

This is an Open Access document downloaded from ORCA, Cardiff University's institutional repository: <https://orca.cardiff.ac.uk/id/eprint/146677/>

This is the author's version of a work that was submitted to / accepted for publication.

Citation for final published version:

Orozco ter Wengel, Pablo 2021. Detection of selection signatures in the genome of a farmed population of anadromous rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). *Genomics* 113 (5) , pp. 3395-3404. 10.1016/j.ygeno.2021.07.027

Publishers page: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ygeno.2021.07.027>

Please note:

Changes made as a result of publishing processes such as copy-editing, formatting and page numbers may not be reflected in this version. For the definitive version of this publication, please refer to the published source. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite this paper.

This version is being made available in accordance with publisher policies. See <http://orca.cf.ac.uk/policies.html> for usage policies. Copyright and moral rights for publications made available in ORCA are retained by the copyright holders.



1 **Detection of selection signatures in the genome of a domestic population of anadromous rainbow**
2 **trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*)**

3

4 María I. Cadiz^{1,2,7}, María E. López³, Diego Díaz-Domínguez⁴, Giovanna Cáceres^{1,2}, Rodrigo Marin-
5 Nahuelpi^{2,7}, Daniel Gomez-Uchida^{5,7}, Cristian B. Canales-Aguirre^{6,7}, Pablo Orozco-terWengel⁸, José M.
6 Yáñez^{2,7*}

7

8 ¹ Programa de Doctorado en Ciencias Silvoagropecuarias y Veterinarias, Campus Sur, Universidad
9 de Chile. Santa Rosa 11315, La Pintana, Santiago, Chile. CP: 8820808.

10 ² Facultad de Ciencias Veterinarias y Pecuarias, Universidad de Chile, Avenida Santa Rosa 11735,
11 8820808, La Pintana, Santiago, Chile

12 ³ Department of Aquatic Resources, Swedish University of Agricultural Sciences, Drottningholm,
13 Sweden.

14 ⁴ Departamento de Ciencias de la Computación, Universidad de Chile.

15 ⁵ Departamento de Zoología. Facultad de Ciencias Naturales y Oceanográficas. Universidad de
16 Concepción

17 ⁶ Centro i~mar, Universidad de Los Lagos, Camino Chiquihue 6 km, Puerto Montt, Chile.

18 ⁷ Núcleo Milenio de Salmónidos Invasores (INVASAL), Concepción, Chile.

19 ⁸ School of Biosciences, Cardiff University, Cardiff, CF10 3AT

20

21 *jmayanez@uchile.cl +56-2 29785533 (Corresponding Author).

22 **Abstract**

23 Domestication processes and artificial selection are likely to leave signatures that can be detected at a
24 molecular level in farmed rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). These signatures of selection are
25 genomic regions that contain functional genetic variants that may confer higher fitness to their bearers.
26 We genotyped 749 rainbow trout from a commercial population using a rainbow trout Axiom 57K SNP
27 array panel and identified putative genomic regions under selection using the *pcadapt*, Composite
28 Likelihood Ratio (*CLR*) and Integrated Haplotype Score (*iHS*). After applying quality-control pipelines
29 and statistical analyses, we detected 12, 96 and 16 SNPs putatively under selection, associated with 96,
30 781 and 115 candidate genes, respectively. Several of these candidate genes were associated with growth,
31 early development, reproduction, behavior and immune system traits. In addition, some of the SNPs were
32 found in relevant biological regions (O4, O5 and O20) associated to autosomal inversions localized in
33 Omy05 and [Omy020](#). These findings could represent a genome-wide map of selection signatures in
34 farmed rainbow trout and could be important in explaining domestication and selection for genetic traits
35 of commercial interest.

36

37

38 Keywords: *iHS*, *pcadapt*, domestication, SNPs.

39 **1. Introduction**

40

41 The rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss* Walbaum 1792) is native to North America and its geographical
42 distribution ranges from Alaska to Mexico [1,2]. The domestication of this anadromous species began in
43 the 1870s in California [1]. Since then, due to its importance for recreational angling and aquaculture, it
44 has been introduced on all continents except Antarctica. It is one of the main species of fish reared in cold
45 freshwater worldwide, particularly in Europe, North America, and Chile. The species production greatly
46 expanded in the 1950s after the development of pelleted feeds [1]. In the early 1970s, AKVAFORSK Inc.
47 began the first genetic improvement program of *O. mykiss* aimed at increasing body growth rate [3,4].
48 Rainbow trout have reached a high level of domestication (e.g. the entire life cycle is controlled in captivity
49 [5,6]), and there are at least 13 genetic improvement programs [7] including traits such as growth, disease
50 resistance, carcass quality, and age at sexual maturity [1]. The increase in the number of genetic
51 improvement programs for rainbow trout has led to at least 22% of production relying on improved stocks
52 [8]. According to FAO et al. [9], 848.1 thousand tons of rainbow trout were produced in world aquaculture
53 in 2018.

54

55 Domestication and genetic improvement programs have produced populations genetically differentiated
56 from the wild varieties from which they derived [10]. Continuous artificial selection has shaped the
57 domestics' genome leaving signatures of selection that are detectable using molecular techniques [11,12].
58 These candidate regions may be regulated features such as morphology, production performance,
59 reproduction, behavior, adaptation to different environments, and resistance to diseases, among others
60 [13]. Positive selection signatures are genomic regions that contain functional genetic variants that confer
61 higher fitness to their bearers [14], and usually, exhibit (i) increased allele frequencies of favorable

62 adaptive substitutions [15,16], (ii) an increased linkage disequilibrium (LD) that decays with the distance
63 in base pairs from the target of selection [17], and (iii) lower genetic diversity at adjacent sites of a selective
64 sweep than non-selected sites [18]. Vitti et al. [19] divided the methods for detection of selection
65 signatures into three major classes: (a) those that are searching for deviations in allele frequency spectrum
66 (e.g. *Tajima's D*, *Fay & Wu's H*, *CLR*), (b) those based on extended haplotype homozygosity within
67 populations (e.g. *iHS*, *Rsb*, *XP-EHH*), and (c) those based on population differentiation (e.g. F_{ST} -based
68 outlier detection and principal component analysis). However, the ability to identify the target of selection
69 depends on many factors, including but not limited to, the number of populations surveyed, temporal scale
70 of the selective event, strength of selection coefficient and type of selection signature [19,20].
71 Consequently, using more than one method to detect targets of selection is often a good option [21], with
72 *pcadapt* [22], *CLR* [23] and *iHS* [24] being two suitable methods to identify recent positive selection.

73

74 Previous studies in salmonids have associated selection signatures to traits such as migration in brown
75 trout (*Salmo trutta*) [25], reproductive ecotypes (i.e. shore or stream spawning) in sockeye salmon
76 (*Oncorhynchus nerka*) [26], ecotypes with different evolutionary thermal adaptation (i.e. populations from
77 deserts and mountains) in redband trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss gairdneri*) [27], and economically
78 important traits (e.g. growth, early maturation and disease resistance) in Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*)
79 [11,28,29] and coho salmon (*Oncorhynchus kisutch*) [30]. Few studies have addressed signatures of
80 selection in rainbow trout (i.e. [31–33]). Martinez et al. [31], using a set of 110 linked expressed sequence
81 tags (EST) and 188 anonymous microsatellites identified selection signatures associated with egg
82 development, spawning time, and life-history variation. Limborg et al. [32], using a panel of 276 SNPs,
83 identified natural selection signatures between anadromous and resident populations at eight candidate
84 loci associated with the adaptive immune response. Weinstein et al. [33], using 57K SNP identified

85 signatures of selection in wild F1 migratory and resident rainbow trout of Southeast Alaska associated
86 with smoltification. All previously mentioned studies focused only on wild populations, leaving a gap in
87 knowledge for the selection history related to rainbow trout domestication.

88

89 The advances in genomics allowed the development of extensive marker panels for agriculture, livestock
90 and aquaculture species. Palti et al. [34] developed a 57K SNP array with SNP distributed across the
91 rainbow trout genome on a scale of one SNP for every ~48,000 bp. Rainbow trout is an excellent model
92 species to identify selection signatures due to its well recognized recent history of domestication, its
93 intense artificial selection record, and its adaptation to different environments. The aim of this study was
94 to identify selection signatures in the genome of one domestic population of rainbow trout. A total of 749
95 individuals of a farmed population were genotyped with a panel of 57K SNP. Selection signatures were
96 searched using two statistical methods: (i) *pcadapt*, (ii) *CLR* and (iii) *iHS*.

97 **2. Materials and Methods**

98

99 **2.1. Sampling**

100 We used 749 rainbow trout from the breeding population belonging to the 2014 year-class of the EFIGEN
101 S.A. (formerly Aguas Claras S.A.) breeding program (Puerto Montt, Chile). These fish were introduced
102 from Denmark in 1998 to Quetroleufu, Chile, by Aguas Claras S.A. [35–37]. Prior to their introduction,
103 there is no further information about this population. Subsequently, this population was implemented to
104 establish a breeding nucleus that has undergone 6 generations of selection for growth (3 generations),
105 carcass quality, and appearance (2 generations) traits [35–37]. In recent years, this strain has been
106 developed to resistance to infectious pancreatic necrosis virus (IPNV) [35,37], sea lice (*Caligus*
107 *rogercresseyi*) [38], and Salmonid rickettsial syndrome (SRS) [36]. Additionally, this anadromous
108 population is characterized by low mortality and late maturation (3rd year) [39]. Bioethical considerations
109 were taken for animal management following regulations of *Comité de Bioética Animal, Facultad de*
110 *Ciencias Veterinarias y Pecuarias, Universidad de Chile, Chile* (certificate N°17,019-VET-UCH).

111

112 **2.2. Genotyping**

113 Total DNA was obtained from fin-clip samples using the *DNeasy Blood & Tissue* (Qiagen) kit, following
114 the manufacturer's recommendations. Each sample was genotyped with the commercial rainbow trout
115 Affymetrix® Axiom® 57K SNP panel [34]. The SNP quality control was evaluated using Affymetrix's
116 AXIOM Analysis Suite software with the default settings, removing SNPs that did not match high-quality
117 clustering patterns [35,40]. The SNP array coordinates were updated to the latest version of the rainbow
118 trout genome (GCA_002163495.1 Omyk_1.0) by aligning the 200bp probes of each variant to the
119 Omyd_1.0 genome. Only variants aligned to chromosomes were kept. Furthermore, SNPs that did not

120 pass the following quality control filters were removed using VCFtools v.0.1.15 [41]: (i) call rate < 95%,
121 (ii) SNPs deviated from Hardy-Weinberg Equilibrium after Bonferroni correction (HWE, p -value < 1×10^{-6}),
122 and (iii) minor allele frequency (MAF) < 0.05. Additionally, we applied a minimum call rate of 90%
123 for individuals. After quality control filtering, 36,538 SNPs and all individuals were kept for further
124 analyses. Finally, we imputed the missing genotypes and phased the haplotypes with Beagle v.3 [42] using
125 default parameters.

