

This is an Open Access document downloaded from ORCA, Cardiff University's institutional repository: <https://orca.cardiff.ac.uk/id/eprint/143516/>

This is the author's version of a work that was submitted to / accepted for publication.

Citation for final published version:

Abbas, Qayes A., Rodrigues, Michelle, Baco, Saturi, Guan, Shaoliang and Morley, Nicola A 2020. Influence of annealing temperature on the structural and magnetic properties of FeGaSiB thin films. *Thin Solid Films* 701 , 137955.
10.1016/j.tsf.2020.137955

Publishers page: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tsf.2020.137955>

Please note:

Changes made as a result of publishing processes such as copy-editing, formatting and page numbers may not be reflected in this version. For the definitive version of this publication, please refer to the published source. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite this paper.

This version is being made available in accordance with publisher policies. See <http://orca.cf.ac.uk/policies.html> for usage policies. Copyright and moral rights for publications made available in ORCA are retained by the copyright holders.



Influence of annealing temperature on the structural and magnetic properties of FeGaSiB thin films

Qayes A. Abbas^{1,2}, Michelle Rodrigues¹, Saturi Baco¹, Shaoliang Guan^{3,4} and Nicola A Morley^{1*}

¹Department of Materials Science and Engineering, University of Sheffield, Sheffield, S1 3JD, UK

²Department of Physics, College of Science, University of Anbar, Anbar, Iraq

³School of Chemistry, Cardiff University, Cardiff, CF10 3AT, UK

⁴HarwellXPS, Research Complex at Harwell, Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot, OX11 0FA, UK

*corresponding author: n.a.morley@sheffield.ac.uk

Abstract

Understanding the relationship between the structure and magnetic properties of soft magnetic thin films is important in the development of magnetic sensors and electronic devices. This work has systematically studied how the annealing temperature changes the morphology and hence the magnetic properties of 60 nm FeGaSiB thin films. The as-grown and 100 °C annealed films were amorphous/nanocrystalline with soft magnetic properties. As the annealing temperature increased, so did the coercive field of the films, which was found to be due to the formation of polycrystalline grains, with random texture. The grain size increased with increasing annealing temperature, while the Curie Temperature and magnetostriction constant decreased. For the saturation induction, there was a large decrease between the 100 °C annealed film and the 250 °C annealed film, due to the film

morphology changing from amorphous to polycrystalline. Thus there is strong correlation between the crystallinity and the soft magnetic behaviour of FeGaSiB films.

Keywords: thin magnetic films; amorphous; heat treatment

1. Introduction

Soft magnet thin films are used in a wide range of applications including microelectronic mechanical system (MEMS) devices [1-3]. The main focus is to fabricate films, which have the optimum properties for the MEMS application they are going to be used in. For example, if the films are going to be used as microinductors then the ideal properties are coercive field (H_c) < 10 A/m, saturation magnetisation (M_s) > 800 kA/m and magnetostriction constant (λ_s) < 5 ppm [2,4], while if they are going to be used as strain sensors, H_c < 1 kA/m, M_s > 800 kA/m and λ_s > 50 ppm [5]. This means understanding how the films' morphology influences the magnetic properties, such as coercive field, saturation magnetisation, damping constant, resistivity and magnetostriction constant is extremely important.

Previous work on soft magnetic alloys [6], has found that the coercive field is strongly linked to the sample's grain size, such that for grain sizes less than the magnetocrystalline exchange length, the coercive field increase is proportional to the grain size to the power six (D^6). This means to achieve small coercive fields either nanocrystalline grains or amorphous materials are required, due to the absence of grains and grain boundaries. This holds true for bulk and ribbon soft magnetic alloys, as it is associated with Bloch domain walls forming. For thin films below a certain thickness, Neel domain walls are more likely to form rather than Bloch walls. For Neel walls the magnetostatic exchange length is more important than the

magnetocrystalline exchange length [7]. Therefore, investigation into the soft magnetic properties of thin film as a function of the morphology is important.

The majority of the research on amorphous magnetic films has focused on FeSiB. Sun *et al.* [8] studied how the magnetic properties and domain structure of FeSiB films, were affected by annealing at 540 °C for 1 h. They found that the as-grown 100 nm FeSiB films consisted of an amorphous matrix with α -Fe crystallites, which grew during the annealing process. The as-deposited films had a magnetostriction constant of 6.5 ppm, which reduced to 0.45 ppm after annealing, while the coercive field increased to ~ 1.4 kA/m for the annealed film. While Neagu *et al.* [9] grew 300 nm FeSiB films on glass, and found that the coercive field of the as-grown film was 350 A/m, which was reduced to 23 A/m after annealing for 1 h at 390 °C. They state this was due to surface relaxation, no structural data of the annealed films was given.

