Advancements of combustion technologies in the ammonia-fuelled engines
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Abstract

This paper reviews the progress of ammonia (NH\textsubscript{3}) combustion technologies in Spark Ignited (SI) engine, Compression Ignited (CI) engine, and Gas Turbine (GT). Hydrogen (H\textsubscript{2}) was typically used to assist NH\textsubscript{3} combustion in the SI engine. NH\textsubscript{3} dissociator and the separate H\textsubscript{2} supply system are two common methods used to introduce H\textsubscript{2} into the engine. Elevating H\textsubscript{2} mass fraction to >10\% is needed to acquire comparable engine performances with that of neat gasoline. Further increase in H\textsubscript{2} mass fraction may require engine parameters optimisation, due to the reduction in turbulent flame speed. Aqueous ammonia was one of the resolutions to enhance CI engine performances. Despite improving engine efficiency and emissions performance, aqueous ammonia could possibly lead to higher noise levels because of the increased ignition delay. Optimising the mass flow and timing of multiple injections is a more promising solution for reducing N\textsubscript{2}-based emissions while also improving CI engine heat release rate (HRR). Partially premixed combustion has recently gained much attention in NH\textsubscript{3} gas turbine research, owing to its capability of extending the Lean Blowoff (LBO) limit of the flame to equivalence ratio (\(\phi\))~0.4. N\textsubscript{2}-based emissions were reduced substantially when combustion takes place at \(\phi\)~0.4. In all, NH\textsubscript{3} offers a practical opportunity for sustainable power production via internal combustion engines. The inferior NH\textsubscript{3} combustion and emissions performances can be improved through ground-breaking combustion technologies in these engines.
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1.0 Introduction

Hydrocarbon fuels remain as the primary energy source nowadays to power our economy and daily life [1,2]. Nonetheless, this is achieved at the expense of environmental sustainability where the combustion of hydrocarbon fuels such as coal, crude oil, and natural gas undesirably elevates carbon dioxide (CO$_2$) concentration in the atmosphere, intensifying global warming effects as a result. The CO$_2$ concentration has increased linearly by a factor of 1.1 since 2006 [3]. Consequently, disastrous global warming effects are also escalating at a terrifying rate. The years of 2016 and 2020 have been the hottest years since record-keeping began, with a global surface temperature that climbed 1.02 °C above average temperatures recorded between 1951-1980 (Fig. 1) [3]. Owing to the additional water from melting ice caps, the global sea level has rose by 8” since 1880, posing direct threats to the coastal cities [3].

![Fig. 1 Global land-ocean temperature index (reprint from [3])](image)

In 2018, the United Nations Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) gave a noteworthy alert that global carbon pollution must be reduced by half within the next 10 years to avoid causing irreversible damages to our living environment. Therefore, global decarbonisation efforts have become more imminent than ever to minimise carbon-based fuels
consumptions. The IPCC initiative inherently unlocks carbon-free energy sources such as Hydrogen (H$_2$) and Ammonia (NH$_3$) as viable replacements for conventional hydrocarbon fuels. Although H$_2$ is an attractive carbon-free energy source, its storage is difficult and more expensive due to either its refrigeration at cryogenic conditions or high compression (~350-700 bar), parameters needed to attain competitive energy density, as illustrated in Fig. 2 [4]. Ammonia, on the other hand, exhibits higher energy density than H$_2$ with a substantially lower compression pressure of 10 bar (chilling to -35 °C) [4].

![Fig. 2 The energy density of a range of fuel options (adapted from [4])](image)

Ammonia is primarily produced via the well-established Haber-Bosch process that utilises iron-based catalyst to combine nitrogen (N$_2$) and H$_2$ under high pressure ~300 bar and temperature ~400 °C through the main reaction $N_2 + H_2 \leftrightarrow 2NH_3$ [5]. For the production of green ammonia, N$_2$ and H$_2$ need to be acquired via electrolysis of water and air separation, respectively. For over a century, ammonia has been extensively used as a fertiliser where it has played a critical role in ensuring that our world is fed adequately. Ammonia has also been used as the refrigerant in cold storage and large-scale air-conditioning systems since the 1930s. Meanwhile, ammonia is also the key chemical additive for selective catalytic reduction (SCR)
in automotive systems. Concurrently, ammonia was a momentary option for transportation fuel
during the energy crisis in the 1960-1970s [6]. Since the last decade, intensified global
decarbonisation campaigns have shortlisted NH$_3$ as an alternative energy source again,
expanding its application into electricity generation and transportation fuel where direct
combustion is involved [4].

Like many alternative fuels with inferior physicochemical properties, it has been
reported that significantly higher ignition energy was needed to ignite ammonia when
compared with fossil fuels, owing to the lower ammonia flammability [7,8]. The minimum
ignition energy for the ammonia/air mixture at near stoichiometric was higher than the
propane/air mixture by a factor of ~21.5 [8]. Furthermore, the flammability limit of ammonia
is also noticeably narrower than methane (CH$_4$) and hydrogen. The NH$_3$ can only operate
within equivalence ratios ($\phi$) between 0.63-1.40, while methane and H$_2$ can operate in a wider
range of 0.5< $\phi$ <1.7 and 0.1< $\phi$ <7.1, respectively [9]. Laminar flame speed ($S_L$) for NH$_3$ is
also significantly lower than those of H$_2$ and CH$_4$ [9,10]. $S_L$ for NH$_3$/air was ~7 cm/s at
stoichiometric conditions, whereas stoichiometric $S_L$ for CH$_4$/air and H$_2$/air were ~37 cm/s and
~291 cm/s, respectively [9,10]. A study by Li et al. [11] showed that $S_L$ for NH$_3$/CH$_4$ increased
by a factor of ~4 when NH$_3$ concentration in the fuel mixture reduced by ~26.7 vol.%. Emission-wise, fuel N$_2$ has a predominant effect on Nitric Oxide (NO) formation in NH$_3$
combustion. Owing to the low N$_2$ reactivity, flame thickness for NH$_3$ is an order of magnitude
larger than methane at stoichiometric conditions. In contrast to methane, NO increased
drastically within the thickened reaction zone for NH$_3$ combustion rather than in the post-flame
zone, indicating the predominant fuel-NO pathway than thermal NO route in NH$_3$ combustion
systems [9,12].

Overall, NH$_3$ exhibits lower reactivity than conventional carbon-based fuels, leading to
unsatisfactory combustion and emissions performances [6,9]. These major drawbacks have
hindered its extensive use in current power generation industries. Due to its significantly
different characteristics than carbon-based fuels, a recent review stressed that NH₃ combustion
requires distinctively different strategies than that of hydrocarbon fuels [5]. Enhanced NH₃
combustion and emissions performances are of paramount importance to promote NH₃ as a
cleaner solution for future power generation. Three types of internal combustion engines that
are commonly used for power generations were chosen for this review, namely Spark Ignited
(SI) engine, Compression Ignited (CI) engine, and Gas Turbine (GT).

It was reported that the annual reciprocal engine capacity installed around the globe
was 49.6-56.5 GW during the years of 2013-2016 [13]. In the US, the capacity of reciprocal
ingines was below 9 MW before 2010. However, larger units with an output power of 16-19
MW have been deployed across the US since the last decade. The Denton Energy Center,
located outside of Dallas, Texas, is the largest of these plants, with a total plant capacity of
~225 MW [14]. Similarly, gas turbine plants have been the method of choice for electricity
generation in many developed countries since the invention of gas turbine combined cycles
(GTCC) [2,15,16]. Gas turbines generated ~508.5 GW of electricity in January 2017 in the US
and increased to ~543.6 GW in January 2021 [17]. Therefore, the increasing importance of
reciprocating and gas turbine engines for power generation is evident, primarily because they
can deliver incremental electricity easily with flexible operation. These units have become
increasingly popular in areas with large shares of renewable electricity production because they
can start and stop quickly and run at partial loads [14]. To date, various engine combustion
strategies have been proposed and studied for ammonia blends. This paper critically reviews
the development of ammonia combustion technologies in SI engine, CI engine, and GT.
2.0 Ammonia in Spark Ignition (SI) Engine

The combustion of neat gaseous NH\(_3\) in an SI engine was examined by Cornelius et al. [18]. The liquid ammonia was vaporised into gaseous form and injected into the intake manifold to mix with intake air [18]. The start of ignition (SOI) for the NH\(_3\) engine was advanced by \(\sim\)100 °CA (degree Crank Angle) bTDC (before Top Dead Centre) to acquire stable engine operation. However, even with advanced SOI, the indicated thermal efficiency (ITE) of such an ammonia engine was \(\sim\)12% lower than neat gasoline engines running at 2400 RPM [18]. Worse still, the NH\(_3\) engine could only operate up to 2400 RPM [18]. It was reported that increased engine compression ratios (CR) from 9.4 (baseline) to 18 could extend engine operating speed to 4000 RPM under full throttle. Turbocharging the NH\(_3\) SI engine with CR > 11.5 resulted in very similar engine output power with naturally aspirated (NA) gasoline engines (CR 9.4). The study by Cornelius et al. [18] ascertained that NH\(_3\) engine stability can be enhanced by optimising the engine parameters, lessening the concerns whether the SI engine cannot give satisfactory performance when fuelled with neat NH\(_3\) [19–21].

