Neoproterozoic reworking of Mesoarchean and Paleoarchean crust (3.4~3.0 Ga) within the North China Craton: Constraints from zircon U-Pb geochronology and Lu-Hf isotopes from the basement of the Bohai Bay Basin
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Abstract: The Bohai Bay Basin basement is composed mainly of Archean granitoid gneisses with minor supracrustal rocks and is the largest basin in the Eastern Block of the North China Craton. Due to a cover of Mesozoic and Cenozoic strata, little is known about the age and crustal evolution of this basement. In this study we report new zircon SHRIMP and LA-ICP-MS U-Pb and Lu-Hf isotope data for drill core samples, including TTGs (granodiorite, tonalite gneiss, trondhjemite gneiss), granites (monzogranite, syenogranite) and leptite, with the aim of revealing the Archean crustal evolutionary history of the Bohai Bay Basin basement. The U-Pb age of magmatic zircons from these granitoids reveals that basement rocks were mainly generated by two-stage events at ~3.1 Ga and ~2.5 Ga. The $\varepsilon_{\text{Hf}}(t)$ values of ~3.1 Ga magmatic zircons vary from +0.56 to +8.27, and their corresponding single-stage model ages range from 3.3 Ga to 3.0 Ga. The $\varepsilon_{\text{Hf}}(t)$ values of ~2.5 Ga magmatic zircons range from -12.87 to -0.07, their corresponding two-stage model ages range from 3.8 Ga to 2.9 Ga with most ages from 3.4 Ga to 3.0 Ga. The Hf isotopic characteristics show that the crustal growth of basement beneath Bohai Bay Basin occurred mainly between 3.4 and 3.0 Ga, different from crustal accretion ages of 2.9–2.7 Ga on the
periphery of the Bohai Bay Basin. However, both areas were reworked by the ~2.5 Ga
tectono-thermal event. Integration of this new data from the basin basement with previous
data, indicates that the Eastern Block of the North China Craton may have been formed by
a mantle plume during the ~2.5 Ga period. The results from this study are significant in
assessing the tectonic environment of the eastern basement in the North China Craton.
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1. Introduction

The North China Craton (NCC) is a general term used to refer to the Chinese part of the
Sino-Korean Platform, covering most of North China, Inner Mongolia, Bohai Bay and the
northern part of the Yellow Sea (Zhao et al., 2001a) (Fig.1a). The NCC is one of the oldest
continental fragments with 3.8 Ga crustal rocks and detrital zircons up to ~4.1 Ga (Liu et al.,
1992; Song et al., 1996). The Precambrian metamorphic basement of the NCC can be divided
into the Western Block (WB) and Eastern Block (EB), which are separated by the Trans-North
China Orogen (TNCO) (Zhao et al., 2005). Most Archean rocks in the NCC are exposed in the
Eastern Block. U-Pb geochronology on magmatic zircon reveals that the EB underwent a
significant number of tectono-magmatic events, between~3.8 Ga and ~1.8 Ga (Jahn et al., 2008;
Wang et al., 2014; Wu et al., 2014; Xie et al., 2014). Events dated at 2.8–2.7 Ga and 2.6–2.5
Ga display evidence for the most significant tectono-thermal events and major periods of crustal
growth (Zhu et al., 2013; Diwu et al., 2011; Geng et al., 2012; Sun et al., 2020). However, rocks
older than 2.7 Ga in the NCC commonly underwent metamorphism and deformation at 2.6–2.5
Ga. Therefore, the major period of continental growth in the NCC could be 2.8–2.7 Ga, which
is consistent with a peak in global crustal growth (Zhai and Bian, 2000). The 2.6–2.5 Ga rocks
probably represent a special event of crustal growth and reworking in the NCC (Wan et
al.,2012a).

Abundant 2.6–2.5 Ga TTGs (Trondhjemite-Tonalite-Granodiorites) have Hf-in-zircon and
Nd whole-rock model ages that mostly range from 2.8 to 2.7 Ga with some at 2.6–2.5 Ga (Zhu
et al., 2013; Zhai, 2014). It has been proposed that the 2.6–2.5 Ga TTGs or other magmatic
rocks were mostly formed by partial melting of 2.8–2.7 Ga rocks (Diwu et al., 2011; Geng et al., 2012; Wan et al., 2012a; Wu et al., 2016). Only some magmatic zircons in 2.6–2.5 Ga rocks yield negative zircon $\varepsilon_{\text{Hf}}$ values and have Hf model ages greater than ~2.8 Ga (Zhai, 2014), indicating reworking of the Mesoarchean and Paleoarchean crustal rocks at 2.6–2.5 Ga. However, it is still unclear why the 2.7-2.8 Ga crust mainly remelted in this tectono-thermal event, and why there are few records of the Mesoarchean and Paleoarchean crustal rocks being melted at the same time?

The Bohai Bay Basin (BBB) is the largest basin in the EB of the NCC. However, the BBB basement is extensively covered by Mesoproterozoic and younger sedimentary sequences. Recently, some samples from drill holes were dated by U-Pb zircon, and Precambrian ages of ~2.5 Ga and ~3.0 Ga were obtained (Song et al., 2011; Wan et al., 2014b; Meng et al., 2017; Wang et al., 2019), proving the existence of Precambrian rocks beneath the BBB. Although Archean tectono-thermal events have been identified beneath the BBB, the lack of studies on the origin of Neoarchean rocks has limited our understanding of the tectonic setting of the late Neoarchean in the EB.

In this study, we report new zircon U-Pb age and Lu-Hf isotope data for Archean granitoids from drill holes that penetrated the BBB basement in the EB of the NCC. In combination with previous studies, the present study provides new constraints not only on the timing of magmatism but also on the crustal evolution beneath the BBB during the Archean. The results provide a new perspective on the tectonic setting of the EB on the NCC during 2.6-2.5 Ga.

2. Geological setting and samples

The NCC is composed of Eastern and Western blocks, separated by the Paleoproterozoic Trans-North China Orogen (Zhao et al., 2001a; Yang et al., 2008). The Western Block includes the Yinshan and Ordos blocks, separated by the Paleoproterozoic Khondalite Belt (Wang et al., 2011a; Yin et al., 2014). While the Eastern Block can be further subdivided into the Langrim and Longgang blocks separated by the Jiao-Liao-Ji Belt, which resulted from a series of rifting-subduction-collision events (Liu et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2020). The BBB is one of the largest basins in the Eastern Block of the NCC,
bordering the Yinshan-Yanshan orogenic belt in the north, the Taihang orogen uplift in the west, the Western Shandong uplift in the southeast, and the Jiaodong and Liaodong uplifts in the east (Fig.1b). The BBB consists of the Jizhong, Linqing, Huanghua, Jiyang, Bozhong and Liaohai depressions separated by uplifted older strata, including the XingNing, CangXian and ChengNing uplifts (Fig.1b).