FeGa thin films [10-12] have some of the highest magnetostriction constants (up to 100 ppm) for thin films, but also have large coercive fields (> 20 kA/m), thus work by Abbas *et al.* [13, 14] investigated adding Ga into FeSiB, to fabricate films with high magnetostriction constants and small coercive fields. They found that the magnetic properties of FeGaSiB films strongly depended upon the fabrication parameters, with the highest magnetostriction constant (~ 18 ppm) and lowest coercive field ~ 0.3 kA/m being achieved at low pressures (< 0.5 Pa) and powers (< 30 W).

This paper investigates the structural and magnetic properties of a series of 60 nm FeGaSiB thin films, which have undergone different annealing temperatures, to determine how changing the morphology of the films changes the magnetic properties.

2. Experimental Procedure

The films were grown on Si (100) substrates with the native oxide layer in place. The substrates' dimensions were 10 mm × 15 mm. They were cleaned using acetone and isopropyl alcohol (IPA) before growth. To allow for a measurement of the film thickness, a small spot of polymethyl methacrylate (PMMA) was placed on the corner of the silicon before growth. The fabrication took place in a co-sputtering-evaporation deposition system [11]. Before growth the chamber was pumped down to 5×10^{-5} Pa and left at base pressure overnight. To achieve the co-sputtering-evaporation, the Ga was evaporated simultaneously with the FeSiB being sputtered. The Ga was purchased from Goodfellow with a purity of 99.99%. The Fe₈₅Si₁₀B₅ target foil was purchased from Metglas, Inc. The initial procedure involves heating the Ga up in a high temperature crucible and monitoring the Ga flux rate on a quartz rate monitor. The Ga flux rate is kept constant, but due to the low melting point of Ga, it is not possible to calibrate the rate in terms of thickness, thus the Ga rate is given in arbitrary units (a.u). Once the Ga rate is constant (for these films at 0.2 a.u.), Argon gas is added to the chamber at a pressure of 0.4 Pa. A power of 20 W is applied to the FeSiB target and a plasma is struck. Before the film growth, the FeSiB target is "pre-sputtered" for 10 minutes to remove any impurities or oxide layer from the surface. Once the target has been cleaned, the substrate shutter is opened, and the film growth takes place. The film thickness is monitored on a second calibrated thickness monitor next to the substrate within the growth chamber. Once the required thickness has been reached the substrate shutter is automatically closed. All the films were grown at the room temperature within the system. Please refer to [11] for further details on this co-sputtering-evaporation deposition system.

After growth, the films were annealed at different temperatures (100, 250, 350 and 400 °C) under vacuum for 30 minutes, within the growth chamber. From literature [15], the transition from amorphous to crystalline films for FeSi occurred between 180 °C to 250 °C, when annealed for 30 minutes under vacuum. Thus for this research, the annealing time was chosen to be the same, and a range of temperatures, which spanned this transition region was chosen. Five films were studied for this work, these were: as-deposited (As-FGSB), i.e. no annealing and heated treated at the different temperatures (100-FGSB, 250-FGSB, 350-FGSB and 400-FGSB). These growth conditions previously gave the as-grown composition of the films to be $\text{Fe}_{82}\text{Ga}_7\text{Si}_5\text{B}_6$ [13]. After growth and annealing, the films were cleaned using acetone, to remove the PMMA from the surface, and leave a sharp edge between the substrate and the film. Using an atomic force microscope (AFM), the thickness of each film was determined to be 60 ± 1 nm, which was in agreement with the calibrated thickness monitor in the deposition chamber.

A range of different characterisation techniques were used to fully understand the films. Small angle x-ray diffraction (XRD) was used to investigate the morphology of the films. A Siemens D5000 (Cu, GA-XRD) was used in glancing angle mode. Cu $K_{\alpha 1}$ radiation was used to scan the samples with a wavelength of 1.5418 Å with the X-Ray tube working at 40 kV, 40 mA. The 2θ value range was measured from 20 to 80 °, with a step size of 0.020 °, a glancing angle of 1.0 ° and a wait time of 7 secs. From the data, the lattice constant was determined from Bragg's law and the minimum grain size from Scherrer equation [16]. An AFM in tapping mode was used to determine the surface roughness of the films, as this can be linked to the morphology, and the grain size. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) was used to determine the composition of the films. It was performed on a Thermo Fisher Scientific NEXSA spectrometer.

Samples were analysed using a micro-focused monochromatic Al x-ray source (19.2 W) over an area of approximately 100 microns. Data were recorded at pass energies of 150 eV for survey scans and 40 eV for high resolution scan with 1 eV and 0.1 eV step sizes respectively. Charge neutralisation of the sample was achieved using a combination of both low energy electrons and argon ions. The measurements were taken on the film surface only.

