

ORCA - Online Research @ Cardiff

This is an Open Access document downloaded from ORCA, Cardiff University's institutional repository:https://orca.cardiff.ac.uk/id/eprint/146125/

This is the author's version of a work that was submitted to / accepted for publication.

Citation for final published version:

George, David, Xie, Xianghua, Lai, Yukun and Tam, Gary K.L. 2022. A deep learning driven active framework for segmentation of large 3D shape collections. Computer-Aided Design 144 , 103179. 10.1016/j.cad.2021.103179

Publishers page: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cad.2021.103179

Please note:

Changes made as a result of publishing processes such as copy-editing, formatting and page numbers may not be reflected in this version. For the definitive version of this publication, please refer to the published source. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite this paper.

This version is being made available in accordance with publisher policies. See http://orca.cf.ac.uk/policies.html for usage policies. Copyright and moral rights for publications made available in ORCA are retained by the copyright holders.



A Deep Learning Driven Active Framework for Segmentation of Large 3D Shape Collections

D. George^a, X. Xie^a, Y.-K. Lai^b, G.K.L. Tam^a

^aDepartment of Computer Science, Swansea University, United Kingdom ^b School of Computer Science and Informatics, Cardiff University, United Kingdom

Abstract

High-level shape understanding and technique evaluation on large repositories of 3D shapes often benefit from additional information known about the shapes. One example of such information is the semantic segmentation of a shape into functional or meaningful parts. Generating accurate segmentations with meaningful segment boundaries is, however, a costly process, typically requiring large amounts of user time to achieve high-quality results. In this paper we propose an active learning framework for large dataset segmentation, which iteratively provides the user with new predictions by training new models based on already segmented shapes. Our proposed pipeline consists of three components. First, we propose a fast and accurate feature-based deep learning model to provide dataset-wide segmentation predictions. Second, we develop an information theory measure to estimate the prediction quality and for ordering subsequent fast and meaningful shape selection. Our experiments show that such suggestive ordering helps to reduce users' time and effort, produce high-quality predictions, and construct a model that generalizes well. Lastly, we provide interactive segmentation refinement tools, helping the user quickly correct any prediction errors. We show that our framework is more accurate and in general more efficient than the state-of-the-art for large dataset segmentation, while also providing consistent segment boundaries.

28

29

Keywords: shape segmentation, active learning, shape collections, user interaction

1. Introduction

Segmented datasets have already been shown incredibly use- 30 2 ful for many applications, including shape matching [1], re- 31 trieval [2] and modeling [3]. Semantic labels are also useful 32 for shape understanding and abstraction [4], and shape pars- 33 ing and partial shape recovery [5]. Shape segmentation tech-34 niques often benefit the most from such fully labeled datasets. 35 Supervised techniques require ground truth labels to train seg- 36 8 mentation classifiers [6], and both supervised and unsupervised 37 9 techniques need ground truth labels to evaluate their methods 38 10 [7]. While existing works have shown good efforts and results 39 11 [8, 9, 10], clear ground truth inconsistencies still exist [11]. 40 12 This means both existing and new techniques could perform 41 13 better with higher quality ground truth segmentations. 14

Generating high-quality segmentations for shape datasets is 43 15 a time-consuming and interaction-heavy task. Smaller datasets, 44 16 with only small numbers of inconsistencies or errors may be 45 17 manageable through manual effort [12, 7]. Massive datasets 46 18 would take a great amount of user effort however [13]. Fur- 47 19 ther, these massive datasets typically consist of non-manifold 48 20 (multiple components, holes, zero thickness, etc.) and low- 49 21 resolution shapes. These shapes are very difficult to process 50 22 in segmentation pipelines. Recent works employ point cloud 51 23 projection [14, 9], or further KD-connected point cloud pro- 52 24 jection [15]. While these are viable techniques, there may 53 25 be information loss when using point clouds, e.g., connectiv- 54 26 ity and topology of the shape. Without these, certain reliable 55 27

features are much harder to compute or are inaccurate when computed (e.g., Shape Diameter Function (SDF) [16], Geodesic Distance). Although connectivity can be re-established (e.g., through K Nearest Neighbors, assuming the resolution of the point cloud is high enough), thin regions of the shape could be wrongly connected, leading to undesirable connections. More recently, there are increasing interests to use mesh-based representations to develop robust CNN techniques [17, 18]. For this reason, in our proposed pipeline, we largely focus on input meshes. We further show that by re-meshing these nonmanifold 3D models into manifold meshes, our pipeline can handle very large datasets very well.