A more recent study on neat gaseous NH\(_3\) SI engine was reported by Lhuillier et al. [22–24]. Like Cornelius et al. [18], gaseous ammonia was mixed with air in the intake plenum prior to entering the combustion chamber. It was shown that pure ammonia could attain stable SI engine operation (given by the coefficient of variation of the indicated mean effective pressure (COV\(_{\text{IMEP}}\) < 3% [24]) for intake pressures (\(P_{\text{in}}\)) \(\geq\) 1 bar and 0.9 < \(\phi\) < 1.1 when SOI was advanced to \(\sim\)40°CA bTDC to compensate for the slow NH\(_3\) flame propagation. Nitrogen Oxide (NO\(_x\)) emission of neat ammonia engine increased by \(\sim\)1000 ppm when \(P_{\text{in}}\) increased by 0.2 bar for \(\phi\) \(\leq\) 1. Furthermore, H\(_2\) addition increased NO\(_x\) emission noticeably for all tested operating points [22–24]. The individual influences of engine parameters on NH\(_3\) SI engine performances are shown in Fig. 3.
In addition to adjusting the engine operating parameters, NH$_3$ can be dissociated to produce in-situ hydrogen, thus increasing flame speed and combustion stability. Sawyer et al. [25] decomposed NH$_3$ into N$_2$ and H$_2$ by means of a "dissociator" that consisted of a stainless-steel chamber loosely filled with the catalyst and electrically heated by heater units. Up to 25% of the NH$_3$ was decomposed and the produced H$_2$ (5% vol.) was mixed with the remaining NH$_3$. The cylinder pressure for the Iso-Octane engine was lower than for the NH$_3$/H$_2$ engine by as many as ~100 psia for $\phi < 0.8$. Conversely, Starkman et al. [26] reported that IMEP of this NH$_3$/H$_2$ engine with CR 10 was ~40 psi lower than for the Iso-Octane engine at near stoichiometric combustion, leading to efficiencies twice lower than that of the Iso-Octane engine. Cornelius et al. [18] showed that H$_2$ addition from 0% to 3% extended NA-full throttle in the NH$_3$ engine’s operational range from 2400 RPM to 4000 RPM at CR = 9.4. Moreover,
engine ITE was nearly identical with the gasoline engine from 2-4 horsepower (hp) engine output power with 2.5% H\textsubscript{2} addition.

Ryu et al. [29] utilised hot exhaust gas to dissociate the NH\textsubscript{3}. Dissociated NH\textsubscript{3} was injected into the combustion chamber and mixed with a gasoline/air mixture. Brake power for the engine with NH\textsubscript{3} dissociation was elevated by an average of \(~0.2\) kW when compared with that of gasoline/NH\textsubscript{3} engines without NH\textsubscript{3} dissociation. NO\textsubscript{x} emission for the engine with NH\textsubscript{3} dissociation was lower than an engine without the NH\textsubscript{3} dissociation system by \(~25\) g/kWh. Furthermore, slip NH\textsubscript{3} and carbon monoxide (CO) emissions for the former were lowered by 2.5 g/kWh and 8 g/kWh, respectively. Frigo [27,30] developed a Hydrogen Generation System (HGS) for NH\textsubscript{3} dissociation, as depicted in Fig. 4a. The main component of the HGS is a cracking reactor housing a ruthenium-based catalyst. It was shown that an NH\textsubscript{3}/H\textsubscript{2} engine operating at 3000 RPM recorded nearly identical brake thermal efficiency (BTE \(~28\)%\) to that of a gasoline engine. While the BTE of gasoline engine started to fall below 28% when engine...
speed >3000 RPM, BTE of ammonia/hydrogen engine remained at ~28%. NO\textsubscript{x} emission from the ammonia/hydrogen engine was averaging 1000 ppm lower than the gasoline engine (Fig. 3).

The thermodynamics advantages of the liquid ammonia dissociation system were ascertained by Ezzat and Dincer [31,32]. It was demonstrated that the ammonia dissociator system increased engine output power as NH\textsubscript{3} mass fraction increased, although both the energy and exergy efficiencies declined undesirably. Exergy destruction due to irreversibility also increased as NH\textsubscript{3} mass fraction increased. As compared to vehicle systems that could be powered using fuel cells with NH\textsubscript{3}, the dissociator vehicle elevated energy efficiencies by ~30% at maximum traction power of 118 kW. In another vehicle system proposed by Ezzat and Dincer, H\textsubscript{2} was produced onboard using ammonia electrolyte cell (AEC). A thermoelectric generator (TEG) was used for waste heat recovery from the SI engine. The overall energy and exergy efficiencies of the system were found to be 31.1% and 28.94%, respectively [31]. Overall, the NH\textsubscript{3} dissociator vehicle exhibited the highest energy and fuel efficiencies among the three systems examined.

Apart from dissociating the NH\textsubscript{3} into N\textsubscript{2} and H\textsubscript{2}, another way of enabling a NH\textsubscript{3}/H\textsubscript{2} engine can be by injecting the NH\textsubscript{3} and H\textsubscript{2} separately into the intake manifold of the SI engine. An electro-injector (Fig. 4b) was used for NH\textsubscript{3} and H\textsubscript{2} injection in an experimental engine. It was demonstrated that the BTE of NH\textsubscript{3}/H\textsubscript{2} blending was 3-4% lower than the gasoline engine from 2500-5000 RPM [28,33]. Mørch et al. [34] also utilised separate NH\textsubscript{3} and H\textsubscript{2} injection methods. It was reported that blending ammonia with ~5 vol% hydrogen could still lead to a good power response [97]. By elevating the hydrogen to ~10 vol%, the engine ITE increased by 0.5% as compared to a neat gasoline engine [98]. Nonetheless, NO\textsubscript{x} emissions of ~750 ppm were produced when hydrogen content was increased to ~20 vol%, thus suggesting the need for SCR at the exhaust gases under these conditions. Westlye et al. [35] found that NO\textsubscript{x}
emissions of an NH$_3$/H$_2$ engine increased by ~2000 ppm as fuel injection timing was advanced
by 40°CA for λ > 1. NO$_2$ increased by ~100 ppm while N$_2$O decreased by 50 ppm. Slip NH$_3$
remained unchanged as SOI was advanced to 40°CA bTDC. Moreover, it was also found that
NO, NO$_2$, and slip NH$_3$ increased by 1000 ppm, 25 ppm, 1500 ppm, respectively as CR
increased from 7 to 15 for λ > 1 operation. Another development that used separate injection
was presented by Cardiff University through the development of their Green Ammonia
demonstrator at the Rutherford Appleton Laboratory. The results showed that an injection of
30% (vol) hydrogen in an ammonia mixture could enable the replacement of methane for
production of power up to 16kW at 1,500 RPM. Although NOx emissions were below 20ppm,
it was observed that the combustion was still inefficient, thus requiring further development in
terms of timing, equivalence ratio and injection strategy.