Magneto-optic Kerr effect (MOKE) magnetometry was used to measure the normalised magnetisation loops in the plane of the film. The magnetic field was applied along different in-plane directions with respect to a designated 0 ° direction. From these loops, the anisotropy could be inferred, with the magnetic parameters (anisotropy field, coercive field and remnant magnetisation) being determined. A MPSM-3 in VSM mode was used to determine the saturation magnetisation of the films at 300 K. Due to the small moment of the films, the silicon substrate magnetic moment was subtracted from the VSM data, to determine the magnetic moment of each film. As the heat treatment for these films was for a short period of time, and even this changed the morphology of the films, measurements above 50 °C were not carried out in case they changed the sample properties during the measurement. Thus magnetisation (M) vs temperature (T) curves were taken between -271 °C and 50 °C, and the Curie Temperature (T_c) extrapolated from the M-T curves using the empirical Bloch law [17]:

$$\frac{M(T)}{M(0)} = \left(1 - \left(\frac{T}{T_c}\right)^{3/2}\right)^\beta \quad (1)$$

Where $M(0)$ is the magnetisation at 0 K, and β is a constant. The magnetisation was also determined for the as-FGSB and 100-FGSB films using a vector network analyser ferromagnetic resonance (VNA-FMR) system, operating in a 1-port (reflection) mode. The

saturation magnetisation values were extracted by fits to the Kittel equation, see [18] for further details. It was not possible to measure the other films using the VNA-FMR, as their coercive fields were too large for the applied field, which meant it was not possible to fit the Kittel equation to the data.

The magnetostriction constant was determined using the Villari Method, which is a mechanical method involving bending the films over known a set of known bend radii ($R = 500$ mm, 400 mm and 300 mm). The normalised magnetisation hysteresis loop was measured on the MOKE magnetometer. From each loop, the anisotropy field (H_k) was determined and plotted against the inverse bend radius [16, 19]. The magnetostriction constant was then calculated from:

$$\lambda = \left(\frac{dH_k}{d\frac{1}{R}} \right) \frac{2\mu_0 M_s (1-\nu_s^2)}{3\tau_s Y_s} \quad (2)$$

Where M_s is the saturation magnetisation of the film, Y_s is the Young's Modulus of the substrate, τ_s is the substrate thickness, and ν_s is the Poisson ratio of the substrate. Care is taken during the experiment not to snap the films, when applying the strain.

3. Results and discussion

The composition of each annealed films was determined using XPS, as this is a surface technique, and therefore will not detect the Si substrate, as other techniques such as energy dispersive x-ray spectroscopy (EDS) are deeper depth probes, which will also detect the Si substrate. Previous work found that XPS was the most reliable method for determining the composition of FeGaSiB films [14]. From table 1, it is observed that the as-FGSB film has a much higher Fe content compared to the other films, which is also higher than those measured previously for the same growth technique [14]. One reason could be due to the

fact that XPS is a surface technique, and there is a concentration gradient through the film. The Ga and B concentrations are similar to those in the annealed films, with only the Si concentration being smaller than expected. The Fe(2p_{3/2}) peak (Fig. 1a) for the as-FGSB film has a smaller Fe peak at 706.7 eV and a larger Fe₂O₃ peak at 710.8 eV compared to the annealed films. Fe-based films normally have a ~ 2 nm native oxide layer when grown [20]. The XPS data suggests that this layer was thicker for the as-FGSB film compared to the annealed films, this could then explain the higher Fe content compared to the other elements. Fig. 1b shows the XPS spectra of the films for the B(1s), Ga(3s) and Si(2s) binding energies. It is observed that the area of the B peaks decreases with annealing temperature and the Ga peaks area is roughly constant, while there is a large increase in the Si peak, associated with the Si diffusion. All the films have a lower Ga concentration compared to the expected composition [13]. Thus for the 100-FGSB, 250-FGSB and 350-FGSB films, the Ga content within the films is roughly constant, with the Fe and B content decreasing. While the Si concentration increases with increasing annealing temperature. The initial increase would seem to be at the expense of the B and Fe. For the 400-FGSB film, the Si concentration is double that of the 350-FGSB film, and is at the expense of the Fe.

