

# ORCA - Online Research @ Cardiff

This is an Open Access document downloaded from ORCA, Cardiff University's institutional repository:https://orca.cardiff.ac.uk/id/eprint/140563/

This is the author's version of a work that was submitted to / accepted for publication.

Citation for final published version:

Zhang, Yun, Zhang, Fang-Lue, Lai, Yu-Kun and Zhu, Zhe 2021. Efficient propagation of sparse edits on 360 panoramas. Computers and Graphics 96 , pp. 61-70. 10.1016/j.cag.2021.03.005

Publishers page: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cag.2021.03.005

Please note:

Changes made as a result of publishing processes such as copy-editing, formatting and page numbers may not be reflected in this version. For the definitive version of this publication, please refer to the published source. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite this paper.

This version is being made available in accordance with publisher policies. See http://orca.cf.ac.uk/policies.html for usage policies. Copyright and moral rights for publications made available in ORCA are retained by the copyright holders.



## Efficient Propagation of Sparse Edits on 360° Panoramas

Yun Zhang<sup>a,\*</sup>, Fang-Lue Zhang<sup>b</sup>, Yu-Kun Lai<sup>c</sup>, Zhe Zhu<sup>d</sup>

<sup>a</sup>College of Media Engineering, Communication University of Zhejiang, Hangzhou, 310018, China <sup>b</sup>School of Engineering and Computer Science, Victoria University of Wellington, Wellington, 6012, New Zealand <sup>c</sup>School of Computer Science and Informatics, Cardiff University, Cardiff, CF24 3AA, UK <sup>d</sup>Department of Radiology, Duke University, Durham, NC 27705, USA

Post Print Submitted to Computers & Graphics

## ABSTRACT

We present an efficient method to propagate sparse user edits indicated by strokes on 360° panoramas. Our algorithm first projects each equirectangular pixel to its corresponding position on a 3D unit sphere, so each pixel can be characterized by a feature vector consisting of its 3D coordinates and RGB color values. We formulate edit propagation as an optimization problem that aims to satisfy the user edit constraints while preserving the manifold structure of the image at the same time. To solve the problem using a linear system efficiently, we first construct the K-D tree structure in the feature space to cluster pixels. Then we optimize the manifold structure where both the number of nearest neighbors and their corresponding weights are determined by the feature distributions. We further apply a multiresolution strategy to speedup the edit propagation. Our method is the first to perform interactive edit propagation on 360° panoramas. Experiments show that our method is able to generate seam-free and visually pleasing results, and users can receive instant feedback during interactive editing.

Keywords: 360° panoramas, sparse strokes, edit propagation, manifold-preserving, adaptive KNN

#### 1. Introduction

360° panoramas allow recording a 360° view of the place being photographed, which has been used as an important source of Virtual Reality (VR) media. The most immersive way to view 360° panoramas is to use a dedicated head mounted display (HMD) like the Oculus Rift or HTC Vive. Due to its recent 6 popularity, support for viewing panoramic contents has been added in traditional image viewers, where users can drag to view different parts of a panorama. While most recent works focus on panorama generation and compression [1], less attention 10 has been paid to panorama editing. Directly applying planar im-11 age editing techniques to 360° panoramas is both inappropriate 12 and inefficient for the following reasons: 1) 360° panorama is 13 essentially defined on a spherical surface, which means directly 14

applying distance metric used for 2D planar images is problem-<br/>atic, and can lead to visible seams and inconsistent propagations<br/>after editing (see e.g. Figs. 7,9); 2) panoramic images usually<br/>contain more content than planar images as they cover the en-<br/>tire 360° field-of-view, making the computational complexity<br/>and memory cost much higher.1520

Edit propagation is one of most important problems in im-21 age editing, which aims to propagate pixel-wise edits indicated 22 by users' strokes to all the pixels in the image, with similar 23 pixels nearby receiving most influence. The edits could be the 24 amount of color adjustment, relighting, transparency value for 25 defogging or matting, etc. Traditional image edit propagation techniques [2, 3, 4, 5, 6] map all the pixels to a 5D feature space 27 (r, g, b, x, y) where r, g, b correspond to colors, and x, y are the pixel coordinates in 2D image plane. They favor the pixels with 29 similar features to receive similar edits as the pixels covered by 30 strokes and formulate this as an optimization problem to max-31 imize that similarity while maintaining image structure. Dif-



Fig. 1. Visualization of distortions in a 360° panoramas.

ferent from the above methods, we take 360° panoramas using the equirectangular representation. Under this setting, 2 the actual horizontal distance between the points represented 3 by two neighboring pixels decreases along with increasing lat-4 itude. We visualize this distortion by the Tissot's indicatrices 5 over a equirectangular 360° image in Fig. 1. This gives an intuition that common 2D distance metrics poorly describe the spatial relationship between pixels in an equirectangular image. 8 In this paper, we lift pixels on the 2D image plane to their 3D 9 spherical positions, where their actual distances can be approxi-10 mated. More specifically, in an efficient KNN (K-nearest neigh-11 bor) search step, the distance between two pixels is calculated 12 as their Euclidean distance in 3D coordinates. Then in the prop-13 agation step, the accurate big circle distance is used for effective 14 propagation. The 3D spherical position along with RGB color 15 channels form a 6D feature space (r, g, b, x, y, z), and we use the 16 method of [7] to preserve the high-dimensional manifold struc-17 ture, which helps to propagate users' edits in a spatially consis-18 tent manner. Since the optimization is performed over all the 19 pixels, and the main computational cost lies in the optimization 20 that involves solving a system of linear equations with the same 21 scale as the number of pixels, we need to reduce the scale of 22 the linear system since a typical panorama contains millions of 23 pixels. To achieve this without degrading the visual quality, we 24 propose to use two techniques for acceleration. We first clus-25 ter all pixels using a K-D tree structure in the feature space and 26 just use the node corners of the K-D tree to approximate original 27 pixels. This significantly reduces computation time and saves 28 memory as the number of corners is orders of magnitude less 29 than that of pixels. Then we just need to optimize the manifold 30 structure of all the node corners by the adaptive KNN (K nearest 31 neighbor) method where the number of nearest neighbors and 32 the corresponding weights are determined by the feature dis-33 tributions. For instant edit propagation in ultra high-definition 34 panoramas, we further propose a multiresolution approach. 35

