Low-Temperature Direct Dehydrogenation of Propane over Binary Oxide Catalysts: Insights into Geometric Effects and Active Sites
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ABSTRACT: Binary ZnZr$_{x}$O$_{y}$ catalysts were prepared and employed to catalyze propane dehydrogenation at relatively low temperatures. The evaluation of these materials for propane dehydrogenation was supplemented by material characterization and density functional theory calculations, to provide molecular insights into the nature of the catalytic active sites. Combined, these experiments suggested that coordinatively unsaturated Zn cations (Zn$_{\text{cus}}$) in Zn$_{\text{cus}}$-O-Zr$_{\text{cus}}$ were the active sites for the first step of propane dehydrogenation, and coordinatively unsaturated Zr cations (Zr$_{\text{cus}}$) in Zn$_{\text{cus}}$-O-Zr$_{\text{cus}}$ were active sites for the second step. This synergistic effect, derived from both these components, led to significant enhancements in activity. Furthermore, the combination of Zn and Zr species resulted in notable changes to the structure of the catalysts, leading to both the formation of the Zr$_{\text{cus}}$ active site and improved oxygen mobility. ZnZr2 exhibited relatively high activity.
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INTRODUCTION

Propene (C$_3$H$_6$) is one of the most important petrochemicals and is commonly used to produce polymers and rubber. The continuously increasing demand for C$_3$H$_6$ has led to the development of alternative, nonoxidative approaches for propane (C$_3$H$_8$) dehydrogenation (PDH) reaction, compared to traditional methods such as the cracking of naphtha. At present, PDH is predominantly catalyzed by supported Pt-based and CrO$_x$-based catalysts. However, some problematic limitations associated with the use of these materials must not be overlooked. For instance, Pt-based catalysts are costly and CrO$_x$-based catalysts are toxic to the environment. It is of great importance to develop an effective catalyst that is cheap and environmentally friendly. A variety of materials, such as carbon-based materials, Ga-based catalysts, V-based catalysts, Zn-based catalysts, Co-based catalysts, and Zr-based catalysts, were found to be active for this reaction. Some progress has been made in this field, but in some areas, there remains room for development: (1) catalytic performance (activity and selectivity) must be enhanced; (2) high reaction temperatures are often employed, which favor undesirable side reactions such as cracking forming C$_2$H$_4$ and CH$_4$, coking, and hydrogenolysis generating C$_3$H$_6$ and CH$_4$; and (3) the reaction mechanism is still under debate, due to high reaction temperatures hindering the application of operando characterization techniques.

Among the aforementioned materials, Zr-based catalysts, which are cheap and environmentally friendly, exhibit relatively good catalytic performance for PDH. A previous study by Otroshchenko et al. proposed that coordinatively unsaturated Zr cations (Zr$_{\text{cus}}$) were the active sites for PDH. The authors demonstrated that the activity of the catalysts increased with decreasing strength of the metal–oxygen bond. This was attributed to the high oxygen mobility and the easy removal of lattice oxygen forming oxygen vacancy (Ov) and Zr$_{\text{cus}}$. Zhang et al. also proposed that Zr$_{\text{cus}}$ sites in ZrO$_2$ were responsible for the breaking of C–H bonds in PDH. The phase composition and crystallite size of ZrO$_2$ was demonstrated to influence the ability of ZrO$_2$ to release lattice oxygen upon reduction of catalysts, influencing the formation of Zr$_{\text{cus}}$ sites. Zr-based catalysts have therefore shown promise for PDH, but most examples require a high reaction temperature (ca. 570 °C) to reach a favorable substrate conversion. It has been suggested that the utilization of pre-reductive methods or doping with lower valence metals can lead to improved activity of Zr-based...
Doping of these Zr catalysts has also been demonstrated to have a positive effect on C$_3$H$_6$ selectivity. However, a limited number of studies have focused on deriving a mechanism for PDH over Zr-based bimetallic oxides, especially regarding the role of metal species in the reaction. There is some debate on the role of the metals in this reaction. For instance, in some reports, Zr$_{\text{cys}}$ sites have been proposed to be the active sites, with the secondary metal serving as a promoter. On the contrary, other studies have suggested that Zr species are promoters for the secondary metal ions, which are considered to be the active sites. For example, Han et al. reported that supported ZnO$_x$ species participated in the PDH reaction, while ZrO$_2$ enhanced the activity of Zn-containing ZrO$_2$-based catalysts. The byproducts formed in this research, which were also important, were not founded in a systematic study. However, the formation of byproducts over these catalysts should also be investigated to design catalysts with high catalytic activity and C$_3$H$_6$ selectivity. It has been claimed in other works that the acidity of catalyst influences the product selectivity. With this in mind, it is important to develop an effective Zr-based bimetallic oxides catalyst, which can exhibit both a good activity and selectivity at relatively low temperatures. Further efforts are also required to determine the nature of the active sites and the role of metal species. To better understand and design catalysts for this process, it is critical to further study the reaction mechanism and the formation of byproducts.