Previous work on FeSi films on Si silicon substrates have studied the diffusion of Fe and Si across the interface as a function of annealing temperature. Isobe *et al.* [21] determined the diffusion coefficient of Fe into Si substrate as a function of annealing temperature. Using their equation for the diffusion coefficient, D_{Fe} :

$$D_{Fe} = 9.5e^{-4} \exp\left(\frac{-0.65}{kT}\right) \quad (3)$$

Where k is the Boltzmann constant (units: eV/K) and T is the annealing temperature, the diffusion length of Fe into silicon can be determined for an annealing time of 30 minutes for

different annealing temperatures. It is found that even at low annealing temperatures, Fe will diffuse into the silicon substrate (diffusion length ~ 45 nm), while increasing the annealing temperature to above ~ 200 °C increases the diffusion coefficient and length, i.e. at 425 °C the diffusion length is ~ 50 μ m. As the FeGaSiB films were grown on Si substrates with the native oxide in place, this very thin oxide layer will act as a barrier to diffusion below an activation energy. From previous literature on Fe/Si multilayers and Fe films on silicon, at temperatures above 200 °C, Si from the substrate can diffuse across the interface into the Fe film and vice versa [22, 23]. It would seem in the FeGaSiB films that the initial diffusion occurred after 250 °C and accelerated above 350 °C. Suggesting that the activation energy for diffusion across the oxide layer is above 200 °C.

From the XRD data in Fig. 2, it is observed that the as-FGSB film has a broad amorphous peak at around ~ 44 °, and as the annealing temperature increases, so this peak becomes sharper, with a second peak appearing at ~ 64 °. These peaks are associated with the BCC (110) and (200) directions. The signal to noise ratio (SNR) is larger for the as-FGSB film compared to the annealed films. This probably arises from the amorphous nature of the as-FGSB film increasing the noise, while the annealed films were polycrystalline, such that the long range order helped to reduce the measured noise. The change from amorphous to crystalline structure within the films occurred between the annealing temperatures 100 °C and 250 °C, which is in good agreement with Aboaf *et al.* [15] who determined that FeSi amorphous films became crystallised between the annealing temperatures of 180 °C and 250 °C. From Bragg's law the lattice constants were determined and given in Table 2. For all the annealed films, the lattice constant is the same 2.89 Å, suggesting that the annealing temperature does not change the stress within the films. Previous work [8] on FeSiB films, found that α -Fe crystallites formed due to annealing. The lattice constant of Fe is 2.87 Å and for FeSiB is 2.868 Å, both of which

are smaller than the lattice constant measured in these FeGaSiB films. FeSi has nine different phases [23] depending on the Si concentration and the temperature. The cubic stable phases at 300 K are BCC Fe₃Si ($a = 5.64 \text{ \AA}$), cubic c-FeSi ($a = 2.77 \text{ \AA}$) and cubic ϵ -FeSi ($a = 4.48 \text{ \AA}$). Therefore, although there is an increase in the Si content within the films, no additional phases such as Fe₃Si ($2\theta = 45.53^\circ$) are observed, meaning that the polycrystalline structure is still Fe-BCC. The FeGaSiB lattice constant increase could be due to homogenous strain in the films due to the Ga and Si atoms being present.

The broad peak at $\sim 56^\circ$ with the additional sharper peaks are from the silicon substrate, (Si (311) peak), as these measurements were done by glancing angle. To achieve a large enough SNR for the film peaks, the glancing angle had to be large enough to go through the whole film, and in some cases the top of the silicon substrate as well as.

Figs. 2b-e show the AFM images of the FeGaSiB films, it is observed that the film annealed at 100 °C shows no grains and the surface roughness is $< 1 \text{ nm}$ (Table 2). As the annealing temperature is increased, so the grain size is increased. The 400-FGSB film had a smaller grain size than the 350-FGSB film, probably due to the additional Si within the film.

From Fig. 3, it is observed that there are changes in the magnetisation hysteresis loops as the annealing temperature is increased. The as-FGSB and 100-FGSB films both had uniaxial anisotropy, while the 350-FGSB and 400-FGSB films were both isotropic. The 250-FGSB film hysteresis loops suggest there are two different magnetic phases within the film. From the AFM data (Fig. 2c) the 250-FGSB film has grains, within an amorphous matrix, which would lead to two switching fields in the hysteresis loop along the hard axis. For the coercive field (Fig. 3f), it is observed that H_c linearly increases as the annealing temperature increases from 100 °C. This is expected, as the films become more polycrystalline with increase in annealing

temperature and therefore the magnetocrystalline anisotropy energy will increase, plus the grains will increase the number of domain wall pinning sites within the film, also increasing the H_c . For the as-FGSB and 100-FGSB films, the coercive field was taken from the hard axis loop. As the coercive field increased, so did the saturation field with annealing temperature.

Fig. 4 shows how the different magnetic properties change as a function of annealing temperature. The saturation induction ($\mu_0 M_s$) decreased as the annealing temperature increased, with a drop of ~40% between the 100-FGSB film and the 250-FGSB film. There are two reasons for this, the first is that the concentration of Fe in the film decreases with increasing annealing temperature and second is that the films become more crystalline when annealed at the higher temperatures. For example, the amorphous 100-FGSB film saturation induction was 1.27 T compared to 0.8 T for the polycrystalline 350-FGSB film, this is a ~40 % reduction in saturation induction for a 3 conc% reduction in Fe concentration, with a 5 conc% increase in Si and a 2 conc% reduction in B. The large difference will not solely be due to the small decrease in Fe, rather it is likely to be due the morphology change from amorphous to crystalline. Aboaf *et al.* [15] showed that for 300 nm FeSi thin films, the amorphous films had a higher saturation magnetisation compared to the crystallised films, for the same film composition.