Inspired by previous edit propagation methods for planar im-36 ages [2, 3, 4, 5, 6], our edit propagation on 360° panoramas is 37 formulated as an optimization that aims to maximize the simi-38 larity of the edits between pixels with similar features with the 39 following constraints: 1) edits on stroke pixels need to be main-40 tained; 2) the amount of edits on other pixels should be deter-41 mined by their distance to stroke pixels in the feature space. 42 Since pixels of 360° images are essentially distributed on a 43 sphere, their distance should be measured by the great circle 44

distance<sup>1</sup>, which poses a challenge for the optimization. By 45 approximating the great circle between two points by the line 46 segment connecting them, we can approximate the manifold by 47 a linear structure locally, and the problem can be formulated us-48 ing a linear system and solved efficiently. This approximation 49 maintains the ordering of distances compared with great circle 50 distances, and is a more accurate approximation for close pixels 51 which are more important for edit propagation. 52

To the best of our knowledge, we are the first to propose a stroke-based editing approach on 360° panoramas, and users only provide sparse strokes, thus avoiding the laborious and tedious regions of interest (ROIs) selection. We summarize our main contributions as follows:

- We propose the first stroke-based edit propagation method on 360° panoramas, which provides seam-free and visually pleasing editing results by introducing the spherical distance metric and constructing the manifold structure for each pixel in the spherical domain.
- To achieve instant feedback when propagating edits on ultra high-definition 360° panoramas, we further develop an efficient solution to propagate user-specified edits while preserving the spherical manifold structure and editing quality.

### 2. Related Work

#### 2.1. Edit Propagation

The pioneering work in edit propagation, proposed by An and Pellacini [8], created a simple and intuitive interface for image editing, where users only provide sparse inputs (usually strokes) while the algorithm propagates the edits to the proper regions in the rest of the image, based on the pixel-level affinities. Due to the huge number of pixels, formulating the affinity-based edit propagation as an energy minimization problem that involves an all-pixel-pair propagation energy makes it infeasible to solve instantly. One way for acceleration is to use clusters [9, 10] to represent pixels as their linear combinations, which significantly reduces the number of unknown variables. By reformulating edit propagation as a function interpolation problem in a high-dimensional feature space, Li et al. [6] efficiently solved the problem using radial basis functions. Another interpolation based method, proposed by Yatagawa et al. [11], approximated the edit parameters with convex combinations of samples, which can achieve a better accuracy in terms of colors and edit parameters. Another acceleration approach worth mentioning is the hierarchical data structure based method [12] which achieved scalable edit propagation.

Besides the efficiency improvements, much research attention has been paid to improving the visual quality of edit propagation. To avoid visual artifacts after editing, Ma et al. [13] proposed an algorithm to mitigate the aliasing artifacts. While

00

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>A *great circle* is any circle that circumnavigates the sphere and passes through the center of the sphere. The great circle distance is the arc length between two points on the great circle that passes through the two points.

the method is simple to implement, it achieves excellent antialiasing results. Chen et al. [7] first proposed manifold preserving edit propagation. By representing each pixel as a linear combination of its neighbors in the feature space, their method is more robust to color blending in the input data compared with previous methods. Manifold preserving edit propagation can be accelerated by K-D trees [5] or quad-trees [2]. These approaches however require adequate user inputs to ensure editing quality. To address this, some frameworks [14, 15] target reducing the burden at the user end by only requiring a small 10 amount of user guidance. Besides edit propagation on images, 11 Yatagawa et al. [16] proposed a temporally coherent video edit-12 ing method on a frame-by-frame basis. 13

Recently, machine learning based methods, especially deep 14 learning based approaches, have been successfully applied to 15 edit propagation. Oh et al. [17] formulated the edit propagation 16 as a classification problem which can be efficiently solved using 17 a support vector machine (SVM) to support high-resolution im-18 age inputs. Chen et al. [4] proposed to utilize sparse dictionary 19 learning to improve the memory efficiency while maintaining a 20 high visual fidelity. Zhang et al. [18] proposed a color decom-21 position method for flexibly recoloring images while preserving 22 the inherent color characteristics. Endo et al. [3] trained a deep 23 neural network on users' strokes and used the trained model to 24 determine in which regions to propagate the edits. 25

While all the above methods achieve promising edit propagation results on 2D planar images/videos, directly applying them
to 360° panoramas without considering 3D spatial relations between pixels leads to unsatisfactory results.