It is known that ZnO is a weak base and ZrO$_2$ is a weak acid. Considering acid–base properties and their influence on the product selectivity, a series of binary ZnZr$_x$O$_y$ catalysts (denoted as Zn–Zr catalysts) were prepared and investigated for PDH at relatively low temperatures (400–500 °C). Experiments were designed to get insight into the active sites present in these materials and understand the synergistic relationship between Zn and Zr species. These investigations included probing the materials using a variety of characterization techniques and correlating their physicochemical properties with kinetic experiments and density-functional theory (DFT) calculations. These investigations revealed that the Zn$_{\text{cys}}$ and Zr$_{\text{cys}}$ present in Zn$_{\text{cys}}$–O–Zr$_{\text{cys}}$ are active sites for the first and second steps of C$_3$H$_8$ dehydrogenation. By conducting these investigations, a reaction pathway for PDH over bimetallic ZnZr$_x$O$_y$ catalysts was proposed.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Catalyst Characterization. X-ray powder diffraction (XRD) patterns of the Zn–Zr catalysts (referred to as ZnZr$_x$, where $x$ represents Zr/Zn mole ratio) are presented in Figure 1. Both tetragonal (t-ZrO$_2$) and monoclinic (m-ZrO$_2$) phases were identified in pure ZrO$_2$. However, upon the addition of Zn, diffraction peaks associated with m-ZrO$_2$ phase disappeared. This suggests that doping ZrO$_2$ with transition metals, which have different oxidation states and/or atomic radii, can lead to phase transformation from m-ZrO$_2$ to t-ZrO$_2$. Previously, this has been attributed to the formation of Ov and Zr$_{\text{cys}}$. The disappearance of the monoclinic phase in the Zn–Zr catalysts indicates the successful substitution of Zn into the ZrO$_2$ crystalline phase and the formation of Zr$_{\text{cys}}$. For pure ZnO, a hexagonal ZnO phase was observed. Upon increasing the Zr/Zn mole ratio, the intensity of diffraction peaks associated with ZnO decreased and eventually disappeared in the ZnZr5 material. The dramatically diminished intensity of diffraction peaks associated with ZnO in the Zn–Zr catalysts...
compared with pure ZnO is likely to be indicative of the incorporation of Zn ions into ZrO$_2$ lattice. In addition, the XRD patterns of the used ZnZr2 catalyst were similar to the fresh. The specific surface area and pore structure parameters of the Zn–Zr catalysts were determined by N$_2$ adsorption–desorption isotherms (shown in Figure S2 and Table S1). The Zn–Zr catalysts all exhibited a type IV isotherm with an H4-type hysteresis loop, indicating that all of the Zn–Zr catalysts possessed mesoporous structures.

X-ray photoelectron spectra (XPS) of the Zr 3d and Zn 2p regions for each of the catalysts are shown in Figures 2 and S3. The peaks at 184.0 ± 0.2 and 1044.0 ± 0.2 eV can be assigned to Zr$^{4+}$ and Zn$^{2+}$, respectively. This suggests that only Zr$^{4+}$ and Zn$^{2+}$ species are present on the surface of the catalysts. It was noteworthy that as the Zr/Zn mole ratio increased from 1:1 to 2:1, the Zr 3d$_{5/2}$ peaks shifted to a lower binding energy (BE) and the Zn 2p$_{3/2}$ peaks moved toward a higher BE, and then the BE of both species changed indistinctively when the Zr/Zn mole ratio increased further. This implies that the interactions between ZrO$_2$ and ZnO become stronger as the Zr/Zn mole ratio is increased, which gives further evidence of the replacement of Zr by Zn in the ZrO$_2$ lattice. Furthermore, the corresponding O 1s spectra of Zn–Zr catalysts have been deconvolved into three peaks as shown in Figure 2. The peaks at 529.9, 531.4, and 532.4 eV can be ascribed to lattice oxygen (O$^{2-}$), Ov, and chemically adsorbed oxygen species (O$_\alpha$), respectively. The relative areas of Ov/O for the samples are summarized in Table S2.