126

127 ***2.3. Genetic variation and population structure***

128 For the estimation of patterns of genetic variation and population structure, we further removed SNPs that
129 presented correlations between their allele frequencies larger than the basal level of LD found in this
130 population (0.125; described below). Our SNP set was filtered for linkage disequilibrium (LD) using
131 PLINK v1.09 [43] with the option *-indep-pairwise* and using windows of 50 consecutive SNPs, sliding
132 10 SNPs at the time and removing one SNP from each pair when the Pearson's correlation coefficient r^2
133 was 0.125 or higher. Summary statistics of genetic diversity, such as the observed and expected
134 heterozygosity (H_O and H_E) and inbreeding coefficient (F_{IS}), were calculated using PLINK v1.09. To
135 examine the genetic structure, we used a principal component analysis (PCA; calculated in PLINK v1.09
136 and visualized in R). Finally, we implemented PLINK v1.09 to characterize the pairwise linkage
137 disequilibrium (LD) as Pearson's squared correlation coefficient (r^2), where SNP pairs were located into
138 100 bins to calculate the mean values of r^2 for each bin. Additionally, we estimated the historical effective
139 population size (N_e) across generations using SNePv1.1 [44] and an average generation length of three
140 years [45].

141

142 ***2.4. Signatures of selection***

143 We used three methods to detect signatures of selection. The first method based on principal component
144 analysis was conducted with the *pcadapt* package v4.3.3 [22]. This method detects outlier markers based
145 on Principal Component Analysis (PCA) while accounting for population structure [22]. This method is
146 robust to admixture and does not assume prior knowledge of population structure [46]. The test statistic
147 used for this method is the Mahalanobis distance (D) where a vector of the *z*-scores is derived for
148 regressing each SNPs with K principal components [22]. To choose the K number of the principal
149 components, we applied Cattell's rule [47]. The *p*-values were obtained from transforming Mahalanobis
150 distance (D) based on the chi-square distribution [47]. To identify the SNPs candidates for selection, we
151 applied the approach of Storey and Tibshirani [48] based on a [False Discovery Rate \(FDR\) at 0.05](#).

152

153 The second method was the Composite Likelihood Ratio (*CLR*) analysis using the SweeD v3.3.2 software
154 [23]. This intra-population method is based on the skewness in the site-frequency spectrum of the alleles
155 across multiple loci along each chromosome to detect selective scan [49]. *CLR* estimates the ratio of the
156 likelihood of a selective sweep at a given position to the likelihood of a null model [30]. We estimate the
157 *CLR* in each chromosome using the grid size set to 100kb.

158

159 The third method was the standardized Integrated Haplotype Score (*iHS*) [24], which is included in the [R](#)
160 [package REHH v3.1.2](#) [50]. This method is based on extended haplotype homozygosity (*EHH*) and
161 corresponds to the probability that two randomly chosen chromosomes carrying the core haplotype are
162 identical by descent [50,51]. The *iHS* compares the *EHH* between alleles within the same population [51].
163 This method requires the information of ancestral allele identification for each SNP. We estimated the
164 ancestral and derived alleles aligning the reference genome of rainbow trout against the *Salmo salar*
165 (GCA_000233375.4) with BLAST using probes of our variants and then applying an in-house script to

166 obtain the ancestral and derived alleles. For SNPs that could not be obtained, the ancestral allele was
167 inferred as the highest allele frequency in the total dataset, as suggested in other studies [52,53].

168

169 Identifying the causal variants of selection is laborious as frequently the markers identified to be under
170 selection are not obvious functional elements (e.g. genes). Therefore, in such cases, it is necessary to look
171 for neighbouring functional elements to the selection target that could explain the signature of selection
172 [16]. Candidate regions for selection were defined as those genomic positions containing SNPs with values
173 of *pcadapt* with an expected FDR $\alpha = 0.05$, scores of *CLR* corresponding to the 99.5th percentile to the
174 distribution and values of *iHS* in the top 0.05% of the distribution. Based on the estimated LD decay in
175 this population, we used a range of up to 250Kb on each side of the candidate SNP to identify candidate
176 genes under selection. The genes intersecting the candidate regions detected by *pcadapt*, *CLR* and *iHS*
177 approaches were considered putative candidates for selection and detected using BEDTools [54].

178

179 **3. Results**

180

181 **3.1. Genetic variation and population structure**

182 We estimated observed and expected heterozygosities of 0.459 and 0.447 and an inbreeding coefficient of
183 -0.026, from a subset of 2,426 SNPs, after pruning SNPs with a LD correlation coefficient (r^2) of 0.125.

184 The genetic structure showed one major clusters based on the principal component analysis (PCA) (Figure
185 1), where the first two eigenvectors explained 10.82% and 8.86% of the variability, respectively.

186

187 The pattern of LD (Figure 2a) showed a quick decay of LD at small distances (less than 25,000 base pairs).
188 Beyond that point ($r^2 \sim 0.22$), a steady decrease in LD is observed until reaching a value of ~ 0.125 , which
189 is roughly stable at large genomic distance scales. The average LD (r^2) values in this population was
190 0.1457. The pattern of LD decay within chromosomes was very similar to the overall linkage decay curve,
191 except for chromosomes 5 and 20 that present comparatively higher LD and which also remains high at
192 longer genomic distances, in accordance with the presence of the double inversions in chromosome 5
193 (21.99 and 32.83Mb) and 20 (14Mb) as previously described [55] (Supplementary Figure S1,
194 Supplementary Table S1, Supplementary Material). This population shows a continuously decreasing N_e
195 trend from 1,444 to 86 over the last 1,500 years (Figure 2b).

196

197 **3.2. Signatures of selection**

198

199 Using 36,538 SNPs, we identified several regions harboring evidence of selection signatures by three
200 methods. Besides, we found three relevant regions previously, referred to as regions O4, O5, and O20,
201 that were detected with the *pcadapt*, *CLR*, and *iHS* methods, respectively (Figure 3, Figure 4 and Figure

202 5). Candidate genes related to domestication processes were further labeled as: (G) growth, (E) early
203 development, (R) reproduction, (B) behavior, (I) immune system, and (A) adaptation to culture
204 environment ([Supplementary Table S2, Supplementary Material](#)).

205

206 The *pcadapt* approach identified 12 candidate after FDR adjustment at 0.05%. The 12 SNPs are associated
207 with 96 genes localized in [Omy04](#) (11 SNPs) and [Omy27](#) (1 SNP) ([Figure 3, Supplementary Table S3,](#)
208 [Supplementary Material](#)). The candidate region in Omy04, which we call region O4, consists of two
209 clusters of selection targets, with the first cluster including 9 SNPs with an average distance of ~651Kb,
210 while the second cluster includes only two SNPs separated by ~834Kb. In the Omy27, we found only one
211 SNP that does not appear to have been under selection. Due to the cluster of SNPs under selection in
212 [Omy04](#) (an indicative of a reliable signature of selection) we focused on the markers on that chromosome
213 to search for genes associated with selection signatures. Among these we found genes associated with
214 growth (*Sh3rf1, Prkaa2, Dab1, Plpp3, Dhcr24, Clqtnf7, Slain2, Sgcb, Dse, Col10a1, and Sox8*),
215 reproduction (*Psm5, Cpeb2, Spata18, Ube2j1, Ufl1, Hs3st5, Rasd1, Zar1, and Rpl5*), immune system
216 function (*Trim25, Rgs1, Bach2, Tlr13, Trim65, Trim21, Trim39, and Palld*), early development (*Gsn,*
217 *Prdm5, Rgs2, Uchl5 and Pbx1*) and environmental adaptation (*Gadd45a*) in [Omy04](#). Details of the
218 candidate genes related to domestication are shown in [Supplementary Table S2, Supplementary Material](#).

219

220 The *CLR* methods reveal 96 SNPs over the threshold and associated to 781 genes distributed along the
221 genome, excluding chromosomes Omy13, Omy16, Omy21, and Omy23 ([Figure 4, Supplementary Table](#)
222 [S4, Supplementary Material](#)). We found a second relevant peak region, called region O5 ([Figure 4B](#)),
223 composed of seventeen candidate SNPs, of which a portion of markers overlapped with two adjacent
224 inversions of 22.83 and 32.94 Mb on Omy05 [55]. In O5 region and the other candidate regions, we

225 found several genes previously linked to growth (*Zc3h3*, *Cyld*, *Smad7*, *Arl15*, *Mrap2*, *Col2a1*, *Atp2a1*,
226 *Itga9*, and *Pax9*), reproduction (*Ptges*, *Miga2*, *Kif3b*, and *Mapk10*), immune system (*Calmodulin*, *Dolpp1*,
227 *Gpx7* and *Adcyap1r1*), early development (*Surf1*, *Rpl7a* and *Skiv2l2*), behavior (*Dnmt3a*, and *Dpysl5*) and
228 adaptation to culture environment (*Sema7a* and *Mafa*). Besides, several genes were detected previously
229 in studies of salmonids species (*Isg20l2*, *Vcp*, *Purb*, *Col9a2*, *Pax9*, *Vash1*, *Pomt2*, *Iah1*, *Itgb1bp1*, *Acyp2*,
230 *Ube2g1*, *Foxn3*, *Purb*, *Tbc1d20*, *Cyld*, *Atp2a1*, *Cyld*, and *Mrap2*). Details of the candidate genes related
231 to domestication are shown in [Supplementary Table S2, Supplementary Material](#).

232

233 The *iHS* analysis revealed 16 SNPs over the 0.05% top values associated with 115 genes localized in the
234 chromosomes 20 (**Omy20**) ([Figure 5, Supplementary Table S5, Supplementary Material](#)), called region
235 O20. The average distance between SNPs in the candidate region **O20** corresponds to ~547Kb, which
236 overlapped with an inversion on Omy20 [55]. We found several genes related to growth (*Myof*, *Gdf2*,
237 *Gdf10*, and *Ankrd1*), reproduction (*Htr7*, *Rbp4*, and *Dkk1*), immune system function (*Ch25h* and *Pten*),
238 and early development (*Prkg1*, *P4ha1*, *Pcdh15*, *Noc3l*, *Plce1*, and *Cep55*). Details of the functions of
239 candidate genes associated with domestication are shown in [Supplementary Table S2, Supplementary](#)
240 [Material](#).