#### 30 2.2. 360° panorama editing

360° media, consisting of 360° videos and images, is a great 31 way in VR applications to provide users with an immersive ex-32 perience. Due to the limitations in devices, directly capturing 33 360° panoramas using an ultra-wide camera suffers from im-34 age quality loss, especially in the regions far from the image 35 center. Therefore, the most popular way in 360° panorama cre-36 ation is stitching together multiple images captured by a multi-37 camera rig [19, 20]. Then proper blending techniques [21] can 38 be used to ensure a smooth transition between overlapping im-39 ages. However, existing multi-camera rigs usually cannot cap-40 ture the entire 360° field of view, and completion [22] tech-41 niques are usually applied to hole regions which often appear 42 at the top or bottom of the panorama. A detailed survey about 43 panoramic content creation can be found in [23]. The quality of 44 the constructed panoramas can be evaluated using visual qual-45 ity assessment [1]. Typical editing operations, such as copy-46 and-paste [24], can be adapted to 360° panoramas by consid-47 ering the sphere geometry constraint embedded in panoramic images. Such constraints also need to be taken into account 49 in panoramic image classification [25, 26] and object detec-50 tion [27] in panoramas. 51

#### 52 3. Our Approach

#### 53 3.1. Overview

As shown in Fig. 7 (left), the input of our method is an equirectangular 360° panorama and user-specified strokes indicating the desired edits (with different colors indicating differ-56 ent types of appearance editing, e.g., a red stroke means recol-57 oring to red, while a black stroke means keeping unchanged). In 58 our framework, each pixel of the input 360° panorama is char-59 acterized by a 6-dimensional feature vector  $\mathbf{f}_i = (\mathbf{c}_i / \sigma_c, \mathbf{p}_i / \sigma_n)$ , 60 where  $\mathbf{c}_i$  refers to its RGB color and  $\mathbf{p}_i$  refers to its 3D posi-61 tion (x, y, z) on a unit sphere.  $\sigma_c$  and  $\sigma_p$  are used to balance the 62 importance of the two components. 63

To project the 2D coordinate of a pixel  $P(x_p, y_p)$  (See Fig. 2) in an equirectangular image (width = W, height = H) to its 3D spherical coordinate (x, y, z), we first calculate its longitude and latitude coordinates ( $\lambda, \phi$ ) on the sphere by

$$\begin{cases} \lambda = ((x_p + 0.5)/W - 0.5) * 2 * \pi \\ \phi = (0.5 - (y_p + 0.5)/H) * \pi. \end{cases}$$
(1)

Then, we calculate its 3D coordinates on a unit sphere, where we set the 3D point (1,0,0) as the original point, and set its longitude and latitude to 0. Finally the 3D coordinates can be calculated as

$$\begin{cases} x = \cos\phi\cos\lambda \\ y = \cos\phi\sin\lambda \\ z = \sin\phi. \end{cases}$$
(2)

Because 360° panoramas are essentially defined on sphere, 64 the actual distance between pixels cannot be measured correctly 65 by directly applying the Euclidean distance metric on (x, y) im-66 age coordinates. Instead, using (x, y, z) spherical coordinates 67 can reflect the actual positions of pixels and guarantee correct 68 edit propagation on the spherical domain. It not only conforms 69 to the spherical nature of 360° images, but also simplifies and 70 accelerates the KNN search on sphere, thus can well preserve 71 the spherical manifold structure in edit propagation. 72

We formulate the  $360^{\circ}$  panorama edit propagation as an optimization problem, where we encourage the solution to preserve the edits on pixels within the strokes while propagating the edits to nearby pixels in the feature space, making the editing results more natural. Inspired by [2, 5, 7], our optimization for edit propagation is formulated in a manifold-preserving framework.

In the following subsections, we first describe how to construct the manifold on 360° panoramas. Then we present the mathematical formulation of the edit propagation on panoramas, which is an energy minimization defined on pixel edits. We also provide several techniques to speed up the computation, including K-D tree clustering, adaptive KNN and multiresolution speedup.

#### 3.2. Manifold construction on 360° panoramas

Inspired by [28], we use Locally Linear Embedding (LLE) to map a high dimensional space to a low dimensional manifold, with the intuition that each feature point can be approximately described by a linear combination of its neighbors. The weight of each neighbor is calculated by minimizing the following objective:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \|\mathbf{f}_{i} - \sum_{j=1}^{K} w_{ij} \mathbf{f}_{n_{ij}}\|^{2},$$
(3)

86

73

74

75

76

77



Fig. 2. Transformation of equirectangular coordinates into spherical coordinates.

where *N* refers to the number of pixels, *K* is the number of neighbors used for a pixel.  $w_{ij}$  is the weight for the  $j^{\text{th}}$  neighbor of the  $i^{\text{th}}$  pixel, satisfying  $\sum_{j=1}^{K} w_{ij} = 1$ .  $n_{ij}$  is the pixel index of the  $j^{\text{th}}$  neighbor of the  $i^{\text{th}}$  pixel.  $\mathbf{f}_i$  is the feature vector of the  $i^{\text{th}}$ pixel and  $\mathbf{f}_{n_i}$  is the feature vector of its  $j^{\text{th}}$  neighbor.

To minimize the above defined energy function, we first need to find out the neighbors for each pixel. Existing K-nearest neighbor (KNN) search techniques are designed based on the 8  $L^2$ -Norm between the feature vectors, which means that all di-9 mensions will be treated equally when calculating the distance. 10 However, on the spherical surface, the spatial distance between 11 two points should be the great-circle distance, i.e., the length 12 of the arc connecting them, see Fig. 2. To be compatible with 13 existing KNN search techniques, we instead use the Euclidean 14 distance (P'O') between the two points to approximate their 15 great-circle distance  $(\widehat{P'Q'})$ , since the Euclidean distance can be 16 easily obtained by calculating the  $L^2$ -Norm between their coor-17 dinates in 3D space. This is also a reasonable approximation 18 since only spatially close pixels are of concern in this process, 19 and we can utilize efficient KNN search technologies (such as a 20 21 K-D tree) to calculate the top K nearest neighbors of each pixel. The weight matrix **W**, which is an  $N \times K$  matrix and whose ele-22 ment  $w_{ii}$  is defined in Eq. 3, can be obtained by solving a sparse 23 linear system [28]. 24

