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# Aldred's glosses to the notae iuris in Durham A.iv.19: Personal, textual and cultural contexts 

Dr Sara M. Pons-Sanz, Cardiff University


#### Abstract

This paper discusses Aldred's glosses to the expanded forms of a series of abbreviations (or notae) of (Roman) legal terms included on fols $85 \mathrm{r}-86 \mathrm{r}$ of Durham, Cathedral Library, MS A.iv.19. By taking a novel approach to Aldred's work and focusing on what his glossing practices can tell us about his scholarly interests rather than his taste for morphological and semantic structures, this paper shows that, unlike other glossators working south of the Humber, Aldred did not have an interest in the study of (Roman) legal matters and therefore his work should not be aligned with that of Southumbrian scholars, as has been the case in the past. Nonetheless, his work is still very important for our understanding of legal studies in Anglo-Saxon England: while the Southumbrian materials are dominated by Isidore's Etymologies, Aldred's list provides an insight into other sources available to pursue this field of study in Anglo-Saxon England.
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## Introduction

During the tenth century, Aldred, a member of the community of St Cuthbert at Chester-leStreet, added glosses to and colophons for the Lindisfarne Gospels (London, British Library, MS Cotton Nero D.iv) and the so-called Durham Collectar or Durham Ritual (Durham, Cathedral Library, MS A.iv.19; hereafter Durham A.iv.19). ${ }^{1}$ These texts are fundamental for our understanding of a wide range of key linguistic, cultural and historical issues. Accordingly, they have been the focus of renewed scholarly interest in recent years, particularly in terms of the following aspects:
(1) Their linguistic features: Aldred's texts are written in Late Old Northumbrian, a dialect of Old English which has traditionally been overshadowed by the better-attested late West Saxon. This variety is very important for our understanding of linguistic diversity during the Old English period and the history of the English language more generally, because it exhibits many features more commonly associated with the Middle English period, such as syncretism in nominal morphology, the typically northern ending $-s$ for the $3^{\text {rd }}$ person singular and plural present indicative and plural imperative, and the presence of non-technical Norse-derived terms.
(2) Aldred's historical, cultural and religious milieux: attention here has centred around the Latin texts of the Gospels to which he had access, his education and possible connections with the Benedictine Reform, the scholarly context that might have enabled glossators to

[^0]have access to each other's work or very similar sources (especially with regard to the close interaction between Aldred's glosses to the Lindisfarne Gospels and those added by Owun and, to a lesser extent, Farman to the Rushworth or MacRegol's Gospels, viz. Oxford, Bodleian Library, MS Auct. D.2.19) and the strategic role of the community of St Cuthbert in fostering Northumbria's political links with southern kings.

The Aldredian texts have also been analysed in an attempt to gain a better understanding of the man behind the work, something that cannot be easily done for many Anglo-Saxon authors because most Old English texts are anonymous. Attention in this respect has centred on:
(1) the factors that might account for the clear morphosyntactic and lexical differences between the Lindisfarne glosses and those which he added, possibly around 20 years later, ${ }^{2}$ to

[^1]Durham A.iv.19, such as the possibilities that he might have relied on a number of sources when writing his glosses to the Lindisfarne Gospels (and hence it is difficult to see there his actual idiolect) or that his language in Durham A.iv. 19 might have been the result of a conscious effort to accommodate to West Saxon linguistic practices;
(2) Aldred's personal interests and working methods, with special attention to his determination, bordering on obsession, to capture the morphosyntactic structure and semantic nuances of the Latin lemmata. ${ }^{3}$

The work presented in this article strides the two aspects (contextual and personal) outlined above. It discusses Aldred's glosses to the expanded forms of a series of abbreviations (or notae) of (Roman) legal terms, included amongst a longer list of Latin abbreviations that he copied, expanded and glossed on fols $85 \mathrm{r}-86 \mathrm{r}$ of Durham A.iv.19. Thus, this paper is first and foremost concerned with his personal interests and glossing methods, and what they can tell us about other aspects of his work. As noted above, Aldred is often described as someone who was highly interested in vocabulary, in terms of morphology and semantic structures, the latter from both a semasiological (i.e. the semantic range of existing words) and an onomasiological perspective (the structure of lexico-semantic fields, mainly in relation to near-synonymy). ${ }^{4}$ However, there has not been much research into his glossing of

[^2]specific lexico-semantic fields and what this can tell us about his scholarly interests. For example, Pons-Sanz (2018) is the only other study which analyses his engagement with legal terms. It explores Aldred's handling of the Latin legal technolect in John's Gospel, which shows a much clearer focus on legal issues than the synoptic Gospels. The paper concludes that Aldred does not appear to have been particularly concerned about using contemporary Old English legal terms, preferring instead to render the legal Latin lemmata with words that are more common in religious contexts. For instance, John places much emphasis on the provision of testimony as an important component of the lawsuit motif that dominates the Gospel, in terms of both the worldly trial that Jesus faces and a cosmic trial involving God (through his son) and the world. Notably, Robert Maccini notes that his Gospel "contains roughly 41 percent ( 47 out of 114) of the New Testament occurrences of $\mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho \varepsilon ́ \omega[$ ['to bear witness, give evidence'] and $\mu \alpha \rho \tau v \rho i \alpha$ ['testimony, witness']". ${ }^{5}$ Aldred's preferred gloss for L testimonium is OE cyybnes, a term commonly used in religious texts (e.g. the Psalms) to render the Latin noun, and only very occasionally does he use OE witnes, either in a double gloss with OE cȳpnes (John 1:7, 3:11, 3:32, 3:33) or in an incomplete gloss (John 8:17). ${ }^{6}$ By contrast, the near-contemporary translation of the Gospel in the so-called Old English or West Saxon Gospels records OE (ge)witnes, the noun that is commonly found in Old English

[^3]legal texts, as the preferred option and OE cy $\bar{p}$ nes is only chosen in John 3:11, 3:32 and 3:33. ${ }^{7}$ Nonetheless, given that the legal lemmata are included in a religious text, one could argue that Aldred's lexical choices are mainly dictated by generic conventions and therefore cannot tell us much about his other interests. Thus, analysing his rendering of legal lemmata in a non-religious context is a necessary step in any attempt to establish Aldred's possible desire to explore the correlations between the Old English and Latin legal terms as part of his wider scholarly concerns.

The discussion in this paper also engages with current work on Aldred's broader cultural and educational context, particularly in relation to the study of Roman legal terminology in early medieval England and the Anglo-Saxons' attempts to make sense of it. Even though its use and study had quickly declined after the fall of the Western Roman Empire centuries earlier, this legal system was still relevant: some of its tenets were adopted into Anglo-Saxon legislation; Roman law lay at the roots of canon law (the legal system followed by the Christian church); and some Anglo-Saxon and most continental legal texts were written in Latin and hence, at the very least, some familiarity with the Latin legal technolect was necessary for those writing and using the texts. ${ }^{8}$ For instance, terms such as heres 'heir',

[^4]hereditas 'inheritance' or members of the L manumittere 'to free a slave' word field are not uncommon in Anglo-Saxon and Anglo-Norman legal documents. ${ }^{9}$

In spite of the benefits associated with the study of Aldred's work on the list of abbreviations that concerns us here, this part of his corpus has not received much scholarly attention. For instance, because it is not a typical example of either a class glossary or an encyclopaedic note, Kees Dekker does not mention the list in his studies of Aldred's encyclopaedic interests, ${ }^{10}$ and only devotes a few lines to it in his discussion on the relationships between those two genres. ${ }^{11}$ Similarly, this text is the focus of less than four pages in Jolly's excellent monograph on Aldred's work on Durham A.iv.19. ${ }^{12}$ This paper hopes to redress the current situation and show the significance of the list in connection with the various aspects outlined above. Before the spotlight falls directly on Aldred's glosses, the next section introduces the list in its manuscript context and in relation to legal studies in Anglo-Saxon England, two issues of key importance to understand Aldred's work.

