

Online Research @ Cardiff

This is an Open Access document downloaded from ORCA, Cardiff University's institutional repository: https://orca.cardiff.ac.uk/id/eprint/141037/

This is the author's version of a work that was submitted to / accepted for publication.

Citation for final published version:

Gilbert, A., Drinkwater, K., McParland, L., Adams, R., Glynne-Jones, R., Harrison, M., Hawkins, M. A., Sebag-Montefiore, D., Gilbert, D. C. and Muirhead, R. 2020. UK national cohort of anal cancer treated with intensitymodulated radiotherapy: one-year oncological and patient-reported outcomes. European Journal of Cancer 128, pp. 7-16. 10.1016/j.ejca.2019.12.022 filefilefile

> Publishers page: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ejca.2019.12.022 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ejca.2019.12.022>

Please note:

Changes made as a result of publishing processes such as copy-editing, formatting and page numbers may not be reflected in this version. For the definitive version of this publication, please refer to the published source. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite this paper.

This version is being made available in accordance with publisher policies. See

http://orca.cf.ac.uk/policies.html for usage policies. Copyright and moral rights for publications made available in ORCA are retained by the copyright holders.

> information services gwasanaethau gwybodaeth

| 1 | UK National cohort of anal cancer |
|--------|---|
| 2 | treated with intensity modulated |
| 3 | radiotherapy: |
| 4 | One-year oncological and patient |
| 5 | reported outcomes |
| ь 7 | A Cille ant 1 K Deinlauster ² L McDenland ³ D. Adams ⁴ D. Churne Longe ⁵ M. Harrison ⁵ M. A |
| / | A Gilbert ² , K. Drinkwater ² , L. McPanand ² , K. Adams ¹ , K. Giynne-Jones ² , M. Harrison ² , M.A. |
| 8 | Hawkins", D. Sebag-Monteflore ⁺ , *D.C. Glibert', *R. Mulrhead" |
| 9 | $\frac{1}{2}$ |
| 10 | Powel College of Rediclogists, London, LIK |
| 11 | ³ Loads Clinical Trials Possarsh Unit, Morelay Building, University of Loads, LIK |
| 12 | ⁴ Ceeds Clinical Thais Research Onit, worsley Building, Oniversity of Leeds, Leeds, OK |
| 13 | ⁵ Cardiff University - Centre for Trials Research and Velindre Cancer Centre, Cardiff, UK |
| 14 | ³ Mount Vernon Centre for Cancer Treatment, Mount Vernon Hospital, Rickmansworth Road, |
| 15 | Northwood, UK |
| 16 | ⁶ CRUK MRC Oxford Institute for Radiation Oncology, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK |
| 17 | ⁷ Sussex Cancer Centre, Royal Sussex County Hospital, Eastern Road, Brighton, UK |
| 18 | ⁸ Oxford University Hospitals NHS Trust, Department of Oncology, Churchill Hospital, |
| 19 | Oxford, UK |
| 20 | |
| 21 | *Joint last authors |
| 22 | |
| 23 | Author for correspondence: |
| 24 | Dr A Gilbert, |
| 25 | University of Leeds, |
| 26 | Leeds Cancer Centre, |
| 27 | St. James's University Hospital, |
| 28 | Leeds, UK |

29 Email: <u>a.gilbert@leeds.ac.uk</u>

- 30 Abstract
- 31 Background

32 Concurrent chemoradiotherapy is standard treatment for anal cancer. Following national UK

- 33 implementation of intensity-modulated radiotherapy (IMRT) this prospective, national
- 34 cohort evaluates the 1-year oncological outcomes and patient-reported toxicity outcomes
- 35 (PRO) after treatment.

36 Materials and Methods

- 37 A national cohort of UK cancer centres implementing IMRT was carried out between
- 38 February to July 2015. Cancer centres provided data on oncological outcomes including
- 39 survival, and disease and colostomy status at 1-year. EORTC-QLQ core (C30) and colorectal

40 (CR29) questionnaires were completed at baseline and 1-year follow-up. The PRO scores at

41 baseline and 1-year were compared.