Fig.1

Archean rocks (>3.8Ga~2.5Ga) are widespread around the BBB, including Western Shandong (WS), Eastern Hebei (EH), Western Liaoning (WL), Southern Liaoning (SL), Eastern Shandong (ES) (Zhao et al., 2005) (Fig.1a). The distribution of pre-Neoarchean (3.8 Ga~2.8 Ga) rocks is sporadic in WL, ES, and EH (Liu et al., 1992). Neoarchean rocks (~2.8~2.5Ga) constitute most of the exposure of Archean basement around the BBB, including ES, WS, EH, WL and SL (Zhao et al., 2005; Wu et al., 2008; Wan et al., 2011; Zhai and Santosh, 2011; Wan et al., 2014a; Wu et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2015). In recent years, a few boreholes have revealed the existence of Precambrian basement beneath the BBB (Meng et al., 2017; Wang et al., 2019). However, little information about the early Precambrian is known from the basement of the BBB (Wan et al., 2014b), because of the covering Paleozoic to Cenozoic strata (Li et al., 2005).

In this study, samples were collected from drill cores at different depths in nine boreholes that penetrated the BBB basement. These boreholes are all located in the Chengdong uplift in the Jiyang depression in the BBB (Fig.1c). We analysed nine fresh samples, including six TTGs (massive granodiorite, Fig.2a-b; tonalitic gneiss, Fig.2c; tonalitic gneiss with migmatized felsic veins, Fig.2d; trondhjemitic gneiss, Fig.2e; trondhjemitic gneiss with migmatized felsic spots, Fig.2f), two granites (monzogranite, Fig.2g; syenogranite, Fig.2h) and one supracrustal rock (leptite, Fig.2i). The TTGs and granites were metamorphosed to gneisses, displaying blastic texture and directional structure, some of which experienced migmatization (Fig.2c, d, f). The TTG gneisses are composed of plagioclase (50~70%), quartz (20~30%), biotite (5~15%), and alkali feldspar (±5%) with accessory minerals of zircon, apatite and magnetite (Fig.3a-f). The granites are chiefly composed of plagioclase (15~30%), alkali feldspar (30~40%), quartz (20~35%), biotite (10~15%), and hornblende (5%), accompanied by zircon and magnetite, some
biotite and hornblende had been altered to chlorite and epidote. Plagioclase and alkali feldspar are partially altered to sericite and calcite (Fig.3g-h). The supracrustal rock is composed mainly of quartz (75–80%), plagioclase (20–25%), and minor microcline (5%) with accessory minerals of zircon and magnetite (Fig.3i). Eight samples (except for the sample of the supracrustal rock) were analysed for major elements (Supplementary Table S1). Zircons in all nine samples were dated by U-Pb methods (Table1), and analysed for Lu-Hf isotopes.

3. Analytical methods

3.1. Major elements

Major element analyses were conducted at the Institute of Geology and Geophysical, Chinese Academy of Sciences (IGGCAS) in Beijing, China. X-ray fluorescence (XRF) was used to determine the major elements with the procedure reported by Guo et al.(2006). Estimated uncertainties range from 1% to 3% RSD for elements present at >1wt%, and 10% RSD for elements present at <1wt%. The GSR-3, a basalt reference material showed the values within the range of consensus values.

3.2. Zircon separation and CL imaging

Zircons from the drill cores were separated from 2–3 kg samples by standard heavy-liquid and magnetic techniques, followed by handpicking under a binocular microscope. The selected crystals were embedded in 25 mm epoxy disks and polished to approximately half their thickness. Zircon separation was conducted at the Beijing Createch Testing Technology Co., Ltd. (Beijing, China).

All zircon samples were examined using transmitted and reflected-light photomicrographs and CL image analysis to reveal their internal structures prior to U–Pb dating. CL imaging was performed with a scanning electron microscope (JSM 6150.cl) and detector (Gatan MiniCL) with a voltage of 10 kv. CL images of samples CHG14-2, CHG16-2, CHG19-3, CH96-1, and
3.3. SHRIMP zircon dating

Single-zircon U-Pb dating was conducted using SHRIMP II at the Institute of Geology, Chinese Academy of Geological Sciences (Beijing, China). U-Th-Pb isotopic ratios were corrected for instrumental interelement fractionation using zircon standard TEM with $^{206}\text{Pb} / ^{238}\text{U} = 0.0668$ at 417 Ma. U, Th and Pb absolute abundances were calibrated using the zircon standard SL13 (572 Ma) with U = 238 ppm and Th = 18 ppm (Black et al., 2004). The two zircon standards were provided by Australian National University. Data were processed using the Ludwig SQUID 1.0 and ISOPLOT 4.15 programs. Measured compositions were corrected for common Pb using nonradiogenic $^{204}\text{Pb}$. The analysis conditions included an approximately 15 nA, 10 kV O$_2^-$ beam with a spot size of 30 μm in diameter. A single spot on each zircon grain was analysed for approximately 20 min. A zircon U-Pb international standard was analysed for every three U-Pb single-spot analyses of the samples to monitor the analytical accuracy, external precision and instrumental drift. The mass resolution used for measuring Pb/Pb and Pb/U isotopic ratios was approximately 5,000. The SHRIMP U–Pb data are presented as a concordia plot (Fig. 7a-e) and in Supplementary Table S2. The uncertainties of the individual analyses are shown at the 2σ level. Magmatic crystallization ages, indicated by the mean $^{206}\text{Pb} / ^{238}\text{U}$ age, are reported with a 95% confidence interval (2σ).