As shown in Fig. 3, Table 1, and Table 2, the use of NH$_3$ dissociation systems generally
leads to higher engine efficiency than the gasoline engine. This is presumably due to the
substantial reduction in NH$_3$ mass fraction that elevates the flame speed and combustion
efficiency (Fig. 3). For a separate H$_2$ supply system, spark ignition timing must be retarded by
another 10-15 °CA (compared to a gasoline engine) to ensure stable engine operation, thus
resulting in higher heat loss and less residual expansion than gasoline engines [28]. It is
expected that the separate H$_2$ supply system can deliver comparable engine performances with
the NH$_3$ dissociation system if the H$_2$ mass fraction is increased to ~11% (like that of the NH$_3$
dissociation system). Emission-wise, NH$_3$ dissociation technology led to considerable NO$_x$
reduction when compared with the gasoline engine, seemingly due to predominant H$_2$
combustion in the engine (Fig. 3). For a separate H$_2$ supply system, advanced SOI was required
to reduce NO$_x$ emission [35]. Owing to the substantial heat loss, thermal NO was reduced in
the SI engine that employed a separate H$_2$ supply system.
In addition to NH$_3$ dissociation and separate H$_2$ supply, disputes also arise among directly injecting (DI) the NH$_3$ mixture into the combustion chamber (Fig. 4c) and PFI (Fig. 4d). To date, there are no systematic comparisons between these two types of fuel injection systems for the NH$_3$ SI engine yet. Only Ryu et al. [29,36] had reported their results on NH$_3$ direct-injection engines when blended with gasoline [29,36]. In contrast, studies that utilised the PFI technique did not blend the dissociated NH$_3$ with gasoline [24,28]. Hence, decent comparisons between both fuel induction techniques are not possible. As compared to a neat gasoline engine, the fuel efficiency of DI gasoline/NH$_3$/H$_2$ engine was lowered by ~10 MJ/kWh as compared to gasoline/NH$_3$ SI engines without a dissociation system [29,36], despite higher engine power was generated by the latter. Ammonia injection for ~22 ms was required for the DI gasoline/NH$_3$/H$_2$ engine to achieve similar fuel efficiency with a neat gasoline engine [29,36]. No direct emissions comparison between the DI gasoline/NH$_3$/H$_2$ engine and the neat gasoline engine was performed [29]. For the PFI engine, the thermal efficiency of the NH$_3$/H$_2$ is also lower than the neat gasoline engine [24,28]. Nonetheless, no direct emission assessment between NH$_3$/H$_2$ and the neat gasoline engine was performed [24,28]. Although reasonable comparison cannot be performed, it is expected that the fuel mixture in the DI NH$_3$ engine would be heterogeneous and the combustion would be predominantly non-premixed, owing that the gasoline/air was not mixed with NH$_3$/H$_2$ fuel spray before entering the combustion chamber. In PFI injection, however, fuel mixture and air are mixed prior to entering the combustion chamber. Hence, the reaction time scale is likely to be shorter and the combustion mode is primarily premixed.
Table 1  Comparison of NH$_3$/H$_2$ SI engine using NH$_3$ dissociator.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tested Fuel</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Operating Conditions</th>
<th>Engine Performances</th>
<th>Emissions</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| NH$_3$/H$_2$ Iso-Octane | • Bore/Stroke = 0.72  
• 25% of NH$_3$ dissociated into H$_2$ (-5%) & N$_2$.  
• 1800 RPM, CR = 10 | • P$_{cyl}$ ↑ by ~100 psia for $\phi < 0.8$. | • NO ↑ by a factor of 1.5 ($\phi < 1$) | Sawyer et al. [25] |
| NH$_3$/H$_2$ Iso-Octane | • 25% of NH$_3$ dissociated into H$_2$ (-5%) & N$_2$.  
• 1800 RPM, CR = 10 | • Peak IMEP ↓ by ~50 psia ($\phi < 1$) | • NO ↑ by a factor of 1.5 ($\phi < 1$) | Starkman et al. [26] |
| NH$_3$/H$_2$ Gasoline | • Displacement = 442 cm$^3$  
• Bore/Stroke = 1.12  
• Part of NH$_3$ decomposed to produce ~2.5 wt.% H$_2$  
• CR = 9.4, 1600 RPM | • ITE ↓ without H$_2$ addition  
• ITE ≈ as H$_2$ increased by ~3 vol.%.  
• BP ↑ by 8 hp as H$_2$ increased by ~3 vol.%. | - | Cornelius et al. [18] |
| NH$_3$/H$_2$ Gasoline | • Displacement = 505 cm$^3$  
• Bore/Stroke = 1.16  
• Full throttle, $\lambda = 1$  
• $\dot{m}_{H2} = 1.38$ Nm$^3$h$^{-1}$  
• $\dot{m}_{NH3} = 7$-7.4 Nm$^3$h$^{-1}$  
• 2500-3500 RPM | • BTE ↓ 3% (2500 RPM)  
• BTE ↑ 1.5% (3500 RPM) | • NO$_x$ ↓ ~1000 ppm.  
• High load NO$_x$ > half load NO$_x$ by ~200 ppm | Frigo [27,30] |
| Gasoline/NH$_3$/H$_2$ | • Displacement = 611 cm$^3$  
• Bore/Stroke = 0.72  
• 1800 RPM, CR = 10  
• $\dot{m}_{gasoline} = 27.5$ g/min  
• NH$_3$ injected 270-370 bTDC  
• $\dot{m}_{ammonia} = 3.75$-13.85 g/min | • BP ↑ ~0.2 kW  
• Brake Specific Energy Consumption (BSEC) ↓ ~5 MJ/kWh | • NO$_x$ ↓ ~25 g/kWh ($\dot{m}_{ammonia} = 7.2$ g/min)  
• NH$_3$ slip ↓ 83% ($\dot{m}_{ammonia} = 7.2$ g/min) | Ryu et al. [29] |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tested Fuel</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Operating Conditions</th>
<th>Engine Performances</th>
<th>Emissions</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| NH₃/H₂      | Gasoline | • Displacement = 505 cm³  
• Bore/Stroke = 1.16  
• 2500-5000 RPM  
• λ = 1  
• CR = 10.7  
• Full load  
• H₂ to NH₃ energy ratio: 6-8% (Full load) | • BTE ↓ by ~ 2.5% regardless of engine speed.  
• BP ↓ by ~ 3kW (3500 RPM) | • Full load NOₓ ↓ by 1000 ppm against half load. | Frigo & Gentili [28,33] |
| NH₃/H₂      | Gasoline | • Displacement = 612.5 cm³  
• Bore/Stroke = 0.72  
• 1200 RPM  
• λ > 1  
• H₂/NH₃ volume ratio: 10/90 | • ITE ↑ by 0.5% as CR ↑ by 2.6. | • NOₓ emission was 5500 ppm when H₂ vol% was 70% (CR = 8.9, λ = 1.3-1.4, H₂/NH₃ volume ratio = 70/30) | Mørch et al. [34] |
| NH₃/H₂      | Gasoline | • Displacement = 612.5 cm³  
• 1000 RPM  
• λ = 1-1.4  
• CR = 7-15  
• Full throttle  
• H₂/NH₃ volume ratio: 20/80 | - | • NO ↓ 1500 ppm as SOI advanced to 30 °CA bTDC.  
• NO₂ ↑ by ~100 ppm, N₂O ↓ by ~50 ppm as SOI advanced by 40 °CA. | Westlye et al. [35] |
As depicted, mixing the ammonia with other fuels is a convenient way of enhancing its reactivity [37,38]. Thus, aside from neat NH$_3$ and NH$_3$/H$_2$ SI engines, Grannell et al. [19–21] established a gasoline/NH$_3$ blending map for SI engines. Engine operating parameters such as speed, load, and CR were considered to determine the optimum gasoline/NH$_3$ blending ratio that would deliver satisfactory engine performances. The authors concluded that no single, constant ratio of gasoline/NH$_3$ works appropriately for every engine operating condition. Thus, it was suggested that gasoline and ammonia should be stored separately and blended separately based on different engine operating conditions. However, Ryu et al. [29] showed in a later study that a gasoline/NH$_3$ ratio of 2-7.3 would yield satisfactory engine stability and performances from 0.6-2.75 kW engine output power. Oxygenated fuel addition was another approach to improving NH$_3$ SI engine performance. Haputhanthri et al. [39–42] blended the NH$_3$ with methanol/gasoline or ethanol/gasoline in 10%-20% volumetric ratio, thus increasing engine brake torque by approximately 10 Nm when the engine speed was > 3500 RPM. When the methanol volumetric ratio increased to 30%, a marginal increase in brake torque could be observed at engine speeds between 2000-2500 RPM. Ammonia-rich fuels with up to 20% of ethanol perform better than baseline fuel, especially at higher engine speeds [39–42].