42 Results

- 43 40 UK Cancer Centres returned data with a total of 187 patients included in the analysis.
- 44 92% received mitomycin with 5-flurouracil or capecitabine. 1-year overall survival was 94%;
- 45 84% were disease-free and 86% colostomy-free at 1-year follow up. At 1-year, PRO results
- 46 found significant improvements in buttock pain, blood and mucous in stools, pain,
- 47 constipation, appetite loss, and health anxiety compared to baseline. No significant
- 48 deteriorations were reported in diarrhoea, bowel frequency, and flatulence. Urinary
- 49 symptom scores were low at 1-year. Moderate impotence symptoms at baseline remained
- 50 at 1-year and a moderate deterioration in dyspareunia reported.

51 Conclusions

With national anal cancer IMRT implementation, at this early pre-defined time point, 1-year
oncological outcomes were reassuring and result in good disease-related symptom control.
1-year symptomatic complications following CRT for anal cancer using IMRT techniques
appear to be relatively mild. These PRO results provide a basis to benchmark future studies.

57 Introduction

58 Concurrent chemoradiotherapy is the standard of care for anal cancer treatment[1, 2]. In 59 2012, the UK department of health recommended implementation of intensity modulated 60 radiotherapy (IMRT) (including volumetric modulated arc therapy (VMAT) and tomotherapy) 61 with the aim of reducing toxicity from radiotherapy through sculpting of the beams and 62 dose[3]. Within clinical trials, radiotherapy protocol deviations are known to impact on 63 treatment failure and oncological outcomes[4]. Therefore, to optimise implementation of IMRT in a rare cancer, the Royal College of Radiologists supported the development of a 64 65 national protocol and implementation strategy[5]. This national cohort was carried out with 66 the aim of collecting prospective data to investigate IMRT delivery[6], to assess early 67 toxicity[7], oncological outcomes and health-related quality of life (HRQOL).

68

A small number of studies in anal cancer, including prospective phase II trials, have reported
on improved disease outcomes and treatment-related acute toxicity with the introduction
of IMRT techniques[8-11]. Cross-sectional studies using patient-reported outcomes (PROs)
have found patients report long-term toxicity related to bowel, urinary and sexual
dysfunction post-treatment[12, 13]. However, there is a lack of prospectively collected PROs

measuring toxicity and HRQOL following anal cancer chemoradiotherapy from both IMRT
and conformal techniques[13]. Baseline PRO data is important to be able to establish the
true symptomatic benefit of treatment and to distinguish between toxicity and pre-morbid
symptoms. In addition, there is also a lack of data outside of single-centre series. This paper
presents the prospective evaluation of the impact of IMRT on patient-reported toxicity
including HRQOL at 1-year in a national anal cancer cohort supplemented with oncological
outcomes.

81

82 Materials and Methods

Prospective data collection from all UK National Health Service (NHS) cancer centres (n=56)
in patients with a diagnosis of anal cancer starting IMRT over a 6-month period from 9
February to 27 July 2015 was requested. Full details are reported elsewhere[6]. Data
collection was performed by the RCR as part of a national prospective cohort program in
which approval was obtained by each NHS institution's research and governance board with
a pre-planned 1-year follow-up schedule.

89

Patient demographic data at baseline included age, gender, stoma status, HIV and smoking
status. Tumour and treatment information included TNM staging, radiotherapy dose and
fractionation and concurrent chemotherapy schedule. Acute toxicity data was collected
weekly during treatment using CTCAEv4[14] and reported grade 3/4 toxicity in any category
used in the analysis. Full details of demographics and acute toxicity have been reported
previously[6].

Patients were invited to complete the validated European Organisation for Research and
Treatment of Cancer QOL questionnaires (EORTC-QLQ) core module (C30) and colorectal
cancer module (CR29)[15, 16]. An anal cancer-specific module was not available at the time
of recruitment[17]. The C30 is a generic questionnaire including items on overall HRQOL,
physical, role, social, emotional and cognitive function as well as generic symptoms affecting
cancer patients including fatigue, diarrhoea and pain. CR29 addresses disease-specific
concerns including bowel, urinary and sexual symptoms.

