

This is an Open Access document downloaded from ORCA, Cardiff University's institutional repository: <https://orca.cardiff.ac.uk/id/eprint/148122/>

This is the author's version of a work that was submitted to / accepted for publication.

Citation for final published version:

Ferraresso, Francesco 2022. On the spectral instability for weak intermediate triharmonic problems. *Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences* 45 (10) , pp. 5864-5891. 10.1002/mma.8144

Publishers page: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/mma.8144>

Please note:

Changes made as a result of publishing processes such as copy-editing, formatting and page numbers may not be reflected in this version. For the definitive version of this publication, please refer to the published source. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite this paper.

This version is being made available in accordance with publisher policies. See <http://orca.cf.ac.uk/policies.html> for usage policies. Copyright and moral rights for publications made available in ORCA are retained by the copyright holders.



# ON THE SPECTRAL INSTABILITY FOR WEAK INTERMEDIATE TRIHARMONIC PROBLEMS

FRANCESCO FERRARESSO

**ABSTRACT.** We define the weak intermediate boundary conditions for the triharmonic operator  $-\Delta^3$ . We analyse the sensitivity of this type of boundary conditions upon domain perturbations. We construct a perturbation  $(\Omega_\epsilon)_{\epsilon>0}$  of a smooth domain  $\Omega$  of  $\mathbb{R}^N$  for which the weak intermediate boundary conditions on  $\partial\Omega_\epsilon$  are not preserved in the limit on  $\partial\Omega$ , analogously to the Babuška paradox for the hinged plate. Four different boundary conditions can be produced in the limit, depending on the convergence of  $\partial\Omega_\epsilon$  to  $\partial\Omega$ . In one particular case, we obtain a “strange” boundary condition featuring a microscopic energy term related to the shape of the approaching domains. Many aspects of our analysis could be generalised to an arbitrary order elliptic differential operator of order  $2m$  and to more general domain perturbations.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $W$  be a smooth bounded domain of  $\mathbb{R}^{N-1}$ ,  $b \in C^4(W)$  be a periodic, positive function with period  $Y = (-1/2, 1/2)^{N-1}$ . Let  $\alpha \in (0, +\infty)$  be fixed, and define

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega_\epsilon &:= \left\{ x = (\bar{x}, x_N) \in \Omega : \bar{x} \in W, -1 < x_N < g_\epsilon(\bar{x}) = \epsilon^\alpha b\left(\frac{\bar{x}}{\epsilon}\right) \right\} \\ \Omega &:= W \times (-1, 0),\end{aligned}\tag{1.1}$$

for  $\epsilon \in (0, 1]$ . We consider the weak intermediate problem for the triharmonic operator  $A_\epsilon = (-\Delta)^3 + I$  in  $\Omega_\epsilon$ , given by

$$\int_{\Omega_\epsilon} (D^3 u_\epsilon : D^3 \varphi + u_\epsilon \varphi) = \lambda(\Omega_\epsilon) \int_{\Omega_\epsilon} u_\epsilon \varphi, \quad \varphi \in H^3(\Omega_\epsilon) \cap H_0^1(\Omega_\epsilon),\tag{1.2}$$

where  $D^3 f : D^3 g = \sum_{i,j,k=1,\dots,N} \frac{\partial^3 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_j \partial x_k} \frac{\partial^3 g}{\partial x_i \partial x_j \partial x_k}$  is the Frobenius product of the two tensors  $D^3 f$  and  $D^3 g$ ,  $\lambda(\Omega_\epsilon)$  is the eigenvalue and  $u_\epsilon \in H^3(\Omega_\epsilon) \cap H_0^1(\Omega_\epsilon)$  is the eigenfunction. Here and in the sequel  $H^k$ ,  $H_0^k$  denote the standard Sobolev spaces with regularity index  $k$  and integrability index 2.

We are interested in the behaviour of the solutions  $u_\epsilon$  and of the eigenvalues  $\lambda(\Omega_\epsilon)$  of (1.2) as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ . Note that  $\Omega_\epsilon$  approaches  $\Omega$  as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$  in a rather singular way, since the function  $g_\epsilon$  oscillates with very large frequency as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ . It is worth noting that if  $\alpha < 3$ , it is not possible to construct a family of smooth diffeomorphisms  $\Phi_\epsilon : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega_\epsilon$  such that  $\|\Phi_\epsilon - I\|_{C^3(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R}^N)} \rightarrow 0$  as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ . Therefore classical and elegant techniques based on the direct comparison of the Rayleigh quotients associated to  $\lambda(\Omega_\epsilon)$  and  $\lambda(\Omega)$  do not work in general in the singular setting described in (1.1).

Polyharmonic operators  $(-\Delta)^m$  with intermediate or Neumann boundary conditions are known to be rather sensitive to variation of the domains in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $N > 1$ . See for example [8] for regular perturbations, and [2, 23, 1] for more singular settings. When  $m = 1$ , unexpected limiting behaviour of the eigenvalues of the Neumann Laplace operator  $-\Delta_{\text{neu}}$  is well-known since the ‘dumbbell’ example in [17], where  $\lambda_2(\Omega_\epsilon) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$  instead of converging to  $\lambda_2(\Omega) > 0$ . More in general, let  $R_\epsilon$




FIGURE 1. Graph of  $g_\epsilon(x) = \epsilon^\alpha b(x/\epsilon)$  with  $b(y) = 10 + 2\sin(\pi y/5)$ . Black colour corresponds to  $\alpha = 1$ , blue to  $\alpha = 5/2$ . The dashed line corresponds to  $\epsilon = 0.5$ , the thick line to  $\epsilon = 0.2$ . The blue graph flattens out much faster than the black one as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ .

be a smooth domain of  $\mathbb{R}^N$  converging (in Hausdorff sense) to a lower dimensional set  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $d < N$ , and let  $\Omega_\epsilon$  be the smooth domain obtained by attaching  $R_\epsilon$  to a bounded smooth domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ . Then, the eigenvalues of  $-\Delta_{\text{neu}}$  in  $\Omega_\epsilon$  will not converge only to the respective eigenvalues in  $\Omega$ , but also to the eigenvalues of a differential problem in  $D$ . Indeed, the eigenvalues of  $-\Delta_{\text{neu}}$  on  $R_\epsilon$  are known to converge to the eigenvalues of the Laplace-Beltrami operator on  $D$ , see e.g., [31]. See also [24] for more results for reaction-diffusion operators on thin domains, [25, 26] for dumbbell-type domains, [3, 4] for domains with fast oscillating boundaries and [16, 18] for domains with small holes. When  $m = 2$  the Babuška Paradox for the biharmonic operator  $\Delta_{\text{SBC}}^2$  shows that intermediate boundary conditions are not stable under polygonal approximation of a smooth domain in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , see [30] and the introduction to [21] for further details. Elliptic operators of order  $2m$  with  $m \geq 2$  and diverse boundary conditions have been recently considered in [15, 7] and in the preprint [22] where it is shown that the eigenvalues of the biharmonic operator with Neumann boundary conditions on a thin domain converge, as the size of the domain tends to zero, to the eigenvalues of a system of equations on the boundary. The common thread in these examples is the lack of *spectral stability*, see Def. 6; roughly speaking, a sequence of operators  $(A_n)_n$  satisfying the same boundary conditions is spectrally stable if it is spectrally exact (in the sense of [5]) and the limiting operator  $A$  satisfies the same boundary conditions as the operators  $A_n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Problem (1.2) is an interesting example of spectral instability, which, according to [2, 21], can be regarded as a smooth version of the Babuška paradox. In order to describe our main result, it is convenient to define a class of triharmonic problems with different boundary conditions. For every  $\epsilon > 0$ , let  $V(\Omega_\epsilon)$  be a linear subspace of  $H^3(\Omega_\epsilon)$  containing  $H_0^3(\Omega_\epsilon)$ . Assume that  $V(\Omega_\epsilon)$  is compactly embedded in  $L^2(\Omega_\epsilon)$ , and it is complete with respect to the  $H^3(\Omega_\epsilon)$  norm, which is induced by the quadratic form

$$Q_{\Omega_\epsilon}(u) = \int_{\Omega_\epsilon} |D^3 u|^2 + |u|^2, \quad u \in V(\Omega_\epsilon).$$

We then define

$$\int_{\Omega_\epsilon} (D^3 u_\epsilon : D^3 \varphi + u_\epsilon \varphi) = \lambda(\Omega_\epsilon) \int_{\Omega_\epsilon} u_\epsilon \varphi, \quad \varphi \in V(\Omega_\epsilon). \quad (1.3)$$

By the second representation theorem [27, Theorem 2.23, VI.2], the sesquilinear form in (1.3) is associated to a positive self-adjoint operator  $A_{V(\Omega_\epsilon)} := (-\Delta)_{V(\Omega_\epsilon)}^3 + I$ . The inverse  $A_{V(\Omega_\epsilon)}^{-1}$  is a compact operator in  $L^2(\Omega_\epsilon)$ , due to the compact embedding of  $V(\Omega_\epsilon)$  in  $L^2(\Omega_\epsilon)$ . Thus, the spectrum associated to (1.3) is discrete and consists of an unbounded sequence of positive eigenvalues of finite multiplicity  $(\lambda_j(\Omega_\epsilon))_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ .

It is shown in [21] that if  $V(\Omega_\epsilon) = H^3(\Omega_\epsilon) \cap H_0^2(\Omega_\epsilon)$  for all  $\epsilon \in (0, 1]$  then the spectrum  $(\lambda_j(\Omega_\epsilon))_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$  of problem (1.3) approaches the spectrum  $(\lambda_k(\Omega))_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  of the same problem (1.3) with  $\Omega$  in place of  $\Omega_\epsilon$ , provided that  $\alpha > \frac{3}{2}$ . If instead  $0 < \alpha < \frac{3}{2}$  the limiting problem satisfies Dirichlet boundary conditions (corresponding to  $V(\Omega) = H_0^3(\Omega)$  in (1.3)) on  $W \times \{0\}$ . It is also shown that this Babuška-type paradox is shared by all the polyharmonic operators  $(-\Delta)_{\text{SBC}}^m$  with strong intermediate boundary conditions, shortened SBC, for which  $V(\Omega_\epsilon) = H^m(\Omega_\epsilon) \cap H_0^{m-1}(\Omega_\epsilon)$  in the polyharmonic analogous of (1.3). In other words, polyharmonic operators with SBC are spectrally stable on  $(\Omega_\epsilon)_{\epsilon \in [0, 1]}$  provided that  $\alpha > 3/2$ .

As already pointed out in [21], there is another possible choice of intermediate boundary conditions for the triharmonic operator, the weak intermediate boundary conditions (shortened WBC) defined implicitly by (1.2). From the spectral stability result [21, Theorem 4] we know that if  $\Omega_\epsilon$  and  $\Omega$  are as in (1.1) the sequence of operators  $A_\epsilon = (-\Delta_{\text{WBC}}^3 + I)_{\epsilon \in [0, 1]}$  associated to (1.2) is spectrally stable provided that  $\alpha > 5/2$ .

The main result of this article, see Thm.1, is the analysis of the case  $\alpha \leq 5/2$ . We prove that there are three different cases depending on  $\alpha$ , that can be summarised as follows

- (i) if  $\alpha \in (\frac{3}{2}, \frac{5}{2})$  the eigenvalues  $\lambda_j(\Omega_\epsilon)$  of (1.2) converge in the limit to the eigenvalues of  $(-\Delta)^3 + I$  with mixed WBC-SBC.
- (ii) if  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ , the limiting operator  $(-\Delta)^3 + I$  satisfies mixed boundary conditions of type WBC-Dirichlet.
- (iii) if  $\alpha = \frac{5}{2}$  the limiting boundary value problem features a 'strange' boundary conditions which keeps track of the shape of the periodic function  $b$  in (1.1).

The case  $\alpha \in (1, 3/2]$  is not considered in this article and it is left as an open problem, see Remark 2 for further explanations of why this range of values does not seem treatable with our method of proof.

While Theorem 1 look similar to [21, Theorem 7], we point out that we had to face several new technical difficulties due to the extreme singularity of the perturbation  $\Omega \mapsto \Omega_\epsilon$  when  $\alpha \leq 5/2$ . Indeed, the diffeomorphism  $\Phi_\epsilon : \Omega \mapsto \Omega_\epsilon$  that we use in the proof of the main theorem has derivatives with strongly divergent  $L^2$ -norms as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ . Furthermore, it is not possible to balance this unboundedness of the derivatives as in [21], where it was pivotal to exploit the vanishing of *both*  $u_\epsilon$  and  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial n}$  at the boundary. Finally, the proof of [21, Theorem 7] in the degenerate case  $\alpha \leq 3/2$  relies on [10, Lemma 4.3], for which it is fundamental that the critical threshold for the spectral stability is  $\alpha = 3/2$ . This condition is clearly not satisfied by weak intermediate problems.

To overcome these additional hurdles, we prove a new, yet rather technical degeneration result, see Lemma 4. Its proof involves a careful analysis of the behaviour of the derivatives of functions  $u_\epsilon \in H^3(\Omega_\epsilon) \cap H_0^1(\Omega_\epsilon)$  close to the oscillating boundaries. Broadly speaking, we need a combination of three arguments: (i) the use of the anisotropic unfolding operator to control the  $L^2$ -norm of the derivatives of  $u_\epsilon$  close to the oscillating boundary; (ii) the weighted convergence of the traces of the unfolded functions  $\hat{u}_\epsilon$  to the trace of the weak limit  $u$  of the original functions  $u_\epsilon$ ; (iii) the use of the standard unfolding operators (which is equivalent to the

so-called two-scale convergence) to deduce additional information on the trace of  $u$  when  $\alpha \leq 1$  and  $1 < \alpha < 2$ .

We refer the reader to [14] for more details about homogenisation techniques and to [13] for the unfolding operator. The use of the anisotropic unfolding operator and some of the techniques used in the proof of Lemma 4 were inspired by a careful reading of [10, 11] and by some classical asymptotic analysis techniques in the spirit of [28, 29].

This article is organised in the following way. In Section 2 we introduce the weak intermediate boundary conditions for the triharmonic operator  $-\Delta^3$ , and we state the main result of the paper, Theorem 1. In Section 3 we collect some standard results about the unfolding operator and the tangential calculus. In Section 4 we recall some definitions and results about the convergence of bounded operators on varying Hilbert spaces, and we give the definitions of spectral exactness and spectral stability. In Section 5 we prove statements (iii) and (iv) of Theorem 1. Section 6 is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1(ii), which requires several results from homogenisation theory. In the Appendices we collect some auxiliary results among which the proof of the Triharmonic Green Formula, which is of general interest.

## 2. MAIN RESULT

**2.1. Boundary conditions.** Given a bounded domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ , we consider the quadratic form defined by

$$Q_\Omega(u, v) = \int_\Omega D^3 u : D^3 v \, dx + \int_\Omega uv \, dx, \quad (2.1)$$

for all  $u, v \in V(\Omega)$ , where  $V(\Omega)$  is a linear subspace of  $H^3(\Omega)$ ,  $H_0^3(\Omega) \subset V(\Omega)$  and  $V$  is complete with respect to the  $H^3$ -norm. By the second representation theorem [27, Theorem 2.23, VI.2], there exists a densely defined, non-negative and self-adjoint operator  $A_{V(\Omega)}$  with domain  $\text{dom}(A_{V(\Omega)}) \subset H^3(\Omega)$  such that

$$Q_\Omega(u, v) = (A_{V(\Omega)}^{1/2} u, A_{V(\Omega)}^{1/2} v),$$

for all  $u, v \in V(\Omega)$ . Assume that the embedding of  $V(\Omega)$  in  $L^2(\Omega)$  is compact. Then,  $A_{V(\Omega)}$  has compact resolvent, hence it has purely discrete spectrum, made of an increasing sequence of eigenvalues diverging to  $+\infty$ . Let us consider the eigenvalue problem

$$\int_\Omega D^3 u : D^3 v \, dx + \int_\Omega uv \, dx = \lambda \int_\Omega uv \, dx, \quad (2.2)$$

in the unknowns  $\lambda, u \in V(\Omega)$  for all  $v \in V(\Omega)$ . We briefly recall the boundary conditions we are interested in. Their identification is achieved via the Triharmonic Green Formula, stated and proved in Theorem 7. Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $0 \leq k \leq 3$  and let us set  $V(\Omega) = H^3(\Omega) \cap H_0^k(\Omega)$ . If  $k = 3$  then  $V(\Omega) = H_0^3(\Omega)$  in (2.1). Formula (7.4) implies that  $A_{V(\Omega)}$  is the Dirichlet triharmonic operator associated with

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta^3 u + u = \lambda u, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial n^2} = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (2.3)$$

When  $k = 2$ ,  $V(\Omega) = H^3(\Omega) \cap H_0^2(\Omega)$ . By (7.4) we deduce that the classical eigenvalue problem associated with (2.2) on  $V(\Omega)$  is defined by

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta^3 u + u = \lambda u, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \\ \frac{\partial^3 u}{\partial n^3} = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (2.4)$$

In this case we say that the classical operator  $-\Delta^3 u + u$  associated with problem (2.4) satisfies *strong intermediate boundary conditions* on  $\partial\Omega$ .

Finally, when  $k = 1$ ,  $V(\Omega) = H^3(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$ . By (7.4) we deduce that

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta^3 u + u = \lambda u, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \\ ((n^T D^3 u)_{\partial\Omega} : D_{\partial\Omega} n) - \frac{\partial^2(\Delta u)}{\partial n^2} - 2 \operatorname{div}_{\partial\Omega}(D^3 u[n \otimes n])_{\partial\Omega} = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \\ \frac{\partial^3 u}{\partial n^3} = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (2.5)$$

where we have denoted by  $(\cdot)_{\partial\Omega}$  the tangential part of a tensor (which can be defined formally exactly as the tangential Hessian, see Def. 3 below),  $D_{\partial\Omega}$  is the tangential Jacobian,  $n$  is the outer unit normal to  $\partial\Omega$ ,  $\operatorname{div}_{\partial\Omega}$  is the tangential divergence,  $[n \otimes n] = (n_i n_j)_{i,j=1,\dots,N}$ . In this case, we say that the classical operator  $-\Delta^3 u + u$  associated with problem (2.4) satisfies *weak intermediate boundary conditions* on  $\partial\Omega$ . Note that the curvature tensor  $D_{\partial\Omega} n$  appears non-trivially in the second boundary condition. To the best of our knowledge these boundary conditions were never defined before in this form.