H$_2$ is notably the most used additives for improving the combustion performances of NH$_3$ SI engines. However, there are also potential drawbacks in adding H$_2$ into the NH$_3$ SI engine. As shown in Fig. 5, H$_2$ addition elevated the peak heat release rate (HRR) by ~50% as its volume fraction increased by 15% [43], due to the increased turbulence flame velocity by about 50% that leads to shorter combustion duration (by ~15 °CA) and reduced heat loss [43]. Nonetheless, excessive H$_2$ addition (volume fraction > 10%) in NH$_3$ SI engine operation pulled the mixture effective Lewis number (Le) below 0.9, owing to the aggravated preferential diffusion effects between both reactants [43]. Flame stretching increased by a factor of 2 as Le falls below 0.9, promoting the local flame extinction and wrinkling. Although these did not
reduce the peak HRR, turbulent flame speed declined by ~16.7% [43]. In a practical engine
test, Frigo et al. [27,30] showed that for H2 mass fraction of ~22%, an engine speed of at least
3000 RPM is needed to ensure that the NH3/H2 engine efficiency remains competitive with that
of the neat gasoline engine. Efficiency for the NH3/H2 engine declined drastically as engine
speed fell below 3000 RPM.

Furthermore, increased N2O emissions are another concern when using H2 as an
additive in the SI engine [44]. It was shown that N2O emissions increased by ~50% as H2 vol
fraction by 12.5% was achieved under the fuel-lean combustion [44]. The drastic increase in
N2O is presumably due to the increased NO emission in fuel-lean operation that promotes N2O
formation via NH + NO → N2O + H. N2O is nearly 300 times more potent than CO2 [45]. Thus,
releasing N2O emission into the ambient air would have a tremendous impact on global
warming, comprising a challenging task for the NH3/H2 SI engine. Another additive would be
CH4. As depicted in Fig. 5, the peak HRR of NH3 premixed flame reduced by ~40% as CH4
volume fraction increased by 15%. This was attributed to the combustion duration which
increased by approximately 10 °CA as CH4 volume fraction raised to 15%. In general, despite
H2 mass fraction ~10% resulted in promising engine performance improvement, engine
parameters optimisation may have to be performed if H2 mass fraction is to be increased further,
due to the limitations of excessive H2 addition shown in Fig. 5.
Fig. 5 Overview of CH₄ and H₂ as additive for NH₃ SI engine.
3.0 Ammonia in Compression Ignition (CI) Engine

The CI engine with inherently higher CR (14-25) and thermal efficiency (\(\eta\): 45-55\%) than the SI engine (CR: 8-12, \(\eta\): 28-42\%) is another type of piston machine commonly used for power generation. The annual installed capacity for CI engine is about an order of magnitude higher than that of SI engines [13]. Aside from the power generation industry, the shipping industry is another large fossil fuel user that consumes ~330 million metric tons of fuels annually [46]. Heavy Fuel Oil (HFO) with high level of sulphur is typically used to propel marine cargo vessels, contributing directly to the Sulphur Oxide (SO\(_x\)) emission following its combustion in engines [47]. The International Maritime Organization (IMO) imposed stricter regulations on ship fuel to ameliorate harmful SO\(_x\) emission by lowering the limit of sulphur content for operation in the open seas from 3.5 wt.% to 0.5wt.% [48]. This is expected to reduce annual SO\(_x\) emission by ~ 8.5 million metric tonnes [48]. As a result of these initiatives, several researchers and maritime companies have diverted their attention to ammonia-fuelled CI engines [49–53]. Combustion in the CI engine is different from that of the SI engine, since the CI engine combustion consists of four distinct stages (i.e. ignition delay, pre-mixed burning, mixing controlled combustion, and after burning) [54]. The presence of NH\(_3\) affects the combustion process at each stage and influences the reaction at the subsequent stages as a result.

Lamas Galdo et al. [55] showed that NO\(_x\) emissions of a 6-cylinder ammonia/marine diesel oil (MDO) CI engine could be reduced up to 80% by retarding the fuel injection timing to 58.4 \(^\circ\)CA aTDC (after Top Dead Centre). Lamas & Rodriguez [56] also reported that optimum NO\(_x\) reduction (~60\%) for a H\(_2\)/diesel/NH\(_3\) engine was achieved at 43.2 \(^\circ\)CA aTDC. Nonetheless, ammonia slip was found to increase by 30 ppm when the injection was retarded to after the TDC [55]. Niki et al. [57–59] reported that peak cylinder pressure of NH\(_3\)/diesel engines augmented by 1 MPa as the fuel SOI advanced by 5 \(^\circ\)CA (from 8 to 13 \(^\circ\)CA bTDC). The engine HRR was raised by ~10 J/\(^\circ\)CA following the rise in the cylinder pressure. Multiple
injection strategies were also examined for the NH₃ CI engine. Despite advanced pilot injection from 30 to 70 °CA bTDC reduced NH₃ slip by as much as ~2200 ppm, N₂O and NOₓ emissions were found to increase by a factor of 4 and 1.7, respectively [57–59]. Moreover, the engine HRR reduced by ~40 J/°CA when pilot injection was advanced by 50 °CA. This is mainly due to the substantial heat loss when combustion started at the early stage of the compression stroke. Retarded post-injection from 10 to 30 °CA aTDC reduced engine HRR by ~10 J/°CA. Tay et al. [60,61] showed that HRR and peak cylinder pressure for a kerosene/diesel/NH₃ engine increased by 125 J/°CA and 25 bar, respectively, as SOI was advanced by 18.5 °CA from TDC. Kerosene resulted in higher primary peak heat release during the premixed combustion phase, owing to its lower CN and higher latent heat of vaporisation. The duration of combustion (DOC) generally decreased when SOI was advanced. The mixture ignition delay, however, increased exponentially when SOI was advanced, endowing longer fuel evaporation and mixing time scales. NO emissions increased by a factor of 5 when SOI advanced from -3.5 to -17.5 °CA bTDC.

Lee and Song [62] applied multiple injection methods to a neat NH₃ engine. It was demonstrated that when the mass fraction of the pilot fuel is less than or equal to the main injection, SOI of at least -12 °CA bTDC is required to elevate engine in-cylinder pressure to a competitive level of ~170 bar. Conversely, when the mass fraction for the pilot fuel is higher than that of the main injection, SOI could be retarded to -4 °CA bTDC before a noticeable cylinder pressure drop takes place. When compared with -8 °CA bTDC SOI, NO emissions were reduced up to a factor of 5 when SOI was retarded to -12 °CA bTDC, owing to the reduction in peak cylinder temperature. Lamas and Rodriguez [63] showed that parabolic fuel injection profiles resulted in the highest NOₓ reduction (~75%) when compared with rectangular and triangle ammonia injection profiles (~65%) at 40 °CA aTDC. It was also shown that prolonged injection duration (10 °CA) resulted in poorer NOₓ reduction [63]. As shown in
Fig. 6, retarded fuel injection led to drastic NO reduction, owing to the heat loss during the expansion stroke. However, it is expected that fuel efficiency will be declined due to the late fuel injection strategy. Conversely, advanced injection of 10-18 °CA bTDC is seemingly a more practical approach. Although NO\textsubscript{x} and N\textsubscript{2}O showed an increasing trend from 0-10 °CA bTDC injection [57], it was reported that further advances in fuel injection could reduce NO\textsubscript{x} drastically [61].