104

PRO collection was coordinated by clinical teams at each cancer centre and patients were
invited to complete paper questionnaires at two timepoints – baseline (prior to or on day 1
of starting radiotherapy) and at 1-year. Invitations to report 1-year follow up data were sent
between 14 July 2016 and 18 November 2016 via three email reminders to clinical teams
(Range 353-648days). Paper questionnaires were either handed out at clinic appointments
for completion or sent to patients in the post with a return (stamped) envelope at the
discretion of the clinical team. Resources for this national program were restricted.

112

Descriptive and regression analyses were performed using Stata v13.1[18]. Descriptive statistics were used to describe patient, clinical and tumour characteristics. Descriptive analysis was performed on 1-year oncological outcomes as event rates were too low to carry out more extensive analyses. Disease-free status was defined as disease that had achieved a complete response and not demonstrated recurrence[19] and missing data explored using logistic regression.

119

120 Exploratory analyses of EORTC QLQs and handling of missing data were performed 121 according to EORTC guidelines, using a process of imputing missing values in scaled 122 responses[20]. All item responses from the PROs were converted from a four-point 123 Likerttype scale through a linear transformation onto a 0-100 scale. Higher scores for symptom items reflect more severe symptoms (i.e. 'not at all'=0; 'a little'=33.3; 'quite a 124 125 bit'=66.6; 'very much'=100); higher scores for function items reflect a better level of 126 functioning[20]. A minimum important difference (MID) was classified as a small change in scores from 5 to 10 points, moderate differences as a change up to 20 points and large 127 128 differences as a change in scores of >20[21]. 129 130 Mean and paired differences between baseline PRO scores and 1-year follow-up were 131 evaluated. A two-sided t-test was used to evaluate statistical significance with a p-value <0.01 deemed to be significant, after Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons. 132 133 Multivariable linear regression analysis was performed to evaluate the impact of age, 134 gender, acute (any) grade 3/4 toxicity, tumour stage and nodal stage on PRO items (p<0.01). 135 Reasons for missing PRO data at baseline and 1-year follow up were explored using 136 multivariable logistic regression, including age, gender, disease status, cancer centre, T stage

and baseline PRO completion rates as confounders. An exploratory analysis compared mean

138 PRO scores (for pre-defined PRO items taken from CORMAC core outcome set) at baseline

and 1-year by risk groups; early stage T1/2N0 versus locally advanced T3/4 and/or N+[19,

140 22].

141 Results

142 Patient characteristics

143 1-year follow up data was collected in 40 UK Cancer Centres (71%), with numbers of 144 participants included from each centre ranging from 1-13 participants (Median 4 per 145 centre). Patient and tumour characteristics are summarised in Table 1 and 2 respectively. All 146 187 patients who received radical (curative intent) IMRT were included in this analysis, 147 including patients who received full dose IMRT adherent to UK guidance (n=157)[6], those 148 who received full dose IMRT not strictly adherent to UK guidance (n=23) and those receiving reduced dose IMRT (n=7) (see supplementary figure). Median radiotherapy dose received 149 150 was 53.2Gy in 28 fractions(F) (Range 30-53.2Gy in 10-30F); T1/2 received median dose 151 50.4Gy in 28F (Range 30-54Gy in 10-30F) and T3/4 received median dose 53.2Gy in 28F 152 (Range 40-54Gy in 15-30F). The majority of patients (n=153) completed full dose 153 chemotherapy (n=27 dose reduced/omitted secondary to toxicity; n=7 no chemotherapy 154 given) (see [6] for more details). 155 156 1-year survival data was available for 109 (58.2%) patients during follow-up. At 3-months no 157 patients were known to have died. At 6-months 2 deaths were known to have occurred both patients had residual local disease at 3-months. At 1-year, 6 patients in total had died -158 159 94% 1-year overall survival. All 6 patients had evidence of local or distant disease, with 4 160 patients with residual local disease reported at 3-months. Disease-free survival status was 161 available on 107 patients (57.2%) (2 patients were alive with unknown disease status). At 162 1year, 84 were disease-free (78.5%), and 13 had local disease failure reported (5 underwent 163 salvage surgery; 5 local regional failure; 3 LRR and metastatic disease) (12.1%). Table 3

164 presents 1-year oncological outcomes by patient, treatment and disease characteristics. The

event rate (6 deaths) was too low to comment on any trends in the data. 86% of patients

166 were colostomy-free at 1-year (n=97/113). In regards missing data, centres either returned

167 oncological outcome data or did not return any.

