Imperfect integration: congruency between multiple sensory sources modulates decision-making processes

Supplementary Material

Dominik Krzemiński, Jiaxiang Zhang

Supplementary methods

The neural-mass model of perceptual decision

We used the two-state neural-mass model (Wong and Wang, 2006) in the following form:

$$\frac{dS_{\rm i}}{dt} = -\frac{S_{\rm i}}{\tau_S} + (1 - S_{\rm i})\gamma r(I_{\rm syn,i}) , \qquad (1)$$

$$r(I_{\rm syn,i}) = \frac{aI_{\rm syn,i} - b}{1 - \exp(-d(aI_{\rm syn,i} - b))},$$
(2)

$$\begin{cases} I_{\rm syn,L} = & J_{\rm L,L}S_{\rm L} + J_{\rm L,R}S_{\rm R} + I_{in,L} + I_{\eta,L} \\ I_{\rm syn,R} = & J_{\rm R,R}S_{\rm R} + J_{\rm R,L}S_{\rm L} + I_{in,R} + I_{\eta,R} , \end{cases}$$
(3)

$$\tau_{\eta} \frac{dI_{\eta,i}}{dt} = -I_{\eta,i} + \eta_t \sqrt{\tau_{\eta}} \sigma_{\eta} , \qquad (4)$$

where the index i = L, R refers to two neural populations selective for leftwards and rightwards choices. The state variable S describes the NMDA gating variable (fraction of open gates). It can be shown that S has a bijection mapping on pre-synaptic firing rates (Wong and Wang, 2006). r describes the population firing rate function that depends on the synaptic input current $I_{\text{syn,i}}$. The following values of the parameters were used: $a = 270 (\text{VnC})^{-1}$, b=108 Hz, d=0.154 s, $\gamma=0.641\cdot 10^{-3}$, $\tau_S=100$ ms.

The synaptic input current $I_{syn,i}$ combines recurrent inputs, mutual inputs, external inputs that relate to sensory information ($I_{in,i}$, Equation 1 in the main text) and the noise current $I_{\eta,i}$. The following symmetric synaptic coupling parameters were used: $J_{A,A} = J_{B,B} =$ 0.2601 nA and $J_{A,B} = J_{B,A} = 0.0497$ nA, $J_{ext} = 5.2 \cdot 10^{-4}$ nA·Hz⁻¹.

The noise current $I_{\eta,i}$ is integrated with $\tau_{\eta} = 2$ ms (time decay of AMPA receptor activation) and random variable sample from Normal distribution η . The variance of the noise factor is kept constant $\sigma_{\eta} = 0.01972$.

For simulations, we use standard Euler's integration method with a time step of 1 ms.

Supplementary figures



Supplementary Figure 1: Steps of the staircase procedure for the two staircase rules (top: two-up/one-down rule; bottom: three-up/one-down rule). Each line represents one participant.



Supplementary Figure 2: Posterior predictive data distributions of 10 participants in Group 1 ($\theta = \pm 20^{\circ}$). Each row shows data distributions (histograms) as well as Posterior model predictions (black lines) from the best fitted model from one of ten representative participants. The distributions along the positive x-axis indicate normalised correct response times, and the distributions along the negative x-axis indicate normalised error response times. Model predictions was generated in the same procedure as in Figure 6.

Supplementary Figure 3: Posterior predictive data distributions of 10 participants in Group 2 ($\theta = \pm 45^{\circ}$). Each row shows data distributions (histograms) as well as Posterior model predictions (black lines) from the best fitted model from one of ten representative participants. The distributions along the positive x-axis indicate normalised correct response times, and the distributions along the negative x-axis indicate normalised error response times. Model predictions was generated in the same procedure as in Figure 6.

References

Wong KF and Wang XJ (2006). A recurrent network mechanism of time integration in perceptual decisions. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 26(4):1314–1328.