## Aldred's notae iuris: manuscript and cultural contexts

Durham A.iv. 19 is a composite manuscript. It started life as an early tenth-century Southumbrian compilation of texts related to the daily life of a religious community: Latin collects and chapters for the mass of the daily office, prayers, chants, readings, as well as

[^5]blessings and rituals used for pastoral care (hence the names 'collectar' and 'ritual'). Eight quires of this stratum survive (fols 1-69r); however, more than twenty folios, including the original first quire, are missing. ${ }^{13}$ Once the manuscript had made its way to Chester-le-Street, it received three additional quires, which seem to have had an independent existence for some time. Indeed, in the colophon Aldred only refers to some of the additions that he made to the last quire, no. XI (see above, note 2), which might suggest that, when he added the colophon, he did not have at hand the whole manuscript as it now stands. The materials added to quire XI up to the colophon (fols $77 \mathrm{r}-84 \mathrm{r}$ ) are in keeping with those included in the original collection (i.e. daily office materials and rituals for pastoral care), while those added after the colophon have a diverse character, which has led scholars to hypothesise a change in function: from a collectar to "a sort of reference book for the community". ${ }^{14}$ Besides the list of abbreviations that is the focus of this paper, Aldred's 'micropedia', a term coined by Kees Dekker, ${ }^{15}$ includes texts on origins (e.g. the eight pounds of material Adam was made of, the origins of hot and cold breath and the origin of the wind from the seraphim), interpretations of names and words (e.g. an alphabet of words, eleven Roman imperial offices, terms for 'king' in various languages, and ecclesiastical offices and feasts) and other topics, such as the

[^6]burial places of the disciples and the evangelists. ${ }^{16}$ In addition to new Latin texts, Aldred added word-by-word glosses to the original and additional texts, a task that Dekker associates with the possible change in function of the manuscript. ${ }^{17}$ The latter might be closely related to an attempt to vernacularize its materials and make them fully at home in a Northumbrian context. ${ }^{18}$

In relation to similar compilations, Dekker explains that [a]lthough it might be surmised that encyclopaedic notes were linked to the intellectual and possibly didactic processes at work in early medieval monastic and educational environments, the precise reason for their production, transmission and wide dissemination is not entirely clear, and leaves us with many questions about who compiled such notes, why they were copied, why they were worth copying, and what their purpose was. ${ }^{19}$

Most of these questions are relevant to Aldred's work. There is no clear direct source for most of his texts. Variations in style and abbreviations suggest that Aldred himself might have put the collection together from several exemplars, ${ }^{20}$ although his reasons for doing so (and for adding a gloss to these texts) are unclear. An intrinsic "taste for encyclopaedic

[^7]knowledge", as suggested by Dekker, ${ }^{21}$ might provide some explanation. Cambridge, Corpus Christi College MS 183, a manuscript that is said to have been given by King Athelstan to the community of St Cuthbert at some point between 934 and 939, might have inspired him, as it includes a group of encyclopaedic notes in between Bede's prose and verse lives of St Cuthbert. ${ }^{22}$ Even if that is the case, this is probably not the full story. ${ }^{23}$ In fact, Jolly proposes that the common feature uniting the educational texts and their glosses is an interest in the insights to be gained from multiple languages translated into the AngloSaxon vernacular. [...] Aldred may have seen himself adding value to the three sacred languages of Hebrew, Greek and Latin by a fourth language to complete them, Old English. The vernacular offered not just literal comprehension but added interpretive breadth to bring about greater devotional understanding in the heart. ${ }^{24}$ Thus, Aldred's linguistic interests are brought again to the forefront of his work.

The list of abbreviations that concerns us here is introduced as follows: hoe sunt notas predistinatas 'these are predetermined notations'. It comprises abbreviations for approximately 230 Latin terms and grammatical endings that one could find in a legal

[^8]document (hence the common denomination notae iuris), ${ }^{25}$ including words belonging to the legal technolect itself (see below, Table 1), eleven ecclesiastical terms, ${ }^{26}$ common words, both lexical and functional (e.g. $329.2 a \sim p$ : aput : at 'near'; 329.2: $a \sim$ : aut : oðða 'or'; 329.3: at~ : autem : wvt', ${ }^{27} \mathrm{cp}$. OE witodl̄̄ce 'certainly'; 336.16: st~ : sunt : sindon 'they are'), and common grammatical abbreviations (e.g. 337.23: $t^{\prime}$ : tur : bið, where the form of OE beon 'to be' indicates that L -tur is a common $3^{\text {rd }}$ person singular (medio)passive ending). As these examples show, Aldred expanded the abbreviations and glossed them.

This list could be said to be one of the texts with a clearer educational function amongst the other additions in the second part of quire XI, for the study of common Latin abbreviations, including those of a legal character, was an important part of classical and early medieval education. ${ }^{28}$ Isidore of Seville mentions the significance of legal abbreviations in his Etymologies (I.xxiii):

In books of law certain letters stand for words; in this way the writing becomes quicker and shorter. So, for instance, bonum factum ('good deed') would be written as BF, senatus consultum ('senate decree') as $\mathbf{S C}$, respublica ('republic') as $\mathbf{R P}$, populus

[^9]Romanus ('Roman people') as PR, dumtaxat ('at least') as DT, mulier ('woman') by the upside-down letter M, pupillus ('male orphan') by a regular $\mathbf{P}$, pupilla ('female orphan') by a $\mathbf{P}$ with the top reversed, caput ('head') by a single $\mathbf{K}$, calumniae causa ('case of false accusation') by two joined $\mathbf{K K}$, iudex esto ('let the judge be present') by IE, dolum malum ('grievous fraud') by DM. We find very many similar signs of this type in ancient books. Recent emperors have ordained that these legal signs be abolished from codes of law, because shrewd people were cleverly deceiving many ignorant people by means of these signs. So the emperors ordered that full words should be used to write the laws, so that they would cause no errors or ambiguities, but would clearly show what must be obeyed and what must be avoided. ${ }^{29}$

In spite of the ban that Isidore mentions, these abbreviations continued to be used and studied, as suggested by the survival of various late antique and early medieval lists, many of which were edited by Theodor Mommsen.

Legal studies in general, beyond the mere acquisition of common abbreviations, also seem to have been an important part of the curriculum in (some) Anglo-Saxon schools since the first school we know of, i.e. the establishment of Archbishop Theodore and Bishop Hadrian in seventh-century Canterbury. We know that, besides canon law, the study of Roman law was also significant in the school. This is explicitly stated by Aldhelm, one of its most famous students, in a letter to Bishop Haeddi (ca. 680), ${ }^{30}$ and it is also implicitly suggested by the glossaries which are directly or ultimately associated with the school. The glossaries show that Isidore's Etymologies (particularly Books V and IX) was the key textbook for the study

[^10]Roman law in Anglo-Saxon England. ${ }^{31}$ This is perhaps most clearly reflected by the list of approximately eighty legal lemmata included in the bilingual class glossary that is part of the collection known as the Antwerp-London Glossary (Antwerp, Plantin-Moretus Museum, M 16. 2 + London, British Library, MS Add. 32246; from eleventh-century Abingdon). ${ }^{32}$ The legal terms are misplaced in the midst of a list of people's occupations and sicknesses ('nomina omnium hominum communiter'; nos 277-359), yet they still show to a great extent the Isidorian textual structure. This glossary and the related lists of legal terms that we find in the alphabetised glossaries known as the First Cleopatra Glossary (London, British Library, MS Cotton Cleopatra A. iii; from eleventh-century Canterbury) and the Harley Glossary (London, British Library, MS Harley 3376; probably from late-tenth or eleventh-century Worcestershire) are a testament to the interest in Roman legal terminology amongst various circles in late Anglo-Saxon England. ${ }^{33}$

[^11]It might be the case that Aldred's list is also somehow linked to Isidore's encyclopaedic work. Two manuscript fragments of possible Northumbrian origin (Düsseldorf, Universitätsbibliothek, fragm. K15: 017 and K19: Z8/7b; and Paris, Bibliothèque nationale de France, lat. 4871, fols 161-8) might provide material evidence that the Etymologies were known in Northumbria from the eighth century. ${ }^{34}$ Furthermore, some influence by Isidore's Etymologies on Aldred's work itself might be suggested, for instance, by the facts that many of the legal terms included in the list are explicitly discussed by Isidore (see below Table 1) and that isolated explanations could be said to have an Isidorian ring. Two of Aldred's glosses are particularly striking in this respect. He seems to have interpreted kalendas as 'a day of worship' in 333.9 because he renders it with begeongenise (OE begangnes 'observance, celebration'), ${ }^{35}$ and this interpretation finds interesting comparanda in kalende begannes (no. 915 in the Second Cleopatra Glossary) and Etymologies V.xxxiii.12-13: Moreover, the Romans established the Kalends, Nones, and Ides with reference to festival days, or with reference to the offices of their magistrates, for on those days there would be an assembly in the cities. Some people think that the Kalends (i.e. the first day of the month) were named after 'to worship' (colere), for among the ancients the beginnings of every month were worshipped, just as among the Hebrews. ${ }^{36}$

[^12]Notably, Aldred's and the Cleopatra glosses are the only extant contexts in the Old English corpus where L kalendas is rendered by members of the OE begān 'to practise, perform; worship, revere' word field, a more common interpretamentum for the Latin lemma being OE clipung 'invocation, appellation'. ${ }^{37}$ The equivalent gloss in the Antwerp-London class glossary brings both interpretations together: kalendas : uocationes, geheald dagas $\downarrow$ halige dagas (no. 2549).