The saturation induction of the amorphous 100-FGSB film is comparable to previous FeGaSiB films fabricated using the co-sputter-evaporation system [13, 14]. The previous FGSB films' saturation induction were measured in the range 0.96 T to 1.24 T. The composition of these films were similar to the 100-FGSB film, with the Fe concentration be ~82 %, while the ratio of Ga:Si:B were slightly different. This suggests that for amorphous FeGaSiB films, the Fe

concentration dominates the saturation induction. It also means that annealing at 100 °C does not strongly change the magnetic properties.

The saturation induction of the 250-FGSB film and the 350-FGSB film were 0.77 T and 0.8 T respectively, both these films had the same composition within error (table 1) and were polycrystalline, thus showing consistency within the films for composition and morphology. For the 400-FGSB film, the induction was lower than the 350-FGSB film, which is likely to be due to additional Si within this film.

Using equation (1) the Curie Temperature for each of the films was determined (Fig. 4). It was found that the Curie Temperatures were all much lower than expected. For the as-FGSB film, the T_c was 354 °C, which was nearly 150 °C higher than all the other annealed films. It should be noted that the values determined are probably lower than the actual values, as the model has a very sharp drop off at T_c , while in general the change in magnetisation with temperature is more gradual. For comparison, the Curie Temperature of FeSiB is 418 °C [24], thus the addition of Ga has lowered the T_c by ~50 °C, with a further 150 °C reduction due to annealing and the addition of Si from the substrate.

From Fig. 4, it is also observed that the magnetostriction constant decreases with annealing temperature. The as-FGSB film had the largest magnetostriction constant (29 ppm), this is due to the largest saturation induction and the film being amorphous. Previous work on FeGaB [25] and FeSi [15] thin films found that the magnetostriction constant of the films increased going from polycrystalline to amorphous with the addition of either B or Si. The amorphous nature of magnetic films seem to enhance the magnetostriction constant, compared to the polycrystalline structure. The 100-FGSB film magnetostriction constant was 17 ppm, which again is comparable with those studied previously [13, 14], thus backing up

the suggestion that an annealing temperature of 100 °C does not change the magnetic properties.

For the polycrystalline films (250-FGSB, 350-FGSB and 400-FGSB), the magnetostriction constants (~10 ppm) were lower than the amorphous films, this could be due to the internal stresses within the films decreasing the magnetostriction constant. From previous work, the magnetostriction constant of a magnetic film depends upon either the internal stresses within the film [26] or the surface/interface magnetostriction dominating [27]. The internal stresses arise during the fabrication process and the post-annealing process. The internal stresses can be due to the lattice mismatch or the thermal expansion between the film and the substrate. Also, it was found that for films with high internal stresses, the magnetostriction constants were lower than expected. An estimate of the internal stress within the annealed polycrystalline films can be determine from the XRD data. From Hooke's law the internal stress σ in the plane, is linked to the ε is the internal strain, given by $\varepsilon = \frac{a-a_0}{a_0}$ by [28]:

$$\varepsilon = \frac{a-a_0}{a_0} = \frac{(1+\nu_f)}{Y_f} \sin^2 \psi \sigma - \frac{2\nu_f}{Y_f} \sigma \quad (4)$$

Where Y_f is the Young's Modulus of the film, ν_f is the Poisson ratio of the film and ψ is the tilt angle of the sample. For the films measured in this paper, $\psi=0$, thus the biaxial stress is given by $\sigma = -\frac{Y_f}{2\nu_f} \varepsilon$. Taking the Young's modulus of FeGaSiB films to be 120 GPa [29], the Poisson ratio to be 0.28 and the strain determined from the lattice constant (a) measured with respect to the FeSiB lattice constant (a_0). This gives an internal stress of ~ -1.85 GPa, which is comparable to internal stresses measured for other magnetostrictive films [16, 27], where the magnetostriction constant was lower than expected. Although annealing is expected to reduce the stress within the films, in these films the stress is still large. This could be due to

the short annealing time, being long enough for crystallisation to occur, but not long enough for equilibrium to be reached within the crystal structure.