241

242 **4. Discussion**

243

244 **4.1. Genetic variation and population structure**

245 In this study, we used a 57K SNP panel to analyze the diversity and genetic structure in one domestic
246 population of rainbow trout to detect selection signatures. The genetic diversity found in this farmed
247 population ($H_O/H_E= 0.459/0.447$) was higher than the levels found in six French domestic strains of
248 rainbow trout, with values of H_O and H_E ranging from 0.36-0.38 and 0.35-0.37, respectively [56]. The
249 genetic diversity values are higher than other domestic salmonids such as Atlantic salmon ($H_O=0.27-0.41$;
250 $H_E=0.24-0.41$) [29,57] and coho salmon ($H_O=0.37-0.39$; $H_E=0.36-0.39$) [30]. Similarly, the
251 heterozygosities found here were higher than those obtained by Weinstein et al. [33] from two wild
252 experimental crosses (F1) of migratory and resident rainbow trout of Southeast Alaska also genotyped
253 with the 57K SNP array [34], $H_O= 0.15-0.18$ and $H_E= 0.14-0.17$, respectively. Gross et al. [58] found
254 higher genetic diversity in domestic rather than wild rainbow trout populations using ten microsatellites.
255 In principle, it is expected that domestic populations that are strongly selected for production traits and
256 are isolated from other populations, should have reduced levels of genetic diversity [59]. Conversely,
257 natural populations should present higher genetic diversity levels, at least in their ancestral distribution
258 range [60]. Therefore, it is possible that the selection has not resulted in a significant decline of diversity
259 despite the continuous decline of N_e , which may be because of a possible hybrid background of this
260 population.

261

262 The LD of this domestic population decays relatively rapidly, with LD decreasing by ~50% within the
263 first 100Kb ($r^2=0.146$), which is in line with LD decay patterns previously described for French rainbow
264 trout lines ($r^2=0.23$) [56] and other domestic salmonids (Atlantic salmon [61] and coho salmon [30]). The

265 quick decay of LD is consistent with a historical larger effective population size, which can be observed
266 in our results (i.e. ~1,444). However, this N_e has been characterized by a continuous decline over the last
267 1,500 years until reaching the current strain's N_e of 86. These results are consistent with other studies in
268 rainbow trout [56] and Atlantic salmon [61], that show the N_e has been eroded as in most domestic species
269 in their recent history [62]. The minimal N_e to reduce inbreeding to not more than 1% per generation, and
270 thereby maintaining appropriate levels of genetic variation (both neutral and adaptive) in a population on
271 the long term should be at least 50 [63]. While the current N_e of this population is higher than the minimum
272 recommended, it is important to continue to monitor the genetic variation levels, as further selection and
273 genetic improvement may play an important role in affecting levels [56,62,64]. Furthermore, maintaining
274 the current N_e is also necessary to secure a viable genetic background for the future, in line with the
275 Convention on Biological Diversity Aichi target 13 that requires the maintenance of genetic variation and
276 stopping its loss and erosion for all domestic species [65].

277

278 ***4.2. Signatures of selection***

279

280 In this study, we applied three different tests to identify selection signatures and studied the effect of
281 domestication and genetic improvement on this rainbow trout population's genome. These methods detect
282 different regions of positive selection in rainbow trout. *CLR* analysis detected the most candidates regions
283 followed by *iHS* and *pcadapt* methods. No overlap was observed among the three methods; yet, *CLR* and
284 *iHS* methods recorded overlapping regions on Omy20, spanning a total of 2.8 Mb that contains 10 shared
285 genes. These patterns of discrepancies between different methods have been observed in previous studies
286 in salmonid species [30]. Besides, we found several genes detected previously in studies about selection
287 signatures in different species, including Atlantic salmon (domestic population: *Isg20l2*, *Vcp*, *Col9a2*,

288 *Pax9, Vash1, Pomi2, Iah1, Itgb1bp1, Mocs, Trim39* [29]; *Acyp2, Ube2gl, Psmb5, Cpeb2, Palld, Dhcr24,*
289 *Clqtnf7, Slain2, Sgcb, Prdm5* [57]; *Foxn3* [66]; wild population: *Purb and Fbxl5* [67]; domestic-wild
290 populations: *Zip1* [11]); Coho salmon: *Tbc1d20* [30]); *Oreochromis niloticus* (*Trim16* [68]); livestock
291 species (chicken, *Med22* [69], *Ahcyll* [70]; llama and alpaca, *Pmd8* and *Antxr2* [71]; cattle, *Strip1* [72],
292 *Slc6a117, Hs3st5, Pbx1* [73], *Tgfbi* [74] and *Dym* [75]) and humans (*Itga9* [76]).

293

294 We found three relevant segments on the genome of functional interest, O4, O5, and O20, localized on
295 the Omy04, Omy05, and Omy20, respectively. In region O4, we found 9 candidate SNPs in Omy04, which
296 overlapped with a paralogous region of the Omy05, which contain two inversions (Figure 3B, dashed red
297 line corresponds to the paralogous regions shared by both chromosomes; Omy05: 58,931,000-86,873,922
298 - Omy04: 13,224,448-40,450,364 [55]). In region O5, we found seventeen candidate SNPs, of which a
299 portion of them (7 SNPs) intersected with two adjacent inversions of 22.83 and 32.94 Mb localized on
300 Omy05 [55] (Figure 4B, dashed blue line corresponds to the probable localization of the two chromosomal
301 inversions). We also identified region O20 in Omy20, which contains 16 SNPs putatively under selection,
302 which overlap with a smaller inversion of 14 Mb that contains multiple rearrangements [55] (Figure 5B,
303 dashed blue line corresponds to the probable localization of the chromosomal inversion).

304

305 Inversion regions are relevant structural variants and play a major role in local adaptation and
306 diversification [77]. They protect inverted sequences from recombination during meiosis, enabling
307 favorable alleles to be maintained over generations by balancing selection [77]. Recently, Pearse et al.
308 [55] described two inversions on Omy05 and Omy20 on Rainbow trout's genome. Omy05 is composed of
309 two adjacent inversions spanning ~55Mb [55], which contains a supergene that mediates sexual conflict
310 over migratory tendencies via sex-dependent dominance reversal. They found genes associated with key

311 photosensory, circadian rhythm/entrainment, adiposity, and sex-specific effect (gonad/sex steroid). The
312 Omy20 contains a multiple small inversion without major description. Homeologous regions for this
313 inversion (Omy05) in Omy01 and Omy12 have previously been associated with migratory phenotypes in
314 northern populations [78,79]. In this study, based on homologous regions between Omy4 and Omy5, we
315 found genes with putative functions associated with domestication that we explain below (*Dab1*, *Prkaa2*,
316 *Plpp3*, *Psmb5*, *Prdm5*, *Sh3rf1*, *Palld*, *Sgcb*, *Gsn*, *Gadd45a*). Here we found that O4, O5, and O20 regions
317 and the other regions harbor candidate genes linked to growth, early development, reproduction, immune
318 system, behavior, and adaptation to the environment ([Supplementary Table S2](#), [Supplementary Material](#)).
319 These traits are typically modified in domestic species since they have been direct targets of artificial
320 selection and have been under the effect of inadvertent selection.

321

322 **Growth** has been the principal target in genetic improvement programs in rainbow trout [80]. The
323 population used in this study has been improved for growth for at least three generations. Therefore,
324 findings of genomic regions under selection harbouring genes related to growth were expected. As
325 described in [Supplementary Table S2](#), several genes, including *Cyld*, *Smad7*, *Atp2a1*, *Dab1*, *Prkaa2*,
326 *Plpp3*, *Mrap2*, *Col2a1*, *Col10a1*, *Ankrd1*, *Myof*, and *Sox8* have been previously associated with growth-
327 related traits in some teleost fishes, such as *O. mykiss*, *Salmo salar*, *Danio rerio*, *Sparus aurata* and
328 *Lepisosteus oculatus* [80–87]. In rainbow trout, we found genes putative involve on body weight (*Cyld*
329 [85], *Dab1*, *Prkaa2*, *Plpp3* [88]), promyogenic role (*Smad7* [86]) and growth trait (*Atp2a1* [80]). In
330 Atlantic salmon, we found genes associated to body weight and jaw deformity (*Mrap2* [81] and
331 *Col2a1*[87]). In addition, we also detected nine genes which have been related to growth in studies
332 performed in livestock species, including chicken (*Dhcr24* [89]; *Slain2* and *Sgcb* [91]), sheep (*Clqtnf7*
333 [92]), pigs (*Arl15* [93]) and cattle (*Gdf10* [94] and *Zn3h3* [95]). We also identified ten candidate genes

334 linked with early development in other teleost fish. This trait may influence the growth because the
335 muscles first arise in early embryonic life of teleost fish, unlike other amniotes [96].

336

337 In fish farming, maturation is often delayed by exposing fish to different light regimes to those in natural
338 conditions, affecting the perception of seasonality and circannual rhythms [97]. Additionally, the
339 population used in the present study has been selected for anadromous maturity at three years. In this
340 regard, we expect that genes related to reproductive traits may show evidence of selection. We found
341 sixteen genes involved in **reproduction** under positive selection in this population, of which seven genes
342 (*Rbp4*, *Mapk10*, *Zar1*, *Rpl5*, *Dkk1*, *Ptges* and *Kif3b*) are specifically associated with reproduction in
343 teleost fish. The *Rbp4* gene was related to retinoid metabolism in the rainbow trout ovarian follicle, and
344 is expressed in theca and granulosa cells surrounding the oocytes in trout ovaries [98]. The *Mapk10* gene
345 was localized previously in an important chromosomal inversion in Omy05, and it was implicated with
346 circadian rhythm and migratory phenotypes in rainbow trout [55]. *Zar1* gene is a maternal-effect gene
347 crucial for the oocyte-to-embryo turn [99]. In rainbow trout, it might play a role in oocyte/embryo
348 development [100], while in zebrafish, its loss causes early oogenesis arrest and female-to-male sex
349 reversal [101]. *Ptges* gene was linked with gonad differentiation in zebrafish [102]. *Rpl5* gene seems to
350 play a crucial role in the development of ovaries and oogenesis in Nile tilapia [103]. In primitive fish
351 species, the high expression of *Dkk1* has been associated with the gonadal development of sturgeons
352 (*Acipenser ruthenus* [104] and *Scaphirhynchus platorynchus* [105]). In contrast, in zebrafish, the increased
353 expression of *Dkk1* can result in male-biased sex ratios [106]. We also found other groups of candidate
354 genes previously associated with reproduction in other mammals (cattle, *Psm5* [90,107] and *Ufl1* [108];
355 sheep *Hs3st5* [109]; mouse, *Spata18* [110], *Ube2j1* [111] and *Miga2* [112]) and birds (chicken, *Rasdl*
356 [113]; goose, *Htr7* [114]).