---

**Fig. 6** CI engine parameters adjustments to accommodate NH\textsubscript{3} operations.
The idea of ammonia solution (NH$_3$ + H$_2$O) was investigated by Pyrc et al. [64] using a CI engine. Conventional diesel was chosen as the baseline. The engine heat release increased by 12 J/°CA at full load operation when fuelled with the water ammonia solution (WAS), owing to the increased ignition delay and prolonged combustion duration. As a result of the increased heat release, the engine BTE was found to increase by ~3%. Although WAS increased the engine COV$_{\text{IMEP}}$ by about 0.3%, the overall COV$_{\text{IMEP}}$ was lower than 5% (acceptable COV$_{\text{IMEP}}$ [54]). NO$_x$ emissions for the diesel/WAS engine were 520 ppm lower than diesel at full load, owing to the lower combustion temperature. Nonetheless, this resulted in 70 ppm higher Unburned Hydrocarbon (UHC) than the diesel engine. Schönborn [65] showed that aqueous ammonia was more difficult to ignite than neat ammonia in a CI engine. To ignite ammonia in an aqueous solution, a minimum CR of 27 was necessary for a typical CI engine operation. Ammonium nitrate or hydrogen were two potential ammonia derivatives that were identified as capable of enhancing aqueous ammonia ignition whilst reducing the required CR to 24. Şahin et al. [66] reported that as compared to a neat diesel engine, the fuel efficiency of diesel/aqueous NH$_3$ engine reduced by ~20% when the aqueous NH$_3$ volume fraction increased by 10%. HC and CO generally show decreasing trends as the NH$_3$ fraction increased. NO$_x$ increased as NH$_3$ proportion increased.

Oxygenated fuels were another option that can conceivably enhance NH$_3$ combustion due to additional oxygen supply. Sivasubramanian et al. [67] showed that a 80/20 biodiesel/NH$_3$ engine reduced HC, CO, and smoke emissions by nearly 25% at full load. NO$_x$ emissions from the biodiesel (BD)/NH$_3$ engine were about 300 pm higher than diesel but ~100 ppm lower than the biodiesel engine. Ryu et al. [68,69] showed that the exhaust emission from the ammonia/DME (Dimethyl Ether) engine did not enhance noticeably after improving fuel injection methods, denoting that post-exhaust treatment is still needed for the ammonia/DME engine operation. Lin & Lin [70] found that fuel efficiency for the biodiesel/NH$_3$ blend was
lower than neat biodiesel and diesel. CO and NO\textsubscript{x} emissions for biodiesel/NH\textsubscript{3} blend were lower than diesel by as much as 100 ppm for <1800 RPM engine speed. Gross & Kong [71] showed that an increase in NH\textsubscript{3} volume fraction to 40% in the NH\textsubscript{3}/DME blend elevated the engine HRR by ~5 J/°CA against that of neat DME engines (2548 RPM). NO emissions for neat DME and 40/60 NH\textsubscript{3}/DME engines were found to be very similar. When pilot injection was used, cylinder pressure and HRR increased by 20 bar and 20 J/°CA, respectively as the mass flow for pilot injection increased to 50%. NO\textsubscript{x} and CO emissions were not sensitive to double injection. Unburned NH\textsubscript{3}, however, reduced by ~30% as pilot injection increased to 50%. Bro & Pedersen [72] showed that the BTE of an NH\textsubscript{3} engine is higher than diesel at a lower excess air ratio. Ignition delay for ammonia is higher than for methanol, ethanol, methane, and diesel. Cylinder pressure for diesel and methane are higher than for NH\textsubscript{3}. Excess air ratio > 1.5 is needed to diminish CO emissions. NO\textsubscript{x} emissions for NH\textsubscript{3} are higher than for other fuels.

Ammonia decomposition, which was considerably successful in the SI engines, was also tried out in CI engines. Wang et al. [73] utilised catalytic NH\textsubscript{3} decomposition in the CI engine for that purpose. It was shown that the BTE of the diesel/H\textsubscript{2}/NH\textsubscript{3} engine was very similar to that of an Ultra-Low Sulphur Diesel (ULSD) engine, regardless of the variation in H\textsubscript{2}/NH\textsubscript{3} volume fraction. HC and CO decrease by ~7.5% when H\textsubscript{2}/NH\textsubscript{3} volume fraction increased from 2.47 to 11.03. NO emissions of the H\textsubscript{2}/NH\textsubscript{3} engine were comparable with the ULSD engine despite NO\textsubscript{2} being found marginally higher than that of the ULSD engine. Gill et al. [74] examined combustion of gaseous neat NH\textsubscript{3}, H\textsubscript{2}, and dissociated NH\textsubscript{3} in a CI engine. BSFC for a 75/1/24 H\textsubscript{2}/NH\textsubscript{3}/N\textsubscript{2} blend was found lower than for neat NH\textsubscript{3}, indicating a better fuel efficiency of the former. It was hypothesised that emissions from ammonia may be enhanced through preheating the chemical (e.g. waste heat from the exhaust gas can be used to partly decompose ammonia). Pochet et al. [75] noticed an increased resistance against
autoignition at around 15% vol. of ammonia in ammonia/hydrogen mixtures. The higher the
ammonia loading is, the slower and lower the pressure and temperature will rise. Ammonia can
create a two-fold reduction in maximum pressure rise rates (MPRR), with a combustion
duration increasing from 3 to 7 °CA. Lamas & Rodriguez [56] examined the effects of
ammonia injection in diesel/hydrogen engines. It was reported that optimum NO\textsubscript{x} reduction
(~60%) was obtained at 43.2 °CA aTDC. NO\textsubscript{x} reduced drastically with increasing ammonia
fraction. With increasing NH\textsubscript{3}/NO\textsubscript{i} > 3, un-reacted NH\textsubscript{3} becomes significant.

Lasocki et al. [76] showed that the Brake Specific Fuel Consumption (BSFC) of a
diesel/NH\textsubscript{3} engine was ~25 g/kW-hr higher than that of a diesel engine. CO for the dual-fuel
gas engine was noticeably lower than neat diesel while NO emissions were comparable for both
ingines. Hogerwaard & Dincer [77] showed that the efficiency and exergy destruction rate for
the H\textsubscript{2} assisted NH\textsubscript{3}/diesel engine was only slightly higher than for the neat diesel engine. NO\textsubscript{x}
emissions from the NH\textsubscript{3}/diesel engine started to meet tier 2 and 3 requirements when NH\textsubscript{3} mass
fraction exceeded 0.5. Reiter & Kong [78–80] examined diesel/NH\textsubscript{3} combustion in a CI engine.
It was found that for a full load 1400 RPM turbocharged engine, 50% ammonia input power
fraction could elevate the engine brake torque by 20 ft-lb as compared to a neat diesel engine,
concurring with the findings by Pearsall & Garabedian [81] where BTE of the NH\textsubscript{3}/diesel
engine was found to be higher than neat diesel by approximately 10%. Furthermore, NO\textsubscript{x}
emissions from the diesel/NH\textsubscript{3} engine were 10 g/kW-hr lower than in the diesel engine, owing
to the lower diesel/ammonia combustion temperature [78–80]. Disparities in UHC emissions
from both engines were only marginal. Overall, diesel/ammonia combustion resulted in lower
NO\textsubscript{x} emissions than those produced by diesel for ammonia input power fraction < 60% [78–
80]. Niki et al. [57–59] reported that peak cylinder pressure of a 1362 RPM 6 kW diesel/NH\textsubscript{3}
ingine was lowered marginally (~0.2 MPa) as the energy fraction of ammonia/diesel blend
increased from 0 to 15%. N\textsubscript{2}O, unburned NH\textsubscript{3}, and CO emissions increased by 75 ppm, 3000
ppm, 25 ppm, respectively, denoting a drastic decline in combustion efficiency due to the presence of NH₃.