From Herzer work [6], the behaviour of soft magnetic materials can be predicted from their grain size. The paper predicts that the coercive field increases as D^6 up to the grain size being roughly the same as the exchange length, and then decreases as $1/D$ as the grain size continues to increase. From Fig. 5, it is observed there is a sharp increase in the coercive field, as the grain size increases in the films. The solid line is a D^6 fit to the data. At a grain size greater than 135 nm, the coercive field starts to decrease with grain size. A linear fit is not included, as only two points are plotted in this region.

There are two exchange lengths quoted in the literature associated with the Bloch Wall (bulk) and the Neel Wall (thin film) [7]. The exchange length associated with the magnetocrystalline anisotropy and Bloch walls is given by:

$$L_{ex} = \sqrt{\frac{A}{K_1}} \quad (5)$$

Where A is the exchange stiffness, for an amorphous material this is normally between $1-2 \times 10^{-11}$ J/m and K_1 is the anisotropy constant of the material. As FeGaSiB is a new material, the anisotropy constant has not be determined for it. Coisson *et al.* [30] determine the in-plane anisotropy constant of FeSiB thin films to be ~ 2500 J/m³, taking these values the exchange length for an amorphous material based on FeSiB is ~ 63 to 90 nm.

While the exchange length associated with Neel walls and the magnetostatic anisotropy is:

$$l_{ex} = \sqrt{\frac{2A}{\mu_0 M_s^2}} \quad (6)$$

Where A is the exchange stiffness, and M_s the saturation magnetisation. Substituting the A and M_s for the films into eqn (6) gives l_{ex} to be 10 nm. Whether Bloch walls or Neel walls or even cross-tie walls occur within a thin magnetic film, depends upon the film thickness, along with the magnetisation and anisotropy constant [31]. A rough estimate of where this occurs is when the film thickness $\sim L_{ex}$, which is the case for the FeGaSiB films studied. Schneider *et al.* [32] also showed that 60 nm Fe films contained Bloch walls using magnetic force microscopy. Thus the FeGaSiB films studied here are on the Neel-Bloch wall borderline. As Herzer work assumes Bloch walls, this means that the films are on the boundary to fit D^6 to the data, but from Fig. 5, the FeGaSiB films show the expected behaviour of soft magnetic materials, with the coercive field dependence on the grain size switching at roughly the Bloch wall exchange length.

4. Conclusions

Annealing the FeGaSiB thin films changed the film composition, along with both the structural and magnetic properties of the films. Annealing above 250 °C caused the Si in the substrate to diffuse across the interface into the films, but no additional FeSi phases were formed. The higher annealing temperatures also caused the films to become crystalline, with the surface roughness and grain size increasing with increasing annealing temperature. Similarly, the coercive field increased with increasing annealing temperature, which was linked to the increase in grain size. As the films became polycrystalline with the higher annealing temperatures, so the saturation induction decreased, which also led to a decrease in the Curie Temperature and magnetostriction constant. Thus the structural transition from amorphous to polycrystalline strongly changes the magnetic properties of the FeGaSiB films.

Acknowledgements

XPS data collection was performed at the EPSRC National Facility for XPS ('HarwellXPS'), operated by Cardiff University and UCL, under contract No. PR16195. Funding: This work was supported by the Royal Society Mid-Career Leverhulme Trust Fellowship scheme (SRF\R1\180020) and the Leverhulme Trust (RPG-2018-324).

Contribution breakdown

Writing: NAM (100%)

Experimental: MR (25%), QA (25%), SB (10%), SG (10%), NAM (30%)

Figures: NAM (100%)

Analysis: MR (33%) NAM (67%)

Reference

[1] M. R. J. Gibbs, E. W. Hill and P. J. Wright, Magnetic Materials for MEMS applications, *Journal of Physics D: Applied Physics*, 37 22 (2004) R237-244. <https://doi.org/10.1088/0022-3727/37/22/R01>

[2] O. F. Hikmat and M. S. M. Ali, RF MEMS inductors and Their Applications – A Review, *Journal of Microelectromechanical systems*, 26 1 (2017) 17-44. <https://doi.org/10.1109/JMEMS.2016.2627039>

[3] A. L. Herrera-May, J. C. Soler-Balcazar, H. Vazquez-Leal, J. Martinez-Castillo, M. O. Wiguera-Zuniga and L. A. Aguilera-Cortes, Recent Advances of MEMS Resonators for Lorentz Force Based Magnetic Field Sensors: Design, Applications and Challenges, *Sensors*, 16 (2016) 1359. <https://doi.org/10.3390/s16091359>