357

358 It is well known that host-pathogen interactions lead to strong selection in the genome of host species
359 [115–117]. In this study, we found several genes that are involved in the immune system and specifically
360 with host-pathogen interaction in a cultured environment. For example, in *Salmo salar*, we found several
361 genes previously associated with response against Amoebic Gill Disease (*Trim39* [118]), hematopoietic
362 necrosis (IHN) virus (*Pten* [119]), sea lice (*Lepeophtheirus salmonis*) (*Calmodulin* [122]) and parasite-
363 driven selection (*Purb* and *Fbxl5* [67]). In Coho salmon, the *Sh3rf1* gene was associated with disease
364 resistance against *Piscirickettsia salmonis* [123]. *Pald* gene is related to the molecular mechanism against
365 Koi herpesvirus resistance (KHVR) in *Cyprinus carpio* [124]. Finally, *Tlr13* was involved in the immune
366 response against bacteria and viruses in *Acipenser dabryanus* [125]. We suggest that these genes may be
367 involved in traits related to response to diseases in rainbow trout as part of the adaptation to continuous
368 outbreaks of infectious and parasitic diseases in farming conditions. These results may be relevant because
369 the success and sustainability of salmonid aquaculture depend on the control of diseases [126].
370 Development of more resistant fish strains has been one of the primary purposes of research and
371 development in genetic improvement programs of salmonids in Chile and worldwide [127]. Finally, we
372 detected genes associated with the adaptation to the culture environment (*Gadd45a*) and behavior (*Dpysl5*)
373 in rainbow trout. The *Gadd45a* gene is a putative biomarker for cold shock [128] and water quality stress
374 [129]. *Dpysl5* gene has been associated with intergenerational impacts on offspring behavior behind
375 thermal maternal stress [130].

376

377 **Conclusion**

378 Here we present a genome-wide analysis of the genetic diversity of a Chilean domestic population of
379 steelhead rainbow trout *Oncorhynchus mykiss*. We identified that this lineage presented a historically large

380 effective population size, which is consistent with a relatively **high level of genetic variation** and low range
381 of high linkage disequilibrium. However, the current effective population size has reduced to ~86, which
382 in within the range of values recommended by FAO to minimize inbreeding and contribute to the
383 maintenance of the current genetic diversity in captive populations. We also found evidence for selection
384 signatures across the genome of this population. **Part of these regions are confined to inversion**
385 **polymorphisms, facilitating selection to occur within these regions and safekeeping of beneficial alleles**
386 **from the rest of the recombination landscape across the genome and their loss through other selective**
387 **processes or genetic drift.** Within these inversions and in the rest of the candidates regions detected across
388 the genome of rainbow trout, we found genes mainly associated with growth, reproduction, immune
389 system, behavior and early development; traits which are related to domestication and artificial selection
390 in this species. Lastly, the results presented here provide a background of standing genetic variation and
391 adaptive signals in a farmed rainbow trout population, which provides further knowledge on the effects of
392 domestication and intense directional selection in salmonids. In further research, we suggest including
393 ancestral wild populations of rainbow trout, as a pairwise comparison with their genetic variation may
394 help further elucidating the target of selection in the domestic strains including details such as which
395 allele was selected for in the domestic lineages. Such analysis would also increase the knowledge about
396 the effect of domestication by exploring the nonparallel and parallel genomic footprints between
397 wild/domestic populations.

398

399 **Ethics approval and consent to participate**

400 Nile tilapia sampling procedures were approved by the Comité de Bioética Animal from the Facultad de
401 Ciencias Veterinarias y Pecuarias, Universidad de Chile (certificate N°17,019-VET-UCH).

402

403 **Consent for publication**

404 Not applicable.

405

406 **Availability of data and material**

407 Genotypes from this article have been deposited on FigShare:
408 <https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.7725668.v1>.

409

410 **Conflict of Interest Statement**

411 The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial
412 relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

413

414 **Funding**

415 MIC, CC-A, DG-U and JMY received funding from Núcleo Milenio INVASAL from Chile's scientific
416 program Iniciativa Científica Milenio at Ministerio de Economía, Fomento & Turismo.

417

418 **Authors' contributions**

419 MIC performed the analysis and wrote the initial version of the manuscript. MEL, DD contributed with
420 analysis, discussion, and writing. GC and RM contributed with data recopilation on functional

421 characterization. JMY, MIC, and MEL conceived, designed the study. MIC, MEL, DGU, CC, POTW, and
422 JMY contributed to discussion and writing. All authors have reviewed and approved the manuscript.

423

424 **Acknowledgments**

425 The authors are grateful to EFFIGEN S.A. (Puerto Montt, Chile) for providing the samples. This study
426 has been partially funded by a CORFO grant (12PIE-17669), Government of Chile. Doctoral fellowship
427 CONICYT (21171369). The publication of this study was partially funded by the postgraduate programme
428 Doctorado en Ciencias Silvoagropecuarias y Veterinarias from the Universidad de Chile.

429

430 **Appendix A.**

431 **Supplementary Material**

432 Table S1. Values of LD decay in each chromosome.

433 Table S2. Candidate genes under selection on rainbow trout detected by *iHS*, *pcadapt* and *CLR* methods.

434 Table S3. List of all genes detected by *pcadapt* method.

435 Table S4. List of all genes detected by *CLR* method.

436 Table S5. List of all genes detected by *iHS* method.

437

438 FigureS1. LD decay in each chromosome.

439

440 **References**

- 441 [1] G. Fornshell, Rainbow Trout — Challenges and Solutions, *Rev. Fish. Sci.* 10 (2002) 545–557.
442 <https://doi.org/10.1080/20026491051785>.
- 443 [2] D. Pulcini, P.A. Wheeler, S. Cataudella, T. Russo, G.H. Thorgaard, Domestication shapes
444 morphology in rainbow trout *Oncorhynchus mykiss.*, *J. Fish Biol.* 82 (2013) 390–407.
445 <https://doi.org/10.1111/jfb.12002>.
- 446 [3] T. Gjedrem, The first family-based breeding program in aquaculture, *Rev. Aquac.* 2 (2010) 2–15.
447 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1753-5131.2010.01011.x>.
- 448 [4] T. Gjedrem, Genetic improvement for the development of efficient global aquaculture: A personal
449 opinion review, *Aquaculture.* 344–349 (2012) 12–22.
450 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2012.03.003>.
- 451 [5] F. Teletchea, *Fish Domestication: An Overview*, 2018. <https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.79628>.
- 452 [6] F. Teletchea, P. Fontaine, Levels of domestication in fish: implications for the sustainable future
453 of aquaculture, *Fish Fish.* 15 (2014) 181–195. <https://doi.org/10.1111/faf.12006>.
- 454 [7] M. Rye, B. Gjerde, T. Gjedrem, Genetic Improvement Programs For Aquaculture Species In
455 Developed Countries, in: 9th World Congr. Genet. Appl. to Livest. Prod. Liepzing, Ger., 2010.
- 456 [8] T. Gjedrem, M. Baranski, *Selective Breeding in Aquaculture: An introduction.*, 2009.
457 <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-90-481-2773-3>.
- 458 [9] FAO, *The state of World fisheries and aquaculture. Sustainability in action.* Rome., 2020.
459 <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.4060/ca9229en>.
- 460 [10] I. Solar, Use and exchange of salmonid genetic resources relevant for food and aquaculture, *Rev.*
461 *Aquac.* 1 (2009) 174–196. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1753-5131.2009.01013.x>.
- 462 [11] L. Liu, K.P. Ang, J.A.K. Elliott, M.P. Kent, S. Lien, D. MacDonald, A genome scan for selection
463 signatures comparing farmed Atlantic salmon with two wild populations: Testing colocalization
464 among outlier markers, candidate genes, and quantitative trait loci for production traits, *Evol.*
465 *Appl.* 10 (2017) 276–296. <https://doi.org/10.1111/eva.12450>.
- 466 [12] M.E. López, R. Neira, J.M. Yáñez, Applications in the search for genomic selection signatures in
467 fish, *Front. Genet.* 14 (2015) 458. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2014.00458>.
- 468 [13] K.A. Saravanan, M. Panigrahi, H. Kumar, B. Bhushan, T. Dutt, B.P. Mishra, Selection signatures
469 in livestock genome: A review of concepts, approaches and applications, *Livest. Sci.* 241 (2020)
470 104257. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.livsci.2020.104257>.
- 471 [14] S. Qanbari, T.M. Strom, G. Haberer, S. Weigend, A.A. Gheyas, F. Turner, D.W. Burt, R.
472 Preisinger, D. Gianola, H. Simianer, A High Resolution Genome-Wide Scan for Significant
473 Selective Sweeps : An Application to Pooled Sequence Data in Laying Chickens, *PLoS One.* 7

