their classification based on ii) type of patent claims, iii) type of assignee, iv) usage of microneedles, and v) type of microneedles.

3.2.3 Patent search: general trend in microneedle assignees and topics

Patent assignees were predominantly from industry (79%) with most of the rest from academia (19%) and the remainder filed by personally by individuals (2%) (Fig. 11 (iii)). Classification of patents based on their CPC symbols showed that types of inventions were roughly a third each on drug applicators using microneedles (34%) and on microneedles (31%), with the rest divided among methods for producing microneedles (20% and methods for using microneedles (15%) (Fig. 11 (ii)). The main usage areas were delivery (69%) and diagnosis (29.3%) (Fig. 11 (iv)), and 17% of all reviewed patents were issued using both delivery- and diagnosis-related CPC symbols (data not shown).

Unlike our analysis of the scientific literature and clinical trials, microneedle types were classified in the patent literature as microneedles having a lumen (22%), solid microneedles (19%) and microneedles having a channel at the side surface (8%), where the remainder had other classifications (51%) (**Fig. 11 (v**)). Looking more closely at how these main three types of microneedles were used, we found that delivery was the usage area at least three times as often as diagnosis for all three microneedle types (**Fig. 12 (i**)).



Figure 12. Analysis of application areas of different microneedle types in issued patents. (i) Delivery vs. diagnosis and (ii) vaccination vs. measuring glucose applications made with (a) solid microneedles, (b) microneedles having a lumen, (c) microneedles having a channel at the side surface.

The patents were also examined for vaccination and measuring glucose, which are among the most common application areas (and those for which CPC symbols exist). Vaccination appeared much more frequently as an application for all three microneedle types, and measuring glucose was more often performed with hollow microneedles (microneedles having a channel at the side surface and microneedles having a lumen) (Fig. 12 (ii)). However, the large majority of patents were not identified by a specific category. As such, the other category had the largest area for all three microneedle types. Additional analysis of the most-frequently used CPC symbols and the prevalence in microneedle patents in shown in Figures S5 and S6 in SI.

Among microneedles used for delivery, microneedles having a lumen were often used (27%) which was followed by solid microneedles (24%) and microneedles having a channel at the side (10%) (Fig. 13 (i)). Analysis of the microneedle types used for diagnosis yielded similar ranking. where microneedles having a lumen were more commonly used (15%), followed by solid microneedles (11%) and microneedles having a side channel (8%) (Fig. 13 (ii)). In both scenarios, however, type of microneedle was not specified in the CPC symbols.

Considering specific applications, microneedles for vaccination were most typically solid microneedles (35%), followed by microneedles having a lumen (23%) and those having a channel on the side (16%) (**Fig. 13 (iii)**). When used for measuring glucose, microneedles having a lumen (15%) were more popular than microneedles with a side channel (4%) solid microneedles (2%) (**Fig. 13 (iv**)). Again, however, type of microneedle was often unspecified.

Type of microneedles ↓ Breakup by application area



Figure 13. Analysis of microneedle types used in (i) delivery, (ii) diagnosis, (iii) vaccination, and (iv) measuring glucose.

3.2.7 Patent search: microneedle patents in academia and industry; assignees and inventors

Patenting of microneedles was led by industry, with 79% of assignments. Academia had 19%, and personal assignments were only 2% of total issued patents (Fig. 11 (iii)). Among academic institutions, Georgia Tech Research Corporation was at the top of the list with 12% of total patents (17 patents). Next was the University of California (10%) followed by University of Utah Research Foundation (4%). Patents have been issued to 64 institutions in academia (Table S8 in SI), but nearly half of the total patents were assigned to the top 10 universities (Fig. 14 (i)).



Figure 14. Contributions of microneedle patents invented in academia and industry. (i) Top universities in academia and (ii) top companies in industry patenting inventions on microneedles. U = University. Georgia Tech = Georgia Institute of Technology. Caltech = California Institute of Technology. A*STAR = The Agency for Science, Technology and Research. BD = Becton Dickinson and Company.

In industry, BD Technologies has the largest number of patents (9%, 51 patents). 3M Innovative Properties Company was next (7%), followed by ALZA /Zosano Pharma (5%) and Hisamitsu Pharmaceutical Company (4%). It is notable that the fifth most prolific company at patenting (Corium International) had as many patents as the most prolific university among the academics (Georgia Tech Research Corporation), further demonstrating how much more active industry has been at patenting compared to academia. Among 193 companies that received patents (**Table S9 in SI**), the top 10 companies had almost 40% of total patents assigned to industry (**Fig. 14 (ii)**).

Academia and industry patents regarding vaccination were also investigated, since vaccines represent an important application area of microneedles. ALZA/Zosano Pharma had the most patents (17%) followed by BD Technologies (14%). Georgia Tech Research Corporation was the only academic institution among the top 10 (7%), ranking fourth after Corium International (9%) (Fig. S7).

A total of 1197 inventors contributed to the microneedle patent literature and more than 60% of them were inventors of only one patent **(Table S10 in SI)**. Top inventors with 10 or more patents in the microneedle field contributed more than 30% of total patents; they are shown in

Fig. 15. Michel Cormier and Ronald Pettis are the most prolific inventors (both 3.1%), followed by Joseph Trautman (2.7%); Mark Prausnitz and Faiz Sherman were in joint fourth place (both 2.1%).



Figure 15. Analysis of microneedle patents by the top inventors. "Other" represents the sum of patents that were not issued to the listed inventors.

3.2.8 Patent search: geographic distribution of microneedle patents

Inventions in the USA contributed about two-thirds of patents on microneedles for industry (69%), academia (63%) and overall (65%). Japan was the second highest producer of patents in industry (12%) and overall (9%), but was not a top contributor among academics. Other important countries for inventions from industry were Israel and Germany (3% each); from academia were Taiwan (8%) and Korea (5%); and overall from Korea (4%) and Germany (3%) (**Fig. 16 (i)** and **Table S11 in SI**).

The rank order of continents as a source of patents were the same for industry, academia, and overall. North America had the highest contribution with more than 60% in each category, followed by Asia (~20%), Europe (~10%), and Australia (Fig. 16 (ii)).



Figure 16. Contributions of microneedle patents by countries and continents of assignees. (i) Countries and (ii) continents of assignees from (a) industry, (b) academia, and (c) overall.

3.3 Analysis of clinical trials

An analysis of clinical trials reported at clinicaltrials.gov identified the applications of microneedle technology that had advanced furthest towards clinical exemplification and practice. This database includes clinical trials that are completed, active, recruiting, or not yet recruiting, or have some other status.

3.3.1 Clinical trials: annual publication trend and clinical phase

A total of 79 clinical trials were found based on our search of clinicaltrials.gov (**Fig. 4 (ii) a**). Among those trials, 25% were designated Phase 1, 17% Phase 2, 10% Phase 3, and 3% Phase 4. A large proportion of the trials (44%) were not formally classified by phase (N/C) (**Fig. 4 (ii) b**). The data show an increase in clinical trial activity, from the first study reported in 2007 to 10–15 trials per year in recent years (**Fig. 4 (ii) a**). The most prolific year so far for clinical trial activity was 2012, with 16 clinical trials, 6 in either Phase 2 or 3, representing a wide range of

microneedle formats and exploring the treatment of, or protection against, a wide variety of conditions. Unsurprisingly, clinical study phases have tended to transition from early stages (Phase 1 and 2) in earlier years towards later stages more recently: 19% of clinical studies between 2015 and 2018 were classified as Phase 3 or 4. Most of the reported clinical studies have been completed (70%), although some are either recruiting (5%), not yet recruiting (10%), have been terminated (3%), or have an unknown status (13%) (**Fig. 4 (ii) c**).

3.3.2 Clinical trials: general trend in microneedle types, their use, and medical indication

Almost all (98%) clinical trials focused on delivery of substances to the skin or eye, with just 1% using microneedles for diagnosis and 1% for another use (i.e., assisted hatching in embryo transfer) (**Fig. 17 (i)**). With respect to delivery, 27% of clinical trials studied the delivery of small molecules, followed by biomolecules (22%) and vaccines (18%) (**Fig. 17 (ii)**). Considering biomolecules and vaccines together, we noted that more studies explored the potential clinical utility of microneedles for delivery of biologics (i.e., biomolecules and vaccines, at 40%) than small molecule drugs (27%). Energy delivery was the focus of 16% of the trials, and studies using placebos represented 12% of trials.



Figure 17. Analysis of attributes of clinical trials involving the use of microneedles. (i) Purpose of study, (ii) type of molecule delivered, (iii) type of microneedle, and (iv) indications to be treated.

Hollow microneedles were used in 44% of the clinical trials and solid microneedles were used in 33% (Fig. 17 (iii)). Dissolvable and coated microneedles have each only been used in 6% of the trials, and only since 2012 (coated) and 2015 (dissolvable).

With respect to the therapeutic purpose of the clinical trials, skin conditions have been studied the most (18%), followed by influenza vaccination (11%), treatment of ocular diseases (11%),

diabetes (10%), and general studies of microneedle device tolerability (10%) (Fig. 17 (iv)). Other significant categories include the use of microneedles for cosmetic indications (8%) and for pain/anesthesia (5%).

3.3.3 Clinical trials: microneedle studies in academia and industry; institution and location

Almost two-thirds of the reported microneedle clinical trials (62%) were sponsored by academic institutions, with the remaining 38% of trials sponsored by industry (**Fig. 18 (i) a**). Notably, among trials conducted in academia, more than three-quarters (79%) were either non-classified (N/C) (57% of academic studies, representing 34% of the total studies) or in Phase 1 (22% of academic; 14% of total); the remaining 21% (13% of total) were in Phases 2, 3, or 4 (**Fig. 18 (i) b**). For those trials conducted in industry, 54% (22% of total) were either N/C or Phase 1, and 46% (18% of total) were in Phase 2, 3, or 4 (**Fig. 18 (i) c**).



Figure 18. Analysis of clinical trials involving the use of microneedles in terms of sponsor type, organization, and location. (i) Clinical trials sponsored by academia/hospital versus industry. (a) Percentage of clinical trials carried out by academia/hospital or industry. b) Detailed analysis of stages of clinical trials sponsored by academia/hospital or industry. (ii) Institutions sponsoring microneedle clinical trials from (a) academia/hospital or (b) industry that carried out more than one clinical trial. (iii) Location of microneedle clinical trials sponsors, in terms of (a) Continents and (b) Countries. UC Davis = University of California, Davis, MGH = Massachusetts General Hospital, UBC = University of British Columbia, NIAID = National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases. Note, the percentages shown on the charts relate to the total number of studies (n=79) in (i) and (iii) and the number of studies sponsored by academia (n=49) (a) and industry (n=30) (b) in (ii).

Among academic institutions, the University of Hong Kong conducted the largest number of microneedle clinical trials (10%), followed by UC Davis (8%), Emory University (6%), and Massachusetts General Hospital, the University of British Columbia, and National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (4% each) (Fig. 18 (ii) a). Specifically, the University of Hong Kong has primarily studied the use of hollow microneedles for vaccination; similar studies were carried out by the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases. The University of California, Davis investigated the use of solid microneedles to assist photodynamic therapy and topical anesthesia. Emory University trials focused on the use of dissolvable, hollow, and solid microneedles in collaboration with the Georgia Institute of Technology. Massachusetts General Hospital and the University of British Columbia used both solid and hollow microneedles for insulin delivery and vaccine delivery, respectively. A total of 37 academic institutions conducted clinical trials using microneedles (Table S12 in SI).

With regard to clinical studies sponsored by industry, Clearside Biomedical sponsored more than a quarter of the reported clinical trials (27%), specifically studying suprachoroidal steroid delivery to the eye (**Fig. 18 (ii) b**). Other pioneering companies in the field include ALZA/Zosano Pharma (14%), delivering small molecules using coated microneedles, and NanoPass Technologies (10%), who exclusively focused on hollow microneedles for vaccination, local anesthesia, or insulin delivery. Becton Dickinson and FluGen each sponsored 7% of the industry trials, addressing the intradermal infusion of insulin or optimization of the delivery method, respectively. In all, 16 companies had conducted clinical trials (**Table S13 in SI**).

3.3.4 Clinical trials: geographic distribution of microneedle studies

Consistent with the scientific literature, North America was the site of most clinical research activity (53%), followed by Asia and Europe, each conducting 18–20% of clinical trials (Fig. 18 (iii) a). The remainder were carried out in Australia, Brazil, Egypt, Morocco, and Turkey. More specifically, almost half (48%) of the clinical trials took place in the United States, followed by China (8%), Israel (6%), Canada (5%), and France (4%) (Fig. 18 (iii) b). Clinical trials have been conducted in a total of 21 countries around the world (Table S14 in SI).

3.4 Analysis of internet/social media

Microneedle technology has received significant attention beyond the conventional scientific arena. The degree and foci of this attention were captured by i) reviewing the timeline of relative interest and tabulating topics and queries identified by Google Trends, and ii) conducting Altmetric analyses of interest across social media platforms.

3.4.1 Internet/social media: general trends of interest in microneedles

As shown in **Fig. 19 (i)**, the level of interest in searching for online content relating to microneedles has steadily increased since 2008 from a relative interest score around 15 until a recent peak value of 100 (as measured by the number of online searches through the Google search engine). A notable spike in interest was observed in the first quarter of 2013, which may be attributed to the introduction of a new cosmetic "dermaroller" device to the market. Dermaroller devices puncture solid microneedles into the skin to cause focal micro-injuries in the dermis. The resulting healing process produces collagen, which makes the skin fuller and reduces the appearance of wrinkles. This treatment is called collagen induction therapy [47].

We tracked Google search engine activity to determine related "queries", which are searches that are also searched for alongside the entered search term (i.e., microneedle), and related "topics", which are broader topics that users searching for microneedles are searching for. Most

topics searched in Google relate to the use of microneedles in cosmetic skincare; such search terms included collagen induction therapy, face, pen, scars, acne, patch, facial, etc. (Fig. 19 (ii)). Although outside of the top ten most-searched topics, there were also other search topics related to the use of microneedles for medical purposes, including pharmaceutical drug, vaccine, and drug delivery, which all had scores (a relative scale based on the absolute search term for the volume) below 22. Top queries (searches that are most frequently searched alongside the entered term) related to microneedles include dermaroller, how to microneedle, and other aspects related to use of microneedles for beautification, such as microneedle roller system, microneedle therapy, microneedling, microneedle skin roller, microneedle roller, ORA microneedle roller (ORA is a brand name of microneedles), microneedle pen, etc. (Fig. 19 (ii)). It is clear that the general public tended to be much more interested in the use of microneedles for cosmetic purposes than for medical applications.



Figure 19. Interest in microneedles as determined by searches on Google. (i) Interest in microneedles via searches over time. The value for search interest over time is relative to the highest point on the chart. (ii) Top topics and queries related to the microneedle search, i.e., users searching for microneedles also searched for these topics or queries.

3.4.2 Internet/social media: geographic distribution of interest in microneedles

Data obtained through Altmetric demonstrate the distribution of global interest in microneedles, based on 4410 specific online mentions of microneedle or microprojection in various media and social media outputs in 93 countries around the world. Almost one-third of these mentions were in the United States (32%), followed by the United Kingdom (12%), Spain (3%), India (2%), and Australia (2%), among a total of 93 countries, although the country of origin in the case of 30% of the mentions is unknown (**Fig. 20 (i)**). With regard to the actual platforms that produce these mentions, 69% of mentions were on Twitter, 6% on Facebook, and 25% in news media outlets (**Fig. 20 (ii)–(iv)**). Again, microneedle mentions on these specific social media outlets are dominated by the United States, followed by the United Kingdom and, depending on the platform, Spain, Italy, and India. Given the widespread use of microneedles for cosmetics in Asia, it is perhaps notable that there are not more online mentions of microneedles in that part of the world.


Figure 20. Demographics of metrics showing online mentions of microneedle or microprojection by various media. (i) Analysis of all mentions. Analysis of mentions posted on (ii) Twitter, (iii) Facebook, and (iv) in the news media.

3.5 Commercially available microneedle products

A number of products based on microneedle technology have been introduced into the market for use on patients by health care personnel for medical purposes or, more commonly, for use by people on themselves for cosmetic applications. While there are many products that could be mentioned, here we present a few representative examples as an illustration.

Although larger than a typical microneedle, measuring ~2 mm in length, an array of solid, metal microneedles has been approved for many years for use in a number of countries as a skin pretreatment before application of bacille Calmette-Guerin (BCG) vaccine to increase vaccine uptake into the skin (**Fig. 21 (i)**). A similar type of device containing an array of sub-millimeter, plastic microneedles received FDA clearance to create microchannels in the skin (**Fig. 21 (ii**)). A hollow microneedle mounted into a syringe was also developed for targeted skin injections, and has been used as part of a prefilled microinjection system for intradermal delivery of influenza vaccine, which was approved for use in many countries (Fluzone, Sanofi Pasteur, Swiftwater, PA) (**Fig. 21 (iii**)).

A variety of different microneedle patches have been developed for cosmetic application, especially to combat changes in skin appearance due to aging (Figs. 23 (iv) – (vi)). These microneedles are often made of hyaluronic acid, which is the same material commonly injected into the skin as a filler to reduce the appearance of wrinkles. Microneedle arrays have also been used to have mechanical effects on the skin for collagen induction therapy, where the microneedle penetration into skin stimulates collagen production that reduces the appearance of wrinkles and scars (Fig. 21 (vii)). This technique is often called 'microneedling'. Microneedle patches have also been paired with topical formulations containing active ingredients (e.g., anti-acne) applied to the skin after microneedle pre-treatment (Fig. 21 (vii)).



Figure 21. Representative examples of microneedle products approved for medical use or sold as cosmetics. (i) Sterile Multipuncture Device, Organon Teknika Corporation (Durham NC, USA). (ii) Microchannel Skin System, 3M (St. Paul, MN, USA). (iii) Soluvia microinjection system, BD (Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA). (iv) MicroHyala, CosMED Pharmaceutical (Kyoto, Japan). (v) Wellage Hyaluronic Acid Micro Needle Patch, Hugel (Chuncheon, South Korea). (vi) Reviewcell Snow White Hyaluronic Sheet, Soya Greentech (Seoul, South Korea). (vii) Dermastamp, Dermaroller (Wolfenbüttel, Germany). (viii) Liteclear Acne Treatment System, Nanomed Skincare (Cupertino, CA, USA).

4. Discussion

4.1 Increasing interest in microneedles

Activity in microneedles research and patenting has increased, especially over the past 10–15 years. Annual publications in 2017 increased by ~10-fold in the ten years since 2007, reaching a total of more than 1000 papers. The number of clinical trials per year increased ~6-fold from 2007 to 2018, totaling 79 as of the time of this analysis. Annual patent approvals in 2017 increased ~10-fold from 2001, with a total of 750 issued patents. There is, of course, a lag between research being published (e.g., ~1 year) and research leading to an issued patent (e.g., many years). Internet/social media focus on microneedles has increased as well, growing 5–10 fold from a steady baseline in 2004–2008, followed by an increasing rate after that. Online interest in microneedles, however, was fueled predominantly by cosmetic applications, which play a relatively small role in the number of papers, patents, or clinical trials.

4.2 Topics of interest for microneedle applications

Among the research papers and patents, the large majority addressed delivery, and the remaining materials were evenly split between addressing diagnosis and other topics. Essentially all clinical trials were on delivery. This may be driven by a compelling need to simplify administration of drugs and vaccines that would otherwise require injection, which is greater than the perceived need for minimally invasive diagnostic methods. It may also reflect that fact that research funding for pharmaceuticals is much greater than for diagnostic devices.

The research papers had a fairly evenly distributed focus on each of the four types of microneedles, which indicates a recognition that each type has strengths and weaknesses that make microneedle design selection highly application dependent. Hollow microneedles may be regarded as smaller versions of conventional hypodermic needles, which can make manufacturing, drug formulation, and regulatory approval more straightforward. Solid microneedles similarly do not have drug directly associated with them, which can simplify product development. Dissolvable and coated microneedles represent a greater departure from existing products, but accordingly offer more potential advantages because of their simple-to-use patch-based format. These microneedles must incorporate drug, which complicates formulation and manufacturing, especially for dissolvable microneedles, where the microneedle itself is made of drug, but offers the advantage of generating no sharps waste.

Drug delivery studies were approximately evenly divided among small molecule, biomolecule, and vaccine delivery systems in papers and clinical trials. Small molecules were preferentially administered using solid and dissolvable microneedles, biomolecules were delivered using all four types of microneedles, and vaccines were mostly given by dissolvable and coated microneedle patches. This further supports the assertion that choice of microneedle type

depends on application. Energy is administered almost exclusively using solid microneedles, which provide the simplest means of conducting electricity into the body.

Studies addressing diagnostic applications tended to utilize hollow and solid microneedles, since the added functionality—and associated design complexity—of dissolvable and coated microneedles were often not needed. Uses were roughly evenly split between measuring physiological parameters (i.e., mostly physical measurements) and biomarkers (i.e., mostly biochemical measurements). Many fewer studies addressed general methods of collection of fluid from the body for extracorporeal analysis, instead preferring to make specific measurements directly on the body.

Most delivery and diagnostic studies were performed in animals or humans, indicating that although engineering and formulation challenges remain in the design of microneedle systems, much emphasis is being placed on using the microneedle systems. While *in vitro* and *in vivo* (animal) studies included all four types of microneedles, human studies papers and registered clinical trials strongly emphasized use of solid and hollow microneedles, probably because their design and regulation are simpler, as discussed above, which provides an easier pathway for use in humans.

Among the patents, delivery inventions strongly outweighed diagnostic inventions. Patents addressing drug applicators were prevalent, which is not a topic extensively addressed in the scientific literature. While solid and hollow microneedles were commonly specified in patents, the category of microneedles with side channels was specifically included among the CPC symbols; this is also not a topic commonly included in scientific research papers. Among delivery patents, vaccination was the most common use identified, and among diagnostic patents, measuring glucose was most prevalent.

Among the clinical trials, almost half appeared to be basic studies that were not designated a particular phase, but more than one-quarter were Phase 2, 3, or 4 trials (24 trials total). Two-thirds of the trials had been completed (55 trials) and at least 12 trials were planned or on-going. Whilst this indicates robust activity in the clinical translation of microneedles, more clinical trial data may be required to provide the pharmaceutical industry with a level of confidence that will stimulate further investment into the development of microneedle products.

Not surprisingly, the indication most frequently addressed in clinical trials was skin conditions. Tied in second place were influenza vaccine studies, which have also been the focus of many preclinical studies and for which there is an approved product using a hollow microneedle design, and ocular drug delivery studies, which have led to a product that also uses a hollow microneedle design and has passed through Phase 3 clinical trials. Whilst almost all available microneedle products are currently for cosmetic applications, there have been relatively few clinical trials in this area.

Internet/social media interest in microneedles has been focused largely on cosmetic devices for collagen induction therapy, scar and acne treatment, and other applications, although interest in the use of microneedles for medical applications was also observed. Overall data suggest an increasing public interest in microneedle technology.

4.3 Where microneedle research takes place

Research papers were dominated by academia, while patents are dominated by industry, which reflects the inherent priorities of these two communities. Academics showed a greater interest in solid and dissolvable microneedles and to investigate delivery of small molecules, biomolecules,

and vaccines. Industry research favored studies using hollow and coated microneedles and focused more narrowly on biomolecules and vaccines.

Papers, patents, and clinical trials were dominated by institutions in the United States. Asia played an almost as large a role in publishing papers, but was a lesser player in patenting, which suggests that microneedle commercialization is most heavily focused in the United States. Europe also played a significant role in producing papers and patents. There were a few universities and companies that are especially active in publishing and patenting, but there were (i) thousands of authors from >300 institutions in >30 countries who had published microneedle papers in >250 journals, (ii) >1100 inventors from >250 institutions who had issued patents on microneedles, and (iii) >50 institutions that had conducted clinical trials in >20 countries. It is remarkable how widespread the interest in microneedles is, extending well beyond the core research community.