It can be observed that ZnZr2 has the highest Ov. This result is also proved by EPR shown in Figure S4. It is rational to suggest that as the Zr/Zn ratio increased, a greater quantity of Zn–O–Zr bonding and Zr$_{\text{cus}}$ will be present. This is further supported by the fact that the electron-withdrawing nature of Zr is known to weaken the Zn–O bonds. The determined atomic ratios of Zr/Zn on the catalyst surface were 1.5, 2.5, and 3.9 for ZnZr, ZnZr2, and ZnZr5, respectively (Table S2). These values were close to the corresponding nominal values, which again suggests that Zn was successfully incorporated into the ZrO$_2$ structure.

H$_2$-TPR profiles of the Zn–Zr catalysts are displayed in Figure 3. The temperatures associated with the maximal consumption of hydrogen ($T_{\text{maxH}_2}$) are listed in Table S3. Only small quantities of H$_2$ were consumed in the experiment over pure ZnO; this material exhibited a $T_{\text{maxH}_2}$ at 522 °C, which is ascribed to the reduction of Zn$^{2+}$ to Zn$_{\text{cus}}$. However, there was a large hydrogen uptake at ca. 620 °C in the H$_2$-TPR experiment over ZrO$_2$, which has previously been attributed to an interaction between lattice oxygen and H$_2$. Compared to
ZnZr2 possessed the highest amount of H2 consumption among the Zn–Zr catalysts, which was ascribed to more ZnO and ZrO2. This behavior is indicative of a synergistic effect between Zn and Zr species, which is a result of structural change. The change results in increased oxygen mobility and promotes the removal of lattice oxygen from Zn–Zr catalysts, generating ZnO and ZrO2. In brief, the oxygen mobility of Zn–Zr catalysts increased due to the synergy effect of ZnO and ZrO2. The T_{maxH2} value for the Zn–Zr catalysts shifted to a higher temperature as the Zr/Zn mole ratio was increased; this was true for all of the bimetallic catalysts screened, apart from ZnZr2, which exhibited the lowest T_{maxH2} due to the more Zn–O–Zr species. Quantitative measurements in relation to hydrogen consumption are presented in Table S3. ZnZr2 possessed the highest amount of H2 consumed among the Zn–Zr catalysts, which was ascribed to more ZnO and ZrO2 species.

The acid–base properties of the Zn–Zr catalysts were determined by NH3-TPD (Figure S5), CO2-TPD (Figure S6), and IR-Py (Figure S7). For all samples, only a broad desorption peak of NH3 was observed in a temperature range between 80 and 300 °C, indicative of weak- and medium-strength acid sites.31,32 It was found that an increase in acid strength was in direct correlation with an increase in the Zr content. On the contrary, CO2-TPD indicated that the quantity of basic sites in the material decreased as the Zr content increased; the strength of the basic sites was however enhanced. Figure S7 displays the IR-Py spectra determined by adsorbing pyridine at 400 and 500 °C. The bands at 1443 and 1595 cm−1 are indicative of pyridine adsorbed on Lewis acid sites (LA). A band at 1486 (1495) cm−1 is indicative of pyridine adsorbed on Bronsted acid sites (BA) and LA sites.28 The amounts of LA sites, BA sites, and basic sites for each of the catalysts are listed in Table S1. Among the bimetallic catalysts, ZnZr2 possessed the lowest quantity of acid sites. This was attributed to the low content of Zr4+ and total metal ions on the surface of the ZnZr2 catalyst as determined by XPS results.

Activity of Zn–Zr Catalysts and Determination of Active Sites for C₃H₆ Formation. The catalytic performances of a series of Zn–Zr catalysts for the PDH were investigated at 400 and 500 °C (Table 1), respectively. Zn–Zr catalysts displayed remarkably improved catalytic performance compared to the monometallic counterparts (ZnO and ZrO2). As the reaction temperature was increased from 400 to 500 °C, the C3H8 conversion over the Zn–Zr catalysts increased significantly, but the C3H6 selectivity declined drastically. The ZnZr2 catalyst was determined to be superior to the other Zn–Zr catalysts, in terms of both the C3H8 conversion and C3H6 selectivity; 21% of C3H8...
conversion and 92% of C₃H₆
selectivity were obtained over ZnZr2 at 450 °C. When the C3H6 feed concentration was increased to 21 vol %, the C3H8 conversion and the C3H6 selectivity were 26 and 86% with space-time yield of C3H6 of 1.32 kgC3H6 kg−1 h−1, respectively, at 500 °C. The catalytic activity was higher than that of Zn(4 wt %)/TiZrOx (1.25 kgC3H6 kg−1 h−1) at 550 °C, 4 wt %) / TiZrOx, and physically mixed ZnO + 2ZrO2. This illustrated that the active sites in these conditions, the C3H8 conversion and C3H6 selectivity were only 20 and 81% over the industrial 0.5 wt % Pt/Al2O3, and 17 and 85% over commercial K-Cr2O3-Al2O3 catalysts, respectively. This illustrated that ZnZr2 exhibited a better catalytic activity than 0.5 wt % Pt/Al2O3. The TOF for C3H8 formation, normalized by the amount of consumed H2, is illustrated in Figure 4a, and the correlation between rC3H6 and hydrogen consumption is also shown in Figure 4b. It found that with the hydrogen consumption. This confirms that the active sites were Zncus and/or Zrcus. Furthermore, it was observed that the TOFs for all of the Zn-Zr catalysts were comparable. All of these were however notably higher than TOFs calculated from the reactions over the ZnO, ZrO2, and physically mixed ZnO + ZrO2 catalysts. It can be concluded that the active sites in the Zn-Zr catalysts for C3H6 dehydrogenation to C3H6 are likely different from those in the ZnO (with single Zncus) and ZrO2 (with single Zrcus) catalysts. It can be postulated that both Zrcus and Zn cus of Zn cus–O–Zr cus are the active sites in the bimetallic Zn–Zr catalysts, and a synergistic relationship can be observed through combining both Zn and Zr species.