3.4. LA-ICP-MS zircon dating and Lu-Hf isotopic analysis

U-Pb analyses were conducted by LA-ICP-MS at Beijing GeoAnalysis Co., Ltd. Laser sampling was performed using an ESI NWR 193 nm laser ablation system. An AnlyitikJena PQMS Elite ICP-MS instrument was used to acquire ion-signal intensities. Helium was used as a carrier gas. Argon was used as the make-up gas and mixed with the carrier gas via a T-connector before entering the ICP. Each analysis incorporated a background acquisition of approximately 15–20 s (gas blank) followed by 45 s data acquisition from the sample. Off-line raw data selection, integration of background and analyte signals, time-drift correction and
quantitative calibration for U-Pb dating were carried out by ICPMSDataCal (Liu et al., 2010). Zircon GJ-1 was used as an external standard for U-Pb dating and was analysed twice every 5–10 analyses. Time-dependent drifts of U-Th-Pb isotope ratios were corrected using linear interpolation (with time) for every 5–10 analyses according to the variations in GJ-1 (i.e., 2 zircon GJ-1 + 5–10 samples + 2 zircon GJ-1) (Liu et al., 2010). Uncertainty of preferred values for the external standard GJ-1 was propagated to the ultimate results of the samples. In all analysed zircon grains, a common Pb correction was not necessary due to the low signal of common $^{204}$Pb and high $^{206}$Pb/$^{204}$Pb. U, Th and Pb concentrations were calibrated by NIST 610. Concordia diagrams and weighted mean calculations were made using Isoplot/Ex_ver4.15. The zircon Plesovice was dated as an unknown sample and yielded a weighted mean $^{206}$Pb/$^{238}$U age of 337.5 ± 1.5 Ma (2SD, n = 11), which is in good agreement with the recommended $^{206}$Pb/$^{238}$U age of 337.13 ± 0.37 Ma (2SD) (Sláma et al., 2008). The LA-ICP-MS zircon U–Pb age data are listed in Supplementary Table S3.

The zircon Lu-Hf isotopic analyses were carried out at Beijing GeoAnalysis Co., Ltd. (Beijing, China) with a RESO 193 nm laser ablation system and a Neptune Plus MC-ICP-MS. During the experiment, helium was used as the carrier gas for the ablation material, and the laser beam’s spot diameter was 40 μm. The internationally accepted standard zircon Plešovice was used as the reference material in this test (Sláma et al., 2008). Detailed analytical procedures are described in Wu et al. (2006). The $^{176}$Hf/$^{177}$Hf value of the standard zircon Plešovice acquired during these analyses was 0.282480±0.000016 (2σ) (Sláma et al., 2008) within the error range. In the calculation of the $\varepsilon_{\text{Hf}}(t)$ values, the $^{176}$Hf/$^{177}$Hf and $^{176}$Lu/$^{177}$Hf ratios of present-day chondrite and the depleted mantle used were (0.0332, 0.282772) and (0.0384, 0.28325), respectively (Griffin et al., 2000). The two-stage Hf model ages ($T_{\text{DM2}}$) were calculated by adopting $^{176}$Lu/$^{177}$Hf = 0.015 for average continental crust (Griffin et al., 2000).

4. Results

4.1. Major element composition

Among the eight samples analysed in this paper, the six TTGs (CHG14-2, CHG16-2, CHG19-3, CHG12-2, CHX911-1 and CHX912-3) show high SiO$_2$ contents (63.1 wt.%–73.5 wt.%), a wide range of K$_2$O concentrations (0.6 wt.%–3.4 wt.%) and Na$_2$O/K$_2$O ratios (1.1 -7.3.}
The two samples (CH96-1 and CHG7-1) from the granites display SiO$_2$ contents of 65.0 wt.%–70.9 wt.%, MgO contents of 1.0 wt.%–2.6 wt.%, with a low Na$_2$O/K$_2$O ratios (~0.8). According to the An-Ab-Or diagram, CHG19-3 and CHG16-2 fall within tonalites, CHG14-2 and CHG12-2 belong to granodiorites, CHX912-3 and CHX911-1 are trondhjemites, CH96-1 and CHG7-1 are indeed granites samples (Fig.4).

4.2. Zircon U-Pb ages

4.2.1. TTGs

Three samples (CHG14-2, CHG16-2 and CHG19-3) of the TTGs were dated by zircon SHRIMP U-Pb method, and other three samples (CHG12-2, CHX911-1 and CHX912-3) were dated by LA-ICP-MS U-Pb method.

Zircons from sample CHG14-2 (a granodiorite) exhibit euhedral crystal shapes and oscillatory zoning, with most having a length to width ratio of 2:1. Some crystals show slight partial recrystallization rims (Fig.5A). Fifteen analyses from fifteen zircons on domains with oscillatory zoning have Th/U ratios of 0.03–0.60 (excluding spot 8, with Th/U ratios of 1.58). If the most discordant analysis (spot 11) is excluded, the remaining 14 analyses yield a discordia line with an upper intercept age of 3131±23 Ma (MSWD=0.9), in which the most concordant analysis has a $^{207}$Pb/$^{206}$Pb age of 3119±7.7 Ma (spot 12 with a Th/U ratio of 0.37), nearly the same age as the upper intercept age (Fig. 7a). Spot 11 yielded a $^{207}$Pb/$^{206}$Pb age of 2923±6.9 Ma. Consequently, 3131±23 Ma is the crystallization age of the granodiorite.

Zircons from sample CHG16-2 (a tonalite gneiss) display euhedral, long prismatic shapes with lengths and length to width ratios of 100–220 μm and 1.5:1–3:1, respectively. Most zircons have oscillatory zones. The Th/U ratios of zircons are 0.04–0.40 (mostly >0.1), which are typical features of zircons crystallized from a melt (Fig. 5B). Seventeen analyses were conducted on sixteen zircons from the sample. The data are discordant and show slight scatter. Except for four spots (spots 1, 4, 9, and 17), thirteen analyses defined a discordia line with an upper age of 2598±31 Ma (MSWD=1.6), consistent with the most concordant 11 analyses, which have an apparent $^{207}$Pb/$^{206}$Pb age of 2601±18 Ma. Consequently, we consider 2598±31 Ma as the emplacement time of the tonalite.
Most zircons from sample CHG19-3 (a tonalite gneiss) are subhedral to euhedral and stubby to long prismatic in shape with lengths of 120–225 μm, widths of 60–120 μm and length to width ratios of 1:1–4:1, and have pronounced oscillatory zoning in CL images (Fig. 5C). Seventeen U-Pb analyses were performed on fifteen zircons. Seventeen grains had variable uranium and thorium concentrations of 205–11645 ppm and 88–3379 ppm. Zircons had high Th/U ratios of 0.15–1.02, indicating magmatic zircons. Seventeen analyses approximately constitute a line with an upper intercept age of 2533±27 Ma (MSWD=3.2) (Fig. 7c), which may well be the emplacement age of the tonalite.