Social media interest in microneedles is most active in the United States, followed by the United Kingdom, but microneedles have appeared in social media as 4,410 mentions in 93 countries around the world. Twitter was the most common platform mentioning microneedles.

4.4 Value of microneedles reported in the scientific literature

Microneedles were initially conceptualized as an alternative to conventional hypodermic needles for delivery of molecules into the body. Hypodermic needles, although effective, pose several disadvantages: i) pain associated with injections causes many people distress and can even induce phobias; ii) in most cases, self-administration of drug via hypodermic needles is difficult, and usually requires assistance from a healthcare practitioner; iii) use of hypodermic needles generates biohazardous sharps waste that necessitates its safe disposal and can lead to pricking/accidental needle sticks that can transmit bloodborne diseases.

Apart from being minimally invasive, microneedles offer numerous advantages over hypodermic needles. Microneedles are micron-size projections that typically protrude from a flat base to deliver their cargo, by providing a conduit for drug delivery through hollow microneedles or through residual micropores in the tissue created by solids microneedles, or by directly carrying drug into tissue by coating on or encapsulating within solid coated or dissolvable microneedles, respectively. Creating a conduit into tissue enables delivery of larger amounts of drug limited by how long the delivery process can last. For example, injections using hollow microneedles are often on the order of 100 μ l in volume, whereas microneedles have also been used for slow infusion of up to 2 ml.

In contrast, coated and dissolvable microneedles are generally limited in the amount of drug they can carry due to their small size, but provide an advantage of delivering their cargo in dry form. Dissolvable microneedles offer the additional advantage of generating no sharps waste: once inserted, the microneedles dissolve away in the tissue. Due to their micron-scale size, once inside a tissue, the microneedles minimize possible interaction with nerves, thereby avoiding stimulation of pain sensors in the skin and other parts of the body. Other advantages of microneedles include dose sparing effects, especially in the case of skin vaccination, where a small dose of vaccine can be delivered by microneedles to induce strong antigen-specific antibody responses. Drugs delivered into skin using microneedles can also have faster uptake in the systemic circulation, which is advantageous for many drugs. Microneedle patches are simple to self-administer by patients at home. Microneedles can be manufactured at low cost that is competitive with hypodermic needles or with pre-filled syringes.

In addition to drug delivery applications, microneedles can facilitate access to tissue interstitial fluid, especially in skin, and thereby facilitate detection of biomarkers (such as glucose) or physiological parameters (such bio-signals or sensing of pH). Such diagnostic or monitoring applications can involve incorporating sensors onto microneedles for in situ measurements or selective capture of biomarkers, for example by coating microneedles with antibodies, that can be removed from the body for analysis. Microliter quantities of interstitial fluid can also be extracted from the body through micropores made by microneedles. Measuring biomarkers in interstitial fluid can be advantageous as certain biomarkers are not found in the systemic circulation and because interstitial fluid does not clot, which simplifies making continuous or repeated measurements.

4.5 Additional observations about microneedle patents and products

The patent literature shows examples of microneedle attributes found in products being developed for use in medicine and cosmetics. Microneedle structures have typically been presented in three ways: an individual microneedle (often hollow), a group of microneedles on a base substrate (usually solid, and possibly incorporating drug), and microneedles incorporated into a complete device or product. Considering marketed products, those for cosmetics have involved dissolvable microneedles with relatively small microneedles. Products containing solid microneedles for skin pre-treatment or collagen induction therapy have been made of polymeric and metallic materials with a broad range of microneedle length (Fig. 21).

Interest in patenting microneedles has increased in parallel with scientific studies. We found 750 patents in the CPC-based search, which is many more than we were able to find with a keyword-based search. This result shows the efficiency of CPC-based searches and the diversity of topics relevant to microneedle inventions. We also found that each patent was usually associated with many CPC symbols (**Fig. S2 in SI**), perhaps due to the nature of patents trying to cover many areas, as well as the ability of microneedles to be multifunctional.

We observed that drug applicators for microneedles had a very important role in the patent area (Fig. 11 (iii)). Many microneedle designs need an applicator for efficient microneedle tissue penetration due to the application speed, reproducibility of force, and homogenous distribution of pressure that can be provided by an applicator. Patents for solid microneedles have increased in recent years (Fig. S3 in SI), both for delivery and diagnosis (Fig. S4 in SI). This may be associated with solid microneedles' relatively simpler manufacturing techniques and ease of use.

5. Conclusions

The purpose of this review was to analyze the maturation of microneedle technology over the last 30 years. Beginning as just one possible option for enhanced transdermal delivery, microneedles have become the dominant advanced technology in the field, gaining popularity in both academic and industry settings. The exponential growth in the field of microneedles has led to >1000 scientific papers, 750 patents, almost 80 clinical trials, and extensive activity on the internet and in social media.

The scientific and patent literature is focused largely on use of microneedles for delivery of molecules, especially to skin; clinical trials almost exclusively focused on delivery, primarily for skin indications, influenza vaccination, and ocular drug delivery. All four types of microneedles—solid, coated, dissolvable, and hollow—and all three types of molecules—small molecules, biomolecules, and vaccines—have been studied in scientific papers. Dissolvable microneedles have been used for delivery of all three types of molecules. Small molecules are also delivered

using solid microneedles, vaccines are also delivered using hollow microneedles, and biomolecules are delivered using all four types of microneedles.

Papers on diagnostic applications focused on hollow and solid microneedles for measuring physiological parameters and biomarkers, and to a lesser extent for collecting interstitial fluid from tissues.

Most studies have been performed *in vivo*, either in animals or humans. While animal studies included all four types of microneedles, human studies favored solid and hollow microneedles in both scientific papers and clinical trials. About half of clinical trials address basic science questions, while the rest are roughly evenly split between Phase 1 and Phase 2, 3, and 4 clinical trials. Most microneedle products, however, are for cosmetic applications, with little representation in the scientific literature and essentially none among the clinical trials.

Scientific papers came mostly from academia and patents came mostly from industry. Academic researchers favored use of solid and dissolving microneedles for delivery of small molecules, biomolecules, and vaccines. Industry researchers focused on hollow and coated microneedles for delivery of biomolecules and vaccines.

The United States is the dominant player in terms of scientific papers, patents, clinical trials, and internet/social media activity. Asia and Europe are also significantly active. While there are a few people and organizations who are especially active in microneedles papers and patents, there are a huge number of additional players in the field, including papers published by thousands of authors from >300 institutions in >30 countries in >250 journals, patents by >1100 inventors from >250 institutions, and clinical trials at >50 institutions in >20 countries.

Analysis of internet activity indicates that there is an increased social interest in microneedles, mainly for cosmetic purposes. Content referring to microneedles is also shared via various media and social media outputs, which increases public awareness about this emerging technology.

With the ever-increasing public, academic, and industry interest in research, development, and translation of microneedles, and the growing pipeline of microneedle technologies, applications, and clinical trials, the field of microneedles has excellent potential to deliver innovative new products to improve health, appearance, and quality of life.

6. Acknowledgements

This publication was supported by the National Institute of Allergy And Infectious Diseases of the National Institutes of Health under Award Number [R01AI135197] (H.S.G.) and [R01AI121322] (H.S.G.). M.D.'s contribution to this paper was supported by PATH's Microarray Patch Center of Excellence through funding from the United Kingdom government. We thank the sponsors for financial support. The sponsors played no direct role in study design; in the collection, analysis and interpretation of data; in the writing of the article; and in the decision to submit the article for publication.

7. Competing interest

M.R.P. serves as a consultant to companies, is a founding shareholder of companies, and is an inventor on patents licensed to companies developing microneedle-based products (Micron Biomedical, Clearside Biomedical). These potential conflicts of interest have been disclosed and are managed by Georgia Tech. H.S.G. is a co-inventor on a patent related to coated microneedles and has stock ownership in a startup company called Moonlight Therapeutics that

is developing microneedles for food allergy immunotherapy. This conflict of interest has been disclosed and is being managed by Texas Tech University. No financial support was provided by Moonlight Therapeutics for this work.

8. Author contributions

R.S.J.I., E.A, M.D., J.C.B., H.S.G., M.R.P.: Conceptualization; R.S.J.I., E.A, M.D.: Data curation; R.S.J.I., E.A, M.D.: Formal analysis; J.C.B., H.S.G., M.R.P.: Funding acquisition; R.S.J.I., E.A, M.D.: Investigation; R.S.J.I., E.A, M.D., J.C.B., H.S.G., M.R.P.: Methodology; J.C.B., H.S.G., M.R.P.: Project administration; J.C.B., H.S.G., M.R.P.: Supervision; R.S.J.I., E.A, M.D.: Validation; R.S.J.I., E.A, M.D.: Visualization; R.S.J.I., E.A, M.D., J.C.B., M.R.P.: Roles/Writing original draft; J.C.B., H.S.G., M.R.P.: Writing - review & editing

9. Data availability

The processed data required to reproduce these findings are available in the Supplementary Information (SI) section. The raw data required to reproduce these findings cannot be shared at this time due to technical or time limitations.

10. References

[1] G. Ma, C. Wu, Microneedle, bio-microneedle and bio-inspired microneedle: A review, Journal of Controlled Release 251 (2017) 11-23.

[2] M.R. Prausnitz, Engineering Microneedle Patches for Vaccination and Drug Delivery to Skin, Annual review of chemical and biomolecular engineering 8(1) (2017) 177-200.

[3] K. van der Maaden, R. Luttge, P.J. Vos, J. Bouwstra, G. Kersten, I. Ploemen, Microneedlebased drug and vaccine delivery via nanoporous microneedle arrays, Drug delivery and translational research 5(4) (2015) 397-406.

[4] M.N. Pastore, Y.N. Kalia, M. Horstmann, M.S. Roberts, Transdermal patches: history, development and pharmacology, British journal of pharmacology 172(9) (2015) 2179-209.

[5] M.R. Prausnitz, R. Langer, Transdermal drug delivery, Nature biotechnology 26(11) (2008) 1261-8.

[6] B.e. al., Dermatology, 4 edition ed., Elsevier, USA, 2018.

[7] S. Munch, J. Wohlrab, R.H.H. Neubert, Dermal and transdermal delivery of pharmaceutically relevant macromolecules, European journal of pharmaceutics and biopharmaceutics : official journal of Arbeitsgemeinschaft fur Pharmazeutische Verfahrenstechnik e.V 119 (2017) 235-242.

[8] T.W. Wong, Electrical, magnetic, photomechanical and cavitational waves to overcome skin barrier for transdermal drug delivery, J Control Release 193 (2014) 257-69.

[9] A.R. Denet, R. Vanbever, V. Preat, Skin electroporation for transdermal and topical delivery, Adv Drug Deliv Rev 56(5) (2004) 659-74.

[10] D. Teoli, J. An, Transcutaneous Electrical Nerve Stimulation (TENS), StatPearls, StatPearls Publishing

StatPearls Publishing LLC., Treasure Island (FL), 2019.

[11] M.R. Prausnitz, A practical assessment of transdermal drug delivery by skin electroporation, Adv Drug Deliv Rev 35(1) (1999) 61-76.

[12] S. Mitragotri, Devices for overcoming biological barriers: the use of physical forces to disrupt the barriers, Adv Drug Deliv Rev 65(1) (2013) 100-3.

[13] W. Baumler, K.T. Weiss, Laser assisted tattoo removal - state of the art and new developments, Photochemical & photobiological sciences : Official journal of the European Photochemistry Association and the European Society for Photobiology 18(2) (2019) 349-358.

[14] E.F. Bernstein, Laser treatment of tattoos, Clinics in dermatology 24(1) (2006) 43-55.

[15] A.M. Rkein, D.M. Ozog, Photodynamic therapy, Dermatologic clinics 32(3) (2014) 415-25, x.

[16] D. Bruen, C. Delaney, L. Florea, D. Diamond, Glucose Sensing for Diabetes Monitoring: Recent Developments, Sensors (Basel, Switzerland) 17(8) (2017).

[17] R.M. Bakos, T.P. Blumetti, R. Roldan-Marin, G. Salerni, Noninvasive Imaging Tools in the Diagnosis and Treatment of Skin Cancers, American journal of clinical dermatology 19(Suppl 1) (2018) 3-14.

[18] Y. Yamamoto, D. Yamamoto, M. Takada, H. Naito, T. Arie, S. Akita, K. Takei, Efficient Skin Temperature Sensor and Stable Gel-Less Sticky ECG Sensor for a Wearable Flexible Healthcare Patch, Advanced healthcare materials 6(17) (2017).

[19] H. Huang, S. Su, N. Wu, H. Wan, S. Wan, H. Bi, L. Sun, Graphene-Based Sensors for Human Health Monitoring, Frontiers in chemistry 7 (2019) 399.

[20] A.K. Shakya, M.Y.E. Chowdhury, W. Tao, H.S. Gill, Mucosal vaccine delivery: Current state and a pediatric perspective, J Control Release 240 (2016) 394-413.

[21] S.M. Kim, P.H. Faix, J.E. Schnitzer, Overcoming key biological barriers to cancer drug delivery and efficacy, J Control Release 267 (2017) 15-30.

[22] J. Reinholz, K. Landfester, V. Mailander, The challenges of oral drug delivery via nanocarriers, Drug delivery 25(1) (2018) 1694-1705.

[23] L. Ramaut, H. Hoeksema, A. Pirayesh, F. Stillaert, S. Monstrey, Microneedling: Where do we stand now? A systematic review of the literature, Journal of plastic, reconstructive & aesthetic surgery : JPRAS 71(1) (2018) 1-14.

[24] A.C.I. Depelsenaire, S.C. Meliga, C.L. McNeilly, F.E. Pearson, J.W. Coffey, O.L. Haigh, C.J. Flaim, I.H. Frazer, M.A.F. Kendall, Colocalization of cell death with antigen deposition in skin enhances vaccine immunogenicity, The Journal of investigative dermatology 134(9) (2014) 2361-2370.

[25] J. Arya, M.R. Prausnitz, Microneedle patches for vaccination in developing countries, J Control Release 240 (2016) 135-141.

[26] S. Bhatnagar, K. Dave, V.V.K. Venuganti, Microneedles in the clinic, J Control Release 260 (2017) 164-182.

[27] N.L. Bragazzi, A. Orsi, F. Ansaldi, R. Gasparini, G. Icardi, Fluzone(R) intra-dermal (Intanza(R)/Istivac(R) Intra-dermal): An updated overview, Human vaccines & immunotherapeutics 12(10) (2016) 2616-2627.

[28] G. Chen, J. Yu, Z. Gu, Glucose-Responsive Microneedle Patches for Diabetes Treatment, Journal of diabetes science and technology 13(1) (2019) 41-48.

[29] B. Chiang, J.H. Jung, M.R. Prausnitz, The suprachoroidal space as a route of administration to the posterior segment of the eye, Adv Drug Deliv Rev 126 (2018) 58-66.

[30] R.L. Creighton, K.A. Woodrow, Microneedle-Mediated Vaccine Delivery to the Oral Mucosa, Advanced healthcare materials 8(4) (2019) e1801180.

[31] S. Duarah, M. Sharma, J. Wen, Recent advances in microneedle-based drug delivery: Special emphasis on its use in paediatric population, European journal of pharmaceutics and biopharmaceutics : official journal of Arbeitsgemeinschaft fur Pharmazeutische Verfahrenstechnik e.V 136 (2019) 48-69.

[32] M. Leone, J. Monkare, J.A. Bouwstra, G. Kersten, Dissolving Microneedle Patches for Dermal Vaccination, Pharmaceutical research 34(11) (2017) 2223-2240.

[33] Y. Levin, E. Kochba, I. Hung, R. Kenney, Intradermal vaccination using the novel microneedle device MicronJet600: Past, present, and future, Human vaccines & immunotherapeutics 11(4) (2015) 991-7.

[34] S. Marshall, L.J. Sahm, A.C. Moore, The success of microneedle-mediated vaccine delivery into skin, Human vaccines & immunotherapeutics 12(11) (2016) 2975-2983.

[35] M.T. McCrudden, E. McAlister, A.J. Courtenay, P. Gonzalez-Vazquez, T.R. Singh, R.F. Donnelly, Microneedle applications in improving skin appearance, Experimental dermatology 24(8) (2015) 561-6.

[36] K. Moffatt, Y. Wang, T.R. Raj Singh, R.F. Donnelly, Microneedles for enhanced transdermal and intraocular drug delivery, Current opinion in pharmacology 36 (2017) 14-21.

[37] T.T. Nguyen, J.H. Park, Human studies with microneedles for evaluation of their efficacy and safety, Expert opinion on drug delivery 15(3) (2018) 235-245.

[38] M.R. Prausnitz, Engineering Microneedle Patches for Vaccination and Drug Delivery to Skin, Annual review of chemical and biomolecular engineering 8 (2017) 177-200.

[39] J. Richter-Johnson, P. Kumar, Y.E. Choonara, L.C. du Toit, V. Pillay, Therapeutic applications and pharmacoeconomics of microneedle technology, Expert review of pharmacoeconomics & outcomes research 18(4) (2018) 359-369.

[40] A.S. Rzhevskiy, T.R.R. Singh, R.F. Donnelly, Y.G. Anissimov, Microneedles as the technique of drug delivery enhancement in diverse organs and tissues, J Control Release 270 (2018) 184-202.

[41] A.H. Sabri, J. Ogilvie, K. Abdulhamid, V. Shpadaruk, J. McKenna, J. Segal, D.J. Scurr, M. Marlow, Expanding the applications of microneedles in dermatology, European journal of pharmaceutics and biopharmaceutics : official journal of Arbeitsgemeinschaft fur Pharmazeutische Verfahrenstechnik e.V 140 (2019) 121-140.

[42] M. Zaric, B. Ibarzo Yus, P.P. Kalcheva, L.S. Klavinskis, Microneedle-mediated delivery of viral vectored vaccines, Expert opinion on drug delivery 14(10) (2017) 1177-1187.

- [43] Espacenet. <u>https://worldwide.espacenet.com/</u>. Accessed 23 October 2018.
- [44] ClinicalTrials.gov. https://clinicaltrials.gov. Accessed 18 October 2018.
- [45] Google Trends. http://www.google.com/trends. Accessed 22 October 2018.
- [46] Altmetrics. https://www.altmetric.com. Accessed 23 October 2018.
- [47] S. Doddaballapur, Microneedling with dermaroller, J Cutan Aesthet Surg 2(2) (2009) 110-1.

Supplementary Information

Trends of microneedle technology in the scientific literature, patents, clinical trials and internet activity

Rohan S. J. Ingrole, Erkan Azizoglu, Maria Dull, James C. Birchall, Harvinder S. Gill and Mark R. Prausnitz





Figure S1. Contributions on microneedles in the scientific literature from authors in (a) North America, (b) Europe, (c) Asia, and (d) Australia, in terms of (i) usage of microneedles, (ii) type of microneedle, (iii) type of molecule delivered, (iv) type of biomarker collected, and (v) testing environment.



Figure S2. Histogram showing the distribution of the number of CPC symbols used per patent on microneedles.



Figure S3. Trends in microneedle types covered by issued patents from 2001 to 2018.



Figure S4. Trends in issued patents on diagnosis and delivery involving microneedles: (i) number of yearly issued patents and (ii) annual percentage of total issued patents each year.



(ii)	СРС	Definition			
	A61M37/0015	by using microneedles			
	A61M2037/0023	Drug applicators using microneedles			
	A61M2037/0053	Methods for producing microneedles			
	A61M2037/003	having a lumen			
	A61M2037/0046	Solid microneedles			
	A61M2037/0061	Methods for using microneedles			
	A61K9/0021	Intradermal administration, e.g. through microneedle arrays, needleless injectors			
	A61B5/150022	for capillary blood or interstitial fluid			
	A61B5/14514	using means for aiding extraction of interstitial fluid, e.g. microneedles or suction			
	A61B5/685	Microneedles			
	A61B5/150984	Microneedles or microblades			
	A61B17/205	Vaccinating by means of needles or other puncturing devices			
	A61B5/14532	r measuring glucose, e.g. by tissue impedance measurement			
	A61M2037/0038	having a channel at the side surface			
	B81B2201/055	Microneedles			

Figure S5. The most frequently used CPC symbols in microneedle patents shown as (i) a bar graph and (ii) a table with their definitions.



Figure S6. Detailed diagram of selected CPC sections, classes and subclasses, groups and subgroups



Figure S7. Analysis of institutions' patenting of vaccination methods using microneedles.

 Table S1. List of CPC symbols used in our patent analysis

CPC	Definition
A61M37/0015	By using microneedles
A61M2037/0023	Drug applicators using microneedles
A61M2037/0053	Methods for producing microneedles
A61M2037/003	Having a lumen
A61M2037/0046	Solid microneedles
A61M2037/0061	Methods for using microneedles
A61M2037/0038	Having a channel at the side surface
A61B5/685	Microneedles
A61B5/14514	Using means for aiding extraction of interstitial fluid, e.g. microneedles or
	suction
A61B5/150984	Microneedles or microblades
A61K9/0021	Intradermal administration, e.g. through microneedle arrays, needleless
	injectors
B81B2201/055	Microneedles

Table S2. List of clinical trials included in our analysis.