Arrhenius plots for C3H6 formation over each of the catalysts are shown in Figure 5, and the corresponding Ea are listed in Table 2. It is clear that the Ea value of C3H6 formation over ZnZr2 was much lower than that for ZnO, ZrO2, ZnO + ZrO2, and other Zr-based catalysts reported by other works.23,24 This provides further evidence that the active sites for C3H6 dehydrogenation to C3H6 are not the single Zn cus as in ZnO or the single Zr cus as in ZrO2, but Zn cus–O–Zr cus derived through a combination of Zr cus and Zn cus. The high Ea observed for catalysts that are not exposed to a pre-reductive treatment gives evidence that additional energy is required for the formation of Zn cus and Zr cus in situ. The comparable Ea for ZnZr2 before and after reduction confirms that ZnZr2 could be reduced in situ easily. It is therefore important to note that ZnZr2 catalyst can be employed without reduction while maintaining a high catalytic performance; the performance of this material is either comparable to or higher than other reduced catalysts at lower reaction temperatures in the literature, which are examined at temperatures up to ca. 600 °C.27,28 The good catalytic performance of ZnZr2 is due to the presence of both Zn and Zr species; this evidently influences the structure of the catalyst and leads to the observed synergistic effect.

To determine whether Zn cus, Zr cus, and neighboring lattice oxygen O2− were involved in breaking the C–H bonds in C3H8, additional C3H8 dehydrogenation experiments over ZnZr2 catalyst were conducted in the presence of CO2 (1 vol %) and O2 (1 vol %), respectively. It is known that under these conditions, CO2 can react with O2 to form carbonates while O2 can react with Ov to reduce the number of Zn cus and Zr cus species.25 At 500 °C, the rate of C3H8 formation over the reduced ZnZr2 catalyst dropped from 0.18 to 0.15 mmolC3H6·g−1·min−1 in the presence of CO, and to 0.08 mmolC3H6·g−1·min−1 in the presence of O2. These results confirmed that Zn cus, Zr cus, and species in Zn cus–O–Zr cus were O2− responsible for C3H8 dehydrogenation to C3H6.

To confirm the above hypothesis in which the combination of Zn and Zr species resulted in notable changes to the structure of the catalysts and Zn cus–O–Zr cus were the active sites, a series of DFT calculations were performed. The optimized geometry structures of ZrO2(101), Zn-substituted ZrO2, and Zn–Zr catalysts are displayed in Figure S8. For pure ZrO2, the length of the Zr–O bond was 2.32 Å. For the optimized structure of Zn–Zr catalysts, the formation energy

Table 1. Catalytic Activity for PDH over Various Mono- and Bimetallic Catalysts Comprising Zn and Zr