168

169 Exploratory PRO and HRQOL analysis

A total of 121 (65%) of patients reported some PRO data at either time-point, with 115 (61%) completing at least one PRO item at baseline and 57 (30%) at 1-year. 103 (55%) had complete data across all subscales at baseline and 54 (29%) at 1-year follow up. 43 (23%) of patients have complete subscale data at both time-points. No patient, clinical or tumour characteristics predicted missing PRO data. At baseline, only cancer centre appeared to predict missing questionnaires reflecting the administration approach to PRO data collection

176 (p=0.02). At 1-year, there were no significant predictors of missing questionnaire data.

177

178 Table 4 describes the PRO mean scores at baseline and 1-year follow-up and mean and 179 paired differences. Pain, constipation, appetite loss, anxiety, blood and mucous in stools, 180 and buttock pain were all significantly improved at 1-year (mean differences). On review of 181 MID between scores at baseline and 1-year, only dyspareunia showed a moderate clinical 182 deterioration in mean scores (14.5 to 29.5). Otherwise moderate improvements were noted 183 for role and emotional functioning and symptom scores: pain, constipation, appetite loss, 184 anxiety, blood and mucous in stools. A large improvement in buttock pain from baseline was 185 reported.

In terms of 1-year toxicity, it is reassuring that there was no clinically significant
deteriorations reported with PRO items on diarrhoea, bowel frequency, flatulence, urinary
frequency or impotence. Mean scores at 1-year for all bowel items ranged between 19.1 to
38.8 correlating to a patient reporting a 'mild' symptom[23]. Stoma scores are not included
due to low numbers of patients reporting (n=13 at baseline and n=6 at 1-year).

192

The sample size for sexual toxicity items was small as only 34% of women reported on symptoms (n=46) and 50% of men (n=26). However, impotence scores for men remained relatively poor (mean score 46.6 – moderate symptoms) at 1-year but did not significantly deteriorate after treatment. For women, dyspareunia showed a moderate deterioration in mean scores but overall the 1-year mean score (29.4) relates to 'mild' symptoms.

198

199 The items with the most severe symptom mean scores at 1-year were flatulence,

200 impotence, libido (for both men and women), and health anxiety. Although, both health

anxiety and female libido showed a moderate and small improvement, respectively, from

202 baseline scores. All other changes were minor. In regards HRQOL and function, moderate

203 improvements at 1-year were noted for role and emotional functioning.

204

Regression analysis on predictors of significant PRO change between baseline and 1-year
found change in pain scores was predicted by gender, with women reporting less of an
improvement in pain scores over time (p=0.004); and acute G3/4 toxicity, with patients
reporting a greater improvement in pain scores if they had reported any G3/4 toxicity
during treatment or if this data was unknown as compared to patients with no G3/4 toxicity

210 (p=0.007). Similarly, change in buttock pain scores found women reporting less

211 improvement in pain over time (p=0.01).

212

- 213 The exploratory analysis by risk groups (supplementary file), found locally advanced
- 214 tumours have poorer baseline scores but report relatively greater improvements in function
- and cancer symptoms. In comparison, patients with early stage tumours are less
- 216 compromised by cancer-related issues at baseline but have a proportionally greater change
- 217 in scores by 1-year representing more toxicity-related issues.

218

219 Discussion

220 To our knowledge this is the largest, multicentre prospective cohort of 1-year oncological

221 outcomes including PRO assessment of anal cancer patients treated with curative intent

IMRT. The results provide a comprehensive evaluation of patients treated in routine practicein the UK.