	ClinicalTrials.		07.1	0				No of
No	gov Identifier	Title Comparing Recipient	CT phase	Conditions	Interventions	Sponsor	Locations	participants
1	NCT03668834	Site Preparation Using Dermabrasion, Dermaroller and Liquid Nitrogen Induced Blister in Non Cultured Epidermal Cell Suspension in Stable Vitiligo	N/A	Vitiligo	Procedure: Dermabrasion with NCES Procedure: Dermaroller with NCES Procedure: Liquid nitrogen induced blister with NCES	Postgraduate Institute of Medical Education and Research	PGIMER, Chandigarh, India	36
2	NCT03646188	Open-Label Dose Escalation Trial to Evaluate Dose Limiting Toxicity and Maximum Tolerated Dose of Microneedle Arrays Containing Doxorubicin (D-MNA) in Basal Cell Carcinoma	1	Basal Cell Carcinoma	Drug: Placebo-containing MNA Drug: 25 µg doxorubicin- containing MNA Drug: 50 µg doxorubicin- containing MNA Drug: 100 µg doxorubicin- containing MNA Drug: 200 µg doxorubicin- containing MNA	SkinJect, Inc.	SkinJet, University of Colorado, Denver	30
3	NCT03629041	A Study of the Use of Microneedle Patches to Deliver Topical Lidocaine in the Oral Cavity	1	Topical Anaesthesia	Device: Microneedle Patch Device: Patch with no microneedles	Innoture Ltd	Rhiwbina Dental Surgery, Cardiff, United Kingdom	16
4	NCT03612570	Nano-Pulse Stimulation (NPS) in Sebaceous Hyperplasia	N/A	Skin Lesion; Skin Abnormalities; Sebaceous Hyperplasia	Device: Nano-Pulse Stimulation Device	Pulse Biosciences, Inc.	multiple	75
5	NCT03607903	Adalimumab Microneedles in Healthy Volunteers	Phase1, Phase2	Treatment for a variety of auto- inflammatory diseases including juvenile idiopathic arthritis (JIA).	Biological: Adalimumab ID Biological: Adalimumab SC Other: Saline ID Other: Saline SC	Centre for Human Drug Research, Netherlands; Leiden Academic Center for Drug Research, the Netherlands	Centre for Human Drug Research, Leiden, Netherlands	24
6	NCT03573076	Treatment of Photodamaged Skin of the décolleté	4	Photodamage d Skin actinic keratoses	Combination Product: Photodynamic therapy Device: Non-ablative Thulium laser (NAFL) Device: Radio-frequency microneedles (RF-MN)	Merete Haedersdal	Bispebjerg University Hospital, Copenhagen, Copenhagen NV, Denmark	24
7	NCT03507036	Radio Frequency Microneedling for Suprapatellar Skin	N/A	Skin Laxity	Device: Profound system	University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center	University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas, Texas, United States	20
8	NCT03472235	Microneedle and Trichloroaceticacid in Treatment of Melasma	N/A	Melasma	Device: Microneedle	Assiut University		40
9	NCT03426098	Secret Micro-Needle Fractional RF System® for the Treatment of Facial Wrinkles	N/A	Aging; Wrinkle	Device: Secret RF	Goldman, Butterwick, Fitzpatrick and Groff	West Dermatology Research Center, San Diego, California, United States	32
10	NCT03415373	Clinical Evaluation of Healthy Subjects Receiving Intradermal Saline Using the Microneedle Adapter (Model UAR-2S)	N/A	Healthy	Device: Microneedle Adapter (Model UAR-2S) Device: Hypodermic needle + syringe	Microdermics Inc.	inVentiv Health Clinique, Qubec City, Quebec, Canada	20

11	NCT03409965	Lutronic Infini and LaseMD Systems in Combination Treatment	N/A	Face and Neck Wrinkles, Texture, Pigmentation	Device: Lutronic Infini System Device: Lutronic LaseMD System	LUTRONIC Corporation	Moradi M.D., Vista, California, United States The Aesthetic Clinique, Santa Rosa Beach, Florida, United States	21
12	NCT03390439	Treatment of Atrofic Striae With Percutaneous Collagen Induction Therapy Versus Fractional Nonablative Laser	N/A	Striae Distensae	Device: Nd-Yap 1340nm laser Device: Microneedling	Hospital de Clinicas de Porto Alegre	Ana Paula Naspolini, Porto Alegre, Rio Grande Do Sul, Brazil	20
13	NCT03380845	Comparison of 1,550- nm Laser and Fractional Radiofrequency Microneedle for the Treatment of Acne Scars in Ethnic Skin	N/A	Acne Scars	Device: Fraxel Restore Device: Fractora	Massachusetts General Hospital		20
14	NCT03332628	Racial/Ethnic Differences in Microneedle Response	N/A	Healthy	Device: Microneedle patch	University of Iowa	University of Iowa, Iowa City, Iowa, United States	180
15	NCT03282227	A Study to Evaluate the Long-Term Safety of M207 in the Acute Treatment of Migraine	3	Migraine	Drug: M207 Microneedle System	Zosano Pharma Corporation	multiple	344
16	NCT03274674	Use of Injectable- platelet-rich-fibrin (I- PRF) to Thicken Gingival Phenotype	N/A	Periodontoclas ia; Gingiva; Injury Condition; Blood Clot; Gingiva Disorder	Other: I-PRF	Bezmialem Vakif University	Zeliha Betül ÖZSAĞIR	29
17	NCT03207763	Microneedle Patch Study in Healthy Infants/Young Children	N/A	healthy volunteers Vaccination Skin Absorption	Device: Microneedle Formulation 1 Device: Microneedle Formulation 2	Emory University	Emory Children's Center, Atlanta, Georgia, United States	50
18	NCT03203174	The Use of Microneedles With Topical Botulinum Toxin for Treatment of Palmar Hyperhidrosis	1	Hyperhidrosis	Device: Microneedle Device: Sham Microneedle Drug: Botulinum Toxin Type A Other: Saline	University of California, Davis	UC Davis Department of Dermatology, Sacramento, California, United States	13
19	NCT03203447	Suprachoroidal Injection of Triamcinolone Acetonide With IVT Anti-VEGF in Subjects With Macular Edema Following RVO	3	Macular Edema	Drug: suprachoroidal CLS-TA + IVT anti-VEGF agent	Clearside Biomedical, Inc.	multiple	460
20	NCT03126786	Suprachoroidal CLS- TA With Intravitreal Aflibercept Versus Aflibercept Alone in Subject With Diabetic Macular Edema	2	Diabetic Macular Edema	Drug: IVT aflibercept Drug: Sham SC Drug: SC CLS-TA	Clearside Biomedical, Inc.	multiple	71
21	NCT03097315	Suprachoroidal Injection of CLS-TA in Subjects Non- infectious Uveitis	3	Uveitis Uveitis, Posterior Uveitis, Anterior Uveitis, Intermediate Panuveitis	Combination Product: 4mg CLS-TA Suprachoriodal Injection	Clearside Biomedical, Inc.	multiple	38
22	NCT03054480	Fractional Micro- Needle Radiofrequency and I Botulinum Toxin A for Primary Axillary Hyperhidrosis	N/A	Primary Axillary Hyperhidrosis	Device: Fractional Micro- Needle Radiofrequency	Mae Fah Luang University Hospital		40
23	NCT02995057	Safety Demonstration of Microneedle Insertion	N/A	Allergic Reaction to Nickel	Device: Gold- or silver- coated, or uncoated nickel microneedles	University of British Columbia	University of British Columbia, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada	15

24	NCT02966067	A Split Mouth Trial to Compare Microneedles vs. Standard Needles in Dental Anaesthetic Delivery	N/A	Dental Pain Anesthesia, Local	Device: Microneedle Device (Experimental) Device: 30-gauge Short Hypodermic Needle	University of Dublin, Trinity College	University of Dublin, Trinity College, Dublin, Ireland	5
25	NCT02962180	Transplantation of Basal Cell Layer Suspension Using Derma-rolling System in Vitiligo	N/A	Vitiligo	Device: Dermabrasion with dermaroller	Mohammed V Souissi University	Department of Dermatology, Ibn Sina University Hospital, Rabat, Morocco	10
26	NCT02955576	Microneedle Patch for Psoriatic Plaques	N/A	Psoriasis; Administration, Topical	Device: Microneedle HA patch Device: Patch Other: Control	The Catholic University of Korea	St. Vincent's Hospital, Suwon, Gyeonggi-do, Korea, Republic of	20
27	NCT02952001	Extension Study of Patients With Non- infectious Uveitis Who Participated in CLS1001-301	3	Uveitis Uveitis, Posterior Uveitis, Anterior Uveitis, Intermediate Panuveitis	Drug: 4mg CLS-TA Suprachoriodal Injection	Clearside Biomedical, Inc.	multiple	33
28	NCT02949024	Suprachoroidal Injection of CLS-TA Alone or With Aflibercept in Subjects With Diabetic Macular Edema	1 and 2	Diabetic Macular Edema	Drug: IVT Aflibercept; Drug: SC CLS-TA	Clearside Biomedical, Inc.	multiple	20
29	NCT02837094	Enhanced Epidermal Antigen Specific Immunotherapy Trial -1	1	Type 1 Diabetes	Drug: C19-A3 GNP	Cardiff University	Cardiff and Vale University Health Board, Cardiff, United Kingdom	8
30	NCT02823340	Fractionated Microneedle Radiofrequency for Treatment of Primary Axillary Hyperhidrosis	N/A	Primary Axillary Hyperhidrosis	Device: Fractional microneedle RF (United, Peninsula Medical, China)	Xijing Hospital	Xijing Hospitial, Xi'an, Shaanxi, China	20
31	NCT02747030	Phase I RVC With Ocriplasmin for CRVO	1	Central Retinal Vein Occlusion	Drug: Ocriplasmin intravenously	Universitaire Ziekenhuizen Leuven	UZ Leuven, Leuven, Vlaams Brabant, Belgium	4
32	NCT02745392	Safety and Efficacy of ZP-Zolmitriptan Intracutaneous Microneedle Systems for the Acute Treatment of Migraine	2 and 3	Acute Migraine	Drug: ZP-Zolmitriptan Drug: Placebo	Zosano Pharma Corporation	multiple	365
33	NCT02682056	Glucose Measurement Using Microneedle Patches	N/A	Diabetes	Device: Microneedle patch Device: Intravenous (IV) catheter Device: Lancet	Emory University	Children's Healthcare of Atlanta, Atlanta, Georgia, United States Emory Children's Center, Atlanta, Georgia, United States	15
34	NCT02660320	Comparison of the Efficacy of Micro- holes vs. Laser- assisted Dermabrasion, for Repigmenting in Vitiligo Skin	N/A	Vitiligo - Macular Depigmentatio n	Device: Dermabrasion	Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Nice	multiple	5
35	NCT02632110	Microneedle Lesion Preparation Prior to Aminolevulinic Acid Photodynamic Therapy (ALA-PDT) for AK on Face	2	Actinic Keratosis	Drug: ALA Drug: Topical Solution Vehicle Device: IBL 10 mW Procedure: Microneedle lesion preparation Device: IBL 20 mW	DUSA Pharmaceuticals, Inc.	multiple	137

36	NCT02621112	HBV Vaccine in Renal Failure Patients	2 and 3	Renal Failure	Biological: Intradermal HBVv with imiquimod Biological: Intradermal HBVv with aqueous cream Biological: Intramuscular HBVv with aqueous cream	The University of Hong Kong	University of Hong Kong, Queen Mary Hospital, Hong Kong, Hong Kong	120
37	NCT02596750	The Effect of Microneedle Pretreatment on Topical Anesthesia	N/A	Pain, local Anesthesia	Device: Microneedle Roller Device: Sham microneedle Roller Drug: Topical 4% lidocaine	University of California, Davis		21
38	NCT02594644	The Use of Microneedles to Expedite Treatment Time in Photodynamic Therapy	N/A	Keratosis, Actinic	Device: Microneedle Roller Drug: Aminolevulinic Acid Radiation: Blue Light	University of California, Davis	University of California-Davis, Department of Dermatology, Sacramento, California, United States	33
39	NCT02595398	Suprachoroidal Injection of CLS-TA in Subjects With Macular Edema Associated With Non- infectious Uveitis	3	Uveitis Uveitis, Posterior Uveitis, Anterior Uveitis, Intermediate Panuveitis	Drug: 4mg CLS-TA Suprachoriodal Injection Drug: Sham Procedure	Clearside Biomedical, Inc.	multiple	160
40	NCT02511145	Comparison of Mechanical Penetration Enhancers on Metvixia Skin Penetration	1	Healthy	Other: occlusive bandage Device: ablative fractional CO ₂ laser pretreatment Device: Microneedles pretreatment Drug: METVIXIA Cream	Galderma R&D		10
41	NCT02497846	TEOSYAL® PureSense Redensity [I] Injection Using MicronJet® Needle in the Treatment of Crow's Feet Wrinkles	4	Crow's Feet Wrinkles	Device: TEOSYAL® Pure Sense Redensity [I]/Microjet®	Teoxane SA	Docteur MICHEELS, Geneva, Switzerland	15
42	NCT02478879	A Study to Determine the Patient Preference Between Zosano Pharma Parathyroid Hormone (ZP-PTH) Patch and Forteo Pen	1	Postmenopaus al Osteoporosis	Drug: ZP-PTH Drug: FORTEO	Zosano Pharma Inc.	Covance Daytona Beach Clinical Research Unit, Daytona Beach, Florida, United States	24
43	NCT02459938	Safety and Efficacy of ZP-Glucagon to Injectable Glucagon for Hypoglycemia	1	Hypoglycemia	Drug: Glucagon (ZP- Glucagon) Drug: Glucagon (GlucaGen)	Zosano Pharma Inc.	Nucleus Network, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia	16
44	NCT02438423	Inactivated Influenza Vaccine Delivered by Microneedle Patch or by Hypodermic Needle	1	Influenza	Biological: Inactivated influenza vaccine	Mark Prausnitz	The Hope Clinic, Decatur, Georgia, United States	100
45	NCT02372370	Pretreatments of the Skin Prior to PDT	1	Healthy	Procedure: Microneedle pretreatment + MAL- PDTIIIIIDrug: MAL Control Procedure: Sandpaper pretreatment + MAL-PDT Procedure: Curettage pretreatment + MAL-PDT Procedure: Ablative Fractional Laser (AFXL) pretreatment + MAL-PDT Procedure: Non-Ablative Fractional Laser (NAFXL) pretreatment + MAL-PDT Other: Untreated Control	Bispebjerg Hospital		12

46	NCT02368626	Safety and Efficacy of the EndyMed Pro System Using RF Micro-needles Fractional Skin Remodeling	N/A	Aging	Device: EndyMed Proâc RF Micro-Needles	EndyMed		48
47	NCT02329457	VZV Vaccine for Hematopoietic Stem Cell Transplantation	N/A	Varicella Zoster Infection	Biological: Zostavax Biological: Normal Saline	The University of Hong Kong	lvan Hung, Hong Kong, Hong Kong	120
48	NCT02255032	Suprachoroidal Injection of Triamcinolone Acetonide in Subjects With Macular Edema Following Non- Infectious Uveitis	2	Uveitis Macular Edema Uveitis, Posterior Uveitis, Anterior Panuveitis Uveitis, Intermediate	Drug: 4 mg CLS-TA Drug: 0.8 mg CLS-TA	Clearside Biomedical, Inc.	multipe	22
49	NCT02207738	Comparison of Efficacy Between Fractional Microneedling Radiofrequency and Bipolar Radiofrequency for Acne Scar	N/A	Acne; Scar	Device: microneedling radiofrequency device Device: bipolar radiofrequency	Seoul National University Hospital	Department of Dermatology, Seoul National University College of Medicine, Seoul, Korea, Republic of	23
50	NCT02192021	Micro Needle Array- Doxorubicin (MNA-D) in Patients With Cutaneous T-cell Lymphoma (CTCL)	1	Cutaneous T Cell Lymphoma	Drug: Micro needle array- Doxorubicin (MNA-D)	Falo, Louis, MD	University Of Pittsburgh Medical Center, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, United States	54
51	NCT02154503	Evaluating the Efficacy of Microneedling in the Treatment of Androgenetic Alopecia	1	Androgenetic Alopecia	Device: topical 5% Minoxidil (Microneedling)	Vancouver General Hospital	The Skin Care Centre, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada	20
52	NCT02124291	Effect of Assisted Hatching on Vitrified Embryo Transfer Clinical Outcome	N/A	Complications Associated With Artificial Fertilization Placenta; Implantation Pregnancy	Device: Mechanical Assisted Hatching	Shaare Zedek Medical Center	Shaare Zedek Medical Center, Jerusalem, Israel	18
53	NCT02025088	Comparison of Treatments for Atrophic Acne Scars	N/A	Acne; Scar	Procedure: Laser Procedure: Microneedling	Thaís Hofmann Cachafeiro	Hospital de Clinicas de Porto Alegre, Porto Alegre, Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil	23
54	NCT01848366	Utilization of the BIOWAVE Device to Treat Overactive Bladder	N/A	Overactive Bladder, pain relief	Device: Biowave Treatment	Kenneth Peters, MD	William Beaumont Hospital, Royal Oak, Michigan, United States	8
55	NCT01813604	Immunogenicity of Inactivated and Live Polio Vaccines	3	Poliomyelitis	Biological: Group A: Trivalent Oral Polio Vaccine Biological: Group B: Bivalent Oral Polio Vaccine Biological: Group C: Inactivated Polio Vaccine Biological: Group D: fractional IPV (f-IPV) Biological: Arm E: f-IPV and bOPV	Centers for Disease Control and Prevention	Mirpur Health Clinic, Dhaka, Bangladesh	1206
56	NCT01812837	The Use of Microneedles in Photodynamic Therapy	N/A	Actinic Keratosis	Device: Microneedle Drug: Aminolevulinic Acid Radiation: Blue light	University of California, Davis	UC Davis, Dermatology, Sacramento, California, United States	51
57	NCT01789320	Safety Study of Suprachoroidal Triamcinolone Acetonide Via Microneedle to Treat Uveitis	1 and 2	Uveitis Intermediate Uveitis Posterior Uveitis Panuveitis Noninfectious Uveitis	Drug: triamcinolone acetonide (Triesence®)	Clearside Biomedical, Inc.	multiple	11

58	NCT01767324	Site Selection for Intracutaneous Saline Delivery	N/A	Intracutaneous Drug Delivery	Device: Injection to deltoid Device: Injection to forearm Device: Injection to thigh	FluGen Inc	Accelovance Inc, Melbourne, Florida, United States	12
59	NCT01767337	Intracutaneous Delivery of Varied Dose Volumes of Saline	N/A	Influenza	Device: FLUGEN 101.2 device	FluGen Inc	Accelovance Inc, Melbourne, Florida, United States	24
60	NCT01737710	Atopic Dermatitis Research Network (ADRN) Influenza Vaccine Study	N/A	Dermatitis, Atopic	Biological: Fluzone® Intradermal Vaccine Biological: Fluzone® (Intramuscular) vaccine	National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID)	multiple	368
61	NCT01707602	Routes of Immunization and Flu Immune Responses	1 and 2	Influenza	Biological: INTANZA® 15 Biological: Vaxigrip® Biological: INTANZA® 15 T	Assistance Publique - Hôpitaux de Paris	GH Cochin - Broca - Hôtel- Dieu CIC BT505, PARIS Cedex 14, France	60
62	NCT01686503	Intradermal Versus Intramuscular Polio Vaccine Booster in HIV-Infected Subjects	2	Polio Immunity	Drug: IPOL (Sanofi Pasteur) inactivated polio vaccine booster dose	Eastern Virginia Medical School	C3ID Clinic, Eastern Virginia Medical School, Norfolk, Virginia, United States	231
63	NCT01684956	Pharmacokinetic Comparison of Intradermal Versus Sub-cutaneous Insulin and Glucagon Delivery in Type 1 Diabetes	2	Type 1 Diabetes	Procedure: Intradermal injection Procedure: Subcutaneous injection	Massachusetts General Hospital	Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts, United States	20
64	NCT01674621	Phase 2 Study of BA058 (Abaloparatide) Transdermal Delivery in Postmenopausal Women With Osteoporosis	2	Post Menopausal Osteoporosis	Drug: BA058 Placebo Drug: BA058 Transdermal (50 mcg) Drug: BA058 Transdermal (100 mcg) Drug: BA058 Transdermal (150 mcg) Drug: BA058 Injection (80 mcg)	Radius Health, Inc.	multiple	250
65	NCT01628484	Physiological Study to Determine the Allergic Skin Activity After Different Skin Preparation	1	Birch Pollen Allergy	Other: prick lancet Other: Tape stripping Other: Microneedle	University of Zurich	University Hospital Zurich, Division of Dermatology, Zurich, ZH, Switzerland	20
66	NCT01611844	Optimization of Tuberculosis Intradermal Skin Test	N/A	Healthy Volunteers	Device: Medical device : micro-needle BD 1.5 mm 30G drug:Tubertest® : tuberculin (purified protein derivative) Device: medical device: lance 26G X 16mm drug: Tubertest® : tuberculin (purified protein derivative)	Hospices Civils de Lyon	Unité de Recherche Clinique en Immunologie Lyon Sud (URCI-LS) et Service d'Immunologie clinique et allergologie- Centre Hospitalier Lyon Sud -Hospices Civils de Lyon Pierre-Bénite, France	59
67	NCT01557907	Multi-day (3) In- patient Evaluation of Intradermal Versus Subcutaneous Basal and Bolus Insulin Infusion	1 and 2	Diabetes	Device: Subcutaneous delivery via Medtronic Quick-Set Device: Intradermal delivery via the BD Research Catheter Set	Becton, Dickinson and Company	Profil Institut fur Stoffwechselffor schung GmbH, Neuss, Germany	23
68	NCT01518478	Atopic Dermatitis Research Network (ADRN) Influenza Vaccine Pilot	1	Atopic Dermatitis	Drug: Fluzone® Intradermal (Sanofi Pasteur Inc.)	National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID)	National Jewish Health, Denver, Colorado, United States	40

69	NCT01508884	Intradermal Trivalent Influenza Vaccine With Imiquimod	N/A	Chronic Illness/ influenza vaccine	Biological: influenza vaccine Drug: Imiquimod Drug: Aqueous cream	The University of Hong Kong	The University of Hong Kong, Queen Mary Hospital, Hong Kong, Hong Kong	93
70	NCT01368796	Comparison of 4 Influenza Vaccines in Seniors	4	Influenza Vaccine	Biological: Agriflu Biological: Fluad Biological: Intanza Biological: Vaxigrip	University of British Columbia	multiple	953
71	NCT01304563	2010/2011 Trivalent Influenza Vaccination	N/A	Influenza	Biological: TIV 2010/2011 influenza vaccine Biological: INT	The University of Hong Kong	The University of Hong Kong, Queen Mary Hospital, Hong Kong, Hong Kong, China	240
72	NCT01257763	Tolerability Study of the Application of a 3M Microstructure Transdermal System	1	Healthy	Device: Transdermal Microchannel Skin System Device: Sham device	Northwestern University	Northwestern University Feinberg School of Medicine, Department of Dermatology, Chicago, Illinois, United States	54
73	NCT01061216	Pharmacokinetics/Dy namics of Basal (Continuous) Insulin Infusion Administered Either Intradermally or Subcutaneously	1 and 2	Diabetes Mellitus, Type 1 Diabetes Mellitus, Type 2	Device: Intradermal insulin delivery: BD Research Catheter Set Device: Subcutaneous insulin delivery:ACCU- CHEK Rapid-D Infusion Set	Becton, Dickinson and Company	Profil Institut für Stoffwechselfors chung GmbH Neuss, Germany	20
74	NCT01049490	Dose Sparing Intradermal S-OIV H1N1 Influenza Vaccination Device	N/A	Influenza Infection	Biological: S-OIV H1N1 vaccine	The University of Hong Kong	The University of Hong Kong, Queen Mary Hospital, Hong Kong, Guangdong, China	262
75	NCT01039623	Assessment of Safety and Immunogenicity of Intradermal Unadjuvanted Portion of Pandemrix® Via a Microneedle Device With Intramuscular Adjuvanted Pandemrix® as Reference	N/A	Healthy	Biological: Pandemrix® (H1N1 pandemic influenza)	Hadassah Medical Organization	Hadassah Medical Organization, Jerusalem, Israel	200
76	NCT00837512	Insulin Delivery Using Microneedles in Type 1 Diabetes	2 and 3	Type 1 Diabetes Mellitus	Device: Microneedle Device: Subcutaneous insulin catheter	Emory University	Emory University, Atlanta, Georgia, United States	16
77	NCT00602914	A Pilot Study to Assess the Safety, PK and PD of Insulin Injected Via MicronJet or Conventional Needle	1	Intradermal Injections	Device: MicronJet	NanoPass Technologies Ltd		23
78	NCT00558649	A Pilot Study to Evaluate the Safety and Immunogenicity of Low Dose Flu Vaccines	N/A	Influenza, Human	Biological: Flu Vaccine (FLUARIX®)	NanoPass Technologies Ltd		180
79	NCT00539084	A Study to Assess the Safety and Efficacy of a Microneedle Device for Local Anesthesia	N/A	Local Anesthesia Intr adermal Injections	Device: MicronJet	NanoPass Technologies Ltd		40

Table S3. List of countries ranked by the number of research articles published on microneedles by authors from that country.

Country	Number of papers published	Percent of papers published
United States of America	385	37.3
South Korea	126	12.3
United Kingdom	123	11.9
China	86	8.3
Japan	82	7.9
Australia	36	3.5
The Netherlands	33	3.2
Singapore	21	2.0
Taiwan	18	1.7
India	12	1.2
Germany	11	1.1
Belgium	10	1.0
Ireland	10	1.0
Canada	9	0.9
Italy	8	0.8
Thailand	8	0.8
Iran	6	0.6
Israel	6	0.6
Sweden	6	0.6
Switzerland	6	0.6
France	5	0.5
Turkey	5	0.5
Brazil	4	0.4
Egypt	4	0.4
Russia	3	0.3
Poland	2	0.2
Spain	2	0.2
Denmark	1	0.1
Finland	1	0.1
Greece	1	0.1
Norway	1	0.1
Saudi Arabia	1	0.1
South Africa	1	0.1

Table S4. List of academic institutions ranked by the number of research articles published on microneedles by authors from that institution.