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>catalyst</th>
<th>Xcus</th>
<th>X Zn cus</th>
<th>X Zr cus</th>
<th>X Zn cus–O–Zr cus</th>
<th>X Zn cus–O–Zr cus</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ZnO</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnZr</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnZr2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnZr3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnZr4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnZr5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>≥99</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZrO2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnO + ZrO,2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZnZr2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*aReaction conditions: 5 vol % C3H8 in N2 at a flow rate of 25.0 mL·min−1, 0.1 g of catalyst. *bMechanical mixture of ZnO and ZrO2 with Zr/Zn mole ratio of 2:1. *cReaction conditions: 21 vol % C3H8 in N2 at a flow rate of 25.0 mL·min−1, 0.1 g of catalyst, WHSV C3H8 of 5.88 h−1.
Figure 4. (a) TOF over the reduced catalysts at a reaction temperature of 500 °C, Zn–Zr catalysts were reduced under 550 °C, others under 600 °C. (b) Dependence of the rates of C_{6}H_{6} formation over the Zn–Zr catalysts at 500 °C on the amount of consumed H_{2}.
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of the surface Ov was $-1.36$ eV, indicating that Ov can form spontaneously in the Zn–Zr catalysts. This result was consistent with the XRD results. Compared with Zn–O (1.99 Å) in ZnO and Zr–O in ZrO$_2$, the bond lengths of Zn–O (2.08 Å) and Zr–O (2.43 Å) of Zn–O–Zr in Zn–Zr catalysts were long, which could result in the weakening of Zn–O and Zr–O. This would ultimately lead to an increase in oxygen mobility, which was in agreement with the results acquired from H$_2$-TPR experiments (Figure 3). These results further confirmed that the incorporation of Zn led to the formation of Zr$_{\text{cus}}$ and increased the oxygen mobility, while neighboring Zr led to weakened Zn–O bonds, compared to those present in pure ZnO.

To gain further insight into the nature of the active sites present, reaction pathways for C$_3$H$_8$ dehydrogenation to C$_3$H$_6$ were computed over the Zn–Zr catalyst. The optimized structures of intermediates and transition states are displayed in Figure 6. Furthermore, minimum-energy pathways on different sites are displayed in Figure 7. For the dehydrogenation of C$_3$H$_8$ on the single Zr$_{\text{cus}}$ sites in Zn–Zr catalysts (scheme a in Figure 6), the rate-determining step (RDS) was estimated to be the first step ($C_3H_8 + * \rightarrow *C_3H_7 + *H$), an energy barrier ($E_a$) of $1.65$ eV was determined. For the second step ($*C_3H_7 = C_3H_6 + *H$), it was estimated to be $0.95$ eV. For comparison, over the single Zn$_{\text{CUS}}$ site in the Zn–Zr catalysts (scheme b in Figure 6), the calculated $E_a$ values were $0.61$ and $0.67$ eV for the first and second steps of C$_3$H$_8$ dehydrogenation, respectively. Therefore, over the single Zn$_{\text{CUS}}$ site, the second step is rate-determining. The $E_a$ on the single Zn$_{\text{CUS}}$ sites for both steps is much lower than that on the single Zr$_{\text{CUS}}$ sites, indicative of that C$_3$H$_8$ dehydrogenation is more efficient over the Zn$_{\text{CUS}}$ sites. This is consistent with the experimental results in Table 2. However, the path by which the first step occurs on Zn$_{\text{CUS}}$ sites in Zn$_{\text{CUS}}$–O–Zr$_{\text{CUS}}$ and then
the second step occurs on Zr_{cus} sites in Zn_{cus}−O−Zr_{cus} (scheme c in Figure 6) shows $E_a$ values of 0.61 and 0.52 eV for the first and second steps, respectively. However, the pathway by which the first step occurs on Zn_{cus} sites in Zn_{cus}−Ov−Zr_{cus} and then the second step occurs on Zr_{cus} sites in Zn_{cus}−Ov−Zr_{cus} (scheme d in Figure 6) shows $E_a$ values of 0.70 and 0.74 eV for the first and second steps, respectively. These calculations therefore suggest that over Zn−Zr catalysts, the lowest-energy barriers are observed when the first step occurs on Zn_{cus} sites in Zn_{cus}−O−Zr_{cus} and the second step occurs on Zr_{cus} sites. Therefore, through consideration of the experimental and theoretical results, it concludes that Zn_{cus} sites and Zr_{cus} sites in Zn_{cus}−O−Zr_{cus} have a synergy effect on the dehydrogenation of C_3H_8 to C_3H_6 that the first dehydrogenation step occurs on Zn_{cus} sites and that the second step occurs on Zr_{cus} sites in Zn_{cus}−O−Zr_{cus}, decreasing $E_a$ further compared with the single Zn_{cus} and Zr_{cus}. This result is different from the results reported by Han et al. that Zn species were the active sites for PDH.

From the above conclusions and H_2-TPR experiments (Figure 3), the Zn−Zr catalysts cannot be reduced at 400 °C. Therefore, the differences in activity of the catalysts at 400 °C must be attributed to the presence of intrinsic Zn_{cus}−O−Zr_{cus}. However, at a higher temperature of 500 °C, whereby Zn−Zr catalysts can be reduced by H_2 in situ, these active sites could be generated during the reaction. Therefore, at higher temperatures, the better catalytic performance of the ZnZr2 catalyst can be ascribed to the more intrinsic and generated Zn_{cus}−O−Zr_{cus}. In brief, regardless of the reaction temperature, the more Zn_{cus}−O−Zr_{cus} a catalyst possesses, the higher the dehydrogenation activity observed.