Aldred's glossing of priuilegium with syndurce (cp. OE synderce 'special / private law' and synder 'singular, separate, private') is also relevant to the possible influence of Isidore's work. The Latin term was borrowed into Old English to refer to a 'special honour or particular freedom' and the text that recorded it. ${ }^{38}$ We see this meaning reflected in the fact that the term is rendered by OE weorpmynd 'honour' in various glosses to Aldhelm's works (cp. priuilegium : honorem in the Third Cleopatra Glossary, no. 726). ${ }^{39}$ However, Isidore makes explicit in his explanation that, in the Roman legal system, it referred to a special type of law, dealing with private matters: "Private statutes are the laws of individuals, private laws (privatae leges) as it were. It is called a 'private statute' (privilegium) because it is applied in private use (privatus)" (Etymologies, V.xviii). ${ }^{40}$ This is the meaning that we see both in

[^13]Aldred's gloss and in the gloss that the term receives in the Corpus Glossary (Cambridge, Corpus Christi College MS 144), one of the early glossaries closely linked to the Canterbury school: priuilegium : lex priuata t propria praesumtio (no. P575). ${ }^{41}$

While some interpretamenta can be used to associate the list with the Etymologies, we cannot establish a direct relationship between them. For instance, not all the terms included in the list are discussed or even mentioned in the Etymologies (see below, Table 1). Jolly explains that, while no exact exemplar for Aldred's list has been found, the eighth-century continental list known as Notae Lindenbrogianiae (on the basis that it was recorded by the German jurist and philologist Friedrich Lindenbrog) provides an interesting comparandum. ${ }^{42}$ Nonetheless, this is unlikely to be the list followed by Aldred, as suggested by a number of factors: the abbreviations do not always correspond, the entries do not appear in exactly the same order, and Lindenbrog's list does not include all the terms copied by Aldred (cp. above, note 26; and below, Table 1). Notably, Lindenbrog's list has significant overlap with a list said to have been compiled by Magnus, a ninth-century bishop of Sens (Mommsen's Notae Magnonianiae), probably on the basis of an original from Rome or Africa dating back to the fourth or fifth century. ${ }^{43}$ As it is the case with Aldred's and Lindenbrog's lists, Magnus's and Lindenbrog's do not fully coincide with regard to the terms included or their order. This hints at the existence of a common list that was reshaped and expanded in later recensions as necessary. ${ }^{44}$

[^14]Table 1 presents a comparison between Aldred's legal terms, and those included amongst the Notae Lindenbrogianiae and in Isidore's encyclopaedic work. Various decisions have been made when compiling this table:
(1) Given the difficulties involved in drawing a line between legal and non-legal terms, the list presented below includes only the lexemes and phrases in Adolf Berger's dictionary, a well-established source for the study of Roman legal terminology. Berger's explanations are the basis for the legal meaning(s) provided for each lexeme / phrase. When either the same lexeme or a homonym also has a more general, non-legal meaning, this meaning is given first in italics, on the basis of Charlton Lewis and Charles Short's definitions.
(2) Although the study of the different notae themselves is an important part of classical and medieval palaeography, they have been left out because the focus of this paper lies instead on Aldred's lexical decisions; those interested in the disparities between the notae in the two lists can consult their editions directly.
(3) When the term included in the Notae Lindenbrogianiae represents the same lexeme as Aldred's but in a different grammatical form, the actual form in the Notae Lindenbrogianiae is given in brackets; -- indicates that no equivalent lexeme can be found.
(4) References to Isidore's work in bold indicate that the lexeme is explicitly discussed in terms of its legal meaning or its use in a legal context, while references in italics indicate that the lexeme is discussed in terms of its general meaning, without any clear legal connections; 'throughout' indicates that the lexeme appears throughout the text but it is not explicitly explained; -- indicates that the lexeme is not recorded in the text. The reason to single out words that receive an explanation is that these are likely to have been perceived as key words and, hence, words that would have often been abbreviated.

| Latin term | Aldred's <br> notae iuris | Aldred's gloss | Notae <br> Lindenbrogianiae | Isidore's <br> Etymologies |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


|  | (Jolly, Community) |  | (Mommsen) ${ }^{45}$ | (Lindsay, Isidori) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| auctio <br> (cp. L auctio 'increase; public sale by auction') | 329.3-4 | ecnung <br> (cp. OE ēacnung 'increase, addition; conception; childbirth') | -- | -- |
| actionem <br> (cp. Lactio 'action, act; action of a plaintiff by which he initiates a suit; the whole proceedings') | 329.4 | gescir $t$ <br> (cp. OE gescir 'office, appointment; charge; authority') | 288.A5 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { throughout (e.g. } \\ & \text { V.xxv) } \end{aligned}$ |
| augustus <br> (cp. L augustus 'majestic, venerable'; <br> Augustus 'honorary title conferred to the first Roman emperor') | 330.5-6 | caser <br> (cp. OE cāsere '(Roman) emperor') | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (cp. 288.A22: } \\ & \text { Augusti) } \end{aligned}$ | IX.iii.14-16 |
| augusti <br> (cp. L augustus; Augusti 'two emperors, each being simultaneously head of the state'; cp. Augustus) | 330.6 | caseres <br> (cp. OE cāsere) | 288.A22 | IX.iii.14-16 |
| amplius <br> (cp. L amplius 'further'; often used to refer to the need for further investigation, viz. L ampliatio) | 330.7-8 | $f^{\prime}$ 'ðor <br> (cp. OE furbor 'further') | -- | throughout (e.g. <br> III.xlix) |
| breuis | 330.8 | scort | --46 | --47 |

${ }^{45}$ The edition is referred to by page number and entry number under each letter.
${ }^{46}$ The term is recoded in Magnus's list (Mommsen, 289.B5) and the list of notae included in fols 1-3 of the ninth- or tenth-century manuscript El Escorial, Real Biblioteca, T.ii.24, which is quite closely aligned with Magnus's; see Cordoñer, 123.
${ }^{47}$ The adjective L brevis is used throughout, but not the honomymous noun.

| (cp. L brevis 'short, little'; brevis 'any kind of lists and registers used in the Roman administration of the later empire, particularly financial reports about payments (taxes) and expenditures; list of tax-debtors') |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (cp. OE scort } \\ & \text { 'short, not long') } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| breuem (cp. L brevis) | 330.8-9 | scortne (cp. OE scort) | -- | --48 |
| bonorum <br> (cp. L bonus 'good'; bonum 'possession, valuable') | 330.11 | godra <br> (cp. OE gōd 'good'; OE gōd 'that which is good; good, property, possession') | 289.B6 (cp. 289.B7: bonorum possessiones and 289.B8: bonorum possessio) | V.xxv.4-6 |
| beneficium <br> (cp. L beneficium 'favour, service; benefit; kindness; legal benefit or remedy of an exceptional character; privileges granted by the emperor to individuals') | 330.11-12 | velfremming <br> (cp. OE wel 'well' and fremung 'advantage, profit') | 289.B11 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { throughout (e.g. } \\ & \text { V.xxiv.14) } \end{aligned}$ |
| bellum <br> (cp. L bellum 'war', L bellum indicere 'to declare war', in discussions about who had the right to do so) | 330.12 | gifeht <br> (cp. OE gefeoht 'fight, battle') | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 289 . \mathrm{B} 12 \\ \text { (bellorum) } \end{array}$ | XVIII.i |
| causa cognita <br> (cp. L causa 'cause, reason; trial itself, etc.'; causa cognita 'a case whose circumstances have been examined') | 330.13-14 | intinga сиð <br> (cp. OE intinga 'cause, reason, sake; charge, legal case' and cunnan 'to know') | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 289.C2 and } \\ & \text { 289.C4 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (XVIII.xv.2: } \\ & \text { causa) } \end{aligned}$ |

[^15]| ciues romanus <br> (cp. L civis <br> Romanus 'Roman citizen') | 330.17 | portver romanisc <br> (cp. OE portwer 'town-inhabitant, citizen', rōmānisc 'Roman') | 290.C13 (ciuis <br> romanus) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { throughout (e.g. } \\ & \text { V.xxiv.12) } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| crinem <br> (cp. L crimen 'a crime; accusation of a crime; trial following the accusation of a crime; verdict') | 330.18 | hehsynn <br> (cp. OE hēahsynn 'grievous, serious sin'; OE synn 'sin; misdeed, crime, wrong') | -- | V.xxvi. 1 |
| confessus <br> (cp. L confiteri 'to acknowledge, confess'; confessio 'admission of liability by the defendant') | 330.19 | ondetende <br> (cp. OE andett(i)an 'to confess') | -- | $\begin{aligned} & \text { throughout (e.g. } \\ & \text { VII.ix.2) } \end{aligned}$ |
| dotes <br> (cp. L dos 'gift, endowment; quality; dowry') | 331.22 | geafo <br> (cp. OE gyfu 'gift; dowry') | 291.D15 (dotis) | V.xxiv.25-6 |
| dolus <br> (cp. L dolus 'device, artifice, evil intent'; dolus malus ‘deceit or contrivance used to deceive, defraud or cheat another') | 331.3 | facon is (cp. OE fäcen 'deceit, guile, treachery') | (cp. 291.D1 and D4: dolo malo; and 291.D3: dolum malum) | V.xxvi. 7 |
| edicti <br> (cp. L edictum 'order, command; the whole edict published by the magistrate on the album where he assumed his office or a single clause thereof') | 331.4 | giboden' <br> (cp. OE gebēodan 'to command, ordain') | 292.D5 (edictum), <br> 229.D20 (edicta) | II.x.1, V.xiii |
| facta <br> (cp. L factum 'action by a human being; event, happening independent of human action'; it is often opposed to ius) | 331.7 | awordno <br> (cp. aweorpan 'to come to existence, arise') | 293.F17 | V.xx |