Academic institution	Number of papers published	Percent of papers published
Georgia Institute of Technology	86	8.9
Queens University Belfast	54	5.6
Yonsei University	49	5.1
Emory University	35	3.6
The University of Queensland	32	3.3
University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill and North Carolina State University	32	3.3
Leiden University	23	2.4
Cardiff University	20	2.1
Mercer University	20	2.1
Loughborough University	16	1.7
Kyoto Pharmaceutical University	15	1.6
University of California at San Diego	15	1.6
National University of Singapore	14	1.5
Sun Yat-sen University	13	1.3
Gachon University	12	1.2
National Cheng Kung University	12	1.2
Osaka University	11	1.1
University of Kentucky	11	1.1
Texas Tech University	10	1.0
The University of Tokyo	10	1.0
University College Cork	10	1.0
Chung-Ang University	9	0.9
Massachusetts Institute of Technology	9	0.9
Johns Hopkins	8	0.8
University of Maryland	8	0.8
Georgia State University	7	0.7
Harvard Medical School	7	0.7
Imperial College	7	0.7
Korea Advanced Institute of Science	7	0.7
and Technology		-
Virginia Tech	7	0.7
Beijing University of Chemical	6	0.6
Technology	0	0.0
CHA University	6	0.6
Stanford University	6	0.6
Sungkyunkwan University	6	0.6
University of California at San Francisco	6	0.6
University of California at Davis	6	0.6
Chungnam National University	5	0.5
Eindhoven University of Technology	5	0.5

Nanyang Technological University	5	0.5
The Catholic University of Korea	5	0.5
Columbia University	4	0.4
Hamamatsu University School of Medicine	4	0.4
Isfahan university of medical sciences	4	0.4
King's College London	4	0.4
KVSR Siddahrtha College of Pharmaceutical Sciences	4	0.4
Peking University	4	0.4
Seoul National University	4	0.4
Shanghai Jiao Tong University	4	0.4
Silpakorn University	4	0.4
The Chinese University of Hong Kong	4	0.4
The University of Mississippi	4	0.4
The University of Texas at Austin	4	0.4
Touro University	4	0.4
University of Strathclyde	4	0.4
Yokohama City University	4	0.4
Anhui Medical University	3	0.3
Hangzhou Dianzi University	3	0.3
Josai University	3	0.3
Keio University	3	0.3
KTH Royal Institute of Technology	3	0.3
Kyungwon University	3	0.3
Marmara University	3	0.3
Pohang University of Science and	3	0.3
Technology	-	
South China University of Technology	3	0.3
The Pennsylvania State University	3	0.3
Tohoku University	3	0.3
University of Pittsburgh	3	0.3
University of South Florida	3	0.3
University of Texas at Dallas	3	0.3
Zhejiang Sci Tech University	3	0.3
Alexandria University	2	0.2
California Northstate University College of Pharmacy	2	0.2
Case Western Reserve University	2	0.2
Chang-Gung University	2	0.2
De Montfort University	2	0.2
Hallym University	2	0.2
Hokkaido University	2	0.2
Huazhong University of Science and Technology	2	0.2
Idaho State University	2	0.2

Kanazawa University	2	0.2
Kansas State University	2	0.2
Katholieke Universiteit Leuven	2	0.2
Kobe University	2	0.2
Konkuk University	2	0.2
La Sapienza University of Rome	2	0.2
Hubei University	2	0.2
Long Island University	2	0.2
Mahidol University	2	0.2
McMaster University	2	0.2
Medical University of Lodz	2	0.2
Michigan State University	2	0.2
New Jersey Institute of Technology	2	0.2
Pusan National University	2	0.2
Second Military Medical University	2	0.2
Semnan University of Medical	2	0.2
Sciences	2	0.2
Shanghai University of Traditional Chinese Medicine	2	0.2
Swansea University	2	0.2
Technical Institute of Physics and	2	0.2
Chemistry	_	•
The Maharaja Sayajirao University of	2	0.2
Baroda	0	0.0
The University of British Columbia	2	0.2
Tokai University	2	0.2
Tsinghua University	2	0.2
University Hospital Zurich	2	0.2
University of California at Berkeley	2	0.2
University of Campinas	2	0.2
University of Connecticut	2	0.2
University of Greenwich	2	0.2
University of Iowa	2	0.2
University of Michigan	2	0.2
University of Pisa	2	0.2
University of Toyama	2	0.2
University of Utah	2	0.2
University of Veterinary Medicine	2	0.2
Hannover University of Washington	2	0.2
, ,	2	0.2
Uppsala University Utrecht University	2	0.2
-	2	
Zhejiang University	2	0.2
Chung-Ang University Hospital		
Al-Minya University	1	0.1
Anhui University of Chinese Medicine	1	0.1

Antwerp University Hospital Antwerp	1	0.1
Asian Institute of Technology	1	0.1
Auburn University	1	0.1
Beijing Institute of Technology	1	0.1
Birla Institute of Technology and Science (BITS) Pilani	1	0.1
Boston University	1	0.1
California Institute of Technology	1	0.1
Carnegie Mellon University	1	0.1
Catholic Kwandong University College of Medicine	1	0.1
Charité-Universitätsmedizin Berlin	1	0.1
Chiba University	1	0.1
Chonbuk National University	1	0.1
Chulalongkorn Univ.	1	0.1
City University of Hong Kong	1	0.1
Copenhagen University Hospital	1	0.1
Cornell University	1	0.1
Dalian University of Technology	1	0.1
Dankook University	1	0.1
Dickinson College	1	0.1
Drexel University	1	0.1
East China Normal University	1	0.1
Eastern Virginia Medical School	1	0.1
Erasmus University	1	0.1
Eulji University	1	0.1
Freie Universität Berlin	1	0.1
Friedrich-Schiller-University Jena	1	0.1
Fudan University	1	0.1
Gwangju Institute of Science and Technology	1	0.1
Hefei University of Technology	1	0.1
Hiroshima University	1	0.1
Hospital of China Medical University	1	0.1
Hubei University of Chinese Medicine	1	0.1
Indian Institute of Science	1	0.1
Inselspital University Hospital of Bern	1	0.1
Institute of Chemical Technology	1	0.1
Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences	1	0.1
Jubilee Mission Medical College	1	0.1
Kanagawa University of Human Services	1	0.1
Karolinska Institutet	1	0.1
Keimyung University	1	0.1
King Saud University	1	0.1
Korea Basic Science Institute	1	0.1

Karaa Institute of Mashinary and	4	0.1
Korea Institute of Machinery and Materials	1	0.1
Korea University	1	0.1
Korea University of Science and	1	0.1
Technology		
Kwandong University College of	1	0.1
Medicine	· · ·	
Kyung Hee University Medical Center	1	0.1
Kyungpook National University	1	0.1
Kyushu University	1	0.1
Lehigh University	1	0.1
Lyon Institute of Nanotechnologies	1	0.1
Macquarie University	1	0.1
Martin Luther University Halle-	1	0.1
Wittenberg		
Mie University	1	0.1
Monash University	1	0.1
Mt. Sinai School of Medicine	1	0.1
Nanjing University of Chinese	1	0.1
Medicine	4	0.4
National Institute Of Pharmaceutical Education and Research	1	0.1
National Pingtung University of	1	0.1
Science and Technology	•	0.1
National Sun Yat-sen University	1	0.1
National Taipei University of	1	0.1
Technology		
National Tsing Hua University	1	0.1
National University of Taiwan	1	0.1
North University of China	1	0.1
Northwest University	1	0.1
Northwestern University	1	0.1
Old Dominion University	1	0.1
Oregon Health and Science University	1	0.1
Oslo University Hospital	1	0.1
Oxford University	1	0.1
San Diego State University	1	0.1
Santa Clara University	1	0.1
Science University of Tokyo	1	0.1
Scuola Superiore Sant'Anna	1	0.1
Sechenov First Moscow State Medical	1	0.1
University	•	
Shiga University of Medical Science	1	0.1
Shinshu University	1	0.1
Sichuan University	1	0.1
Soochow University	1	0.1
Teikyo University	1	0.1
The Australian National University	1	0.1
	•	

The Disrebutice Institute Couple	1	0.1
The Biorobotics Institute, Scuola Superiore Sant'Anna	1	0.1
The First Affiliated Hospital of China	1	0.1
Medical University		
The State University of New Jersey	1	0.1
The University of Akron	1	0.1
The University of Manchester	1	0.1
The University of New Mexico	1	0.1
Tokyo Institute of Technology	1	0.1
Tokyo University of Science	1	0.1
Toyohashi University of Technology	1	0.1
Tufts University	1	0.1
Tulane University	1	0.1
Ulsan University Hospital	1	0.1
Università di Ferrara	1	0.1
Université de Bordeaux	1	0.1
Université Libre de Bruxelles	1	0.1
Universite Catholique de Louvain	1	0.1
University College London	1	0.1
University Hospital Tübingen	1	0.1
University Hospitals Leuven	1	0.1
University of Antwerp	1	0.1
University of Arizona	1	0.1
University of Bath	1	0.1
University of Bradford	1	0.1
University of Brighton	1	0.1
University of Calgary	1	0.1
University of California at Riverside	1	0.1
University of Cincinnati	1	0.1
University of Exeter	1	0.1
University of Leipzig	1	0.1
University of Limerick	1	0.1
University of Liverpool	1	0.1
University of Manitoba	1	0.1
University of Minnesota	1	0.1
University of Missouri	1	0.1
University of Montpellier	1	0.1
University of Montreal	1	0.1
University of Navarra	1	0.1
University of Nebraska	1	0.1
University of Oxford	1	0.1
University of Pennsylvania	1	0.1
University of Rhode Island	1	0.1
University of Sao Paulo	1	0.1
University of Shanghai for Science and	1	0.1
Technology		

University of Southampton	1	0.1
University of the Saarland	1	0.1
University of the Witwatersrand	1	0.1
University of Ulsan	1	0.1
University of Ulster	1	0.1
University of Waterloo	1	0.1
University of Wisconsin-Madison	1	0.1
University of York	1	0.1
Virginia Commonwealth University Medical Center	1	0.1
Washington State University	1	0.1
Wenzhou Medical University	1	0.1
Yale University	1	0.1
Yangzhou University	1	0.1
Zagazig University	1	0.1
Table S5. List of other institutions (i.e., non-academic, non-industry) ranked by the number of research articles published on microneedles by authors from that institution.

Institution	Number of papers published	Percent of papers published
Chinese Academy of Sciences	11	14.5
U. S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention	7	9.2
Sandia National Laboratories	3	3.9
Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences	2	2.6
Reconstructive Surgery and Anti-aging Center	2	2.6
Agharkar Research Institute	1	1.3
Andreas Sygros Hospital	1	1.3
Barbara Ann Karmanos Cancer Institute	1	1.3
Chang Gung Memorial Hospital	1	1.3
CleanUp Dermatologic Clinic	1	1.3
Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche	1	1.3
Defence Medical & Environmental Research Institute	1	1.3
Dermatologic Center Berlin	1	1.3
Dermatology, Laser, and Vein Specialists of the Carolinas	1	1.3
Electronics and Telecommunications Research Institute	1	1.3
Erzurum Regional Training and Research Hospital	1	1.3
Foundation for the Promotion of Health and Biomedical Research of the Valencian Region (FISABIO)	1	1.3
Gangnam Severance Hospital	1	1.3
GuangDong Research Center for Drug Delivery Systems	1	1.3
Izmir Education and Research Hospital	1	1.3
Jackson Laboratory	1	1.3
Kaplan Plastic Surgery Medical Center	1	1.3
Laboratory of Molecular Genetics, UPR42 CNRS IFC H01	1	1.3
Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory	1	1.3
LG Household & Health Care	1	1.3
Maternal and Child Health Hospital of Guangxi Zhuang Autonomous Region	1	1.3
MGH Zero Emerson Place	1	1.3
MicroInvasive Ocular Surgery Center	1	1.3
Military Hospital of China	1	1.3
Molecular and Endoluminal Coronary Interventions, Interventional Cardiology	1	1.3
National Center for Emerging and Zoonotic Infectious Diseases	1	1.3

National Center for Nanoscience and Technology	1	1.3
National Council of Research	1	1.3
National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Disease	1	1.3
National Institute of Biomedical Imaging and Bioengineering	1	1.3
National Institute of Infectious Diseases	1	1.3
National Pharmaceutical Engineering Research Center	1	1.3
National Research Institute of Physiology, Biochemistry, and Feeding of Farm Animals	1	1.3
Netherlands Centre for Infectious Disease Control	1	1.3
Osaka Police Hospital Japan	1	1.3
Paterson Institute for Cancer Research	1	1.3
PATH	1	1.3
Paul Scherrer Institute	1	1.3
Sourasky Medical Center	1	1.3
The Jackson Laboratory for Genomic Sciences	1	1.3
Tyndall National Institute	1	1.3
United States Army Medical Research Institute of Infectious Diseases	1	1.3
UPMC Hillman Cancer Center	1	1.3
US Army Edgewood Chemical Biological Center	1	1.3
VTT Technical Research Centre of Finland	1	1.3
Yonsei Star Skin and Laser Clinic	1	1.3
National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM)	1	1.3
AboutSkin Dermatology & DermSurgery	1	1.3
Advanced Institutes of Convergence Technology	1	1.3

Table S6. List of companies ranked by the number of research articles published on microneedles by authors from that institution.

Company	Number of papers published	Percent of papers published
BD Technologies	11	20.8
ALZA/ Zosano Pharma	10	18.9
3M Drug Delivery Systems Division	4	7.5
NanoPass Technologies	3	5.7
TransDerm	3	5.7
Novartis Vaccines	2	3.8
Vaxxas	2	3.8
Juvic	2	3.8
AllTranz	2	3.8
Laser Zentrum Hannover	2	3.8
Apogee Technology	1	1.9
Akorn Pharmaceuticals	1	1.9
MyLife Technologies	1	1.9
ArKal Medical	1	1.9
GSK	1	1.9
EndyMed Medical	1	1.9
Kumetrix	1	1.9
Debiotech	1	1.9
Sysmex Corporation	1	1.9
Primaeva Medical	1	1.9
Sanofi Pasteur	1	1.9
Santen Pharmaceutical Company	1	1.9

Journal	Number of papers published	Percent of papers published
J Control Release	97	9.4
Pharm Res.	51	5.0
Int J Pharm.	40	3.9
Vaccine.	35	3.4
Biomed Microdevices.	35	3.4
J Pharm Sci.	32	3.1
Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci.	28	2.7
Eur J Pharm Biopharm.	25	2.4
PLoS One.	21	2.0
Biomaterials.	19	1.9
Sci Rep.	18	1.8
Drug Deliv Transl Res.	17	1.7
Eur J Pharm Sci.	16	1.6
Conf Proc IEEE Eng Med Biol Soc.	16	1.6
Lasers Surg Med.	13	1.3
Acta Biomater.	12	1.2
Dermatol Surg.	12	1.2
J Drug Target.	12	1.2
Small.	12	1.2
Adv Healthc Mater.	11	1.1
Lab Chip.	11	1.1
Diabetes Technol Ther.	10	1.0
J Cosmet Dermatol.	10	1.0
Pharmaceutics.	10	1.0
Sensors (Basel).	10	1.0
Biosens Bioelectron.	9	0.9
Drug Dev Ind Pharm.	9	0.9
J Cosmet Laser Ther.	9	0.9
Mol Pharm.	9	0.9
Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A	9	0.9
ACS Appl Mater Interfaces.	8	0.8
ACS Nano.	8	0.8
Drug Deliv.	8	0.8
AAPS PharmSciTech.	7	0.7
Adv Mater.	7	0.7
Biomicrofluidics.	7	0.7
J Diabetes Sci Technol.	7	0.7
Biofabrication.	6	0.6
Mater Sci Eng C Mater Biol Appl.	6	0.6
Clin Exp Dermatol.	5	0.5
Clin Vaccine Immunol.	5	0.5
Curr Drug Deliv.	5	0.5

Table S7. List of journals ranked by the number of research articles published on microneedles.

Int J Nanomedicine.	5	0.5
J Biomed Opt.	5	0.5
J Infect Dis.	5	0.5
Anal Chem.	4	0.4
Analyst.	4	0.4
Ann Dermatol.	4	0.4
Biointerphases.	4	0.4
Biol Pharm Bull.	4	0.4
Biomacromolecules.	4	0.4
Br J Dermatol.	4	0.4
Curr Eye Res.	4	0.4
IEEE Trans Biomed Eng.	4	0.4
Int J Cosmet Sci.	4	0.4
J Invest Dermatol.	4	0.4
J Pharm Pharmacol.	4	0.4
JAMA Dermatol.	4	0.4
Methods Mol Biol.	4	0.4
Skin Res Technol.	4	0.4
Acta Pharm Sin B.	3	0.3
Biomed Opt Express.	3	0.3
Comput Methods Biomech Biomed Engin.	3	0.3
Dermatology.	3	0.3
Exp Eye Res.	3	0.3
Infect Immun.	3	0.3
J Biomed Mater Res	3	0.3
J Biophotonics.	3	0.3
J Dermatol.	3	0.3
J Drugs Dermatol.	3	0.3
J Physiol.	3	0.3
AAPS J.	2	0.2
ACS Biomater Sci Eng.	2	0.2
Adv Funct Mater.	2	0.2
Angew Chem Int Ed Engl.	2	0.2
Ann Biomed Eng.	2	0.2
Antimicrob Agents Chemother.	2	0.2
Biomed Res Int.	2	0.2
Carbohydr Polym.	2	0.2
Clin J Pain.	2	0.2
Colloids Surf B Biointerfaces.	2	0.2
Dermatol Ther.	2	0.2
Diabetes Metab J.	2	0.2
Eur J Med Res.	2	0.2
Exp Dermatol.	2	0.2
Front Immunol.	2	0.2

Hum Vaccin Immunother.	2	0.2
Int J Dermatol.	2	0.2
J Biomech Eng.	2	0.2
J Glaucoma.	2	0.2
J Mech Behav Biomed Mater.	2	0.2
J Neuroimmunol.	2	0.2
J Ocul Pharmacol Ther.	2	0.2
J Vis Exp.	2	0.2
Med Eng Phys.	2	0.2
Mol Ther Nucleic Acids.	2	0.2
Mol Ther.	2	0.2
Nano Lett.	2	0.2
Nanomedicine.	2	0.2
Nat Commun.	2	0.2
Photochem Photobiol.	2	0.2
Sens Actuators B Chem.	2	0.2
Skin Pharmacol Physiol.	2	0.2
Ther Deliv.	2	0.2
Theranostics.	2	0.2
Acta Neurochir Suppl.	1	0.2
Acta Ophthalmol.	1	0.1
Adv Eng Mater.	1	0.1
Adv Sci (Weinh).	1	0.1
Adv Sci (Weinin). Adv Ther.	1	0.1
Aesthet Surg J.	1	0.1
Am J Emerg Med.	1	0.1
Am J Hum Genet.	1	0.1
Anal Bioanal Chem.	1	0.1
Ann N Y Acad Sci.	1	0.1
Annals of Plastic Surgery	1	0.1
Anticancer Res.	1	0.1
Antiviral Res.	1	0.1
Antiviral Res. Arch Dermatol.	1	0.1
Artif Cells Nanomed Biotechnol.	1	0.1
Australas J Dermatol.		0.1
	1	0.1
Biochem Biophys Res Commun		
Bioengineering (Basel). Biomater Sci.	1	0.1
	1	0.1
Biomech Model Mechanobiol.	1	0.1
Biomed Pharmacother.	1	0.1
Biomed Tech (Berl).	1	0.1
BMC Pharmacol Toxicol.	1	0.1
BMC Vet Res.	1	0.1
Br J Cancer.	1	0.1
Br J Ophthalmol.	1	0.1

Brain Res.	1	0.1
Carcinogenesis.	1	0.1
Cardiovasc Eng.	1	0.1
Case Rep Ophthalmol.	1	0.1
Cell Transplant.	1	0.1
Chem Pharm Bull (Tokyo).	1	0.1
Chemistry.	1	0.1
Clin Physiol Funct Imaging.	1	0.1
Clin Ter.	1	0.1
Comp Immunol Microbiol Infect Dis.	1	0.1
Cytotherapy.	1	0.1
Dermatol Res Pract.	1	0.1
Drugs R D.	1	0.1
EBioMedicine.	1	0.1
Eur J Cell Biol.	1	0.1
Eur J Dermatol.	1	0.1
Exp Ther Med.	1	0.1
Faraday Discuss.	1	0.1
FASEB J.	1	0.1
Fertil Steril.	1	0.1
Front Bioeng Biotechnol.	1	0.1
Front Neurosci.	1	0.1
Gene Ther.	1	0.1
Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol.	1	0.1
Hum Gene Ther.	1	0.1
Hum Reprod.	1	0.1
IEEE SICE RSJ Int Conf Multisens	1	0.1
Fusion Integr Intell Syst.		
IEEE Trans Neural Syst Rehabil Eng.	1	0.1
IET Nanobiotechnol.	1	0.1
In Vivo.	1	0.1
Indian J Dermatol.	1	0.1
Int Arch Allergy Immunol.	1	0.1
Int J Biol Macromol.	1	0.1
Int J Dev Biol.	1	0.1
Int J Pharm Pract.	1	0.1
Int J Tuberc Lung Dis.	1	0.1
Int Wound J.	1	0.1
Interface Focus.	1	0.1
J Adhes Sci Technol.	1	0.1
J Allergy Clin Immunol.	1	0.1
J Appl Microbiol.	1	0.1
J Biomech.	1	0.1
J Biomed Mater Res B Appl Biomater.	1	0.1
J Cereb Blood Flow Metab.	1	0.1

J Clin Aesthet Dermatol.	1	0.1
J Craniomaxillofac Surg.	1	0.1
J Dermatolog Treat.	1	0.1
J Diabetes Investig.	1	0.1
J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol.	1	0.1
J Exp Biol.	1	0.1
J Healthc Eng.	1	0.1
J Infect.	1	0.1
J Interdiscip Nanomed.	1	0.1
J Invasive Cardiol.	1	0.1
J Korean Phys Soc.	1	0.1
J Med Device.	1	0.1
J Membr Biol.	1	0.1
J Microencapsul.	1	0.1
J Mol Cell Biol.	1	0.1
J Nanosci Nanotechnol.	1	0.1
J Neural Eng.	1	0.1
-	1	0.1
J Neurophysiol. J Orthop Surg Res.	1	0.1
J Pharm Biomed Anal.	-	
	1	0.1
J Phys Chem Solids.	1	0.1
J Postgrad Med.	1	0.1
J Proteome Res.	1	0.1
J Res Med Sci.	1	0.1
J Vasc Surg.	1	0.1
J Virol.	1	0.1
JAMA Ophthalmol.	1	0.1
Jpn J Ophthalmol.	1	0.1
Jpn J Physiol.	1	0.1
Lab Anim.	1	0.1
Lancet.	1	0.1
Langmuir.	1	0.1
Lasers Med Sci.	1	0.1
Life Sci.	1	0.1
Macromol Mater Eng.	1	0.1
Masui.	1	0.1
Materials (Basel).	1	0.1
MBio.	1	0.1
Membranes (Basel).	1	0.1
Methods Cell Biol.	1	0.1
Microsyst Technol.	1	0.1
Mikrochim Acta.	1	0.1
Minim Invasive Neurosurg.	1	0.1
Mol Biol Cell.	1	0.1
Mol Mar Biol Biotechnol.	1	0.1
	1	

Mol Vis.	1	0.1
Molecules.	1	0.1
Nat Biotechnol.	1	0.1
Nat Mater.	1	0.1
Nat Med.	1	0.1
Neurol Res.	1	0.1
Nihon Rinsho.	1	0.1
Ontogenez.	1	0.1
Open Biomed Eng J.	1	0.1
Ophthalmic Surg Lasers Imaging.	1	0.1
Ophthalmology.	1	0.1
Opt Express.	1	0.1
Patient Prefer Adherence.	1	0.1
Pediatr Diabetes.	1	0.1
Pharm Dev Technol.	1	0.1
Philos Trans A Math Phys Eng Sci.	1	0.1
Photodiagnosis Photodyn Ther.	1	0.1
Plast Reconstr Surg Glob Open.	1	0.1
Plast Reconstr Surg.	1	0.1
Proc IEEE Sens.	1	0.1
Procedia Vaccinol.	1	0.1
R Soc Open Sci.	1	0.1
Respirology.	1	0.1
RSC Adv.	1	0.1
Sci Adv.	1	0.1
Sci Immunol.	1	0.1
Sens Biosensing Res.	1	0.1
Stem Cells Int.	1	0.1
Talanta.	1	0.1
Theriogenology.	1	0.1
Tissue Eng.	1	0.1
Toxicol In Vitro.	1	0.1
Toxicol Res.	1	0.1
Vestnik Oftalmologii	1	0.1
Wounds.	1	0.1
Yao Xue Xue Bao.	1	0.1
Zhongguo Zhong Yao Za Zhi.	1	0.1
Zhonghua Fu Chan Ke Za Zhi.	1	0.1
Zhonghua Yu Fang Yi Xue Za Zhi.	1	0.1

Table S8. List of academic institutions ranked by the number of issued US patents on microneedles by inventors from that institution.