**2.2. Main theorem.** Let  $\Omega_\epsilon$ ,  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $\Omega$  be as in (1.1). Set  $\Gamma := \overline{W} \times \{0\}$ . Let  $A_{\Omega_\epsilon}$  be the operator associated to (1.2),  $\epsilon > 0$ , and define  $A_\Omega$  in a analogous way by replacing  $\Omega_\epsilon$  with  $\Omega$ . Let  $A_{\Omega,S}$  be the operator associated to

$$\begin{cases} A_{\Omega,S} u := -\Delta^3 u + u = \lambda u, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ (WBC), & \text{on } \partial\Omega \setminus \Gamma \\ (SBC), & \text{on } \Gamma, \end{cases} \quad (2.6)$$

where  $(WBC)$  are the boundary conditions in (2.5),  $(SBC)$  those in (2.4). Let  $A_{\Omega,D}$  be the operator associated to

$$\begin{cases} A_{\Omega,D} u := -\Delta^3 u + u = \lambda u, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ (WBC), & \text{on } \partial\Omega \setminus \Gamma \\ (DBC), & \text{on } \Gamma, \end{cases} \quad (2.7)$$

where  $(DBC)$  are the Dirichlet boundary conditions defined in (2.3). Finally, let  $\hat{A}_\Omega$  be the operator associated to

$$\begin{cases} \hat{A}_\Omega u := -\Delta^3 u + u = \lambda u, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ (WBC), & \text{on } \partial\Omega \setminus \Gamma \\ u = \partial_{x_N^3} u = 0, & \text{on } \Gamma, \\ \Delta(\partial_{x_N^2} u) + 2\Delta_{N-1}(\partial_{x_N^2} u) + K_1 \partial_{x_N} u = 0, & \text{on } \Gamma, \end{cases} \quad (2.8)$$

where  $K_1 > 0$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} K_1 &= \int_Y \left( \Delta^2 \left( \frac{\partial V}{\partial y_N} \right) + \Delta_{N-1} \left( \frac{\partial(\Delta V)}{\partial y_N} \right) + \Delta_{N-1}^2 \left( \frac{\partial V}{\partial y_N} \right) \right) b(\bar{y}) d\bar{y} \\ &= \int_{Y \times (-\infty, 0)} |D^3 V|^2 dy, \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

where the function  $V$  is  $Y$ -periodic in the variables  $\bar{y}$  and satisfies the following microscopic problem

$$\begin{cases} \Delta^3 V = 0, & \text{in } Y \times (-\infty, 0), \\ V(\bar{y}, 0) = b(\bar{y}), & \text{on } Y, \\ -\partial_{y_N^2}(\Delta V) + 2\partial_{y_N^2}(\Delta_{N-1} V) = 0, & \text{on } Y, \\ \partial_{y_N^3} V = 0, & \text{on } Y. \end{cases} \quad (2.10)$$

Then we have the following

**Theorem 1.** For  $\epsilon \geq 0$  let  $\Omega_\epsilon \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  be defined by (1.1). Let  $A_{\Omega_\epsilon}$ ,  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $A_\Omega$ ,  $A_{\Omega,S}$ ,  $A_{\Omega,D}$ ,  $\hat{A}_\Omega$  be the operators defined above in (2.6), (2.7), (2.8). Then:

- (i) [Spectral stability] If  $\alpha > 5/2$ , then  $A_{\Omega_\epsilon}^{-1} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{C}} A_\Omega^{-1}$ .
- (ii) [Strange term] If  $\alpha = 5/2$ , then  $A_{\Omega_\epsilon}^{-1} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{C}} \hat{A}_\Omega^{-1}$ .
- (iii) [Mild instability] If  $3/2 < \alpha < 5/2$ , then  $A_{\Omega_\epsilon}^{-1} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{C}} A_{\Omega,S}^{-1}$ .
- (iv) [Strong instability] If  $\alpha \leq 1$ , then  $A_{\Omega_\epsilon}^{-1} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{C}} A_{\Omega,D}^{-1}$ .

In particular, the eigenvalues  $\lambda_j(\Omega_\epsilon)$ ,  $j \geq 1$ , of (1.2) converge as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$  to the eigenvalues of  $A_\Omega$  in case (i),  $\hat{A}_\Omega$  in case (ii),  $A_{\Omega,S}$  in case (iii) and  $A_{\Omega,D}$  in case (iv).

The compact convergence  $\xrightarrow{\mathcal{C}}$  in the previous theorem is defined in Definition 4. The novelty of Theorem 1 lies in the identification of the double instability effect, namely a first degeneration to SBC when  $\alpha \in (\frac{3}{2}, \frac{5}{2})$  and a further degeneration to Dirichlet when  $\alpha \leq 1$ . We immediately give a proof of item (i):

*Proof of Thm 1(i).* The follows from [21, Theorem 4], with  $m = 3$ ,  $k = 1$ .  $\square$

**Remark 1.** The results in Theorem 1 can be easily generalised to the case where  $\Omega$  has a piecewise flat boundary,  $\Omega_\epsilon$ ,  $\Omega$  belong to the same atlas class in the sense of [9, Definition 2.4] for all  $\epsilon > 0$ , and have an oscillating boundary locally described by (1.1). Indeed, if  $V$  is one of the chart in the common atlas class, using a partition of unity we can directly assume that

$$\Omega_\epsilon \cap V = \{(\bar{x}, x_N) \in \mathbb{R}^N : \bar{x} \in W, -1 < x_N < g_{V,\epsilon}(\bar{x})\}.$$

It is clear that if we allow  $\alpha > 0$  to be chart dependent, we may find a limiting boundary value problem with mixed boundary conditions. Nevertheless, the passage to the limit can be treated locally exactly as in Theorem 1. As an example, assume that the sequence of open sets  $(\Omega_\epsilon)_{\epsilon>0}$  has a common atlas given by three charts  $V_1$ ,  $V_2$  and  $V_3$ . Then up to a possible rotation and translation

$$\Omega_\epsilon \cap V_1 = \{(\bar{x}, x_N) \in \mathbb{R}^N : \bar{x} \in W, -1 < x_N < \epsilon^{\alpha_1} b_1(\bar{x}/\epsilon)\},$$

$$\Omega_\epsilon \cap V_2 = \{(\bar{x}, x_N) \in \mathbb{R}^N : \bar{x} \in W, -1 < x_N < \epsilon^{\alpha_2} b_2(\bar{x}/\epsilon)\},$$

$$\Omega_\epsilon \cap V_3 = \Omega \cap V_3, \quad \epsilon > 0,$$

with  $\alpha_1 > 5/2$ ,  $\alpha_2 \leq 1$ . Then the limiting boundary value problem in  $\Omega$  will be in the form

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta^3 u + u = \lambda u, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ (WBC), & \text{in } \Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_3, \\ (DBC), & \text{in } \Gamma_2, \end{cases}$$

where  $\partial\Omega = \Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2 \cup \Gamma_3$ ,  $\Gamma_j$  being the boundary of  $\Omega$  inside  $V_j$ ,  $j = 1, 2, 3$ .

**Remark 2.** The case  $\alpha \in (1, 3/2)$  in Theorem 1 remains at the moment open. The proof of Theorem 1 seems to suggest that  $\alpha = 3/2$  is not a critical threshold; in other words, we do not expect degeneration to the Dirichlet problem at  $\alpha = 3/2$ . The main difficulty is that the derivatives of  $T_\epsilon \varphi$ ,  $\varphi \in L^2(\Omega)$ , where  $T_\epsilon$  is the pullback operator defined in (3.2) have singularities that are balanced by neither the shrinking of the set  $\Omega_\epsilon$  when  $\alpha \in (1, 3/2)$ , nor by the vanishing of the traces of the eigenfunctions at the boundary. The construction of a more efficient extension operator  $T_\epsilon : H^3(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega) \rightarrow H^3(\Omega_\epsilon) \cap H_0^1(\Omega_\epsilon)$  is however even more challenging: note that the classically used Sobolev extension operators do not work here since they do not preserve the boundary conditions.

## 3. AUXILIARY RESULTS

• **A diffeomorphism between  $\Omega$  and  $\Omega_\epsilon$ .**

Let us define a diffeomorphism  $\Phi_\epsilon$  from  $\Omega_\epsilon$  to  $\Omega$  by

$$\Phi_\epsilon(\bar{x}, x_N) = (\bar{x}, x_N - h_\epsilon(\bar{x}, x_N)), \quad \text{for all } x = (\bar{x}, x_N) \in \Omega_\epsilon, \quad (3.1)$$

where  $h_\epsilon$  is defined by

$$h_\epsilon(\bar{x}, x_N) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } -1 \leq x_N \leq -\epsilon, \\ g_\epsilon(\bar{x}) \left( \frac{x_N + \epsilon}{g_\epsilon(\bar{x}) + \epsilon} \right)^4, & \text{if } -\epsilon \leq x_N \leq g_\epsilon(\bar{x}). \end{cases}$$

By standard calculus one can prove the following

**Lemma 1.** *The map  $\Phi_\epsilon$  is a diffeomorphism of class  $C^3$  and there exists a constant  $c > 0$  independent of  $\epsilon$  such that  $|h_\epsilon| \leq c\epsilon^\alpha$  and  $|D^l h_\epsilon| \leq c\epsilon^{\alpha-l}$ , for all  $l = 1, \dots, 3$ ,  $\epsilon > 0$  sufficiently small.*

We then introduce the pullback operator

$$T_\epsilon : L^2(\Omega) \rightarrow L^2(\Omega_\epsilon), \quad T_\epsilon u = u \circ \Phi_\epsilon \quad (3.2)$$

for all  $u \in L^2(\Omega)$ .

• **Unfolding method.**

We recall the following notation and results from [21] regarding the unfolding method. For any  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^{N-1}$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  we define

$$\begin{cases} C_\epsilon^k = \epsilon k + \epsilon Y, \\ I_{W, \epsilon} = \{k \in \mathbb{Z}^{N-1} : C_\epsilon^k \subset W\}, \\ \widehat{W}_\epsilon = \bigcup_{k \in I_{W, \epsilon}} C_\epsilon^k. \end{cases} \quad (3.3)$$

**Definition 1.** *Let  $u$  be a real-valued function defined in  $\Omega$ . For any  $\epsilon > 0$  sufficiently small the unfolding  $\hat{u}$  of  $u$  is the real-valued function defined on  $\widehat{W}_\epsilon \times Y \times (-1/\epsilon, 0)$  by*

$$\hat{u}(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, y_N) = u\left(\epsilon \left\lceil \frac{\bar{x}}{\epsilon} \right\rceil + \epsilon \bar{y}, \epsilon y_N\right),$$

for almost all  $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, y_N) \in \widehat{W}_\epsilon \times Y \times (-1/\epsilon, 0)$ , where  $[\bar{x}\epsilon^{-1}]$  denotes the integer part of the vector  $\bar{x}\epsilon^{-1}$  with respect to  $Y$ , i.e.,  $[\bar{x}\epsilon^{-1}] = k$  if and only if  $\bar{x} \in C_\epsilon^k$ .

The following lemma will be often used in the sequel. For a proof we refer to [12, Proposition 2.5(i)].

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $a \in [-1, 0[$  be fixed. Then*

$$\int_{\widehat{W}_\epsilon \times (a, 0)} u(x) dx = \epsilon \int_{\widehat{W}_\epsilon \times Y \times (a/\epsilon, 0)} \hat{u}(\bar{x}, y) d\bar{x} dy \quad (3.4)$$

for all  $u \in L^1(\Omega)$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  sufficiently small. Moreover

$$\int_{\widehat{W}_\epsilon \times (a, 0)} \left| \frac{\partial^l u(x)}{\partial x_{i_1} \cdots \partial x_{i_l}} \right|^2 dx = \epsilon^{1-2l} \int_{\widehat{W}_\epsilon \times Y \times (a/\epsilon, 0)} \left| \frac{\partial^l \hat{u}}{\partial y_{i_1} \cdots \partial y_{i_l}}(\bar{x}, y) d\bar{x} \right|^2 dy,$$

for all  $l \leq 3$ ,  $u \in H^3(\Omega)$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  sufficiently small.

Let  $H_{\text{Per}_Y, \text{loc}}^3(Y \times (-\infty, 0))$  be the subspace of  $H_{\text{loc}}^3(\mathbb{R}^{N-1} \times (-\infty, 0))$  containing  $Y$ -periodic functions in the first  $(N-1)$  variables  $\bar{y}$ . We then define  $H_{\text{loc}}^3(Y \times$



$(-\infty, 0)$  to be the space of functions in  $H_{\text{Pery}, \text{loc}}^3(Y \times (-\infty, 0))$  restricted to  $Y \times (-\infty, 0)$ . Finally we set

$$w_{\text{Pery}}^{3,2}(Y \times (-\infty, 0)) := \{u \in H_{\text{Pery}, \text{loc}}^3(Y \times (-\infty, 0)) : \|D^\gamma u\|_{L^2(Y \times (-\infty, 0))} < \infty, \forall |\gamma| = 3\}. \quad (3.5)$$

For any  $d < 0$ , let  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{hom}, y}^l(Y \times (d, 0))$  be the space of homogeneous polynomials of degree at most  $l$  restricted to the domain  $(Y \times (d, 0))$ . Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be fixed. We define the projectors  $P_i$  from  $L^2(\widehat{W}_\epsilon, H^3(Y \times (-1/\epsilon, 0)))$  to  $L^2(\widehat{W}_\epsilon, \mathcal{P}_{\text{hom}, y}^i(-1/\epsilon, 0))$  by setting

$$P_i(\psi) = \sum_{|\eta|=i} \int_Y D^\eta \psi(\bar{x}, \bar{\zeta}, 0) d\bar{\zeta} \frac{y^\eta}{\eta!}$$

for all  $i = 0, 1, 2$ . We now set  $Q_2 = P_2$ ,  $Q_1 = P_1(\mathbb{I} - Q_1)$ ,  $Q_0 = P_0(\mathbb{I} - \sum_{j=1}^2 Q_j)$ . Note that  $Q_{3-j}$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, 3$  is a projection on the space of homogeneous polynomials of degree  $3-j$ , with the property that  $Q_{3-k}(p) = 0$  for all polynomials  $p$  of degree  $3-k$  with  $k \neq j$ . We finally set

$$\mathcal{P} = Q_0 + Q_1 + Q_2, \quad (3.6)$$

which is a projector on the space of polynomials in  $y$  of degree at most 2. Note that  $D_y^\beta \mathcal{P}(\psi)(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, 0) = \int_Y D_y^\beta \psi(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, 0) d\bar{y}$  for all  $|\beta| = 0, \dots, 2$ .

**Lemma 3.** *The following statements hold:*

(i) *Let  $v_\epsilon \in H^3(\Omega)$  with  $\|\hat{v}_\epsilon\|_{H^3(\Omega)} \leq M$ , for all  $\epsilon > 0$ . Let  $V_\epsilon$  be defined by*

$$V_\epsilon(\bar{x}, y) = \hat{v}_\epsilon(\bar{x}, y) - \mathcal{P}(v_\epsilon)(\bar{x}, y),$$

*for  $(\bar{x}, y) \in \widehat{W}_\epsilon \times Y \times (-1/\epsilon, 0)$ , where  $\mathcal{P}$  is defined by (3.6). Then there exists a function  $\hat{v} \in L^2(W, w_{\text{Pery}}^{3,2}(Y \times (-\infty, 0)))$  such that for every  $d < 0$*

- (a)  $\frac{D_y^\gamma V_\epsilon}{\epsilon^{5/2}} \rightharpoonup D_y^\gamma \hat{v}$  in  $L^2(W \times Y \times (d, 0))$  as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ , for any  $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}_0^N$ ,  $|\gamma| \leq 2$ .
- (b)  $\frac{D_y^\gamma V_\epsilon}{\epsilon^{5/2}} \rightharpoonup D_y^\gamma \hat{v}$  in  $L^2(W \times Y \times (-\infty, 0))$  as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ , for any  $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}_0^N$ ,  $|\gamma| = 3$ ,

*where it is understood that the functions  $V_\epsilon, D_y^\gamma V_\epsilon$  are extended by zero to the whole of  $W \times Y \times (-\infty, 0)$  outside their natural domain of definition  $\widehat{W}_\epsilon \times Y \times (-1/\epsilon, 0)$ .*

(ii) *If  $\psi \in W^{1,2}(\Omega)$ , then  $\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} (\widehat{T_\epsilon \psi})|_\Omega = \psi(\bar{x}, 0)$  in  $L^2(W \times Y \times (-1, 0))$ .*

### • Tangential Calculus.

Recall now the following standard definitions of the tangential differential operators. We refer to [19, Chapter 9] for details and further information. Given  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  let  $d_A$  be the Euclidean distance function from  $A$ , defined by  $d_A(x) = \inf_{y \in A} |x - y|$ . We define the oriented distance function  $b_A$  from  $A$  by

$$b_A(x) = d_A(x) - d_{A^c}(x),$$

for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ . Let now  $\Omega$  be an bounded open set of class  $C^2$ . In this case  $b_\Omega$  coincides with the signed distance from  $\partial\Omega$ . It is well-known that there exists  $h > 0$  and a tubular neighbourhood  $S_{2h}(\partial\Omega)$  of radius  $h$  such that  $b_\Omega \in C^2(S_{2h}(\partial\Omega))$ , see [20]. We define the projection of a point  $x$  to  $\partial\Omega$  by

$$p(x) = x - b_\Omega(x) \nabla b_\Omega(x), \quad (3.7)$$

for all  $x \in S_{2h}(\partial\Omega)$ . If  $f \in C^0(\partial\Omega)$  we write  $(f)_{\partial\Omega} = (f \circ p)|_{\partial\Omega}$ .

**Definition 2.** Let  $\Omega$  be an bounded open set of class  $C^2$  and let  $h > 0$  be such that  $b_\Omega \in C^2(S_{2h}(\partial\Omega))$ . Let  $f \in C^1(\partial\Omega)$  and let  $F \in C^1(S_{2h}(\partial\Omega))$  be a  $C^1$  extension of  $f$  to  $S_{2h}(\partial\Omega)$  (that is,  $F|_{\partial\Omega} = f$ ). We define the tangential gradient of  $f$  on  $\partial\Omega$  by

$$\nabla_{\partial\Omega} f = \nabla F|_{\partial\Omega} - \frac{\partial F}{\partial n} n.$$

**Definition 3.** Let  $N \geq 1$ ,  $v \in C^1(\partial\Omega)^N$ . We define the tangential Jacobian matrix of  $v$  by  $D_{\partial\Omega} v = D(v \circ p)|_{\partial\Omega}$  and the tangential divergence of  $v$  by  $\operatorname{div}_{\partial\Omega}(v \circ p)|_{\partial\Omega} = \operatorname{tr}(D_{\partial\Omega} v)$ . Assume now  $\Omega$  is of class  $C^3$  and  $f \in C^2(\partial\Omega)$ . We define the Laplace-Beltrami operator of  $f$  by

$$\Delta_{\partial\Omega} f = \Delta(f \circ p)|_{\partial\Omega} = \operatorname{div}_{\partial\Omega}(\nabla_{\partial\Omega} f),$$

and similarly we define the tangential Hessian matrix by  $D_{\partial\Omega}^2 f = D_{\partial\Omega}(\nabla_{\partial\Omega} f)$ .

