Characteristics and outcome of patients with acute myeloid leukaemia and t(8;16)(p11;p13): results from an International Collaborative Study*
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Summary

In acute myeloid leukaemia (AML) t(8;16)(p11;p13)/MYST3–CREBBP is a very rare abnormality. Previous small series suggested poor outcome. We report on 59 patients with t(8;16) within an international, collaborative study. Median age was 52 (range: 16–75) years. AML was de novo in 58%, therapy-related (t-AML) in 37% and secondary after myelodysplastic syndrome (s-AML) in 5%. Cytogenetics revealed a complex karyotype in 43%. Besides MYST3–CREBBP, whole-genome sequencing on a subset of 10 patients revealed recurrent mutations in ASXL1, BRD3, FLT3, MLH1, POLG, TP53, SAMD4B (n = 3, each), EYS, KRTAP9-1 SPTBN5 (n = 4, each), RUNXI and TET2 (n = 2, each). Complete remission after intensive chemotherapy was achieved in 84%. Median follow-up was 5.48 years; five-year survival rate was 17%. Patients with s-/t-AML (P = 0.01) and those with complex karyotype (P = 0.04) had an inferior prognosis. Allogeneic haematopoietic cell transplantation (allo-HCT) was performed in 21 (36%) patients, including 15 in first complete remission (CR1). Allo-HCT in CR1 significantly improved survival (P = 0.04); multivariable analysis revealed that allo-HCT in CR1 was effective in de novo AML but not in patients with s-AML/t-AML and less in patients exhibiting a complex karyotype. In summary, outcomes of patients with t(8;16) are dismal with chemotherapy, and may be substantially improved with allo-HCT performed in CR1.
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Introduction

In adult patients with acute myeloid leukaemia (AML) the balanced translocation t(8;16)(p11;p13) is a rare abnormality (incidence <1%) resulting in the fusion of the MYST histone acetyltransferase 3 (MYST3, formerly known as MOZ or KAT6A) gene on the short arm of chromosome 8 to the CREBBP gene on the short arm of chromosome 16. Both genes encode proteins with histone acetyltransferase activity and are involved in transcriptional regulation and cell cycle control. Several fusion transcripts have been described that fuse exon 15 or 16 of MYST3 to exon 3–8 to CREBBP. MYST3 acts as co-activator of several transcription factors related to haematopoiesis, such as RUNX1, PU.1 and NF-kB. CREBBP is a transcriptional co-activator of some haematopoietic transcription factors, interacts with NF-kB and p53, and has an essential role in regulating haematopoietic stem cell stemness. Although the precise pathogenic pathways of t(8;16) AML are mostly unknown, one of the essential mechanisms underlying the disease may be the disruption of several haematopoietic pathways owing to the interaction of the MYST3-CREBBP chimeric protein with several key transcription factors. Of note, it is currently unknown if further genetic abnormalities are complementing the molecular make-up.

Previous case reports have suggested that t(8;16) AML occurs as therapy-related AML, often with extramedullary disease and with a high rate of disseminated intravascular coagulation, mimicking acute promyelocytic leukaemia. AML with t(8;16) occurs in children and adults. Surprisingly, in paediatric patients with congenital t(8;16)(p11;p13) spontaneous remissions have been observed, though the relapse rate seems to be high, suggesting a need for long-term monitoring. In adults, previous small series suggested a poor outcome. However, neither prospective trials nor larger retrospective cohort studies are available to support these results from small series. Particularly, it is unclear if allogeneic haematopoietic cell transplantation (allo-HCT) improves survival if applied during first complete remission (CR). The aims of our study were to characterize AML with t(8;16) and to assess outcomes according to different treatment strategies.

Methods

Patients and treatment

Information on 59 patients with AML and t(8;16) diagnosed between 1992 and 2016 was collected from eight study groups/institutions in the US and Europe. Participating centres were chosen based on network relationships of the first and last author. Detailed case report forms (including information on baseline characteristics, chemotherapy, allo-HCT, response and survival) were collected from all participating centres. Inclusion criteria were adolescent or adult patients with t(8;16) and all patients who fulfilled these criteria were included by the participating groups/institutions. Diagnosis of AML was based on French–American–British Cooperative Group criteria and, after 2003, on revised International Working Group criteria. Chromosome banding was performed using standard techniques, and karyotypes were described according to the International System for Human Cytogenetic Nomenclature. FLT3 mutation screening for internal tandem duplications (ITD) and point mutations within the tyrosine kinase domain (TKD) was carried out at each institution per local practice. Data collection and analysis were approved by the Institutional Review Boards of the participating centres.