By referring to Fig. 6, retarded fuel injection timing to aTDC is not exactly an ideal approach for improving NH₃ combustion in CI engines. Although this approach reduced NO emissions remarkably, it also resulted in a drastic increase of unburned NH₃. Aqueous ammonia appears to be an ideal way of improving both performances and emissions. However, it should be underlined that this method will most likely increase the noise level of the engine due to the increased heat release in the pre-mixing burning stage. Blending the NH₃ with fossil diesel commonly leads to enhanced engine HRR and higher NO emissions. Overall, the combustion and emissions performances of neat NH₃ can be improved by optimising the mass flow and timing for pilot and main injection, leading to simultaneous reduction of N₂-based emission and increased engine HRR.
4.0 Ammonia in Gas Turbine

Constant volume combustion is undoubtedly desirable for ammonia combustion, mainly because it does not interrupt flame propagation like that in the reciprocating piston engine. Moreover, various combustion strategies/combustor modifications are easier for implementation when compared with reciprocating piston engines, owing to the absence of induction and exhaust systems at the top of the combustion chamber. Ammonia performances in jet engines has been investigated by several groups of researchers. Karabeyoglu et al. [82] found that blending the ammonia with JP4 with a mass fraction higher than 0.7 could reduce the carbon emission by at least 60%. Nonetheless, primary challenges in using ammonia arise from retarded ammonia kinetics that led to a lower flame temperature that augments flame instability. Iki et al. [83] examined ammonia combustion in a 50kW gas turbine. At 75,000 RPM gas turbine speed, NO emissions of NH$_3$/kerosene blends were increased by 1500 ppm as kerosene concentration decreased by 30%. The drastic increase in NO emissions poses a great challenge for ammonia-fuelled gas turbines, especially when it comes to aerospace applications [11,29,83,84].

Therefore, due to the NH$_3$ energy density, which is considerably lower than jet-fuel requirements [85], this review focuses only on land-based ammonia gas turbines. A premixed ammonia/air swirl combustor was examined by Hayakawa et al. [86]. It was reported that the cylindrical liner extended the lean blow-off (LBO) limit of the flame from $\phi = 0.8$ to 0.6 for mixture inlet velocities up to 8 m/s. Increased swirl number led to narrowed stable flame regions, owing to the decrease in characteristic length scale [87]. The characteristic length scale of the recirculating flow was found independent of the swirl number for a low inlet velocity of 3.14 m/s. As inlet velocity increased to ~45 m/s, the stable flame regime was confined to $1.0 < \phi < 1.2$ [9]. NO emissions were about 5000 ppm in the fuel-lean combustion but reduced to <10 ppm when $\phi > 1.1$. Unburned NH$_3$ concentration showed reverse trends to NO where it
was nearly \(-\)0 ppm in the fuel-lean regime but went up to \(> 5000\) ppm at \(\phi \approx 1.1\). Emissions of both species were minimum at \(\phi \approx 1.05\), as shown in Fig. 7. The authors suggested that \(\phi \approx 1.05\) should be adopted for pure ammonia gas turbine operation [86]. Somarathe et al. [88] also observed a similar emissions trend through the numerical study of a bluff-body stabilised non-premixed \(\text{NH}_3/\text{air}\) swirl flame. NO emissions decreased by nearly 6000 ppm as global \(\phi\) increased from stoichiometric to 1.4. Unburned \(\text{NH}_3\) and \(\text{H}_2\) were nearly zero in the fuel-lean regime but increased considerably \((> 5000\) ppm) when \(\phi > 1.1\) of which \(\text{NH}_3\) increased by \(> 5000\) ppm [86].

![Fig. 7 NO, NH\(_3\), and H\(_2\) emissions for a cylindrical liner with 200 mm liner length with geometric swirl number \((S_G) = 0.736\) (reprint from [86] with permission from Elsevier)](image)

Non-premixed \(\text{NH}_3/\text{air}\) combustion was one of the methods capable of improving the NO emission of \(\text{NH}_3/\text{air}\) combustion (Fig. 8) [88]. It was reported that NO emissions from these non-premixed stoichiometric \(\text{NH}_3/\text{air}\) combustion conditions was lower by a factor of 1.05 as compared to the premixed mode. However, at \(\phi > 1\), premixed combustion was constantly cleaner than non-premixed combustion in terms of lower NO emission [88]. Lower NO
emissions in non-premixed stoichiometric NH$_3$/air combustion was mainly due to the local heterogeneous $\phi$ and fuel-rich pockets formed in the central region of the combustor. These prohibit the formation of NO via thermal route as a result. Despite NO emissions from stoichiometric non-premixed NH$_3$/air combustion were lower than the premixed mode, the overall emissions remained exceptionally high (~5852 ppm). Furthermore, the concentration of unburned NH$_3$ was not examined. It would be expected that unburned NH$_3$ would be high in the non-premixed mode due to the heterogeneous $\phi$. The performance of non-premixed NH$_3$/air combustion in an actual micro gas turbine (MGT) was examined by Osamu et al. [89]. It was demonstrated that MGT could operate from 70,000 RPM to 80,000 RPM with output power ranging from 18.4 kW to 44.4 kW. It was also hypothesised that a heterogeneous mixture would lead to higher unburned NH$_3$ emission than the premixed mode.

**Improving the emissions of premixed NH$_3$/air swirl combustion**

- **Non-Premix operation**
  - Local heterogeneous mixture suppressed NO formation.
  - NO remained high (-117 ppm/kW) despite slightly lower than premix mode (-123 ppm/kW).
  - Valid for $\phi = 1$, not valid for $\phi > 1$.

- **Secondary air injection**
  - -50% NO reduction compared to single stage premixed operation.
  - Turbine inlet temperature reduced by -1000K.
  - Sensitive to the change in primary zone equivalence ratio.

- **Slight fuel-rich ($\phi=1.05$) operation**
  - NO remained high (-21 ppm/kW), although substantially lower than fuel-lean operation (-140 ppm/kW).
  - Other N$_2$-based emissions were not quantified.
Fig. 8 Methods for improving the emissions performances of premixed pure NH$_3$/air swirl combustion. Results for AIST unit (Japan).

Okafor et al. [90] showed that non-premixed NH$_3$/air combustion is a cleaner solution over the premixed mode in a specific $\varphi_{\text{global}}$ only. For $0.85 < \varphi_{\text{global}} < 1.1$ operation, NO emissions from premixed NH$_3$/air combustion were lower than the non-premixed operation by $\sim$1200 ppmv. As $\varphi_{\text{global}}$ dropped below 0.8, however, premixed combustion produced lower NO than the non-premixed operation. A slightly fuel-rich global $\varphi$ (1.05) was again identified as an ideal operating point for both premixed and non-premixed NH$_3$/air combustion, where NO, NO$_2$, N$_2$O, and unburned NH$_3$ emissions were minimal [90]. These findings concur with Hayakawa et al. [86] and Somarathne et al. [88].

The idea of operating pure NH$_3$ combustion (premixed and non-premixed) at $\varphi \sim 1.05$ (Fig. 8) was attractive, mainly because NO and unburned NH$_3$ emission were the lowest at this specific $\varphi$ (Fig. 7) [86]. However, it should be highlighted that NO emission from NH$_3$ combustion remained relatively high when compared with biodiesel and diesel (NH$_3$: 21 ppm/kW [86], biodiesel and diesel ($\sim$ 7.61 ppm/kW) [91,92]). Furthermore, other N$_2$-based emissions are expected to be higher owing to the reduction in airflow. The NH$_x^*$ combination (NH$_x^* + $NH$_x^*$) was identified as one of the predominant reactions in fuel-rich NH$_3$ combustion [93]. NH$_x^*$ combination produces NNH$^*$ that is later consumed by O$^*$ via NNH + O → NH + NO [94], denoting a vast majority of the NNH$^*$ would lead to the production of NH$^*$ in fuel-rich operation [95–97]. Furthermore, NH$_x^*$ combination promotes the production of N$_2$H$_x$ and HNO at high temperatures [98], precluding the production of NOx emissions. It was shown that the introduction of the N$_2$H$_x$ reactions led to a more accurate estimation of NH$^*$ and NH$_2^*$ concentrations [99], signifying that N$_2$H$_x$ are important elements in the fuel-rich NH$_3$ combustion.