We conclude this section recalling the following important

**Theorem 2** (Tangential Divergence Theorem). Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded open set of class  $C^2$  and let  $v \in C^1(\partial\Omega)^N$ . Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be the trace of the second fundamental form of  $\partial\Omega$ . Then

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} \operatorname{div}_{\partial\Omega} v \, dS = \int_{\partial\Omega} \mathcal{H}(v \cdot n) \, dS. \quad (3.8)$$

Let  $f \in C^1(\partial\Omega)$ . Then

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} (f \operatorname{div}_{\partial\Omega} v + \nabla_{\partial\Omega} f \cdot v) \, dS = \int_{\partial\Omega} \mathcal{H} f (v \cdot n) \, dS. \quad (3.9)$$

*Proof.* We refer to [19, §5.5 Chapter 9].  $\square$

#### 4. SPECTRAL EXACTNESS AND SPECTRAL STABILITY

Let  $(\mathcal{H}_\epsilon)_{\epsilon \in [0,1]}$  be a family of Hilbert spaces. Let  $(\mathcal{E}_\epsilon)_{\epsilon \in (0,1]}$  be a connecting system for  $(\mathcal{H}_\epsilon)_{\epsilon \in [0,1]}$ , that is,  $\mathcal{E}_\epsilon \in L(\mathcal{H}_0, \mathcal{H}_\epsilon)$ ,  $\epsilon \in (0,1]$ , and

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \|\mathcal{E}_\epsilon u\|_{\mathcal{H}_\epsilon} = \|u\|_{\mathcal{H}_0}$$

for every  $u \in \mathcal{H}_0$ .

We recall the following definitions.

**Definition 4.** Let  $(\mathcal{H}_\epsilon)_{\epsilon \in [0,1]}$  and  $\mathcal{E}_\epsilon$  be as above.

- (i) Let  $u_\epsilon \in \mathcal{H}_\epsilon$ ,  $\epsilon > 0$ . We say that  $u_\epsilon$   $\mathcal{E}$ -converges to  $u$  as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$  if  $\|u_\epsilon - \mathcal{E}_\epsilon u\|_{\mathcal{H}_\epsilon} \rightarrow 0$  as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ . We write  $u_\epsilon \xrightarrow{\mathcal{E}} u$ .
- (ii) Let  $B_\epsilon \in L(\mathcal{H}_\epsilon)$ ,  $\epsilon > 0$ . We say that  $B_\epsilon$   $\mathcal{E}$   $\mathcal{E}$ -converges to a linear operator  $B_0 \in L(\mathcal{H}_0)$  if  $B_\epsilon u_\epsilon \xrightarrow{\mathcal{E}} B_0 u$  whenever  $u_\epsilon \xrightarrow{\mathcal{E}} u \in \mathcal{H}_0$ . We write  $B_\epsilon \xrightarrow{\mathcal{E} \mathcal{E}} B_0$ .
- (iii) Let  $B_\epsilon \in L(\mathcal{H}_\epsilon)$ ,  $\epsilon > 0$ . We say that  $B_\epsilon$  compactly converges to  $B_0 \in L(\mathcal{H}_0)$  (and we write  $B_\epsilon \xrightarrow{\mathcal{C}} B_0$ ) if the following two conditions are satisfied:
  - (a)  $B_\epsilon \xrightarrow{\mathcal{E} \mathcal{E}} B_0$  as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ ;
  - (b) for any family  $u_\epsilon \in \mathcal{H}_\epsilon$ ,  $\epsilon > 0$ , such that  $\|u_\epsilon\|_{\mathcal{H}_\epsilon} = 1$  for all  $\epsilon \in (0,1)$ , there exists a subsequence  $B_{\epsilon_k} u_{\epsilon_k}$  of  $B_\epsilon u_\epsilon$  and  $\bar{u} \in \mathcal{H}_0$  such that  $B_{\epsilon_k} u_{\epsilon_k} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{E}} \bar{u}$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Definition 5.** Let  $T, T_n$  be closed operators in  $\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_n$  respectively,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

- (1) The sequence  $(T_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is called spectrally inclusive if for every  $\lambda \in \sigma(T)$ , there exists a sequence  $(\lambda_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ ,  $\lambda_n \in \sigma(T_n)$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\lambda_n \rightarrow \lambda$ .
- (2) We say that spectral pollution occurs for  $(T_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  if there exists  $\lambda \in \varrho(T)$  and  $\lambda_n \in \sigma(T_n)$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\lambda_n \rightarrow \lambda$ .

(3) *The sequence  $(T_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is called spectrally exact if it is spectrally inclusive and no spectral pollution occurs.*

Let  $(A_\epsilon)_{\epsilon \in [0,1]}$  be a family of closed densely defined linear operators,  $A_\epsilon \in C(\mathcal{H}_\epsilon)$ ,  $\epsilon \in [0, 1]$ . Assume that:

$$(A1)(a): \exists \lambda_0 \in \bigcap_{\epsilon \in [0,1]} \varrho(A_\epsilon), (A_\epsilon - \lambda_0)^{-1} \text{ compact } \epsilon \in [0, 1],$$

$$(A1)(b) (A_\epsilon - \lambda_0)^{-1} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{C}} (A_0 - \lambda_0)^{-1} \text{ as } \epsilon \rightarrow 0.$$

Then [6, Theorem 2.6] implies that  $(A_\epsilon)_{\epsilon \in (0,1]}$  is a spectrally exact approximation of  $A_0$ . Consider now the following setting. Let  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , and let  $\Omega$  be an open set of  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . Let  $M$  be the number of multi-indices  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N) \in \mathbb{N}_0^N$  with length  $|\alpha| = |\alpha_1| + \dots + |\alpha_N| = m$ . For all  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^N$  with  $|\alpha| = |\beta| = m$  let  $c_{\alpha\beta}$  be bounded measurable real-valued functions defined on  $\mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $c_{\alpha\beta} = c_{\beta\alpha}$  such that

$$\sum_{|\alpha|=|\beta|=m} c_{\alpha\beta}(x) \xi_\alpha \xi_\beta \geq 0,$$

for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $(\xi_\alpha)_{|\alpha|=m} \in \mathbb{R}^m$ . For all measurable open sets  $\Omega \in \mathbb{R}^N$  we define

$$Q_\Omega(u, v) = \int_\Omega \left( c_{\alpha\beta} D^\alpha u D^\beta v + uv \right) dx \quad (4.1)$$

Let  $V(\Omega)$  be a linear subspace of  $H^m(\Omega)$  containing  $H_0^m(\Omega)$ . Assume that  $V(\Omega)$  endowed with the norm  $Q_\Omega(\cdot)^{1/2}$  is complete. Then there exists a unique self-adjoint operator  $A_{V(\Omega)}$  such that

$$Q_\Omega(u, v) = (A_{V(\Omega)}^{1/2} u, A_{V(\Omega)}^{1/2} v) \quad (4.2)$$

for all  $u, v \in V(\Omega)$ .

For  $\epsilon \geq 0$ , let  $\Omega_\epsilon$  be a bounded domain of  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . In this setting we can give the following

**Definition 6.** *Let  $W(\Omega_0)$  be a linear subspace of  $H^m(\Omega_0)$  containing  $H_0^m(\Omega_0)$ . Assume that  $W(\Omega_0)$  endowed with the norm  $Q_{\Omega_0}^{1/2}$  is complete. The sequence of operators  $(A_{V(\Omega_\epsilon)})_{\epsilon > 0} \cup \{A_{W(\Omega_0)}\}$ , defined as in (4.2) with  $\Omega$  replaced by  $\Omega_\epsilon$ , and  $Q_{\Omega_\epsilon}$  as in (4.1) for all  $\epsilon > 0$ , is said to be spectrally stable if  $(A_{V(\Omega_\epsilon)})_{\epsilon > 0}$  is a spectrally exact approximation of  $A_{W(\Omega_0)}$  and  $W(\Omega_0) = V(\Omega_0)$ .*

With Definition 6, [2, Theorem 3.5] can be rephrased as:

**Theorem 3.** *Assume that Condition (C), see [2, Definition 3.1], is satisfied by the sequence of operators  $A_{V(\Omega_\epsilon)}$ ,  $A_{V(\Omega)}$  associated with the quadratic forms  $Q_{\Omega_\epsilon}$ ,  $Q_\Omega$ . Then the sequence of operators  $(A_{V(\Omega_\epsilon)})_{\epsilon > 0}$  is spectrally stable.*

## 5. PROOF OF THEOREM 1(III), (IV)

To prove (iii) and (iv) in Theorem 1, we will show that Condition (C), see [2, Definition 3.1], holds for the operators  $A_{\Omega_\epsilon}$  associated to (1.2). An application of Theorem 3 will then prove the claims.

Establishing Condition (C) will require several lemmata. We first establish a general lemma concerning the limiting boundary behaviour of sequences  $(u_\epsilon)_\epsilon$  such that  $u_\epsilon \in H^3(\Omega_\epsilon) \cap H_0^1(\Omega_\epsilon)$  and  $\|u_\epsilon\|_{H^3(\Omega_\epsilon)} < \infty$ , for all  $\epsilon > 0$ .

For  $\epsilon > 0$ , we define

$$\Omega_\epsilon^\sharp = \{(\bar{x}, x_N) \in \mathbb{R}^N : \bar{x} \in \overline{W}, -1 \leq x_N < g_\epsilon(\bar{x})\}, \quad \Omega^\sharp = \overline{W} \times [-1, 0),$$

and for any  $l \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\epsilon > 0$  we set

$$H_{0,*}^l(\Omega_\epsilon) = \overline{C_c^\infty(\Omega_\epsilon^\#)}^{H^l(\Omega_\epsilon)}, \quad H_{0,*}^l(\Omega) = \overline{C_c^\infty(\Omega^\#)}^{H^l(\Omega)}.$$

In the case of sequence of functions in  $(u_\epsilon)_{\epsilon>0}$ ,  $u_\epsilon \in H^3(\Omega_\epsilon) \cap H_0^1(\Omega_\epsilon)$ , we have the following result

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $Y = [-1/2, 1/2]^{N-1}$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\alpha > 0$ . Let  $\Omega = W \times (-1, 0)$ , where  $W \subset \mathbb{R}^{N-1}$  is bounded domain of class  $C^3$ . Let  $\Omega_\epsilon$  be as in (1.1). Let  $(u_\epsilon)_{\epsilon>0}$  be such that  $H^3(\Omega_\epsilon) \cap H_{0,*}^1(\Omega_\epsilon)$  for all  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $u_\epsilon|_\Omega \rightarrow u$  weakly in  $H^3(\Omega)$ . Let also  $\hat{u} \in L^2(W, H^3(Y \times (-1, 0)))$  be defined by (5.18). Then:*

- (i) *If  $\alpha > 5/2$  then  $u \in H^3(\Omega) \cap H_{0,*}^1(\Omega)$ ;*
- (ii) *If  $\alpha = 5/2$  then  $u \in H^3(\Omega) \cap H_{0,*}^1(\Omega)$  and for  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, N-1\}$ ,*

$$\frac{\partial^2 \hat{u}}{\partial y_i \partial y_j}(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, 0) = -\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_N}(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, 0) \frac{\partial^2 b(\bar{y})}{\partial y_i \partial y_j}. \quad (5.1)$$

- (iii) *If  $0 < \alpha < 5/2$  then  $u \in H^3(\Omega) \cap H_{0,*}^2(\Omega)$ ;*
- (iv) *If  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$  then  $u \in H_{0,*}^3(\Omega)$*

*Proof.* Fix  $0 < \epsilon < 1$ . We find convenient to treat first the case  $\alpha \geq 3/2$ . Since  $u_\epsilon \in H_0^1(\Omega_\epsilon)$

$$u_\epsilon(\bar{x}, g_\epsilon(\bar{x})) = 0, \quad \text{for a.e. } \bar{x} \in W. \quad (5.2)$$

Note that the function  $u_\epsilon(\cdot, g_\epsilon(\cdot)) \in H^{5/2}(W) \subset H^2(W)$ . Differentiation (5.2) with respect to  $x_i$  and then with respect to  $x_j$ ,  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, N-1\}$  gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial^2 u_\epsilon}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(\bar{x}, g_\epsilon(\bar{x})) + \frac{\partial^2 u_\epsilon}{\partial x_i \partial x_N}(\bar{x}, g_\epsilon(\bar{x})) \frac{\partial g_\epsilon(\bar{x})}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial^2 u_\epsilon}{\partial x_j \partial x_N}(\bar{x}, g_\epsilon(\bar{x})) \frac{\partial g_\epsilon(\bar{x})}{\partial x_i} \\ & + \frac{\partial^2 u_\epsilon}{\partial x_N^2}(\bar{x}, g_\epsilon(\bar{x})) \frac{\partial g_\epsilon(\bar{x})}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial g_\epsilon(\bar{x})}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial u_\epsilon}{\partial x_N}(\bar{x}, g_\epsilon(\bar{x})) \frac{\partial^2 g_\epsilon(\bar{x})}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (5.3)$$

for a.e.  $\bar{x} \in W$ . For  $v \in H^1(\Omega_\epsilon)$ , let  $\hat{v}(\bar{x}, y)$  for all  $\bar{x} \in \widehat{W}_\epsilon$ ,  $\bar{y} \in Y$ ,  $y_N \in (-1/\epsilon, \epsilon^{\alpha-1}b(\bar{y}))$  be as in Definition 1. It is understood that  $\hat{v}$  is set to be zero for all  $\bar{x} \in W \setminus \widehat{W}_\epsilon$ .

To shorten the notation, define  $y_\epsilon := \epsilon^{\alpha-1}b(\bar{y})$ ,  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $\bar{y} \in W$ , and note that by periodicity of  $b$ ,  $b(\bar{y}) = b([\bar{x}/\epsilon] + \bar{y}) = \epsilon^{-\alpha} \hat{g}_\epsilon(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$  for all  $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in C_\epsilon^k \times Y$ .

An application of the unfolding operator to equality (5.3), with the help of Lemma 2 gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \frac{\partial^2 \hat{u}_\epsilon}{\partial y_i \partial y_j}(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, y_\epsilon) + \frac{\epsilon^{\alpha-1}}{\epsilon^2} \frac{\partial^2 \hat{u}_\epsilon}{\partial y_i \partial y_N}(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, y_\epsilon) \frac{\partial b(\bar{y})}{\partial y_j} + \frac{\epsilon^{\alpha-1}}{\epsilon^2} \frac{\partial^2 \hat{u}_\epsilon}{\partial y_j \partial y_N}(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, y_\epsilon) \frac{\partial b(\bar{y})}{\partial y_i} \\ & + \frac{\epsilon^{2\alpha-2}}{\epsilon^2} \frac{\partial^2 \hat{u}_\epsilon}{\partial y_N^2}(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, y_\epsilon) \frac{\partial b(\bar{y})}{\partial y_i} \frac{\partial b(\bar{y})}{\partial y_j} + \frac{\epsilon^{\alpha-2}}{\epsilon} \frac{\partial \hat{u}_\epsilon}{\partial y_N}(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, y_\epsilon) \frac{\partial^2 b(\bar{y})}{\partial y_i \partial y_j} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

for a.e.  $\bar{x} \in W$ , for a.e.  $\bar{y} \in Y$ . Define

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\Psi}_\epsilon(\bar{x}, y) &= \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \frac{\partial^2 \hat{u}_\epsilon}{\partial y_i \partial y_j}(\bar{x}, y) + \frac{\epsilon^{\alpha-1}}{\epsilon^2} \frac{\partial^2 \hat{u}_\epsilon}{\partial y_i \partial y_N}(\bar{x}, y) \frac{\partial b(\bar{y})}{\partial y_j} + \frac{\epsilon^{\alpha-1}}{\epsilon^2} \frac{\partial^2 \hat{u}_\epsilon}{\partial y_j \partial y_N}(\bar{x}, y) \frac{\partial b(\bar{y})}{\partial y_i} \\ & + \frac{\epsilon^{2\alpha-2}}{\epsilon^2} \frac{\partial^2 \hat{u}_\epsilon}{\partial y_N^2}(\bar{x}, y) \frac{\partial b(\bar{y})}{\partial y_i} \frac{\partial b(\bar{y})}{\partial y_j} + \frac{\epsilon^{\alpha-2}}{\epsilon} \frac{\partial \hat{u}_\epsilon}{\partial y_N}(\bar{x}, y) \frac{\partial^2 b(\bar{y})}{\partial y_i \partial y_j}, \end{aligned}$$

for a.e.  $\bar{x} \in W$ , for a.e.  $\bar{y} \in Y$ . Let also  $\hat{Y} := \{y \in \mathbb{R}^N : \bar{y} \in Y, -1 < y_N < \epsilon^{\alpha-1}b(\bar{y})\}$ . Then  $\hat{\Psi}_\epsilon \in L^2(W, H^1(\hat{Y}))$ .

