Erosive potential of different types of grape juices

Abstract: The purpose of the present study was to evaluate the erosive potential of different types (concentrated and powdered) and commercial brands of industrialised grape juices. The pH of all five fruit drinks was measured at two time points: immediately after preparation and 24 hours later. Sixty specimens of bovine enamel were randomly allocated and immersed in different types of grape juice (n = 10) for 10 minutes four times a day for fifteen days. The enamel alteration was analysed using surface Knoop microhardness (KHN) and surface roughness (Ra) tests at baseline and on the 5th, 10th and 15th days of the experiment. Two way ANOVA, Tukey’s post hoc and Pearson’s correlation tests were used for statistical analysis (α = 5%). The grape juices presented pH values ranging from 2.9 to 3.5. All of the tested juices promoted significant enamel mineral loss (p < 0.05) on the first evaluation (5th day of immersion) and produced a significant increase in the mean roughness from the 10th day on when compared to the control group (p < 0.05). By the 15th day, all of the beverages had produced surface roughnesses that were significantly higher than that of the control group. The results suggest that all grape juices, regardless of their commercial presentation, present erosive potential.

Descriptors: Dental Enamel; Tooth Erosion; Hydrogen-Ion Concentration.

Introduction

Dental erosion can be clinically defined as the consequence of irreversible mineral loss from tooth surfaces due to an acidic dissolution process not involving bacteria from oral biofilms. Along with attrition and abrasion, dental erosion is considered an important factor in tooth wear and may be caused by a series of extrinsic factors, such as dietary habits, and intrinsic factors, such as gastroesophageal reflux.1 Prevalence data for tooth erosion has attracted the attention of the dental community, and the increased consumption of soft drinks by children and young adults is of particular concern. Frequent intake of acidic beverages commonly leads to widespread dental erosion, but this condition can be prevented if the patient is advised by dental professionals.

Tooth erosion is increasingly recognised as a common occurrence in paediatric dentistry, and high prevalence numbers ranging from 30% to 68%2,3 have been documented. The main related complications are tooth sensitivity and the loss of occlusal vertical dimension; however, compro-
mised aesthetics may also be a concern. Primary teeth are thought to be more susceptible to erosion than permanent teeth because primary teeth have a thinner enamel and a lower mineral content; however, this information is controversial. The importance of identifying erosion should be emphasised for dental professionals because prevention is the only effective measure against this occurrence. Severe lesions near the pulp chamber can also occur, especially in deciduous teeth; however, care must also be taken with regard to permanent dentition, which begins in childhood. If erosive lesions are detected in deciduous dentition and no education about dietary habits is provided, it is very possible that the permanent teeth will be compromised in the future.

Lifestyles are constantly changing, and dietary factors, namely, high consumption of acidic beverages, currently represent the most important external risk factor for erosion in children and adults. Lussi et al. found that orange juice produced marked loss of hardness in primary and permanent enamel, and fruit juices have been found to be much more destructive to the teeth than whole fruit is.

Al-Majed et al. reported that the number of permanent incisors with erosion in children was associated with the frequency of night-time beverage intakes and the length of time the beverage is held in the mouth before swallowing, and the frequency of fruit and carbonated drink intakes was found to be related to severe enamel erosion in Irish children. Therefore, the present study aimed to compare the erosive potential of different types of industrialised grape juice using an in vitro model, as fruit juices are one of the beverages that children consume most frequently. Although some studies tested the erosive capacity of apple juice in primary and permanent dentition, grape juice has rarely been studied, especially with respect to different presentations of grape juice.

Methodology

Sixty enamel blocks (4 × 7 mm) were obtained from the middle third of bovine incisor teeth that had previously been stored in tap water at room temperature for 30 days. The enamel surfaces were examined at 2× magnification with a stereoscopic microscope (Nikon, Tokyo, Japan) to ensure that the selected sites did not have caries, cracks or intrinsic staining, and a low-speed saw (Labcut 1010 - Extec Corp., London, England) was used to obtain the blocks. Each block was then embedded in acrylic resin.

Sample preparation

The sample surfaces were planed with a water-cooled automatic grinding/polishing machine with 600, 1,000, 1,200 and 4,000 grit sandpaper discs under running water for 30 s (#600) and 60 s and polished with diamond paste (3 and 1 µm; Ecomet 3, Bueller, USA). Before and after the polishing procedure, the samples were cleaned in an ultrasonic cube. Afterward, the samples were stored under conditions of 100% humidity.

The specimens were then randomly assigned into 6 groups, according to the type of grape juice tested (Table 1). The juices were prepared according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

The pH of all beverages was measured at two time points:
- immediately after the packages were opened or the juice prepared and
- 24 hours after.

The second measurement was required because juice was not always entirely consumed immediately after preparation. The pH values were obtained using a digital pH electrode (Whatman PHA 2000) that was calibrated immediately prior to use.