| facto <br> (cp. L factum) | 331.7 | to doeno' <br> (cp. OE dōn'to do, perform') | 293.F18 | V.xx |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| fugiens <br> (cp. L fugire 'to flee'; fugiens 'defendant in a trial') | 331.8 | fleende <br> (cp. OE flēon 'to flee') | -- | $\begin{aligned} & \text { throughout (e.g. } \\ & \text { XIII.xvi.8) } \end{aligned}$ |
| fide commissum <br> (cp. L <br> fideicommissum 'a request addressed by the testator to his heir to carry out a certain action for the benefit of a third person') | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 331.11- } \\ & 332.12 \end{aligned}$ | lvfe beboden <br> (cp. OE lufu 'love, kind action', bebēodan 'to command, bid, charge') | 293.F16 | V.xxiv. 17 |
| filio iuris <br> (cp. L filius sui iuris 'a son who used to be under the power of his father (filius familias) but has become an independent legal person after his death') | 332.13 | bearne rehtes <br> (cp. OE bearn 'descendant, child'; riht ‘justice, law, legal action') | --49 | --50 |
| forte <br> (cp. L fortis 'strong, powerful'; forte 'perchance, by accident') | 332.13 | strongi <br> (cp. OE strang 'strong, powerful') | 292.2 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { throughout (e.g. } \\ & \text { VI.xix.61) } \end{aligned}$ |
| fundi <br> (cp. L fundare 'to make a foundation, found, begin'; fundus 'a plot of land') | 332.13 | gesetted <br> (cp. OE settan 'to make, found, build; appoint, assign') | 292.F4 (fundum) | XV.xiii. 4 |
| fides | 332.14 | geleafa | -- | V.xxiv. 17 |

[^16]| (cp. L fides 'trust, faith; honesty, uprightness, trustworthiness') |  | (cp. OE gelēafa 'belief, faith') |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| filius <br> (cp. L filius 'son') | 332.14 | svnv <br> (cp. OE sunu 'son') | -- | IX.v. 11 |
| frater <br> (cp. L frater 'son of the same parents, or the same father or mother only') | 332.15 | broðer <br> (cp. OE brōpor 'brother') | -- | IX.v. 5 |
| gaius | 332.16 | gaivs | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 293.G3 (cp. } \\ & \text { 293.G4: Gaius } \\ & \text { Seius) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | V.xxxix. $\mathbf{2 6}^{51}$ |
| genus <br> (cp. L genus ‘kind, sort, type'; often used in contrast to species, to refer to fungibles (one thing that can be replaced by another of the same quality) | 332.18 | cynn <br> (cp. OE cynn 'kind, sort, species') | 293.G7 | II.v. 3 |
| gratia <br> (cp. L gratia 'favour, esteem, love, friendship; act of grace by the emperor') | 332.19 | gefe <br> (OE gyfu 'gift; favour, clemency; thanks, gratitude') | 293.G5 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { VI.xxix.38, } \\ & \text { VII.iii.20, } \\ & \text { X.G.113 } \end{aligned}$ |
| gentem <br> (cp. L gens 'major group of several families (really or supposedly) descending from an ancestor') | 332.20 | cynn <br> (OE cynn 'race, nation, people') | 293.G14 (gentes) | IX.vi. 22 |
| heres <br> (cp. L heres 'heir') | 332.21 | erfeweard <br> (cp. OE <br> yrfeweard 'heir') | 294.H4 | IX.v. 1 |
| hereditas | 332.22-3 | erfevardise | 294.H10 <br> (hereditatis) | V.xxv. 1 |

[^17]| (cp. L hereditas 'inheritance’) |  | (cp. OE yrfeweardes 'inheritance') |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| institutus <br> (cp. L instituire 'to put in place, plant, fix, set'; heres institutus 'designated heir') | 332.2 | ingesetted <br> (cp. insettan'to instruct, train', <br> cp. OE settan) | 295.I26 (cp. 294.H8: heres institutus) | V.xxiv. 15 (heres institutus) |
| ius intigri <br> (cp. L ius integrum ‘unimpaired legal right'; restitutio in integrum 'reinstatement into the former legal position') | 333.3 | reht befvile' <br> (cp. OE riht; be fullan <br> 'completely, in full') | 295.I18 (in <br> integrum) | -- 52 |
| iuris iurandi <br> (cp. L iusiurandum 'oath') | 333.3-4 | rehtes <br> gesvorenes <br> (cp. OE riht; swerian 'to swear') | 294.I9 (ius iurandum) | -- |
| ius quiritum <br> (cp. Lius Quiritum 'ancient national law of the Romans') | 333.4 | reht romwala <br> (cp. OE riht; <br> rōmwalh <br> 'Roman') | 294.I15 | V.ix |
| in iure <br> (cp. L in iure 'legally; before the judicial magistrate'; iusiurandum in iure 'oath given during a judicial trial') | 333.5 | vnreht <br> (cp. OE unriht 'wrong, injustice') | 294.I13 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { throughout (e.g. } \\ & \text { V.xxiv.26, } \\ & \text { V.xxv.23) } \end{aligned}$ |
| iudicio <br> (cp. L iudicium 'trial, judgement') | 333.5-6 | dome (cp. OE dōm 'judgement') | -- ${ }^{53}$ | XVIII.xv. 2 |
| interim <br> (cp. L interim 'meanwhile', used to refer to the time between two legally important events) | 333.7 | ða hvile (cp. OE hwīl 'period of time'; pā hwīle 'in the meantime') | ---54 | V.xxv. 33 |

[^18]| kapite <br> (cp. L caput 'head; civil status of a person') | 333.8 | gefoað <br> (cp. gefōn 'to grasp, seize, take') | 295.4 (capite decinit for capite decedit) | X.C. 54 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| kaduca <br> (cp. L caducus 'that falls, falling, fallen'; caduca <br> 'testamentary dispositions made in favour of persons who, according to certain statutes, could not acquire under a will; inheritance which became vacant because of the incapacity of the heir or the legatee or due to other reasons') | 333.8-9 | да geheno <br> (cp. OE gehȳne 'liable to fall', OE gehȳnan 'to lay waste, destroy; oppress, afflict') | 295.3 | V.xxv. 8 |
| kalendas <br> (cp. L kalendae 'first day of a month; usually the day fixed for the payment of debts and interests) | 333.9 | begeongenise <br> (cp. OE begangnes ‘observance, celebration') | 295.12 (kalendae) | V.xxxiii.12-13 |
| karitas <br> (cp. L caritas 'love, affection'; used in some legal texts as a psychological and humane element that had to be taken into consideration in some legal situations that required mild and benevolent treatment) | 333.9-10 | lvfv <br> (cp. OE lufu 'love') | $\begin{aligned} & 295.7 \text { (cp. } 295.8- \\ & 10) \end{aligned}$ | VII.iii.18, VIII.ii. 6 |
| licet <br> (cp. L licere 'to be permitted by law or custom'; licet 'although, even if') | 333.10 | gidaefnað <br> (cp. OE gedafenian'to be fitting, appropriate') | 296.2 | throughout (e.g. V.19) |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { lex } \\ \text { (cp. L lex 'law') } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 333.11 | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \alpha \\ \text { (cp. OE } \bar{\alpha} \bar{e}^{\prime} \text { law') } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 296.1 | II.x.1, V.iii.2-3 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { legem } \\ & \text { (cp. L lex) } \end{aligned}$ | 333.12 | -- | 296.3 | II.x.1, V.iii.2-3 |


| ludo <br> (cp. L ludere 'to play (at a game)', ludus 'game, sport'; ludi 'public games organised by the state or individuals to win the favour of people') | 333.13 | ic besvico <br> (cp. OE beswīcan 'to delude, deceive; entrap') | 296.10 (ludus) | XVIII.16.1-2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| modo <br> (cp. L modo 'almost, nearly; now'; modus 'measure, limit; duty imposed in acts of liberality on the beneficiary; condition') | 333.14 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline n u \\ & \text { (cp. OE } n \bar{u} \text { 'now') } \end{aligned}$ | 296.15 | IV.ii |
| manu mittit <br> (cp. L manumittere 'to free a slave') | 333.14 | honde sendeð <br> (cp. OE hand 'hand', sendan 'to send, throw, cast') | $\begin{aligned} & 296.11 \\ & \text { (manumitti) } \end{aligned}$ | IX.iv. 48 |
| magis <br> (cp. L magis 'more'; often used to give preference to one legal opinion over another) | 333.16 | mara <br> (cp. OE māra 'more, greater') | 296.10 | throughout (e.g. <br> I.xvii.7) |
| mandatis <br> (cp. L mandatum 'command, order; consensual contract by which a person assumed the duty to conclude a legal transaction or perform a service for free') | 333.16 | bebodum <br> (cp. OE bebod 'command, order; commandment') | -- | V.xxiv. 20 |
| manu missa <br> (cp. L manumittere) | 333.17 | honde gesendeð <br> (cp. OE hand 'hand', sendan 'to send, throw, cast') | $\begin{aligned} & 296.12 \\ & \text { (manumissus) } \end{aligned}$ | IX.iv. 48 |
| meum | 333.18 | min <br> (cp. OE min 'my, mine') | -- | ---55 |