- 474 (2012) e49525. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0049525>.
- 475 [15] B. Fan, Z.Q. Du, D.M. Gorbach, M.F. Rothschild, Development and application of high-density
476 SNP arrays in genomic studies of domestic animals, *Asian-Australasian J. Anim. Sci.* 23 (2010)
477 833–847. <https://doi.org/10.5713/ajas.2010.r.03>.
- 478 [16] K.E. Johnson, B.F. Voight, Patterns of shared signatures of recent positive selection across human
479 populations, *Nat. Ecol. Evol.* 2 (2018) 713–720. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-018-0478-6>.
- 480 [17] J.K. Pritchard, M. Przeworski, Linkage Disequilibrium in Humans: Models and Data, *Am. J.*
481 *Hum. Genet.* 69 (2001) 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1086/321275>.
- 482 [18] B.T. Moyers, P.L. Morrell, J.K. McKay, Genetic costs of domestication and improvement, *J.*
483 *Hered.* 109 (2018) 103–116. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jhered/esx069>.
- 484 [19] J.J. Vitti, S.R. Grossman, P.C. Sabeti, Detecting Natural Selection in Genomic Data, *Annu. Rev.*
485 *Genet.* 47 (2013) 97–120. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-genet-111212-133526>.
- 486 [20] P. Hohenlohe, P. Phillips, W. Cresko, Using population genomics to detect selection in natural
487 populations: key concepts and methodological considerations, *Int. J. Plant Sci.* 171 (2010) 1059–
488 1071. <https://doi.org/doi:10.1086/656306>.
- 489 [21] M. Chen, J. Wang, Y. Wang, Y. Wu, J. Fu, J. Liu, Genome-wide detection of selection signatures
490 in Chinese indigenous Laiwu pigs revealed candidate genes regulating fat deposition in muscle,
491 *BMC Genet.* 19 (2018) 31. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12863-018-0622-y>.
- 492 [22] K. Luu, E. Bazin, M. Blum, pcadapt : an R package to perform genome scans for selection based
493 on principal component analysis, *Mol. Ecol. Resour.* 17 (2017) 67–77.
494 <https://doi.org/10.1111/1755-0998.12592>.
- 495 [23] P. Pavlidis, D. Živković, A. Stamatakis, N. Alachiotis, SweeD: Likelihood-based detection of
496 selective sweeps in thousands of genomes, *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 30 (2013) 2224–2234.
497 <https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/mst112>.
- 498 [24] B.F. Voight, S. Kudravalli, X. Wen, J.K. Pritchard, A map of recent positive selection in the
499 human genome, *PLoS Biol.* 4 (2006) e72. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.0040072>.
- 500 [25] A. Lemopoulos, S. Uusi-Heikkilä, A. Huusko, A. Vasemägi, A. Vainikka, Comparison of
501 Migratory and Resident Populations of Brown Trout Reveals Candidate Genes for Migration
502 Tendency, *Genome Biol. Evol.* 10 (2018) 1493–1503. <https://doi.org/10.1093/gbe/evy102>.
- 503 [26] A.J. Veale, M.A. Russello, An ancient selective sweep linked to reproductive life history
504 evolution in sockeye salmon, *Sci. Rep.* 7 (2017) 1747. [https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-01890-](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-01890-2)
505 [2](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-01890-2).
- 506 [27] Z. Chen, A.P. Farrell, A. Matala, N. Hoffman, S.R. Narum, Physiological and genomic signatures
507 of evolutionary thermal adaptation in redband trout from extreme climates, *Evol. Appl.* 11 (2018)
508 1686–1699. <https://doi.org/10.1111/eva.12672>.

- 509 [28] A.P. Gutierrez, J.M. Yáñez, W.S. Davidson, Evidence of recent signatures of selection during
510 domestication in an Atlantic salmon population, *Mar. Genomics*. 26 (2016) 41–50.
511 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.margen.2015.12.007>.
- 512 [29] M. Lopez, L. Benestan, C. Perrier, J. Gilbey, A. Di Genova, A. Maass, J.P. Lhorente, K. Correa,
513 R. Neira, L. Bernatchez, Comparing genomic signatures of domestication in two Atlantic salmon
514 (*Salmo salar* L.) populations with different geographical origins, *Evol. Appl.* 12 (2018) 137–156.
515 <https://doi.org/10.1111/eva.12689>.
- 516 [30] M. López, M. Cádiz, E. Rondeau, B. Koop, J.M. Yáñez, Detection of selection signatures in
517 farmed coho salmon (*Oncorhynchus kisutch*) using dense genome-wide information, *BioRxiv*.
518 (2020). <https://doi.org/doi:https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.07.22.215988> .
- 519 [31] A. Martinez, J.C. Garza, D.E. Pearse, A microsatellite genome screen identifies chromosomal
520 regions under differential selection in steelhead and rainbow trout, *Trans. Am. Fish. Soc.* 140
521 (2011) 829–842. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00028487.2011.588094>.
- 522 [32] M.T. Limborg, S.M. Blankenship, S.F. Young, F.M. Utter, L.W. Seeb, M.H.H. Hansen, J.E. Seeb,
523 Signatures of natural selection among lineages and habitats in *Oncorhynchus mykiss*., *Ecol. Evol.*
524 2 (2012) 1–18. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.59>.
- 525 [33] S.Y. Weinstein, F.P. Thrower, K.M. Nichols, M.C. Hale, A large-scale chromosomal inversion is
526 not associated with life history development in rainbow trout from Southeast Alaska, *PLoS One*.
527 14 (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0223018>.
- 528 [34] Y. Palti, G. Gao, S. Liu, M.P. Kent, S. Lien, M.R. Miller, C.E. Rexroad, T. Moen, The
529 development and characterization of a 57K single nucleotide polymorphism array for rainbow
530 trout, *Mol. Ecol. Resour.* 15 (2015) 662–672. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1755-0998.12337>.
- 531 [35] G.M. Yoshida, R. Carvalheiro, F.H. Rodríguez, J.P. Lhorente, J. Yáñez, Genomics Single-step
532 genomic evaluation improves accuracy of breeding value predictions for resistance to infectious
533 pancreatic necrosis virus in rainbow trout, *Genomics*. 111 (2019) 127–132.
534 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ygeno.2018.01.008>.
- 535 [36] G. Yoshida, R. Bangera, R. Carvalheiro, K. Correa, R. Figueroa, J.P. Lhorente, J.M. Yáñez,
536 Genomic Prediction Accuracy for Resistance Against *Piscirickettsia salmonis* in Farmed Rainbow
537 Trout, *G3 Genes, Genomes, Genet.* 8 (2018) 719–726. <https://doi.org/10.1534/g3.117.300499>.
- 538 [37] R. Flores-Mara, F.H. Rodríguez, R. Bangera, J.P. Lhorente, R. Neira, S. Newman, J.M. Yáñez,
539 Resistance against infectious pancreatic necrosis exhibits significant genetic variation and is not
540 genetically correlated with harvest weight in rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*), *Aquaculture*.
541 479 (2017) 155–160. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2017.05.042>.
- 542 [38] L.N. Bassini, J.P. Lhorente, M. Oyarzún, R. Bangera, J.M. Yáñez, R. Neira, Genetic parameters
543 for *Piscirickettsia salmonis* resistance , sea lice (*Caligus rogercresseyi*) susceptibility and harvest
544 weight in rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*), *Aquaculture*. 510 (2019) 276–282.
545 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2019.05.008>.

- 546 [39] N. Colihueque, P. Iturra, F. Estay, N.F. Díaz, Diploid chromosome number variations and sex
547 chromosome polymorphism in five cultured strains of rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*),
548 *Aquaculture*. 198 (2001) 63–77. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0044-8486\(00\)00581-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0044-8486(00)00581-0).
- 549 [40] F.H. Rodríguez, R. Flores-Mara, G.M. Yoshida, A. Barría, A.M. Jedlicki, J.P. Lhorente, F. Reyes-
550 López, J.M. Yáñez, Genome-wide Association Analysis for resistance to infectious pancreatic
551 necrosis virus identifies candidate genes involved in viral replication and immune response in
552 rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*), *G3 Genes, Genomes, Genet.* 9 (2019) 2897–2904.
553 <https://doi.org/10.1534/g3.119.400463>.
- 554 [41] P. Danecek, A. Auton, G. Abecasis, C.A. Albers, E. Banks, M.A. Depristo, R.E. Handsaker, G.
555 Lunter, G.T. Marth, S.T. Sherry, G. Mcvean, R. Durbin, The variant call format and VCFtools,
556 *Bioinformatics*. 27 (2011) 2156–2158. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btr330>.
- 557 [42] S. Browning, B. Browning, Rapid and Accurate Haplotype Phasing and Missing-Data Inference
558 for Whole-Genome Association Studies By Use of Localized Haplotype Clustering, *Am. J. Hum.*
559 *Genet.* 81 (2007) 1084–1097. <https://doi.org/10.1086/521987>.
- 560 [43] C.C. Chang, C.C. Chow, L.C.A.M. Tellier, S. Vattikuti, S.M. Purcell, J.J. Lee, Second-generation
561 PLINK: rising to the challenge of larger and richer datasets, *Gigascience*. 4 (2015) 7.
562 <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13742-015-0047-8>.
- 563 [44] M. Barbato, P. Orozco-terWengel, M. Tapio, M.W. Bruford, SNeP: A tool to estimate trends in
564 recent effective population size trajectories using genome-wide SNP data, *Front. Genet.* 6 (2015)
565 109. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2015.00109>.
- 566 [45] G. Hoitsy, W. Andrés, T. Moth-Poulsen, Guide to the small scale artificial propagation of trout,
567 2013.
- 568 [46] E.K. Cheruiyot, R.C. Bett, J.O. Amimo, Y. Zhang, R. Mrode, F.D.N. Mujibi, Signatures of
569 Selection in Admixed Dairy Cattle in Tanzania, *Front. Genet.* 9 (2018) 607.
570 <https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2018.00607>.
- 571 [47] R.B. Cattell, The Scree Test For The Number Of Factors, *Multivariate Behav. Res.* 1 (1966) 245–
572 276. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327906mbr0102_10.
- 573 [48] J.D. Storey, R. Tibshirani, Statistical significance for genomewide studies, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*
574 *U. S. A.* 100 (2003) 9440–9445. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1530509100>.
- 575 [49] R. Nielsen, S. Williamson, Y. Kim, M.J. Hubisz, A.G. Clark, C. Bustamante, Genomic scans for
576 selective sweeps using SNP data, *Genome Res.* 15 (2005) 1566–1575.
577 <https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.4252305>.
- 578 [50] M. Gautier, R. Vitalis, rehh: an R package to detect footprints of selection in genome-wide SNP
579 data from haplotype structure, *Bioinformatics*. 28 (2012) 1176–1177.
580 <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bts115>.
- 581 [51] Y. Zhang, D.C. He, J. Cheng, F. Xu, B. Li, G. Jin, X.Z. Zhang, Detection of selection signatures