In a cohort of ten patients with available genomic DNA from bone marrow at baseline, we performed whole-genome sequencing (WGS). Whole-genome libraries were prepared using the TruSeq Nano kit (100 ng input; Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA) following the manufacturer’s recommendations. Sequencing was performed on Illumina HiSeq X machines in 150 paired-end mode. Each library was sequenced on two lanes resulting in a 60-fold minimum coverage.

Processing of whole-genome sequencing data

Whole-genome sequencing data were processed as described. In brief, paired sequencing reads were mapped to the reference sequence hs37d5 from the 1000 Genomes Project (Phase II) by using bwa-mem 0.7.15. Duplicate reads were marked with samtools (v.0.5.9). Single nucleotide variants (SNVs) and insertions/deletions (indels) were called using in-house pipelines based on samtools/bcftools version 0.1.19 and platypus version 0.8.1. Common SNVs/indels that could be found in polymorphism databases were filtered out. This includes mutations found in dbsNP 147 with the ‘COMMON=1’ tag, which were rescued, however, if they had a corresponding Online Mendelian Inheritance in Man (OMIM) record. We additionally removed mutations found in the Exome Aggregation Consortium database 0.3.1 (>0.1%), in the Exome Variant Server (EVS) ESP6500SI-V2 (>1%), or in our in-house control dataset (>2%, among 280 controls). Based on the Annovar annotations, only the SNVs and indels found in coding regions were selected for further analysis.

Treatment

Fifty-one of the 59 patients (86%) received intensive induction treatment either within clinical trials (n = 27) or according to local institutional standards (n = 24). Treatment protocols included the Study Alliance Leukemia (SAL) AML2003 (n = 2) trial, the United Kingdom Medical Research Council (MRC) trials AML10 (n = 1), AML11 (n = 2), AML12 (n = 4), AML15 (n = 8), AML16 (n = 1) and AML17 (n = 9) protocols. Induction therapy consisted of the 7 + 3 regimen (n = 38) or comparable intensive treatment (n = 13). Eight patients were not eligible for
intensive induction therapy at diagnosis and received best supportive care (BSC) including one patient who was treated with the multikinase inhibitor pazopanib within a clinical trial.

Response was assessed according to International Working Group recommendations. All studies were approved by the Institutional Review Boards of the participating centres. All patients provided written informed consent for participation in one of the treatment trials or for therapy according to local standards.

Statistical analyses

Survival end-points including overall survival (OS), relapse-free survival (RFS), cumulative incidence of relapse (CIR) and cumulative incidence of death in CR (CID) were defined according to the revised recommendations of the International Working Group. Comparisons of patient characteristics were performed with the Wilcoxon-test for continuous variables and Fisher’s exact test for categorical variables. The median follow-up time was computed using the reverse Kaplan–Meier estimate. The Kaplan–Meier method was used to estimate the distribution of RFS and OS. Confidence interval (CI) estimation for survival curves was based on the cumulative hazard function using Greenwood’s formula for variance estimation. Log-rank tests were employed to compare survival curves between groups. The effect of allo-HCT on OS as a time-dependent intervening event was assessed by using the Mantel–Byar method for univariable and the Andersen–Gill model for multivariable analyses stratified per decade (1992–1999, 2000–2009, 2010–2016). The method of Simon and Makuch was used to estimate survival distributions with respect to time-dependent interventions. The individuals at risk were initially all represented in the chemotherapy group. If patients received an allo-HCT, they were censored at this timepoint in the chemotherapy group and further followed up within the allo-HCT group.

CIR and CID and their standard errors were computed according to the method described by Gray and included only patients attaining CR. All statistical analyses were performed within the statistical software environment R, version 3.5.1, using the R packages rms, version 5.1-2 and survival, version 2.42-3.

