## **SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS**

The following table shows the results of the same set of analyses, previously performed on our sample of young people with ADHD (N = 219), excluding 18 participants who did not suspend their medication 24h prior testing (n = 201).

S Table 1 Pattern of associations between irritability and hot and cool EF measures.

N		Model	Standardized Beta	Unstandardized Beta (95% CI)	p-value
Cool EFs					
WCST Total	N= 162	Unadjusted	B=0.12	B= 0.68 (-0.26; 1.62)	p= 0.18
errors	102	Adjusted	B=0.11	B= 0.62 (-0.30; 1.55)	p= 0.19
WCST Perseverative	N= 162	Unadjusted	B=0.24	B= 0.73 (0.24; 1.23)**	p= 0.004
errors	11-102	Adjusted	B=0.22	B= 0.69 (0.20; 1.17)*	p= 0.01
GnG RT to go	N 474	Unadjusted	B=0.05	B= 1.82 (-3.98; 7.63)	p= 0.54
signals	N= 174	Adjusted	B=0.03	B= 1.08 (-4.54; 6.70)	p= 0.71
GnG		Unadjusted	B=-0.05	B= -0.76 (-3.36; 1.84)	p= 0.52
Probability of inhibition	N= 174	Adjusted	B=0.04	B= 0.57 (-1.77; 2.91)	p= 0.63
Hot EFs	I				
CPT total	N= 191	Unadjusted	B=0.02	B= 0.51 (-3.39; 4.42)	p= 0.80
number of Cards		Adjusted	B=0.003	B= 0.09 (-3.86; 4.03)	p= 0.97
TDT RT		Unadjusted	B=-0.10	B= -11.7 (-31.5; 8.11)	p= 0.25
(delayed - immediate choice)	N= 164	Adjusted	B=-0.11	B= -13.6 (-33.7; 6.55)	p= 0.18
,	N. 404	Unadjusted	B=-0.15	B= -0.02 (-0.05; 0.001)	p= 0.06
TDT AUC	N= 164	Adjusted	B=-0.15	B= -0.02 (-0.05; 0.002)	p= 0.07
UG		Unadjusted	B=-0.18	B= -0.05 (-0.10; 0.01)	p= 0.08
Moderately Unfair offers accepted	N= 107	Adjusted	B=-0.17	B= -0.05 (-0.10; 0.01)	p= 0.10
CxR		Unadjusted	B=0.09	B= 0.01 (-0.01; 0.02)	p= 0.35
propensity to gamble  * Significant results	N= 142	Adjusted	B=0.08	B= 0.01 (-0.01; 0.02)	p= 0.40

<sup>\*</sup> Significant results

WCST = Wisconsin Card Sorting Test task, GnG = Go/no-Go task, CPT = Continuous Performance Task, TDT =

Temporal Discounting Task, UG = Ultimatum Game. RT = Reaction Time, AUC = Area Under the Curve.

Adjusted models were corrected for age, sex, SES, where possible.

The following table shows the results of the same set of analyses, previously performed on our sample of young people with ADHD (N = 219), excluding 72 participants who had CD diagnosis at follow-up (n = 147).

S Table 2 Pattern of associations between irritability and hot and cool EF measures.

		N	Model	Standardised beta	Unstandardized Beta (95% CI)	p-value
Cool E	EFs					
	WCST Total	N= 113	Unadjusted	B= 0.07	B= 0.36 (-0.72; 1.43)	p= 0.52
	errors	11-113	Adjusted	B= 0.05	B= 0.30 (-0.75; 1.34)	p= 0.58
	WCST Perseverative	N= 113	Unadjusted	B= 0.21	B= 0.57 (0.05; 1.09)*	p= 0.03
	errors	110	Adjusted	B= 0.19	B= 0.52 (0.01; 1.03)	p= 0.05
	GnG RT to go	N= 131	Unadjusted	B= 0.05	B= 1.63 (-4.64; 7.89)	p= 0.61
	signals	N= 131	Adjusted	B= 0.04	B= 1.25 (-4.68; 7.17)	p= 0.68
	GnG Probability of		Unadjusted	B= -0.04	B= -0.61 (-3.53; 2.32)	p= 0.68
	inhibition	N= 131	Adjusted	B= 0.03	B= 0.41 (-2.11; 2.93)	p= 0.75
Hot E	Fs					
	CPT total	N= 139	Unadjusted	B= -0.05	B= -1.15 (-5.46; 3.17)	p= 0.60
Card TDT (dela imm	number of Cards		Adjusted	B= -0.05	B= -1.29 (-5.65; 3.06)	p= 0.56
	TDT RT		Unadjusted	B= -0.05	B= -6.05 (-27.3; 15.2)	p= 0.58
	(delayed - immediate choice)	N= 122	Adjusted	B= -0.06	B= -7.09 (-28.8; 14.6)	p= 0.52
	TDT ALIC	N 400	Unadjusted	B= -0.14	B= -0.02 (-0.05; 0.01)	p= 0.15
	TDT AUC	N= 122	Adjusted	B= -0.12	B= -0.02 (-0.05; 0.01)	p= 0.21
	UG Madazatak	r offers	Unadjusted	B= -0.20	B= -0.05 (-0.12; 0.01)	p= 0.10
	Moderately Unfair offers accepted		Adjusted	B= -0.21	B= -0.06 (-0.12; 0.01)	p= 0.08
	CxR	N 405	Unadjusted	B= 0.01	B= 0.00 (-0.01; 0.01)	p= 0.94
	propensity to gamble	N= 105	Adjusted	B= -0.01	B= 0.00 (-0.01; 0.01)	p= 0.96