Academic institution	Number of issued patents	Percent of issued patents
Georgia Tech Res Inst [US]	17	11.6
Univ California [US]	14	9.5
Univ Utah Res Found [US]	6	4.1
California Inst of Techn [US]	5	3.4
Univ Tsinghua [CN]	5	3.4
The Regents of The University of Michigan [US]	5	3.4
Univ Queensland [AU]	5	3.4
Agency Science Tech & Res [SG]	4	2.7
Univ Emory [US]	4	2.7
Wisconsin Alumni Res Found [US]	4	2.7
Univ Cornell [US]	3	2.0
Ind Tech Res Inst [TW]	3	2.0
Massachusetts Institute of Technology [US]	3	2.0
Univ Nat Chiao Tung [TW]	3	2.0
Univ Leland Stanford Junior [US]	3	2.0
Univ North Carolina State [US]	3	2.0
Univ Carnegie Mellon [US]	2	1.4
Chemosero Therapeutic Res Inst [JP]	2	1.4
Cleveland Clinic Foundation [US]	2	1.4
US Dept Veterans Affairs [US]	2	1.4
Drexel University [US]	2	1.4
Ecole Polytech [CH]	2	1.4
Korea Electronics Telecomm [KR]	2	1.4
Univ New York State Res Found [US]	2	1.4
Univ City Hong Kong [HK]	2	1.4
Univ Nat Cheng Kung [TW]	2	1.4
Univ Pittsburgh [US]	2	1.4
Univ Yale [US]	2	1.4
Albert Einstein College Medicine Inc [US]	1	0.7
Centre Nat Rech Scient [FR]	1	0.7
Commissariat A L'energie Atomique Et Aux Energiesalternatives [FR]	1	0.7
Consiglio Nazionale Ricerche [IT]	1	0.7
Fond Istituto Italiano Di Tecnologia [IT]	1	0.7
Huntington Medical Research Institutes [US]	1	0.7
Industry Academic Corp Foundat [KR]	1	0.7
Inst Polytechnique Bordeaux [FR]	1	0.7
Korea Advanced Institute of Science and Technology [KR]	1	0.7
Korea Res Inst of Bioscience [KR]	1	0.7
Nano & Advanced Materials Inst Ltd [HK]	1	0.7
Purdue Research Foundation [US]	1	0.7

Rhode Island Education [US]	1	0.7
Scripps Research Inst [US]	1	0.7
Seoul Nat Univ Ind Foundation [KR]	1	0.7
Stichting Tech Wetenschapp [NL]	1	0.7
The Corp of Mercer Univ [US]	1	0.7
The Hong Kong University of Science and Technology [CN]	1	0.7
The Queen's University of Belfast [GB]	1	0.7
Univ Alberta [CA]	1	0.7
Univ Bordeaux [FR]	1	0.7
Univ Bordeaux Seagalen [FR]	1	0.7
Univ Brown Res Found [US]	1	0.7
Univ Chosun lacf [KR]	1	0.7
Univ Greenwich [GB]	1	0.7
Univ Hawaii [US]	1	0.7
Univ King Saud [SA]	1	0.7
Univ Leuven Kath [BE]	1	0.7
Univ Nanjing [CN]	1	0.7
Univ Notre Dame Du Lac [US]	1	0.7
Univ South Florida [US]	1	0.7
Univ Texas [US]	1	0.7
Univ Tokushima [JP]	1	0.7
Univ Twente [NL]	1	0.7
University College Cork - National University of Ireland Cork [IE]	1	0.7
US Gov Sec Army [US]	1	0.7

Table S9. List of companies ranked by the number of issued US patents on microneedles by inventors from that institution.

Company	Number of issued patents	Percent of issued patents
Becton Dickinson and Company [US]	51	8.5
3M Innovative Properties Co [US]	44	7.3
Alza Corporation [US]	32	5.3
Hisamitsu Pharmaceutical Co [JP]	21	3.5
Corium International INC [US]	17	2.8
Kimberly Clark Co [US]	13	2.2
Intuity Medical INC [US]	12	2.0
Toppan Printing Co LTD [JP]	12	2.0
Valeritas INC [US]	12	2.0
Nanopass Technologies LTD [IL]	10	1.7
Procter & Gamble [US]	10	1.7
Seventh Sense Biosystems INC [US]	9	1.5
Abbott Laboratories [US]	8	1.3
Robert Bosch GMBH [DE]	8	1.3
Boston Scient Scimed INC [US]	8	1.3
Lifescan INC [US]	8	1.3
Orbital Res INC [US]	8	1.3
Sysmex Corp [JP]	8	1.3
Roche Diagnostics Operations INC [US]	7	1.2
Theranos INC [US]	7	1.2
Clearside Biomedical INC [US]	6	1.0
Debiotech Sa [CH]	6	1.0
Fujifilm Corp [JP]	6	1.0
Medrx Co LTD [JP]	6	1.0
Sandia Corp [US]	6	1.0
Rani Therapeutics LLC [US]	6	1.0
Altea Therapeutics Corporation [US]	5	0.8
Chrono Therapeutics INC [US]	5	0.8
Nitto Denko Corporation [JP]	5	0.8
Allergan INC [US]	4	0.7
Cosmed Pharmaceutical Co LTD [JP]	4	0.7
Covidien LP [US]	4	0.7
Ethicon INC [US]	4	0.7
Mercator Medsystems INC [US]	4	0.7
Pathak Holdings LLC [US]	4	0.7
Theraject INC [US]	4	0.7
Vaxxas Pty LTD [AU]	4	0.7
Viol Co LTD [KR]	4	0.7
Bioserentach Co LTD [JP]	3	0.5
Cook Medical Technologies LLC [US]	3	0.5

Gen Electric [US]	3	0.5
Histologics LLC [US]	3	0.5
Johnson & Johnson Consumer	3	0.5
Companies INC [US]		
Micro Nipple Technology Co LTD [TW]	3	0.5
Myoscience INC [US]	3	0.5
Nissha Printing [JP]	3	0.5
Seqirus Uk LTD [GB]	3	0.5
Silex Microsystems Ab [SE]	3	0.5
Verily Life Sciences LLC [US]	3	0.5
Applied Tissue Technologies LI [US]	2	0.3
Arkal Medical INC [US]	2	0.3
Bayer Ag [US]	2	0.3
Bonsens Ab [SE]	2	0.3
Cabochon Aesthetics INC [US]	2	0.3
Eclipse Aesthetics LLC [US]	2	0.3
Esthetics Education LLC [US]	2	0.3
Gearbox LLC [US]	2	0.3
Genesis Biosystems INC [US]	2	0.3
IBM [US]	2	0.3
Infotonics Technology Center INC [US]	2	0.3
Integrated Sensing Systems INC [US]	2	0.3
Janisys LTD [IE]	2	0.3
Juvic INC [KR]	2	0.3
Mcube INC [US]	2	0.3
Microchips INC [US]	2	0.3
Microsense International LLC [US]	2	0.3
Nanomed Devices INC [US]	2	0.3
Ndm Technologies LTD [GB]	2	0.3
Neochord INC [US]	2	0.3
Renephra LTD [GB]	2	0.3
Rodan & Fields LLC [US]	2	0.3
Roller Jet LTD [IL]	2	0.3
Rosedale Medical INC [US]	2	0.3
Samsung Electronics Co LTD [KR]	2	0.3
Sanofi Aventis Deutschland [DE]	2	0.3
Scibase Ab [SE]	2	0.3
Sterling Medivations INC [US]	2	0.3
The Invention Science Fund IIIc [US]	2	0.3
Titan Collaborative Kithe LLC [US]	2	0.3
Transpharma LTD [IL]	2	0.3
Wockhardt LTD [IN]	2	0.3
10x Technology LLC [US]	1	0.2
Advanced Neuromodulation Sys [US]	1	0.2
Advanced Semiconductor Eng [TW]	1	0.2

Agilent Technologies INC [US]	1	0.2
Aktivpak INC [US]	1	0.2
Alma Therapeutics LTD [IL]	1	0.2
Ambro B V [NL]	1	0.2
Amnio Technology LLC [US]	1	0.2
Amorepacific Corp [KR]	1	0.2
		0.2
Ams Res Corp [US]	1	
Anpac Bio-Medical Science Co LTD [VG]	1	0.2
Apogee Technology INC [US]	1	0.2
Ascilion Ab [SE]	1	0.2
Asti Corp [JP]	1	0.2
Avery Dennison Corporation [US]	1	0.2
Axion Biosystems [US]	1	0.2
Azurebio S L [ES]	1	0.2
Boehringer Ingelheim Int [DE]	1	0.2
Brain Products Gmbh [DE]	1	0.2
Braingate Co LLC [US]	1	0.2
	1	0.2
Brainlab Ag [DE]	1	0.2
Cagent Vascular LLC [US]		
Ceramoptec Ind INC [US]	1	0.2
Circuit Therapeutics INC [US]	1	0.2
Cuboid Associates LLC [US]	1	0.2
Dts Lab Co LTD [KR]	1	0.2
Eveon [FR]	1	0.2
Eyesense Ag [CH]	1	0.2
Fe3 Medical INC [US]	1	0.2
Flugen INC [US]	1	0.2
Galderma Pharma Sa [CH]	1	0.2
Gerresheimer Regensburg Gmbh [DE]	1	0.2
Glaxosmithkline Biologicals Sa [BE]	1	0.2
Hewlett-Packard Development	1	0.2
Company Lp [US]	4	0.0
Hon Hai Prec Ind Co LTD [TW]	1	0.2
Hospira INC [US]	1	0.2
Imec [BE]	1	0.2
Imprint Pharm LTD [GB]	1	0.2
INCyto Co LTD [KR]	1	0.2
Innoture Limited [GB]	1	0.2
Innovatech LLC [US]	1	0.2
Jds Uniphase Corp [US]	1	0.2
Kibur Medicali INC [US]	1	0.2
Kmg Pharma LLC [US]	1	0.2
Kochamba Family Trust [US]	1	0.2
Kumetrix INC [US]	1	0.2
Labo Juversa Co LTD [JP]	1	0.2

Lenovo Enterprose Solutions [SG]	1	0.2
Lightnix INC [JP]	1	0.2
Lilly Co Eli [US]	1	0.2
Lincoln Diagnostics INC [US]	1	0.2
Lohmann Therapie Syst Lts [DE]	1	0.2
Lotte Chemical Corporation [KR]	1	0.2
Lts Lohmann Therapie-Systeme Ag	1	0.2
[DE]	I	0.2
Lumenis LTD [IL]	1	0.2
Lynntech INC [US]	1	0.2
Medimop Medical Projects LTD [IL]	1	0.2
Medmetics LLC [US]	1	0.2
Medtronic INC [US]	1	0.2
Merial INC [US]	1	0.2
Microdermics INC [CA]	1	0.2
Microhelix INC [US]	1	0.2
Microlin LLC [US]	1	0.2
Mindera Corp [US]	1	0.2
Mirus LLC [US]	1	0.2
Modular Bionics INC [US]	1	0.2
Mt Derm Gmbh [DE]	1	0.2
Nabtesco Corporation [JP]	1	0.2
Nanbu Plastics Co LTD [JP]	1	0.2
Nano Device and System Res INC [JP]	1	0.2
Needle Holding B V U [NL]	1	0.2
Nellcor Puritan Bennett LLC [US]	1	0.2
Nemaura Pharma Limited [GB]	1	0.2
Neuronexus Technologies INC [US]	1	0.2
New Permanent Makeup LTD [IL]	1	0.2
New World Pharmaceuticals LLC [US]	1	0.2
Noven Pharma [US]	1	0.2
Nurim Wellness Co LTD [KR]	1	0.2
Ormedix INC [US]	1	0.2
Oxyband Technologies INC [US]	1	0.2
Pacesetter INC [US]	1	0.2
Palo Alto Res Ct INC [US]	1	0.2
Panace Co LTD [KR]	1	0.2
Path Scientific LLC [US]	1	0.2
Perosphere INC [US]	1	0.2
Pharmasens Ag [US]	1	0.2
Poc Microsolutions SI [ES]	1	0.2
Precisense A/S [DK]	1	0.2
Profusa INC [US]	1	0.2
Psimedica LTD [GB]	1	0.2
Qinetiq LTD [GB]	1	0.2
	I	0.2

Qualcomm INC [US]	1	0.2
Ripple LLC [US]	1	0.2
Sano Intelligence INC [US]	1	0.2
Semitechnologies Limited [GB]	1	0.2
Setagon INC [US]	1	0.2
Shanghai Xinshenpai Tech Co LTD [CN]	1	0.2
Siemens Aktiengesellschaft [DE]	1	0.2
Silicon Microdevices [US]	1	0.2
Sorrento Therapeutics INC [US]	1	0.2
Spectrx INC [US]	1	0.2
Teknologian Tutkimuskeskus Vtt [FI]	1	0.2
Texmac INC [US]	1	0.2
Therafuse INC [US]	1	0.2
Transderm INC [US]	1	0.2
Ultradent Products INC [US]	1	0.2
Velcro Ind [NL]	1	0.2
Verndari INC [US]	1	0.2
Versi Group LLC	1	0.2
Virginia Tech Intellectual Properties INC [US]	1	0.2
Visage Sculpture Pllc [US]	1	0.2
Zynerba Pharmaceuticals INC [US]	1	0.2

Inventors	Number of issued patents	Percent of issued patents
Cormier, Michel J N	23	3.1
Pettis, Ronald J	23	3.1
Trautman, Joseph C	20	2.7
Prausnitz, Mark R	16	2.1
Sherman, Faiz F	16	2.1
Gartstein, Vladimir	15	2.0
Ross, Russell F	14	1.9
Daddona, Peter E	13	1.7
Mcallister, Devin V	13	1.7
Tokumoto, Seiji	13	1.7
Allen, Mark G	10	1.3
Eppstein, Jonathan A	10	1.3
Ogura, Makoto	10	1.3
Owens, Grover David	10	1.3
Yeshurun, Yehoshua	10	1.3
Yuzhakov, Vadim V	10	1.3
Ferguson, Dennis E	9	1.2
Frederickson, Franklyn L	9	1.2
Lin, Wei-Qi	9	1.2
Martin, Frank E	9	1.2
Mikszta, John A	9	1.2
Ameri, Mahmoud	8	1.1
Chickering, lii Donald E	8	1.1
Davis, Shawn	8	1.1
Haghgooie, Ramin	8	1.1
Harvey, Noel G	8	1.1
Hefetz, Meir	8	1.1
Kendall, Mark Anthony Fernance	8	1.1
Lisy, Frederick J	8	1.1
Mcrae, Stuart	8	1.1
Rendon, Stanley	8	1.1
Singh, Parminder	8	1.1
Worsham, Robert Wade	8	1.1
Alarcon, Jason B	7	0.9
Emery, Jeffrey L	7	0.9
Escutia, Raul	7	0.9
Gonnelli, Robert R	7	0.9
Haider, M Ishaq	7	0.9
Holmes, Elizabeth A	7	0.9
Johnson, Peter R	7	0.9
Keenan, Richard L	7	0.9
Klemm, Steven R	7	0.9

 Table S10. List of inventors ranked by the number of issued US patents on microneedles.

Lastovich, Alexander G	7	0.9
Litherland, Craig M	7	0.9
Mcbride, Sterling E	7	0.9
Nayar, Satinder K	7	0.9
Pochardt, Donald L	7	0.9
Prince, Troy S	7	0.9
Schmidt, Robert N	7	0.9
Skebe, Gerard G	7	0.9
Stumber, Michael	7	0.9
Wilkinson, Bradley M	7	0.9
Zanzucchi, Peter J	7	0.9
Bernstein, Howard	6	0.8
Chen, Guohua	6	0.8
Dekker, lii John P	6	0.8
Down, James A	6	0.8
Hamamoto, Hidetoshi	6	0.8
Imran, Mir	6	0.8
Kwon, Sung-Yun	6	0.8
Maa, Yuh-Fun	6	0.8
Michelman, Mark	6	0.8
Palasis, Maria	6	0.8
Sugimura, Hiroshi	6	0.8
Tomono, Takao	6	0.8
Zarnitsyn, Vladimir	6	0.8
Baker, Andrew	5	0.7
Bayramov, Danir F	5	0.7
Berenschot, J W	5	0.7
Bowers, Danny Lee	5	0.7
Burton, Scott A	5	0.7
Chen, Xianfeng	5	0.7
Christensen, Corey	5	0.7
De, Boer Meint	5	0.7
Dipierro, Guy	5	0.7
Jung, Hyung II	5	0.7
Kuwahara, Tetsuji	5	0.7
Levinson, Douglas A	5	0.7
Naimark, Wendy	5	0.7
Ng, Chin-Yee	5	0.7
Roy, Shaunak	5	0.7
Suzuki, Gaku	5	0.7
Andino, Rafael Victor	4	0.5
Arias, Francisco	4	0.5
Benson, Peter T	4	0.5
Brooks, Christopher John	4	0.5
	4	0.5
Cachemaille, Astrid	4	0.0

Cannehan, Francois	4	0.5
Cantor, Adam S	4	0.5
Che, Yanlong	4	0.5
Chowdhury, Dewan Fazlul Hoque	4	0.5
David, Moses M	4	0.5
Dobbs, James N	4	0.5
Edelhauser, Henry F	4	0.5
Edwards, Thayne L	4	0.5
Erskine, Timothy	4	0.5
Gardeniers, J G E	4	0.5
Gharib, Morteza	4	0.5
Giannos, Steven A	4	0.5
Hyde, Roderick A	4	0.5
Ishikawa, Muriel Y	4	0.5
Kamiyama, Fumio	4	0.5
Kare, Jordin T	4	0.5
Kobayashi, Katsunori	4	0.5
Kodama, Yoshihiro	4	0.5
Kraft, Joseph Wayne	4	0.5
Laermer, Franz	4	0.5
Leuthardt, Eric C	4	0.5
Matriano, James A	4	0.5
Miller, Phillip	4	0.5
Naheed, Shabana	4	0.5
Neukermans, Armand P	4	0.5
O'hagan, Derek	4	0.5
Okada, Seiki	4	0.5
Pathak, Chandrashekhar P	4	0.5
Polsky, Ronen	4	0.5
Prow, Tarl	4	0.5
Quan, Ying-Shu	4	0.5
Raskas, Eric J	4	0.5
Sage, Burton H	4	0.5
Scholten, Dick	4	0.5
Seward, Kirk Patrick	4	0.5
Simmers, Ryan P	4	0.5
Sonderegger, Ralph	4	0.5
Theeuwes, Felix T	4	0.5
Wood, Jr Lowell L	4	0.5
Wood, Victoria Y H	4	0.5
Xu, Bai	4	0.5
Aceti, John G	3	0.4
Ao, Yi	3	0.4
Aria, Adrianus I	3	0.4
Barr, Lynn Mateel	3	0.4
Dall, Lyllil Walter	–	0.1

Boccuti, A David	3	0.4
Cafferata, Robert	3	0.4
Chandrasekaran, Shankar	3	0.4
Chen, Mei-Chin	3	0.4
Corman, Thierry	3	0.4
Dadgar, Maisam	3	0.4
Delamarche, Emmanuel	3	0.4
Delmore, Michael D	3	0.4
Ebefors, Thorbjoern	3	0.4
Eckberg, Eric A	3	0.4
Elkins, Lisa	3	0.4
Ferber, Richard H	3	0.4
Feyh, Ando	3	0.4
Fisher, Greg	3	0.4
Flaherty, J Christopher	3	0.4
Ghartey-Tagoe, Esi	3	0.4
Gonzalez-Zugasti, Javier	3	0.4
Gregory, Christopher C	3	0.4
Griss, Patrick	3	0.4
Gujral, Inder-Jeet	3	0.4
Haar, Hans-Peter	3	0.4
Howard, John	3	0.4
Huang, Juang-Tang	3	0.4
Humenik, James N	3	0.4
Hunter, Ian W	3	0.4
Hwang, Charles G	3	0.4
Ishibashi, Masaki	3	0.4
James, Scott	3	0.4
Kam, Kimberly	3	0.4
Kasahara, Seiji	3	0.4
Kato, Hiroyuki	3	0.4
Kipke, Daryl R	3	0.4
Klemm, Andy	3	0.4
Knutson, Gordon P	3	0.4
Lal, Amit	3	0.4
Lee, Kwang	3	0.4
Leong, Koon-Wah	3	0.4
Levesque, Steven F	3	0.4
Levin, Yotam	3	0.4
Lonky, Martin L	3	0.4
Lonky, Neal Marc	3	0.4
Machida, Kazuya	3	0.4
Marinkovich, M Peter	3	0.4
Mathias, Richard R	3	0.4
Mcgroddy-Goetz, Kathleen A	3	0.4

Messier, Bernadette	3	0.4
Miller, Richard L	3	0.4
Mitragotri, Samir	3	0.4
Moroney, Richard M	3	0.4
Morse, Christopher J	3	0.4
Na, Jongju	3	0.4
Narayan, Roger	3	0.4
Natarajan, Govindarajan	3	0.4
Newby, Mark	3	0.4
Normann, Richard A	3	0.4
Novakovic, Zoran	3	0.4
Ogawa, Shotaro	3	0.4
Olson, Lorin	3	0.4
Oyamada, Takayoshi	3	0.4
Ozdoganlar, Burak	3	0.4
Paliwal, Sumit	3	0.4
Park, Jung-Hwan	3	0.4
Partington, Scott	3	0.4
Patel, Samirkumar Rajnikant	3	0.4
Perrera, Christopher F	3	0.4
Pisano, Albert P	3	0.4
Powell, Kenneth G	3	0.4
Rajadas, Jayakumar	3	0.4
Ringsred, Ted K	3	0.4
Samiee, Ahmad P	3	0.4
Sansom, Elijah Bodhi	3	0.4
Sato, Toshiyuki	3	0.4
Shartle, Robert	3	0.4
Shiomitsu, Kazuhiko	3	0.4
Smith, Alan	3	0.4
Smith, Christopher F	3	0.4
Sohrab, Borzu	3	0.4
Stafford, Gary Ashley	3	0.4
Stemme, Goran	3	0.4
Takada, Kanji	3	0.4
Terahara, Takaaki	3	0.4
Trivella, Marco G	3	0.4
Tsekoun, Alexei G	3	0.4
Ueno, Masahiro	3	0.4
Wang, Chengwang	3	0.4
Wang, Joseph	3	0.4
Wilber, Judith Carol	3	0.4
Williams, Ronald	3	0.4
Windmiller, Joshua Ray	3	0.4
Wiwel, Timothy M	3	0.4