Results

Study cohort

Overall demographic and clinical data were collected from 59 patients (MRC, n = 25; SAL, n = 12; CETLAM, n = 8; Czech Leukemia Centres, n = 6; Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, n = 4; University of Maryland Greenebaum Comprehensive Cancer Center, n = 2; Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center, Seattle, n = 1; and Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, n = 1) diagnosed with t(8;16) AML between 1992 and 2016. Baseline characteristics are summarized in Table I; median age was 52 years (range, 16–75 years) and 40 patients (68%) were female. AML was de novo in 34 (58%), therapy-related in 22 (t-AML; 37%) and secondary after previous myelodysplastic syndrome in three (s-AML; 5%) patients. Data on extramedullary disease were available in 31/59 (53%) patients. Of those, six had extramedullary disease (19%).

Primary diseases, previous therapy and latency period to the occurrence of t-AML

Information on the onset of the prior malignancy was available in 11 of the 22 patients (50%) with t-AML. The median latency period between diagnosis of the primary malignancy and the occurrence of t-AML was 1.6 years (range, 1–11 years). Thirteen (59%) of the 22 patients had a previous solid cancer, including two patients with two prior malignancies [breast cancer and meningioma; small-cell lung cancer and diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL)]. Breast cancer was the most common neoplasm (n = 7; 54%), followed by small-cell lung cancer (n = 2; 15%) and Ewing sarcoma (n = 1; 8%) (missing data in n = 3; 23%). Of note, with 86% (n = 19/22) the association of t-AML and female gender was very high. Most of the solid tumours had been treated with chemotherapy with (n = 3) or without (n = 4) radiation (missing data in n = 3); radiotherapy only was applied in n = 3.

Eight (36%) of the 22 patients had a previous lymphoma: seven (88%) with non-Hodgkin lymphoma (DLBCL, n = 4; Burkitt lymphoma, n = 1; not classified, n = 2) and one (14%) with Hodgkin lymphoma. Of those, one patient received radiotherapy only and all others were treated with chemotherapy. In addition, one (5%) patient had received cytotoxic therapy for the treatment of ulcerative colitis.

Cytogenetic and molecular analyses

Cytogenetics were available in 58 (98%) patients and t(8;16) (p11:p13) was detected by fluorescence in situ hybridization in one (2%) patient without successful cytogenetics. The balanced translocation t(8;16) was the sole abnormality in 21 (36%) patients, while additional cytogenetic abnormalities were present in 37 (64%), most frequently within a complex karyotype (n = 25). In 54 (93%) of 58 patients, t(8;16) was the founding/parenteral clone and in only four (7%) patients a secondary event.

Mutational status on NPM1 and FLT3-ITD were available in 59% (n = 35/59). None of the patients was NPM1-positive and only one (3%) patient had a FLT3-ITD. FLT3-TKD mutational status was available in 17 (29%) patients and seven (41%) harboured a FLT3-TKD mutation (Table I).

In ten AML patients with available DNA, WGS was performed. These cases included seven patients with typical MYST3–CREBBP fusions as well as three cases with atypical
breakpoints. Altogether, additional mutations were detected in ASXL1 (n = 3), BCORL1 (n = 1), BRD3 (n = 3), CBL (n = 1), EYS (n = 4), FLT3 (n = 3), IDH1 (n = 1), KRTAP9-1 (n = 4), MLH1 (n = 3), NUP98 (n = 1), PSIP1 (n = 1), POLG (n = 3), RUNX1 (n = 2), SAMD4B (n = 3), SETD2 (n = 1), SPTBN5 (n = 4), TET2 (n = 2), TP53 (n = 3) and WT1 (n = 1), suggesting a high frequency of complementing mutations. Interestingly, two of the three cases with atypical breakpoints harboured TP53 mutations (Fig 1).

### Table I. Baseline characteristics of patients with acute myeloid leukaemia and t(8;16).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient-ID</th>
<th>Type of AML</th>
<th>Complex karyotype</th>
<th>MYST3-CREBBP</th>
<th>Mismatch repair</th>
<th>Mitochondrial DNA replication</th>
<th>Activated signalling</th>
<th>Tumor suppressor</th>
<th>Myeloid TF</th>
<th>DNA methylator</th>
<th>Ubiquitin protein ligase</th>
<th>Transcriptional suppression</th>
<th>Histone methyltransferase</th>
<th>Chromatin modifier</th>
<th>Bromodomain</th>
<th>Other</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AML5</td>
<td>de novo</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>MUH1</td>
<td>POLG</td>
<td>FLT3</td>
<td>TPS3</td>
<td>WT1</td>
<td>RUNX1</td>
<td>IDH1</td>
<td>TET2</td>
<td>CBL</td>
<td>SAMD4B</td>
<td>ASXL1</td>
<td>BRD3</td>
<td>EYS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AML6</td>
<td>de novo</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AML7</td>
<td>de novo</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AML2</td>
<td>t-AML</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AML3</td>
<td>t-AML</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AML4</td>
<td>t-AML</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AML10</td>
<td>s-AML</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AML1</td>
<td>s-AML</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: AML, acute myeloid leukemia; s-AML, secondary AML after myelodysplastic syndrome; t-AML, therapy-related AML; TF, tumour factor. *denotes: atypical t(8;16) breakpoint, not resulting in MYST3-CREBBP fusion.