- 582 based on the integrated haplotype score in Chinese Jinnan cattle, *Emirates J. Food Agric.* 29
583 (2017) 562–566. <https://doi.org/10.9755/ejfa.2016-06-761>.
- 584 [52] A. Ahbara, H. Bahbahani, F. Almathen, M. Al Abri, M.O. Agoub, A. Abeba, A. Kebede, H.H.
585 Musa, S. Mastrangelo, F. Pilla, E. Ciani, O. Hanotte, J.M. Mwacharo, Genome-wide variation,
586 candidate regions and genes associated with fat deposition and tail morphology in Ethiopian
587 indigenous sheep, *Front. Genet.* 9 (2019) 699. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2018.00699>.
- 588 [53] J.G. Hacia, J.B. Fan, O. Ryder, L. Jin, K. Edgemon, G. Ghandour, R.A. Mayer, B. Sun, L. Hsie,
589 C.M. Robbins, L.C. Brody, D. Wang, E.S. Lander, R. Lipshutz, S.P.A. Fodor, F.S. Collins,
590 Determination of ancestral alleles for human single-nucleotide polymorphisms using high-density
591 oligonucleotide arrays, *Nat. Genet.* 22 (1999) 164–167. <https://doi.org/10.1038/9674>.
- 592 [54] A.R. Quinlan, I.M. Hall, Bedtools: a flexible suite of utilities for comparing genomic features,
593 *Bioinformatics.* 26 (2010) 841–842. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btq033>.
- 594 [55] D.E. Pearse, N.J. Barson, T. Nome, G. Gao, M.A. Campbell, A. Abadía-Cardoso, E.C. Anderson,
595 D.E. Rundio, T.H. Williams, K.A. Naish, T. Moen, S. Liu, M. Kent, M. Moser, D.R. Minkley,
596 E.B. Rondeau, M.S.O. Briec, S.R. Sandve, M.R. Miller, L. Cedillo, K. Baruch, A.G. Hernandez,
597 G. Ben-Zvi, D. Shem-Tov, O. Barad, K. Kuzishchin, J.C. Garza, S.T. Lindley, B.F. Koop, G.H.
598 Thorgaard, Y. Palti, S. Lien, Sex-dependent dominance maintains migration supergene in rainbow
599 trout Devon, *Nat Ecol Evol.* 3 (2019) 1731–1742. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-019-1044-6>.
- 600 [56] J. D'Ambrosio, F. Phocas, P. Haffray, A. Bestin, S. Brard-Fudulea, C. Poncet, E. Quillet, N.
601 Dechamp, C. Frasin, M. Charles, M. Dupont-Nivet, Genome-wide estimates of genetic diversity,
602 inbreeding and effective size of experimental and commercial rainbow trout lines undergoing
603 selective breeding, *Genet. Sel. Evol.* 51 (2019) 26. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12711-019-0468-4>.
- 604 [57] M.E. López, T. Linderoth, A. Norris, J.P. Lhorente, R. Neira, J.M. Yáñez, Multiple Selection
605 Signatures in Farmed Atlantic Salmon Adapted to Different Environments Across Hemispheres,
606 *Front. Genet.* 10 (2019) 901. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2019.00901>.
- 607 [58] R. Gross, P. Lulla, T. Paaver, Genetic variability and differentiation of rainbow trout
608 (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) strains in northern and Eastern Europe, *Aquaculture.* 272 (2007) S139–
609 S146. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2007.08.004>.
- 610 [59] B. Baumung, H. Simianer, I. Hoffmann, Genetic diversity studies in farm animals – a survey, *J.*
611 *Anim. Breed. Genet.* 121 (2004) 361–373. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0388.2004.00479.x>.
- 612 [60] F.J. Alberto, F. Boyer, P. Orozco-Terwengel, I. Streeter, B. Servin, P. De Villemereuil, B.
613 Benjelloun, P. Librado, F. Biscarini, L. Colli, M. Barbato, W. Zamani, A. Alberti, S. Engelen, A.
614 Stella, S. Joost, P. Ajmone-Marsan, R. Negrini, L. Orlando, H.R. Rezaei, S. Naderi, L. Clarke, P.
615 Flicek, P. Wincker, E. Coissac, J. Kijas, G. Tosser-Klopp, A. Chikhi, M.W. Bruford, P. Taberlet,
616 F. Pompanon, Convergent genomic signatures of domestication in sheep and goats, *Nat.*
617 *Commun.* 9 (2018) 813. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-03206-y>.
- 618 [61] A. Barria, M.E. López, G. Yoshida, R. Carvalheiro, J.P. Lhorente, J.M. Yáñez, Population
619 Genomic Structure and Genome-Wide Linkage Disequilibrium in Farmed Atlantic Salmon

- 620 (Salmo salar L.) Using Dense SNP Genotypes, *Front. Genet.* 9 (2018) 649.
621 <https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2018.00649>.
- 622 [62] S. Qanbari, On the Extent of Linkage Disequilibrium in the Genome of Farm Animals, *Front.*
623 *Genet.* 10 (2020) 1304. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2019.01304>.
- 624 [63] FAO, Secondary Guidelines for Development of National Farm Animal Genetic Resources
625 Management Plans, 1997.
- 626 [64] P. Wiener, S. Wilkinson, Deciphering the genetic basis of animal domestication, *Proc. R. Soc. B*
627 *Biol. Sci.* 278 (2011) 3161–3170. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2011.1376>.
- 628 [65] P.W. Leadley, C.B. Krug, R. Alkemade, H.M. Pereira, S. U.R., M. Walpole, A. Marques, T.
629 Newbold, L.S., Teh, J. van Kolck, C. Bellard, P.J. Januchowski-Hartley, S.R. Mumby, Progress
630 towards the Aichi Biodiversity Targets: An Assessment of Biodiversity Trends, Policy Scenarios
631 and Key Actions. Secretariat of the Convention on Biological Diversity, Montreal, Canada.
632 Technical Series 78, 2014.
- 633 [66] M. Naval-Sanchez, S. McWilliam, B. Evans, J.M. Yáñez, R.D. Houston, J.W. Kijas, Changed
634 Patterns of Genomic Variation Following Recent Domestication: Selection Sweeps in Farmed
635 Atlantic Salmon, *Front. Genet.* 11 (2020). <https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2020.00264>.
- 636 [67] K.J. Zueva, J. Lumme, A.E. Veselov, M.P. Kent, S. Lien, C.R. Primmer, Footprints of directional
637 selection in wild atlantic salmon populations: Evidence for parasite-driven evolution?, *PLoS One.*
638 9 (2014). <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0091672>.
- 639 [68] M.I. Cádiz, M.E. López, D. Díaz-Domínguez, G. Cáceres, G.M. Yoshida, D. Gomez-Uchida, J.M.
640 Yáñez, Whole genome re-sequencing reveals recent signatures of selection in three strains of
641 farmed Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*), *Sci. Rep.* 10 (2020) 1–14.
642 <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-68064-5>.
- 643 [69] J. Bélteky, B. Agnvall, M. Johnsson, D. Wright, P. Jensen, Domestication and tameness: Brain
644 gene expression in red junglefowl selected for less fear of humans suggests effects on
645 reproduction and immunology, *R. Soc. Open Sci.* 3 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1098/rsos.160033>.
- 646 [70] D.S. Fleming, S. Weigend, H. Simianer, A. Weigend, M. Rothschild, C. Schmidt, C. Ashwell, M.
647 Persia, J. Reecy, S.J. Lamont, Genomic comparison of indigenous African and northern European
648 chickens reveals putative mechanisms of stress tolerance related to environmental selection
649 pressure, *G3 Genes, Genomes, Genet.* 7 (2017) 1525–1537.
650 <https://doi.org/10.1534/g3.117.041228>.
- 651 [71] R. Fan, Z. Gu, X. Guang, J.C. Marín, V. Varas, B.A. González, J.C. Wheeler, Y. Hu, E. Li, X.
652 Sun, X. Yang, C. Zhang, W. Gao, J. He, K. Munch, R. Corbett-Detig, M. Barbato, S. Pan, X.
653 Zhan, M.W. Bruford, C. Dong, Genomic analysis of the domestication and post-Spanish conquest
654 evolution of the llama and alpaca, *Genome Biol.* 21 (2020) 1–26. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-020-02080-6>.
- 655
- 656 [72] L. Xu, W.G. Zhang, H.X. Shen, Y. Zhang, Y.M. Zhao, Y.T. Jia, X. Gao, B. Zhu, L.Y. Xu, L.P.

- 657 Zhang, H.J. Gao, J.Y. Li, Y. Chen, Genome-wide scanning reveals genetic diversity and
658 signatures of selection in Chinese indigenous cattle breeds, *Livest. Sci.* 216 (2018) 100–108.
659 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.livsci.2018.08.005>.
- 660 [73] A.M. Maiorano, D.L. Lourenco, S. Tsuruta, A. Maria, T. Ospina, N.B. Stafuzza, Y. Masuda, A.
661 Eugenio, Assessing genetic architecture and signatures of selection of dual purpose Gir cattle
662 populations using genomic information, *PLoS One*. 13 (2018) 1–24.
663 <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0200694>.
- 664 [74] L. Flori, K. Moazami-Goudarzi, V. Alary, A. Araba, I. Boujenane, N. Boushaba, F. Casabianca,
665 S. Casu, R. Ciampolini, A. Coeur D’Acier, C. Coquelle, J.V. Delgado, A. El-Beltagi, G.
666 Hadjipavlou, E. Jouselin, V. Landi, A. Lauvie, P. Lecomte, C. Ligda, C. Marinthe, A. Martinez,
667 S. Mastrangelo, D. Menni, C.H. Moulin, M.A. Osman, O. Pineau, B. Portolano, C. Rodellar, N.
668 Saïdi-Mehtar, T. Sechi, G. Sempéré, S. Thévenon, D. Tsiokos, D. Laloë, M. Gautier, A genomic
669 map of climate adaptation in Mediterranean cattle breeds, *Mol. Ecol.* 28 (2019) 1009–1029.
670 <https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.15004>.
- 671 [75] E. Guang-Xin, W.D. Basang, Y. Bin Zhu, Whole-genome analysis identifying candidate genes of
672 altitude adaptive ecological thresholds in yak populations, *J. Anim. Breed. Genet.* 136 (2019)
673 371–377. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jbg.12403>.
- 674 [76] C. Theofanopoulou, S. Gastaldon, T. O’Rourke, B.D. Samuels, A. Messner, P.T. Martins, F.
675 Delogu, S. Alamri, C. Boeckx, Self-domestication in *Homo sapiens*: Insights from comparative
676 genomics, *PLoS One*. 12 (2017) 5–7. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0185306>.
- 677 [77] M. Wellenreuther, L. Bernatchez, Eco-Evolutionary Genomics of Chromosomal Inversions,
678 *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 33 (2018) 427–440. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2018.04.002>.
- 679 [78] B.C. Hecht, N.R. Campbell, D.E. Holecek, S.R. Narum, Genome-wide association reveals genetic
680 basis for the propensity to migrate in wild populations of rainbow and steelhead trout, *Mol. Ecol.*
681 22 (2013) 3061–3076. <https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.12082>.
- 682 [79] K.M. Nichols, K.W. Broman, K. Sundin, J.M. Young, P.A. Wheeler, G.H. Thorgaard,
683 Quantitative trait loci x maternal cytoplasmic environment interaction for development rate in
684 *Oncorhynchus mykiss*, *Genetics*. 175 (2007) 335–347.
685 <https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.106.064311>.
- 686 [80] M. Salem, R.L. Vallejo, T.D. Leeds, Y. Palti, S. Liu, A. Sabbagh, C.E. Rexroad, J. Yao, RNA-seq
687 identifies SNP markers for growth traits in rainbow trout, *PLoS One*. 7 (2012) e36264.
688 <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0036264>.
- 689 [81] G.M. Yoshida, J.P. Lhorente, R. Carvalheiro, J.M. Yáñez, Bayesian genome-wide association
690 analysis for body weight in farmed Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar* L.), *Anim. Genet.* 48 (2017)
691 698–703. <https://doi.org/10.1111/age.12621>.
- 692 [82] A. Kiselev, R. Vaz, A. Knyazeva, A. Sergushichev, R. Dmitrieva, A. Khudiakov, J. Jorholt, N.
693 Smolina, K. Sukhareva, Y. Fomicheva, E. Mikhaylov, L. Mitrofanova, A. Predeus, G. Sjoberg, D.
694 Rudenko, T. Sejersen, A. Lindstrand, A. Kostareva, Truncating Variant in *Myof Gene Is*