[^19]| (cp. L meus 'my'; meum 'my property') |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| mentem <br> (cp. L mens 'mind, disposition; intention, volition, purpose') | 333.18 | ðoht <br> (cp. OE $p \bar{o} h t$ 'mind, thought; purpose') | 296.21 | X.D. 79 |
| manifestum <br> (cp. L manifestare 'to make public, manifest'; used of imperial constitutions by which a legal rule is settled; furtum manifestum 'a theft detected when being committed’) | 333.19 | geypped <br> (cp. OE yppan 'to bring out, open, manifest') | 296.9 | throughout (e.g. <br> VI.xvii.16) |
| mortis tempore <br> (cp. L mors 'death', tempus) | $\begin{aligned} & 333.19- \\ & 334.20 \end{aligned}$ | deaðes tide <br> (cp. OE dēab 'death', tīd 'time, period') | 296.16 | (VIII.xi. 51 and X.M.183: mors) |
| maximus <br> (cp. L maximus 'large, great, big'; optimus maximus: words added in sales or legacies of immovables to indicate the legal and factual conditions of the land or building: optimus indicated that it was free from easements, maximus that it had the size stated by the seller) | 334.20-1 | maast <br> (cp. OE mäest 'biggest; mostly’) | 296.8 (maximum) | throughout (e.g. I.xxvii.15) |
| mandatis <br> (cp. L mandatum) | 334.23 | bebodum <br> (cp. OE bebod) | -- | V.xxiv. 18 |
| mandatvm <br> (cp. L mandatum) | 334.23 | gebod <br> (cp. OE gebod 'command, order; commandment') | -- | V.xxiv. 18 |
| mulier <br> (cp. L mulier <br> '(married) woman') | 334.1 | vif <br> (cp. OE $w \bar{i} f$ 'woman; wife') | 226.4 | I.xxiii. 1 |
| mulierum (cp. L mulier) | 334.1-2 | wifmonna | -- | I.xxiii. 1 |


|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { (cp. OE wīfmann } \\ & \text { ‘woman') } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| nihil <br> (cp. L nihil 'nothing'; nihil agere 'to perform an act which is legally invalid') | 334.5 | noht <br> (cp. OE näht 'nothing; useless, bad, poor') | 297.15 | X.N. 185 |
| obprobrium <br> (cp. L opprobrium 'reproach, taunt, abuse; an ignominious, disgraceful doing') | 334.10 | scoma <br> (cp. OE scamu 'shame, disgrace, dishonour') | -- | throughout (e.g. <br> XVI.xxi.2) |
| officium <br> (cp. L officium 'service; kindness, favour, moral duty originating in family relationship or friendship; duty connected with the defence of another's interests; official duties of any person employed in public service and the office of a magistrate together with his personnel') | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 334.10- \\ & 335.11 \end{aligned}$ | hernise <br> (cp. OE hȳrnes 'hearing; obedience; duty, service') | -- | $\begin{aligned} & \text { throughout (e.g. } \\ & \text { V.xxxiii.12) } \end{aligned}$ |
| ordinem <br> (cp. L ordo 'sequence, (proper) order; the order in which a group or class of successors under praetorian law are admitted to inheritance; order in which citizens are called to fulfil social services; social class or professional group'; ordo iuris 'rule of law') | 335.11 | endebred' <br> (cp. OE endebyrdnes 'row, series, rank, order') | -- | $\begin{aligned} & \text { throughout (e.g. } \\ & \text { V.xxv.6) } \end{aligned}$ |
| populus <br> (cp. L populus 'people, nation; all citizens; all men gathered together in a popular assembly') | 335.15 | folc <br> (cp. OE folc 'people, nation; general populace; people entitled to participate in the administration of law') | 298.13 | throughout (e.g. <br> I.xxiii.1: populus <br> Romanus) |


| peccunia <br> (cp. L. pecunia 'money; property') | 335.15 | feh <br> (cp. OE fēoh 'cattle; money; property') | 298.10 | X.P. 209 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| possessionem <br> (cp. L possessio 'factual, physical control of a corporeal thing; possession') | 335.17 | agnvng <br> (cp. OE ägnung 'possession, ownership') | 298.21 | XV.xiii. 3 |
| pretorium <br> (cp. L praetorium 'military unit serving as bodyguards to the emperor; residence of a provincial governor'; praetorius 'retired praetor (highest official or magistrate)'; praetorius 'of or associated with the praetor, praetorian') | 335.18 | cefertvn' <br> (cp. OE cafertūn 'enclosure, forecourt; entrance; palace') | -- | XV.ii. 29 (see also V.xxiv.6) |
| proprio <br> (cp. L proprius ‘belonging to a certain person as his own'; proprio (suo) nomine 'on one's own behalf') | 335.18-19 | syndrigne <br> (cp. OE syndrig 'separate; private, peculiar') | -- | $\begin{aligned} & \text { throughout (e.g. } \\ & \text { V.v) } \end{aligned}$ |
| proconsul <br> (cp. L proconsul 'an ex-consul whose magisterial power was prolonged and was entrusted with the administration of a province') | 335.19 | $f^{\prime} e$ froefrend $t$ <br> (cp. OE fore 'before', fréfrend 'one who consoles or comforts') | -- | IX.iii. 8 |
| priuilegium <br> (cp. L privilegium 'legal enactment concerning a specific person or case and involving an exemption from common rules') | 335.20 | syndurce <br> (cp. OE synderā 'special law') | -- | V.xviii |
| pater familias | 335.20-1 | feder hiwisc | -- | IX.v. 7 |


| (cp. L pater familias 'head of a family') |  | (cp. OE faeder 'father', hīwisc 'household, family') |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| prouincia <br> (cp. L provincia 'sphere of action of a magistrate with imperium; territory outside Italy assigned to a Roman magistrate; official duty, office') | 335.21 | тжед <br> (cp. OE $m \bar{e} g \partial$ 'family group, clan; province') | -- | XIV.v. 19 |
| quęstio <br> (cp. L. quaestio 'questioning, enquiry; question, issue disputed; form of criminal proceedings; type of court') | 336.8 | geflit <br> (cp. OE geflit ‘dissension, discord; argument, debate; legal dispute, lawsuit') | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 298.23 (ср. } \\ & \text { 298.24: } \\ & \text { quaestionem) } \end{aligned}$ | VI.viii. 15 |
| rem <br> (cp. L res 'corporeal and incorporeal thing; entire property of a person; object of the controversy and litigation itself; physical delivery of the object of litigation to a person') | 336.10 | ðing <br> (cp. OE ping 'thing; motive, reason; event, affair; lawsuit;) | 299.15 | V.xxv.1-3 |
| rem puplicam <br> (cp. L res publica 'state; sum of the rights and interests of the Roman people; public property') | 336.10-11 | caserdom <br> (cp. OE cāserdōm 'rule of the emperor') | $299.7 \text { (ср. 299.8: }$ <br> res publica) | throughout (e.g. I.XXIII.1) |
| recte dari <br> (cp. L recte 'correctly, rightly'; dare 'to give') | 336.11-12 | rehtelice gesealla <br> (cp. OE rihtlīce 'justly, properly', sellan 'to give') | 299.5 (recte date) | (V.xxv.3: recte) |
| rerum <br> (cp. L res) | 336.12 | ðingana <br> (cp. OE ping) | 299.9 | V.xxv.1-3 |
| rebus (cp. L res) | 336.13 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { fingv’ } \\ & \text { (cp. OE ping) } \end{aligned}$ | 299.11 | V.xxv.1-3 |
| res | 336.14 | aeht | 299.10 | V.xxv.1-3 |


| (cp. L res) |  | (cp. OE $\bar{c} h t$ 'possession, property') |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| sufficit <br> (cp. L sufficere 'to suffice'; often used of an action or another procedural remedy available to a person for putting forward his case) | 336.15 | genog fremað <br> (cp. OE genoh 'enough', <br> fremman' to do good, bring about benefit') | 299.9 | throughout (e.g. <br> II.xxvi.7) |
| sors <br> (cp. L sors 'lot; lot to be the plaintiff in an inheritance trial; plot of ager publicus assigned to a member of a colony; sum lent at interest') | 336.16 | gehlytte <br> (cp. L gehlyta rare, hlēotan 'to cast lots') | 299.19 | X.B. 51 |
| sententia <br> (cp. L sententia 'opinion, judgement, thought; final judgement in a trial given by a judge or a judicial official’) | 337.20 | ondsvare <br> (cp. OE andswaru 'answer; solution') | 299.13 | II.ix. 9 |
| testamentum <br> (cp. L testamentum '(Old and New) Testament; solemn act by which a testator instituted one or more heirs to succeed to his property after his death') | 337.1-2 | gecyðnisse <br> (cp. OE (ge)cūbnes 'testimony, witness; covenant, testament') | 330.9 | V.xxiii, V.xxiv. 2 |
| testamento <br> (cp. L testamentum) | 337.2-3 | try(m)nisse <br> (cp. trymnes 'strengthening, confirmation; exhortation, instruction') | (cp. 330.11: testamentorum) | V.xxiii, V.xxiv. 2 |
| tempus <br> (cp. L tempus 'time, period', often used in particular phrases, such as 'certum tempus 'fixed day or interval of time by which certain legal acts have to be carried out') | 337.4 | tiid (cp. OE tīd) | 300.3 (tempore) | throughout (e.g. <br> XIII.xi.20) |