Formation of Byproducts. As discussed previously, the formation of byproducts often limits the viability of catalysts for this reaction. In addition, to understand how C_3H_6 is formed over these materials, it is also important to consider how byproducts are formed, to further aid catalyst design. It is known that the product selectivity and C_3H_8 conversion in this reaction are not only affected by the physicochemical of catalysts but also by the reaction conditions. According to the results acquired from BET measurements (Figure S2 and Table S1), Py-IR experiments (Figure S7 and Table S1), CO_2-TPD experiments (Figure S6 and Table S1), and NH_3-TPD experiments (Figure S5 and Table S1), the specific surface area, acidity, and basicity of catalyst had no significant influence on C_3H_8 conversion at low reaction temperatures. Other studies have confirmed that the product selectivity is also influenced by C_3H_8 conversion. To identify which parameter influenced the product selectivity over these catalysts, experiments were performed on the different catalysts.
under identical reaction conditions. Product selectivity and C\textsubscript{3}H\textsubscript{8} conversion at 500 °C over various catalysts are shown in Figure 8. When the C\textsubscript{3}H\textsubscript{8} conversion was almost same, the coke selectivity over ZnZr was higher than that over ZnZr5, ascribed to the more BA amount of ZnZr. To further confirm the effect of BA on coke at 500 °C, the amount of ZnZr2 was halved to ensure the C\textsubscript{3}H\textsubscript{8} conversion is comparable to that of ZnZr (Figure 8). It was found that C\textsubscript{3}H\textsubscript{8} conversion was 30%, and the coke selectivity was 8%, which was lower than that over ZnZr and ZnZr5 (determined in Figure 8). These results further illustrated that BA favored the formation of coke. To further explore and detect the difference of coke type and coke amount, Raman spectra (Figure S9) and TGA (Figure S10) were employed. The calculated \( I_0/I_c \) ratios of Zn-Zr catalysts.
(Table S4) were in the range of 0.27–0.39. Additionally, when C₃H₈ conversions were comparable, the amounts of coke on the spent ZnZr2 (0.7%) and ZnZr5 (0.9%) were lower than that on ZnZr, ascribed to the more amount of BA of ZnZr. This suggested that BA favored the formation of coke.

The influence of the reaction’s conditions on product selectivity, such as the amount of catalyst and reaction temperature, was also investigated. For ZnZr2, when the catalyst amount was increased (Figure 8), C₃H₈ conversion, coke selectivity, and C₂H₆ selectivity all increased dramatically. This may be attributed to the increased active sites and quantity of acid sites, which promotes both side reactions such as the consecutive reaction of C₃H₆ and hydrogenolysis. The result also indirectly confirmed that a sequential reaction
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involving C₆H₆ occurs. It was also found that, upon increasing the reaction temperature from 500 to 550 °C, the coke selectivity over ZnZr2 increased from 20 to 37%. Therefore, over this catalyst, the lower the reaction temperature, the higher the C₆H₆ selectivity.

To further investigate the formation of byproducts, the \( E_a \) values of the cracking product (C₂H₄) and coke were derived from Arrhenius plots, where C₆H₆ was used as substrate (Figure S11 and Table 3). Evidently, the \( E_a \) values for both C₂H₄ and coke formation over ZnZr2 were much higher than those over ZnO and ZrO₂. This implies that the formation of these byproducts is inhibited over the ZnZr2 catalyst. The reduced ZnZr2 exhibited a much higher \( E_a \) for C₂H₄ formation and a lower rate of C₂H₄ formation (0.0017 mmol C₂H₄ g⁻¹ cat⁻¹ min⁻¹) than that over ZnZr2 (C₂H₄ formation rate of 0.016 mmol C₂H₄ g⁻¹ cat⁻¹ min⁻¹) at 550 °C. These results indicate that H₂ reduction may inhibit this undesirable cracking reaction. Therefore, it could be inferred that the active sites for the cracking reaction, which are different from the active sites of dehydrogenation, were the coordinatively saturated Zn and/or Zr sites, the quantities of which are reduced after catalyst reduction. By comparing the \( E_a \) values for coke formation over the different catalysts, it is evident that coke is more easily generated over ZrO₂ and ZnO than over ZnZr2. This is further underlined by the fact that \( E_a \) for C₂H₆ conversion over ZnZr2 was higher than that over ZnO and
Figure 9. Selectivity-conversion relationship of product over ZnZr2: (a) under 400 °C and (b) under 500 °C.