#### 25 3.3. Manifold Preserving Edit Propagation

We denote users' edit for each pixel as  $g_i$  and its propagated edit as  $e_i$ . Note that  $g_i$  is known and  $e_i$  is the target to optimize. To obtain the optimal  $e_i$  for every pixel in a manifold preserving manner, we define the following energy function [7]:

$$E = \sum_{i=1}^{N} u_i (e_i - g_i)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{N} (e_i - \sum_{j=1}^{K} w_{ij} e_{n_{ij}})^2, \qquad (4)$$

where  $u_i \in \{0, 1\}$  is the edit indicator, used to indicate whether there is user edit on pixel *i* (0 - no user edits, 1 - otherwise). The first term of the energy function encourages the final result to follow the users' edits, while the second term preserves the manifold structure of the panorama. We do not give weights to them since we think those two terms are of equal importance.

#### 32 3.4. Optimization

Since the energy function defined in Eq. 4 is quadratic, it can be minimized by solving a large sparse linear system. However, directly solving it is costly since the complexity of the linear system depends on the pixel number N (in the order of millions for panoramas) and neighborhood size K of each pixel. For instant feedback, we prefer smaller N and K, and propose the following acceleration strategies. We use a K-D tree to cluster all the pixels in the feature space to reduce the number of unknowns in the above objective, see Section 3.4.1. We also propose adaptive KNN to adaptively determine the neighborhood size K and weights of neighbors, see Section 3.4.2. For further acceleration, we propose a multiresolution speedup strategy, see Section 3.4.3. By combining those strategies, we achieved significant acceleration without loss of the quality of editing results.

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

59

#### 3.4.1. K-D Tree Construction

As in [5, 9], we apply the K-D tree structure to cluster the pixels of the input 360° panorama in the feature space. With this hierarchical data structure, the objective can be rewritten as a function of the K-D tree node corners instead of pixels, which largely reduces the number of unknowns and order of magnitude. With M (M << N) indicating the number of node corners of the K-D tree, the energy function in Eq. 4 can be rewritten as:

$$E = \sum_{i=1}^{M} m_i^2 (\widetilde{u}_i (\widetilde{e}_i - \widetilde{g}_i)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{M} (\widetilde{e}_i - \sum_{j=1}^{k} w_{ij} \widetilde{e}_j)^2), \qquad (5)$$

where *i* enumerates all node corners of the K-D tree;  $\tilde{u_i} \in [0, 1]$ 49 and  $\widetilde{g}_i$  refer to the edit strength and the value at node corner *i*, 50 which are defined as a weighted sum of all pixels in the neigh-51 boring tree nodes.  $m_i$  is used to define the multiplicity of pixels 52 contributing to the node corner (see [5] for more details).  $w_{ii}$ 53 represents the weights of neighboring node corners of node cor-54 ner *i*, which are used to reconstruct the manifold structure over 55 all node corners. With the edited result  $\tilde{e_i}$  on each node corner, 56 we calculate the edit on each pixel through a multiple linear 57 interpolation using its enclosing node corners. 58

#### 3.4.2. Adaptive KNN

After the K-D tree construction, the manifold structure is reconstructed using the node corners, and now KNN refers to the *K* nearest corners. In this section, we propose to optimize the manifold structure using the *adaptive KNN*, which adaptively determines the number of nearest neighbors and their corresponding weights according to the feature distributions. See Fig. 3, the fixed K strategy is not optimal, and it always requires larger K to ensure satisfying editing results. Inspired by [5], we propose to use an adaptive K to achieve a good balance between the efficiency and visual effects. Observing that different regions in the spherical domain require different numbers of neighbors to preserve their local manifold structure (e.g., a K-D tree node corner with many close-by neighbors in the feature space or regions in high latitude require fewer neighbors), we define the local density of a node corner as the averaged  $L^2$ -Norm to its  $K_d$  neighbors.

$$d_{i} = \frac{1}{K_{d}} \sum_{j=1}^{K_{d}} ||\mathbf{f}_{i} - \mathbf{f}_{n_{ij}}||_{2},$$
(6)

where  $K_d$  is a constant and refers to the number of neighbors used to calculate density, and we set  $K_d = 8$  in this paper;  $\mathbf{f}_i$ ,  $\mathbf{f}_{n_{ij}}$ are the feature vectors of node corners i and its  $j^{th}$  neighbor corner, respectively. Then the adaptive number of neighborhood  $K_i$ for each node corner *i* is defined as:

$$K_i = \cos(a \cdot \theta) \cdot \frac{d_i - d_{min}}{d_{max} - d_{min}} (K_{max} - K_{min}) + K_{min}, \quad (7)$$

where  $d_{min}$ ,  $d_{max}$  refer to the minimum and maximum distances between all neighbors respectively, and  $K_{min}$ ,  $K_{max}$  define the dynamic range of the number of neighbors. Since the 360° panorama is severely stretched near the polar regions in its equirectangular representation, we use  $\cos(a \cdot \theta)$  as a weight to give less impact to node corners closer to the poles of the sphere.  $\theta$  is the latitude, and *a* is used to constrain the weight change. In our experiments, we set  $K_{min} = 2$ ,  $K_{max} = 8$  and 8 a = 0.6.