Zircons from CHG12-2 sample (a granodiorite) are euhedral and stubby with long axes ranging from 100 to 200 μm in length. In CL images, zircons have well-developed concentric, oscillatory zoning with strong luminescence. Forty-four U-Pb analyses were performed on forty zircons (Fig. 6A). They have U contents of 26–22185 ppm, Th contents of 48–6635 ppm, and high Th/U ratios of 0.03–0.62 (mostly >0.1). The internal structures and Th/U ratios indicate that they are all typical magmatic zircons. Most analysed spots, excluding spot 15, are essentially discordant (Fig. 7f), suggesting that they experienced variable Pb loss due to subsequent tectono-thermal or metamorphic events. Thirty-six spots were selected to confirm the formation age of the granodiorite. These fit a discordia line with an upper concordia intercept age of 3123±23 Ma (MSWD=1.2), consistent with only one concordant age of 3127±50 Ma (Fig. 7f). The age of 3123±23 Ma is taken as the crystallization age of the granodiorite. The remaining eight analyses, which are highly discordant with apparent $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}$ ages of 1603–2253 Ma, are possibly related to a high degree of Pb loss.

Zircons from sample CHX911-1 (a trondhjemite gneiss) show similar characteristics to those of sample CHG12-2, except the zircon grains are smaller than those in CHG12-2. Some zircons have corroded magmatic cores (Fig.6B). Thirty-three zircon grains were analysed for U-Pb isotopes on thirty-three spots. Among them, spot 1 was analysed on a magmatic core with a Th/U ratio of 0.53 and an apparent $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}$ age of 2987±75 Ma, and represents an old inherited zircon grain, although it was not on a concordant line. The remaining thirty-two analyses can be fitted on a regression line with an upper intercept age of 2500±23 Ma (MSWD=2.3) (Fig. 7f), consistent with a weighted mean $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}$ age of 2507 Ma calculated
by the three concordant analyses. An age of 2500±23 Ma is taken as an estimate of the
crystallization age of the trondhjemite.

Zircons from sample CHX912-3 (a trondhjemite gneiss) show similar size, length, length
to width ratio and oscillatory zoning to those of sample CHX911-1 (Fig.6C). Among the
twenty-one analyses of twenty-one zircon grains, the oldest age obtained was 2949±39 Ma (spot
10), but with high discordance and distance from the concordant line. The most concordant spot
2, has an apparent $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}$ age of 2440±55 Ma with a Th/U ratio of 1.05 and oscillatory
zoning in the CL image (Fig.6C). Together with two analyses, twenty spots define a discordia
line with an upper intercept age of 2454±48 Ma (MSWD=0.5) (Fig.7h). Therefore, we interpret
2453±48 Ma as the emplacement time of the trondhjemite.

4.2.2. Granites

Two samples (CH96-1 and CHG7-1) from the granites were dated by the zircon SHRIMP
U-Pb method.

Zircons obtained from sample CH96-1 (a monzogranite) are euhedral in shape with long
axes ranging between 200 and 300 μm in length and length to width ratios of 3:2-3:1. In CL
images, many zircon crystals contain internal relict zircons, showing core-rim structures. Both
cores and rims have oscillatory zoning, and in some cases, it was difficult to distinguish rims
from the cores (Fig.6D). Seventeen analyses were obtained from 14 zircon grains. The oldest
age is from the core of a core-rim structure zircon (Fig.6D) with a $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}$ concordant age
of 3038±7 Ma and a Th/U ratio of 0.25, representing an inherited old zircon grain. The data
from 5 analyses are discordant and show scatter, which makes an age determination difficult,
although they show $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}$ ages varying between 2663 Ma and 2849 Ma. The remaining
eleven analyses with Th/U ratios of 0.08–1.22 yielded a discordia line with an upper intercept
age of 2496±34 Ma (Fig.7d), which is taken as the best estimate of the crystallization age of
the granite.

Zircons from sample CHG7-1 (a syenogranite) show similar features to those of sample
CH96-1, except that they are slightly smaller (Fig.6E). Twelve analyses were carried out on
nine zircons, in which three zircons have core and rim ages. They yielded U contents of 160–
7702 ppm, Th contents of 89–351, and Th/U ratios of 0.15–0.81 (except spot 12, 1.09). Spot
12, with a Th/U ratio of 1.09, which was very different from other analyses, has an apparent
$^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}$ age of 2303±27 Ma, reflecting different Pb loss from the other samples. The
remaining eleven analyses constitute a good discordia line with an upper intercept age of
2503±24 Ma (MSWD=2.7) (Fig.7e), identical to the weighted mean age of 2476±15 Ma
(MSWD=4.8). Consequently, 2503±24 Ma represents the crystallization age of the granite.

### 4.2.3. Supracrustal rocks

Zircons from sample CH917-3 (a leptite) show variable sizes, with most of them being
long and up to 150 μm and with length to width ratios of 2:1-1:1 (Fig.6D). Forty-two analyses
on 42 grains were obtained from the sample. Two groups of zircons were identified. The first
group contains euhedral crystals with a long prismatic morphology and long axes ranging
between 50 and 115 μm in length. They show clear oscillatory zoning with dark CL luminosity.
Twenty-nine analyses yielded a discordia line with an upper intercept age of 2486±21 Ma
(MSWD=2.3), identical to the weighted mean of a concordant age of 2481±20 Ma. Another
group of zircons has oval shapes with lengths and length to width ratios ranging from 50 to
1100 μm and from 1.5:1 to 1:1, respectively. In CL images, they show weak oscillatory zoning
and are generally surrounded by irregular rims. Thirteen analyses constitute a good discordia
line with an upper intercept age of 3081±19 Ma (MSWD=0.3) (Fig.7i), consistent with the
weighted mean of a concordant age of 3078±24 Ma. We therefore interpret 2486±21 Ma and
3081±19 Ma as the source ages of the supracrustal rocks.

### 4.3 Zircon Lu-Hf isotopes

Zircon Lu-Hf isotope analyses were conducted on the same domains as those of the
previous U-Pb dating. The zircon Lu-Hf isotope results are listed in Supplementary Table S4.
Zircon $\varepsilon_{\text{Hf}}(t)$ values were calculated at the crystallization age of each sample. For detrital
zircons with ages of 3081±19 Ma and 2486±21 Ma from sample CH917-3, $\varepsilon_{\text{Hf}}(t)$ values were
calculated at their apparent $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}$ ages. The $\varepsilon_{\text{Hf}}(t)$ values and the distribution patterns of
zircon Hf model ages ($T_{\text{DM}}$) are presented in Fig. 8 and Fig. 9.
4.3.1 TTGs

Six samples (CHG14-2, CHG16-2, CHG19-3, CHX911-1, CHX912-3, and CHG12-2) from the TTGs were analysed for zircon Lu-Hf isotopes.