Wolter, James T	3	0.4
Yamada, Shinya	3	0.4
Yoo, Jesse	3	0.4
Yoshida, Junya	3	0.4
Young, Wendy A	3	0.4
Adachi, Hirotoshi	2	0.3
Alexander, Jason	2	0.3
Angel, Aimee B	2	0.3
Ansaldo, Alex	2	0.3
Arami, Shunsuke	2	0.3
Arsenault, Justin William	2	0.3
Asakura, Yoshihiro	2	0.3
Avrahami, Zohar	2	0.3
Bambury, Eoin	2	0.3
Bauer, Andrew Kent	2	0.3
Beebe, David J	2	0.3
Bhandari, Rajmohan	2	0.3
Bingham, Curt	2	0.3
Birgersson, Ulrik	2	0.3
Blicharz, Timothy M	2	0.3
Block, Barry	2	0.3
Brandwein, David H	2	0.3
Branner, Almut	2	0.3
Brazzle, John D	2	0.3
Brenchley, Paul Ernest Charles	2	0.3
Brittingham, John M	2	0.3
Brodnick, Donald Eugene	2	0.3
Bureau, Christophe	2	0.3
Burke, Brian	2	0.3
Buss, Brian	2	0.3
Cabiri, Oz	2	0.3
Canham, Leigh T	2	0.3
Carlson, Daniel H	2	0.3
Cassemeyer, Julia	2	0.3
Chang, Chih-Wei	2	0.3
Chang, Franklin J	2	0.3
Chang, Henry Ping	2	0.3
Chang, Yen-Chung	2	0.3
Chase, Kent B	2	0.3
Chase, Patty	2	0.3
Cho, Steve T	2	0.3
Choi, Hye-Ok	2	0.3
Cleary, Gary W	2	0.3
Coldren, Brett A	2	0.3
Cordero, Rafael M	2	0.3
		0.0

Cros, Florent Paul Marcel	2	0.3
Da, Ros Jerome	2	0.3
Dacey, Jr Ralph G	2	0.3
Decaria, Christine	2	0.3
Deem, Mark E	2	0.3
Delacruz, Anthony	2	0.3
Della, Rocca Gregory J	2	0.3
Derdeyn, Colin P	2	0.3
Desrochers, Dominique	2	0.3
Devoe, Robert J	2	0.3
Dimeglio, Ciro	2	0.3
Douglas, Joel S	2	0.3
Dowling, Joshua L	2	0.3
Duan, Daniel C	2	0.3
Eckhoff, Philip A	2	0.3
Erdos, Geza	2	0.3
Eriksson, Elof	2	0.3
Evans, John D	2	0.3
Falo, Jr Louis D	2	0.3
Fein, Seymour H	2	0.3
Fenn, Percy T	2	0.3
Fenton, Jeff	2	0.3
Fentress, James K	2	0.3
Fernando, Germain	2	0.3
Ferriter, Matthew S	2	0.3
Fleischman, Aaron J	2	0.3
Fleming, Patrick R	2	0.3
Foley, Conor	2	0.3
Frazier, A Bruno	2	0.3
Gabel, Jonathan B	2	0.3
Gadsby, Elizabeth Deibler	2	0.3
George, Ryan	2	0.3
Gerondale, Scott J	2	0.3
Gibbons, Ian	2	0.3
Gifford, lii Hanson S	2	0.3
Gilbert, Thomas J	2	0.3
Ginsberg, Barry	2	0.3
Gonzalez, Bernard A	2	0.3
Goodall, Eleanor V	2	0.3
Griggs, Julia	2	0.3
Groop, Kristin	2	0.3
Groop, Lawrence G	2	0.3
Gross, Yossi	2	0.3
Gulari, Mayurachat Ning	2	0.3
	2	0.3
Hadjicostis, Andreas N	Δ	0.3

Hagan, Luke	2	0.3
Harhen, Robert P	2	0.3
Harkins, Robert A	2	0.3
Harvey, Nephi	2	0.3
Henry, Sebastien	2	0.3
Herrmann, Robbert A	2	0.3
Herschkowitz, Samuel	2	0.3
Hill, Norman M	2	0.3
Hirshberg, David	2	0.3
Hossainy, Syed F A	2	0.3
Hwang, C Robin	2	0.3
Ingleby, J Lynn	2	0.3
Jina, Arvind N	2	0.3
Johnson, Bruce	2	0.3
Johnson, Juanita A	2	0.3
Johnson, Michael D	2	0.3
Jones, Jeffrey M	2	0.3
Jung, Moon Youn	2	0.3
Kaelvesten, Edvard	2	0.3
Kaestner, Scott A	2	0.3
Kahute, Trent John	2	0.3
Kamberi, Marika	2	0.3
Kaminaka, Kazuyoshi	2	0.3
Keister, Jamieson C	2	0.3
Kim, Hyunok Lynn	2	0.3
Kodgule, Mandar	2	0.3
Kono, Masaki	2	0.3
Kralick, Francis A	2	0.3
Kriksunov, Leo B	2	0.3
Ku, Edmond	2	0.3
Kurosu, Toshiaki	2	0.3
Lane, Alfred T	2	0.3
Langer, Robert S	2	0.3
Laurent, Phillipe	2	0.3
Lavi, Gilad	2	0.3
Lebouitz, Kyle S	2	0.3
Lee, Chung-Hoon	2	0.3
Lee, Dae Sik	2	0.3
Lewis, Stephanie Elaine	2	0.3
Lim, Chee Yen	2	0.3
List, Hans	2	0.3
Maekawa, Yasunori	2	0.3
Maeurer, Christian	2	0.3
Masaoka, Koichi	2	0.3
Matonick, John P	2	0.3

Matsudo, Toshiyuki	2	0.3
Matthews, Russell T	2	0.3
Mercanzini, Andre	2	0.3
Merilainen, Pekka	2	0.3
Mir, Jose	2	0.3
Mishima, Katsutoshi	2	0.3
Mitra, Sandip	2	0.3
Mochizuki, Aya	2	0.3
Moga, Benjamin J	2	0.3
Monahan, Larry A	2	0.3
Moravick, Keith	2	0.3
Morrissey, Anthony	2	0.3
Myhrvold, Nathan P	2	0.3
Nagale, Sandra	2	0.3
Nebrigic, Dragan Danilo	2	0.3
Neeves, Keith B	2	0.3
Negi, Sandeep	2	0.3
Noh, Hongseok	2	0.3
Noronha, Glenn	2	0.3
Nyam, Kofi	2	0.3
O'brien, lii Thomas Michael	2	0.3
O'connor, Scott A	2	0.3
Oda, Takashi	2	0.3
O'dea, John	2	0.3
Olbricht, William L	2	0.3
Orr, David E	2	0.3
Papp, Joseph	2	0.3
Parins, David Joseph	2	0.3
Park, Jin Woo	2	0.3
Park, Seon Hee	2	0.3
Patel, Samirkumar	2	0.3
Perez, Edward P	2	0.3
Pugh, Randall B	2	0.3
QI, Shize Daniel	2	0.3
Rajaraman, Swaminathan	2	0.3
Renaud, Philippe	2	0.3
Richman, Merle	2	0.3
Rivet, Dennis J	2	0.3
Roy, Shuvo	2	0.3
Saini, Arun	2	0.3
Saltzman, Mark W	2	0.3
Santini, John T Jr	2	0.3
Sawa, Kenichi	2	0.3
Sawyer, Andrew	2	0.3
Schleif, Larry A	2	0.3

Schwartz, Jerrod Joseph	2	0.3
Sefi, Yoel	2	0.3
Sellers, Scott	2	0.3
Seymour, John P	2	0.3
Simons, John K	2	0.3
Singh, Manmohan	2	0.3
Smith, Garrick D S	2	0.3
Smith, Michael A	2	0.3
Sohn, Ze Ev	2	0.3
Solzbacher, Florian	2	0.3
Sparks, Douglas Ray	2	0.3
Springborn, Uwe	2	0.3
Stockholm, Andrew J	2	0.3
Stoeber, Boris	2	0.3
Sullivan, Vincent J	2	0.3
Sumida, Tomoya	2	0.3
Sutter, Diane	2	0.3
Sutton, Douglas S	2	0.3
Swanson, John W	2	0.3
Sweeney, Elizabeth A	2	0.3
Takahashi, Melissa	2	0.3
Tambe, Nikhil Subhashchandra	2	0.3
Tannhauser, Robert J	2	0.3
Tobinaga, Yoshikazu	2	0.3
Todd, Chris	2	0.3
Tokarski, Jason M	2	0.3
Toyohara, Kiyotsuna	2	0.3
Trettin, David Jackson	2	0.3
Ulijn, Rein Vincent	2	0.3
Van, Wagenen Richard A	2	0.3
Waldman, Amir	2	0.3
Waldman, Anni Walt, David R	2	0.3
Wait, David K Weadock, Kevin S	2	0.3
	2	0.3
Wellman, Parris S	2	0.3
Wendorf, Janet	2	0.3
White, Daniel Williams, Steve	2	0.3
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2	0.3
Wills, Anjana Bhuta	2	0.3
Woodley, Wendy D	2	0.3
Wright, Cedric T	2	0.3
Yallambalsi, Sachidananda	2	0.3
Yamada, Yumiko	2	0.3
Yamamoto, Naoki	2	0.3
Yang, Hui Suk	2	0.3
Yang, Xiao Charles	<u> </u>	0.3

Young, Patrick J 2 0.3 Yu, Chris 2 0.3 Zander, Dennis 2 0.3 Zentgraf, John 2 0.3 Zhang, Ying 2 0.3 Zhengraf, John 2 0.3 Zhang, Ying 2 0.3 Zhang, Ying 2 0.3 Aarts, Arno 1 0.1 Aberg, Peter 1 0.1 Ackley, Donald E 1 0.1 Ackey, Contreras Niuris 1 0.1 Agarwal, Ajay 1 0.1 Agarwal, Ajay 1 0.1 Aktono, Hiroshi 1 0.1 Allen, John 1 0.1 Allen, John 1 0.1 Aller, Ominique Maria 1 0.1 Aller, Obminique Maria 1 0.1 Aller, John 1 0.1 Aller, John 1 0.1 Aller, John 1 0.1 Aller, Mohe <td< th=""><th>Yoon, Yong-Kyu</th><th>2</th><th>0.3</th></td<>	Yoon, Yong-Kyu	2	0.3
Yu, Chris 2 0.3 Zander, Dennis 2 0.3 Zentgraf, John 2 0.3 Zingr, Ying 2 0.3 Zipfel, Gregory J 2 0.3 Aarts, Arno 1 0.1 Aberg, Peter 1 0.1 Ackley, Donald E 1 0.1 Accosta, Contreras Niuris 1 0.1 Accosta, Contreras Niuris 1 0.1 Agairregabiria, Izaguirre Maria 1 0.1 Akao, Osanobu 1 0.1 Akao, Osanobu 1 0.1 Akao, Marobi 1 0.1 Akao, Osanobu 1 0.1 Akao, Osanobu 1 0.1 Akatimed, Hamad A 1 0.1 Altarac, Moti 1 0.1 Altarac, Moti 1 0.1 Altarac, Moti 1 0.1 Antarac, Moti 1 0.1 Antarac, Manuel 1 0.1		2	0.3
Zander, Dennis 2 0.3 Zentgraf, John 2 0.3 Zhang, Ying 2 0.3 Zipfel, Gregory J 2 0.3 Aarts, Arno 1 0.1 Aberg, Peter 1 0.1 Ackley, Donald E 1 0.1 Acosta, Contreras Niuris 1 0.1 Afanasewicz, Elizabeth A 1 0.1 Agarwal, Ajay 1 0.1 Aktomo, Hiroshi 1 0.1 Aktomo, Hiroshi 1 0.1 Aktomo, Hiroshi 1 0.1 Allen, John 1 0.1 Allen, John 1 0.1 Allerac, Moti 1 0.1 Arkato, Satoshi 1 0.1 Armari, Mahmo		2	0.3
Zentgraf, John 2 0.3 Zhang, Ying 2 0.3 Zipfel, Gregory J 2 0.3 Aarts, Arno 1 0.1 Aberg, Peter 1 0.1 Ackley, Donald E 1 0.1 Ackley, Donald E 1 0.1 Ackley, Donald E 1 0.1 Ackley, Contreras Niuris 1 0.1 Agarwal, Ajay 1 0.1 Agauregabiria, Izaguirre Maria 1 0.1 Aguirregabiria, Izaguirre Maria 1 0.1 Aktono, Hiroshi 1 0.1 Allison, W Robert 1 0.1 Allison, W Robert 1 0.1 Allison, W Robert 1 0.1 Alliarac, Moti 1 0.1 Alliarac, Moti 1 0.1 Alutrace, Manuel 1 0.1 Alutrace, Manuel 1 0.1 Antarac, Moti 1 0.1 Antarac, Joseph D 1 0.		2	0.3
Zhang, Ying 2 0.3 Zipfel, Gregory J 2 0.3 Aarts, Arno 1 0.1 Aberg, Peter 1 0.1 Ackley, Donald E 1 0.1 Ackley, Donald E 1 0.1 Ackey, Donald E 1 0.1 Ackey, Donald E 1 0.1 Ackey, Donald E 1 0.1 Agairregabiria, Izaguirre Maria 1 0.1 Akao, Osanobu 1 0.1 Akitomo, Hiroshi 1 0.1 Allen, John 1 0.1 Aller, John 1 0.1 Altarac, Moti 1 0.1 Altarac, Moti 1 0.1 Altaret, Dominique Maria 1 0.1 Altareter, Dominique Maria 1 0.1 Altareter, Manuel 1 0.1 Andrere, Maried A 1 0.1 Amkraut, Alfred A 1 0.1 Andrerer, Michael 1 0.1 </td <td>· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·</td> <td>2</td> <td>0.3</td>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2	0.3
Zipfel, Gregory J 2 0.3 Aarts, Arno 1 0.1 Aberg, Peter 1 0.1 Ackley, Donald E 1 0.1 Acosta, Contreras Niuris 1 0.1 Acosta, Contreras Niuris 1 0.1 Afanasewicz, Elizabeth A 1 0.1 Agarwal, Ajay 1 0.1 Aguirregabiria, Izaguirre Maria 1 0.1 Akao, Osanobu 1 0.1 Akitomo, Hiroshi 1 0.1 Akitomo, Hiroshi 1 0.1 Allen, John 1 0.1 Aller, John 1 0.1 Altrac, Moti 1 0.1 Altrac, Moti 1 0.1 Altrace, Dominique Maria 1 0.1 Altypeter, Dominique Maria 1 0.1 Altypeter, Dominique Maria 1 0.1 Altyaez-Icaza, Manuel 1 0.1 Andreer, Dan 1 0.1 Andreer, Michael		2	0.3
Image Image Image Aarts, Arno 1 0.1 Aberg, Peter 1 0.1 Ackley, Donald E 1 0.1 Acosta, Contreras Niuris 1 0.1 Acosta, Contreras Niuris 1 0.1 Agarwal, Ajay 1 0.1 Agarwal, Ajay 1 0.1 Agarwal, Ajay 1 0.1 Aguirregabiria, Izaguirre Maria 1 0.1 Akao, Osanobu 1 0.1 Aktomo, Hiroshi 1 0.1 Altarac, Moti 1 0.1 Arbere - Loan, Manuel 1 0.1 Armaro, Satoshi 1 0.1 Andreer, Michael 1 0.1 Andreer, Michael 1 0.1 Armeri, Mahmoud 1 0.1 <t< td=""><td></td><td>2</td><td>0.3</td></t<>		2	0.3
Aberg, Peter 1 0.1 Ackley, Donald E 1 0.1 Accasta, Contreras Niuris 1 0.1 Afanasewicz, Elizabeth A 1 0.1 Agarval, Ajay 1 0.1 Agarval, Ajay 1 0.1 Aguirregabiria, Izaguirre Maria 1 0.1 Akao, Osanobu 1 0.1 Aktomo, Hiroshi 1 0.1 Allen, John 1 0.1 Allen, Mobet 1 0.1 Altarac, Moti 1 0.1 Altarac, Moti 1 0.1 Altarac, Moti 1 0.1 Amerackaga 1 0.1 Armark 1 0.1 Amano, Satoshi		1	0.1
Ackley, Donald E 1 0.1 Acosta, Contreras Niuris 1 0.1 Afanasewicz, Elizabeth A 1 0.1 Agarwal, Ajay 1 0.1 Aguirregabiria, Izaguirre Maria 1 0.1 Akao, Osanobu 1 0.1 Akkitomo, Hiroshi 1 0.1 Akitomo, Hiroshi 1 0.1 Akitomo, Hiroshi 1 0.1 Akitomo, Hiroshi 1 0.1 Akitomo, Hiroshi 1 0.1 Allen, John 1 0.1 Allen, John 1 0.1 Allen, John 1 0.1 Allen, Mobert 1 0.1 Altarac, Moti 1 0.1 Altarac, Moti 1 0.1 Altyater, Chominique Maria 1 0.1 Altyater, Chominique Maria 1 0.1 Altyater, Moti 1 0.1 Altrace, Moti 1 0.1 Amano, Satoshi 1 0.1 Andrade, Joseph D 1 0.1 <t< td=""><td></td><td>1</td><td>0.1</td></t<>		1	0.1
Acosta, Contreras Niuris 1 0.1 Afanasewicz, Elizabeth A 1 0.1 Agarwal, Ajay 1 0.1 Aguirregabiria, Izaguirre Maria 1 0.1 Akao, Osanobu 1 0.1 Akiomo, Hiroshi 1 0.1 Akitomo, Hiroshi 1 0.1 Allen, John 1 0.1 Altarac, Moti 1 0.1 Altarac, Moti 1 0.1 Altarac, Manuel 1 0.1 Armano, Satoshi 1 0.1 Anderer, Michael 1 0.1 Armeri, Mah		1	0.1
Afanasewicz, Elizabeth A 1 0.1 Agarwal, Ajay 1 0.1 Aguirregabiria, Izaguirre Maria 1 0.1 Akao, Osanobu 1 0.1 Akitomo, Hiroshi 1 0.1 Akitomo, Hiroshi 1 0.1 Allen, John 1 0.1 Allen, Mamad A 1 0.1 Altarac, Moti 1 0.1 Altarac, Moti 1 0.1 Altarac, Moti 1 0.1 Altarac, Manuel 1 0.1 Armano, Satoshi 1 0.1 Andrer, Michael 1 0.1 Andrer, Marnoud 1 0.1 Armeri, Mahmoud		1	0.1
Agarwal, Ajay 1 0.1 Aguirregabiria, Izaguirre Maria 1 0.1 Akao, Osanobu 1 0.1 Akitomo, Hiroshi 1 0.1 Akitomo, Hiroshi 1 0.1 Allen, John 1 0.1 Allen, John 1 0.1 Allison, W Robert 1 0.1 Allen, Hamad A 1 0.1 Altrac, Moti 1 0.1 Altrac, Moti 1 0.1 Altrace, Joseph 1 0.1 Amkraut, Alfred A 1 0.1 Andersen, Dan 1 0.1 Armeri, Mahmoud 1 0.1 Arrow, Alexander 1 0.1 Arrow, Alexander 1 0.1 Astafi		1	0.1
Aguirregabiria, Izaguirre Maria 1 0.1 Akao, Osanobu 1 0.1 Akitomo, Hiroshi 1 0.1 Akitomo, Hiroshi 1 0.1 Allen, John 1 0.1 Altarac, Moti 1 0.1 Alvarez-Icaza, Manuel 1 0.1 Armano, Satoshi 1 0.1 Andrerer, Michael 1 0.1 Andresen, Dan 1 0.1 Arrow, Alexander 1 0.1 Arrow, Alexander		1	0.1
Akao, Osanobu 1 0.1 Akitomo, Hiroshi 1 0.1 Allen, John 1 0.1 Allison, W Robert 1 0.1 Allarac, Moti 1 0.1 Altarac, Moti 1 0.1 Altarac, Moti 1 0.1 Altypeter, Dominique Maria 1 0.1 Altypeter, Dominique Maria 1 0.1 Aluerez-Icaza, Manuel 1 0.1 Amano, Satoshi 1 0.1 Amkraut, Alfred A 1 0.1 Andersen, Dan 1 0.1 Andresen, Dan 1 0.1 Arrow, Alexander 1 0.1 Arrow, Alexander 1 0.1 Arrow, Alexander 1 0.1 Astafieva, Irina 1 0.1		1	
Akitomo, Hiroshi 1 0.1 Akitomo, Hiroshi 1 0.1 Allen, John 1 0.1 Allison, W Robert 1 0.1 Allarac, Moti 1 0.1 Altarac, Moti 1 0.1 Altarac, Moti 1 0.1 Altarac, Moti 1 0.1 Altarac, Moshe 1 0.1 Altarac, Moshe 1 0.1 Andrez-Icaza, Manuel 1 0.1 Amano, Satoshi 1 0.1 Amkraut, Alfred A 1 0.1 Andersen, Dan 1 0.1 Andresen, Dan 1 0.1 Arreri, Mahmoud 1 0.1 Arreri, Mahmoud 1 0.1 Arrow, Alexander 1 0.1 Askin, Ili Robert E 1 0.1			
Allen, John 1 0.1 Allen, John 1 0.1 Allen, John 1 0.1 Allen, John 1 0.1 Allen, Hamad A 1 0.1 Altarac, Moti 1 0.1 Altarac, Moshe 1 0.1 Alvarez-Icaza, Manuel 1 0.1 Amano, Satoshi 1 0.1 Amano, Satoshi 1 0.1 Amkraut, Alfred A 1 0.1 Anderer, Michael 1 0.1 Andersen, Dan 1 0.1 Andersen, Dan 1 0.1 Ardrade, Joseph D 1 0.1 Arrow, Alexander 1 0.1 Arya, Jaya 1 0.1 Astafieva, Irina			
Allison, W Robert 1 0.1 Allison, W Robert 1 0.1 Al-Lohedan, Hamad A 1 0.1 Altarac, Moti 1 0.1 Alvarez-Icaza, Manuel 1 0.1 Amano, Satoshi 1 0.1 Amano, Satoshi 1 0.1 Amderer, Michael 1 0.1 Anderer, Michael 1 0.1 Andrade, Joseph D 1 0.1 Arrow, Alexander 1 0.1 Arrow, Alexander 1 0.1 Arraw, Jaya 1 0.1 Astafieva, Irina 1 0.1 Backes, Larry P 1 0.1 Badam, Ramana Murthy 1 0.1 Bairamov, Danir 1 0.1 Bairamov, Danir			
Al-Lohedan, Hamad A 1 0.1 Altarac, Moti 1 0.1 Altarac, Moti 1 0.1 Alul, Moshe 1 0.1 Alul, Moshe 1 0.1 Alvarez-Icaza, Manuel 1 0.1 Amano, Satoshi 1 0.1 Amano, Satoshi 1 0.1 Amderer, Michael 1 0.1 Anderer, Michael 1 0.1 Andrade, Joseph D 1 0.1 Andrade, Joseph D 1 0.1 Arrow, Alexander 1 0.1 Arrow, Alexander 1 0.1 Arrow, Alexander 1 0.1 Arga Jaya 1 0.1 Arrow, Alexander 1 0.1 Askin, lii Robert E 1 0.1 Astafieva, Irina 1 0.1 Backes, Larry P 1 0.1 Baiamov, Danir 1 0.1 Baizamov, Danir 1 0.1 Baker, Christian 1 0.1 Baker, Clark R 1<		1	
Altarac, Moti 1 0.1 Altarac, Moti 1 0.1 Altypeter, Dominique Maria 1 0.1 Alu, Moshe 1 0.1 Alvarez-Icaza, Manuel 1 0.1 Amano, Satoshi 1 0.1 Amkraut, Alfred A 1 0.1 Anderer, Michael 1 0.1 Andersen, Dan 1 0.1 Andrade, Joseph D 1 0.1 Arrow, Alexander 1 0.1 Arrow, Alexander 1 0.1 Askin, Iii Robert E 1 0.1 Astafieva, Irina 1 0.1 Backes, Larry P 1 0.1 Badam, Ramana Murthy 1 0.1 Bairamov, Danir 1 0.1 Baker, Christian 1 0.1 Baker, Clark R 1 0.1 Baker, Clark R 1 0.1 Banar, Maria 1 0.1 Banar, Maria 1 0.1		1	
Altpeter, Dominique Maria 1 0.1 Alul, Moshe 1 0.1 Alul, Moshe 1 0.1 Alvarez-Icaza, Manuel 1 0.1 Amano, Satoshi 1 0.1 Amano, Satoshi 1 0.1 Amkraut, Alfred A 1 0.1 Andersen, Dan 1 0.1 Andresen, Dan 1 0.1 Andrade, Joseph D 1 0.1 Arrow, Alexander 1 0.1 Arrow, Alexander 1 0.1 Askin, Iii Robert E 1 0.1 Astafieva, Irina 1 0.1 Backes, Larry P 1 0.1 Badam, Ramana Murthy 1 0.1 Bairamov, Danir 1 0.1 Bairamov, Danir 1 0.1 Baker, Christian 1 0.1 Baker, Clark R 1 0.1 Baker, Clark R 1 0.1 Banar, Maria 1 0.1 <t< td=""><td>· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·</td><td></td><td></td></t<>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Alul, Moshe 1 0.1 Alvarez-Icaza, Manuel 1 0.1 Amano, Satoshi 1 0.1 Amano, Satoshi 1 0.1 Amkraut, Alfred A 1 0.1 Anderer, Michael 1 0.1 Andersen, Dan 1 0.1 Andrade, Joseph D 1 0.1 Armeri, Mahmoud 1 0.1 Arrow, Alexander 1 0.1 Arrow, Alexander 1 0.1 Arya, Jaya 1 0.1 Askin, Iii Robert E 1 0.1 Astafieva, Irina 1 0.1 Backes, Larry P 1 0.1 Badam, Ramana Murthy 1 0.1 Bae, Joon Ho 1 0.1 Bairamov, Danir 1 0.1 Bajaj, Vikram Singh 1 0.1 Baker, Christian 1 0.1 Baker, Clark R 1 0.1 Banar, Maria 1 0.1		1	
Alvarez-Icaza, Manuel 1 0.1 Amano, Satoshi 1 0.1 Amkraut, Alfred A 1 0.1 Anderer, Michael 1 0.1 Andersen, Dan 1 0.1 Andersen, Dan 1 0.1 Andersen, Dan 1 0.1 Andersen, Dan 1 0.1 Armeri, Mahmoud 1 0.1 Armeri, Mahmoud 1 0.1 Arrow, Alexander 1 0.1 Arya, Jaya 1 0.1 Astafieva, Irina 1 0.1 Backes, Larry P 1 0.1 Badam, Ramana Murthy 1 0.1 Badam, Ramana Murthy 1 0.1 Bairamov, Danir 1 0.1 Bairamov, Danir 1 0.1 Baker, Christian 1 0.1 Baker, Clark R 1 0.1 Baker, Clark R 1 0.1 Banar, Maria 1 0.1	•		
Amano, Satoshi 1 0.1 Amkraut, Alfred A 1 0.1 Anderer, Michael 1 0.1 Andersen, Dan 1 0.1 Andrade, Joseph D 1 0.1 Armeri, Mahmoud 1 0.1 Armeri, Mahmoud 1 0.1 Arrow, Alexander 1 0.1 Arrow, Alexander 1 0.1 Arya, Jaya 1 0.1 Askin, Iii Robert E 1 0.1 Astafieva, Irina 1 0.1 Backes, Larry P 1 0.1 Badam, Ramana Murthy 1 0.1 Baadam, Ramana Murthy 1 0.1 Bairamov, Danir 1 0.1 Bairamov, Danir 1 0.1 Baker, Christian 1 0.1 Baker, Clark R 1 0.1 Baker, Clark R 1 0.1 Banar, Maria 1 0.1 Banar, Maria 1 0.1		1	
Amkraut, Alfred A 1 0.1 Anderer, Michael 1 0.1 Andersen, Dan 1 0.1 Andrade, Joseph D 1 0.1 Armeri, Mahmoud 1 0.1 Armeri, Mahmoud 1 0.1 Arrow, Alexander 1 0.1 Arrow, Alexander 1 0.1 Arya, Jaya 1 0.1 Askin, lii Robert E 1 0.1 Askin, lii Robert E 1 0.1 Backes, Larry P 1 0.1 Badam, Ramana Murthy 1 0.1 Bairamov, Danir 1 0.1 Bairamov, Danir 1 0.1 Bairamov, Danir 1 0.1 Baker, Christian 1 0.1 Baker, Clark R 1 0.1 Baker, Sasha H 1 0.1 Banar, Maria 1 0.1 Banar, Maria 1 0.1		1	
Anderer, Michael 1 0.1 Andersen, Dan 1 0.1 Andersen, Dan 1 0.1 Andrade, Joseph D 1 0.1 Armeri, Mahmoud 1 0.1 Arrow, Alexander 1 0.1 Arrow, Alexander 1 0.1 Arya, Jaya 1 0.1 Askin, Iii Robert E 1 0.1 Astafieva, Irina 1 0.1 Backes, Larry P 1 0.1 Badam, Ramana Murthy 1 0.1 Bae, Joon Ho 1 0.1 Bairamov, Danir 1 0.1 Bairamov, Danir 1 0.1 Baker, Christian 1 0.1 Baker, Clark R 1 0.1 Baker, Clark R 1 0.1 Bakhru, Sasha H 1 0.1 Banar, Maria 1 0.1 Bang, Si-Yeol 1 0.1		1	0.1
Andersen, Dan 1 0.1 Andrade, Joseph D 1 0.1 Armeri, Mahmoud 1 0.1 Arrow, Alexander 1 0.1 Arya, Jaya 1 0.1 Askin, lii Robert E 1 0.1 Astafieva, Irina 1 0.1 Backes, Larry P 1 0.1 Badam, Ramana Murthy 1 0.1 Bae, Joon Ho 1 0.1 Bairamov, Danir 1 0.1 Baker, Christian 1 0.1 Baker, Clark R 1 0.1 Bahru, Sasha H 1 0.1 Banar, Maria 1 0.1		1	
Andrade, Joseph D 1 0.1 Armeri, Mahmoud 1 0.1 Arrow, Alexander 1 0.1 Arya, Jaya 1 0.1 Arya, Jaya 1 0.1 Askin, Iii Robert E 1 0.1 Astafieva, Irina 1 0.1 Backes, Larry P 1 0.1 Badam, Ramana Murthy 1 0.1 Badam, Ramana Murthy 1 0.1 Bae, Joon Ho 1 0.1 Bairamov, Danir 1 0.1 Bajaj, Vikram Singh 1 0.1 Baker, Christian 1 0.1 Baker, Clark R 1 0.1 Bahru, Sasha H 1 0.1 Banar, Maria 1 0.1 Bang, Si-Yeol 1 0.1		1	0.1
Armeri, Mahmoud 1 0.1 Arrow, Alexander 1 0.1 Arya, Jaya 1 0.1 Arya, Jaya 1 0.1 Askin, Iii Robert E 1 0.1 Astafieva, Irina 1 0.1 Backes, Larry P 1 0.1 Badam, Ramana Murthy 1 0.1 Badam, Ramana Murthy 1 0.1 Bae, Joon Ho 1 0.1 Bairamov, Danir 1 0.1 Bajaj, Vikram Singh 1 0.1 Baker, Christian 1 0.1 Baker, Clark R 1 0.1 Bakhru, Sasha H 1 0.1 Banar, Maria 1 0.1 Bang, Si-Yeol 1 0.1		1	0.1
Arrow, Alexander 1 0.1 Arya, Jaya 1 0.1 Arya, Jaya 1 0.1 Askin, lii Robert E 1 0.1 Astafieva, Irina 1 0.1 Astafieva, Irina 1 0.1 Backes, Larry P 1 0.1 Badam, Ramana Murthy 1 0.1 Badam, Ramana Murthy 1 0.1 Bae, Joon Ho 1 0.1 Bairamov, Danir 1 0.1 Bairamov, Danir 1 0.1 Baker, Christian 1 0.1 Baker, Clark R 1 0.1 Baker, Clark R 1 0.1 Bakhru, Sasha H 1 0.1 Banar, Maria 1 0.1 Banar, Maria 1 0.1		1	0.1
Arya, Jaya 1 0.1 Askin, lii Robert E 1 0.1 Astafieva, Irina 1 0.1 Backes, Larry P 1 0.1 Badam, Ramana Murthy 1 0.1 Badam, Ramana Murthy 1 0.1 Bae, Joon Ho 1 0.1 Bairamov, Danir 1 0.1 Bajaj, Vikram Singh 1 0.1 Baker, Christian 1 0.1 Baker, Clark R 1 0.1 Bahru, Sasha H 1 0.1 Banar, Maria 1 0.1 Bang, Si-Yeol 1 0.1		1	
Askin, Iii Robert E 1 0.1 Astafieva, Irina 1 0.1 Backes, Larry P 1 0.1 Badam, Ramana Murthy 1 0.1 Badam, Ramana Murthy 1 0.1 Bae, Joon Ho 1 0.1 Bairamov, Danir 1 0.1 Bajaj, Vikram Singh 1 0.1 Baker, Christian 1 0.1 Baker, Clark R 1 0.1 Bahkru, Sasha H 1 0.1 Banar, Maria 1 0.1 Bang, Si-Yeol 1 0.1		1	0.1
Astafieva, Irina10.1Backes, Larry P10.1Badam, Ramana Murthy10.1Bae, Joon Ho10.1Bairamov, Danir10.1Bajaj, Vikram Singh10.1Baker, Christian10.1Baker, Clark R10.1Banar, Maria10.1Bang, Si-Yeol10.1		1	0.1
Backes, Larry P 1 0.1 Badam, Ramana Murthy 1 0.1 Bae, Joon Ho 1 0.1 Bairamov, Danir 1 0.1 Bajaj, Vikram Singh 1 0.1 Baker, Christian 1 0.1 Baker, Clark R 1 0.1 Banar, Maria 1 0.1 Bang, Si-Yeol 1 0.1		1	0.1
Badam, Ramana Murthy10.1Badam, Ramana Murthy10.1Bae, Joon Ho10.1Bairamov, Danir10.1Bajaj, Vikram Singh10.1Baker, Christian10.1Baker, Clark R10.1Bakhru, Sasha H10.1Banar, Maria10.1Bang, Si-Yeol10.1		1	0.1
Bae, Joon Ho10.1Bairamov, Danir10.1Bajaj, Vikram Singh10.1Baker, Christian10.1Baker, Clark R10.1Bakhru, Sasha H10.1Banar, Maria10.1Bang, Si-Yeol10.1		1	0.1
Bairamov, Danir10.1Bajaj, Vikram Singh10.1Baker, Christian10.1Baker, Clark R10.1Bakhru, Sasha H10.1Banar, Maria10.1Bang, Si-Yeol10.1	•	1	0.1
Bajaj, Vikram Singh10.1Baker, Christian10.1Baker, Clark R10.1Bakhru, Sasha H10.1Banar, Maria10.1Bang, Si-Yeol10.1		1	0.1
Baker, Christian10.1Baker, Clark R10.1Bakhru, Sasha H10.1Banar, Maria10.1Bang, Si-Yeol10.1		1	0.1
Baker, Clark R 1 0.1 Bakhru, Sasha H 1 0.1 Banar, Maria 1 0.1 Bang, Si-Yeol 1 0.1		1	0.1
Bakhru, Sasha H10.1Banar, Maria10.1Bang, Si-Yeol10.1		1	0.1
Banar, Maria 1 0.1 Bang, Si-Yeol 1 0.1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1	
Bang, Si-Yeol10.1		1	0.1
		1	
	Banks, Stan Lee	1	0.1
Bartholomeusz, Daniel A 1 0.1			