Since  $\hat{\Psi}_\epsilon(\bar{x}, y, y_\epsilon) = 0$  we have that  $|\hat{\Psi}_\epsilon(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, 0)| \leq \int_0^{y_\epsilon} |\partial_{y_N} \hat{\Psi}_\epsilon(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, t)| dt$  for a.e.  $\bar{x} \in W$ ,  $\bar{y} \in Y$ , from which we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} |\hat{\Psi}_\epsilon(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, 0)| &\leq (\epsilon^{\alpha-1} \|b\|_\infty)^{1/2} \left[ \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \left\| \frac{\partial^3 \hat{u}_\epsilon}{\partial y_i \partial y_j \partial y_N}(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \cdot) \right\|_{L^2(0, y_\epsilon)} \right. \\ &+ \frac{\epsilon^{\alpha-1}}{\epsilon^2} \|\nabla b\|_\infty \left\| \frac{\partial^3 \hat{u}_\epsilon}{\partial y_i \partial y_N^2}(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \cdot) \right\|_{L^2(0, y_\epsilon)} + \frac{\epsilon^{\alpha-1}}{\epsilon^2} \|\nabla b\|_\infty \left\| \frac{\partial^3 \hat{u}_\epsilon}{\partial y_j \partial y_N^2}(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \cdot) \right\|_{L^2(0, y_\epsilon)} \\ &\left. + \frac{\epsilon^{2\alpha-2}}{\epsilon^2} \|\nabla b\|_\infty^2 \left\| \frac{\partial^3 \hat{u}_\epsilon}{\partial y_N^3}(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \cdot) \right\|_{L^2(0, y_\epsilon)} + \frac{\epsilon^{\alpha-2}}{\epsilon} \|D^2 b\|_\infty \left\| \frac{\partial^2 \hat{u}_\epsilon}{\partial y_N^2}(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \cdot) \right\|_{L^2(0, y_\epsilon)} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (5.4)$$

Let us define  $\hat{Y}_{>0} := \hat{Y} \cap \{y_N \in \mathbb{R} : y_N > 0\}$ . We square both hand sides of (5.4) and integrate over  $W \times Y$  to get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_W \int_Y |\hat{\Psi}_\epsilon(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, 0)|^2 d\bar{y} d\bar{x} &\leq C(\|b\|_{C^2(Y)}^2 + \|\nabla b\|_\infty^4) \epsilon^{\alpha-1} \left[ \frac{1}{\epsilon^4} \|D_y^3 \hat{u}_\epsilon\|_{L^2(W \times \hat{Y}_{>0})}^2 \right. \\ &+ \frac{\epsilon^{2\alpha-2}}{\epsilon^4} \|D_y^3 \hat{u}_\epsilon\|_{L^2(W \times \hat{Y}_{>0})}^2 + \frac{\epsilon^{4\alpha-4}}{\epsilon^4} \|D_y^3 \hat{u}_\epsilon\|_{L^2(W \times \hat{Y}_{>0})}^2 + \frac{\epsilon^{2\alpha-4}}{\epsilon^2} \left\| \frac{\partial^2 \hat{u}_\epsilon}{\partial y_N^2} \right\|_{L^2(W \times \hat{Y}_{>0})}^2 \left. \right], \end{aligned} \quad (5.5)$$

Due to (3.4) and some basic estimates, (5.5) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\hat{\Psi}_\epsilon(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, 0)\|_{L^2(W \times Y)}^2 &\leq C \|D^3 u_\epsilon\|_{L^2(\Omega_\epsilon)}^2 (\epsilon^\alpha + \epsilon^{3\alpha-2} + \epsilon^{5\alpha-4}) + C \epsilon^{3\alpha-4} \left\| \frac{\partial^2 u_\epsilon}{\partial x_N^2} \right\|_{L^2(\Omega_\epsilon \setminus \Omega)}^2 \\ &\leq C(\epsilon^\alpha + \epsilon^{4\alpha-4}) + o(\epsilon^\alpha) \end{aligned} \quad (5.6)$$

where in the last inequality we used the classical one-dimensional Sobolev estimate  $\|\partial_{x_N^2}^2 u_\epsilon\|_{L^2(\Omega_\epsilon \setminus \Omega)}^2 \leq C |\Omega_\epsilon \setminus \Omega| \|\partial_{x_N^2}^2 u_\epsilon\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega_\epsilon)}^2$ , for some  $C > 0$ , which holds since  $\partial_{x_N^2}^2 u_\epsilon$  is in  $H^1(\Omega_\epsilon)$ ,  $\epsilon > 0$ , with uniformly bounded norm. Note that since  $\alpha \geq 3/2 > 1$ , (5.6) implies

$$\int_W \int_Y \epsilon^{-1} \left| \hat{\Psi}_\epsilon(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, 0) - \int_Y \hat{\Psi}_\epsilon(\bar{x}, \bar{z}, 0) d\bar{z} \right|^2 d\bar{y} d\bar{x} = O(\epsilon^{\alpha-1}) \rightarrow 0, \quad (5.7)$$

as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ . We can rewrite (5.7) as

$$\int_W \int_Y |T_1 + \dots + T_5|^2 d\bar{y} d\bar{x} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } \epsilon \rightarrow 0, \quad (5.8)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
T_1 &= \frac{1}{\epsilon^{5/2}} \left( \frac{\partial^2 \hat{u}_\epsilon}{\partial y_i \partial y_j}(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, 0) - \int_Y \frac{\partial^2 \hat{u}_\epsilon}{\partial y_i \partial y_j}(\bar{x}, \bar{z}, 0) d\bar{z} \right); \\
T_2 &= \frac{\epsilon^{\alpha-1}}{\epsilon^{5/2}} \left( \frac{\partial^2 \hat{u}_\epsilon}{\partial y_i \partial y_N}(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, 0) \frac{\partial b(\bar{y})}{\partial y_j} - \int_Y \frac{\partial^2 \hat{u}_\epsilon}{\partial y_i \partial y_N}(\bar{x}, \bar{z}, 0) \frac{\partial b(\bar{z})}{\partial y_j} d\bar{z} \right); \\
T_3 &= \frac{\epsilon^{\alpha-1}}{\epsilon^{5/2}} \left( \frac{\partial^2 \hat{u}_\epsilon}{\partial y_j \partial y_N}(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, 0) \frac{\partial b(\bar{y})}{\partial y_i} - \int_Y \frac{\partial^2 \hat{u}_\epsilon}{\partial y_j \partial y_N}(\bar{x}, \bar{z}, 0) \frac{\partial b(\bar{z})}{\partial y_i} d\bar{z} \right); \\
T_4 &= \frac{\epsilon^{2\alpha-2}}{\epsilon^{5/2}} \left( \frac{\partial^2 \hat{u}_\epsilon}{\partial y_N^2}(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, 0) \frac{\partial b(\bar{y})}{\partial y_i} \frac{\partial b(\bar{y})}{\partial y_j} - \int_Y \frac{\partial^2 \hat{u}_\epsilon}{\partial y_N^2}(\bar{x}, \bar{z}, 0) \frac{\partial b(\bar{z})}{\partial y_i} \frac{\partial b(\bar{z})}{\partial y_j} d\bar{z} \right); \\
T_5 &= \frac{\epsilon^{\alpha-2}}{\epsilon^{3/2}} \left( \frac{\partial \hat{u}_\epsilon}{\partial y_N}(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, 0) \frac{\partial^2 b(\bar{y})}{\partial y_i \partial y_j} - \int_Y \frac{\partial \hat{u}_\epsilon}{\partial y_N}(\bar{x}, \bar{z}, 0) \frac{\partial^2 b(\bar{z})}{\partial y_i \partial y_j} d\bar{z} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Recall that the function  $U_\epsilon$  defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
U_\epsilon(\bar{x}, y) &= \hat{u}_\epsilon(\bar{x}, y) - \int_Y \left( \hat{u}_\epsilon(\bar{x}, \bar{\zeta}, 0) - \sum_{|\eta|=2} \int_Y D_y^\eta \hat{u}_\epsilon(\bar{x}, \bar{\zeta}, 0) d\bar{\zeta} \right) \frac{\bar{\zeta}^\eta}{\eta!} d\bar{\zeta} \\
&\quad - \int_Y \nabla_y \hat{u}_\epsilon(\bar{x}, \bar{\zeta}, 0) d\bar{\zeta} \cdot y - \sum_{|\eta|=2} \int_Y D_y^\eta \hat{u}_\epsilon(\bar{x}, \bar{\zeta}, 0) d\bar{\zeta} \frac{y^\eta}{\eta!},
\end{aligned}$$

is such that the sequence  $(\epsilon^{-5/2} U_\epsilon)$  is uniformly bounded in  $L^2(W, H^3(Y \times (d, 0)))$ , for any  $d < 0$ , see Lemma 3. Note also that  $D_y^\eta U_\epsilon = D_y^\eta \hat{u}_\epsilon - \int_Y D_y^\eta \hat{u}_\epsilon(\cdot, \bar{z}, \cdot) d\bar{z}$  for any  $|\eta| = 2$ . Using these facts we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_W \int_Y |T_1|^2 d\bar{y} d\bar{x} &= \int_W \int_Y \left| \epsilon^{-5/2} \frac{\partial^2 U_\epsilon}{\partial y_i \partial y_j}(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, 0) \right|^2 d\bar{y} d\bar{x} \\
&\leq C \left\| \epsilon^{-5/2} \frac{\partial^2 U_\epsilon}{\partial y_i \partial y_j} \right\|_{L^2(W, H^1(Y \times (-1, 0)))}^2 \\
&\leq C \left\| \epsilon^{-5/2} D_y^3 \hat{u}_\epsilon \right\|_{L^2(W \times Y \times (-1, 0))}^2 \leq C \|D^3 u_\epsilon\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2,
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used a trace inequality, the Poincaré-Wirtinger inequality, and the exact integration formula (3.4). Hence  $T_1$  is bounded in  $L^2(W \times Y)$ , uniformly in  $\epsilon > 0$ .

Consider now  $T_2$ . Note that the function  $\frac{\partial b}{\partial y_j}$  has null average over  $Y$  because of periodicity. Hence,

$$\int_Y \frac{\partial^2 \hat{u}_\epsilon}{\partial y_i \partial y_N}(\bar{x}, \bar{z}, 0) \frac{\partial b(\bar{z})}{\partial y_j} d\bar{z} = \int_Y \frac{\partial b(\bar{z})}{\partial y_j} \left( \frac{\partial^2 \hat{u}_\epsilon}{\partial y_i \partial y_N}(\bar{x}, \bar{z}, 0) - \int_Y \frac{\partial^2 \hat{u}_\epsilon}{\partial y_i \partial y_N}(\bar{x}, \bar{t}, 0) d\bar{t} \right) d\bar{z}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_W \int_Y \epsilon^{2\alpha-2-5} \left| \int_Y \frac{\partial b(\bar{z})}{\partial y_j} \left( \frac{\partial^2 \hat{u}_\epsilon}{\partial y_i \partial y_N}(\bar{x}, \bar{z}, 0) - \int_Y \frac{\partial^2 \hat{u}_\epsilon}{\partial y_i \partial y_N}(\bar{x}, \bar{t}, 0) d\bar{t} \right) d\bar{z} \right|^2 d\bar{y} d\bar{x} \\
&\leq C \frac{\epsilon^{2\alpha}}{\epsilon^2} \int_W \int_Y \int_Y \left| \epsilon^{-5/2} \left( \frac{\partial^2 \hat{u}_\epsilon}{\partial y_i \partial y_N}(\bar{x}, \bar{z}, 0) - \int_Y \frac{\partial^2 \hat{u}_\epsilon}{\partial y_i \partial y_N}(\bar{x}, \bar{t}, 0) d\bar{t} \right) \right|^2 d\bar{z} d\bar{y} d\bar{x} \quad (5.9) \\
&\leq C \epsilon^{2\alpha-2} \left\| \epsilon^{-5/2} \partial_{y_i y_N}^2 U_\epsilon(\cdot, \cdot, 0) \right\|_{L^2(W \times Y)}^2 \\
&\leq C \epsilon^{2\alpha-2} \left\| \epsilon^{-5/2} D_y^3 \hat{u}_\epsilon \right\|_{L^2(W \times Y \times (-1, 0))}^2 \rightarrow 0,
\end{aligned}$$

as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ , for all  $\alpha > 1$ . We deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_W \int_Y |T_2|^2 d\bar{y} d\bar{x} &\leq C \int_W \int_Y \left| \frac{\epsilon^{\alpha-1}}{\epsilon^{5/2}} \left( \frac{\partial^2 \hat{u}_\epsilon}{\partial y_i \partial y_N}(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, 0) \frac{\partial b(\bar{y})}{\partial y_j} \right) \right|^2 d\bar{y} d\bar{x} \\ &\quad + C \int_W \int_Y \left| \int_Y \epsilon^{\alpha-1-5/2} \frac{\partial^2 \hat{u}_\epsilon}{\partial y_i \partial y_N}(\bar{x}, \bar{z}, 0) \frac{\partial b(\bar{z})}{\partial y_j} d\bar{z} \right|^2 d\bar{y} d\bar{x} \\ &\leq C \int_W \int_Y \left| \frac{\epsilon^\alpha}{\epsilon^{3/2}} \left( \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \frac{\partial^2 \hat{u}_\epsilon}{\partial y_i \partial y_N}(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, 0) \frac{\partial b(\bar{y})}{\partial y_j} \right) \right|^2 d\bar{y} d\bar{x} + o(1), \end{aligned} \quad (5.10)$$

as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ . We claim that

$$\frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \frac{\partial^2 \hat{u}_\epsilon}{\partial y_i \partial y_N}(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, 0) \frac{\partial b(\bar{y})}{\partial y_j} \rightarrow \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_N}(\bar{x}, 0) \frac{\partial b(\bar{y})}{\partial y_j}, \quad (5.11)$$

in  $L^2(W \times Y)$  as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ . Since  $u_\epsilon|_\Omega \rightarrow u$  weakly in  $H^3(\Omega)$ , by the compactness of the trace operator we have that

$$\frac{\partial^2 u_\epsilon}{\partial x_i \partial x_N}(\bar{x}, 0) \rightarrow \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_N}(\bar{x}, 0), \quad (5.12)$$

in  $L^2(W)$ , as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ . Now define

$$\overline{\frac{\partial^2 u_\epsilon}{\partial x_i \partial x_N}}(\bar{x}) := \frac{1}{\epsilon^{N-1}} \int_{C_\epsilon(\bar{x})} \frac{\partial^2 u_\epsilon}{\partial x_i \partial x_N}(\bar{t}, 0) d\bar{t},$$

where  $C_\epsilon(\bar{x})$  is as in (3.3). Note that, by a change of variable,

$$\overline{\frac{\partial^2 u_\epsilon}{\partial x_i \partial x_N}}(\bar{x}) = \int_Y \widehat{\frac{\partial^2 u_\epsilon}{\partial x_i \partial x_N}}(\bar{x}, \bar{z}, 0) d\bar{z} = \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \int_Y \frac{\partial^2 \hat{u}_\epsilon}{\partial y_i \partial y_N}(\bar{x}, \bar{z}, 0) d\bar{z}.$$

By (5.12) we deduce that

$$\overline{\frac{\partial^2 u_\epsilon}{\partial x_i \partial x_N}} \rightarrow \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_N}(\cdot, 0),$$

strongly in  $L^2(W)$  as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ . Here, we have used the fact that if a sequence of functions  $v_\epsilon$  converges strongly in  $L^2$  to  $v$  then  $\overline{v_\epsilon}$  converges strongly in  $L^2$  to  $v$ . We give a proof of this in Lemma 8 in Appendix (B). Since  $\epsilon^{-5/2} \partial_{y_i y_N} U_\epsilon$  is uniformly bounded in  $L^2(W \times Y)$ , for all  $\epsilon > 0$  due to Lemma 2, it follows that

$$\frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \left( \frac{\partial^2 \hat{u}_\epsilon}{\partial y_i \partial y_N}(\cdot, \cdot, 0) - \int_Y \frac{\partial^2 \hat{u}_\epsilon}{\partial y_i \partial y_N}(\cdot, \bar{z}, 0) d\bar{z} \right) \rightarrow 0,$$

in  $L^2(W \times Y)$  as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ . Hence,  $\frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \frac{\partial^2 \hat{u}_\epsilon}{\partial y_i \partial y_N}(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, 0) \rightarrow \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_N}(\bar{x}, 0)$  in  $L^2(W \times Y)$  as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ , which proves the claim. Since  $\alpha > 3/2$ , by recalling (5.10) we then deduce that  $T_2$  vanishes in  $L^2(W \times Y)$  as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ .

$T_3$  is exactly  $T_2$  with swapped indexes  $i$  and  $j$ , hence also  $T_3$  vanishes in  $L^2(W \times Y)$  as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ .

We then consider  $T_4$ . By arguing as in (5.11) we deduce that

$$\frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \frac{\partial^2 \hat{u}_\epsilon}{\partial y_N^2}(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, 0) \frac{\partial b(\bar{y})}{\partial y_i} \frac{\partial b(\bar{y})}{\partial y_j} \rightarrow \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y_N^2}(\bar{x}, 0) \frac{\partial b(\bar{y})}{\partial y_i} \frac{\partial b(\bar{y})}{\partial y_j}, \quad (5.13)$$

in  $L^2(W \times Y)$  as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ , so the integral in  $Y$  of the left-hand side of (5.13) is convergent. Thus,

$$T_4 = \frac{\epsilon^{2\alpha}}{\epsilon^{5/2}} \left( \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \frac{\partial^2 \hat{u}_\epsilon}{\partial y_N^2}(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, 0) \frac{\partial b(\bar{y})}{\partial y_i} \frac{\partial b(\bar{y})}{\partial y_j} - \int_Y \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \frac{\partial^2 \hat{u}_\epsilon}{\partial y_N^2}(\bar{x}, \bar{z}, 0) \frac{\partial b(\bar{z})}{\partial y_i} \frac{\partial b(\bar{z})}{\partial y_j} d\bar{z} \right) \rightarrow 0, \quad (5.14)$$

in  $L^2(W \times Y)$  as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$  for all  $\alpha > 5/4$ , hence in particular for any  $\alpha \geq 3/2$ .

Finally, we consider  $T_5$ . Arguing as in the proof of Claim (5.11) we can prove that

$$\frac{1}{\epsilon} \frac{\partial \hat{u}_\epsilon}{\partial y_N}(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, 0) \frac{\partial^2 b(\bar{y})}{\partial y_i \partial y_j} \rightarrow \frac{\partial u}{\partial y_N}(\bar{x}, 0) \frac{\partial^2 b(\bar{y})}{\partial y_i \partial y_j}, \quad (5.15)$$

in  $L^2(W \times Y)$  as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$  and

$$\int_Y \frac{1}{\epsilon} \frac{\partial \hat{u}_\epsilon}{\partial y_N}(\bar{x}, \bar{z}, 0) \frac{\partial^2 b(\bar{z})}{\partial y_i \partial y_j} d\bar{z} \rightarrow \frac{\partial u}{\partial y_N}(\bar{x}, 0) \int_Y \frac{\partial^2 b(\bar{z})}{\partial y_i \partial y_j} d\bar{z} = 0, \quad (5.16)$$

in  $L^2(W \times Y)$  as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ , where the right-hand side of (5.16) is zero due to periodicity of  $b$ . We now consider different cases according to the value of  $\alpha$ .