Fifteen Lu-Hf analyses were performed on zircons from sample CHG14-2 (a granodiorite). Except for one analysis (spot 11) with high discordances of \(^{207}\text{Pb} / ^{206}\text{Pb}\) ages, fourteen Lu-Hf analyses yielded \(\varepsilon_{\text{Hf}}(t)\) values of 1.19-5.56 with a weighted mean of 3.02±0.67 and \(T_{\text{DM1}}\) ages ranging from 3143 to 3306 Ma with a weighted mean of 3240±25 Ma.

Fourteen Lu-Hf analyses were made on zircons from sample CHG16-2 (a tonalite gneiss). Except for two analyses with high discordances of \(^{207}\text{Pb} / ^{206}\text{Pb}\) ages (spots 1 and 9), twelve Lu-Hf analyses yielded \(\varepsilon_{\text{Hf}}(t)\) values of -11.37~1.06 with a weighted mean of -6.2±1.8, and \(T_{\text{DM2}}\) ages ranging from 3761 to 3139 Ma with a weighted mean of 3447±110 Ma.

Seventeen Lu-Hf analyses on zircons from sample CHG19-3 (a tonalite gneiss) yielded \(\varepsilon_{\text{Hf}}(t)\) values of -3.60~0.49 (except spot 17, \(\varepsilon_{\text{Hf}}(t)=-0.07\)) with a weighted mean of -1.47±0.5 and \(T_{\text{DM2}}\) ages ranging from 3242 to 3053 Ma with a weight mean of 3112±30 Ma (except 17, \(T_{\text{DM1}}=2843\) Ma).

Twenty-eight Lu-Hf analyses were performed on zircons from sample CHX911-1 (a trondhjemite gneiss). Except for one analysis with high discordances of \(^{207}\text{Pb} / ^{206}\text{Pb}\) ages, twenty-seven Lu-Hf analyses yielded \(\varepsilon_{\text{Hf}}(t)\) values of -12.87 ~ -1.05 and \(T_{\text{DM2}}\) ages of 3777 ~ 3062 Ma.

Twenty-one Lu-Hf analyses were made on zircons from sample CHX912-3 (a trondhjemite gneiss). Except for one analysis with high discordances of \(^{207}\text{Pb} / ^{206}\text{Pb}\) ages, twenty Lu-Hf analyses yielded \(\varepsilon_{\text{Hf}}(t)\) values of -11.36 ~ -2.93 with a weighted mean of -6.1±1.0 and \(T_{\text{DM2}}\) ages of 3650 ~ 3140 Ma with a weighted mean of 3333±61 Ma.

Forty-four Lu-Hf analyses were performed on zircons from sample CHG12-2 (a granodiorite). Except for eight analyses with high discordances of \(^{207}\text{Pb} / ^{206}\text{Pb}\) ages, thirty-six Lu-Hf analyses yielded \(\varepsilon_{\text{Hf}}(t)\) values of +0.56 ~ +8.27 (except for spot 24, \(\varepsilon_{\text{Hf}}(t)=-1.49\)) with a weighted mean of 3.45±0.63 and \(T_{\text{DM1}}\) ages of 3329 ~ 3032 Ma with a weighted mean of 3211±22 Ma. (spot 24, \(T_{\text{DM2}}=3568±45\) Ma).

4.3.2 Granites
Sixteen Lu-Hf analyses were performed on zircons from sample CH96-1 (a monzogranite)

Nine analyses with a concordant age of 3038±7 Ma yield a $\varepsilon_{\text{Hf}}(t)$ value of -6.5±0.64 and a $T_{\text{DM2}}$

age of 3389±39 Ma. The remaining seven Lu-Hf analyses yield $\varepsilon_{\text{Hf}}(t)$ values of -12.0 ~ -2.28

with a weighted mean of -6.7±3.6 and $T_{\text{DM2}}$ ages of 3722 ~ 3133 Ma with a weighted mean of

3418±140 Ma.

Twelve Lu-Hf analyses were made on zircons from sample CHG7-1 (a syenogranite).

Except for one analysis with high discordances of $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}$ ages (spot 12), eleven Lu-Hf

analyses yielded $\varepsilon_{\text{Hf}}(t)$ values of -4.80 ~ -0.67 with a weighted mean of -2.23±0.87 and $T_{\text{DM2}}$

ages of 3291 ~ 3041 Ma with a weighted mean of 3136±53 Ma.

4.3.3 Supracrustal rocks

Thirty-four Lu-Hf analyses were performed on zircons from sample CH917-3 (a leptite).

The thirty-four Lu-Hf analyses comprise two groups. The first group (twenty-five analyses)

with an upper intercept age of 2486±21 Ma yielded $\varepsilon_{\text{Hf}}(t)$ values of -11.54~0.83 and $T_{\text{DM2}}$ ages

of 3686 ~ 2937 Ma. The second group with an upper intercept age of 3081±19 Ma yielded $\varepsilon_{\text{Hf}}(t)$

values of +12.67 ~ +1.00 (except spot 39, -7.25) and the $T_{\text{DM1}}$ ages of 3269 ~ 2809 Ma (except

for spot 39, $T_{\text{DM2}}=3522±46$ Ma).

5 Discussion

5.1 Magmatism events of the BBB basement

Available zircon U-Pb data for the early Precambrian rocks from drill holes into the

basement beneath the BBB are summarized in Table 2. In this study, magmatic zircon U-Pb

data gave crystallization ages of ~3.1 Ga and 2.60–2.45 Ga for the protolith magmas of TTGs,

granites and supracrustal rocks, in good agreement with previous zircon U-Pb ages of ~3.1 Ga

and 2.6–2.5 Ga, respectively, obtained for different lithologies from drill holes within different

depressions in the basement of the BBB (Song et al., 2011; Wan et al., 2014b; Wang et al.,

2019). As shown in Table 2, in general, TTG magmatism, including granodiorite, tonalite and

troilhjemite rocks, occurred during 3.16~3.12 Ga and 2.60–2.45 Ga, respectively. The diorite

and granites were almost simultaneously emplaced at ~2.5 Ga. For the supracrustal rock

