Acquired vertical pendular nystagmus in diffuse unilateral subacute neuroretinitis: A diagnostic dilemma
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A retinal infectious pathology, an acquired vertical nystagmus, and a suspicious neuroimaging result! Independently, these three entities are not uncommon. However, when they are consecutively observed in a young patient, it ramifies into an intriguing clinical scenario. A 17-year-old diagnosed case of diffuse unilateral subacute neuroretinitis presented to us with acute-onset vertical oscillations. On neuroimaging, she was found to have cerebellar dysgenesis. This case prompted us to revisit the pathogenesis of acquired vertical nystagmus and evaluate whether it resulted from disturbance of afferent (severe visual impairment) or efferent (cerebellar dysfunction) components of the neural integrator mechanism.
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Diffuse unilateral subacute neuroretinitis (DUSN) is an inflammatory retinal degeneration caused by a motile nematode, leading to severe vision loss. It penetrates the subretinal space and inflicts retinal damage as it progresses.[1]

Vertical pendular nystagmus is etiologically diverse. A slow frequency pendular vertical eye oscillation known as the Hiemann–Bielchowsky phenomenon (HBP) is frequently noted in young patients with profound vision loss.[3] Acquired vertical pendular nystagmus is usually attributed to underlying neurological disorders, although 13% may be attributed to a non-neurological cause.[4]

Here, we describe a case report with an interesting sequence of findings leading to a diagnostic dilemma.

**Case Report**

A 17-year-old female patient presented to us with gradual progressive painless loss of vision in the right eye (RE) over 6 months. She also complained of abnormal vertical eye movements in RE for the last 10 days, noticed by her relatives.

On examination, the best-corrected visual acuity (BCVA) of the RE was perception of hand movements only, and left eye (LE) BCVA was 20/20 (0.00 logMAR).

Pupil evaluation showed a grade 3, relatively afferent pupillary defect in the RE; slit-lamp biomicroscopy did not reveal any other abnormality. There was no evidence of anterior chamber inflammation or lenticular opacity. Fundus examination (RE) showed old anterior vitreous face cells (2+ SUN classification[4]). There was diffuse disc pallor, attenuated arterioles, and retinal pigment epithelial (RPE) degeneration at the macula with an epiretinal membrane. The peripheral retina showed pigment clumps in the equatorial region and diffuse RPE degeneration with vitreous condensation. Fundus features and autofluorescence were compatible with late-stage DUSN (consistent with de Amorim Garcia Filho et al.[4]) [Fig. 1a and b].

Spectral-domain optical coherence tomography centered on the fovea and optic disc was performed using high-definition 5-line raster scans and three-dimensional 512 × 128 macular cube scans passing through the fovea and optic disc of the RE. It revealed RPE atrophy at the macula [Fig. 1c].

Ocular motility evaluation confirmed a low-amplitude (0.4°), medium-frequency (3.7 Hz) asymmetrical unilateral vertical pendular nystagmus in the RE (video clip). There was no variation with change in gaze/posture/head tilt or on convergence. Ocular movements were full. Nystagmus was recorded using a high-speed eye tracker. In accordance with the clinical diagnosis, it demonstrated a uniform sinusooidal pattern along the vertical plane [Fig. 2a]. Computed tomography scan of the brain showed cerebellar dysgenesis with prominent cerebellar sulci [Fig. 2b and c]. Systemic examination including a detailed neurological evaluation revealed no abnormal findings or neurological deficits. Ocular examination of LE was normal. In light of this, the patient was advised to attend regular follow-up appointments.

**Discussion**

The causative nematode of DUSN has an affinity for the posterior pole of the retina. According to the literature, 71% of patients develop severe irreversible vision loss, and 92% are diagnosed in the late stage, as with the case presented here.[11]
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Our diagnostic dilemma was whether the uniocular vertical pendular nystagmus was a result of vision loss due to HBP or was it due to the cerebellar pathology.

HBP is characterized by involuntary, unilateral, coarse, slow drifting movements and is usually associated with severe vision loss. It typically has a frequency of <1 Hz, an amplitude of about 5° or less, and a velocity of not more than 25°/s. Leigh et al. proposed HBP to be a result of fluctuations in yoking mechanisms. Davey et al. believed that the condition arises due to the loss of potential for fusion. He differentiated HBP from acquired vertical pendular nystagmus of neurological cause by its characteristics as HBP tends to have a lower frequency and higher amplitude.

Our case exhibited an acquired vertical pendular nystagmus with medium-frequency (3.7 Hz) oscillations. Therefore, we deemed it unlikely to be HBP.

The mechanism leading to the development of vertical pendular nystagmus in neurological cases has been proposed to be disruption of the neural integrator system. Normal gaze shifting involves the collaboration of visual, cerebellar, and proprioceptive feedback and their action at the extraocular muscles. Obstruction at any of these steps may cause involuntary oscillating eye movements. Long-term overcompensation by feedback circuits results in an inconsistent neural integrator signal, potentially leading to an acquired pendular nystagmus.

Figure 1: (a) Wide-angle fundus image showing disc pallor, attenuated arterioles, and RPE degeneration at the macula with an epiretinal membrane (ERM). The peripheral retina showing pigment clumps with diffuse RPE degeneration. Device: Clarus™ 500 (Carl Zeiss Meditec Inc., USA) (b) Fundus autofluorescence showing hypoautofluorescence at the fovea and patchy hypoautofluorescence along the arcades, suggestive of RPE degeneration. (c) OCT of the macula showing thinning of the inner retinal layers more than the outer retinal layers with hyperreflective ERM. Device for b and c: Spectralis HRA (Heidelberg Engineering, Germany)
Multiple sclerosis (MS) is the most common etiology of acquired vertical nystagmus. Vertical black lines indicate nystagmus cycles. The green portion indicates a saccade. Eye tracker: EyeLink 1000+ (SR Research, Canada) (b and c) Computed tomography of the brain. Axial section showing cerebellar dysgenesis and prominent cerebellar sulci (white arrow).

Multiple sclerosis (MS) is the most common etiology of acquired vertical nystagmus.\textsuperscript{1,3,8,10} MS was ruled out for our patient by neuroimaging and clinical evaluation. Many authors have proposed that acquired pendular nystagmus may result from cerebellar afflictions.\textsuperscript{9,10} Carota et al.\textsuperscript{9} suggested that damage to the projections arising from the paramedian cerebellar tract culminating into the pontine tegmentum leads to denervation of the dorsal cap of the inferior olive and results in the development of vertical nystagmus.

Because our patient did not report any other features suggestive of cerebellar involvement (osilllopia, vertigo, or any gait abnormality),\textsuperscript{10} the nystagmus could either be an isolated presentation or an early presentation of cerebellar pathology yet to produce systemic features.

**Conclusion**

We present this case because of the diagnostic challenge it posed. We conclude that the vertical pendular nystagmus in our patient appears to be due to an underlying neurological (cerebellar) cause resulting from disruption of the gaze stability mechanism and manifesting in a pronounced manner due to the vision loss. This atypical presentation throws light on the well-crafted neural integrator pathways that keep a check on abnormal eye movements.
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