**Case  $3/2 < \alpha < 5/2$ .** In this case, by summarising the previous results we have that  $T_1$  is uniformly bounded in  $L^2(W \times Y)$  as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ , whereas  $T_2, T_3, T_4$  tend to zero in  $L^2(W \times Y)$  as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ . Then (5.8) implies that there exists a constant  $M > 0$  such that

$$\left( \int_W \int_Y |T_5|^2 d\bar{y} d\bar{x} \right)^{1/2} \leq \left( \int_W \int_Y |T_1 + T_2 + T_3 + T_4|^2 d\bar{y} d\bar{x} \right)^{1/2} + o(1) \leq M,$$

as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ . Thus,

$$\left\| \frac{1}{\epsilon} \frac{\partial \hat{u}_\epsilon}{\partial y_N}(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, 0) \frac{\partial^2 b(\bar{y})}{\partial y_i \partial y_j} - \int_Y \frac{1}{\epsilon} \frac{\partial \hat{u}_\epsilon}{\partial y_N}(\bar{x}, \bar{z}, 0) \frac{\partial^2 b(\bar{z})}{\partial y_i \partial y_j} d\bar{z} \right\|_{L^2(W \times Y)} = O(\epsilon^{5/2-\alpha}),$$

as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ . By letting  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$  and recalling (5.15) and (5.16) we deduce that  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial y_N}(\bar{x}, 0) \frac{\partial^2 b(\bar{y})}{\partial y_i \partial y_j} = 0$ , for a.e.  $\bar{x} \in W$ , for a.e.  $\bar{y} \in Y$ , and since  $b$  is not affine we deduce that

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_N}(\bar{x}, 0) = 0, \quad (5.17)$$

for a.e.  $\bar{x} \in W$ . We conclude that  $u \in H^3(\Omega) \cap H_{0,*}^2$ .

**Case  $\alpha = 5/2$ .** In this case, we have the estimate

$$\left( \int_W \int_Y |T_1 + T_5|^2 d\bar{y} d\bar{x} \right)^{1/2} \leq \left( \int_W \int_Y |T_2 + T_3 + T_4|^2 d\bar{y} d\bar{x} \right)^{1/2} + o(1) = o(1),$$

as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ . Thus,

$$\frac{1}{\epsilon^{5/2}} \left( \frac{\partial^2 \hat{u}_\epsilon}{\partial y_i \partial y_j}(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, 0) - \int_Y \frac{\partial^2 \hat{u}_\epsilon}{\partial y_i \partial y_j}(\bar{x}, \bar{z}, 0) d\bar{z} \right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \frac{\partial \hat{u}_\epsilon}{\partial y_N}(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, 0) \frac{\partial^2 b(\bar{y})}{\partial y_i \partial y_j} \rightarrow 0,$$

as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ . Now since  $(\epsilon^{-5/2} U_\epsilon)$  is uniformly bounded in  $L^2(W \times Y \times (d, 0))$ , there exists a subsequence of  $(\epsilon^{-5/2} U_\epsilon)$  and a function  $\hat{u} \in L^2(W, H^3(Y \times (d, 0)))$  such that

$$\epsilon^{-5/2} U_\epsilon \rightharpoonup \hat{u}, \quad (5.18)$$

in  $L^2(W, H^3(Y \times (d, 0)))$ . (5.18) implies that

$$\frac{1}{\epsilon^{5/2}} \left( \frac{\partial^2 \hat{u}_\epsilon}{\partial y_i \partial y_j}(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, 0) - \int_Y \frac{\partial^2 \hat{u}_\epsilon}{\partial y_i \partial y_j}(\bar{x}, \bar{z}, 0) d\bar{z} \right) \rightarrow \frac{\partial^2 \hat{u}}{\partial y_i \partial y_j}(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, 0),$$



strongly in  $L^2(W \times Y)$  as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ . Moreover, according to (5.15) we deduce that

$$\frac{\partial^2 \hat{u}}{\partial y_i \partial y_j}(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, 0) = -\frac{\partial u}{\partial y_N}(\bar{x}, 0) \frac{\partial^2 b(\bar{y})}{\partial y_i \partial y_j}, \quad (5.19)$$

for a.e.  $\bar{x} \in W$ , a.e.  $\bar{y} \in Y$ , which is (5.1).

**Case  $\alpha \leq 1$ .** In this case we give a more direct proof based on a different definition of the unfolding operator. We define

$$\hat{Y} = \{(\bar{y}, y_N) : \bar{y} \in Y, -1 < y_N < b(\bar{y})\}, \quad (5.20)$$

and

$$\hat{u}_\epsilon(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, y_N) := u_\epsilon\left(\epsilon\left[\frac{\bar{x}}{\epsilon}\right] + \epsilon\bar{y}, \epsilon^\alpha y_N\right), \quad (5.21)$$

for all  $(\bar{x}, y) \in W \times \hat{Y}$ , for all  $u_\epsilon \in H^3(\Omega_\epsilon)$ . Note that  $\hat{u}_\epsilon$ ,  $\epsilon \in (0, 1]$ , are defined on a fixed domain of  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . Then, starting from the identity (5.2) we deduce the analogous of (5.3), which namely reads

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \frac{\partial^2 \hat{u}_\epsilon}{\partial y_i \partial y_j}(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, b(\bar{y})) + \frac{\epsilon^{\alpha-1}}{\epsilon^{\alpha+1}} \frac{\partial^2 \hat{u}_\epsilon}{\partial y_i \partial y_N}(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, b(\bar{y})) \frac{\partial b(\bar{y})}{\partial y_j} \\ & + \frac{\epsilon^{\alpha-1}}{\epsilon^{\alpha+1}} \frac{\partial^2 \hat{u}_\epsilon}{\partial y_j \partial y_N}(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, b(\bar{y})) \frac{\partial b(\bar{y})}{\partial y_i} + \frac{\epsilon^{2\alpha-2}}{\epsilon^{2\alpha}} \frac{\partial^2 \hat{u}_\epsilon}{\partial y_N^2}(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, b(\bar{y})) \frac{\partial b(\bar{y})}{\partial y_i} \frac{\partial b(\bar{y})}{\partial y_j} \\ & + \frac{\epsilon^{\alpha-2}}{\epsilon^\alpha} \frac{\partial \hat{u}_\epsilon}{\partial y_N}(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, b(\bar{y})) \frac{\partial^2 b(\bar{y})}{\partial y_i \partial y_j} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (5.22)$$

If  $\alpha = 1$ , by arguing as in (8.4) below, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \frac{\partial^2 \hat{u}_\epsilon}{\partial y_i \partial y_j}(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, b(\bar{y})) \rightarrow \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(\bar{x}, 0), \\ & \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \frac{\partial^2 \hat{u}_\epsilon}{\partial y_i \partial y_N}(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, b(\bar{y})) \frac{\partial b(\bar{y})}{\partial y_j} \rightarrow \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_N}(\bar{x}, 0) \frac{\partial b(\bar{y})}{\partial y_j}, \\ & \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \frac{\partial^2 \hat{u}_\epsilon}{\partial y_N^2}(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, b(\bar{y})) \frac{\partial b(\bar{y})}{\partial y_i} \frac{\partial b(\bar{y})}{\partial y_j} \rightarrow \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_N^2}(\bar{x}, 0) \frac{\partial b(\bar{y})}{\partial y_i} \frac{\partial b(\bar{y})}{\partial y_j}, \end{aligned}$$

as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ , where the limits are taken in  $L^2(W \times Y)$ . According to (5.22), we immediately discover that

$$\left\| \frac{1}{\epsilon} \frac{\partial \hat{u}_\epsilon}{\partial y_N}(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, b(\bar{y})) \right\|_{L^2(W \times \hat{Y})} \leq C\epsilon, \quad (5.23)$$

for all  $\epsilon > 0$ . By (5.23) we deduce that

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_N}(\bar{x}, 0) = 0, \quad (5.24)$$

and that there exists a function  $\zeta \in L^2(W)$  such that, up to a subsequence,

$$\frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \frac{\partial \hat{u}_\epsilon}{\partial y_N}(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, b(\bar{y})) \rightharpoonup \zeta(\bar{x}),$$

in  $L^2(W \times Y)$  as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ . The fact that  $\zeta$  does not depend on  $\bar{y}$  is an easy consequence of the following argument. Let  $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(W \times Y)$ . Then

$$\int_{W \times Y} \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \frac{\partial \hat{u}_\epsilon}{\partial y_N}(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, b(\bar{y})) \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial y_i} d\bar{x} d\bar{y} = - \int_{W \times Y} \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \frac{\partial^2 \hat{u}_\epsilon}{\partial y_N \partial y_i}(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, b(\bar{y})) \varphi d\bar{x} d\bar{y},$$

and passing to the limit as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$  we deduce that

$$\int_{W \times Y} \zeta \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial y_i} d\bar{x} d\bar{y} = - \int_{W \times Y} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_N \partial x_i}(\bar{x}, 0) \varphi d\bar{x} d\bar{y} = 0, \quad (5.25)$$

where we have used that  $\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_N \partial x_i}(\bar{x}, 0) = 0$  because of (5.24). Equation (5.25) implies that  $\zeta$  is weakly differentiable in  $y_i$  and that  $\frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial y_i} = 0$ .

Taking the limit as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$  in  $L^2(W \times Y)$  in (5.22) we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(\bar{x}, 0) + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_N}(\bar{x}, 0) \frac{\partial b(\bar{y})}{\partial y_j} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_j \partial x_N}(\bar{x}, 0) \frac{\partial b(\bar{y})}{\partial y_i} \\ & + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_N^2}(\bar{x}, 0) \frac{\partial b(\bar{y})}{\partial y_i} \frac{\partial b(\bar{y})}{\partial y_j} + \zeta(\bar{x}) \frac{\partial^2 b(\bar{y})}{\partial y_i \partial y_j} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (5.26)$$

Because of (5.24) the first three summands in (5.26) are zero. Hence, (5.26) implies that

$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_N^2}(\bar{x}, 0) \frac{\partial b(\bar{y})}{\partial y_i} \frac{\partial b(\bar{y})}{\partial y_j} + \zeta(\bar{x}) \frac{\partial^2 b(\bar{y})}{\partial y_i \partial y_j} = 0. \quad (5.27)$$

Recall now that since  $b$  is  $Y$ -periodic, its derivatives are periodic and with null average on  $Y$ . An integration in  $Y$  in (5.27) yields

$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_N^2}(\bar{x}, 0) \int_Y \frac{\partial b(\bar{y})}{\partial y_i} \frac{\partial b(\bar{y})}{\partial y_j} d\bar{y} = 0,$$

for almost all  $\bar{x} \in W$ . Since this holds for all  $i, j = 1, \dots, N-1$  we can in particular choose  $i = j$  so that  $\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_N^2}(\bar{x}, 0) \int_Y |\nabla b|^2 d\bar{y} = 0$ , and since  $b$  is non constant it must be  $\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_N^2}(\bar{x}, 0) = 0$  for almost all  $\bar{x} \in W$ .

If  $\alpha < 1$  we can argue in a similar way. Namely, we multiply each side of (5.22) by  $\epsilon^{2-2\alpha}$  in order to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\epsilon^{2\alpha}} \frac{\partial^2 \hat{u}_\epsilon}{\partial y_i \partial y_j}(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, b(\bar{y})) + \frac{\epsilon^{1-\alpha}}{\epsilon^{\alpha+1}} \frac{\partial^2 \hat{u}_\epsilon}{\partial y_i \partial y_N}(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, b(\bar{y})) \frac{\partial b(\bar{y})}{\partial y_j} \\ & + \frac{\epsilon^{1-\alpha}}{\epsilon^{\alpha+1}} \frac{\partial^2 \hat{u}_\epsilon}{\partial y_j \partial y_N}(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, b(\bar{y})) \frac{\partial b(\bar{y})}{\partial y_i} + \frac{1}{\epsilon^{2\alpha}} \frac{\partial^2 \hat{u}_\epsilon}{\partial y_N^2}(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, b(\bar{y})) \frac{\partial b(\bar{y})}{\partial y_i} \frac{\partial b(\bar{y})}{\partial y_j} \\ & + \frac{1}{\epsilon^{2\alpha}} \frac{\partial \hat{u}_\epsilon}{\partial y_N}(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, b(\bar{y})) \frac{\partial^2 b(\bar{y})}{\partial y_i \partial y_j} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (5.28)$$

Since  $u(\bar{x}, 0) = 0$ , a.a.  $x \in W$ , the first three summands in (5.28) are vanishing as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ . Then we deduce that

$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_N^2}(\bar{x}, 0) \frac{\partial b(\bar{y})}{\partial y_i} \frac{\partial b(\bar{y})}{\partial y_j} + \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\epsilon^{2\alpha}} \frac{\partial \hat{u}_\epsilon}{\partial y_N}(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, b(\bar{y})) \frac{\partial^2 b(\bar{y})}{\partial y_i \partial y_j} = 0.$$

This first implies that

$$\left\| \frac{1}{\epsilon^\alpha} \frac{\partial \hat{u}_\epsilon}{\partial y_N}(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, b(\bar{y})) \frac{\partial^2 b}{\partial y_i \partial y_j} \right\|_{L^2(W \times Y)} \leq C \epsilon^\alpha,$$

hence  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_N}(\bar{x}, 0) = 0$ . Moreover, we deduce that up to a subsequence there exists  $\zeta \in L^2(W)$  such that  $\frac{1}{\epsilon^{2\alpha}} \frac{\partial \hat{u}_\epsilon}{\partial y_N}(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, b(\bar{y})) \rightarrow \zeta(\bar{x})$  in  $L^2(W \times Y)$  as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ . Then arguing as in the case  $\alpha = 1$  we deduce that  $\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_N^2}(\bar{x}, 0) = 0$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1(iii),(iv).* We first prove Claim (iii). We will show that the Condition (C), defined in [2, Def. 3.1] holds with  $V(\Omega_\epsilon) = H^3(\Omega_\epsilon) \cap H_0^1(\Omega_\epsilon)$  and  $V(\Omega) = H^3(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega) \cap H_{0,*}^2(\Omega)$ . In [2, Def. 3.1] we choose

$$K_\epsilon = \{x \in \Omega : x_N < -\epsilon\},$$

$\epsilon \in (0, 1]$ ,  $T_\epsilon : V(\Omega) \rightarrow V(\Omega_\epsilon)$  as in (3.2) and  $E_\epsilon : V(\Omega_\epsilon) \rightarrow H^m(\Omega)$  as the restriction operator  $E_\epsilon u_\epsilon = u_\epsilon|_\Omega$ ,  $\epsilon \in (0, 1]$ . With this choices it is not difficult to verify that conditions (C1), (C2)(i), (C2)(iii), (C3)(i) and (C3)(ii) hold true. Then it is sufficient to prove the validity of conditions (C2)(ii) and (C3)(iii).

In order to show that (C2)(ii) holds it is sufficient to use Lemma 4(iii) and its proof. Indeed, if  $\alpha > 3/2$  then  $\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \|T_\epsilon \varphi\|_{H^3(\Omega_\epsilon \setminus K_\epsilon)} = 0$  for all  $\varphi \in V(\Omega)$ .

Condition (C3)(iii) now follows directly from Lemma 4(iii), since we have proved that if  $u_\epsilon \in V(\Omega_\epsilon)$  is such that  $u_\epsilon|_\Omega \rightharpoonup u$  and  $3/2 < \alpha < 5/2$ , then  $u \in V(\Omega)$ .

Hence Condition (C) holds and [2, Thm 3.5] now yields the claim.

The proof of Claim (iv) is similar. We show that Condition (C) holds with  $V(\Omega_\epsilon) = H^3(\Omega_\epsilon) \cap H_0^1(\Omega_\epsilon)$ ,  $\epsilon \in (0, 1]$ ,  $V(\Omega) = H^3(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega) \cap H_{0,*}^3(\Omega)$ ,  $T_\epsilon$  the extension-by-zero operator, and  $E_\epsilon$  the restriction operator defined above. Then conditions (C1)-(C3) hold true. Note that Condition (C3)(iii) follows directly from Lemma 4(iv).  $\square$

## 6. PROOF OF THEOREM 1(II)

In this section, we shall consider the case  $\alpha = 5/2$  of Theorem 1. We refer to Section 3 for the notation about  $\Phi_\epsilon$ ,  $h_\epsilon$ ,  $T_\epsilon$ ,  $C_\epsilon^k$ ,  $\hat{u}$ ,  $w_{Per_Y}^{3,2}(Y \times (-\infty, 0))$ . We divide the proof in two subsections. Since the proof follows the same strategy as [21], [2], we will only sketch the proofs and refer to [2] for further details in the case of the biharmonic operator with SBC.

**6.1. Macroscopic limit.** Let  $f_\epsilon \in L^2(\Omega_\epsilon)$  and  $f \in L^2(\Omega)$  be such that  $f_\epsilon \rightharpoonup f$  in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$  as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ , with the understanding that the functions are extended by zero outside their natural domains. Let  $v_\epsilon \in V(\Omega_\epsilon) = H^3(\Omega_\epsilon) \cap H_0^1(\Omega_\epsilon)$  be such that

$$A_{\Omega_\epsilon} v_\epsilon = f_\epsilon, \quad (6.1)$$

for all  $\epsilon > 0$  small enough. Then  $\|v_\epsilon\|_{H^3(\Omega_\epsilon)} \leq M$  for all  $\epsilon > 0$  sufficiently small, hence, possibly passing to a subsequence there exists  $v \in H^3(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$  such that  $v_\epsilon \rightharpoonup v$  in  $H^3(\Omega)$  and  $v_\epsilon \rightarrow v$  in  $L^2(\Omega)$ .