In general, minimizing Eq. 5 preserves the manifold structure of each node corner. To speedup the minimization, we propose to optimize the manifold structure by further magnifying the weights of similar node corners, while reducing the weights of nodes with large differences, which is defined as:

$$\widetilde{w}_{ij} = \frac{w_{ij} \cdot (1 + \beta \zeta_{ij})}{\sum_{j=1}^{K_i} w_{ij} \cdot (1 + \beta \zeta_{ij})},$$
(8)

where

$$\zeta_{ij} = 1 - S\left(\sum_{k=0}^{2} (\mathbf{f}_{i}^{k} - \mathbf{f}_{n_{ij}}^{k})^{2} + f_{arc}\left(\sum_{k=3}^{5} (\mathbf{f}_{i}^{k} - \mathbf{f}_{n_{ij}}^{k})^{2}\right)\right).$$

 $S(\cdot)$  is the **Sigmoid** function defined as  $S(x) = 1/(e^{-x} + 1)$ , which can smoothly map variable values from 0 to 1, and we use it to adjust the weights of neighbors of a point, where similar node corners get larger weights.  $\zeta$  refers to the sum of color distance and position distance on the sphere, and we set  $\beta$  = 0.5 in our implementation.  $\mathbf{f}_{i}^{k}$  denotes the  $k^{\text{th}}$  component of the feature vector of the *i*<sup>th</sup> node corner, where k = 0, 1, 2 refers to the r, g, b values, and k = 3, 4, 5 refers to the x, y, z coordinates on the unit sphere. As shown in the right of Fig. 2,  $f_{arc}(\cdot)$  maps the Euclidean distance (P'Q') to the great circle distance (P'Q'), which is defined as:

$$f_{arc}(d) = (2 \arcsin(\sqrt{d/2}))^2.$$
 (9)

This mapping makes the adjustment of weights better correlates 10 to the actual distance on the sphere. 11

#### 3.4.3. Multiresolution Speedup with Downsampling and Guided Filtering based Refinement

360° panoramas are born to be high-resolution, and typically contain tens of millions of pixels, which makes the KNN search, 15 K-D tree construction and interpolation very time-consuming. For efficient propagation, we design a novel workflow as shown 17 in Fig. 4. Taking an ultra high-resolution 360° panorama image 18 as input, we first downsample it to a lower resolution, and then use the K-D tree structure to hierarchically cluster all pixels, 20 and minimize the energy function on clustered node corners to 21 obtain the editing map. After obtaining the editing map under 22 low resolution, we upsample [29] it to the original size of the 23 input panorama, and refine it using the guided filter [30], which 24 takes the original input panorama as reference. Finally, with the 25 refined editing map and user-specified edits, we render the final 26 editing result. An example of the upsampled propagation map 27 and the corresponding editing result is shown in Fig. 5. Com-28 pared with the result of direct upsampling approach, the result 20 of our method (upsampling&filtering) is more visually pleas-30 ing with clearer boundaries between affected and unchanged 31 regions. 32

#### 4. Results

Our experiments are performed on a PC with an Intel i7-8700 3.2GHz CPU and 32GB RAM. We implement our method in 35 C++, and set  $\sigma_c = 0.2$ ,  $\sigma_p = 1.0$ ,  $K_{min} = 2$ ,  $K_{max} = 8$  for all 36 the cases. We demonstrate the effectiveness of our approach 37 on a variety of editing tasks, including panorama relighting, panorama recoloring and panorama background replacement. 39 We also apply these tasks to panoramic videos. Compared with previous edit propagation methods, our approach is more flex-41 ible since it supports multiple-color editing and simultaneous 42 recoloring and relighting. In all examples of this paper, we use 43 black strokes to indicate regions to remain unchanged, white 44 strokes to indicate regions to relight (we will set new light val-45 ues for editing), and strokes of other colors to indicate regions 46 to recolor. 47

#### 4.1. Evaluation

To evaluate our approach, we demonstrate the effectiveness of the adaptive KNN strategy, progressive propagation and compare our approach with other state-of-the-art approaches. We also evaluate the efficiency of our approach.

Adaptive K. Using Adaptive K in our approach is critical 53 for visually consistent editing. We compare the results of our 54 approach using fixed K, adaptive K in [5] and our adaptive K 55 strategy in Fig. 3. We aim to darken the sky and recolor the 56 ground in the first example, and turn the leaves green in the 57 second example. The zoom-in views show that the fixed K = 558 cannot well propagate the relighting edits thoroughly and fail to propagate the green color in the left side, whereas previous 60 work [5] and our adaptive strategy can produce better darken-61 ing and recoloring effects. Compared with [5], our strategy can 62 generate slightly better darkening and recoloring results, and 63 the smaller average K values help to reduce the time and mem-64 ory cost. 65

12

13

16

19

33

48

49

50

51



Fig. 3. Comparisons between different K-value strategies. Black strokes indicate regions to remain unchanged, white strokes indicate regions to relight, and strokes of other colors indicate the regions to edit to the same target colors. Column 1: input image with strokes. Column 2: results using fixed K. Column 3: results using adaptive K strategy of Ma et al. [5]. Column 4: results using our adaptive K strategy. See the zoom-in views and propagation maps for detailed comparisons.



Fig. 4. Flowchart for edit propagation of HD images.



Fig. 5. Comparison of results obtained with direct upsampling and our approach using propagation map upsampling and guided filtering.