- 695 Associated With Limb-Girdle Type Muscular Dystrophy and Cardiomyopathy., *Front. Genet.* 10
696 (2019) 608. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2019.00608>.
- 697 [83] B.F. Eames, A. Amores, Y.L. Yan, J.H. Postlethwait, Evolution of the osteoblast: Skeletogenesis
698 in gar and zebrafish, *BMC Evol. Biol.* 12 (2012) 27. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2148-12-27>.
- 699 [84] D. García de la serrana, M. Codina, E. Capilla, V. Jiménez-Amilburu, I. Navarro, S.J. Du, I.A.
700 Johnston, J. Gutiérrez, Characterisation and expression of myogenesis regulatory factors during in
701 vitro myoblast development and in vivo fasting in the gilthead sea bream (*sparus aurata*), *Comp.*
702 *Biochem. Physiol. - A Mol. Integr. Physiol.* 167 (2014) 90–99.
703 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbpa.2013.10.020>.
- 704 [85] R.V. Neto, G. Yoshida, J.P. Lhorente, J.M. Yáñez, Genome-wide association analysis for body
705 weight identifies candidate genes related to development and metabolism in rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*), *Mol. Genet. Genomics.* 294 (2019) 563–571.
706 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00438-018-1518-2>.
- 708 [86] S.A. Gahr, G.M. Weber, C.E. Rexroad, Identification and expression of Smads associated with
709 TGF- β /activin/nodal signaling pathways in the rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*), *Fish*
710 *Physiol. Biochem.* 38 (2012) 1233–1244. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10695-012-9611-7>.
- 711 [87] G. Amoroso, T. Ventura, J.M. Cobcroft, M.B. Adams, A. Elizur, C.G. Carter, Multigenic
712 delineation of lower jaw deformity in triploid Atlantic Salmon (*Salmo salar* L.), *PLoS One.* 11
713 (2016) 1–21. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0168454>.
- 714 [88] A. Ali, R. Al-Tobasei, D. Lourenco, T. Leeds, B. Kenney, M. Salem, Genome-wide identification
715 of loci associated with growth in rainbow trout, *BMC Genomics.* 21 (2020) 1–16.
716 <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-020-6617-x>.
- 717 [89] L. Liu, X. Liu, H. Cui, R. Liu, G. Zhao, J. Wen, Transcriptional insights into key genes and
718 pathways controlling muscle lipid metabolism in broiler chickens, *BMC Genomics.* 20 (2019)
719 836. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-019-6221-0>.
- 720 [90] X. Yin, M. Yuan, Y. Duan, S. Zhang, Y. Wu, J. Wang, Association between *Fbx15* gene
721 polymorphisms and partial economic traits in Jinghai Yellow chickens, *Arch. Anim. Breed.* 62
722 (2019) 91–97. <https://doi.org/10.5194/aab-62-91-2019>.
- 723 [91] E. Tarsani, A. Kranis, G. Maniatis, S. Avendano, A.L. Hager-Theodorides, A. Kominakis,
724 Discovery and characterization of functional modules associated with body weight in broilers,
725 *Sci. Rep.* 9 (2019) 9125. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-45520-5>.
- 726 [92] B. Li, L. Qiao, L. An, W. Wang, J. Liu, Y. Ren, Y. Pan, J. Jing, W. Liu, Transcriptome analysis
727 of adipose tissues from two fat-tailed sheep breeds reveals key genes involved in fat deposition,
728 *BMC Genomics.* 19 (2018) 338. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-018-4747-1>.
- 729 [93] J.K. Hong, J.B. Lee, Y. Ramayo-Caldas, S.D. Kim, E.S. Cho, Y.S. Kim, K.H. Cho, D.H. Lee,
730 H.B. Park, Single-step genome-wide association study for social genetic effects and direct genetic
731 effects on growth in Landrace pigs, *Sci. Rep.* 10 (2020) 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598->

- 732 020-71647-x.
- 733 [94] C. Adoligbe, L. Zan, S. Farougou, H. Wang, J.A. Ujjan, Bovine GDF10 gene polymorphism
734 analysis and its association with body measurement traits in Chinese indigenous cattle, *Mol. Biol.*
735 *Rep.* 39 (2012) 4067–4075. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11033-011-1188-1>.
- 736 [95] R. Zhang, J. Miao, Y. Song, W. Zhang, L. Xu, Y. Chen, L. Zhang, H. Gao, B. Zhu, J. Li, X. Gao,
737 Genome-wide association study identifies the PLAG1-OXR1 region on BTA14 for carcass meat
738 yield in cattle, *Physiol. Genomics.* 51 (2019) 137–144.
739 <https://doi.org/10.1152/physiolgenomics.00112.2018>.
- 740 [96] I.A. Johnston, N.I. Bower, D.J. Macqueen, Growth and the regulation of myotomal muscle mass
741 in teleost fish, *J. Exp. Biol.* 214 (2011) 1617–1628. <https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.038620>.
- 742 [97] G.L. Taranger, M. Carrillo, R.W. Schulz, P. Fontaine, S. Zanuy, A. Felip, F.A. Weltzien, S.
743 Dufour, Ø. Karlsen, B. Norberg, E. Andersson, T. Hansen, Control of puberty in farmed fish,
744 *Gen. Comp. Endocrinol.* 165 (2010) 483–515. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ygcen.2009.05.004>.
- 745 [98] L. Levi, B. Levavi-Sivan, E. Lubzens, Expression of Genes Associated with Retinoid Metabolism
746 in the Trout Ovarian Follicle, *Biol. Reprod.* 79 (2008) 570–577.
747 <https://doi.org/10.1095/biolreprod.107.066548>.
- 748 [99] X. Wu, M.M. Viveiros, J.J. Eppig, Y. Bai, S.L. Fitzpatrick, M.M. Matzuk, Zygote arrest 1 (*Zar1*)
749 is a novel maternal-effect gene critical for the oocyte-to-embryo transition, *Nat. Genet.* 33 (2003)
750 187–191. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ng1079>.
- 751 [100] J. Bobe, T. Nguyen, S. Mahé, P. Monget, In silico identification and molecular characterization of
752 genes predominantly expressed in the fish oocyte, *BMC Genomics.* 9 (2008) 499.
753 <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-9-499>.
- 754 [101] L. Miao, Y. Yuan, F. Cheng, J. Fang, F. Zhou, W. Ma, Y. Jiang, X. Huang, Y. Wang, L. Shan, D.
755 Chen, J. Zhang, Translation repression by maternal RNA binding protein *Zar1* is essential for
756 early oogenesis in zebrafish, *Development.* 144 (2017) 128–138.
757 <https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.144642>.
- 758 [102] A. Pradhan, P.E. Olsson, Juvenile ovary to testis transition in zebrafish involves inhibition of
759 *ptges*, *Biol. Reprod.* 91 (2014) 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.1095/biolreprod.114.119016>.
- 760 [103] X.L. Kuang, X.M. Zhao, H.F. Xu, Y.Y. Shi, J.B. Deng, G.T. Sun, Spatio-temporal expression of a
761 novel neuron-derived neurotrophic factor (*NDNF*) in mouse brains during development., *BMC*
762 *Neurosci.* 11 (2010) 137. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2202-11-137>.
- 763 [104] W. Wang, H. Zhu, Y. Dong, T. Dong, Z. Tian, H. Hu, Identification and dimorphic expression of
764 sex-related genes during gonadal differentiation in sterlet *Acipenser ruthenus*, a primitive fish
765 species, *Aquaculture.* 500 (2019) 178–187. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2018.10.001>.
- 766 [105] J.J. Amberg, R.R. Goforth, M.S. Sepúlveda, Antagonists to the Wnt cascade exhibit sex-specific
767 expression in gonads of sexually mature shovelnose sturgeon, *Sex. Dev.* 7 (2013) 308–315.