- [4] C. O Mathuna, N. Wang, S. Kulkarni and S. Roy, Review of Integrated Magnetics for Power Supply on Chip (PwrSoC), *IEEE Transactions on Power Electronics*, 27 11 (2012) 4799-4816. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TPEL.2012.2198891>
- [5] D. Viehland, M. Wuttig, J. McCord and E. Quandt, Magnetoelectric magnetic field sensors, *MRS Bulletin*, 43 11 (2018) 834-840. <https://doi.org/10.1557/mrs.2018.261>
- [6] G. Herzer, Grain Size Dependence of Coercivity and Permeability in Nanocrystalline Ferrromagnets, *IEEE Transaction on Magnetics*, 26 5 (1990) 1397-1402. <https://doi.org/10.1109/20.104389>
- [7] G. S. Abo, Y-K. Hong, J. Park, J. Lee, W. Lee and B-C. Choi, Definition of Magnetic Exchange Length, *IEEE Transactions on Magnetics*, 49 8 (2013) 4937- 4939. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TMAG.2013.2258028>
- [8] Z. G. Sun, H. Kuramochi, M. Mizuguchi, F. Takano, Y. Semba and H. Akinaga, Magnetic properties and domain structures of FeSiB thin films, *Surface Science*, 556 (2004) 33–38 . <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.susc.2004.02.036>
- [9] M. Neagu, M. Dobromir, G. Popa, H. Chiriac, G. Singurel, and C. Hison, The surface magnetism investigation of FeSiB amorphous thin films obtained by evaporation technique, *Sensors and Actuators A: Physical*, 129 (2006) 172–175. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sna.2005.11.037>
- [10] A Javed, T Szumiata, N A Morley and M R J Gibbs, An investigation of the effect of structural order on magnetostriction and magnetic behaviour of Fe-Ga alloy thin films, *Acta Materialia*, 58 (2010) 4003–4011. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actamat.2010.03.023>
- [11] N A Morley, S- L Yeh, S Rigby, A Javed and M R J Gibbs, Development of a cosputter-

evaporation for Fe-Ga films, *Journal of Vacuum Science and technology A*, 26 4 (2008) 581-
<https://doi.org/586.10.1116/1.2924416>

[12] J.R. Hattrick-Simpers, D. Hunter, C.M. Craciunescu, K.S. Jang, M. Murakami, J. Cullen, M. Wuttig, I. Takeuchi, S.E. Lofland, L. Benderksy, N. Woo, R.B.V. Dover, T. Takahashi, Y. Furuya, Combinatorial investigation of magnetostriction in Fe-Ga and Fe-Ga-Al, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 93 (2008) 102507. <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.2980034>

[13] Q. A. Abbas, N. A. Morley, Fabrication and Characterisation of magnetostrictive amorphous FeGaSiB thin films, *Journal of Magnetism and Magnetic Materials*, 439 (2017) 353-357. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmmm.2017.04.097>

[14] Q. A. Abbas, N. A. Morley, A. Johansson and T. Thomson, Influence of Ar pressure on the magnetic properties of Amorphous FeGaSiB thin films, *IEEE Transactions on Magnetics*, 53 11 (2017) 2502504. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TMAG.2017.2696059>

[15] J. A. Aboaf, R. J. Kobliska and E. Klokholm, Properties of Transition Metal-Metalloid Ferromagnetic Thin films, *IEEE Transactions on Magnetics*, 14, 5 (1978) 941 – 943
<https://doi.org/10.1109/TMAG.1978.1059923>

[16] A. Caruana Finkel, N. Reeves-McLaren and N. A. Morley, Influence of soft magnetic underlayers on the magnetic properties of Co₉₀Fe₁₀ films, *Journal of Magnetism and Magnetic Materials*, 357 (2014) 87-92. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmmm.2014.01.030>

[17] N. W. Ashcroft and N. D. Mermin, *Solid State Physics*, Saunders College, Philadelphia, 1976

[18] T.J. Broomhall, A.W. Rushforth, M.C. Rosamond, E.H. Linfield and T.J. Hayward, Suppression of Dynamically-Induced Stochastic Magnetic Behaviour through Materials

Engineering, *accepted by Physical Review Applied* (2019)

[19] A. Javed, N. A. Morley, M. R. J. Gibbs, Structure, magnetic and magnetostrictive properties of as-deposited Fe–Ga thin films, *Journal of Magnetism and Magnetic Materials*, 321 (2008) 2877-2882. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmmm.2009.04.039>

[20] N.A. Morley, D. Dhandapani, A. Rao, H. Al Qahtani, M.R.J. Gibbs, H. Grell, D. Eastwood, B.K. Tanner, Polymeric spin-valves at room temperature, *Synthetic Metals* 161 (2011) 558–562. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.synthmet.2010.11.011>

[21] T. Isobe, H. Nakashima and K. Hashimoto, Diffusion Coefficient of Interstitial Iron in Silicon, *Japanese Journal of Applied Physics*, 27 (1989) 1282-1283.
<https://doi.org/10.1143/JJAP.28.1282>