Progressive Propagation. To better demonstrate how the edit propagation works if users draw multiple strokes, we per-2 form progressive propagation, in which we propagate edits for 3 strokes of the same color in each step. See Fig. 6, in the first 4 step, the ground region is painted yellow by the yellow strokes, 5 while the sky and grass regions are incorrectly colored because 6 there are no other constraints to keep their original color; then 7 the top region turns to orange after drawing orange strokes; fi-8 nally, the sky and grass regions get their original colors back 9 after placing a few black strokes (which indicate maintaining 10 their original colors). The three steps above vividly show the 11 role of each set of strokes and their affected areas. Propagat-12

ing edits progressively can guide users to place more strokes to achieve appropriate results. We also provide edit propagation maps for the orange and yellow strokes in each step.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

Comparisons with state-of-the-art methods. We first compare our method with the latest state-of-the-art edit propagation method (Manifold quad-tree) [2] in Fig. 7, and our method is superior in generating seam-free results; see the close-up images. We also compare our method with other representative methods, including interpolation based method (RBF) [6], manifold preserving method (Manifold K-D tree) [5] and deep learning based method (DeepProp) [3]. Results are shown in Fig. 8. Since previous methods define neighborhoods in 2D plane, they all suffer from the "seam" problem due to the discontinuity between the left and right boundaries, and the propagation always fails to expand near the pole region; see the zoom-in views and propagation maps. As for the result of (DeepProp) [3] in the top-right, although it avoids the "seam" problem, there are many artifacts indicated by yellow arrows due to the incorrect propagation. In contrast, our method produces smoother and more natural-looking propagation results without noticeable artifacts.

For fair comparison, we also compare our results with the editing results of altered 2D edit propagation methods that consider cyclic images, see the first two rows of Fig. 9. The spherical views show that the continuity problem on the rectangular representation could be fixed by using the cyclic 2D distance metric. However, measuring the pixel distance in the 2D domain inevitably results in inaccurate distance between pixels, and the error increases from the equator to the top and bottom ends in the 2D domain. In order to correctly measure the distance between neighboring pixels, we instead consider their distance in the spherical domain. The 3D representation we use is a way that can approximate the distance on the spherical surface, and experiments show the advantages of our method over previous ones; see the marked green boxes and edit propagation maps in Fig. 9.

To investigate how the lengths of strokes affect the final editing results, we also provide additional examples using more and 50 longer strokes which can mitigate the problem of insufficient 51



Fig. 6. Edit propagation results by drawing strokes progressively. In the first step, red strokes are placed to color the top region; then, a few yellow strokes are added to color the ground region; in the final step, black strokes are drawn to indicate pixels that need to be kept unchanged. The second row shows the edit propagation results after propagating the edits indicated by the strokes in each step. The last row gives propagation maps w.r.t. orange and yellow strokes respectively.



Fig. 7. Comparisons with the method in Chen et al. [2]. The strokes in the input are defined in the same way as in Fig. 3. The zoom-in windows magnify patches crossing equirectangular vertical boundaries, and the origins of the close-ups are shown in both equirectangular and spherical views using different colored rectangular windows. We also provide their propagation maps for better comparisons (see the top-left corner).



Fig. 8. Comparisons with the methods in Li et al. [6], Ma et al. [5] and Endo et al. [3]. The strokes in the input are defined in the same way as in Fig. 3. Methods in [6], [5] and [3] all generate pixels with inconsistent colors at the left and right border of the equirectangular image. In comparison, our method could generate smooth transition on the border region if users watch that region in the VR mode. More particularly, although the method in [3] is able to generate consistent color at the border sometimes (top-right), it cannot preserve the texture details well, and produces more artifacts (see the yellow arrows). For better comparisons, we also provide the propagation map of each result (see the bottom-left corner).

strokes near top/bottom regions, as shown in the last two rows
of Fig. 9. Obviously providing more and longer strokes improves the results of all the methods, the results of our method
are still more consistent and smoother than the results of other
methods; see the marked green boxes and propagation maps in
Fig. 9. In addition, our method is more efficient because fewer
strokes help to reduce the complexity of optimization.

<sup>8</sup> We give more comparison results between our method and <sup>9</sup> previous manifold preserving edit propagation method [5] in <sup>10</sup> Fig. 10. Results marked in blue and orange are produced by[5] <sup>11</sup> and our method, respectively. Our method performs better in <sup>12</sup> these cases, where the continuity between the left and right <sup>13</sup> boundaries is well preserved and the sparse edits are smoothly <sup>14</sup> propagated in the spherical domain; see the spherical, zoom-in <sup>15</sup> views and propagation maps of each example.

Performance. In Table 1, we give the performance of dif-16 ferent methods on the images/videos appeared in this paper. 17 Those include our method using adaptive K strategy in [5] and 18 our adaptive K and weighting strategy. Given that most in-19 put 360° panoramas contain millions of pixels, we apply the 20 K-D tree acceleration for all the cases to save memory and 21 reduce computational cost. Take the first case of Fig. 7 as 22 an example, our method takes a total of 1.6s, which includes 23 the K-D tree construction(0.08s), KNN search(0.53s), en-24 ergy minimization(0.39s), K-D tree interpolation(0.03s), color 25 adjustment(0.17s) and multiresolution(0.4s). We also compare 26 the performance of our method with and without the multires-27 olution strategy. Without the multiresolution strategy, a  $360^{\circ}$ 28 panorama containing 12362×6181 (more than 76 Million) pix-29

els costs 31.4*s* using our adaptive *K* and weighting strategy. With the multiresolution strategy, the adaptive *K* [5] costs 6.9*s* and our adaptive KNN strategy costs only 5.8*s*, which is a significant improvement over previous methods. Finally, we report the performance of previous 2D edit propagation methods [5, 6]. For a fair comparison, we also apply the multiresolution strategy on the previous methods. Results show that our method is faster than [5], and achieves comparable performance with [6].

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

#### 4.2. Applications

Our method enables many interesting image editing applications, and can produce visually pleasing editing results. Fig. 11 shows an application of background replacement. We let users draw *black* and *white* strokes on the input panoramic image to roughly specify the background and foreground content. Then our edit propagation method is used to calculate the possibilities of pixels belonging to background to generate a soft background mask. Such masks are then used as a guide to blend two 360° panoramas to produce background replacement results. Fig. 12 presents another application which adjusts the color theme of 360° panoramas.