| uero <br> (cp. L verus 'true, real, authentic', vero 'in truth, in fact') | 337.5-6 | hvoeðre <br> (cp. OE hwapere 'but, nevertheless') | 300.U6 | throughout (e.g. <br> III.v.l, V.xvi) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| иегит <br> (cр. L verus; verum est ' it is true, correct', expression commonly used in juristic writings to underscore indisputable opinions or to limit a previous rule to a specific situation) | 337.6 | soд <br> (cp. OE soð' 'true, genuine, real') | -- | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { throughout (e.g. } \\ & \text { V.xxvi.9) } \end{aligned}$ |
| uxor <br> (cp. L uxor 'wife, married woman; strictly speaking, only the wife of a Roman citizen') | 337.7 | gibedd <br> (cp. OE gebedda 'bedfellow wife; husband') | -- | IX.vii.11-12 |
| utilis <br> (cp. L utilis 'useful'; used of legal acts, transactions and procedural steps which have been, or can be, successfully achieved in a given situation) | 337.7 | ðorfast <br> (cp. OE porfest 'useful', pearf 'needful, necessary') | 330.5 | X.V. 274 |
| existimo <br> (cp. L existimare 'to assume, consider' $)^{56}$ | 338.8 | ic gere vat <br> (cp. OE gearwe 'well, clearly, for certain', witan 'to know') | -- | throughout (e.g.X.S.244) |

Table 1: Legal terms in Aldred's list and their equivalents in relevant comparanda

## Aldred's glosses to the legal terms

Aldred's list includes an interesting combination of words (cp. Isidore's explanation on the use of notae iuris quoted above). While some words / phrases have a very clear legal

[^20]meaning, the legal colouring of others is much less obvious because they could also be used in non-legal contexts. In fact, we could separate the words into three groups (words given in alphabetical rather than manuscript order):

1) Words which do not have a specialised legal meaning per se and it is only when they are used in a specific legal context (including set phrases) that the legal overtones become clear: amplius, bellum, dolus, existimo, facta, facto, fides, filius, forte, frater, gaius, gentem, genus, institutus, interim, kalendas, karitas, magis, manifestum, maximus, mentem, mulier, mulierum, nihil, obprobrium, proprio, sufficit, tempus, uero, uerum, utilis, uxor.
2) Words which are polysemous and can have either a general meaning or a specialised legal meaning, a trait that is particularly common of what Rupert Haigh calls 'legal terms of art', ${ }^{57}$ as well as groups of homonymous words not all of which have a legal meaning: actionem, auctio, augusti, augustus, beneficium, bonorum, breuem, breuis, confessus, dotes, edicti, fugiens, fundi, kaduca, kapite, licet, ludo, mandatis, mandatum, meum, modo, officium, ordinem, peccunia, populus, possessio, quęstio, rebus, rem, rerum, res, sententia, sors, testamento, testamentum.
3) Words and phrases which are most clearly part of the legal technolect and are systematically used in a legal sense: causa cognita, ciues romanus, crinem (for crimen), fide commissum, filio iuris (probably for filio sui iuris), hereditas, heres, in iure, ius intigri (possibly for in integrum, part of the phrase restitutio in integrum), ius quiritum, ius iurandi, legem, lex, manu missa, manu mittit, mortis tempore, pater familias, pretorium, priuilegium, proconsul, prouincia, recte dari, rem puplicam.
[^21]The lexemes that Aldred chooses to render the terms in the three categories (and elsewhere in his work) share a number of trends: ${ }^{58}$

1) Attempts to capture the morphological structure of the Latin lemma as well as the general meaning (cp. above, note 4): consider, for instance, the loan-translations ingesetted as the gloss for institutus, where we have direct correspondence between the prefixes and past participles in the two terms; ${ }^{59}$ and welfremming, where OE wel renders L bene and a noun that belongs to the OE fremman 'to do good, bring about, benefit' word field renders the second part of the Latin compound, which is part of the L facere 'to do, make, construct' word field. ${ }^{60}$ Elsewhere in Durham A.iv. 19 Aldred prefers OE welfremnes, which simply differs from the gloss under

[^22]consideration with regard to the nominal suffix, as the gloss for L beneficium. ${ }^{61}$ Nonetheless, the glossaries associated with the Canterbury school show that OE fremu 'act of kindness, good deed; profit advantage' by itself would probably have been a more idiomatic choice. ${ }^{62}$ Interestingly, there are some cases where Aldred's attempts to capture the morphological makeup of the Latin word lead him down erroneous paths: e.g. the fact that vnreht renders in iure suggests that Aldred interpreted L in not as a preposition but as a prefix used to create antonyms, while $f^{\prime} e$ froefrend as the gloss for proconsul indicates that he associated L consul with L consolare 'to console' rather than L consulere 'to deliberate, take counsel, meet and consider'. These attempts to mirror the morphology and the etymology of the lemma are fully in keeping with the medieval belief (well exemplified by Isidore himself) that one needs to combine morphological and etymological explanations in order to capture the true meaning of a word. ${ }^{63}$ Notably, though, when translating the term in the list of Roman imperial offices, Aldred approaches it from a cultural rather than an etymological / semantic and morphological perspective, trying to explain the unfamiliar office to an Anglo-Saxon audience (although, since this is Aldred we are talking about, he cannot avoid the use of yet another morphologically based loan-translation: OE forelātteow; cp. OE fore 'before' and lātteow 'leader, guide, general'): proconsul sub consule fuit : f'elatwa vnder herges larwv vaes (343.223). This gloss comes closer to other attempts that we see in the Canterbury-related glossaries,

[^23]which capture Isidore's explanation; thus, the Antwerp-London Glossary equates L consul with OE gerefa and L proconsul with OE undergerefa on the basis of Etymologies IX.iii. 6 and IX.iii.8. ${ }^{64}$
2) Lack of consistency: ${ }^{65}$ e.g. while mulier is rendered by $\mathrm{OE} w \bar{\imath} f$, the compound OE wīfmann is chosen as the translation for mulierum. Similarly, OE ping is Aldred's preferred interpretamentum to render L res (see further below), but on one occasion he opts for $\mathrm{OE} \bar{e} h t$, which only covers one specific area of the Latin word's semantic space. The various ways used to refer to Roman citizens (portver romanisc vs romwala) further exemplify this trend, although this variation is also likely to have been caused by Aldred's interest in mirroring the morphosyntactic structure of the Latin lemma.

[^24]3) Choice of interpretamenta that reflect the meaning of the terms in religious rather than legal contexts: ${ }^{66}$ e.g. L fides is rendered by OE gelēafa and lufu (in the legal phrase fide commisssum), the terms which often translate it in religious texts, ${ }^{67}$ while OE trūwa 'fidelity, trust, belief' and members of its word field are more common in legal contexts when the discussion involves one's trustworthiness rather than one's Christian belief (e.g. VI Æthelstan $\S 10$, I Æthelred $\S 1$, etc.). ${ }^{68} \mathrm{OE}$ trūwa is also the term that we find in the Harley Glossary when rendering the legal phrase: fidei commissum : on treowe gelceton (F365). Aldred's rendering of kalendas (discussed above) and L testamentum paint a similar picture. The semantic spaces of L testimonium and testamentum overlap in that both of them could refer to a covenant, particularly God's covenant with humanity (reflected by the Old and New Testaments). Religious texts make this overlap clear, for there OE $c \bar{y} p n e s$, the term often used to refer to the

[^25]${ }^{68}$ See Liebermann, I. 182 and 216.
provision of testimony (see above), renders either term when expressing this concept. ${ }^{69}$ OE trymnes as a gloss for L testamentum appears to have been characteristic of Aldred's idiolect, an association probably arising from the fact that a testament is, after all, the confirmation (cp. OE trymman 'to strengthen, affirm, confirm') of one's wishes. ${ }^{70}$ Yet, neither OE cȳpnes nor OE trymnes captures the legal association of Lestamentum with the process of inheritance distribution; this is precisely what the Canterbury-related glossaries highlight, preferring OE $y r f e b \bar{e} c$ (cp. OE yrfe 'inheritance' and bōc 'book') instead (e.g. nos 290 and 325 in the Antwerp-London Glossary, and nos I.415, I. 416 and O. 211 in the First Cleopatra Glossary). The compound hehsyn as the gloss for crinem (read crimen) might provide a further example here, as the former is only used in religious contexts (Aldred's own glosses being particularly prominent) as a reference to sins. ${ }^{71}$ However, as noted in Table 1, the simplex OE synn is also recorded in secular texts, including law-codes, meaning 'crime, misdeed, wrong, ${ }^{72}$

Beyond these general trends, we also see some differences in Aldred's responses towards the different types of words:

1) Words whose legal meaning is purely contextual: as one might expect, these words are rendered by interpretamenta without any clear association with the Old English legal technolect.