Previous works that generate ground truth segmentations for large datasets typically focus on active learning approaches, where a user has some control over the system and influences the decisions in some way. [19] first used an unsupervised co-segmentation algorithm, where the user interactively selects pairs of parts between shapes to connect or disconnect. [14] used a supervised algorithm to label a single part at a time. Users are asked to paint two 2D views of a 3D shape. A learning model is trained based on the painted regions and similar shapes (according to global shape descriptors) are evaluated on that model. However, these techniques can only provide a coarse segmentation and output segmentations may have errors. Further, [14] requires one part to be labeled at a time, so datasets with high numbers of parts will take longer and more iterations to label. Here, we developed an active framework which allows full shape segmentation of a shape dataset, to ensure good segmentation quality and it scales well with the number of parts in¹¹³
 the dataset.

One of the challenges when developing an active framework115 58 for segmentation is minimizing user interactions while maxi-116 59 mizing segmentation quality. To balance the quality and speed,117 60 we utilize a deep learning model for segmentation predictions.118 61 In general, deep learning models can take a long time to train, 119 62 and typically require a large amount of training data. To re-120 63 solve these, we propose to use a small Convolutional Neural121 64 Network (CNN), using two 2D histogram features as input. The122 65 features have been shown useful in previous work [6, 8] and fit 66

the CNN paradigm as 2D histograms are like images. Our ar-¹²³
chitecture allows for quick model training and we also adopt an¹²⁴
ensemble based learning scheme [20] to help generalize with¹²⁵
reduced available training data. In our experiments we com-¹²⁶
pare to other feature-based CNN techniques. We show that our¹²⁷
model can perform better than existing fast techniques, with re-¹²⁸
sults comparable to the state-of-the-art.

Another difficulty of an active learning framework is the ex-130 74 ploration and analysis of model predicted results. It often takes131 75 a long time for users to choose the next 3D model to segment,132 76 and there are no ground truth data to compare the predictions133 77 for ranking. We thus use *entropy*, a measure of uncertainty, to 78 define a ranking measure without needing ground truth segmen-79 tations. This ranking measure provides a meaningful ordering 80 of the predicted segment labels in an interactive tabular view. 81 This allows users to see which shapes the deep learning model, 82 segmented well or struggled with. Our experiments show that,137 83 by selecting poorly segmented 3D models with respect to the 84 ranking measure, it reduces both time and interactions required 85 to segment the whole dataset. 86

Lastly, another problem we observed in existing active 87 frameworks (e.g., [14]) is that they do not allow quick boundary₁₄₂ 88 refinement. When there are slight errors in the output segmen-89 tation, users will likely discard the results, leading to extra man-90 ual effort and longer interaction time. With this observation, we $_{145}$ 91 propose an interactive segmentation refinement algorithm that 92 takes the current segmentation and information about the shape 93 (e.g., angle and thickness) to refine the segmentation bound- $\frac{147}{148}$ 94 aries. This algorithm can quickly provide high-quality segmen-95 tations while greatly reducing interactions and time required to 96 refine a shape. 97

Our proposed framework has been demonstrated to work well on public datasets (including PSB, COSEG), and also on re-meshed datasets from ShapeNet, which contains thousands of shapes.

Contributions. To summarize, the main contribution of this work is to develop the first deep learning driven active framework for segmentation of large 3D shape collections. The focus is to maintain accurate and meaningful segment boundaries, while keeping human effort and time to a minimum. Our active learning framework consists of several key components:

¹⁰⁸ First, we show and evaluate a novel deep learning pipeline₁₆₂ ¹⁰⁹ for shape segmentation which is relatively fast and accurate,₁₆₃ ¹¹⁰ and is suitable for active learning purpose.

¹¹¹ Second, we use an information-theoretical metric for order-¹¹² ing the prediction of shape segmentation when ground truth data is not available. The metric is designed for our segmentation tasks. Users can still flexibly choose next shape to annotate through our interface. Our extensive experiments show that the ordering can help reduce total segmentation efforts and time.

[^] Third, we develop a useful technique for interactive segmentation refinement, which takes into account the segmentation boundaries and thickness of shapes. Our experiments show that it can help users to quickly improve segmentation boundaries, reducing effort and time.

We will also release the source codes of our tools for the community, and provide new and more accurate ground truth segmentation for some existing datasets¹.

In the following, Section 2 discusses the existing work for segmentation, feature extraction and entropy in geometry processing. In Section 3, we briefly overview our active learning framework. Section 4 discusses the details of the three novel subsystems. We further discuss our framework interface and flow in Section 5 before outlining our experiments and showing their results in Section 6. Finally, in Section 7 we conclude and discuss possible future work.

2. Related Work

This work relates to several research areas. We summarize the literature with respect to shape features, shape segmentation, active learning in image analysis, active learning in shape analysis, and use of entropy in graphics processing.