Figure 10. DRIFTS profiles of (a) fresh ZnZr2 and (b) ZnZr2 reduced by H2 (5 vol % H2 in He) under 500 °C.

The results ultimately suggest that the ZnZr2 catalyst inhibits the undesirable side reactions. From these experiments, it could also be concluded that ZnO promoted the side reactions compared to ZrO2.

Reaction Mechanism. In light of the aforementioned results, Zn_{cub} and Zr_{cub} in Zn_{cub}-O-Zr_{cub} are the active sites for propane dehydrogenation. For this reason, the catalytic activity of Zn–Zr catalysts should improve after reduction by H2\textsuperscript{21,23,25}. To improve the catalytic performance of ZnZr2, it was reductively (5 vol % H2 in N2) treated at 500 °C for 30 min before use. Surprisingly, the initial C\textsubscript{3}H\textsubscript{8} conversion was less than that observed over ZnZr2 in the corresponding experiment without pre-reduction. This behavior was consistent with the results presented previously by others.\textsuperscript{24} It was also observed that the C\textsubscript{3}H\textsubscript{8} conversion over reduced ZnZr2 increased first and then decreased, which was different from that over ZnZr2 with C\textsubscript{3}H\textsubscript{8} conversion decreasing monotonously. This behavior can be attributed to the decreased adsorption amount of C\textsubscript{3}H\textsubscript{8} on reduced ZnZr2 because of the competitive adsorption of H2, which is adsorbed during the reduction step.

To validate this, additional diffuse reflectance Fourier transform spectroscopy (DRIFTS) experiments were conducted on the fresh ZnZr2 (Figure 10a) and pre-reduced ZnZr2 (Figure 10b), respectively. For the fresh ZnZr2 catalyst (Figure 10a), the peaks at 2962 and 1445 cm\textsuperscript{-1} are indicative of ν\textsubscript{s}(CH) stretching and δ\textsubscript{as}(CH) bending of –CH\textsubscript{3} in C\textsubscript{3}H\textsubscript{8}, respectively. These peaks were almost unchanged after 2 min. The peaks at ca. 1472 and 1696 cm\textsuperscript{-1} can be assigned to δ\textsubscript{as}(CH) of –CH\textsubscript{3} and the stretching vibration of C\textsubscript{3}C in C\textsubscript{3}H\textsubscript{6}, respectively, which appear at 2 min. These bands first increased and then decreased with a peak indicative of coke (1560 cm\textsuperscript{-1}) appearing.\textsuperscript{33} This behavior further evidences that C\textsubscript{3}H\textsubscript{8} reacts sequentially to form coke and is consistent with the experiment results discussed previously. This phenomenon also confirmed that the formation of coke is the main reason for the deactivation of the catalysts.

A similar experiment was subsequently conducted on the pre-reduced ZnZr2 sample (Figure 10b). The intensity of the peaks indicative of C\textsubscript{3}H\textsubscript{8} increased over time. This illustrates that the initial adsorption of C\textsubscript{3}H\textsubscript{8} decreased after reduction of ZnZr2, confirming our hypothesis that hydrogen competes with C\textsubscript{3}H\textsubscript{8} for active sites. This correlation is supported by
Based on all of the work presented herein, reaction pathways for $\text{C}_3\text{H}_8$ dehydrogenation over Zn–Zr catalysts were proposed (Figure S12). We propose that $\text{C}_3\text{H}_8$ first adsorbs on the surface of catalysts and then dehydrogenates one H on Zn$_{\text{cus}}$ in Zn$_{\text{cus}}$–O–Zr$_{\text{cus}}$ forming $\text{C}_3\text{H}_7^*$; the $\text{C}_3\text{H}_7^*$ further dehydrogenates H on neighboring Zr$_{\text{cus}}$ in Zn$_{\text{cus}}$–O–Zr$_{\text{cus}}$ to generate surface-adsorbed $\text{C}_3\text{H}_6^*$. The adsorbed $\text{C}_3\text{H}_6^*$ subsequently either desorbs or partakes in further reactions, forming $\text{CH}_4$, $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4$, $\text{C}_2\text{H}_6$, and coke. It has however other paths for $\text{C}_3\text{H}_8$ conversion that adsorbed $\text{C}_3\text{H}_8$ can also crack to $\text{CH}_4$ and $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4$ on coordinatively saturated Zn and/or Zr sites and convert to $\text{C}_2\text{H}_6$ via hydrogenolysis reaction and coke.