224

225 The 1-year oncological outcomes found patients to have reassuringly high overall (94%),

disease-free (84%) and colostomy-free (86%) survival in line other prospective studies of

227 IMRT and randomised studies of conformal radiotherapy in anal cancer[2, 9, 24].

228

229 The toxicity rates for all symptoms are generally low and improvements in disease-related

230 symptoms, such as buttock pain and per rectal bleeding, significant. Importantly at 1-year,

- there were no significant deteriorations seen in bowel toxicity items including diarrhoea,
- bowel frequency, and flatulence, although flatulence symptom scores remained moderately

severe (40.2). Urinary symptoms also did not significantly deteriorate at 1-year, although
studies of pelvic radiotherapy with longer follow up have found that whilst bowel symptoms
may improve after 1-year, urinary symptoms may deteriorate over a longer timeframe[26].
Whilst the sample for patients reporting on sexual function is small, it is important to note
that dyspareunia deteriorated moderately at 1-year; and impotence symptom scores
remained moderately severe, with a relatively greater deterioration seen in earlier cancers.

240 The 1-year PRO toxicity scores are similar to those reported in single-centre series of 241 patients treated with IMRT[9, 27]. Although the use of different questionnaires and quality 242 of reporting can make it challenging to directly compare results with other studies, the rates 243 of late toxicity for bowel and erectile function using IMRT appear to be lower than 244 crosssectional series of patients treated with conformal techniques[28-31]. These findings are likely to reflect the sculpted dose around bowel and penile bulb structures resulting in 245 246 reduced dose anteriorly[32]. For example, within the current study at 1-year patients 247 reported mild diarrhoea symptoms (mean 16.4; SD22.0). Similarly, contemporary studies of 248 patients treated with IMRT report mild symptoms with mean scores ranging from 12-22.8[9, 249 27]. In comparison, older studies have reported moderate diarrhoea symptom scores with 250 mean scores between 27-34.6[28, 30, 31, 33]. Similarly, large to moderate improvements 251 using IMRT are observed with symptoms of flatulence, faecal incontinence and 252 impotence[27, 30, 31]. Our rates of dyspareunia, urinary frequency and incontinence were 253 similar to results from previous conformal and IMRT studies. Vaginal doses remain high as 254 the structure is directly adjacent to the high dose tumour volume, whilst bladder symptoms

255 may be more reflective of pre-morbid symptoms as minimal change was observed from256 baseline[34, 35].

257

The exploratory analysis lends credence to the need to improve symptoms with a significant 258 259 impact on QOL, such as flatulence, dyspareunia and impotence, and this should be a target 260 for future studies de-escalating dose in patients with low-risk anal cancer[22]. Indeed, these 261 data provide a benchmark to test improvements in PRO from reduced dose IMRT in early 262 stage disease and to assess any potential 'cost' in PRO from efforts to improve locoregional 263 control in advanced disease with increasing radiotherapy doses, as is being tested in the 264 ongoing platform trial, PLATO (personalizing anal cancer radiotherapy dose; registry no. 265 ISRCTN88455282)[22].

266

267 Due to the restricted resources available for national programs, there are missing data for 268 both PRO and oncological outcomes, more so at 1-year follow-up. The event rate therefore 269 could be underestimated although PRO scores and oncological event rates were similar to 270 expected. This demonstrates the limitations of unfunded multi-centre national audit 271 programs. However, it is reassuring than no patient, clinical or tumour characteristics 272 appeared to predict missing data. Only centres failing to return data appears to be in effect, 273 which provides a strong argument in support of the reliability of these results. The authors 274 also acknowledge that 1-year is an early timepoint in follow-up. A further limitation is 275 standardised quality assurance for IMRT implementation and delivery. At the time of patient 276 recruitment, no validated anal cancer specific PRO existed and therefore as in other studies, 277 the EORTC-QLQ CR29 was used for evaluation. Whilst this provides good quality data, a

number of important long-term toxicity issues are missing; of particular note, symptoms
related to bowel urgency, toilet dependency, and vaginal symptoms such as vaginal dryness
and stenosis. These issues are present in the newly developed EORTC-QLQ ANL27, which is
currently under phase IV international validation testing and included in the PLATO trial[17,
36]. Future studies should use the EORTC-QLQ ANL27 to provide an accurate understanding
of patient disease and toxicity burden [37] and prioritise a priori selection of key PRO items
highlighted in CORMAC, the anal cancer core outcome set, for hypothesis testing[19].