Fig 1. Oncoprint depicting the functional categorization of mutated genes as measured by whole-genome sequencing as well as type of acute myeloid leukaemia per patient.
Response to induction therapy

All patients who could not be treated intensively (n = 8) died early (median six days, range 1–23 days). The main reason for receiving no treatment was very early death (median one day, range, 1–6 days) due to haemorrhage/bleeding (n = 5; 63%). All patients, who died early were younger than 70 years (median age, 62 years; range, 51–68 years). The median age of patients with haemorrhagic versus non-haemorrhagic death was 62 years vs. 72 years, respectively. Disseminated intravascular co-agulation at diagnosis was present in 53% (n = 10/20) and contributed to early death.

Data on response to intensive induction therapy were available in n = 51 patients. Three patients (8%) died early after induction therapy, again one patient due to cerebral haemorrhage. CR was achieved in 43 of the 51 patients (84%), including four patients who received high-dose cytarabine as salvage therapy upon failure of first induction therapy. Five patients (10%) were refractory. In trend, CR rates were higher in younger patients (≤60 years; n = 35) with 90% as compared to those in older patients (n = 8) with 67% (P = 0.07).

Further therapy including intensive consolidation and allo-HCT

Twenty-two (51%) of 43 patients in CR received intensive consolidation chemotherapy without transplantation, whereas 21 (49%) proceeded to allo-HCT. Of those, allo-HCT was performed in 15 patients in CR1 as well as in three patients in CR2. Additionally three patients were transplanted after relapse. Patients with chemo-consolidation were significantly older as compared to transplanted patients (P = 0.02), whereas other variables, such as gender (P = 0.75), median white blood cell (WBC) count (P = 0.14), median bone marrow blasts (P = 0.93) and type of disease (P = 0.87) were comparable. Conditioning was myeloablative including total body irradiation in nine (43%) and dose-reduced in 12 (57%) patients. Source of donor was matched related in seven (33%), matched unrelated in nine (43%), haplo-identical in four (19%) and unknown in one (5%) of the 21 patients.

Survival

Of 51 patients receiving intensive therapy, n = 41 died. Forty-three patients achieved a first CR, of whom 28 relapsed and six experienced treatment-related mortality (four after allo-HCT and two after intensive consolidation therapy). The median follow-up of the entire cohort was 5.48 years. Median and five-year OS of the entire cohort were 6.7 months (95% CI, 5.7–13.0 months) and 17% (95% CI, 9–33%). Five-year RFS and OS were 26% (95% CI, 9–74%) and 38% (95% CI, 17–81%) in patients proceeding to allo-HCT in CR1 (n = 15), as compared to 7% (95% CI, 2–28%); and 11% (95 CI, 4–32%), respectively, in those who received consolidation chemotherapy alone (n = 24; Table II).

Survival of patients with s-/t-AML

Patients with s-AML or t-AML had a significant inferior RFS (P = 0.02) and OS (P = 0.01); five-year RFS and OS rates were 24% (95% CI, 12–48%) and 28% (95% CI, 16–50%) in patients with de novo AML as compared to 0% each in s-AML/t-AML, respectively.

Impact of complex karyotype on survival

The only additional variable with significant prognostic impact was a complex karyotype for OS (P = 0.04) but not RFS (P = 0.50). No prognostic impact was identified for older age (>60 years; OS, P = 0.23; RFS, P = 0.99), type of translocation (OS, P = 0.57; RFS, P = 0.80), WBC count (OS, P = 0.77; RFS, P = 0.84) and sex (OS, P = 0.16; RFS, P = 0.40).