Baudner, Barbara	1	0.1
Beaudry, Christian	1	0.1
Beck, Patricia A	1	0.1
Bennison, Corrie	1	0.1
Berenschot, Erwin	1	0.1
Berenson, Ronald J	1	0.1
Berry, Dennis G	1	0.1
Besio, Walter G	1	0.1
Bhansali, Shekhar	1	0.1
,	1	0.1
Biemans, Rogier	1	0.1
Birch, Matthew	1	0.1
Blanco, Barro Fco Javier	1	0.1
Blum, Timothy M		
Bock, Carl Randolph	1	0.1
Boden, Mark	1	0.1
Boom, Gerrit	1	0.1
Borzelleca, David	1	0.1
Bourne, Douglas Joseph Scott	1	0.1
Bowerman, Wendy E	1	0.1
Bragg, Julian A	1	0.1
Braun, Stephen Wilson	1	0.1
Bright, Rachel	1	0.1
Brouwers, Arnoldus Maria	1	0.1
Brouwers, Bouke Jan	1	0.1
Brumer, Rebecca	1	0.1
Burd, Tammy	1	0.1
Burke, Sean M	1	0.1
Buschmann, Johannes Paul	1	0.1
Butt, Logan	1	0.1
Buysman, John Jason	1	0.1
Byeon, Young Dook	1	0.1
Byron, Mary	1	0.1
Bystrova, Svetlana Nikolajevna	1	0.1
Byun, Sang Won	1	0.1
Campbell, Thomas A	1	0.1
Cao, Michael T	1	0.1
Capek, Petr	1	0.1
Casey, Brendan E	1	0.1
Castracane, James	1	0.1
Catargi, Bogdan	1	0.1
Cetinkaya, Cetin	1	0.1
Chan, Ngar W	1	0.1
Chang, Eng-Pi	1	0.1
Chang, Eng-Pi Chang, Hsueh-Chia	1	0.1
	1	0.1
Chang, Kai-Cheng	'	0.1

Chao, Paul C-P	1	0.1
Chapman, Matthew	1	0.1
Charpentier, Gilles	1	0.1
Chen, Chang-Hsiao	1	0.1
Chen, Dong-Hwang	1	0.1
Chen, Kuo-Hua	1	0.1
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1	0.1
Chen, Mei Ma Chen, Michael	1	0.1
Chen, Wei	1	0.1
· · · · ·	1	0.1
Chen, Yanfeng	1	0.1
Chen, Yu-Han	1	0.1
Cheng, Cheng	1	0.1
Chiang, Yu-Wei		
Chiou, Jin-Chem	1	0.1
Chiou, Jin-Chern	1	0.1
Chitre, Yougandh	1	0.1
Chiyama, Masateru	1	0.1
Cho, Dong-II	1	0.1
Cho, Sin Kil	1	0.1
Choi, Chulmin	1	0.1
Choi, Hannah H	1	0.1
Choi, Jin Kyu	1	0.1
Choi, John S	1	0.1
Choi, Seong-O	1	0.1
Chou, Yu-Kon	1	0.1
Chu, Li Yang	1	0.1
Chu, Philip Y Z	1	0.1
Chuang, Hsiao K	1	0.1
Chuang, Shih-Chang	1	0.1
Chung, Bong Hyun	1	0.1
Clark, Gregory Arthur	1	0.1
Clarke, Graham M	1	0.1
Colburn, David J	1	0.1
Condeelis, John	1	0.1
Connelly, Robert I	1	0.1
Consigny, Paul M	1	0.1
Coppeta, Jonathan R	1	0.1
Coppola, Sara	1	0.1
Cox, Timothy I	1	0.1
Crichton, Michael Lawrence	1	0.1
Crocker, Peter John	1	0.1
Danielson, Michael	1	0.1
Daub, Martina	1	0.1
Davalian, Dariush	1	0.1
Davidson, Marc	1	0.1
Daviusuii, ividiu	· ·	0.1

Dean, Cheryl	1	0.1
Deboer, Meint Jelle	1	0.1
Demas, Vasiliki	1	0.1
Demuth, Peter	1	0.1
Deng, Xiaopei	1	0.1
Determan, Amy S	1	0.1
Dewitt, Kristopher Daniel	1	0.1
Dickerson, Tobin J	1	0.1
Ding, Zhongli	1	0.1
Domanski, Maciej	1	0.1
Domroese, Michael K	1	0.1
Donnay, Rafael C	1	0.1
Donnelly, Ryan F	1	0.1
Donoghue, John Philip	1	0.1
Douroumis, Dionysios	1	0.1
Downing, Anthony	1	0.1
D'souza, Martin J	1	0.1
Duda, Jessica L	1	0.1
Dyer, Robert J	1	0.1
Edwards, Bruce P	1	0.1
Egawa, Shigenobu	1	0.1
Egert, Daniel	1	0.1
Eggert, Joel	1	0.1
Eigemann, Jutta	1	0.1
Elias, Anastasia	1	0.1
Ellis, Jeffrey	1	0.1
Elmaleh, David R	1	0.1
El-Nounou, Fozan	1	0.1
Emery, Maria R	1	0.1
Eng, Kellee	1	0.1
Entenberg, David	1	0.1
Erdogan-Haug, Belma	1	0.1
Erickson, Luther E	1	0.1
Eriksson, Anders	1	0.1
Esposito, Eliana	1	0.1
Eum, Nyeon Sik	1	0.1
Eusemann, Michael	1	0.1
Ezkerra, Fernandez Aitor	1	0.1
Falconer, Robert John	1	0.1
Fan, Guang-Chyeng	1	0.1
Fan, Shou-Shan	1	0.1
Fang, Weileun	1	0.1
Farquhar, David	1	0.1
Fedder, Gary K	1	0.1
Fei, Jiangfeng	1	0.1
	1	ı

Feldman, Marc D	1	0.1
Ferraro, Pietro	1	0.1
Fields, Kathy A	1	0.1
Fields, Kathy	1	0.1
Finizio, Andrea	1	0.1
Fischer, Dan E	1	0.1
Fisher, David S	1	0.1
Fisher, Mark James	1	0.1
	1	0.1
Flemming, Jeb	1	0.1
Fofonoff, Timothy A	1	0.1
Foo, Xiang Jie Cyrus	1	0.1
Ford, Thomas D R	1	0.1
Francis, Joseph T	1	0.1
Freeman, Dominique M	1	0.1
Fricano, Glenn		
Frits, Michael J	1	0.1
Fruchtman, Gil	1	0.1
Fu, Chien-Chung	1	0.1
Fudoji, Ryusuke	1	0.1
Fujiwara, Takeyoshi	1	0.1
Fukuda, Mitsuo	1	0.1
Fulda, John C	1	0.1
Fuller, Jason E	1	0.1
Furukawa, Shinya	1	0.1
Gagnon, Zachary	1	0.1
Gale, Robert M	1	0.1
Gallorini, Simona	1	0.1
Gao, Feng	1	0.1
Gao, Jing	1	0.1
Garcia, Carrodeaguas Raul	1	0.1
Garcia, De Castro Andrews Arcadio	1	0.1
Ge, Haixiong	1	0.1
Geiger, Wolfgang	1	0.1
Genosar, Amir	1	0.1
Gertsek, Marina	1	0.1
Geser, Johannes	1	0.1
Giasolli, Robert	1	0.1
Gifford, Raeann	1	0.1
Giletto, Anthony	1	0.1
Gilgunn, Peter J	1	0.1
Gill, Harvinder Singh	1	0.1
Godshall, Ned Allen	1	0.1
Goldkuhl, Fredrik	1	0.1
Golinski, Miroslaw Jerzy	1	0.1
Golubovic-Liakopoulos, Nevenka	1	0.1

Gong, Ping	1	0.1
Goravar, Shivappa	1	0.1
Greenstein, Michael	1	0.1
Grilli, Simonetta	1	0.1
Gu, Shiqun	1	0.1
Guillory, Kenneth S	1	0.1
Guiney, Patrick	1	0.1
Gunnarsson, Marcus	1	0.1
Guy, Owen	1	0.1
Gyory, J Richard	1	0.1
Gysbers, Jerome E	1	0.1
Hadvary, Paul	1	0.1
Haenel, Heinz	1	0.1
Häfeli, Urs Otto	1	0.1
Hafezi, Hooman	1	0.1
Hagino, Kei	1	0.1
Haider, M I	1	0.1
Hainley, Ryan	1	0.1
Hall, Weldon	1	0.1
Halpern, Ian Loren	1	0.1
Hamabuchi, Takuya	1	0.1
Hammell, Dana Carmel	1	0.1
Hammond, Paula T	1	0.1
Han, Ki-Soo	1	0.1
Han, Man-Hee	1	0.1
Hansen, Kristen J	1	0.1
Hansen, Peter	1	0.1
Hansjorg, Tschirky	1	0.1
Hartlep, Andreas	1	0.1
Hasan, Sartaj Tabassum	1	0.1
Hatch, Michael R	1	0.1
Hatsopoulos, Nicholas George	1	0.1
Hayes, Ryan T	1	0.1
Heil, Jr Ronald W	1	0.1
Heimala, Paeivi	1	0.1
Hein, Douglas S	1	0.1
Hein, Jr Gary L	1	0.1
Henderson, Daniel R	1	0.1
Henkel, Erin Koch	1	0.1
Herbrechtsmeier, Peter	1	0.1
Herman, Stephen J	1	0.1
Herndon, Terry O	1	0.1
Hetke, Jamille Farraye	1	0.1
Heuser, Karsten	1	0.1
Hiatt, Scott D	1	0.1

Higo, Naruhito	1	0.1
Hirsch, Russell	1	0.1
Hokkanen, Ari	1	0.1
Hong, Dae-Hoon	1	0.1
Horton, Kristin	1	0.1
Hosseini, Kamran	1	0.1
Houtchens, Graham	1	0.1
Howard, Jeffery Lynn	1	0.1
Hu, Jia	1	0.1
Huang, Chow Chi	1	0.1
Huang, Heng-Chun	1	0.1
Huang, Joyce	1	0.1
Huang, Kejian	1	0.1
Huang, Shih-Fang	1	0.1
Huen, Ngar Yee	1	0.1
Hugo, Robert	1	0.1
Hung, Chen-Chun	1	0.1
Huntley, Douglas A	1	0.1
Hye-Ok, Choi	1	0.1
Hyun, Dong-Hun	1	0.1
Iger, Yoni	1	0.1
Ikari, Keizo	1	0.1
Ikeda, Yumiko	1	0.1
Imanidis, Georgios	1	0.1
Ingersoll, David	1	0.1
Inou, Akinori	1	0.1
Irvine, Darrell	1	0.1
Ishibashi, Kiyoshi	1	0.1
Ishikawa, Yuji	1	0.1
Isobe, Kazuki	1	0.1
Jackson, Thomas	1	0.1
Jacobs, Jay D	1	0.1
James, Terry L	1	0.1
Jang, Ji Hye	1	0.1
Jenkins, Derek William Kenneth	1	0.1
Jennewine, R Curtis	1	0.1
Jennifer, Kissner	1	0.1
Jeong, Do-Hyeon	1	0.1
Jevtitch, Milan Marcel	1	0.1
Jiang, Ninghao	1	0.1
Jin, Sungho	1	0.1
Jin, Tuo	1	0.1
Johnson, Erik J	1	0.1
Johnson, Mitchell A F	1	0.1
Johnson, Tom	1	0.1
		1