286 Conclusions

287 In comparison to other studies reporting PRO and HRQOL in anal cancer, our study provides 288 PRO data in a multicentre prospective setting. The effective implementation of IMRT in a 289 national setting was reported previously[6]. At 1-year, early oncological outcomes were 290 reassuring and result in good disease-related symptom control measured with PROs. In 291 comparison to historical series of conformal radiotherapy, these results also suggest 292 benefits in the reduction of bowel and male sexual dysfunction at 1-year. These findings, as 293 well as providing prospective PRO toxicity data to better understand patient experience, 294 may also provide the basis for benchmarking future studies. 295

- 296 Conflict of Interest statement
- 297 None declared

- 299 Acknowledgements
- 300 Funding acknowledgements: MAH is funded by UK Medical Research Council grant
- 301 MC_UU_00001/2
- 302 References
- Northover J, Glynne-Jones R, Sebag-Montefiore D, James R, Meadows H, Wan S, et
 al. Chemoradiation for the treatment of epidermoid anal cancer: 13-year follow-up
 of the first randomised UKCCCR Anal Cancer Trial (ACT I). British journal of cancer.
 2010;102(7):1123-8.
- James RD, Glynne-Jones R, Meadows HM, Cunningham D, Myint AS, Saunders MP, et
 al. Mitomycin or cisplatin chemoradiation with or without maintenance
 chemotherapy for treatment of squamous-cell carcinoma of the anus (ACT II): a
 randomised, phase 3, openlabel, 2 x 2 factorial trial. The lancet oncology.
- 311 2013;14(6):516-24.
- Department of Health Cancer Policy Team. Radiotherapy in England, 2012. Available
 at <u>https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/radiotherapy-services-in-england-</u>
 2012 (accessed 6 March 2019).
- Ohri N, Shen X, Dicker AP, Doyle LA, Harrison AS, Showalter TN. Radiotherapy
 protocol deviations and clinical outcomes: a meta-analysis of cooperative group
 clinical trials. Journal of the National Cancer Institute. 2013;105(6):387-93.
- Muirhead R, Adams RA, Gilbert DC, Glynne-Jones R, Harrison M, Sebag-Montefiore
 D, et al. Anal cancer: developing an intensity-modulated radiotherapy solution for
 ACT2 fractionation. Clin Oncol (R Coll Radiol). 2014;26(11):720-1.
- Muirhead R, Drinkwater K, O'Cathail SM, Adams R, Glynne-Jones R, Harrison M, et al.
 Initial Results from the Royal College of Radiologists' UK National Audit of Anal
 General Redictly and College of Rediciply 2017;20(2):100,07
- 323 Cancer Radiotherapy 2015. Clin Oncol (R Coll Radiol). 2017;29(3):188-97.
 324 7. Jones CM, Adams R, Downing A, Glynne-Jones R, Harrison M, Hawkins M, et al.
- Toxicity, Tolerability, and Compliance of Concurrent Capecitabine or 5-Fluorouracil in Radical Management of Anal Cancer With Single-dose Mitomycin-C and Intensity Modulated Radiation Therapy: Evaluation of a National Cohort. International journal of radiation oncology, biology, physics. 2018;101(5):1202-11.
- Kachnic LA, Winter K, Myerson RJ, Goodyear MD, Willins J, Esthappan J, et al. RTOG
 0529: a phase 2 evaluation of dose-painted intensity modulated radiation therapy in
 combination with 5-fluorouracil and mitomycin-C for the reduction of acute
- morbidity in carcinoma of the anal canal. International journal of radiation oncology,
 biology, physics. 2013;86(1):27-33.
- Han K, Cummings BJ, Lindsay P, Skliarenko J, Craig T, Le LW, et al. Prospective
 evaluation of acute toxicity and quality of life after IMRT and concurrent
 chemotherapy for anal canal and perianal cancer. International journal of radiation
 oncology, biology, physics. 2014;90(3):587-94.