Influence of allo-HCT on survival

The influence of allo-HCT assessed as a time-dependent covariable as post-remission therapy on OS is illustrated by a Simon–Makuch plot (Fig 2). To assess the impact of allo-HCT performed in CR1 as a time-dependent event, we performed a Mantel–Byar analysis. This analysis revealed a significant improvement of OS in patients proceeding to allo-HCT in CR1 (P = 0.04).

In a multivariable Anderson–Gill model on OS including allo-HCT performed in first CR1 as a time-dependent covariable, we identified s-AML/t-AML (P = 0.01), transplant status (P = 0.02) as well as complex karyotype (P = 0.09) as significant prognostic covariables (Table III). In subgroup analysis (n = 16) presence of FLT3-TKD had no prognostic impact on OS. In addition, Figure 3 shows a Kaplan–Meier plot on OS according to type of AML with t(8;16).

CIR and CID

In patients achieving CR1, CIR was significantly lower after allo-HCT (n = 15) as compared to those who were treated...
with consolidation chemotherapy \((n = 24; P = 0.002; \text{Fig } 4, \text{Panel A})\). As expected, CID was in trend higher in patients proceeding to allo-HCT as compared to those receiving consolidation chemotherapy \((P = 0.06; \text{Fig } 4, \text{Panel B})\).

Neither type of conditioning \((P = 0.60)\) nor donor type \((\text{matched related donor} \text{ versus} \text{matched unrelated/haploidentical}; P = 0.40)\) had an impact on outcome. Patients proceeding to allo-HCT in CR1 with a typical breakpoint \((n = 11)\) had a favourable OS at four years, whereas none of the patients \((n = 4)\) with an atypical breakpoint survived beyond two years (Fig 5).

**Discussion**

The aim of our study was to better characterize adult AML patients with the rare translocation \(t(8;16)\) in the largest reported cohort study so far \((n = 59)\) and compare outcomes according to treatment strategies.

We found a high proportion of t-AML (37%) as well as of female patients (68%). Of note, with 86% the incidence of t-AML in female patients was very high, which may be related to the widespread use of anthracycline-based chemotherapy for breast cancers. In addition, we found a high incidence of t-AML after non-Hodgkin-lymphoma, particularly after treatment for DLBCL, suggesting that long-time monitoring should be considered for non-Hodgkin lymphoma survivors. Like other t-AMLs arising after treatment with topoisomerase-II inhibitors, t-AMLs with \(t(8;16)\) were characterized by a short latency period from the onset of the prior malignancy. Indeed, we found a high frequency of mutations in clonal haematopoiesis of indeterminate potential-associated genes or MDS-related genetics, which encompass a large number of diverse mutations that cluster upon epigenetic regulation of transcription either from DNA methylation, post-translational chromatin modifications, or altered RNA splicing. This suggests a high frequency of genomic instability, leading to a high frequency of complementing mutations in patients with t(8;16).

Previous studies in AML patients with t(8;16) reported an incidence of additional abnormalities ranging from 39% to 54%. The incidence of 64%, most frequently complex karyotypes, observed in our cohort even exceeds previously published data. A complex karyotype, however, can frequently be found in patients with t-AML and may also point to a higher degree of chromosomal instability and thus susceptibility towards leukaemogenesis. Strikingly, concurrent FLT3-TKD mutations were present in 41%. The high incidence of TKD mutations are a potential target for treatment with tyrosine kinase inhibitors, such asmidostaurin or gilteritinib although we acknowledge that this finding should be interpreted with caution due to the low number of patients with available data. Additional chromosomal abnormalities had no impact on OS, whereas the presence of a

![Fig 2](image-url) Simon–Makuch plot illustrating the influence of allogeneic haematopoietic cell transplantation (allo-HCT) assessed as a time-dependent covariable in first complete remission on overall survival. [Colour figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]

![Fig 3](image-url) Overall survival according to type of acute myeloid leukaemia (AML) with t(8;16). [Colour figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]

**Table III.** Multivariable Andersen–Gill model on overall survival.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>HR (95% CI)</th>
<th>(P)-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>s-AML/t-AML</td>
<td>2.56 (1.24–5.25)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complex karyotype</td>
<td>1.77 (0.91–3.47)</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transplant status</td>
<td>0.34 (0.13–0.87)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Analysis was stratified for decade, 1992–1999, 2000–2009, 2010–2016. AML, acute myeloid leukaemia; CI, confidence interval; HR, hazard ratio; s-AML, secondary AML after myelodysplastic syndrome; t-AML, therapy-related AML.
complex karyotype (≥3 abnormalities) was associated with a negative impact on OS \( (P = 0.04) \).