(sample CH917-3), we obtained two magmatic ages of 2486±21 Ma and 3081±19 Ma, which
are consistent with previous magmatic zircon U-Pb ages of ~3166 Ma and 2.35–2.54 Ga from the Jidong and Bozhong depressions (Wan et al., 2014b; Wang et al., 2019), respectively. However, some magmatic zircons from supracrustal rocks in the Liaohai depression in the BBB have an age of approximately 2600 Ma (Song et al., 2011). These different ages obtained from the supracrustal rocks in the basement of the BBB suggest that the detrital zircons in the supracrustal rocks come from various sources. The ~3.1 Ga rocks are sporadically exposed within the Eastern Block of the NCC. Wu et al. (2008) conducted in situ analyses and testing of single grain zircon U-Pb in the Anshan complex and concluded that the protoliths of the Tiejiashan and Dongshan gneisses included 3.3–3.1 Ga ancient rocks (Wu et al., 2008). Archean rocks that are ~3.3–3.1 Ga were also discovered in Caozhuang, Hebei (Liu et al., 1990; Nutman et al., 2011). Therefore, there was indeed a ~3.1 Ga tectonic-magmatic event in the Mesoarchean on the North China Craton. In addition, the 2.6–2.5 Ga rocks are the most widely distributed on the North China Craton with records in both TTGs and metamorphic supracrustal rocks (Zhao et al., 2001b; Yang et al., 2008; Liu et al., 2009; Diwu et al., 2011; Geng et al., 2012; Wan et al., 2012a).

Table 2

In summary, all these geochronological data from the TTGs, granites, diorite and supracrustal rocks from the basement of the BBB suggest two magmatic events during the Mesoarchean–Neoarchean eras with magmatism occurring at ~3.1 Ga and 2.6–2.5 Ga (Table 2).

5.2 Crustal accretion and reworking of the BBB basement

The zircon Lu-Hf system is usually used to track the origin of magma and to constrain the time of crust-mantle differentiation (Zeh et al., 2010). Basic rocks are formed by partial melting of the depleted mantle, and intermediate-acid rocks are formed by partial melting of the middle and lower crust originating from the depleted mantle. Basically, if the age of the Hf model is much higher than the crystallization age of the magma, this indicates a long residence period of the crust after the magma separated from the depleted mantle. On the other hand, if the Hf model age is close to the crystallization age, the parent rock is considered to be a juvenile rock or mantle-derived rock with a short crustal residence time. In this study, the sources of TTG,
granite, and upper crust were acidic, and a two-stage Hf model age is used. The Lu/Hf fractionation index ($f_{\text{Lu/Hf}}$) of the lower crust represents the time when the magma was extracted from the depleted mantle (Wu et al., 2016; Wu et al., 2007).

Zircon Lu–Hf data from the Precambrian basement of the Jiyang depression are first reported and discussed in this study to decipher the crustal evolution of the BBB basement, as shown in the $\epsilon_{\text{Hf}}$ $(t)$ values versus zircon formation age diagram (Fig.8). Fig.8 shows that magmatic zircons of ~3.1 Ga and 2.6–2.5 Ga ages from the BBB basement have variable Hf isotope compositions. Almost all ~3.1 Ga zircons in samples CHG12-2 and CHG14-2 have positive $\epsilon_{\text{Hf}}(t)$ values from 0.56 to 8.27 and $T_{\text{DM1}}$ model ages of 3.33–3.03 Ga, which are slightly older than their crystallization ages of ~3.1 Ga. In addition, most zircons with ~3.1 Ga ages from supracrustal rock (sample CH917-3) have positive $\epsilon_{\text{Hf}}(t)$ values from 1.00 to 12.67 and $T_{\text{DM1}}$ model ages of 3.27–2.81 Ga, and two zircons have negative $\epsilon_{\text{Hf}}(t)$ values from sample CH917-3. The single-stage zircon Hf model ages with ~3.1 Ga U-Pb ages are concentrated between 3.3 Ga and 3.1 Ga (Fig. 9a), which is the same as the Hf model age of ~3.1 Ga Archean rocks in Eastern Hebei and Western Liaoning (Fig. 9b). These results suggest that the ~3.1 Ga magmatic rocks were derived from the depleted mantle. Nearly all of the late Neoarchean magmatic zircons from the TTGs and granites with ages of 2.6–2.5 Ga have negative $\epsilon_{\text{Hf}}(t)$ values of -12.87 ~ -0.07, and $T_{\text{DM2}}$ model ages of 3.78 ~ 2.96 Ga (concentrated at 3.6 Ga–3.0 Ga) with two peaks at ~3.4 Ga and ~3.1 Ga (Fig.10a), suggesting that the crust was mainly derived from the recycling of older crustal rocks. In addition, in samples CH96-1 and CHX911-1, there are a small number of inherited zircons with core-rim features. The apparent $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}$ age of zircon cores is ~3.0 Ga, indicating that the Mesoarchean crust (3.0 Ga) was reworked in the late Neoarchean (2.6–2.5 Ga). The late Neoarchean magmatic zircons (2.6–2.5 Ga) from supracrustal rock (CH917-3) also have a $T_{\text{DM2}}$ model age with a peak at ~3.38 Ga. The $T_{\text{DM2}}$ model ages indicate that the late Neoarchean crust was formed by the reworking of the pre-existing ~3.3 Ga crust, which is different from previous studies on the Archean rocks around the BBB. The Archean rocks with 2.6–2.5 Ga ages around of the BBB have $T_{\text{DM2}}$ model ages concentrated 2.9–2.7 Ga (Fig. 10b). It means that the late Neoarchean crust (2.6–2.5 Ga) around the BBB was mainly derived from 2.8–2.7 Ga crust with some juvenile crustal addition at the end of the Neoarchean (Wan et al., 2010; Diwu et al., 2011; Geng et al., 2012; Wan et al., 2012a;
A few magmatic zircons in 2.6–2.5 Ga rocks with positive $\varepsilon_{\text{Hf}}(t)$ values close to those of the contemporary depleted mantle and $T_{\text{DM1}}$ model ages of $\sim$2.9 Ga indicate that minor juvenile additions may have contributed to crustal evolution (Wu et al., 2013).

In summary, the zircon Hf isotope data presented in this study indicate that the basement of the BBB experienced major juvenile crustal growth at $\sim$3.3–3.0 Ga and that the old crust was reworked at 2.6–2.5 Ga. It is worth noting that previous studies found magmatic zircons with negative $\varepsilon_{\text{Hf}}(t)$ values in 2.5 Ga rocks, indicating that some of the 2.5 Ga rocks may have been derived from the remelting of the Mesoarchean crust (Zhai, 2014). However, this is the first study in which the magmatic zircons in ~2.5 Ga rocks all have negative Hf isotope values.