Surface microhardness and roughness analysis

The baseline surface microhardness (SMHb) of the enamel was measured using a Knoop indentor attached to a microhardness tester (Shimadzu Micro Hardness Tester HMV-2, Shimadzu Corporation, Kyoto, Japan). A line of five indentations was made on the enamel surface, 100 µm from the acrylic resin margin. The indentation load was 50 g with 15 s dwell time. Only enamel specimens with a microhardness ranging from 300 to 370 KHN were considered for the study.

The baseline surface roughness of the specimens
was evaluated using a roughness tester (Surftest 301 - Mitutoyo, São Paulo, Brazil). Each specimen was submitted to a first roughness reading in 5 different areas (0.25 mm/s), and average values ($R_a$) were calculated.

### Erosive challenge

After the baseline microhardness and roughness values were recorded, the specimens were immersed manually in 25 mL of grape juice or distilled water (Table 1) for 10 minutes at room temperature. A multipurpose pump (Better 500, Sarlo Better Equipamentos Ltda - São Caetano do Sul, Brazil) at a velocity of 3600 rpm was used to maintain agitation. The juices were prepared according to the manufacturer’s instructions. This procedure was repeated 4 times a day at three-hour intervals for 15 days, and a new solution was prepared for each cycle.\(^{12}\)

Between erosive cycles and during the remaining time, the specimens were kept in artificial saliva (1.5 mmolL\(^{-1}\) Ca[NO\(_3\)]\(_2\), 4H\(_2\)O, 0.9 mmolL\(^{-1}\) NaH\(_2\)PO\(_4\), 2H\(_2\)O, 150 mmolL\(^{-1}\) KCl, 0.1 molL\(^{-1}\) Tris buffer, 0.03 ppm F, pH 7.0, 30 mL per tooth) at room temperature.\(^{13}\)

Alterations in the enamel after the erosive challenge were evaluated according to hardness loss and surface wear on the 5th, 10th and 15th days of the experiment. The subsequent microhardness tests (SMH\(_t\)) were performed in different (random) areas of the blocks following the same protocol. This method has been shown to efficiently determine minimal changes in surface hardness and the erosive attack.\(^{5}\) The average was then determined and used to represent the specimen’s hardness value.

The percentage of surface microhardness change in the enamel was calculated as follows:

$$\%\text{SMHC} = 100 \times \frac{\text{SMH}_b - \text{SMH}_f}{\text{SMH}_b}$$

where $b$ = baseline and $f$ = final.

Surface wear (roughness) was determined in relation to the initial evaluation. Five scans were performed on each specimen from the reference to the exposed surface, and an average ($R_a$) was obtained for each group at the different time points after the erosive challenges. The same operator performed all measures.

### Statistical analysis

The normal distribution of data and equality of variances were confirmed using Anderson-Darling and Levene tests, respectively. A two-way ANOVA for repeated measures and Tukey’s post hoc test were used for statistical comparisons among groups and averages, respectively. The significance level was set at 5%. Additionally, Pearson’s correlation test was used to compare the roughness and microhardness values.

### Results

The pH values for all of the beverages are shown in Table 2. The grape juices presented pH values between 2.9 and 3.5. The differences between the baseline pH and the pH 24 hours later were not significant ($p = 0.1387$).

All of the tested juices promoted significant loss of superficial enamel hardness on the fifth day of immersion compared to the initial period ($p < 0.05$)

---

**Table 1 - Experimental groups.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Commercial name - manufacturer</th>
<th>Presentation</th>
<th>Composition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Control – Distilled water</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Distilled water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Santal – Parmalat, Jundiaí, Brazil</td>
<td>Concentrated grape juice (pack)</td>
<td>Citric acid, antioxidant, ascorbic acid, water, sugar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Frug – Frugos Ltda., Olimpio, Brazil</td>
<td>Concentrated grape juice (pack)</td>
<td>Citric acid, antioxidant, ascorbic acid, water, sugar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Camp – General Brands, Guaraílhos, Brazil</td>
<td>Powdered grape juice</td>
<td>Citric acid, fumaric acid, sugar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Tang – Kraft Foods, Curitiba, Brazil</td>
<td>Powdered grape juice</td>
<td>Citric acid, fumaric acid, sugar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Maguary – Kraft Foods, Araguari, Brazil</td>
<td>Concentrated grape juice (bottle)</td>
<td>Concentrated grape juice, ascorbic acid, citric acid, acidulant, water, sugar</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
and caused a significant increase in surface roughness ($R_a$) by the tenth day in relation to the control group ($p < 0.05$).

At the $5^{th}$ day, it was possible to note that G4 presented a higher erosive potential than G6, G5 and G3 ($p < 0.05$) because it presented higher surface microhardness loss. On the $10^{th}$ and $15^{th}$ days, the samples presented similar microhardness (Figure 1).

Regarding the roughness assessment, Groups G2, G3, G4 and G5 had similar roughness that was higher than that of the control group, but G6 was similar to all of them until the $10^{th}$ day. For each day of the experiment, the roughness results for all of the experimental groups were different from those of the control group (Figure 2).