Let  $\varphi \in V(\Omega) = H^3(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$  be a fixed test function. Since  $T_\epsilon \varphi \in V(\Omega_\epsilon)$ , by (6.1) we get

$$\int_{\Omega_\epsilon} D^3 v_\epsilon : D^3(T_\epsilon \varphi) dx + \int_{\Omega_\epsilon} v_\epsilon T_\epsilon \varphi dx = \int_{\Omega_\epsilon} f_\epsilon T_\epsilon \varphi dx, \quad (6.2)$$

and passing to the limit as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$  we have that

$$\int_{\Omega_\epsilon} v_\epsilon T_\epsilon \varphi dx \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} v \varphi dx, \quad \int_{\Omega_\epsilon} f_\epsilon T_\epsilon \varphi dx \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} f \varphi dx.$$

Now consider the first integral in the right-hand side of (6.2). Let us define  $K_\epsilon = W \times (-1, -\epsilon)$ . By splitting the integral in three terms corresponding to  $\Omega_\epsilon \setminus \Omega$ ,  $\Omega \setminus K_\epsilon$  and  $K_\epsilon$  and by arguing as in [2, Section 8.3] one can show that

$$\int_{K_\epsilon} D^3 v_\epsilon : D^3(T_\epsilon \varphi) dx \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} D^3 v : D^3 \varphi dx, \quad \int_{\Omega_\epsilon \setminus \Omega} D^3 v_\epsilon : D^3(T_\epsilon \varphi) dx \rightarrow 0,$$

as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ . Let  $Q_\epsilon = \widehat{W}_\epsilon \times (-\epsilon, 0)$ . We split again the remaining integral in two summands,

$$\int_{\Omega_\epsilon \setminus K_\epsilon} D^3 v_\epsilon : D^3(T_\epsilon \varphi) dx = \int_{\Omega_\epsilon \setminus (K_\epsilon \cup Q_\epsilon)} D^3 v_\epsilon : D^3(T_\epsilon \varphi) dx + \int_{Q_\epsilon} D^3 v_\epsilon : D^3(T_\epsilon \varphi) dx. \quad (6.3)$$

Again, by arguing as in [2, Section 8.3] it is possible to prove that

$$\int_{\Omega_\epsilon \setminus (K_\epsilon \cup Q_\epsilon)} D^3 v_\epsilon : D^3(T_\epsilon \varphi) dx \rightarrow 0,$$

as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ . We now require two technical lemmata.

**Lemma 5.** *For all  $y \in Y \times (-1, 0)$  and  $i, j, k = 1, \dots, N$  the functions  $\hat{h}_\epsilon(\bar{x}, y)$ ,  $\widehat{\frac{\partial h_\epsilon}{\partial x_i}}(\bar{x}, y)$ ,  $\widehat{\frac{\partial^2 h_\epsilon}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}}(\bar{x}, y)$  and  $\widehat{\frac{\partial^3 h_\epsilon}{\partial x_i \partial x_j \partial x_k}}(\bar{x}, y)$  are independent of  $\bar{x}$ . Moreover,*

$$\hat{h}_\epsilon(\bar{x}, y) = O(\epsilon^{5/2}), \quad \widehat{\frac{\partial h_\epsilon}{\partial x_i}}(\bar{x}, y) = O(\epsilon^{3/2}), \quad \widehat{\frac{\partial^2 h_\epsilon}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}}(\bar{x}, y) = O(\epsilon^{1/2}),$$

as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ , for all  $i, j = 1, \dots, N$ , uniformly in  $y \in Y \times (-1, 0)$ , and

$$\epsilon^{1/2} \widehat{\frac{\partial^3 h_\epsilon}{\partial x_i \partial x_j \partial x_k}}(\bar{x}, y) \rightarrow \frac{\partial^3(b(\bar{y}))(y_N + 1)^4}{\partial y_i \partial y_j \partial y_k},$$

as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ , for all  $i, j, k = 1, \dots, N$ , uniformly in  $y \in Y \times (-1, 0)$ .

*Proof.* We refer to [21, Lemma 4] and [2, Lemma 8.27], where similar computations were carried out in the case of strong intermediate boundary conditions.  $\square$

**Lemma 6.** *Let  $v_\epsilon \in V(\Omega_\epsilon) = H^3(\Omega_\epsilon) \cap H_0^1(\Omega_\epsilon)$  be such that  $\|v_\epsilon\|_{H^3(\Omega_\epsilon)} \leq M$  for all  $\epsilon > 0$ . Assume that up to a subsequence  $v_\epsilon|_\Omega \rightharpoonup v$  in  $H^3(\Omega)$ . Let  $\varphi$  be a fixed function in  $V(\Omega)$ . Let  $\hat{v} \in L^2(W, w_{\text{Per}_Y}^{3,2}(Y \times (-\infty, 0)))$  be as in Lemma 3. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{Q_\epsilon} D^3 v_\epsilon : D^3(T_\epsilon \varphi) dx &\rightarrow \\ &- \int_W \int_{Y \times (-1, 0)} (D_y^3(\hat{v}) : D^3(b(\bar{y}))(1 + y_N)^4) dy \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_N}(\bar{x}, 0) d\bar{x}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.4)$$

*Proof.* In the following calculations we use the index notation and we drop the summation symbols. We calculate

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{Q_\epsilon} D^3 v_\epsilon : D^3(T_\epsilon \varphi) dx &= \int_{Q_\epsilon} \frac{\partial^3 v_\epsilon}{\partial x_i \partial x_j \partial x_h} \frac{\partial^3(\varphi \circ \Phi_\epsilon)}{\partial x_i \partial x_j \partial x_h} dx \\ &= \int_{Q_\epsilon} \frac{\partial^3 v_\epsilon}{\partial x_i \partial x_j \partial x_h} \frac{\partial^3 \varphi}{\partial x_k \partial x_l \partial x_m}(\Phi_\epsilon(x)) \frac{\partial \Phi_\epsilon^{(k)}}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial \Phi_\epsilon^{(l)}}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial \Phi_\epsilon^{(m)}}{\partial x_h} dx \\ &+ \int_{Q_\epsilon} \frac{\partial^3 v_\epsilon}{\partial x_i \partial x_j \partial x_h} \frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial x_k \partial x_l}(\Phi_\epsilon(x)) \left[ \frac{\partial \Phi_\epsilon^{(k)}}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial^2 \Phi_\epsilon^{(l)}}{\partial x_j \partial x_h} + \frac{\partial \Phi_\epsilon^{(k)}}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial^2 \Phi_\epsilon^{(l)}}{\partial x_i \partial x_h} + \frac{\partial \Phi_\epsilon^{(k)}}{\partial x_h} \frac{\partial^2 \Phi_\epsilon^{(l)}}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \right] dx, \\ &+ \int_{Q_\epsilon} \frac{\partial^3 v_\epsilon}{\partial x_i \partial x_j \partial x_h} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_k}(\Phi_\epsilon(x)) \frac{\partial^3 \Phi_\epsilon^{(k)}}{\partial x_i \partial x_j \partial x_h} dx. \end{aligned} \quad (6.5)$$

It is not difficult to prove that the first integral in the right-hand side of (6.5) vanishes as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ , see the proof of [21, Proposition 2]. We then consider the second integral in the right hand side of (6.5). Note that all the terms with  $l \neq N$  vanish. Thus, without loss of generality we set  $l = N$ . Consider separately the case  $k \neq N$ , and  $k = N$ .

Case  $k \neq N$ : by the exact integration formula (3.4) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \int_{Q_\epsilon} \frac{\partial^3 v_\epsilon}{\partial x_i \partial x_j \partial x_h} \frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial x_k \partial x_N}(\Phi_\epsilon(x)) \delta_{ki} \frac{\partial^2 \Phi_\epsilon^{(N)}}{\partial x_j \partial x_h} dx \right| \\ &\leq C \epsilon^{1/2} \|\epsilon^{-5/2} \hat{v}_\epsilon\|_{W^{3,2}(\widehat{W}_\epsilon \times Y \times (-1, 0))} \left\| \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_k \partial x_N} \right\|_{L^2(Q_\epsilon)} \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ .

Case  $k = N$ : in this case (3.4) applied to (6.5) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{Q_\epsilon} D^3 v_\epsilon : D^3(T_\epsilon \varphi) dx &= \epsilon^{-5} \int_{\widehat{W}_\epsilon \times Y \times (-1,0)} \frac{\partial^3 \widehat{v}_\epsilon}{\partial y_i \partial y_j \partial y_h} \frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial x_N^2}(\widehat{\Phi}_\epsilon(y)) \cdot \\ &\cdot \left[ \frac{\partial \widehat{\Phi}_\epsilon^{(N)}}{\partial y_i} \frac{\partial^2 \widehat{\Phi}_\epsilon^{(N)}}{\partial y_j \partial y_h} + \frac{\partial \widehat{\Phi}_\epsilon^{(N)}}{\partial y_j} \frac{\partial^2 \widehat{\Phi}_\epsilon^{(N)}}{\partial y_i \partial y_h} + \frac{\partial \widehat{\Phi}_\epsilon^{(N)}}{\partial y_h} \frac{\partial^2 \widehat{\Phi}_\epsilon^{(N)}}{\partial y_i \partial y_j} \right] d\bar{x} dy, \end{aligned} \quad (6.6)$$

and since we are summing on the indexes  $i, j, h \in 1, \dots, N$ , (6.6) equals

$$3\epsilon^{-5} \int_{\widehat{W}_\epsilon \times Y \times (-1,0)} \frac{\partial^3 \widehat{v}_\epsilon}{\partial y_i \partial y_j \partial y_h} \frac{\partial^2(\varphi(\widehat{\Phi}_\epsilon(y)))}{\partial x_N^2} \frac{\partial \widehat{\Phi}_\epsilon^{(N)}}{\partial y_i} \frac{\partial^2 \widehat{\Phi}_\epsilon^{(N)}}{\partial y_j \partial y_h} d\bar{x} dy.$$

Note now that

$$\frac{\partial \widehat{\Phi}_\epsilon^{(k)}}{\partial y_i} = \begin{cases} \epsilon \delta_{ki}, & \text{if } k \neq N, \\ \epsilon \delta_{Ni} - \epsilon \widehat{\frac{\partial h_\epsilon}{\partial x_i}}, & \text{if } k = N. \end{cases} \quad \frac{\partial^2 \widehat{\Phi}_\epsilon^{(k)}}{\partial y_i \partial y_j} = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } k \neq N, \\ -\epsilon^2 \widehat{\frac{\partial^2 h_\epsilon}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}}, & \text{if } k = N. \end{cases}$$

Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &3\epsilon^{-5} \int_{\widehat{W}_\epsilon \times Y \times (-1,0)} \frac{\partial^3 \widehat{v}_\epsilon}{\partial y_i \partial y_j \partial y_h} \frac{\partial^2(\varphi(\widehat{\Phi}_\epsilon(y)))}{\partial x_N^2} \frac{\partial \widehat{\Phi}_\epsilon^{(N)}}{\partial y_i} \frac{\partial^2 \widehat{\Phi}_\epsilon^{(N)}}{\partial y_j \partial y_h} d\bar{x} dy \\ &= -3\epsilon^{-2} \int_{\widehat{W}_\epsilon \times Y \times (-1,0)} \frac{\partial^3 \widehat{v}_\epsilon}{\partial y_i \partial y_j \partial y_h} \frac{\partial^2(\varphi(\widehat{\Phi}_\epsilon(y)))}{\partial x_N^2} \left( \delta_{Ni} - \widehat{\frac{\partial h_\epsilon}{\partial x_i}} \right) \widehat{\frac{\partial^2 h_\epsilon}{\partial x_j \partial x_h}} d\bar{x} dy. \end{aligned} \quad (6.7)$$

It is not difficult to see that the right-hand side of (6.7) vanishes as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ , due to (3.4) and Lemma 5. It remains to treat only the third integral in the right hand side of (6.5). We apply the exact integration formula (3.4) in order to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\epsilon \int_{\widehat{W}_\epsilon \times Y \times (-1,0)} \frac{\widehat{\partial^3 v_\epsilon}}{\partial x_i \partial x_j \partial x_h} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_N}(\widehat{\Phi}_\epsilon(y)) \frac{\widehat{\partial^3 \Phi_\epsilon^{(N)}}}{\partial x_i \partial x_j \partial x_h} d\bar{x} dy \\ &= - \int_{\widehat{W}_\epsilon \times Y \times (-1,0)} \left[ \epsilon^{-5/2} \frac{\partial^3 \widehat{v}_\epsilon}{\partial y_i \partial y_j \partial y_h} \right] \left[ \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_N}(\widehat{\Phi}_\epsilon(y)) \right] \left[ \epsilon^{1/2} \frac{\widehat{\partial^3 h_\epsilon}}{\partial x_i \partial x_j \partial x_h} \right] d\bar{x} dy. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3 it is clear that  $\epsilon^{-5/2} \frac{\partial^3 \widehat{v}_\epsilon}{\partial y_i \partial y_j \partial y_h} \rightarrow \frac{\partial^3 \widehat{v}}{\partial y_i \partial y_j \partial y_h}$ , weakly in  $L^2(W \times Y \times (-\infty, 0))$  as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ . Moreover, by Lemma 5  $\epsilon^{1/2} \frac{\widehat{\partial^3 \Phi_\epsilon^{(N)}}}{\partial x_i \partial x_j \partial x_h} \rightarrow -\frac{\partial^3(b(\bar{y})(1+y_N)^4)}{\partial y_i \partial y_j \partial y_h}$ , uniformly in  $W \times Y \times (-1, 0)$  as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\widehat{W}_\epsilon \times Y \times (-1,0)} \left[ \epsilon^{-5/2} \frac{\partial^3 \widehat{v}_\epsilon}{\partial y_i \partial y_j \partial y_h} \right] \left[ \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_N}(\widehat{\Phi}_\epsilon(y)) \right] \left[ \epsilon^{1/2} \frac{\widehat{\partial^3 \Phi_\epsilon^{(N)}}}{\partial x_i \partial x_j \partial x_h} \right] d\bar{x} dy \\ &\rightarrow - \int_{W \times Y \times (-1,0)} \frac{\partial^3 \widehat{v}}{\partial y_i \partial y_j \partial y_h} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_N}(\bar{x}, 0) \frac{\partial^3(b(\bar{y})(1+y_N)^4)}{\partial y_i \partial y_j \partial y_h} d\bar{x} dy. \end{aligned}$$

as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ . □

The previous discussion yields the following

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $f_\epsilon \in L^2(\Omega_\epsilon)$  and  $f \in L^2(\Omega)$  be such that  $f_\epsilon|_\Omega \rightharpoonup f$  in  $L^2(\Omega)$ . Let  $v_\epsilon \in H^3(\Omega_\epsilon) \cap H_0^1(\Omega_\epsilon)$  be the solutions to  $A_{\Omega_\epsilon} v_\epsilon = f_\epsilon$ . Then, possibly passing to a subsequence, there exists  $v \in H^3(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$  and  $\widehat{v} \in L^2(W, w_{Per_Y}^{3,2}(Y \times (\infty, 0)))$*

such that  $v_\epsilon|_\Omega \rightharpoonup v$  in  $H^3(\Omega)$ ,  $v_\epsilon|_\Omega \rightarrow v$  in  $L^2(\Omega)$  and such that statements (a) and (b) in Lemma 3 hold. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} & - \int_W \int_{Y \times (-1,0)} (D_y^3(\hat{v}) : D^3(b(\bar{y})(1 + y_N)^4) dy \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_N}(\bar{x}, 0) d\bar{x} \\ & + \int_\Omega D^3 v : D^3 \varphi + u \varphi dx = \int_\Omega f \varphi dx, \end{aligned}$$

for all  $\varphi \in H^3(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$ .

**6.2. Microscopic limit.** Let  $\psi \in C^\infty(\overline{W} \times \overline{Y} \times ]-\infty, 0])$  be such that  $\text{supp } \psi \subset C \times \overline{Y} \times [d, 0]$  for some compact set  $C \subset W$ ,  $d \in ]-\infty, 0]$ , and assume that  $\psi(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, 0) = 0$  for all  $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in W \times Y$ . Let  $\psi$  be  $Y$ -periodic in the variable  $\bar{y}$ . We set

$$\psi_\epsilon(x) = \epsilon^{\frac{5}{2}} \psi\left(\frac{\bar{x}}{\epsilon}, \frac{\bar{y}}{\epsilon}, \frac{x_N}{\epsilon}\right),$$

for all  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $x \in W \times ]-\infty, 0]$ . Then  $T_\epsilon \psi_\epsilon \in V(\Omega_\epsilon)$  for sufficiently small  $\epsilon$ , hence we can plug it in the weak formulation of the problem in  $\Omega_\epsilon$  in order to get

$$\int_{\Omega_\epsilon} D^3 v_\epsilon : D^3(T_\epsilon \psi_\epsilon) dx + \int_{\Omega_\epsilon} v_\epsilon T_\epsilon \psi_\epsilon dx = \int_{\Omega_\epsilon} f_\epsilon T_\epsilon \psi_\epsilon dx. \quad (6.8)$$

It is not difficult to prove that

$$\int_{\Omega_\epsilon} v_\epsilon T_\epsilon \psi_\epsilon dx \rightarrow 0, \quad \int_{\Omega_\epsilon} f_\epsilon T_\epsilon \psi_\epsilon dx \rightarrow 0, \quad (6.9)$$

as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ , and by arguing as in [2, Eq. (8.20), p. 29] we deduce that

$$\int_{\Omega_\epsilon \setminus \Omega} D^3 v_\epsilon : D^3(T_\epsilon \psi_\epsilon) dx \rightarrow 0, \quad (6.10)$$

as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ . Moreover, by arguing as in [2, Lemma 8.47] it is possible to prove that

$$\int_\Omega D^3 v_\epsilon : D^3(T_\epsilon \psi_\epsilon) dx \rightarrow \int_{W \times Y \times (-\infty, 0)} D_y^3 \hat{v}(\bar{x}, y) : D_y^3 \psi(\bar{x}, y) d\bar{x} dy, \quad (6.11)$$

as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ . Then we have the following

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $\hat{v} \in L^2(W, w_{P_{\text{ery}}}^{3,2}(Y \times (-\infty, 0)))$  be the function from Theorem 4. Then*

$$\int_{W \times Y \times (-\infty, 0)} D_y^3 \hat{v}(\bar{x}, y) : D_y^3 \psi(\bar{x}, y) d\bar{x} dy = 0,$$

for all  $\psi \in L^2(W, w_{P_{\text{ery}}}^{3,2}(Y \times (-\infty, 0)))$  such that  $\psi(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, 0) = 0$  on  $W \times Y$ . Moreover, for any  $i, j = 1, \dots, N-1$ , we have

$$\frac{\partial^2 \hat{v}}{\partial y_i \partial y_j}(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, 0) = - \frac{\partial^2 b}{\partial y_i \partial y_j}(\bar{y}) \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_N}(\bar{x}, 0) \quad \text{on } W \times Y, \quad (6.12)$$

*Proof.* We need only to prove (6.12) since the first part of the statement follows from (6.8), (6.9), (6.10), (6.11) (see also the proof of [2, Theorem 8.53]). By applying Lemma 4, case  $\alpha = 5/2$  to  $v_\epsilon \in H^3(\Omega_\epsilon) \cap H_0^1(\Omega_\epsilon)$  we deduce the validity of (6.12).  $\square$