We further addressed the question if other mutations are present that may contribute to the negative outcome of patients with a MYST3–CREBBP translocation. Thus, we performed WGS in a cohort of ten patients with available DNA at diagnosis. We found a large number of secondary mutations, including mutations in tumour suppressor and myeloid tumour factor genes as well as transcriptional suppressor and chromatin modifier genes. Besides well-described mutations in AML, we found mutations in POLG, which is responsible for mitochondrial DNA replication\[^{47}\] as well as mutations in MLH1, which belongs to the DNA mismatch repair system and plays an important role in maintaining genomic stability\[^{48-52}\]. Whereas both mutations were described to increase the risk of hereditary and sporadic cancers\[^{48,50,52,53}\], their incidence and clinical impact in AML are less well defined. Both mutations were not described by Ley et al., who performed WGS or whole-exome sequencing on 200 adult, de novo AML patients, along with RNA and microRNA sequencing and DNA-methylation analysis\[^{54}\]. In addition neither mutations in EYS, KRTAP9-1, PSIP1 nor SPTBN5 were described before in AML\[^{54}\]. Recent publications on MLH1 mutations, however, suggest that they may play an important role in AML\[^{55}\], particularly in the evolution of the disease\[^{56}\]. Thus, it is tempting to speculate that the leukaemogenic effect of the MYST3–CREBBP fusion gene may rely on a deregulated modulation of downstream targets, probably due to impaired histone acetyltransferase activity of the proteins involved in this translocation\[^{57}\] and that further gene mutations may contribute to the aggressive nature of the disease.

Relatively high rates of disseminated intravascular coagulation ranging from 39% to 64% were reported previously\[^{14,44}\]. Indeed, severe bleeding complications were the major cause of early death in our cohort, necessitating urgent treatment, as in APL\[^{58}\].

In contrast to previous reported data\[^{44}\], our cohort of AML patients with t(8;16) showed a high CR rate after intensive induction therapy. However, most patients relapsed rapidly and succumbed to their disease. In contrast to previously published data in neonates\[^{14}\], a spontaneous remission was not observed in any adolescent or adult patient with t(8;16) (p11:p13) in prior small series\[^{1,44,59}\] or in our cohort study.

Despite allo-SCT in six patients, all patients with t-AML who achieved CR \((n = 13)\) died, mostly due to AML relapse \((n = 10)\) or transplant-associated mortality \((n = 3)\),
necessitating alternative treatment strategies within clinical trials in these patients.

In contrast to previously published data on small series of AML patients with t(8;16) reporting a median OS of 4.71–8.5 years, our data are in line with a recent publication by Xie et al. reporting a median OS of 18.2 months in a series of 15 patients. Notably, in their analysis, OS was even higher in patients with de novo AML and/or non-complex karyotype. In our analysis, only patients with de novo AML who proceeded to allo-SCT in first CR were able to achieve meaningful OS rates, suggesting that early transplant in first CR should be considered as standard of care if possible. As expected, CIR was significantly lower in our cohort after allo-HCT performed in first CR as compared to intensive consolidation chemotherapy. Since supportive care might have impacted outcome, we have included the decade of treatment in the multivariable analysis. However, this had no impact on OS. In addition, neither type of conditioning nor donor type had an impact on outcome. Nevertheless, we would like to emphasize that retrospectively collected data have several limitations since the factors for allocating patients to allo-HCT, such as comorbidities, individual assessment of the treating physician, choice of conditioning and availability of a donor remain unknown.

Conclusions

As in APL, bleeding issues are the main reason for early death. Thus, treatment and supportive measures should be started as soon as possible. Our cohort of AML patients with t(8;16) showed a high CR rate after intensive induction therapy, suggesting that these patients should be candidates for intensive induction therapy whenever possible. Despite the initial high chemosensitivity of the disease, treatment with consolidation chemotherapy alone resulted in dismal survival outcomes. Those patients with de novo AML and t(8;16) who proceeded to allo-SCT in first CR achieved encouraging OS rates, suggesting that an early transplant in first CR should be standard of care when possible for these patients. New targeted therapies, such as venetoclax in combination with hypomethylating agents, may be an appealing avenue.
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