**Discussion**

Diet is the most extensively studied etiologic factor in dental erosion.$^{14,15}$ The low cost and availability of acidic fruit juices, fruit drinks and carbonated beverages encourage their consumption, which may
lead to an elevated prevalence of dental erosion.

The present investigation aimed to evaluate the impact of grape juice on the enamel structure in terms of hardness and roughness because fruit juices are one of the beverages that children most frequently consume. All of the studied juices caused significant mineral loss from the dental enamel over the time, a finding that was also observed in similar studies. For convenience, bovine enamel was used for this investigation; it has also been used for previous in vitro studies that investigated the erosion phenomenon. Although there is a direct correlation in changes of human and bovine enamel hardness, morphological differences, such as the higher porosity of bovine enamel, can result in the formation of more extensive erosive lesions. The erosion process seems to occur twice as quickly in bovine enamel than in permanent human enamel and 1.5 times faster than in deciduous human enamel. Another limitation is that in vitro conditions do not exactly represent in vivo conditions. Clinical conditions imply the presence of tooth pellicle or the effects of salivary buffering, which may play a major role in moderating the extent of erosion in vivo.

Larsen et al. investigated the erosive potential of soft drinks, mineral waters and orange juices and compared erosion depths to the beverages’ pH and buffering capacity. The authors reported that erosion was minimal for beverages with a pH above 4.2 but became more evident at pHs below 4.0. In the present study, the pH values observed for the studied beverages ranged between 2.9 and 3.5, which is in accordance with previous studies regarding the erosive potential of beverages. However, one study confirmed the erosive potential of apple juice, which has pH values ranging from 3.3 to 4.2, higher than the values found in our study. This finding may be related to the presence of tartaric acid, the main acid component of grapes. As can be noted in Table 1 and Figures 1 and 2, our study demonstrates that a lower pH value does not necessarily indicate a higher erosive potential. Because foodstuffs with a lower pH generally have a greater erosive effect, the mentioned fact can be explained because these values are both low and similar. According to Zero and Lussi, the erosive potential of an acidic drink is not completely dependent on its pH; it is also determined by its buffering capacity and its calcium-chelating properties. Factors such as temperature and concentration, as well as the frequency of consumption, may also influence a beverage’s erosive potential as well as the frequency of ingestion.

When considering the erosive phenomenon in children, behaviour must also be considered. Unusual eating, drinking and swallowing habits such as holding an acidic beverage in the mouth before swallowing increase the substance’s contact with dental structures. Bedtime consumption of acidic beverages is also considered as a risk factor, especially for children. Thus, education about dietary habits and lifestyle changes may be the best way to prevent dental erosion. When substantial loss of tooth structure occurs, restorative materials can be used to re-establish tooth function and aesthetics and to control hypersensitivity. However, it is important to realise that it is impossible to prevent the progression of erosion lesions unless etiologic factors are removed. Other preventive methods, such as the use of glass ionomer cement and topical fluoride, do not completely prevent erosion.

Our study also evaluated the erosive potential of different commercially available forms of the juices (powdered or concentrate); however, no significant difference was observed between groups. This can likely be explained by the similar compositions of the juices. The erosive activity of citric, malic, phosphoric and other acid ingredients in beverages and foodstuffs has been demonstrated in many in vitro, in situ and in vivo studies. Citric acid is a common buffer component in many artificial fruit juices. It
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...may act as a chelator capable of binding the minerals (calcium) of enamel or dentine, thus increasing the degree of undersaturation and favouring demineralisation.\(^26\) One study investigated the effect of dilution on the erosive potential of dilutable fruit drinks.\(^27\) The authors found that only a great increase in the dilution factor (1:15) of some of the tested products produced a statistically significant reduction in in vitro enamel erosion. The addition of water to an acceptable consumption level (1:3) did not diminish the beverages’ erosive potential. Dilutable fruit juices are generally considered safer, as the consumer can control their composition; however, dilution should not be viewed as an advantage of powdered juices.

The methods used in the present study may represent a limitation, considering the degree of the erosive lesions formed by the erosive challenge. According to Young and Tenuta,\(^28\) initial erosion starts with an early-stage surface softening, but prolonged exposure to acids may dissolve the outer enamel layer and promote permanent loss of structure. In this way, superficial microhardness may not be the best method of quantifying the amount of structure lost by the erosive process\(^29\) and may only quantify the remaining softened tissue. This may explain why similar microhardness values were found for all groups from the tenth day forward. Others studies have used such parameters to analyse beverage-related dental erosion, suggesting that the complexity of the erosive process requires more than one type of analysis.\(^10\)

Conclusions

This in vitro study demonstrated that in general grape juices have considerable erosive potential: all of the studied juices were capable of causing significant loss of dental enamel. The form of the products (powdered or concentrated) had no influence on their erosive potential.
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