To better understand the active sites and the difference between ZnZr2 without reduction and pre-reduction, the time-on-stream (TOS) plot of ZnZr2 is provided in Figure S13. It could be found that the ZnZr2 without reduction had a lower rate of $\text{C}_3\text{H}_6$ formation than that over the pre-reduction ZnZr2 in the initial due to the formation of active sites during reduction. However, the highest rate of $\text{C}_3\text{H}_6$ formation over the former is higher than that over the latter at 15 min due to the competitive adsorption of $\text{H}_2$, which is adsorbed during the reduction step. This was consistent with the above results.

Stability of ZnZr2. Besides catalytic activity and selectivity, the stability of the catalyst is also important. To assess the stability of the superior catalyst ZnZr2 in this reaction, a series of cycling experiments were conducted (shown in Figure 11). After each reaction, the ZnZr2 catalyst was regenerated.

![Figure 11](image-url)

**Figure 11.** Rate of $\text{C}_3\text{H}_6$ formation after several regeneration cycles; reaction condition: 0.05 g of catalyst, 5 vol % $\text{C}_3\text{H}_8$ in $\text{N}_2$ at a flow rate of 25.0 mL·min$^{-1}$, 450 °C.
through calcination of the material in flowing air (25 mL·min⁻¹) at 550 °C for 20 min. The initial rate of \( \text{C}_3\text{H}_6 \) formation was 0.106 mmol_{\text{C}_3\text{H}_6}·\text{g}^{-1}·\text{min}^{-1}, \) which decreased to 0.05 mmol_{\text{C}_3\text{H}_6}·\text{g}^{-1}·\text{min}^{-1} after 120 min on stream. The diminished catalytic activity was ascribed to the coke formation. After three regeneration cycles, the initial rate was 0.104 mmol_{\text{C}_3\text{H}_6}·\text{g}^{-1}·\text{min}^{-1}, and as such, the catalyst can be fully and repeatedly regenerated to its initial rate. As the XRD patterns of used ZnZr2 catalyst were almost the same as the fresh, it also illustrated that the catalyst was stable.

Dehydrogenation of Isobutane over Zn–Zr Catalysts.

To investigate the universality of catalysts, the series of Zn–Zr catalysts were also investigated for isobutane dehydrogenation (Table S5). The reactivity of the catalysts was similar to that observed in C\(_3\)H\(_6\) dehydrogenation. An isobutane conversion of 11% and isobutene selectivity of 98% were observed in reactions over the ZnZr2 catalyst at 400 °C. Furthermore, isobutane conversion and isobutene selectivity reached 46 and 91% with space-time yield of isobutene of 0.79 kg\(_{\text{g}}\)·h\(^{-1}\)·kg\(_{-\text{cat}}\) at 500 °C, respectively, which is superior or comparable in performance to other Zn-based, Zr-based catalysts and carbon materials reported for this reaction with respect to space-time yield of isobutene and reaction temperature.\(^\text{3,5,36}\) The main byproducts formed in these reactions were CH\(_4\), C\(_2\)H\(_6\), C\(_2\)H\(_4\), C\(_3\)H\(_6\), C\(_3\)H\(_8\), cis-2-butene, trans-2-butene, and coke.

CONCLUSIONS

The binary oxide Zn–Zr catalysts synthesized herein exhibit good activity for PDH even at low temperatures. Through evaluating various propane dehydrogenation reactions and conducting DFT calculations, we propose that Zn\(_{\text{cus}}\) and Zr\(_{\text{cus}}\) present in Zn\(_{\text{cus}}\)–O–Zr\(_{\text{cus}}\) are the active sites for the first and second steps of C\(_3\)H\(_6\) dehydrogenation, respectively. The combination of Zn and Zr species led to dramatic changes in the structure and properties of the material, which led to the observed synergistic enhancement in activity, compared to the monometallic counterparts. The incorporation of Zn led to the formation of Zr\(_{\text{cus}}\) and improved oxygen mobility; Zn\(_{\text{cus}}\)–O–Zr\(_{\text{cus}}\) are formed in situ under dehydrogenation conditions. Kinetic experiments illustrated that the coordinatively saturated Zn and/or Zr were the active sites for cracking reaction, which were inhibited over the Zn–Zr catalysts.

The ZnZr2 catalyst presented herein is displayed superior catalytic performance to other examples of ZnZr\(_x\) at low temperature; 92% C\(_3\)H\(_6\) selectivity was observed at 21% C\(_3\)H\(_8\) conversion at 450 °C. These materials are also demonstrated to perform well in the dehydrogenation of isobutane; an isobutene selectivity of 91% was observed at an isobutane conversion of 46% at 500 °C. Evidently, these catalytic materials possess great potential for direct alkane dehydrogenation. We hope that the proposed activation mechanism study into product formation will serve as a foundation to further improve the reactivity of Zn–Zr catalysts for such applications.
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