[^26]2) Words (and homonyms) with legal and non-legal meanings: we see here the same trend not to engage specifically with the legal meaning of the word and render the term instead in relation to its more general sense. One of the clearest examples is Aldred's glossing of kaduca, where he recognises the nominalised character of the term but simply emphasises the concept of falling and does not try to explain its more specialised meaning, in contrast to what we find in the Canterbury-related glossaries: bona caduca : quibus nemo succedit (no. B345 in the Harley Glossary), ${ }^{73}$ and intestata hereditas : ungewriten yrfe eadem et caduca (no. I 418 in the First Cleopatra Glossary), which show familiarity with the explanation in Etymologies, V.xxv.8: "An inheritance is called caduca (i.e. property without an heir), because its heirs have died (cadere)". ${ }^{74}$ The preference for non-legal interpretations can transcend lexical boundaries, as in the case of gefoað (imperative plural) and ic besvico ( $1^{\text {st }}$ person singular present indicative) as glosses for kapite and ludo, respectively; the glosses suggest that the Latin terms are taken to be verbal (L capere 'to take, seize' and ludere 'to play') rather than nominal forms. On some occasions, though, because a polysemous Latin term is rendered by a similarly polysemous Old English word, the latter can also be used with a legal sense: e.g. the rendering of confessus with ondeten, dotes with geafo, ${ }^{75}$ various forms

[^27]of L mandatum with OE gebod, officium with OE hernise, peccunia with feh, populus with folc, possessionem with OE agnvng and various forms of L res with OE ping. ${ }^{76}$ These terms capture to a great extent the various meanings of the Latin interpretamenta and could be taken as examples of Aldred's attempts to show the semasiological alignment of various Latin and English words, ${ }^{77}$ even if, as expected, those alignments don't always fall into place neatly: for instance, quęstio has as its core meaning the concept of enquiry or questioning while Aldred's geflit refers primarily to the existence of dissension or discord, although the latter could also mean 'a matter of dispute, debate' and they can both refer to legal proceedings (albeit, different aspects thereof). ${ }^{78}$
3) Words / phrases which only have a legal meaning: it is no surprise that these are the words where Aldred demonstrates some knowledge of the Latin legal technolect most clearly. This is the case not only with words that the Anglo-Saxons would have encountered frequently (e.g. his rendering of heres, hereditas, iudicio and priuilegium, on which see above), but also with words that are most specifically associated with the Roman context: e.g. various forms of OE cāsere for L Augustus and caserdom for rem puplicam are clear pointers to the context where the lemmata apply. ${ }^{79}$ However, as noted at various points in this paper, Aldred does not show the same interest in making correspondences between the Latin and Old English

[^28]legal technolects and exploring the specific meaning of the legal terms as the various glossaries (ultimately) associated with the Canterbury school, to the extent that some words that elsewhere might have received glosses appropriate to a legal context are here rendered following his common trend to show more concern for morphological structure than cultural knowledge. For instance, when rendering L iusiurandum in the Lindisfarne Gospels, Aldred makes sure that he captures the general meaning of the complex with OE $\bar{a} p$ 'oath' while also attempting to mimic its structure somewhat with the use of a past participle of OE swerian 'to swear' to replicate the gerund form of L iurare (cp. að gesuoerenum in Matthew 6:26, and að paet gesuoren in Luke 1:73). Nonetheless, in the context under investigation, by rendering it as rehtes gesvorenes, he prefers to create a close loan-translation rather than explaining what the term actually meant (cp. his rendering of proconsul, discussed above). While this approach might give the reader at least some indication of the meaning of the term / phrase in some cases (e.g. Aldred's rendering of causa cognita, ciues romanus, fide commisssum, filio iuris, ius intigri, ius quiritum, pater familias, recte dari), it is not very helpful in others. Given these examples, one might think that Aldred is especially keen to prioritise a morphological rendering with regard to legal terms that are characteristically Roman and have less obvious relevance in an Anglo-Saxon context, but this is also the approach that he takes when rendering various forms of L manumittere, a verb which, as noted above, was often used in Latin texts from Anglo-Saxon England (cp. manumissus : gefreod in the First Cleopatra and Harley Glossaries; nos M360 and M4189, respectively). The morphological structure of the expression is likely to have been as influential in Aldred's rendering as the applicability of the terms to a contemporary context, if not more.

## Conclusions

This paper has explored Aldred's glosses to a series of (Roman) legal terms which he provided as expansions of the notae iuris that he included together with the various educational materials in quire XI of Durham A.iv.19. His scholarly and glossarial activities in this manuscript have traditionally received much less attention than the glosses he added to the Lindisfarne Gospels, although recent work has shown that they have much to offer to our understanding of Aldred's language, interests and cultural context. The list at the centre of this paper has received even less attention than other texts in this quire because it is not a typical example of either a glossary or an encyclopaedic note. Nonetheless, this study has shown that following paths hardly trodden by scholars interested in Aldred's work, in terms of both the textual and philological perspective (in this case, his handling of one specific lexico-semantic field), can be very fruitful for our understanding of Aldred's lexical practices, his scholarly interests and connections (and, by association, those of his community) and the cultural life of Anglo-Saxon England more broadly.

While other scholars have noticed Aldred's keenness on exotic vocabulary and have attributed some of his handling of different languages to his desire to "flex his vocabulary muscles", ${ }^{80}$ this paper has taken further the findings presented in Pons-Sanz (2018) and has shown that his lexico-semantic interests did not extend as far as the legal technolects of Latin and Old English. This argument has implications for discussions about the linguistic relationships between the Lindisfarne and Durham glosses and the extent of Aldred's authorship: even though in other lexical matters the two glosses have been shown to exhibit significant differences, ${ }^{81}$ that is not the case as far as the principles underlying the rendering

[^29]of legal terms are concerned. Therefore, this work offers further evidence in favour of common authorship of the two glosses and against Aldred's role as mere copyist of preexisting translations of the Gospels.

More importantly for the specific focus of this paper, this analysis should make us question John Winkler's statement that, with the glosses under consideration here, Aldred "explained to students the meaning in Old English of caduca, fidecommissum and other Roman legal concepts". ${ }^{82}$ If by "explained" we mean that Aldred provided literal translations, often mirroring the structure of the lemmata and reflecting the more general sense of the Latin term, this suggestion stands unproblematically. If we mean instead that he attempted to indicate how these terms functioned in the Roman legal system by providing equivalent terms in an Anglo-Saxon context, Winkler's statement requires thorough revision. In fact, Aldred's handling of the notae iuris is not dissimilar to his approach to the notes on the eight parts of which Adam was made, and on warm and cold breath (fol. 86). Dekker has shown that the information presented in those texts is somewhat discordant, which leads him to conclude that Aldred "was presumably unaware of the significance of his material". ${ }^{83}$ In a similar way, Aldred does not capitalise to the same extent as the Canterbury-related glossaries on the didactic opportunities offered by the list of notae iuris.

This should not be taken as an indication that he did not take his job as an educator seriously. His careful guidance of a less highly trained companion (Scribe B) in the writing and linguistic interpretation of a prayer against poison included in fol. 61r of Durham A.iv. 19 offers clear evidence to the contrary. ${ }^{84}$ The principles behind his glossing of legal terms

[^30]might be puzzling if one assumes that the list of notae iuris is a context where the focus is to make sense of the technicalities of legal documents. However, while Aldred's role as a provost (see above, note 2 ) and the community of St Cuthbert's numerous landed possessions might have led him and other members of the community to engage with practical legal affairs often, ${ }^{85}$ the little that we know about the make-up of the community's library does not suggest that the study of legal texts was an important component of the community's educational activities. ${ }^{86}$ Aldred's glossing practices and the fact that he not incorporate the abbreviations in our list elsewhere in his work can be taken as further evidence in that respect. ${ }^{87}$

The present paper has also shown that Aldred's list of notae iuris does not show clear direct connections with Isidore's Etymologies. This applies to the list of abbreviations itself and also to his glosses for the expanded forms. With some possible exceptions, the latter suggest that Aldred was unaware of Isidore's explanations on Roman legal terms and their transmission through the Southumbrian glossarial tradition. This finding has implications on various fronts. In terms of Aldred's educational connections and background, the work carried out here complements Philip Rusche's exploration of possible Isidorian influence on Aldred's glosses to the Lindisfarne Gospels by providing a further case study, ${ }^{88}$ for it is