We also extend our algorithm to  $360^{\circ}$  videos. For  $360^{\circ}$  videos, we add another time dimension *t*, and the feature vector becomes a 7D vector  $\mathbf{f}_i = (\mathbf{c}_i/\sigma_c, \mathbf{p}_i/\sigma_p, \mathbf{t}_i/\sigma_t)$ , where  $\sigma_t$  is a parameter used to set the importance of the time dimension. We first specify edits by sparse strokes in keyframes, and feature vectors in all frames are organized together, and the video propagation problem can be solved in the image propagation frame-



Fig. 9. Comparison with 2D edit propagation on cyclic images. The first two rows give the results using initial strokes, and the next two rows show the results using more and longer strokes. The input strokes are defined in the same way as in Fig. 3. The second column shows the edit propagation results of Li et al. [6]; the third column improves the result of the second column by considering the cyclic images; the last column is our results. For better demonstration, we also provide the propagation maps and spherical views in left and right corners. The green boxes indicate the differences between the results of performing [6] on cyclic images and our method.



Fig. 10. More comparisons with the method of Ma et al. [5]. Compared with [5], our method can smoothly propagate edits in the spherical domain, and can better preserve the continuity of the editing between the left and right boundaries. For better comparisons, we provide propagation maps, spherical and zoom-in views.

Table 1. Performance Comparisons							
	Fig. 7(1)	Fig. 7(2)	Fig. 8(1)	Fig. 8(2)	Fig. 10(1)	Fig. 13(2)	Fig. 13(3)
Type Resolution #. Frames	image 5120 × 2560 -	image 6144 × 3072 -	image 12362 × 6181 -	image 6144 × 3072 -	image 6000 × 3000 -	video 1280 × 640 272	video 1920 × 960 225
w/o Multiresolution	9.5s	17.7s	31.4s	15.5s	17.6s	-	-
Multiresolution							
Adaptive K [5]	2.5s	3.4s	6.9s	3.2s	3.3s	39.5s	50.9s
Our Adaptive K	1.6s	2.1s	5.8s	1.9s	1.7s	25.5s	39.6s
Li et al. [6]	1.8s	2.3s	4.9s	1.6s	1.4s	20.8s	35.7s
Ma et al. [5]	2.7s	2.5s	6.1s	2.2s	2.4s	31.7s	57.6s



Input

Propagation Mask

Background Replacement

Fig. 11. Background replacement of 360° panoramas.

work. For better propagation across frames, we set  $\sigma_t = 10.0$ for each feature vector. Fig. 13 shows propagation results in 360° videos<sup>2</sup>. For simple scenes we only need to specify edits in a few frames, and the edits can be smoothly propagated across all frames.

#### 6 5. Conclusions

In this paper, we propose an approach to efficiently prop-7 agating sparse edits on 360° panoramas. We formulate this 8 problem as an energy optimization, and our solution preserves q the edits on strokes and the manifold structure of each pixel. 10 To produce seam-free and visually pleasing results, we map 11 the 2D equirectangular pixels to the 3D coordinates, and ap-12 proximate the spherical distance with the Euclidean distance 13 in 3D space, which enables fast KNN searches in the feature 14 space. To provide instant feedback during the interactive edit-15 ing, we propose an effective solution which includes the follow-16 ing strategies: K-D tree construction to cluster pixels in feature 17 space, which largely reduces unknowns in the linear equations 18 to solve; adaptive KNN, which further simplifies the linear sys-19 tem of the optimization while improving the visual quality of 20

Our method has the following limitations: 1) since only the color and position information is used to represent the feature vector, our method is not aware of the semantic information, which may lead to incorrect color propagation in challenging cases; 2) users still need to carefully specify strokes as incorrect strokes may introduce artifacts.

In the future, we will consider adding more features to represent pixels, such as textures and semantic information, to enable high-level edit propagation in 360° panoramas. For more efficient user interaction, we will also consider more intuitive and robust ways of user guidance.

#### **Declaration of Competing Interests**

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper. 40

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

the editing results at the same time; a multiresolution approach with a downsampling-upsampling strategy for further acceleration. Comparative results show that our proposed method is advantageous over previous methods, and can produce satisfying edit propagation results efficiently.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>See the video demo of our results at https://youtu.be/sF9X-jae2dA.



(a) Source image and color theme

Fig. 12. Color theme adjustment for 360° panoramas.



Fig. 13. Edit propagation in 360° videos.

#### **CRediT** authorship contribution statement

Yun Zhang: Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing -Original draft, Software, Data curation, Funding acquisition. Fang-Lue Zhang: Conceptualization, Validation, Writing - review & editing. Yu-Kun Lai: Writing - review & editing, Formal analysis. Zhe Zhu: Investigation, Visualization, Writing review & editing.

#### Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank all anonymous reviewers for their valuable comments. This work was supported by Zhejiang Province Natural Science Foundation (No. 11 LGG19F020001), the National Natural Science Foundation of 12 China (No. 61602402), Victoria Early Career Research Ex-13 cellence Award (No. 224525) and The Royal Society (No. 14 IES\R1\180126). 15

#### References 16

- [1] Xu, M, Li, C, Zhang, S, Callet, PL. State-of-the-art in 360 video/image 17 processing: Perception, assessment and compression. J Sel Topics Signal 18 Processing 2020;14(1):5-26. 19
- [2] Chen, Y, Zong, G, Cao, G, Dong, J. Efficient manifold-preserving 20 edit propagation using quad-tree data structures. Multimedia Tools Appl 21 2018;77(6):6699-6712. 22
- [3] Endo, Y, Iizuka, S, Kanamori, Y, Mitani, J. Deepprop: Extracting deep 23 features from a single image for edit propagation. Comput Graph Forum 24 25 2016;35(2):189-201.