Shape Features and Their Uses. Much of the existing work in shape segmentation is driven by features. These can be defined per face, per vertex, per patch (a cluster of faces), or even per shape. These features are designed for different purposes, and many have been successfully applied in mesh segmentation. Per-face features include, SDF [16] which estimates the thickness of a shape at a given face, Conformal Factor (CF) [21] which computes a position invariant representation of the curvature of non-rigid shapes and Spin Images (SI) which capture the surface information around a face using a 2D histogram. Recent work has also adapted image based features to the 3D domain. One notable example is Shape Context (SC) [22] which is a 3D shape descriptor to encode both curvature and geodesic distance distributions in a 2D histogram [6]. However, there are limitations to how useful a feature can be on certain shapes. Examples are that CF is susceptible on shapes with sharp curvature [11], SDF can fail if the shape has holes and geodesic distance will fail if the shape has multiple components. Therefore feature selection for a new technique is very important, as it can greatly impact the accuracy and speed. For these reasons, we opted to use two features for this work, SC and SI. Recent work has shown both features can be very useful in shape segmentation [6, 23, 11]. Further they are both 2D histograms, so can be generated at any scale (number of bins) and CNNs should work well to extract useful information.

¹ https://cs.swan.ac.uk/~csgarykl/ActiveLabeller/ActiveMeshLabeller.html

Figure 1: Proposed Pipeline. After de ning the input data (shapes, features and possible segment labels, Sections 4.1, 4.2), users pick some models (Section Shape Subset Selection) and use the proposed interface and tools (Section 4.4, Patch Labeling, Painting, and Section 4.5 Interactive Boundary Re nement (IBR annotate ground truth labels. With these ground truths, a fast deep learning model is trained. Graph-cut is applied to re ne the predicted labels (Section 4.6 Train and Evaluation). The results are then ordered in an interface (Section 4.7, Order and Select Subset) for users to con rm the ground truth or further select a sub for user-driven inspection and IBR re nement (same interface in Sections 4.4, 4.5). The iterative active segmentation repeats until dataset is fully labeled.

Unsupervised and Supervised Shape SegmentationThes With the recent surge of new segmentation papers, each fo-164 goal of a shape segmentation algorithm is to partition a singleusing on larger datasets, there is a need for high-quality ground 165 shape into meaningful parts [24, 16]. These algorithms typitruth labels. However, currently available ground truths for 166 cally used a feature which drives the partitioning (see Features idely used segmentation datasets have been shown to con-167 section), though other work also used elient strategies like tain inconsistent and poor labels for certain shapes within the 168 tting of primitive shapes [25]. Recently, unsupervised tech-dataset [11]. This can impact the training performance by in-169 niques looked into co-analysis of a set of shapes, using infortroducing inconsistent labels for similar samples. It can also 170 mation consistent across the set to improve the nal segmentampact evaluation, as inconsistencies incorrectly degrade the 171 tion [7, 26, 27, 28, 29]. However, these methods struggle2withperformance of a model. Due to this, we emphasize providing 172 largely varying datasets, especially those with a low number of accurate, high-quality segmentations in this work. 173

shapes per set [11]. Further, the segmentation of parts not only There is a concurrent work [35] that shares similar spirit relates to the shape geometry, but also the meaning, functions ours which produces high quality part annotations. They ality and designs. All these challenges have led to the receipting professionals to carry out the annotation. The neinterests in supervised segmentation techniques.

Supervised segmentation techniques rely on prior knowledgerarchical shape segmentation [36], and grouping and labeling 178 in order to train a model. Typically these methods use large f semantic parts [37]. Compared to [35], our work proposes an 179 pools of shape features as input and classify them according fective learning framework that allows fast annotation of large 180 segment labels [6]. Subsequent techniques further improve idatasets with the help of a machine learning model. The frame-181 di erent ways, such as ranking features to nd segment boundwork can be used for other work to complete annotations of 182 aries [30], and training an extreme learning machine [313:623]arge datasets. To our knowledge, it is the rst deep learning 183 to classify the labels. However, similar to unsupervised work driven active learning framework for segmentation of large 3D 184 these techniques can struggle when datasets are very diverse. shape collections that aims at ground truth quality. 185

combat this, work using CNNs was proposed [8]. This worker Active Image Analysis Active learning image analysis sys-186 ranges a pool of features as an image, and uses an imagezbased have been widely explored to leverage the human user in-187 convolution network to predict face labels. However, the simpleput to explore large datasets. They focus on using user input 188 arrangement leads to unnecessary interference of relationships aid the classi ers by annotation (painting, strokes) or draw-189 between features with no correlation, and [11] reduces seucing bounding boxes. This has the advantage that, the user can 190 interference using 1D convolutions, leading to better resultssee what data the classi er is struggling with and incrementally 191 Recently, several techniques have shown new and interesting ovides new training data to alleviate this problem, making 192 shape segmentation methods such as point cloud segmentation classi er more generalized and accurate [38, 39, 40, 41]. 193 [32, 9], kd-tree point cloud segmentation [15], projecting im-We utilize this functionality in 3D segmentation by allowing 194 age segmentations to shapes [33], hierarchical segmentatiothe user to incrementally tune the output labels of our model 195 [34] and graph CNNs [10]. to make it generalize better, while also incorporating a sorting 229 196