

Appendix 2

Equipment table

	Study ID	Author	Primary equipment model detail	Speed/Power	Baseline suction?	Comparative study?	Procedure Duration	Additional Information
Ultrasonic n=44	5	Balcos et al. 2019	Woodpecker UDS-K piezoelectric ultrasonic scaler	3 frequency levels (3-5) tested	YES Simple or surgical suction (comparison)	Simple v surgical suction 3 frequencies tested	10 min	Comparison of simple or surgical suction testing 3 ultrasonic frequency levels
	6	Barnes et al. 1998	magnetostrictive ultrasonic scaler	25,000 cycle per second	YES Large diameter (8x10mm) disposable suction tip (HVE) (3-5cm away from operating site). attached to the central evacuation unit to capture aerosols	No	30 Seconds	
	8	Bentley et al. 1994	NOT STATED	NOT STATED	YES Conventional saliva ejector	No	30 minutes (aerosol with ultrasonic)	

9	Choi et al. 2018	NOT STATED	NOT STATED	NOT STATED	Mouthrinse -gargling with and without 0.01% chlorhexidine before US scaling	not stated		
	10	Chuang et al. 2014	Bobcat Cavitron, Dentsply	25 kHz power (supplied with distilled water)	YES Regular-power fluent suction (low volume)	No	30 sec gargling Scaling time: approx 15 min	
	16	Devker et al. 2012	Piezoelectric with ultrasonic inserts [Varios (550 NSK Japan)]	NOT STATED	YES Low volume suction High volume suction - HIGH VOLUME EVACUATOR COMPARISON WITH & WITHOUT HVE (vacuum of HVE attachment standardised at 140 mm Hg)	High Volume Evacuator (HVE) with and without suction 3 grps: (i) Mouthrinse - Rinse of 0.2% chlorhexidine gluconate prior to scaling. (ii): HVE attachment during scaling (iii): Mouthrinse - Rinse of 0.2% chlorhexidine gluconate prior to scaling & use of HVE attachment. Control grp: split-mouth design No suction or mouth rinse	10 min	Comparison with and without HVE suction

						and Mouthrinse and HVE alone or together		
21	Feres et al. 2010	Cavitron Select, Dentsply with distilled water	NOT STATED	NOT STATED	Mouthrinse: (i) 0.05% CPC (ii) 0.12% CHX (iii) water (iv) no rinse	10 min	N/A	
22	Fine et al. 1992	Cavitron Model 3000, Dentsply International, York, PA.	Medium setting	NOT STATED	Mouthrinse: (i) antiseptic (ii) 5% hydroalcohol control rinse	10 min	mouthwash split mouth	
23	Fine et al. 1993	Cavitron Model 3000, Dentsply	NOT STATED	NOT STATED	No	ultrasonic scaling 5 min (hand scaling 30 min)	Air flow vacuum set at 55 cubic feet/hour.	
24	Fine et al. 1993	MODEL DETAILS: NOT STATED	SPEED/POWER: NOT STATED	NOT STATED	Control v antiseptic mouthrinse	10 min	N/A	
25	Graetz et al. 2014	3 devices 1) sonic scaler AIR (Synea, W&H, Bürmoos, Austria) and 2). Two ultrasonic hand-	NOT STATED	YES Saliva ejector and high-speed evacuation	Compared high volume cannula tips	2 min	1st arm: saliva ejector; 2nd arm: high-speed	

		pieces *TIG (Tigon+, W&H, Bürmoos, Austria) and *VEC (Vector, Dürr, Bietigheim-Bissingen, Germany) with slimline tips		(standardized suction of 300 S ml/min and a depression of 180 mbar)			evacuation system
29	Greenier 1995	MODEL DETAILS: NOT STATED	NOT STATED	NOT STATED	No	Ultrasonic scaling=15 min	
31	Gupta et al. 2014	MODEL DETAILS: Piezoelectric	Not stated	YES MOTORIZED SUCTION	YES 3 GROUPS - mouthrinse a) HRB; 2) 0.2% chlorhexidine gluconate (CHX) and 3) water	30 min	High volume suction
32	Hallier et al. 2010	MODEL DETAILS: NOT STATED	NOT STATED	YES HIGH VOLUME SUCTION	YES Compares with different procedures - cavity prep, H&E and tooth extraction	NOT STATED	Suction? HVA
33	Harrel et al. 1996	MODEL DETAILS: NOT STATED	Scaler set at full power from 3 settings	YES COMPARISON with and without High Volume Evacuator attachment (used at 140mm/Hg)	With and without High Volume Evacuator attachment	1 min	water coolant volume 17.5ml per min