<sup>\*</sup> Significant results

 $WCST = Wisconsin \ Card \ Sorting \ Test \ task. \ GnG = Go/no-Go \ task. \ CPT = Continuous \ Performance \ Task. \ TDT = Continuous \ Performance \ Performance \ Task. \ TDT = Continuous \ Performa$ 

Temporal Discounting Task. UG = Ultimatum Game. RT = Reaction Time. AUC = Area Under the Curve.

Adjusted models were corrected for age. sex. SES. where possible.

The following table shows the results of within time correlations between irritability and executive functions both measured in adolescence.

Notably, as mentioned in the method section of this paper, clinical symptoms and diagnosis were assessed at follow-up using the DAWBA as opposed to the CAPA. This reduced the availability of data on irritability in adolescence and further impacted on the number of individuals with available cognitive data (see S Table 3). The total sample size at follow-up consisted of 149 adolescents with an ADHD diagnosis in childhood.

Irritability composite score at follow-up consisted of summing up responses with a score of 2 (i.e., "A lot more than others") on the following items of the DABA: "temper outbursts", "angry or resentful" and "easily annoyed". Irritability scores ranged from 0 to 3, with a mean of 1.53 (s.d. 1.28).

S Table 3 Correlations between irritability measured in adolescence and executive functions.

	I	Irritability in adolescence					
EF measures	N	Pearson coefficient	p-value				
Cool EF							
WCST Total errors	N= 129	-0.02	0.82				
WCST Perseverative errors	N= 129	0.02	0.83				
GnG RT to go signals	N= 132	-0.08	0.35				
GnG Probability of inhibition	N= 132	-0.01	0.93				
Hot EF							
CPT total number of Cards	N= 149	0.11	0.17				
TDT RT (delayed - immediate choice)	N= 124	-0.07	0.45				
TDT AUC	N= 124	-0.06	0.49				
UG Moderately Unfair offers accepted	N= 54	0.01	0.96				
CxR propensity to gamble	N= 138	-0.02	0.79				

WCST = Wisconsin Card Sorting Test task. GnG = Go/no-Go task. CPT = Continuous Performance Task. TDT = Temporal Discounting Task. UG = Ultimatum Game. RT = Reaction Time. AUC = Area Under the Curve. EF = Executive functions.

## S Table 4 Correlation matrix between EF measures, IQ and Irritability

	Irritability	WCST Total errors	WCST Perseverative errors	GnG RT to go signals	GnG Probability of inhibition	CPT total number of Cards	TDT RT (delayed - immediate choice)	TDT AUC	UG Moderately Unfair offers accepted	CxR propensity to gamble	IQ
Irritability	1										
WCST Total errors	0.11	1									
WCST Perseverative errors	0.22**	0.78**	1								
GnG RT to go signals	0.05	0.10	0.06	1							
GnG Probability of inhibition	-0.04	-0.03	-0.05	-0.14	1						
CPT total number of Cards	0.02	0.03	0.04	0.15	-0.06	1					
TDT RT (delayed - immediate choice)	-0.10	-0.03	-0.06	0.03	-0.02	0.07	1				
TDT AUC	-0.13	-0.12	-0.13	-0.004	-0.04	0.02	-0.22**	1			
UG Moderately Unfair offers accepted	-0.19*	-0.02	-0.03	0.12	0.11	0.32**	-0.04	-0.09	1		

CxR propensity to gamble	.091	.203*	.126	.033	068	.083	.003	.020	092	1	
IQ	-0.24**	-0.35**	-0.33**	-0.10	0.06	-0.02	-0.04	-0.02	0.11	-0.18*	1

<sup>\*</sup>significant results p<0.05, \*\* significant results p =< 0.01

WCST = Wisconsin Card Sorting Test task. GnG = Go/no-Go task. CPT = Continuous Performance Task. TDT = Temporal Discounting Task. UG = Ultimatum Game. RT =

Reaction Time. AUC = Area Under the Curve. EF = Executive functions.