- Joseph K, Nijjar Y, Warkentin H, Schiller D, Tankel K, Usmani N, et al. Prospective
 phase II study of tomotherapy based chemoradiation treatment for locally advanced
 anal cancer. Radiotherapy and oncology : journal of the European Society for
 Therapeutic Radiology and Oncology. 2015;117(2):234-9.
- Salama JK, Mell LK, Schomas DA, Miller RC, Devisetty K, Jani AB, et al. Concurrent
 chemotherapy and intensity-modulated radiation therapy for anal canal cancer
 patients: a multicenter experience. Journal of clinical oncology : official journal of the
 American Society of Clinical Oncology. 2007;25(29):4581-6.
- 346 12. Das P, Cantor SB, Parker CL, Zampieri JB, Baschnagel A, Eng C, et al. Long-term
 347 quality of life after radiotherapy for the treatment of anal cancer. Cancer.
 348 2010;116(4):8229.
- 349 13. Sodergren SC, Vassiliou V, Dennis K, Tomaszewski KA, Gilbert A, Glynne-Jones R, et
 350 al. Systematic review of the quality of life issues associated with anal cancer and its
 351 treatment with radiochemotherapy. Supportive care in cancer : official journal of the
 352 Multinational Association of Supportive Care in Cancer. 2015.
- 353 14. CTCAE. Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (CTCAE). US Department of
 354 Health and Human Services. National Institutes of Helath National Cancer Institute
 355 Version 40. 2009;May 28 2009 (v4.03):June 14 2010.
- Whistance RN, Conroy T, Chie W, Costantini A, Sezer O, Koller M, et al. Clinical and
 psychometric validation of the EORTC QLQ-CR29 questionnaire module to assess
 healthrelated quality of life in patients with colorectal cancer. Eur J Cancer.
 2009;45(17):3017-26.
- Aaronson NK, Ahmedzai S, Bergman B, Bullinger M, Cull A, Duez NJ, et al. The
 European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer QLQ-C30: a quality-oflife instrument for use in international clinical trials in oncology. Journal of the
 National Cancer Institute. 1993;85(5):365-76.
- 364 17. Sodergren SC, Johnson CD, Gilbert A, Tomaszewski KA, Chu W, Chung HT, et al. Phase
 365 I-III development of the EORTC QLQ-ANL27, a health-related quality of life
 366 questionnaire for anal cancer. Radiotherapy and oncology : journal of the European
 367 Society for Therapeutic Radiology and Oncology. 2018;126(2):222-8.
- 368 18. StataCorp. 2013. Stata Statistical Software: Release 13.1. College Station, TX:
 369 StataCorp LP.
- Fish R, Sanders C, Adams R, Brewer J, Brookes ST, DeNardo J, et al. A core outcome
 set for clinical trials of chemoradiotherapy interventions for anal cancer (CORMAC):
 a patient and health-care professional consensus. Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol.
 2018;3(12):865-73.
- Fayers P, Aaronson NK, Bjordal K, Groenvold M, Curran D, Bottomley A. EORTC
 QLQC30 scoring manual. Brussels, Belgium: European Organisation for Research and
 Treatment of Cancer; 1999.
- 377 21. Osoba D, Rodrigues G, Myles J, Zee B, Pater J. Interpreting the significance of
 378 changes in health-related quality-of-life scores. Journal of clinical oncology : official
 379 journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology. 1998;16(1):139-44.
- Sebag-Montefiore D, Adams R, Bell S, Berkman L, Gilbert DC, Glynne-Jones R, et al.
 The Development of an Umbrella Trial (PLATO) to Address Radiation Therapy Dose