	34	Harrel et al. 1998	<p>MODEL DETAILS</p> <p>x4 US scalers - 1)Autotuned Magnetostrictive 25,000-Hz, 2)Autotuned Magnetostrictive 30,000-Hz , 3)Manually Tuned Magnetostrictive 25,000-Hz and 4)Autotuned Piezoelectric 42,000-Hz.</p> <p>Tested with variety of INSERTS and CONTROL Gracey 1/2 hand curette</p>	<p>SPEED/POWER:</p> <p>inserts tested at high, med and low and 2 power settings for manually tuned unit - tuned/detuned</p>	NO	Comparison of ultrasonic power levels and tip	3 sec	No coolant water
	37	Holloman et al. 2015	<p>MODEL DETAILS</p> <p>30kh Cavitron Select SPS with Dentsply 30k slimline scaling tip</p>	<p>water dispensed & power settings -50% power 50% lavage.</p>	<p>YES -</p> <p>LOW VOLUME SUCTION</p>	<p>YES</p> <p>LOW VOLUME SUCTION v LOW VOLUME SUCTION WITH IOSLITE DEVICE</p>	<p>Mean (SD) Times scaling- Control 10.08 (2.75) & Test 9.92 (2.25)</p>	<p>suction - set on high & low</p>
	41	Jawade et al. 2016	<p>MODEL DETAILS: NS (universal tip)</p>	NOT STATED	<p>YES</p> <p>- HIGH VOLUME SUCTION ALL</p>	<p>Ultrasonic Coolant-</p> <p>(i) Distilled water (ii) Povidone iodine (iii) Chlorhexidine</p>	20 minutes	N/A

44	Kaur et al. 2014	MODEL DETAILS: NOT STATED ultrasonic scaler (universal tip)	NOT STATED	YES - SALIVA EJECTOR FOR ALL	Mouthrinse- (i) 0.2% Chlorhexidine (ii) Povidone iodine (iii) irrigation Ozone	10 minutes	Saliva ejector
45	King et al. 1997	MODEL DETAILS: magnetostrictive ultrasonic scaler Cavitron Model 3000, Dentsply & univernal insert	NOT STATED	YES - WITH AND WITHOUT HIGH VOLUME SUCTION TIP (disposable high-volume suction tube attached to the handle of the ultrasonic scaler and surrounded the tip of the insert)	WITH AND WITHOUT HIGH VOLUME SUCTION TIP (aerosol reduction device)	5 minutes	NA
48	Labaf et al. 2011	MODEL DETAILS: Cavitron (Dentsply, USA)	Not stated	NOT STATED	Compares endodontic, prosdthodontic and periodontic treatments CFUs	3 hours	NA
90	Miller et al. 1971	MODEL DETAILS: Cavitron Ultrasonics Inc ultrasonic curette	NOT STATED	NOT STATED	No	30 sec	

52	Mohan and Jagannathan 2016	MODEL DETAILS: NOT STATED SPEED/POWER: NOT STATED		NOT STATED	Preprocedural Mouthrinse - 0.2% chlorhexidine v Saline	NOT STATED	N/A
54	Narayana et al. 2016	MODEL DETAILS: EMS ultrasonic scaler	NOT STATED	YES - COMPARISON WITHOUT and WITH high volume evacuation ran at 30–40 psi kg/cm ² .	Mouthrinse of chlorhexidine 0.12% alone With HVE & rinse v without HVE & rinse And without HVE or mouthrinse	NOT STATED	High volume evacuation
55	Neiatidanesh et al. 2013	MODEL DETAILS: Cavitron, Dentsply, Addlestone, UK	NOT STATED	NOT STATED	Compares splatter generated by Periodontal and Prosthetic procedures	44 min (average duration of the procedure)	N/A
59	Prospero 2003	MODEL DETAILS: NOT STATED	NOT STATED	NOT STATED	No	NOT STATED	N/A
60	Purohit et al. 2010	MODEL DETAILS: Magnetostrictive scaler	30 kHz, with a water pressure of 0.3 MPa during each treatment.	NOT STATED	Mouthrinse - with chlorhexidine 0.12% v without (water rinse)	NOT STATED	N/A
61	Ramesh et al. 2015	MODEL DETAILS: Piezoelectric scaler unit	NOT STATED	YES - HIGH VOLUME SUCTION ALL	Mouthrinse - with chlorhexidine 0.12% v without (saline). Grp3 - topical solution of 1.5%	5 min	High vacuum suction

						hydrogen peroxide pre CHX rinse		
62	Rao et al. 2015	MODEL DETAILS: Piezoelectric	NOT STATED	YES - Motorized suction ALL		Mouthrinse - chlorhexidine 0.2% v without rinse	30 min	Motorized suction
64	Reddy et al. 2012	MODEL DETAILS: NOT STATED	NOT STATED	NOT STATED		Mouthrinse - (3 groups) (1) tempered chlorhexidine 0.2% v (2) non-tempered chlorhexidine 0.2% v (3) sterile water	NOT STATED	N/A
65	Retamal- Valdes et al. 2017	MODEL DETAILS: Cavitron Select, Dentsply, York, Pa	Frequency of 25 kHz on less than 50% power	NOT STATED		Mouthrinse - (i) 0.075% CPC, 0.28% Zn, 0.05% F rinse v (ii) Water v (iii) chlorhexidine 0.2% v (iv) no rinsing	10 min	N/A
66	Rivera-Hidalho et al. 1999	MODEL DETAILS: (Cavitron 3000)+ (S) design insert (Through flow insert TFI-10, Dentsply Preventive Care)+(F) design insert (Focused spray insert FSI-10, Dentsply Preventive Care, York, PA).	30,000 cycle per second set on max. power	YES/NO - WITH & WITHOUT AEROSOL REDUCTION DEVICE (ARD)		WITH & WITHOUT AEROSOL REDUCTION DEVICE for x2 inserts - focused spray and standard ultrasonic insert	1 min	N/A