5.3 Implications for the Archean crustal evolution of the eastern NCC

The Archean crustal evolution of the Eastern Block has been a controversial issue. Previous studies proposed that the Neoarchean basement rocks of the NCC underwent a large-scale tectono-thermal event at 2.6–2.5 Ga (Yang et al., 2008; Wang et al., 2012; Wu et al., 2013), which led some researchers to argue that the major crustal growth of the NCC occurred at ~2.5 Ga (Liu et al., 2009; Diwu et al., 2011). However, an increasing number of 2.8–2.7 Ga rocks have been discovered in the eastern NCC, many of which have 2.8–2.7 Ga model ages based on whole-rock Nd and zircon Hf isotopes (Kröner et al., 2005; Polat et al., 2006; Jahn et al., 2008; Wan et al., 2011; Wu et al., 2013; Yang et al., 2013; Zhu et al., 2013; Wu et al., 2014). These results have led to proposals that both the early Neoarchean (2.8–2.7 Ga) and the late Neoarchean (2.6–2.5 Ga) were important periods of continental crust accretion in the NCC. The 2.8–2.7 Ga tectono-thermal event was of global significance, as it can be found in the Superior, South African, Western Greenland, Baltic and Yilgarn Cratons (Bateman et al., 2001; Crowley, 2002; Poujol et al., 2003; Rino et al., 2004; Bibikova et al., 2005; Percival et al., 2006). The difference is that the 2.8–2.7 Ga accretion event on the NCC was strongly reworked by the 2.6–2.5 Ga event (Wan et al., 2011; Zhai and Santosh, 2011; Wang et al., 2016).

On the NCC, there were still multiple tectonic-magmatic thermal events, such as ~2.9 Ga, ~3.1 Ga, ~3.3 Ga, ~3.6 Ga, and >~3.8 Ga (Song et al., 1996; Zheng et al., 2004; Wu et al., 2008; Wan et al., 2012b; Xie et al., 2014), based on studies of whole-rock Sm-Nd isotopes, zircon Lu-Hf isotopes, detrital zircon U-Pb ages and xenoliths. However, there has hitherto been no
report on the large-scale remelting of the pre-Neoarchean crust during the 2.6–2.5 Ga tectonic-thermal event. Our data show that almost all zircons with ~2.5 Ga age exhibit negative $\varepsilon_{\text{Hf}}(t)$ values, and the corresponding $T_{\text{DM2}}$ ages are predominantly ~3.4 – 3.0 Ga, which indicates that the Mesoarchean and Paleoarchean crust was also remelted during the ~2.5 Ga tectonic thermal event. Additionally, in this study, we obtained a magma emplacement age of ~3.1 Ga from two TTG gneiss samples (CHG12-2 and CHG14-2) and one metamorphic supracrustal rock sample (CH917-3), indicating that there are Mesoarchean rocks within the basement of the BBB. Moreover, the magmatic zircons in these ~3.1 Ga rocks display positive $\varepsilon_{\text{Hf}}(t)$ values and $T_{\text{DM1}}$ ages of 3.4–3.0 Ga, indicating that the Paleoarchean crustal accretion events occurred within the basement of the BBB. Previous studies have confirmed that Mesoarchean and Paleoarchean rocks (>3.0 Ga) are occasionally found in Caozhuang, Hebei (Liu et al., 1992) and Anshan, Liaoning (Song et al., 1996; Wan et al., 2005; Wu et al., 2008), Xinyang, Henan (Zheng et al., 2009) and Jiaozuo, Henan (Gao et al., 2006). In the Jiaobei area, the Hf isotope model ages of zircons in some 2.8–2.7 Ga rocks range from ~3.4 Ga to ~3.1 Ga, which represents the remelting of Paleoarchean and Mesoarchean continental crustal materials during the 2.8–2.7 Ga period (Wu et al., 2014).

In summary, in the Eastern Block of the North China Craton, the Mesoarchean and Paleoarchean crust is mainly distributed in the basement and peripheral outcrops of the BBB, and they underwent remelting at ~3.1 Ga, 2.8–2.7 Ga and 2.6–2.5 Ga.

### 5.4 Tectonic implications

In the NCC 2.6–2.5 Ga rocks including TTGs and metamorphic crustal rocks are widely distributed. However, the tectonic nature of Neoarchean (~2.5 Ga) tectonic thermal events remains controversial. Some have argued that there was a magmatic arc environment at 2.6–2.5 Ga in the eastern part of the NCC because most ~2.5 Ga TTG rocks exhibit calc-alkaline characteristics similar to modern island arcs (Jahn et al., 2008; Peng et al., 2012b; Wang et al., 2012; Liu et al., 2013; Sun et al., 2020). However, the island-arc model cannot explain the lack of andesite in the Archean greenstone belt, ~1600°C high temperature formation environment of komatiite, large-scale TTG rocks, dome structure style, and anticlockwise P-T path (Zhao, 2014 and references therein). Others have proposed a mantle plume model, which can
reasonably explain large-scale Archean TTG rocks in a short time, dome structural styles, anticlockwise P-T paths, and the lack of blueschists and double metamorphic zones, which are typical characteristics of island-arc subduction zones (Zhao et al., 2001b; Yang et al., 2008; Geng et al., 2012; Wu et al., 2012; Zhao, 2014). Furthermore, rocks derived from a mantle plume are generally distributed over a broad area, whereas island arc rocks tend to be preserved as linear structural belts. Archean greenstone belts in the North China Craton are broadly distributed around the TTG gneiss dome, which is more consistent with a mantle plume origin (Zhao, 2014; Zhao et al., 2021).

Recently, Zhao et al. (2021) proposed a mantle plume-derived oceanic plateau model, which can explain the origin of bimodal volcanic rock assemblages in Archean greenstone belts. In this model, tholeiite and ultrabasic komatiite were derived from partial melting of the head and tail of a mantle plume, respectively. Felsic dacite, rhyolitic dacite and rhyolite are partial melt products of the crust caused by the thermal anomaly of the mantle plume (Zhao and Zhang, 2021). However, the main range of influence of the ~2.5 Ga mantle plume remains unclear.

Our study reveals that ~2.5 Ga TTGs and granites were derived from partial melting of the Mesoarchean and Paleoarchean crust (3.4–3.0 Ga) in the basement of the BBB, which has not been reported before in studies of the NCC. In previous studies, only some zircons with negative $\varepsilon_{Hf}(t)$ values and older Hf model ages were found in ~2.5 Ga rocks in the peripheral outcrops of the BBB. The crystallization ages of rocks around the BBB are mainly concentrated around 2.8-2.7 Ga and 2.6-2.5 Ga (Fig.11). Therefore, we propose that BBB in the Eastern Block of the NCC is an area with considerable mantle plume activity around 2.5 Ga. This mantle plume activity, would have resulted in greater uplift and lithospheric heating in the Bohai Bay Basin region resulting in remelting of both the Neoarchean crust and the Mesoarchean and Palearchean crust (3.4–3.0 Ga). However, less remelting would have occurred in the Mesoarchean and Palearchean crust than in the Neoarchean crust as the latter would have mainly remelted without mantle plume activity. Moreover, the eastern ancient terrane of the NCC proposed by Wan et al. (2016) is mostly located in the BBB and its periphery (Wan et al., 2016). This may have been the vital area of the eastern crust of the NCC during 2.5 Ga period.