In another attempt to improve NH$_3$ emissions performance, secondary air was injected into the post-reaction zone of the combustor. The NO emission from the global fuel-lean
NH₃/air premixed combustion was reduced by ~50% as compared to the single-stage combustion when secondary air was introduced at near stoichiometric primary φ [100,101]. As primary φ increased to 1.2, however, NO emission from the two-stages combustion turned out to be higher than single-stage rich combustion, signifying that secondary air injection strategy is highly sensitive to the change in primary φ. However, the unburned ammonia emissions would be much higher without secondary combustion, thus making this strategy the preferred by those working on ammonia gas turbine technologies. The 2 stages combustion was also applied to non-premixed NH₃/air combustion. Okafor et al. [90] reported that a fuel stream injection angle of 45° reduced NO emission by nearly 100 ppmv as compared to an injection angle of 0° at global φ = 0.3. By increasing the area of the secondary dilution holes, Osamu et al. [102] showed that NOₓ could be reduced by nearly 2/3. Moreover, unburned NH₃ was also reduced considerably. The authors claimed that the new combustor offered a promising cleaner solution to non-premixed NH₃ gas turbine power generation. Despite secondary air injection was effective in suppressing NOₓ emission, but the combustor exit temperature was reduced by ~1000 K due to secondary air injection [101]. Substantial reduction in combustor outlet temperature (turbine inlet temperature) is expected to lower the thermal efficiency of the turbine [103]. Moreover, NOₓ emission at the combustor exit was sensitive to the changes in the primary zone equivalence ratio (φₚᵣᵢ). NOₓ emissions at the combustor exit were reduced by 79 ppm as compared to a combustor central injection when φₚᵣᵢ = 1.2; but when φₚᵣᵢ = 1.25, NOₓ emission at combustor exit were 220 ppm higher than with the central injection [90].

In addition to the conventional swirl burner, NH₃ combustion in Dry-Low Emissions (DLE), Rich-burn, Quick-quench and Lean-burn (RQL), and Moderate or Intense Low Oxygen Dilution (MILD) combustor have been also examined numerically [104]. It was shown that DLE combustion is not ideal for NH₃ combustion, owing to the low NH₃ reactivity. RQL and MILD combustor were found effective in suppressing the thermal NOₓ formation. RQL
NH$_3$/H$_2$ combustion [105,106] also yielded promising emission reduction. By using H$_2$ pilot injection at elevated inlet pressure and temperature, unburned NH$_3$ was reduced by a factor of 2 at stoichiometric combustion [105,106]. Nonetheless, authors [104] concluded that a high level of dilution might pose challenges to the gas turbine operation. Similar to previous combustion systems, a potential drawback of these combustors would be lower output temperatures that reduce the heat quality delivered to the gas turbine (Fig. 8), although simulations provided good temperatures within the range of those profiles produced by fossil fuel blends.

CH$_4$ was proposed to assist neat ammonia combustion. Premixed NH$_3$/CH$_4$ combustion was investigated by Valera-Medina et al. [107] using a generic swirl burner at different global $\phi$ and CH$_4$ mass fractions. Flame instability was provoked when global $\phi > 1.25$, owing to the weakened central recirculation zone. The NO$_x$ emission was remarkably low (< 20 ppm) when $\phi > 1.1$, mainly due to the consumption by NH$_2^*$. Elevated gas temperature in the post-flame zone was attributed to the reaction NH$_2$ + NO $\rightarrow$ N$_2$H + OH. Conversely, CO emission was exceptionally high when $\phi > 1.1$ (up to 900 ppm). In fuel-lean combustion, however, NO$_x$ was primarily produced via interactions between N*, NH* and O*, as well as the reaction HNO + H $\rightarrow$ NO + H$_2$. Fig. 9 depicts emissions from NH$_3$/CH$_4$ combustion. As compared to premixed fuel-lean NH$_3$/air combustion with NO$_x$ emission of approximately 151.5 ppm/kW [86], blending NH$_3$ with CH$_4$ lowered NO$_x$ emission in the fuel-lean regime to about 69 ppm/kW [107]. However, emissions performance of NH$_3$/CH$_4$ remain comparatively high when compared to those of biodiesel, diesel, and natural gas [91,92]. Recently, Khateeb et al. [87] observed that ammonia fraction in the fuel blend needs to be decreased to maintain flame stability as mixture inlet velocity and thermal power increased.

Xiao et al. [108] showed that the presence of NH$_3$ in the NH$_3$/CH$_4$ fuel blends resulted in prolonged mixture ignition delay as compared to neat methane. Ignition delay was increased
by a factor of 7.7 under the stoichiometric conditions as NH$_3$ mole fraction increased from 0% to 80%, leading to flame speed reduction of ~200 mm/s. NO$_x$ emissions were found to be independent of NH$_3$ mole fraction in the fuel-rich regime ($\phi > 1.1$). In the fuel-lean regime, however, increased NH$_3$ mole fraction led to substantially high NO production. The NO emission was elevated by approximately 50% as NH$_3$ mole fraction increased from 20% to 80% at $\phi = 0.8$. CO emissions, however, increased drastically in fuel-rich combustion. Nonetheless, increased NH$_3$ mole fraction from 20% to 80% lowered CO emission by a factor of 4 at $\phi = 1.6$. A strong correlation between NO and CO emissions and HNO and HCO radical concentrations was identified [108].

In another study by Xiao et al. [109], Tian’s and Teresa’s mechanisms for NH$_3$/CH$_4$ combustion were enhanced. NH + OH → HNO + H reaction was found to be the most dominant reaction for NO formation in fuel-lean operation while reactions NH$_2$ + NO → NNH + OH and N + NO → N$_2$ + O play important roles for the NO consumption under elevated conditions. Meanwhile, reactions CH$_3$ + O$_2$ → CH$_2$O + OH and NH$_3$ + OH → NH$_2$ + H$_2$O have the largest impact on OH radical production under elevated conditions. The reaction NH + OH → HNO + H (+M) plays the key role in the NO formation process, the conversion from HNO to NO is mainly through HNO + M → H + M (45.4% contribution). HNO + H → NO + H$_2$ and HNO + OH → NO + H$_2$ are also active but with a smaller net contribution to the NO formation [109].
Fig. 9  NO\textsubscript{x} emission (top) and CO emission (bottom) from NH\textsubscript{3}/CH\textsubscript{4} combustion in model gas turbine combustor (reprint from [107])

Somarathne et al. [110] examined non-premixed CH\textsubscript{4}/NH\textsubscript{3}/air swirl combustion under elevated conditions. NO emissions for the fuel-lean CH\textsubscript{4}/NH\textsubscript{3}/air combustion increased by nearly three orders of magnitude when NH\textsubscript{3} energy fraction increased from 0% to 40%. A recent study by Okafor et al. [90,111] concluded that fuel-lean non-premixed NH\textsubscript{3}/CH\textsubscript{4} combustion resulted in a more promising NO reduction as compared to fuel-lean premixed NH\textsubscript{3}/CH\textsubscript{4} combustion. It was shown that premixed NH\textsubscript{3}/CH\textsubscript{4}/air combustion increased NO
emission by a factor of 1.26 when compared with premixed NH$_3$/air combustion. Conversely, non-premixed NH$_3$/CH$_4$ combustion reduced NO emission by a factor of 2.2 as compared to non-premixed NH$_3$ combustion [90,111]. Moreover, NO$_2$ and N$_2$O emissions from premixed NH$_3$/CH$_4$ combustion were also found to be considerably higher than non-premixed NH$_3$/CH$_4$.

The authors concluded that fuel-bound nitrogen is the predominant NO formation mechanism in NH$_3$/CH$_4$ combustion. However, it should be underlined that the premixed combustion used in [90,111] was different from typical practice [107]. As shown in Fig. 10a, the flow of premixed combustible mixture (reactants + air) was divided between swirler and fuel injector inlet [90,111]. A typical premixed burner, however, channels the premixed combustible mixture from burner inlet to burner outlet without passing through the injector (Fig. 10b) [107]. The remarkably different premixing strategy may be contributing to the findings where non-premixed combustion was cleaner than the fully premixed mode.