**Lemma 7.** *There exists  $V \in w_{P_{\text{ery}}}^{3,2}(Y \times (-\infty, 0))$  satisfying the equation*

$$\int_{Y \times (-\infty, 0)} D^3 V : D^3 \psi dy = 0, \quad (6.13)$$

for all  $\psi \in w_{P_{\text{ery}}}^{3,2}(Y \times (-\infty, 0))$  such that  $\psi(\bar{y}, 0) = 0$  on  $Y$ , and the boundary condition

$$V(\bar{y}, 0) = b(\bar{y}), \quad \text{on } Y.$$

Function  $V$  is unique up to a sum of a monomial in  $y_N$  of the form  $ay_N^2$ . Moreover  $V \in W_{Per_Y}^{6,2}(Y \times (d, 0))$  for any  $d < 0$  and it satisfies the equation

$$\Delta^3 V = 0, \quad \text{in } Y \times (d, 0),$$

subject to the boundary conditions

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial^2(\Delta V)}{\partial y_N^2} + 2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y_N^2}(\Delta_{N-1} V) = 0, & \text{on } Y, \\ \frac{\partial^3 V}{\partial y_N^3}(\bar{y}, 0) = 0, & \text{on } Y. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Existence, uniqueness and regularity of  $V$  follows as in [2, Lemma 8.60]. Note that in order to find the boundary conditions satisfied by  $V$  on  $Y$  we need to use the Triharmonic Green Formula (7.4) with  $V$  in place of  $f$  and  $\psi$  in place of  $\varphi$ . We choose test functions  $\psi$  as in the statement with bounded support in the  $y_N$ -direction. We then deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{Y \times (-\infty, 0)} D^3 V : D^3 \psi \, dy &= - \int_{Y \times (-\infty, 0)} \Delta^3 V \psi \, dy + \int_Y \frac{\partial^3 V}{\partial y_N^3} \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial y_N^2} \, d\bar{y} \\ &\quad - \int_Y \left( \frac{\partial^2(\Delta V)}{\partial y_N^2} + 2 \Delta_{N-1} \left( \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial y_N^2} \right) \right) \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y_N} \, d\bar{y}, \end{aligned}$$

hence  $V$  is triharmonic and satisfies the boundary conditions in the statement.  $\square$

**Theorem 6** (Characterisation of the strange term). *Let  $V$  be the function defined in Lemma 7. Let  $v, \hat{v}$  be as in Theorem 4. Then*

$$\hat{v}(\bar{x}, y) = -V(y) \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_N}(\bar{x}, 0) + a(\bar{x}) y_N^2.$$

for some function  $a \in L^2(W)$ . Moreover we have the following equalities:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{Y \times (-\infty, 0)} |D^3 V|^2 dy &= \int_{Y \times (-\infty, 0)} D^3 V : D^3(b(\bar{y})(1 + y_N^4)) dy \\ &= \int_Y \left( \frac{\partial(\Delta^2 V)}{\partial y_N} + \Delta_{N-1} \left( \frac{\partial \Delta V}{\partial y_N} \right) + \Delta_{N-1}^2 \left( \frac{\partial V}{\partial y_N} \right) \right) b(\bar{y}) d\bar{y}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.14)$$

*Proof.* Let  $\phi$  be the real-valued function defined on  $Y \times ]-\infty, 0]$  by

$$\phi(y) = \begin{cases} b(\bar{y})(1 + y_N)^4, & \text{if } -1 \leq y_N \leq 0, \\ 0, & \text{if } y_N < -1. \end{cases}$$

Then  $\phi \in H^3(Y \times (-\infty, 0))$ , and  $\phi(\bar{y}, 0) = 0$  for all  $\bar{y} \in Y$ . Now note that the function  $\psi = V - \phi$  is a suitable test-function in equation (6.13); by plugging it in we get

$$\int_{Y \times (-\infty, 0)} |D^3 V|^2 dy = \int_{Y \times (-\infty, 0)} D^3 V : D^3(b(\bar{y})(1 + y_N^4)) dy$$

By applying (7.4) on the right-hand side of the former equation, and by keeping in account that  $V$  is as in Lemma 7, so  $\Delta^3 V = 0$  in  $Y \times (d, 0)$  for all  $d < 0$ , we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{Y \times (-\infty, 0)} D^3 V : D^3(b(\bar{y})(1 + y_N^4)) dy &= \\ &= \int_Y \left( \frac{\partial(\Delta^2 V)}{\partial y_N} + \Delta_{N-1} \left( \frac{\partial(\Delta V)}{\partial y_N} \right) + \Delta_{N-1}^2 \left( \frac{\partial V}{\partial y_N} \right) \right) b(\bar{y}) d\bar{y}. \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

By Lemma 7 and Theorem 6 it is now easy to deduce (iii) of Theorem 1.

*Proof of Theorem 1(iii).* Note that the function  $v$  of Theorem 4 satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} - \int_W \int_{Y \times (-1,0)} (D_y^3(\hat{v}) : D^3(b(\bar{y})(1 + y_N)^4) \, dy \, \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_N}(\bar{x}, 0) d\bar{x} \\ + \int_{\Omega} D^3 v : D^3 \varphi + u \varphi \, dx = \int_{\Omega} f \varphi \, dx, \end{aligned} \quad (6.15)$$

for all  $\varphi \in H^3(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$ . By Theorem 6 the first integral in the left-hand side of (6.15) can be equivalently rewritten as

$$\int_W \left( \int_{Y \times (-\infty, 0)} |D^3 V|^2 \, dy \right) \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_N}(\bar{x}, 0) \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_N}(\bar{x}, 0) d\bar{x},$$

where  $V$  is defined in Lemma 7. By (7.4)

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} D^3 v : D^3 \varphi \, dx = - \int_{\Omega} \Delta^3 v \varphi \, dx + \int_{\partial \Omega} \frac{\partial^3 f}{\partial n^3} \frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial n^2} \, dS \\ + \int_{\partial \Omega} \left( ((n^T D^3 v)_{\partial \Omega} : D_{\partial \Omega} n) - \frac{\partial^2(\Delta v)}{\partial n^2} - 2 \operatorname{div}_{\partial \Omega}(D^3 v[n \otimes n])_{\partial \Omega} \right) \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial n} \, dS, \end{aligned} \quad (6.16)$$

for all  $\varphi \in H^3(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$ . In particular, we deduce that on  $W \times \{0\}$  we have the following boundary integral

$$\int_W \left( - \frac{\partial^2(\Delta v)}{\partial x_N^2}(\bar{x}, 0) - 2 \Delta_{N-1} \left( \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x_N^2} \right)(\bar{x}, 0) + K_1 \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_N}(\bar{x}, 0) \right) \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_N}(\bar{x}, 0) d\bar{x}, \quad (6.17)$$

where  $K_1 = \int_{Y \times (-\infty, 0)} |D^3 V|^2$ . Then, by (6.15), (6.16), (6.17) and the arbitrariness of  $\varphi$  we deduce the statement of Theorem 1, part (iii).  $\square$

## 7. APPENDIX (A)

We give here a proof of the Triharmonic Green Formula. We refer to Section 3 for the tangential calculus notation and related results. We first note that by using tangential calculus it is possible to prove that

$$\begin{aligned} D^2 f(x) = \left( D_{\partial \Omega}^2 f(x) + \frac{\partial}{\partial n} \left( \nabla_{\partial \Omega} f(x) \right) \otimes n(x) + n(x) \otimes \nabla_{\partial \Omega} \left( \frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial n} \right) \right. \\ \left. + \frac{\partial^2 f(x)}{\partial n^2} n(x) \otimes n(x) \right) + \frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial n} D_{\partial \Omega} n(x), \end{aligned} \quad (7.1)$$

for all  $x \in \partial \Omega$ . Then formula (7.1) can be equivalently rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} D^2 f(x) = \left( D_{\partial \Omega}^2 f(x) + \nabla_{\partial \Omega} \left( \frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial n} \right) \otimes n(x) + n(x) \otimes \nabla_{\partial \Omega} \left( \frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial n} \right) \right. \\ \left. + \frac{\partial^2 f(x)}{\partial n^2} n(x) \otimes n(x) \right) - (D_{\partial \Omega} n(x)) (\nabla_{\partial \Omega} f(x)) \otimes n(x) + \frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial n} D_{\partial \Omega} n(x), \end{aligned} \quad (7.2)$$

for all  $x \in \partial \Omega$ . Finally, note that if we take the trace on both hand sides of (7.2) we recover the classical decomposition formula for the Laplacian at the boundary

$$\Delta f(x) = \Delta_{\partial \Omega} f(x) + \frac{\partial^2 f(x)}{\partial n^2} + \mathcal{H}(x) \frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial n},$$

for all  $x \in \partial \Omega$ , where  $\mathcal{H}$  is the curvature of  $\partial \Omega$ .



**Theorem 7** (Triharmonic Green Formula - general domain). *Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded domain of  $\mathbb{R}^N$  of class  $C^{0,1}$ . Let  $f \in C^6(\overline{\Omega})$ ,  $\varphi \in C^3(\overline{\Omega})$ . Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} D^3 f : D^3 \varphi \, dx &= - \int_{\Omega} \Delta^3 f \varphi \, dx + \int_{\partial\Omega} (n^T D^3 f) : D^2 \varphi \, dS \\ &- \int_{\partial\Omega} (n^T D^2(\Delta f))_{\partial\Omega} \cdot \nabla_{\partial\Omega} \varphi \, dS - \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\partial^2(\Delta f)}{\partial n^2} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial n} \, dS + \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\partial(\Delta^2 f)}{\partial n} \varphi \, dS. \end{aligned} \quad (7.3)$$

If moreover  $\Omega$  is of class  $C^3$  then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} D^3 f : D^3 \varphi \, dx &= - \int_{\Omega} \Delta^3 f \varphi \, dx + \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\partial^3 f}{\partial n^3} \frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial n^2} \, dS \\ &+ \int_{\partial\Omega} \left( ((n^T D^3 f)_{\partial\Omega} : D_{\partial\Omega} n) - \frac{\partial^2(\Delta f)}{\partial n^2} - 2 \operatorname{div}_{\partial\Omega} (D^3 f [n \otimes n])_{\partial\Omega} \right) \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial n} \, dS \\ &+ \int_{\partial\Omega} \left( \operatorname{div}_{\partial\Omega}^2 ((n^T D^3 f)_{\partial\Omega}) + \operatorname{div}_{\partial\Omega} (D_{\partial\Omega} n (D^3 f [n \otimes n])_{\partial\Omega}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{\partial(\Delta^2 f)}{\partial n} + \operatorname{div}_{\partial\Omega} (n^T D^2(\Delta f))_{\partial\Omega} \right) \varphi \, dS. \end{aligned} \quad (7.4)$$

*Proof.* Repeated integrations by parts establish that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} D^3 f : D^3 \varphi \, dx &= \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial^3 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_j \partial x_k} \frac{\partial^3 \varphi}{\partial x_i \partial x_j \partial x_k} \, dx \\ &= - \int_{\Omega} \Delta^3 f \varphi \, dx + \int_{\partial\Omega} (n^T D^3 f) : D^2 \varphi \, dS - \int_{\partial\Omega} (n^T D^2(\Delta f)) \cdot \nabla \varphi \, dS + \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\partial(\Delta^2 f)}{\partial n} \varphi \, dS, \end{aligned} \quad (7.5)$$

where summation symbols on  $i, j, k$  from 1 to  $N$  have been dropped. Then (7.3) follows from (7.5) by decomposing the gradient appearing in the third integral on the right-hand side of (7.5) in tangential and normal components, see Definition 2. In order to prove (7.4) we need first to decompose the hessian matrix appearing in the first boundary integral on the right-hand side of (7.3). By using formula (7.2) on  $D^2 \varphi$  we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\partial\Omega} (n^T D^3 f) : D^2 \varphi \, dS &= \int_{\partial\Omega} (n^T D^3 f)_{\partial\Omega} : D_{\partial\Omega}^2 \varphi \, dS \\ &+ 2 \int_{\partial\Omega} (D^3 f [n \otimes n])_{\partial\Omega} \cdot \nabla_{\partial\Omega} \left( \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial n} \right) \, dS \\ &- \int_{\partial\Omega} \left( D_{\partial\Omega} n (D^3 f [n \otimes n])_{\partial\Omega} \right) \cdot \nabla_{\partial\Omega} \varphi \, dS \\ &+ \int_{\partial\Omega} ((n^T D^3 f)_{\partial\Omega} : D_{\partial\Omega} n) \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial n} \, dS + \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\partial^3 f}{\partial n^3} \frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial n^2} \, dS. \end{aligned} \quad (7.6)$$

In (7.6) the symbol  $D^3 f [n \otimes n]$  stands for the vector having as  $i$ -th component  $\frac{\partial^3 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_j \partial x_k} n_j n_k$ , where sums over  $j$  and  $k$  are understood. Note also that the third integral on the right-hand side of (7.6) is deduced from

$$- \int_{\partial\Omega} (n^T D^3 f) : (D_{\partial\Omega} n (\nabla_{\partial\Omega} \varphi) \otimes n) \, dS,$$

by using the following equalities

$$\begin{aligned} (n^T D^3 f) : (D_{\partial\Omega} n (\nabla_{\partial\Omega} \varphi) \otimes n) &= (D_{\partial\Omega} n (\nabla_{\partial\Omega} \varphi))^T (n^T D^3 f) n \\ &= (\nabla_{\partial\Omega} \varphi)^T ((D_{\partial\Omega} n)^T (D^3 f [n \otimes n])_{\partial\Omega}) \\ &= ((D_{\partial\Omega} n) (D^3 f [n \otimes n])_{\partial\Omega}) \cdot \nabla_{\partial\Omega} \varphi. \end{aligned}$$

In the third equality we have used the fact that  $D_{\partial\Omega} n$  is a symmetric matrix. Now, since  $\Omega$  is of class  $C^2$ , we plan to apply the Tangential Divergence theorem (see Theorem 2) to the first, the second, and the third integral in the right-hand side of (7.6). We consider separately the first integral. Let us note that for every matrix  $A = (a_{ij}(x))_{ij}$  with coefficients  $a_{ij} \in C^2(\overline{\Omega})$  and for every function  $\psi \in C^2(\overline{\Omega})$ , we have

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} \operatorname{div}_{\partial\Omega} ((A)_{\partial\Omega} (\nabla_{\partial\Omega} \psi)) dS = 0$$

by (3.8). Here  $((A)_{\partial\Omega})_{ij} = (a_{ij} \circ p)|_{\partial\Omega}$ , where  $p$  is defined in Section 3. Hence,

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} (\operatorname{div}_{\partial\Omega} (A)_{\partial\Omega}) \cdot \nabla_{\partial\Omega} \psi + (A)_{\partial\Omega} : D_{\partial\Omega}^2 \psi dS = 0. \quad (7.7)$$

Finally, a further application of the Tangential Green formula (see (3.9)) on the first summand on the right-hand side of (7.7) yields

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} (\operatorname{div}_{\partial\Omega}^2 (A)_{\partial\Omega}) \psi dS = \int_{\partial\Omega} (A)_{\partial\Omega} : D_{\partial\Omega}^2 \psi dS \quad (7.8)$$

for all matrix  $A \in C^2(\overline{\Omega})^{N \times N}$ , for every function  $\psi \in C^2(\overline{\Omega})$ . Then, by applying Formula (7.8) to the first integral in the right-hand side of (7.6) with  $A = (n^T D^3 f)$  and  $\psi = f$ , and by using (3.9) on the second and third integral in the right-hand side of (7.6) we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\partial\Omega} (n^T D^3 f) : D^2 \varphi dS &= \int_{\partial\Omega} \operatorname{div}_{\partial\Omega}^2 ((n^T D^3 f)_{\partial\Omega}) \varphi dS \\ &- 2 \int_{\partial\Omega} \operatorname{div}_{\partial\Omega} ((D^3 f [n \otimes n])_{\partial\Omega}) \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial n} dS + \int_{\partial\Omega} \operatorname{div}_{\partial\Omega} (D_{\partial\Omega} n (D^3 f [n \otimes n])_{\partial\Omega}) \varphi dS \\ &+ \int_{\partial\Omega} ((n^T D^3 f)_{\partial\Omega} : D_{\partial\Omega} n) \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial n} dS + \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\partial^3 f}{\partial n^3} \frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial n^2} dS, \end{aligned} \quad (7.9)$$

where we have denoted with  $(V)_{\partial\Omega}$  the projection of  $V$  on the tangent plane to  $\partial\Omega$ , as defined in §3. By applying the Tangential Divergence Theorem to the second boundary integral on the right-hand side of (7.3) we finally deduce that

$$- \int_{\partial\Omega} (n^T D^2 (\Delta f))_{\partial\Omega} \cdot \nabla_{\partial\Omega} \varphi dS = \int_{\partial\Omega} \operatorname{div}_{\partial\Omega} (n^T D^2 (\Delta f))_{\partial\Omega} \varphi dS. \quad (7.10)$$

By (7.9) and (7.10) we get (7.4), concluding the proof.  $\square$

## 8. APPENDIX (B)

**Proposition 1.** *Let  $u \in H^3(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$  be the function defined in the statement of Lemma (4). If  $1 < \alpha < 2$  then  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_N}(\bar{x}, 0) = 0$  for almost all  $\bar{x} \in W$ .*