- [4] Chen, X, Li, J, Zou, D, Zhao, Q. Learn sparse dictionaries for edit propagation. IEEE Trans Image Processing 2016;25(4):1688-1698.
- [5] Ma, L, Xu, K. Efficient manifold preserving edit propagation with adaptive neighborhood size. Computers & Graphics 2014;38:167-173.
- [6] Li, Y, Ju, T, Hu, S. Instant propagation of sparse edits on images and videos. Comput Graph Forum 2010;29(7):2049-2054.
- [7] Chen, X, Zou, D, Zhao, Q, Tan, P. Manifold preserving edit propagation. ACM Trans Graph 2012;31(6):132:1-132:7.
- An, X, Pellacini, F. Appprop: all-pairs appearance-space edit propaga-[8] tion. ACM Trans Graph 2008;27(3):40.
- [9] Xu, K, Li, Y, Ju, T, Hu, S, Liu, T. Efficient affinity-based edit propagation using K-D tree. ACM Trans Graph 2009;28(5):118.
- [10] Bie, X, Huang, H, Wang, W. Real time edit propagation by efficient sampling. Comput Graph Forum 2011;30(7):2041-2048.
- [11] Yatagawa, T, Yamaguchi, Y. Sparse pixel sampling for appearance edit propagation. The Visual Computer 2015;31(6-8):1101-1111.
- Xiao, C, Nie, Y, Tang, F. Efficient edit propagation using hierarchical [12] data structure. IEEE Trans Vis Comput Graph 2011;17(8):1135-1147.
- [13] Ma, L, Xu, K. Efficient antialiased edit propagation for images and videos. Computers & Graphics 2012;36(8):1005-1012.
- [14] Xu, L, Yan, Q, Jia, J. A sparse control model for image and video editing. ACM Trans Graph 2013;32(6):197:1-197:10.
- [15] Wang, W, Xu, P, Song, Y, Hua, M, Zhang, M, Bie, X. Distinguishing local and global edits for their simultaneous propagation in a uniform framework. IEEE Trans Image Processing 2015;24(8):2478-2487.
- [16] Yatagawa, T, Yamaguchi, Y. Temporally coherent video editing using an edit propagation matrix. Computers & Graphics 2014;43:1-10.
- Oh, C, Ryu, S, Kim, Y, Kim, J, Park, T, Sohn, K. Sparse edit [17] propagation for high resolution image using support vector machines. In: 2015 IEEE International Conference on Image Processing, ICIP 2015, Quebec City, QC, Canada, September 27-30, 2015. IEEE; 2015, p. 4042-4046.
- [18] Zhang, Q, Xiao, C, Sun, H, Tang, F. Palette-based image recoloring using color decomposition optimization. IEEE Trans Image Processing

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

2017:26(4):1952-1964.

- [19] Anderson, R, Gallup, D, Barron, JT, Kontkanen, J, Snavely, N, 2 Hernández, C, et al. Jump: Virtual reality video. ACM Trans Graph 2016;35(6).
- 5 [20] Matzen, K, Cohen, MF, Evans, B, Kopf, J, Szeliski, R. Low-cost 360 stereo photography and video capture. Transactions on Graphics (Pro-6 ceedings of SIGGRAPH) 2017;36(4):article no. 148.
- [21] Zhu, Z, Lu, J, Wang, M, Zhang, S, Martin, RR, Liu, H, et al. A 8 comparative study of algorithms for realtime panoramic video blending. 9 IEEE Transactions on Image Processing 2018;27(6):2952-2965. 10
- [22] Zhu, Z, Martin, RR, Hu, S. Panorama completion for street views. 11 Computational Visual Media 2015;1(1):49-57. 12
- Wang, M, Lyu, X, Li, Y, Zhang, F. VR content creation and exploration [23] 13 with deep learning: A survey. Comput Vis Media 2020;6(1):3-28. 14
- Zhao, Q, Wan, L, Feng, W, Zhang, J, Wong, TT. 360 panorama cloning [24] 15 on sphere. 2017. arXiv:1709.01638. 16
- Frossard, P, Khasanova, R. Graph-based classification of omnidirec-17 [25] tional images. In: 2017 IEEE International Conference on Computer Vi-18 sion Workshops, ICCV Workshops 2017, Venice, Italy, October 22-29, 19 2017. IEEE Computer Society; 2017, p. 860-869. 20
- Coors, B, Condurache, AP, Geiger, A. Spherenet: Learning spherical 21 [26] representations for detection and classification in omnidirectional images. 22 23 In: Computer Vision - ECCV 2018 - 15th European Conference, Munich, 24 Germany, September 8-14, 2018, Proceedings, Part IX; vol. 11213 of Lecture Notes in Computer Science. Springer; 2018, p. 525-541. 25
- [27] Yang, W, Qian, Y, Kamarainen, J, Cricri, F, Fan, L. Object detection 26 in equirectangular panorama. In: 24th International Conference on Pat-27 tern Recognition, ICPR 2018, Beijing, China, August 20-24, 2018. IEEE 28 Computer Society; 2018, p. 2190-2195. 29
- Roweis, ST, Saul, LK. Nonlinear dimensionality reduction by locally 30 [28] linear embedding. Science 2000;290(5500):2323-2326. 31
- Kopf, J, Cohen, MF, Lischinski, D, Uyttendaele, M. Joint bilateral [29] 32 33 upsampling. ACM Trans Graph 2007;26(3):96.
- He, K, Sun, J, Tang, X. Guided image filtering. IEEE Trans Pattern [30] 34 Anal Mach Intell 2013;35(6):1397-1409. 35

1

3

4