[22] A. Gupta, D. Kumar and V. Phatak, Asymmetric diffusion at the interfaces in Fe/Si multilayers, *Phys. Rev. B*, 81 (2010) 155402. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.81.155402>

[23] R. Nakane, S. Sugahara and M. Tanaka, Structural and magnetic properties of ferromagnetic Fe_{1-x}Si_x (0.18 < x < 0.33) films formed by rapid thermal annealing on silicon-on insulator substrates, *Journal of Applied Physics* 117 (2015) 133906.
<https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4915335>

[24] J. M. Borrego, J. S. Blazquez, C. F. Conde, A. Conde and S. Roth, Structural ordering and magnetic properties of arc-melted FeGa alloys, *Intermetallics*, 15 (2007) 193-200.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intermet.2006.05.007>

[25] J. Lou, R.E. Insignares, Z. Cai, K. S. Ziemer, M. Liu and N. X. Sun, Soft magnetism, magnetostriction and microwave properties of FeGaB thin films, *Applied Physics Letter*, 91 (2007), 182504. <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.2804123>

- [26] E. du Tremolet de Lacheisserie and J. C. Peuzin, Magnetostriction and internal stresses in thin films: the cantilever method revisited, *Journal of Magnetisation and Magnetic Materials*, 136 (1994) 189-196. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-8853\(94\)90464-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-8853(94)90464-2)
- [27] N. A. Morley, S. Rigby and M. R. J. Gibbs, Anisotropy and magnetostriction constants of nanostructured Fe₅₀Co₅₀ films, *Journal of Optoelectronics and Advanced Materials*, 1 2 (2009) 109-113.
- [28] F. Motazedian, Z Wu, J. Zhang, B. S. Shariat, D. Jiang, M. Martyniuk, Y. Liu and H. Yang, Determining intrinsic stress and strain state of fibre-textured thin films by X-ray diffraction measurements using combined asymmetrical and Bragg-Brentano configurations, *Materials and Design*, 181 (2019) 108063, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matdes.2019.108063>
- [29] Q. A. Abbas, Magnetic and Mechanical Properties of Magnetostrictive FeGaSiB Films, PhD Thesis, University of Sheffield, 2018
- [30] M. Coisson, C. Appino, F. Celegato, A. Magni, P. Tiberto and F. Vinai, Magnetisation process in sputtered FeSiB thin films, *Phys. Rev. B*, 77 (2008) 214404. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.77.214404>
- [31] S. Middelhoek, Domain walls in thin Ni-Fe films, *Journal of Applied Physics*, 34 (1963) <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1729367>
- [32] M. Schneider, St. Muller-Pfeifer and W. Zinn, Magnetic force microscopy of domain wall fine structures in iron films, *Journal of Applied Physics*, 79 (1996) 8578-8583. <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.362539>

Figure Captions

Figure 1. XPS spectra of the a. Fe(2p) and b. B(1s), Ga(3s) and Si(2s) binding energies. The dashed lines give the binding energies for the different elements.

Figure 2a. XRD of FeGaSiB films and AFM images of the FeGaSiB films, b. 100-FGSB, c. 250-FGSB, d. 350-FGSB and e. 400-FGSB. For the AFM images the z-direction scale bar is the same for all the films.

Figure 3. Magnetic normalised hysteresis loops for the easy (red) and hard axis (black) for the FeGaSiB films a. as-FGSB, b. 100-FGSB, c. 250-FGSB, d. 350-FGSB and e. 400-FGSB. 3f. Coercive field as a function of annealing temperature.

Figure 4. Saturation Induction (blue circles), Curie Temperature (red squares), and Magnetostriction constant (black triangles) as a function of annealing temperature.

Figure 5. Coercive Field as a function of grain size. The solid line is a fit to the data.

Table Captions

Table 1. Composition of the FeGaSiB films determined from the XPS data

Film	Fe (conc%) (± 1)	Ga (conc%)(± 1)	Si (conc%)(± 1)	B(conc%)(± 1)
As-FGSB	93	2	3	2
100-FGSB	85	4	6	5
250-FGSB	82	4	11	3
350-FGSB	82	4	12	2
400-FGSB	70	4	24	2

Table 2: Structural Properties of the FeGaSiB films

Film	Lattice Constant (Å)	Crystallite size (XRD) (nm)	Surface Roughness (nm)	Grain size (AFM) (nm)
As-FGSB	-	<2	0.8 ± 0.4	-
100-FGSB	2.89 ± 0.04	6 ± 3	0.9 ± 0.7	-
250-FGSB	2.893 ± 0.008	9 ± 3	1.2 ± 0.8	110 ± 10
350-FGSB	2.893 ± 0.008	12 ± 3	3.5 ± 2.0	160 ± 10
400-FGSB	2.890 ± 0.008	14 ± 3	2.0 ± 1.0	130 ± 10