[^31]precisely in this type of highly technical vocabulary where one would have expected to find Isidore's influence. As is the case here, and pace Boyd, ${ }^{89}$ Rusche does not find any strong evidence of Aldred's familiarity with Isidore's work. Given that this is one of the features that characterise the glosses produced under the influence of the Benedictine Reform, this evidence can be used to argue against Aldred's direct connection with this movement, even if he shared some of its concerns. ${ }^{90}$

In terms of the sources for Roman legal studies available to the Anglo-Saxons, Aldred's list of notae iuris is highly significant because it offers a very different picture from the Southumbrian, Canterbury-related glossaries. The latter suggest that, while significant and fairly chronologically and spatially widespread, the study of Roman law in Anglo-Saxon England was rather textually limited because its main source was Isidore's Etymologies. Admittedly, we cannot easily glean yet the full implications of the non-Isidorian evidence offered by Aldred's list because its direct source remains unknown, but this situation might change as Anglo-Latin studies continue to develop and more work is done on the medieval Latin manuscripts kept in continental libraries.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ His hand has also been identified in Oxford, Bodleian Library, MS Bodley 819, where he added sporadic Latin glosses to Bede's commentary on Proverbs. Neither 'Collectar' nor 'Ritual' are fully appropriate terms to describe the whole of Durham A.iv. 19 (see below); accordingly, I follow Karen Jolly, Community, in referring to this manuscript by its classmark instead.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ The dating of the two sets of glosses relies on the information that Aldred provides in the two colophons: in the colophon to the Lindisfarne Gospels (fol. 259r) he identifies himself as a presbyter, a term commonly translated as 'priest', while in the colophon to Durham A.iv. 19 (fol. 84r) he tells us that he is a profast 'provost' and that he has copied four prayers in honour of St Cuthbert on "Wednesday, Lawrence's Feast Day (the moon being five nights old), before Tierce", i.e. Wednesday $10^{\text {th }}$ of August. This makes 970 the most likely year for his work on (at least this part of) Durham A.iv.19. If one allows for a number of years for him to progress up the community's ranks, ca. 950 seems an appropriate date for the composition of the Lindisfarne gloss (see Ross, Stanley and Brown, 26-32; and Jolly, Community, 1-2). See, however, Roberts, 48-51, for an argument in favour of understanding presbyter as a higher rank than 'priest', perhaps 'dean', which would suggest that there does not need to be such a large chronological gap between the two glosses. On these titles, see further Tinti, 6773 , with references.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ The publication of three collections of essays on Aldred's work, particularly the glosses to the Lindisfarne Gospels and their context, in quite close proximity is a testament to renewed interest in these texts: Fernández Cuesta and Pons-Sanz, Gameson and van Gelderen. The articles in these collections cover all the issues mentioned above (see also their references).
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    ${ }^{12}$ Jolly, Community, 175-8.
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    ${ }^{15}$ Dekker, "Anglo-Saxon".
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    ${ }^{17}$ Dekker, "Vernacularization", 71.
    ${ }^{18}$ Cp. Jolly, "Prayers".
    ${ }^{19}$ Dekker, "Vernacularization", 66.
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    ${ }^{24}$ Jolly, Community, 175.
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    ${ }^{36}$ Barney et al., 128.
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[^21]:    ${ }^{57}$ Haigh, 7-8.

[^22]:    ${ }^{58}$ In keeping with the aim of this paper, the discussion below focuses on the lexeme chosen to render each expanded form, not the specific grammatical form of each Old English lexeme, which does not always coincide with that of the Latin lemma: e.g. proprio, a masculine or neuter, dative or ablative, singular form of the adjective L proprius is rendered by syndrigne, an accusative, masculine, singular form of the adjective OE syndrig. Lack of grammatical correspondence between Latin and Old English forms is not uncommon in Aldred's work (cp. Ross), although this particular example could also be associated with the trends towards accusative-dative syncretism that we find in Aldred's work (cp. Fernández Cuesta and Rodríguez Ledesma).
    ${ }^{59} \mathrm{Cp}$. the $D O E$, s.v. ingeseted.
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[^24]:    ${ }^{64}$ See Porter, Antwerp-London, nos 128-9; and id., "Legal", 216-7. OE undergerefa is similarly a loan-translation, but one that attempts to capture what the role actually entailed; cp. Lazzari, "Bilingual". It is only attested here and in Ælfric's works, which is not surprising if we consider that Ælfric's Glossary and the Antwerp-London Glossary are likely to derive from the same source, even though Ælfric does not share the glossator's interest in Roman legal terms; see Pons-Sanz, "Legal Glossaries". Interestingly, $f$ 'e froefrend is the first interpretamentum in one of the (empty) double glosses in the list: it is followed by $t$ (the standard abbreviation for L vel 'or') but no alternative rendering is provided. Given Aldred's use of OE forelätteow to translate the same Latin term later on in the work, this context is in keeping with other empty glosses in Durham A.iv.19, where the presence of $\ell$ seems to indicate that there are other possible interpretations and that this is a term to ponder over, as suggested by Jolly, Community, 177, when discussing gescir $\ell$ as the empty double gloss for actionem (cp. Jolly, "Process", 369).
    ${ }^{65}$ Cp. Pons-Sanz, "Study", 312-3.

[^25]:    ${ }^{66}$ Cp. Pons-Sanz, "Legal Vocabulary". Jolly, Community, 178, points out that Aldred’s religious habits also come through when dealing with abbreviations that are not solely associated with the legal technolect: $q s \sim(336.5)$ is expanded as quasi, a conjunction meaning 'as if, as though', but, when glossing it, Aldred seems to have thought of the abbreviation in a religious context, where it often means quaesumus 'we request' (cp. Lindsay, Notae, 214-5), and glossed it accordingly (viz. we biddas) before recognising his mistake and adding the appropriate gloss for the expanded form he had actually written, viz. svae (cp. OE $s w \bar{a}$ ' if , as if; so').
    ${ }^{67}$ E.g. both are given as alternatives in Aldred's gloss to Matthew 8:10 in the Lindisfarne Gospels, although Aldred tends to prefer OE gelēafa to render L fides. On Aldred's reliance on these terms to render L fides, see further Jolly, "Letter", 132-5.

[^26]:    ${ }^{69}$ See the $D O E$, s.v. $c \bar{y} p n e s$, sense 2.
    ${ }^{70} \mathrm{Cp}$. Pons-Sanz, "Legal Vocabulary", 581.
    ${ }^{71}$ See the $D O E$, s.v. hēahsynn.
    ${ }^{72}$ Aldred's rendering of forms of L lex with OE $\bar{e}$ might provide a further example of a Latin legal term being translated with an Old English word more closely associated with religious texts, but this is not as clear as with other terms; see Pons-Sanz, "Legal Vocabulary", 575.

[^27]:    ${ }^{73}$ This is one of the cases in which the Harley Glossary does not simply follow the Corpus Glossary (see above, note 33), where an equivalent entry, capturing the more general sense of the term, reads as follows: cp. bona caduca : facultates quae non habent firmitatem (B.217). ${ }^{74}$ Barney et al., 121.
    ${ }^{75}$ Notably, in other glossaries OE gyfu / gifu receives some premodification in order to account for the more specific meaning of L dos as 'dowry': e.g. OE morgengyfu in the Antwerp-London Glossary, no. 333; and the possible compound weddgyfu in the Harley Glossary, no. D799 (see the DOE, s.v. gyfu, gifu, sense A.3.a.iv).

[^28]:    ${ }^{76}$ On the legal uses of OE $\bar{a} g n u n g,(g e)$ andett $(i) a n, f e \overline{o h}$, folc and hy$r n e s$, see their respective entries in the DOE; on the legal sense of OE ping, see Pons-Sanz, "Legal Vocabulary", 572. Cp. as well the rendering of the polysemous causa (part of the legal phrase causa cognita) with intinga.
    ${ }^{77}$ But cp. OE $\bar{c} h t$ as the gloss for L res, discussed above.
    ${ }^{78}$ See the $D O E$, s.v. geflit, sense 3.b.
    ${ }^{79} \mathrm{Cp}$. DOE, s.vv. cāserdōm and cāsere.

[^29]:    ${ }^{80}$ Jolly, Community, 178; see also ibid., 176; and id., "Process", 363-5.
    ${ }^{81}$ See, for instance, Pons-Sanz, Lexical, 265-9, on differences with regard to their use of Norse-derived terms.

[^30]:    ${ }^{82}$ Winkler, 106.
    ${ }^{83}$ Dekker, "Aldred's", 590.
    ${ }^{84}$ Jolly, Community, 155-62; and id., "Process".

[^31]:    ${ }^{85}$ On the community's possessions, see Pons-Sanz, Analysis, 23-30.
    ${ }^{86}$ See Lawrence-Mathers, 16-26, on what we know about the volumes that were in place in 1083, when William of St Calais replaced the community's clerks with Benedictine monks.
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    ${ }^{87}$ See Jolly, Community, 178; and id., "Process", 364-5.
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