![Fig. 10](image.png) (a) Partial premixed swirl combustor used by [111], (b) Typical premixed combustion in swirl burner.
H₂ is undeniably an ideal blending agent for assisting NH₃ combustion as seen in section 2. NH₃/H₂ combustion in a constant volume combustor was also investigated. Valera-Medina et al. [112] reported that premixed NH₃/H₂ swirl combustion could establish a decent flame for global φ as low as 0.41 using swirl number S₂ = 1.05. At φ = 0.52, however, flame instability was incited. Exceptionally high NOₓ emissions (> 1000 ppm for φ > 0.5) from NH₃/H₂ combustion were attributed to the excess OH⁺ and O⁺ production in the fuel-lean combustion regime. By lowering S₂ to 0.8 and hydrogen mass fraction to 30%, Valera-Medina et al. [113] reported a monotonic reduction in OH⁺ intensities when φ increased from 1.0 to 1.3 despite lacking oxygen in the fuel-rich regime, denoting an only marginal change in the heat release location and flame position. Steam injection was also attempted, showing an effective method in reducing NO formation [114]. The NOₓ emission was reduced by nearly an order of magnitude while laminar flame speed reduced by nearly 10 cm/s as the steam flow rate increased from 0 g/s to 0.6 g/s at φ = 1.2 [114]. The O⁺ was consumed via reaction O + H₂O → 2OH to produce OH⁺, thus reducing the NO formation by restricting the production of HNO.

Nonetheless, excessive OH⁺ produced at lower temperature reaction promotes the production of NH₂⁺ at the post-reaction zone [115]. Guteša Božo et al. [116] also reported on positive attributes of steam addition in NO reduction. It was reported that the use of steam mass fraction up to 0.4 was possible, where the flame showed low fluctuation and good stability [116].

Like NH₃/air and NH₃/CH₄/air combustion, fuel-lean NH₃/H₂ swirl combustion also suffers from exceptionally high NOₓ emission. This is mainly attributed to the high O⁺, OH⁺, and H⁺ that led to HNO (precursor of NO) formation. Likewise, there was also a proposal to operate NH₃/H₂ combustion in the fuel-rich regime where NO consumption is prevalent [117]. Fortunately, NO reaction with NH₂⁺ can lead to the formation of N₂ and H₂O, especially at fuel-rich operation. However, high temperature of reaction can lead into another direction, a path that goes from NH₂ to NNH⁺ + OH⁺ instead of N₂ + H₂O. The OH⁺ intensity could be
found nearly constant when $\varphi$ varies from 1-1.3, due to the NO consumption by $\text{NH}_2^+$ [113]. A secondary pathway also leads to the reaction of $\text{NH}_x^+$ with $\text{O}^+$, $\text{OH}^-$, or $\text{H}^+$ leading to the formation of other $\text{NH}_x$ radicals. However, well controlled conditions and temperature under fuel-rich conditions are expected to deliver low NOx with high $\text{N}_2$ and $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ when using $\text{NH}_3/\text{H}_2$ combustion [113], inferring that fuel-rich operation is the best strategy to burn ammonia/hydrogen blends.

Partial premixed $\text{NH}_3/\text{H}_2$ swirl combustion was recently examined by researchers from Cardiff University. In contrast to the conventional premixed combustion where reactants and oxidiser were premixed prior to entering the combustor, Fig. 11(a), the $\text{NH}_3$ was channelled to the combustor outlet via central injection lance in partially premixed combustion as shown in Fig. 11(b). Remarkably low NOx emission was achieved at the expense of high $\text{NH}^+$ and $\text{NH}_2^+$ production [118]. Combustion efficiency is expected to be lower to fully premixed due to a greater portion of unburned $\text{NH}_3$. High $\text{NH}^+$ and $\text{NH}_2^+$ concentrations in the partial premixed $\text{NH}_3/\text{H}_2$ combustion is due to the local fuel-rich condition that arises from the inferior central fuel jet penetration into the reacting shear layer formed by a swirling premixed $\text{H}_2/\text{air}$ flow. A pressurised central fuel jet is likely to scatter $\text{NH}_3$ more uniformly across the reacting shear layer. Highly reactive $\text{OH}^+$, $\text{H}^+$, and $\text{O}^+$ produced by swirling premixed $\text{H}_2/\text{air}$ reactions are mainly clustered in the shear layer. These radicals would subsequently promote $\text{NH}_3$ consumption, leading to a more complete $\text{NH}_3/\text{H}_2$ combustion.

Another partially premixed concept was proposed and examined by Franco et al. [119]. The fuel mixture ($\text{NH}_3/\text{H}_2$) was mixed tangentially with axial airflows at the verge of a swirler inlet as depicted in Fig. 11(c). The lean blowoff limit for the $\text{NH}_3/\text{H}_2$ swirl flame was stretched to $\varphi = 0.3$ for $\text{NH}_3$ mole fraction 0.7. However, the study was performed using an input thermal power of only 1.9 kW. Substantially low mixture inlet velocity renders a sufficient time scale for ammonia to react with hydrogen, comprising a possible reason for the extended flame
operating regime observed. The level of $O_2$ in the flue gas increased by about 2% as the $NH_3$ mole fraction increased from 0.7 to 0.9, signifying poorer $NH_3$ oxidation when its mole fraction $> 0.7$.

Fig. 11 Schematic diagram for (a) Typical premixed $NH_3/H_2/air$ combustion, (b) Partial premixed $NH_3/H_2/air$ combustion by [118], (c) Partial premixed $NH_3/H_2/air$ combustion by [119], (d) Partial premixed $NH_3/H_2/air$ combustion by [120].
Fig. 11(d) shows a partially premixed concept introduced by Zhu et al. [120]. A fraction of air was blended with NH$_3$/H$_2$ and then mixed with the remaining tangential airflow prior to the reach the combustor outlet. The flame blow-off limit of NH$_3$/H$_2$ partial premixed combustion was extended to $\phi \sim 0.4$. Their design successfully reduced NO emission to < 100 ppm. Moreover, NH$_3$ fuel fraction could be increased to as high as 80%. The NO reduction was notably when compared with 1000 ppm at $\phi \sim 0.65$ using the same NH$_3$ fuel fraction. The significant reduction in NO emission was attributed to the drastic decrease in OH$^*$. Partially premixed NH$_3$/H$_2$ combustion has received considerable attention lately to improve NH$_3$/H$_2$ emission performances. The concept by Pugh et al. [118] was based on forming local fuel-rich pockets in the middle of the reaction zone. The design proposed by Zhu et al. [120] and Franco et al. [119], however, were based on extending the LBO limit to a leaner regime to lower the post-combustion emissions. Such an approach was possible due the partially premixed operation incorporates non-premixed combustion that is less vulnerable to the turbulence fluctuations in the fuel-lean operation. However, the results proposed by Zhu et al. did not deliver details of unburned ammonia and other NOx species (i.e. N2O and NO2), thus requiring further analyses to demonstrate the applicability of burning under fuel-lean conditions ammonia/hydrogen blends. These works are currently taking place, with further data to be published in the following months.
5.0 Conclusions

The development of ammonia combustion technologies in SI engine, CI engine, and GT have been reviewed. H$_2$ is the most used additive to assist NH$_3$ combustion in the SI engine. H$_2$ was inducted into SI engine either through NH$_3$ cracking or a separate H$_2$ supply. The NH$_3$ cracking system resulted in more superior engine performance than the separate H$_2$ supply system. This is presumably due to the higher H$_2$/NH$_3$ mass ratio in the NH$_3$ cracking system. Increased H$_2$ mass fraction $>$10% in the separate H$_2$ supply system is expected to allow comparable engine performances with that of the NH$_3$ cracking system. Engine parameters optimisation may be needed for H$_2$ mass fraction $>$10% due to the increase in turbulent flame speed.

As for CI engines, there has been a recurrent idea of retarding the ammonia injection timing. This approach undesirably elevates unburned NH$_3$ emission despite leading to substantial NO reduction. Aqueous ammonia could improve engine HRR and emissions performances, but it also increases the noise level of the engine undesirably due to the steep heat release increase rate in the pre-mixing burning stage. Mass flow and timing optimisation for multiple injection techniques is seemingly a more promising approach to reduce N$_2$-based emissions and enhance CI engine HRR concurrently.

NH$_3$ combustion strategies in the GT can be mainly divided into two approaches, extending the LBO limit to $\phi<$0.4, and fuel-rich ($\phi$~1.05-1.25) operation. Partially premixed combustion that incorporates the advantages of both premixed and non-premixed combustion have attracted considerable attention lately, due to its capability to reach $\phi$~0.4 while retaining reasonable flame stability and low NO emissions. This review shows that combustion and emissions performances of NH$_3$ can be improved by innovation in combustion technologies. This, combined with the advancement of advanced and cost-effective ammonia production
technologies based on renewable resources, will make ammonia an important component of the future energy mix.
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