Jones, Jeremy	1	0.1
Joshi, Ashok V	1	0.1
Jung, A Michael	1	0.1
	1	0.1
Jung, Jae-Hyo	1	0.1
Kabata, Koki	1	0.1
Kaede, Chika	1	0.1
Kalvesten, Edvard	1	0.1
Kang, Dong Hwan	1	0.1
Kascak, Uros		0.1
Kaskoun, Kenneth	1	
Kaspar, Roger L	1	0.1
Kawai, Kazuyoshi	1	0.1
Kedl, Ross M	1	0.1
Keely-Templin, Catherine	1	0.1
Kellerman, Donald	1	0.1
Kenney, Christopher J	1	0.1
Khaled, Imad	1	0.1
Khanna, Puneet	1	0.1
Kiihamaeki, Jyrki	1	0.1
Kikkawa, Yasuo	1	0.1
Kim, Chang-Hyun	1	0.1
Kim, Hyunok K	1	0.1
Kim, Jung-Dong	1	0.1
Kim, Kabseog	1	0.1
Kim, Kyung-Ho	1	0.1
Kim, Miroo	1	0.1
Kim, Tae Kyoung	1	0.1
Kim, Youn-Tae	1	0.1
Kimmell, Steven D	1	0.1
Kingsford, Howard A	1	0.1
Kinney, Melissa	1	0.1
Kirby, Andrew James	1	0.1
Kiser, Emest J	1	0.1
Kiser, Ernest J	1	0.1
Kitagawa, Tomoya	1	0.1
Klemm, Andrew Richard	1	0.1
Kluge, Joern	1	0.1
Knoll, Meinhard	1	0.1
Ko, Pey-Jiun	1	0.1
Kochamba, Gary Steven	1	0.1
Kohli, Amit	1	0.1
Kohno, Kazuki	1	0.1
Kong, Kc	1	0.1
Koo, Kyo-In	1	0.1
Kosoglu, Mehmet Alpaslan	1	0.1
	I	I

Kotlanka, Rama Krishna	1	0.1
Kotov, Nicholas A	1	0.1
Kozai, Takashi Daniel Yoshida	1	0.1
Kravitz, Stanley H	1	0.1
Kroll, Mark W	1	0.1
Kropp, Karl M	1	0.1
Kruse, Sandra	1	0.1
Kuo, Shih-Chi	1	0.1
Kuramoto, Shuken	1	0.1
Kurita, Takurou	1	0.1
Kwiatkowski, Krzysztof C	1	0.1
Kwok, Sau Kuen Connie	1	0.1
Kwon, Min Kyoung	1	0.1
Labombard, Denis	1	0.1
Lahann, Joerg	1	0.1
Lai, Bo-Hung	1	0.1
Lai, Kuan-Ying	1	0.1
Lai, Szu Cheng	1	0.1
Lai, Wing Y	1	0.1
Lammertink, Rob Gerhardus Hendrikus	1	0.1
Lang, Jochen	1	0.1
Lang, Michael	1	0.1
Langhals, Nick	1	0.1
Lantz, Loren J	1	0.1
Larsen, Jeremy K	1	0.1
Lastochkin, Dmitri	1	0.1
Lath, Adrit	1	0.1
Laulicht, Bryan E	1	0.1
Le, Anthony	1	0.1
Ledbetter, Noah M	1	0.1
Lee, Ah Ra	1	0.1
Lee, Chang-Seung	1	0.1
Lee, Jeong-Bong	1	0.1
Lee, Jeong-Hwan	1	0.1
Lee, Ji-Hoon	1	0.1
Lee, Ji-Hwan	1	0.1
Lee, Luke P	1	0.1
Lee, Sang Hun	1	0.1
Lee, Seung-Sup	1	0.1
Lee, Sung K	1	0.1
Lee, Yu-Tao	1	0.1
Lehse, David	1	0.1
Lemaire, Pierre	1	0.1
Leonard, Leslie A	1	0.1
Leonhardt, Howard J	1	0.1

Lerche, Christian	1	0.1
Leung, Wai K	1	0.1
Li, Zhongli	1	0.1
Liao, Lun-De	1	0.1
Liepmann, Dorian	1	0.1
Lim, Jung Min	1	0.1
Lim, Wai-Loong	1	0.1
Lin, C T	1	0.1
Lin, Chun-Wei	1	0.1
Ling, Ming-Hung	1	0.1
Lipman, Kelley J	1	0.1
Liu, Liang	1	0.1
Liu, Ming-Yueh	1	0.1
Lloyd, Marcy	1	0.1
Loeters, Patrick Wilhelmus Hendrikus	1	0.1
Lu, Yanqing	1	0.1
Ludwig, Florian Niklas	1	0.1
Luettge, Regina	1	0.1
Lui, Yufei	1	0.1
Lum, Paul	1	0.1
Lyapko, Nicolai Grigorievich	1	0.1
Lyon, Bradley	1	0.1
Lyons, Cormac H	1	0.1
Lyons, Robert T	1	0.1
Maenosono, Shinya	1	0.1
Magnuson, James W	1	0.1
Maheshwari, Siddharth	1	0.1
Mahmood, Nadir A	1	0.1
Mahmood, Tahir A	1	0.1
Makino, Yuji	1	0.1
Mansoor, Iman	1	0.1
Markowicz, Przemyslaw P	1	0.1
Markus, Karen W	1	0.1
Marquandt, Michael	1	0.1
Martel, Sylvain	1	0.1
Martinson, Paul A	1	0.1
Mathiowitz, Edith	1	0.1
Matsuda, Junichi	1	0.1
Malsuda, Junien Mcaleer, Jerome	1	0.1
Mccarron, Paul A	1	0.1
Mccreery, Douglas B	1	0.1
Mcdonnall, Daniel	1	0.1
Mchale, Patricia	1	0.1
Mckenzie, Christopher P	1	0.1
Mcmillan, William A	1	0.1
	•	
Meacham, George Bevan Kirby	1	0.1
-----------------------------	---	-----
Mehrabi, Reza	1	0.1
Melsheimer, Jeffry S	1	0.1
Merlo, Mark William	1	0.1
Meyer, Kenneth A P	1	0.1
Miller, Scott	1	0.1
Milton, Chad	1	0.1
Min, Younjin	1	0.1
Mischler, Reinhold	1	0.1
	1	0.1
Mitra, Ananya	1	0.1
Mohr, Patrick	1	0.1
Molinet, Michael C	1	0.1
Montemagno, Carlo D	1	0.1
Moore, David		
Morgan, Michael	1	0.1
Morimoto, Kumi	1	0.1
Morrow, Desmond Ian John	1	0.1
Moseman, Joan T	1	0.1
Mousoulis, Charilaos	1	0.1
Moussa, Walied	1	0.1
Mueller, Achim	1	0.1
Muenzer, Christopher H	1	0.1
Muir, Craig	1	0.1
Mukai, Nobuyuki	1	0.1
Mukerjee, Erik V	1	0.1
Munson, Ian M	1	0.1
Murase, Katsuyuki	1	0.1
Mushawar, Vivian	1	0.1
Myre, Jake W	1	0.1
Na, Jungju	1	0.1
Najafi, Khalil	1	0.1
Najafi, Nader	1	0.1
Nat, Avtar S	1	0.1
Navickas, John	1	0.1
Neftel, Frédéric	1	0.1
Nesbitt, Bruce	1	0.1
Netti, Paolo Antonio	1	0.1
Neuberger, Wolfgang	1	0.1
Neves, Hercules	1	0.1
Nicander, Ingrid	1	0.1
Nieman, Tim	1	0.1
Niemiec, Susan M	1	0.1
Nishimura, Shinpei	1	0.1
Nonaka, Isamu	1	0.1
Nowak, Matthew Michael	1	0.1
Howard, Matthew Mionaci		

Nozaki, Chikateru	1	0.1
Oakeson, Ralph W	1	0.1
Ochoa, Manuel	1	0.1
Ogai, Noriyuki	1	0.1
Oh, Sea-Jin	1	0.1
Oh, Young	1	0.1
Ohnishi, Yasuhito	1	0.1
Ohshima, Kentaro	1	0.1
Ohta, Kazuhide	1	0.1
	1	0.1
O'leary, Jeremiah Peter	1	0.1
Olejnik, Orest	1	0.1
Ollmar, Stig	1	0.1
Ono, Ichiro	1	0.1
Oonuma, Yoshinori	1	0.1
Oria, Mayora		
Orloff, Eugene	1	0.1
Ostrowski, Rafael	1	0.1
Ozawa, Hiroshi	1	0.1
Ozeryansky, Gennady	1	0.1
Pacetti, Stephen D	1	0.1
Paduch, Darius	1	0.1
Paik, Seung Joon	1	0.1
Palmer, Phyllis J	1	0.1
Pan, Kun-Chih	1	0.1
Papp, John E	1	0.1
Patel, Paras	1	0.1
Patel, Udayan	1	0.1
Patrick, Ryan	1	0.1
Pauk, Jung-Hwan	1	0.1
Peeters, Eric	1	0.1
Pekurovsky, Mikhail L	1	0.1
Pellinen, David S	1	0.1
Peponnet, Christine	1	0.1
Perlin, Gayatri Eadara	1	0.1
Perriere, Bernard	1	0.1
Petersson, Bo	1	0.1
Peteu, Serban	1	0.1
Peyman, Gholam A	1	0.1
Pfeiffer, James W	1	0.1
Pfrang, Juergen	1	0.1
Picht, Bernd	1	0.1
Pirk, Tjalf	1	0.1
Plotkin, Elliot	1	0.1
Porait, David	1	0.1
Pouteau, Patrick	1	0.1

Poutiatine, Andrew I	1	0.1
Powers, Gerald	1	0.1
Presenty, Tal	1	0.1
Preyer, Amanda	1	0.1
Pricone, Robert M	1	0.1
Puers, Robert	1	0.1
Pushpala, Ashwin	1	0.1
Raeder-Devens, Jennifer E	1	0.1
Raju, Ramesh Govinda	1	0.1
Ramkumar, Abhishek	1	0.1
Raney, Charles C	1	0.1
Ranganathan, Sridhar	1	0.1
Raoux, Matthieu	1	0.1
Raphael, Anthony Paul	1	0.1
Redinger, David H	1	0.1
Reed, Michael L	1	0.1
Reeves, Christopher L	1	0.1
Reichenbach, Ralf	1	0.1
Renaud, Richard	1	0.1
Renaud, Sylvie	1	0.1
Renlund, Markus	1	0.1
Richter, Tanja	1	0.1
Rinderknecht, Derek	1	0.1
Ripley, Paul M	1	0.1
Rodan, Kathryn P	1	0.1
Rodan, Katie	1	0.1
Roe, Jeffrey N	1	0.1
Roe, Steven N	1	0.1
Rohl, James	1	0.1
Rood, Aaron	1	0.1
Rosati, Coni F	1	0.1
Rosenberg, Zeil B	1	0.1
Ross, James D	1	0.1
Ross, Jeffrey S	1	0.1
Rostaing, Herve	1	0.1
Roth, Noah	1	0.1
Roukes, Michael L	1	0.1
Ruano, Lopez Jesus Miguel	1	0.1
Ruffin, Paul B	1	0.1
Rylander, Christopher	1	0.1
Sazonov, Eduard	1	0.1
Schabbach, Michael	1	0.1
Scherr, Sebastian	1	0.1
Schlegel, Peter	1	0.1
Schmidt, Carrie	1	0.1

Schnall, Robert P	1	0.1
Schneider, Peter	1	0.1
Schott, Kevin	1	0.1
Schuckers, Stephanie	1	0.1
Schulze, Janette Lillia	1	0.1
Schwind, Karin	1	0.1
Scott, Andrew G	1	0.1
Sendelbeck, Sara L	1	0.1
Sexton, Frederick A	1	0.1
Sfakis, Lauren	1	0.1
Shastry, Ashutosh	1	0.1
Shaw, Greg S	1	0.1
Shin, Kun-Soo	1	0.1
Shumate, Daniel L	1	0.1
Sieloff, Ronald F	1	0.1
Smart, Wilson	1	0.1
Smith, Rosemary L	1	0.1
Smithson, Robert L W	1	0.1
Sollier, Elodie	1	0.1
Son, Jun Ho	1	0.1
Song, Hyun Woo	1	0.1
Soo, B Chia	1	0.1
Soyers, H Peter	1	0.1
Speaker, Tycho	1	0.1
Spendlove, Jared	1	0.1
Spiehl, Regina	1	0.1
Spilizewski, Karen L	1	0.1
Stahler, Greg	1	0.1
Staples, Mark A	1	0.1
Steiner, Solomon S	1	0.1
Stiene, Matthias	1	0.1
Stinchcomb, Audra Lynn	1	0.1
Subramanian, Kumar	1	0.1
Suddarth, Terrell	1	0.1
Sugahara, Takuya	1	0.1
Sugimura, Ryo	1	0.1
Sugiyama, Susumu	1	0.1
Sun, Hoi-Cheong Steve	1	0.1
Sun, Wenqing	1	0.1
Sun, Ying	1	0.1
Suni, Ian Ivar	1	0.1
Surridge, Nigel	1	0.1
Suzuki, Masayuki	1	0.1
Suzuki, Yasushi	1	0.1
Svojanovsky, Alexander	1	0.1
Ovojanovský, Alexandel		

Sweeney, Andrew Vankirk	1	0.1
Sykora, Craig R	1	0.1
Szmodis, Alan	1	0.1
Tagami, Hanae	1	0.1
Taheri, Syde A	1	0.1
Takahashi, Tsutomu	1	0.1
Takemoto, Tadayoshi	1	0.1
Takezaki, Akihito	1	0.1
Taki, Takao	1	0.1
Takigawa, Masahiro	1	0.1
Tamaru, Takuya	1	0.1
Tamura, Akira	1	0.1
Tan, Pei Ying Joyce	1	0.1
Tan, Yung-Chieh	1	0.1
Tanaka, Taishi	1	0.1
Tang, Hongxing	1	0.1
Tang, William C	1	0.1
Tang, Yuefeng	1	0.1
Tarkeshwar, Chandrakant Patil	1	0.1
Taroura, Yoshikazu	1	0.1
Tas, Niels Roelof	1	0.1
Tatsuzumi, Mitsuhiro	1	0.1
Taub, Marc B	1	0.1
Tepper, John	1	0.1
Tepper, Robert I	1	0.1
Thomas, Charles L	1	0.1
Thomas, Cristina U	1	0.1
Thompson, David L	1	0.1
Ting, Kang	1	0.1
Todd, Kathryn	1	0.1
Tolvanen-Laakso, Heli	1	0.1
Tomasco, Michael F	1	0.1
Tong, Gary	1	0.1
Tong, Ho Wang	1	0.1
Toshimitsu, Arata	1	0.1
Tsoukalis, Achilleas	1	0.1
Ueno, Takako	1	0.1
Uhland, Scott A	1	0.1
Uhland, Scott	1	0.1
Ulrich, Michael Scott	1	0.1
Van, Bennekom Joost Gerardus	1	0.1
Van, De Venn Hans Werner	1	0.1
Vecchione, Raffaele	1	0.1
Vedrine, Lionel	1	0.1
Verdonk, Edward D	1	0.1

Versi, Ebrahim	1	0.1
Vespini, Veronica	1	0.1
Virtanen, Juha	1	0.1
Wada, Yuko	1	0.1
Wakamatsu, Satoshi	1	0.1
Wan, Jinping	1	0.1
Wang, Ge	1	0.1
Wang, Jonas C T	1	0.1
Wang, Kuan-Wen	1	0.1
Wang, Minghua	1	0.1
Wang, Shau-Chun Paul	1	0.1
	1	0.1
Wang, Yan	1	0.1
Wang, Zhenlin	1	0.1
Warren, David J	1	0.1
Waterston, Andrea	1	0.1
Watschke, Brian P	1	0.1
Weber, Anders	1	0.1
Wei, Yang	1	
Weiser, Michael F	-	0.1
Weiss, Lee E	1	0.1
Werber, Bruce	1	0.1
Werner, Gerhard	1	0.1
Whang, Jin-Sang	1	0.1
White, Harrison J	1	0.1
Whitson, Robert C	1	0.1
Wiegel, Chris	1	0.1
Wiler, James A	1	0.1
Wilke, Nicolle	1	0.1
Winnubst, Aloysius Johannes Antonius	1	0.1
Wise, Kensall D	1	0.1
Wisniewski, Mark	1	0.1
Wisniewski, Natalie	1	0.1
Wisniewski, Stephen J	1	0.1
Wissink, Jeroen Mathijn	1	0.1
Woldt, Ryan T	1	0.1
Wong, Ling W	1	0.1
Wong, Patrick S-L	1	0.1
Wood, Robert L	1	0.1
Woolfson, David A	1	0.1
Wu, Ben	1	0.1
Wu, Clarence C	1	0.1
Wu, Jian	1	0.1
Wu, Mu	1	0.1
Wu, Xiaosong	1	0.1

Xu, Jinjie	1	0.1
Xu, Xiaoyu	1	0.1
Xu, Yong	1	0.1
Xu, Yuan	1	0.1
Yamaguchi, Yoichi	1	0.1
Yamamoto, Akira	1	0.1
Yamamoto, Takako	1	0.1
Yan, Li	1	0.1
Yang, Jauh-Jung	1	0.1
Yao, Da-Jeng	1	0.1
Yasuzawa, Mikito	1	0.1
Yeo, Hyung-Sok	1	0.1
Yeo, Leslie	1	0.1
Yeung, King L	1	0.1
Yobas, Levent	1	0.1
Yonce, David J	1	0.1
Yoon, Yong Sun	1	0.1
Young, Adam J	1	0.1
Yue, Ruifeng	1	0.1
Yuno, Mariko	1	0.1
Zhang, Kevin	1	0.1
Zhang, Rongtian	1	0.1
Zhao, Li	1	0.1
Zhao, Yanzhu	1	0.1
Zhu, Mingwei	1	0.1
Ziaie, Babak	1	0.1
Zimmerman, Yotam	1	0.1
Zimmermann, Stefan	1	0.1
Zuck, Michael G	1	0.1
Zumbrunn, Werner	1	0.1

Table S11. List of countries ranked by the number of issued US patents on microneedles to assignees from that country.

Country	Number of issued patents	Percent of issued patents
United States of America	520	67,9
Japan	77	10,1
Korea (South)	21	2,7
Germany	19	2,5
Israel	18	2,3
Taiwan	17	2,2
United Kingdom	16	2,1
Switzerland	11	1,4
Sweden	9	1,2
Australia	9	1,2
France	8	1,0
Netherlands	5	0,7
Singapore	5	0,7
China	5	0,7
Belgium	3	0,4
Ireland	3	0,4
Finland	3	0,4
India	3	0,4
Hong Kong (S.A.R.)	3	0,4
Spain	2	0,3
Canada	2	0,3
Italy	2	0,3
Virgin Islands (British)	1	0,1
Denmark	1	0,1
Saudi Arabia	1	0,1
Ukraine	1	0,1
Greece	1	0,1

Sponsor	Number of clinical trials	Percentage of clinical trials carried out within Academia/ Hospital	Percentage of all clinical trials (Academia and Industry)
The University of Hong Kong	5	10.2%	6.3%
University of California, Davis	4	8.2%	5.1%
Emory University	3	6.1%	3.8%
Massachusetts General Hospital	2	4.1%	2.5%
University of British Columbia	2	4.1%	2.5%
National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID)	2	4.1%	2.5%
Assistance Publique - Hôpitaux de Paris	1	2.0%	1.3%
Assiut University	1	2.0%	1.3%
Bezmialem Vakif University	1	2.0%	1.3%
Bispebjerg Hospital	1	2.0%	1.3%
Cardiff University	1	2.0%	1.3%
Centers for Disease Control and Prevention	1	2.0%	1.3%
Centre for Human Drug Research, Netherlands; Leiden Academic Center for Drug Research, the Netherlands	1	2.0%	1.3%
Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Nice	1	2.0%	1.3%
Eastern Virginia Medical School	1	2.0%	1.3%
Falo, Louis, MD	1	2.0%	1.3%
Hadassah Medical Organization	1	2.0%	1.3%
Hospices Civils de Lyon	1	2.0%	1.3%
Hospital de Clinicas de Porto Alegre	1	2.0%	1.3%
Kenneth Peters, MD	1	2.0%	1.3%
Mae Fah Luang University Hospital	1	2.0%	1.3%
Mark Prausnitz	1	2.0%	1.3%
Merete Haedersdal	1	2.0%	1.3%
Mohammed V Souissi University	1	2.0%	1.3%
Northwestern University	1	2.0%	1.3%
Postgraduate Institute of Medical Education and Research	1	2.0%	1.3%
Seoul National University Hospital	1	2.0%	1.3%
Shaare Zedek Medical Center	1	2.0%	1.3%
Thaís Hofmann Cachafeiro	1	2.0%	1.3%
The Catholic University of Korea	1	2.0%	1.3%
Universitaire Ziekenhuizen Leuven	1	2.0%	1.3%
University of Dublin, Trinity College	1	2.0%	1.3%
University of Iowa	1	2.0%	1.3%
University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center	1	2.0%	1.3%

Table S12. List of institutions and percentage of clinical trials sponsored by academia/ hospital.

University of Zurich	1	2.0%	1.3%
Vancouver General Hospital	1	2.0%	1.3%
Xijing Hospital	1	2.0%	1.3%

Sponsor	Number of clinical trials	Percentage of clinical trials carried out within Industry	Percentage of all clinical trials (Academia and Industry)
Clearside Biomedical, Inc.	8	26.7%	10.1%
Zosano Pharma Corporation	4	13.3%	5.1%
NanoPass Technologies Ltd	3	10.0%	3.8%
Becton, Dickinson and Company	2	6.7%	2.5%
FluGen Inc	2	6.7%	2.5%
Galderma R&D	1	3.3%	1.3%
Goldman, Butterwick, Fitzpatrick and Groff	1	3.3%	1.3%
DUSA Pharmaceuticals, Inc.	1	3.3%	1.3%
EndyMed	1	3.3%	1.3%
Innoture Ltd	1	3.3%	1.3%
LUTRONIC Corporation	1	3.3%	1.3%
Microdermics Inc.	1	3.3%	1.3%
Pulse Biosciences, Inc.	1	3.3%	1.3%
Radius Health, Inc.	1	3.3%	1.3%
SkinJect, Inc.	1	3.3%	1.3%
Teoxane SA	1	3.3%	1.3%

Table S13. List of companies and percentage of clinical trials sponsored by Industry.

Country	Number of Clinical trials	Percentage of clinical trials carried out by each country
USA	38	48.1%
China	6	7.6%
Israel	5	6.3%
Canada	4	5.1%
France	3	3.8%
Switzerland	2	2.5%
UK	2	2.5%
Germany	2	2.5%
Denmark	2	2.5%
South Korea	2	2.5%
Brazil	2	2.5%
India	1	1.3%
Thailand	1	1.3%
Bangladesh	1	1.3%
Egypt	1	1.3%
Morocco	1	1.3%
Turkey	1	1.3%
Belgium	1	1.3%
Ireland	1	1.3%
Netherland	1	1.3%
Australia	1	1.3%
Not specified	1	1.3%

 Table S14.
 Locations of Clinical trials.