*Proof.* In this proof we use the definition of  $\hat{Y}$  and  $\hat{u}$  introduced in (5.20) and (5.21). Note that

$$\epsilon^\alpha \int_W \int_{\hat{Y}} \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} |\nabla_{\bar{y}} \hat{u}_\epsilon|^2 + \frac{1}{\epsilon^{2\alpha}} \left| \frac{\partial \hat{u}_\epsilon}{\partial y_N} \right|^2 d\bar{x} dy = \int_{\Omega_\epsilon} |\nabla u_\epsilon|^2 dx, \quad (8.1)$$

where we have used formula (3.4). Since  $\alpha < 2$  we deduce that  $\nabla_y \hat{u}_\epsilon \rightarrow 0$  in  $L^2(W \times \hat{Y})^N$ . In a similar way one proves that  $D_y^\beta \hat{u}_\epsilon \rightarrow 0$  for all the multiindexes  $\beta$

such that  $1 \leq |\beta| \leq 3$ . Now note that  $\int_W \int_Y |\hat{u}_\epsilon(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, 0)|^2 d\bar{y} d\bar{x} = \int_W |u_\epsilon(\bar{x}, 0)|^2 d\bar{x} \leq C$ , uniformly in  $\epsilon > 0$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_W \int_{\hat{Y}} |\hat{u}_\epsilon(\bar{x}, y)|^2 dy d\bar{x} \\ & \leq 2 \int_W \int_{\hat{Y}} |\hat{u}_\epsilon(\bar{x}, y) - \hat{u}_\epsilon(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, 0)|^2 dy d\bar{x} + 2(b(\bar{y}) + 1) \int_W \int_Y |\hat{u}_\epsilon(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, 0)|^2 d\bar{y} d\bar{x} \\ & \leq 2 \int_W \int_{\hat{Y}} \int_0^{y_N} \left| \frac{\partial \hat{u}_\epsilon}{\partial y_N}(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, t) \right|^2 dt |y_N| dy d\bar{x} + C \leq C', \end{aligned}$$

hence  $\hat{u}_\epsilon$  is uniformly bounded in  $L^2(W, H^3(\hat{Y}))$  and up to a subsequence  $\hat{u}_\epsilon \rightharpoonup \hat{u}$  in  $L^2(W, H^3(\hat{Y}))$ , for some function  $\hat{u} \in L^2(W, H^3(\hat{Y}))$ . Actually  $\hat{u}$  does not depend on  $y$ ; indeed  $\nabla_y \hat{u}_\epsilon \rightarrow 0$  in  $L^2(W \times \hat{Y})^N$  implies that  $\nabla_y \hat{u} = 0$ . Since  $u_\epsilon \rightarrow u$  weakly in  $H^3(\Omega)$ , by the Trace Theorem,  $u_\epsilon(\bar{x}, 0) \rightarrow u(\bar{x}, 0)$  strongly in  $L^2(W)$ . By Lemma 8 below, we deduce that

$$\overline{u}_\epsilon(\bar{x}) = \frac{1}{\epsilon^{N-1}} \int_{C_\epsilon(\bar{x})} u_\epsilon(\bar{t}, 0) d\bar{t} \rightarrow u(\bar{x}, 0), \quad (8.2)$$

strongly in  $L^2(W)$  as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ . By a change of variable it is easy to see that  $\overline{u}_\epsilon(\bar{x}) = \int_Y \hat{u}_\epsilon(\bar{x}, \bar{z}, 0) d\bar{z}$  for almost all  $\bar{x} \in W$ . By Poincaré inequality it is also easy to prove that

$$\left\| \hat{u}_\epsilon - \int_Y \hat{u}_\epsilon(\cdot, \bar{z}, 0) d\bar{z} \right\|_{L^2(W \times \hat{Y})} \leq C \|\nabla_{\bar{y}} \hat{u}_\epsilon\|_{L^2(W \times \hat{Y})} \rightarrow 0, \quad (8.3)$$

as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ , according to (8.1). Then, by (8.2) and (8.3) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\hat{u}_\epsilon - u(\bar{x}, 0)\|_{L^2(W \times \hat{Y})} \\ & \leq \left\| \hat{u}_\epsilon - \int_Y \hat{u}_\epsilon(\cdot, \bar{z}, 0) d\bar{z} \right\|_{L^2(W \times \hat{Y})} + \left\| \int_Y \hat{u}_\epsilon(\cdot, \bar{z}, 0) d\bar{z} - u(\bar{x}, 0) \right\|_{L^2(W \times \hat{Y})} \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned} \quad (8.4)$$

as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ , which implies that  $\hat{u}(\bar{x}) = u(\bar{x}, 0)$  for almost all  $\bar{x} \in W$ . Now we unfold the following identity

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial^2 u_\epsilon}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(\bar{x}, g_\epsilon(\bar{x})) + \frac{\partial^2 u_\epsilon}{\partial x_i \partial x_N}(\bar{x}, g_\epsilon(\bar{x})) \frac{\partial g_\epsilon(\bar{x})}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial^2 u_\epsilon}{\partial x_j \partial x_N}(\bar{x}, g_\epsilon(\bar{x})) \frac{\partial g_\epsilon(\bar{x})}{\partial x_i} \\ & + \frac{\partial^2 u_\epsilon}{\partial x_N^2}(\bar{x}, g_\epsilon(\bar{x})) \frac{\partial g_\epsilon(\bar{x})}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial g_\epsilon(\bar{x})}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial u_\epsilon}{\partial x_N}(\bar{x}, g_\epsilon(\bar{x})) \frac{\partial^2 g_\epsilon(\bar{x})}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

in order to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \frac{\partial^2 \hat{u}_\epsilon}{\partial y_i \partial y_j}(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, b(\bar{y})) + \frac{\epsilon^{\alpha-1}}{\epsilon^{\alpha+1}} \frac{\partial^2 \hat{u}_\epsilon}{\partial y_i \partial y_N}(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, b(\bar{y})) \frac{\partial b(\bar{y})}{\partial y_j} + \frac{\epsilon^{\alpha-1}}{\epsilon^{\alpha+1}} \frac{\partial^2 \hat{u}_\epsilon}{\partial y_j \partial y_N}(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, b(\bar{y})) \frac{\partial b(\bar{y})}{\partial y_i} \\ & + \frac{\epsilon^{2\alpha-2}}{\epsilon^{2\alpha}} \frac{\partial^2 \hat{u}_\epsilon}{\partial y_N^2}(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, b(\bar{y})) \frac{\partial b(\bar{y})}{\partial y_i} \frac{\partial b(\bar{y})}{\partial y_j} + \frac{\epsilon^{\alpha-2}}{\epsilon^\alpha} \frac{\partial \hat{u}_\epsilon}{\partial y_N}(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, b(\bar{y})) \frac{\partial^2 b(\bar{y})}{\partial y_i \partial y_j} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (8.5)$$

Note that  $\frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \frac{\partial^2 \hat{u}_\epsilon}{\partial y_i \partial y_j}(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, b(\bar{y})) \rightarrow \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(\bar{x}, 0) = 0$ , and  $\frac{1}{\epsilon^{\alpha+1}} \frac{\partial^2 \hat{u}_\epsilon}{\partial y_i \partial y_N}(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, b(\bar{y})) \rightarrow \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_N}(\bar{x}, 0)$ ,  $\frac{1}{\epsilon^{2\alpha}} \frac{\partial^2 \hat{u}_\epsilon}{\partial y_N^2}(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, b(\bar{y})) \rightarrow \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_N^2}(\bar{x}, 0)$  as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ , where the limits are in  $L^2(W \times Y)$ . Hence, if  $1 < \alpha < 2$  we deduce that all the summands in (8.5) are vanishing in  $L^2(W \times Y)$  with the possible exception of  $\frac{\epsilon^{\alpha-2}}{\epsilon^\alpha} \frac{\partial \hat{u}_\epsilon}{\partial y_N}(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, b(\bar{y})) \frac{\partial^2 b(\bar{y})}{\partial y_i \partial y_j}$ . Since equality (8.5) must hold, this implies that also this last summand is bounded; hence,

$$\frac{1}{\epsilon^\alpha} \frac{\partial \hat{u}_\epsilon}{\partial y_N}(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, b(\bar{y})) \frac{\partial^2 b(\bar{y})}{\partial y_i \partial y_j} \rightarrow 0,$$

in  $L^2(W \times Y)$  as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ , and consequently  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_N}(\bar{x}, 0) \frac{\partial^2 b(\bar{y})}{\partial y_i \partial y_j} = 0$  for almost all  $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in (W \times Y)$ . Then  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_N}(\bar{x}, 0) = 0$  for almost all  $\bar{x} \in W$ , concluding the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 8.** *Let  $(v_\epsilon)_\epsilon$  be a sequence of functions in  $L^2(\Theta)$ , for a given bounded open set  $\Theta \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ . Let  $v \in L^2(\Theta)$ , and assume that  $v_\epsilon \rightarrow v$  in  $L^2(\Theta)$ . For all  $\epsilon > 0$  let  $C_\epsilon(x) = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^N : |x - y| < \epsilon\}$  and we define*

$$\bar{v}_\epsilon(x) = \frac{1}{\epsilon^N} \int_{C_\epsilon(x)} v_\epsilon(y) dy,$$

for almost all  $x \in \Theta$ . Then  $\bar{v}_\epsilon \rightarrow v$  in  $L^2(\Theta)$  as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ .

*Proof.* We claim that

$$\bar{v}(x) := \frac{1}{\epsilon^N} \int_{C_\epsilon(x)} v(y) dy \rightarrow v(x), \quad (8.6)$$

strongly in  $L^2(\Theta)$  as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ . Let  $\delta > 0$  be fixed and let  $w \in C^1(\Theta) \cap L^2(\Theta)$  such that  $\|v - w\|_{L^2(\Theta)} \leq \delta$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{v}(x) - v(x) &= \frac{1}{\epsilon^N} \int_{C_\epsilon(x)} (v(y) - v(x)) dy \\ &= \frac{1}{\epsilon^N} \int_{C_\epsilon(x)} (v(y) - w(y)) dy + (w(x) - v(x)) + \frac{1}{\epsilon^N} \int_{C_\epsilon(x)} (w(y) - w(x)) dy. \end{aligned}$$

Let us define  $\Theta^\epsilon = \{x \in \Theta : \text{dist}(x, \partial\Theta) > \epsilon\}$ . Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Theta^\epsilon} \left| \frac{1}{\epsilon^N} \int_{C_\epsilon(x)} (v(y) - w(y)) dy \right|^2 dx &\leq \int_{\Theta^\epsilon} \frac{1}{\epsilon^N} \int_{C_\epsilon(x)} |v(y) - w(y)|^2 dy dx \\ &= \int_{\Theta^\epsilon} |v(y) - w(y)|^2 \left( \frac{1}{\epsilon^N} \int_{C_\epsilon(y)} dx \right) dy \leq C\delta^2 \end{aligned}$$

where we have used Jensen's inequality and Tonelli Theorem. Moreover, it is clear that

$$\left\| \frac{1}{\epsilon^N} \int_{C_\epsilon(x)} (w(y) - w(x)) dy \right\|_{L^2(\Theta)} \leq C\epsilon.$$

Hence,  $\|\bar{v} - v\|_{L^2(\Theta^\epsilon)} \leq C(\delta + \epsilon) \leq C'\delta$ , concluding the proof of claim (8.6). Now note that

$$\|\bar{v}_\epsilon - \bar{v}\|_{L^2(\Theta^\epsilon)} \leq \left( \int_{\Theta^\epsilon} \left( \frac{1}{\epsilon^N} \int_{C_\epsilon(x)} |v_\epsilon(y) - v(y)|^2 dy \right) dx \right)^{1/2}.$$

By Tonelli Theorem we can exchange the order of the integrals in order to obtain

$$\int_{\Theta^\epsilon} \left( \frac{1}{\epsilon^N} \int_{C_\epsilon(x)} |v_\epsilon(y) - v(y)|^2 dy \right) dx \leq \|v_\epsilon - v\|_{L^2(\Theta)}^2 \frac{1}{\epsilon^N} \int_{C_\epsilon(y)} dx = \|v_\epsilon - v\|_{L^2(\Theta)}^2.$$

Hence,  $\|\bar{v}_\epsilon - \bar{v}\|_{L^2(\Theta^\epsilon)} \leq \|v_\epsilon - v\|_{L^2(\Theta)}$ ; consequently,

$$\|\bar{v}_\epsilon - v\|_{L^2(\Theta^\epsilon)} \leq \|\bar{v}_\epsilon - \bar{v}\|_{L^2(\Theta^\epsilon)} + \|\bar{v} - v\|_{L^2(\Theta^\epsilon)} \leq \|v_\epsilon - v\|_{L^2(\Theta)} + \|\bar{v} - v\|_{L^2(\Theta^\epsilon)},$$

and the right-hand side tends to zero as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ .  $\square$

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS.

The author is very thankful to Prof. P.D. Lamberti for several discussions and suggestions.

The author acknowledge the support of EPSRC, grant EP/T000902/1.

## REFERENCES

- [1] ARRIETA, J. M., FERRARESSO, F., AND LAMBERTI, P. D. Boundary homogenization for a triharmonic intermediate problem. *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* **41**, 3 (2018), 979–985.
- [2] ARRIETA, J. M., AND LAMBERTI, P. D. Higher order elliptic operators on variable domains. Stability results and boundary oscillations for intermediate problems. *J. Differential Equations* **263**, 7 (2017), 4222–4266.
- [3] ARRIETA, J. M., AND VILLANUEVA-PESQUEIRA, M. Thin domains with doubly oscillatory boundary. *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* **37**, 2 (2014), 158–166.
- [4] ARRIETA, J. M., AND VILLANUEVA-PESQUEIRA, M. Elliptic and parabolic problems in thin domains with doubly weak oscillatory boundary. *Commun. Pure Appl. Anal.* **19**, 4 (2020), 1891–1914.
- [5] BAILEY, P. B., EVERITT, W. N., WEIDMANN, J., AND ZETTL, A. Regular approximations of singular Sturm-Liouville problems. *Results Math.* **23**, 1-2 (1993), 3–22.
- [6] BÖGLI, S. Convergence of sequences of linear operators and their spectra. *Integral Equations Operator Theory* **88**, 4 (2017), 559–599.
- [7] BUOSO, D., AND KENNEDY, J. B. The bilaplacian with robin boundary conditions. *ArXiv*, **2105.11249** (2021).
- [8] BUOSO, D., AND LAMBERTI, P. D. Eigenvalues of polyharmonic operators on variable domains. *ESAIM Control Optim. Calc. Var.* **19**, 4 (2013), 1225–1235.
- [9] BURENKOV, V. I., AND LAMBERTI, P. D. Sharp spectral stability estimates via the Lebesgue measure of domains for higher order elliptic operators. *Rev. Mat. Complut.* **25**, 2 (2012), 435–457.
- [10] CASADO-DÍAZ, J., LUNA-LAYNEZ, M., AND SUÁREZ-GRAU, F. J. Asymptotic behavior of a viscous fluid with slip boundary conditions on a slightly rough wall. *Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci.* **20**, 1 (2010), 121–156.
- [11] CASADO-DÍAZ, J., LUNA-LAYNEZ, M., AND SUÁREZ-GRAU, F. J. Asymptotic behavior of the Navier-Stokes system in a thin domain with Navier condition on a slightly rough boundary. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **45**, 3 (2013), 1641–1674.
- [12] CIORANESCU, D., DAMLAMIAN, A., AND GRISO, G. The periodic unfolding method in homogenization. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **40**, 4 (2008), 1585–1620.
- [13] CIORANESCU, D., DAMLAMIAN, A., AND GRISO, G. *The periodic unfolding method*, vol. 3 of *Series in Contemporary Mathematics*. Springer, Singapore, 2018. Theory and applications to partial differential problems.
- [14] CIORANESCU, D., AND DONATO, P. *An introduction to homogenization*, vol. 17 of *Oxford Lecture Series in Mathematics and its Applications*. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1999.
- [15] COLBOIS, B., AND PROVENZANO, L. Eigenvalues of elliptic operators with density. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* **57**, 2 (2018), Paper No. 36, 35.
- [16] COSTABEL, M., DALLA RIVA, M., DAUGE, M., AND MUSOLINO, P. Converging expansions for Lipschitz self-similar perforations of a plane sector. *Integral Equations Operator Theory* **88**, 3 (2017), 401–449.
- [17] COURANT, R., AND HILBERT, D. *Methods of mathematical physics. Vol. I*. Interscience Publishers, Inc., New York, N.Y., 1953.
- [18] DALLA RIVA, M., AND MUSOLINO, P. Moderately close Neumann inclusions for the Poisson equation. *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* **41**, 3 (2018), 986–993.
- [19] DELFOUR, M. C., AND ZOLÉSIO, J.-P. *Shapes and geometries*, second ed., vol. 22 of *Advances in Design and Control*. Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics (SIAM), Philadelphia, PA, 2011. Metrics, analysis, differential calculus, and optimization.
- [20] FEDERER, H. Curvature measures. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **93** (1959), 418–491.
- [21] FERRARESSO, F., AND LAMBERTI, P. D. On a Babuška paradox for polyharmonic operators: spectral stability and boundary homogenization for intermediate problems. *Integral Equations Operator Theory* **91**, 6 (2019), Paper No. 55, 42.
- [22] FERRARESSO, F., AND PROVENZANO, L. On the eigenvalues of the biharmonic operator with Neumann boundary conditions on a thin set. *ArXiv*, **2108.03969** (2021).
- [23] FERRERO, A., AND LAMBERTI, P. D. Spectral stability for a class of fourth order Steklov problems under domain perturbations. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* **58**, 1 (2019), Paper No. 33, 57.
- [24] HALE, J. K., AND RAUGEL, G. Reaction-diffusion equation on thin domains. *J. Math. Pures Appl.* (9) **71**, 1 (1992), 33–95.
- [25] JIMBO, S. The singularly perturbed domain and the characterization for the eigenfunctions with Neumann boundary condition. *J. Differential Equations* **77**, 2 (1989), 322–350.

- [26] JIMBO, S., AND KOSUGI, S. Spectra of domains with partial degeneration. *J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokyo* 16, 3 (2009), 269–414.
- [27] KATO, T. *Perturbation theory for linear operators*. Classics in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1995. Reprint of the 1980 edition.
- [28] MAZ' YA, V., NAZAROV, S., AND PLAMENEVSKIJ, B. *Asymptotic theory of elliptic boundary value problems in singularly perturbed domains. Vol. I*, vol. 111 of *Operator Theory: Advances and Applications*. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2000. Translated from the German by Georg Heinig and Christian Posthoff.
- [29] MAZ' YA, V., NAZAROV, S., AND PLAMENEVSKIJ, B. *Asymptotic theory of elliptic boundary value problems in singularly perturbed domains. Vol. II*, vol. 112 of *Operator Theory: Advances and Applications*. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2000. Translated from the German by Plamenevskij.
- [30] MAZ' YA, V. G., AND NAZAROV, S. A. Paradoxes of the passage to the limit in solutions of boundary value problems for the approximation of smooth domains by polygons. *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.* 50, 6 (1986), 1156–1177, 1343.
- [31] SCHATZMAN, M. On the eigenvalues of the Laplace operator on a thin set with Neumann boundary conditions. *Appl. Anal.* 61, 3-4 (1996), 293–306.

ABACWS, CARDIFF UNIVERSITY, SENGHENNYDD ROAD, CARDIFF, CF24 4AX, UK  
Email address: FerraressoF@cardiff.ac.uk