	69	Sadun et al. 2020	MODEL DETAILS: NOT STATED	SPEED/POWER: NOT STATED	NOT STATED	Mouthrinse -20 mL of Listerine v dyed sterile water (control)	NOT STATED	N/A
	70	Saini 2015	MODEL DETAILS: EMS ultrasonic scaler	SPEED/POWER: Coolant water flow and power settings adjusted to a medium mode and coolant water flow volume adjusted to 15 ml per min	NOT STATED	Mouthrinse- (i) Chlorine Dioxide ClO ₂ (ii) water (iii) 0.2% CHX	10 min	N/A
	71	Sawhney et al. 2015	MODEL DETAILS: NOT STATED	SPEED/POWER: Medium power setting and medium water pressure	YES/NO - WITH AND WITHOUT HIGH SUCTION DEVICE	SPLIT-MOUTH DESIGN WITH AND WITHOUT HIGH SUCTION DEVICE Mouthrinse- (i) Water (ii) Chlorine Dioxide ClO ₂ (iii) Listerine	NOT STATED	High volume evacuation
	72	Serban et al. 2013	MODEL DETAILS: NOT STATED	SPEED/POWER: NOT STATED	NOT STATED	Mouthrinse- (i) Sterile Water	NOT STATED	

						(ii) 0.1% Chlorhexidine		
73	Sethi et al. 2019	MODEL DETAILS: NOT STATED	SPEED/POWER: NOT STATED	YES - SALIVA EJECTOR ALL	Ultrasoninc coolant- (i) Chlorhexidine (ii) Cinamon extract (iii) Distilled water	20 min		
74	Shetty et al. 2013	MODEL DETAILS: NOT STATED	SPEED/POWER: NOT STATED	NOT STATED	Mouthrinse- (i) Water (ii) 0.2% Chlorhexidine (iii) Tea tree oil	10 min		N/A
76	Singh et al. 2016	MODEL DETAILS: Piezoelectric ultrasonic unit	SPEED/POWER: 'Constant' frequency and 'constant' water coolant pressure	YES - motorized suction ALL	No	20 min		Motorized suction
78	Swaminathan et al. 2014	MODEL DETAILS: Piezoelectric ultrasonic unit.	SPEED/POWER: Unit set at 7 KiloHertz for all patients	YES - High speed evacuator/ suction used for ALL.	Mouthrinse- (i) Saline (ii) 0.2% Chlorhexidine (iii) Herbal	30 min		N/A

80								
		Timmerman et al. 2004	MODEL DETAILS: Piezoelectric ultrasonic scaler (Piezo Master 400, EMS, Nyon, Switzerland) with an exchangeable 250 ml coolant reservoir	SPEED/POWER: NOT STATED	YES - either high-volume evacuation (HVE) canula of 8.0mm in diameter suction flow of 6.0 l/min for HVE or conventional dental suction (CDS) canula of 3.3mm in diameter with a suction flow of 1.1 l/ min for CDS	High volume evacuation (HVE) & conventional dental suction (CDS)	40 min	The use of the HVE and the CDS was randomly assigned within each patient. For both types of suction, regular, commercially available, disposable tubes were used: a canula of 8.0mm in diameter with a suction flow of 6.0 l/min for HVE and a canula, 3.3mm in diameter with a suction flow of 1.1 l/ min

								for CDS (Fig. 2). The HVE was handled by an assistant.
83	Veena et al. 2015	MODEL DETAILS: Autotuned Magnetostrictive ultrasonic scaler	SPEED/POWER: 25,000 Hz/	YES - SIMULTANEOUS USE OF A CONVENTIONAL LOW VOLUME SALIVA EJECTOR	No	15 min	low volume saliva ejector	
85	Watanabe et al. 2013	MODEL DETAILS: Solfy F, Morita Mfg. Corp., Tokyo	SPEED/POWER: NOT STATED	NOT STATED	No	NOT STATED	N/A	
87	Yamada et al. 2011	MODEL DETAILS: NOT STATED	SPEED/POWER: NOT STATED	NOT STATED	PROCEDURES 3RD MOLAR EXT, FULL CROWN PREP, INLAY CAVITY PREP AND ULTRASONIC SCALING	NOT STATED	Extraoral evacuator systems (2 at different distances 50cm, 100cm)	