6. Conclusion
Based on new SHRIMP zircon U-Pb, LA-ICP-MS zircon U-Pb and Lu-Hf isotope data obtained from Archean rocks in the basement of the BBB, we present the following major conclusions:

(1) Magmatic zircon U-Pb data suggest that the TTGs, granites and sources of supracrustal rocks of the basement beneath the BBB formed at ~3.1 Ga and 2.6–2.5 Ga.

(2) Zircon Lu-Hf data revealed a major crustal growth event during 3.4–3.0 Ga with the addition of old crustal materials, whereas the ~3.1 Ga and ~2.5 Ga tectono-magmatic events in the BBB mostly involved in crustal reworking.

(3) The Eastern Block of the North China Craton may have been significantly influenced by a mantle plume ~2.5 Ga, and the area with the most intensive the mantle plume activity might have been located beneath the BBB and peripheral areas.
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Fig. 1 (a) Geological sketch map of the North China (NCC) (Modified after Zhao et al., 2005); (b) Structural units in the Bohai Bay Basin (Modified after Li et al., 2017); (c) Location of boreholes for sampling in the northeastern ChengNing uplift

Abbreviations: EH-Eastern Hebei; NL-Northern Liaoning; SL-Southern Liaoning; WL-Western Liaoning; WS-Western Shandong; ES-Eastern Shandong; NL-Northern Liaoning; LQS-LinQing depression; JYS-JiYang depression; JZS-JiZhong depression; HHS-HuangHua depression; LHS-LiaoHe depression; XNU-XingNing uplift; CXU-CangXian uplift; CNU-ChengNing uplift
Fig. 2 Drill core photographs of the basement rocks in Jiyang depression of the BBB. (a, b) Massive Granodiorite. (c) Tonalitic gneiss. (d) Tonalitic gneiss with migmatized felsic veins. (e) Trondhjemitic gneiss. (f) Trondhjemitic gneiss with migmatized felsic spots. (g) Monzogranite. (h) Syenogranite. (i) Leptite
Fig. 3 Photomicrographs (orthogonal polarized) of the basement rocks in Jiyang depression of the BBB. Hb=hornblende; Bt=biotite; Qtz=quartz; Pl=plagioclase; Pth=perthite; Mc=microline; Kfs=K-feldspar; Zr=zircon; Sp=titanite; Ap=apatite; Ep=epidote; Mag=magnetite; Ser=Sericite; Chl=Chlorite; Ms=muscovite; Cal=Calcite; a.m.=accessory mineral

Fig. 4 An-Ab-Or diagram of basement rocks from the Jiyang depression of the BBB (Modified after O'Connor, 1965)
Fig. 5 Representative cathodoluminescence (CL) images for zircons from Archean rocks in the Jiyang depression of the BBB. U-Pb and Lu-Hf analytical spots with the same position, $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}$ ages, and $\varepsilon_{\text{Hf}}(t)$ values are marked.
Fig.6 Representative cathodoluminescence (CL) images for zircons from Archean rocks in Jiyang depression of the BBB. U-Pb and Lu-Hf analytical spots with the same position, $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}$ ages, and $\varepsilon_{\text{Hf}}(t)$ values are marked (continued from Fig. 4)
Fig. 8. Plots of the zircon $\varepsilon_Hf(t)$ values versus crystallization (Ma) for the dated samples. (a) Supracrustal rock (Sample CH917-3); (b) TTGs and granites. Data source for zircon $\varepsilon_Hf(t)$ values and $^{207}\text{Pb} / ^{206}\text{Pb}$ ages of 3.3–3.1 Ga, 2.8–2.7 Ga, and 2.6–2.5 Ga: Wu et al., 2008; Yang et al., 2008; Li et al., 2010; Wan et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2011a; Wang et al., 2013; Meng et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2013; Wu et al., 2013; Wan et al., 2014b; Guo et al., 2015; Wu et al., 2016; Sun et al., 2020.
Fig. 9 Histogram of single-stage Hf model ages with the U-Pb age of ~3.1Ga model ages of magmatic zircons from the. (a) Archean basement of the Jiyang depression of BBB; (b) Archean basement of the Eastern Hebei and Western Liaoning

Data source for Eastern Hebei and Western Liaoning: Wu et al., 2008; Liou et al., 2019

Fig. 10 Histogram of two-stage Hf model ages with the U-Pb age of 2.6-2.5Ga (a) Archean basement of the Jiyang depression of the Bohai Bay Basin; (b) Archean basement around the Bohai Bay Basin

Data source for Archean basement of around the Bohai Bay Basin: Wu et al., 2008; Yang et al., 2008; Li et al., 2010; Wan et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2011b; Lv et al., 2012; Peng et al., 2012a; Wang et al., 2012; Liu et al., 2013; Meng et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2013; Wu et al., 2013; Wan et al., 2014b; Guo et al., 2015; Wu et al., 2016; Sun et al., 2020
Fig. 11 Age histogram of magmatic zircons.

(a) Basement of Bohai Bay Basin (this study and Song et al., 2011; Wan et al., 2014a; Wang et al., 2019)
(b) Around the Bohai Bay Basin (Liu et al., 1990; Kröner et al., 1998; Li and Shen, 2000; Du et al., 2003; Lu et al., 2004; Wan et al., 2005; Geng et al., 2006; Shen et al., 2007; Tang et al., 2007; Jahn et al., 2008; Liu et al., 2008; Lu et al., 2008; Wang et al., 2008; Wu et al., 2008; Yang et al., 2008; Zhao et al., 2008; Grant et al., 2009; Li et al., 2010; Wan et al., 2010; Liu et al., 2011; Nutman et al., 2011; Wan et al., 2011; Lv et al., 2012; Peng et al., 2012b; Wan et al., 2012b; Guo et al., 2013; Meng et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2013; Wu et al., 2013; Wu et al., 2014; Xie et al., 2014; Guo et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2015; Bai et al., 2016; Sun et al., 2020)