382 Questions in the Locoregional Management of Squamous Cell Carcinoma of the Anus. 383 International Journal of Radiation Oncology • Biology • Physics. 2016;96(2):E164-E5. 384 Snyder C, Smith K, Holzner B, Rivera YM, Bantug E, Brundage M, et al. Making a 23. 385 picture worth a thousand numbers: recommendations for graphically displaying 386 patientreported outcomes data. Quality of life research : an international journal of 387 quality of life aspects of treatment, care and rehabilitation. 2019;28(2):345-56. 388 Kachnic LA, Tsai HK, Coen JJ, Blaszkowsky LS, Hartshorn K, Kwak EL, et al. 24. 389 Dosepainted intensity-modulated radiation therapy for anal cancer: a multi-390 institutional report of acute toxicity and response to therapy. International journal of 391 radiation oncology, biology, physics. 2012;82(1):153-8. 392 25. Glynne-Jones R, Sebag-Montefiore D, Meadows HM, Cunningham D, Begum R, Adab 393 F, et al. Best time to assess complete clinical response after chemoradiotherapy in 394 squamous cell carcinoma of the anus (ACT II): a post-hoc analysis of randomised 395 controlled phase 3 trial. The lancet oncology. 2017;18(3):347-56. 396 26. Barraclough LH, Routledge JA, Farnell DJ, Burns MP, Swindell R, Livsey JE, et al. 397 Prospective analysis of patient-reported late toxicity following pelvic radiotherapy 398 for gynaecological cancer. Radiotherapy and oncology : journal of the European 399 Society for Therapeutic Radiology and Oncology. 2012;103(3):327-32. 400 27. Joseph K, Vos LJ, Warkentin H, Paulson K, Polkosnik LA, Usmani N, et al. Patient 401 reported quality of life after helical IMRT based concurrent chemoradiation of locally 402 advanced anal cancer. Radiotherapy and oncology : journal of the European Society 403 for Therapeutic Radiology and Oncology. 2016;120(2):228-33. 404 28. Jephcott CR, Paltiel C, Hay J. Quality of life after non-surgical treatment of anal 405 carcinoma: a case control study of long-term survivors. Clin Oncol (R Coll Radiol). 406 2004;16(8):530-5. 407 29. Welzel G, Hagele V, Wenz F, Mai SK. Quality of life outcomes in patients with anal 408 cancer after combined radiochemotherapy. Strahlentherapie und Onkologie. 409 2011;187(3):175-82. 410 30. Bentzen AG, Balteskard L, Wanderas EH, Frykholm G, Wilsgaard T, Dahl O, et al. 411 Impaired health-related quality of life after chemoradiotherapy for anal cancer: late 412 effects in a national cohort of 128 survivors. Acta Oncol. 2013;52(4):736-44. 413 31. Provencher S, Oehler C, Lavertu S, Jolicoeur M, Fortin B, Donath D. Quality of life and 414 tumor control after short split-course chemoradiation for anal canal carcinoma. 415 Radiat. 2010;5:41. 416 32. Olsen JR, Moughan J, Myerson R, Abitbol A, Doncals DE, Johnson D, et al. Predictors 417 of Radiation Therapy-Related Gastrointestinal Toxicity From Anal Cancer Dose-418 Painted Intensity Modulated Radiation Therapy: Secondary Analysis of NRG 419 Oncology RTOG 0529. International journal of radiation oncology, biology, physics. 420 2017;98(2):400-8. 421 Welzel G, Hagele V, Wenz F, Mai SK. Quality of life outcomes in patients with anal 33. 422 cancer after combined radiochemotherapy. Strahlentherapie und Onkologie : Organ 423 der Deutschen Rontgengesellschaft [et al]. 2011;187(3):175-82. 424 34. Son CH, Law E, Oh JH, Apte AP, Yang TJ, Riedel E, et al. Dosimetric Predictors of

- 425 Radiation-Induced Vaginal Stenosis After Pelvic Radiation Therapy for Rectal and Anal
- 426 Cancer. International journal of radiation oncology, biology, physics. 2015;92(3):548-54.
- 427 35. Yahya N, Ebert MA, Bulsara M, Haworth A, Kennedy A, Joseph DJ, et al. Dosimetry,
 428 clinical factors and medication intake influencing urinary symptoms after prostate
- 429 radiotherapy: An analysis of data from the RADAR prostate radiotherapy trial.
- 430 Radiotherapy and oncology : journal of the European Society for Therapeutic
- 431 Radiology and Oncology. 2015;116(1):112-8.
- 432 36. ISRCTN Registry. PLATO Personalising anal cancer radiotherapy dose.
- 433 ISRCTN88455282 <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/ISRCTN88455282</u>. (Accessed 13 March 2019 at
- 434 <u>http://www.isrctn.com/ISRCTN88455282q=&filters=conditionCategory:Cancer&sort=&offse</u>
 435 <u>t=9&totalResults=1850&page=1&pageSize=10&searchType=basic-search</u>).
- 436 37. Sodergren SC, Gilbert A, Darlington AS, Vassiliou V, Group EQoL. Anal Cancer: Putting
 437 Health-Related Quality of Life at the Forefront. Clin Oncol (R Coll Radiol).
 438 2019;31(2):69-71.
- 439