- 768 <https://doi.org/10.1159/000354280>.
- 769 [106] R. Sreenivasan, J. Jiang, X. Wang, R. Bártfai, H.Y. Kwan, A. Christoffels, L. Orbán, Gonad
770 Differentiation in Zebrafish Is Regulated by the Canonical Wnt Signaling Pathway, *Biol. Reprod.*
771 90 (2014) 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1095/biolreprod.113.110874>.
- 772 [107] N. Forde, F.W. Bazer, T.E. Spencer, P. Lonergan, ‘Conceptualizing’ the Endometrium:
773 Identification of Conceptus-Derived Proteins During Early Pregnancy in Cattle, *Biol. Reprod.* 92
774 (2015) 156. <https://doi.org/10.1095/biolreprod.115.129296>.
- 775 [108] X. Wang, C. Li, Y. Wang, L. Li, Z. Han, G. Wang, UFL1 alleviates LPS-induced apoptosis by
776 regulating the NF- κ B signaling pathway in bovine ovarian granulosa cells, *Biomolecules.* 10
777 (2020) 260. <https://doi.org/10.3390/biom10020260>.
- 778 [109] A. Martinez-Royo, J.L. Alabart, P. Sarto, M. Serrano, B. Lahoz, J. Folch, J.H. Calvo, Genome-
779 wide association studies for reproductive seasonality traits in Rasa Aragonesa sheep breed,
780 *Theriogenology.* 99 (2017) 21–29. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.theriogenology.2017.05.011>.
- 781 [110] C. Bornstein, R. Brosh, A. Molchadsky, S. Madar, I. Kogan-Sakin, I. Goldstein, D. Chakravarti,
782 E.R. Flores, N. Goldfinger, R. Sarig, V. Rotter, SPATA18, a Spermatogenesis-Associated Gene,
783 Is a Novel Transcriptional Target of p53 and p63, *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 31 (2011) 1679–1689.
784 <https://doi.org/10.1128/mcb.01072-10>.
- 785 [111] P.A. Koenig, P.K. Nicholls, F.I. Schmidt, M. Hagiwara, T. Maruyama, G.H. Frydman, N.
786 Watson, D.C. Page, H.L. Ploegh, The E2 ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme UBE2J1 is required for
787 spermiogenesis in mice, *J. Biol. Chem.* 289 (2014) 34490–34502.
788 <https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M114.604132>.
- 789 [112] X.M. Liu, Y.P. Zhang, S.Y. Ji, B.T. Li, X. Tian, D. Li, C. Tong, H.Y. Fan, Mitoguardin-1 and -2
790 promote maturation and the developmental potential of mouse oocytes by maintaining
791 mitochondrial dynamics and functions, *Oncotarget.* 7 (2016) 1155–1167.
792 <https://doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.6713>.
- 793 [113] W. Jeong, H. Bae, W. Lim, F.W. Bazer, G. Song, RAS-related protein 1: An estrogen-responsive
794 gene involved in development and molting-mediated regeneration of the female reproductive tract
795 in chickens, *Animal.* 12 (2018) 1594–1601. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1751731117003226>.
- 796 [114] Q. Ouyang, S. Hu, G. Wang, J. Hu, J. Zhang, L. Li, B. Hu, H. He, H. Liu, L. Xia, J. Wang,
797 Comparative transcriptome analysis suggests key roles for 5-hydroxytryptamine receptors in
798 control of goose egg production, *Genes (Basel).* 11 (2020) 455.
799 <https://doi.org/10.3390/genes11040455>.
- 800 [115] A.M. Early, A.G. Clark, Genomic signatures of local adaptation in the *Drosophila* immune
801 response, *Fly.* 11 (2017) 277–283. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19336934.2017.1337612>.
- 802 [116] T.M. Uren Webster, D. Rodriguez-Barreto, S.A.M. Martin, C. Van Oosterhout, P. Orozco-
803 terWengel, J. Cable, A. Hamilton, C. Garcia De Leaniz, S. Consuegra, Contrasting effects of
804 acute and chronic stress on the transcriptome, epigenome, and immune response of Atlantic

- 805 salmon, *Epigenetics*. 13 (2018) 1191–1207. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15592294.2018.1554520>.
- 806 [117] A.R. Ellison, T.M. Uren Webster, O. Rey, C. Garcia De Leaniz, S. Consuegra, P. Orozco-
807 Terwengel, J. Cable, Transcriptomic response to parasite infection in Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis*
808 niloticus) depends on rearing density, *BMC Genomics*. 19 (2018) 723.
809 <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-018-5098-7>.
- 810 [118] D. Robledo, A. Hamilton, A.P. Gutiérrez, J.E. Bron, R.D. Houston, Characterising the
811 mechanisms underlying genetic resistance to amoebic gill disease in Atlantic salmon using RNA
812 sequencing, *BMC Genomics*. 21 (2020) 271. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-020-6694-x>.
- 813 [119] K. Miller, G. Traxler, K. Kaukinen, S. Li, J. Richard, N. Ginther, Salmonid host response to
814 infectious hematopoietic necrosis (IHN) virus: Cellular receptors, viral control, and novel
815 pathways of defence, *Aquaculture*. 272 (2007) S217–S237.
816 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2007.08.041>.
- 817 [120] J. Kamanova, H. Sun, M. Lara-Tejero, J.E. Galán, The Salmonella Effector Protein SopA
818 Modulates Innate Immune Responses by Targeting TRIM E3 Ligase Family Members, *PLoS*
819 *Pathog.* 12 (2016) e1005552. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1005552>.
- 820 [121] Y. Jin, K. Jia, W. Zhang, Y. Xiang, P. Jia, W. Liu, M. Yi, Zebrafish TRIM25 Promotes Innate
821 Immune Response to RGNNV Infection by Targeting 2CARD and RD Regions of RIG-I for K63-
822 Linked Ubiquitination, *Front. Immunol.* 10 (2019) 2805.
823 <https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2019.02805>.
- 824 [122] E. Russell, R. Neil, Changes in Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) epidermal mucus protein
825 composition profiles following infection with sea lice (*Lepeophtheirus salmonis*), *Comp.*
826 *Biochem. Physiol. - Part D Genomics Proteomics*. 4 (2009) 159–167.
827 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbd.2009.02.001>.
- 828 [123] A. Barría, K.A. Christensen, G.M. Yoshida, K. Correa, A. Jedlicki, J.P. Lhorente, W.S. Davidson,
829 J.M. Yáñez, Genomic predictions and genome-wide association study of resistance against
830 *Piscirickettsia salmonis* in coho salmon (*Oncorhynchus kisutch*) using ddRAD sequencing, *G3*
831 *Genes, Genomes, Genet.* 8 (2018) 1183–1194. <https://doi.org/10.1534/g3.118.200053>.
- 832 [124] Z. Jia, L. Chen, Y. Ge, S. Li, W. Peng, C. Li, Y. Zhang, X. Hu, Z. Zhou, L. Shi, P. Xu, Genetic
833 mapping of Koi herpesvirus resistance (KHVR) in Mirror carp (*Cyprinus carpio*) revealed genes
834 and molecular mechanisms of disease resistance, *Aquaculture*. 519 (2020) 734850.
835 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aquaculture.2019.734850>.
- 836 [125] R. Tang, S. Wang, P. Han, Q. Zhang, S. Zhang, X. Xing, R. Shao, W. Xu, Q. Xu, Q. Wei, Z. Qi,
837 Toll-like receptor (TLR) 2 and TLR13 from the endangered primitive-ray finned fish Dabry's
838 sturgeon (*Acipenser dabryanus*) and their expression profiling upon immune stimulation, *Aquac.*
839 *Reports*. 16 (2020) 100247. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aqrep.2019.100247>.
- 840 [126] J.M. Yáñez, R.D. Houston, S. Newman, Genetics and genomics of disease resistance in salmonid
841 species, *Front. Genet.* 5 (2014) 415. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2014.00415>.

- 842 [127] J.P. Lhorente, M. Araneda, R. Neira, Advances in genetic improvement for salmon and trout
843 aquaculture : the Chilean situation and prospects, *Rev. Aquac.* (2019) 340–353.
844 <https://doi.org/10.1111/raq.12335>.
- 845 [128] A. Borchel, M. Verleih, A. Rebl, T. Goldammer, Identification of genes involved in cold-shock
846 response in rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*), *J. Genet.* 96 (2017) 701–706.
847 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12041-017-0811-x>.
- 848 [129] T.L. Welker, K. Overturf, J. Abernathy, Effect of Water Source and Trout Strain on Expression of
849 Stress-Affected Genes in a Commercial Setting, *N. Am. J. Aquac.* 80 (2018) 249–262.
850 <https://doi.org/10.1002/naaq.10028>.
- 851 [130] V. Colson, M. Cousture, D. Damasceno, C. Valotaire, T. Nguyen, A. Le Cam, J. Bobe, Maternal
852 temperature exposure impairs emotional and cognitive responses and triggers dysregulation of
853 neurodevelopment genes in fish, *PeerJ.* 2019 (2019). <https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.6338>.
- 854

855 **Figure 1.** Principal component analysis (PCA) of genetic differentiation among 749 individuals of one
856 domestic population of rainbow trout based on 57K SNPs. Each dot represents one individual.

857 **Figure 2.** Results of genetic variations: a) Linkage disequilibrium (r^2) decay over the distance between
858 variants across the genome; b) Historical effective population sizes (N_e) over generations of one domestic
859 population of rainbow trout.

860 **Figure 3.** Results of signatures of selection by the *pcadapt* method in one domestic population of rainbow
861 trout based on 57K SNPs. A) Manhattan plot illustrates the distribution of *pcadapt* scores ($-\log(p\text{-value})$)
862 across the genome of rainbow trout. Orange spots represent outliers. B) Manhattan plot shows the
863 distribution of *pcadapt* scores ($-\log(p\text{-value})$) in region A across chromosome 4; red spots represent
864 outliers and box represent the genes that intersect this region, and colors represent the putative function
865 (blue, reproduction; green, immune system; light-magenta, growth, dark-magenta, early development,
866 grey, adaptation to culture environment). Dashed blue line represents the approximate location of the
867 chromosomal inversion of the *Omy20* [55].

868 **Figure 4.** Results of signatures of selection by the *CLR* method in one domestic population of rainbow
869 trout based on 57K SNPs. A) Manhattan plot illustrates the distribution of *CLR* scores across the genome
870 of rainbow trout. Orange spots represent outliers. B) Manhattan plot shows the distribution of *CLR* scores
871 across chromosome 5; red spots represent outliers; box represents the genes that intersect this region, and
872 colors represent the putative function (blue, reproduction; green, immune system; light-magenta, growth,
873 dark-magenta, early development). Dashed blue line represents the approximate localization of the two
874 chromosomal inversions on the *Omy05* [55].

875

876 **Figure 5.** Results of signatures of selection by the *iHS* method in one domestic population of rainbow
877 trout based on 57K SNPs. A) Manhattan plot illustrates the distribution of *iHS* scores ($-\log(p\text{-value})$)
878 across the genome of rainbow trout. Orange spots represent outliers. B) Manhattan plot shows the
879 distribution of *iHS* scores ($-\log(p\text{-value})$) in region B across chromosome 20; red spots represent outliers;
880 box represents the genes that intersect this region, and colors represent the putative function (blue,
881 reproduction; green, immune system; light-magenta, growth, dark-magenta, early development). Dashed
882 red line represents overlapped homeologous region of the [Omy04](#) with [Omy05](#) [55].
883