

# Online Research @ Cardiff

This is an Open Access document downloaded from ORCA, Cardiff University's institutional repository: <https://orca.cardiff.ac.uk/id/eprint/149623/>

This is the author's version of a work that was submitted to / accepted for publication.

Citation for final published version:

Carreiro, Ana R., Bried, Joel, Deakin, Zoe, Booth Jones, Katherine, Thomas, Robert J., Symondson, William O.C., Ramos, Jaime A. and Medeiros, Renata 2022. First insights into the diet composition of Madeiran and Monteiro's storm petrels (*Hydrobates castro* and *H. monteiroi*) breeding in the Azores. *Waterbirds* 44 (3) , pp. 300-307. 10.1675/063.044.0304 file

Publishers page: <https://doi.org/10.1675/063.044.0304>  
<<https://doi.org/10.1675/063.044.0304>>

Please note:

Changes made as a result of publishing processes such as copy-editing, formatting and page numbers may not be reflected in this version. For the definitive version of this publication, please refer to the published source. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite this paper.

This version is being made available in accordance with publisher policies.

See

<http://orca.cf.ac.uk/policies.html> for usage policies. Copyright and moral rights for publications made available in ORCA are retained by the copyright holders.



1 Send proofs to:  
2 Ana Rita Carreiro  
3 University of Coimbra  
4 MARE - Marine and Environmental Sciences Centre  
5 Department of Life Sciences  
6 3004-517 Coimbra, Portugal  
7 Phone: +351 917568368  
8 E-mail: carreiro.ar92@gmail.com  
9

10 **First Insights into the Diet Composition of Madeiran and Monteiro's Storm**  
11 **Petrels (*Hydrobates castro* and *H. monteiroi*) Breeding in the Azores**

12

13 ANA R. CARREIRO<sup>1,\*</sup>, JOËL BRIED<sup>2</sup>, ZOE DEAKIN<sup>3</sup>, KATHERINE BOOTH JONES<sup>4</sup>, ROBERT J.  
14 THOMAS<sup>3</sup>, WILLIAM O. C. SYMONDSON<sup>3</sup>, JAIME A. RAMOS<sup>1</sup> & RENATA MEDEIROS<sup>5</sup>

15

16 <sup>1</sup> University of Coimbra, MARE-Marine and Environmental Sciences Centre, Department of  
17 Life Sciences, 3004-517 Coimbra, Portugal.

18 <sup>2</sup> Centro Okeanos, MARE – Marine and Environmental Sciences Centre, IMAR and LARSyS  
19 associated lab, Universidade dos Açores, Departamento de Oceanografia e Pescas, 9901-862  
20 Horta, Açores, Portugal, Present address: 8 avenue de la reine Nathalie, 64200 Biarritz,  
21 France

22 <sup>3</sup> Cardiff School of Biosciences, Cardiff University, The Sir Martin Evans Building, Museum  
23 Avenue, Cardiff, CF10 3AX, UK

24 <sup>4</sup> British Trust for Ornithology, BTO, The Nunnery, Thetford, Norfolk, IP24 2PU, UK.

25 <sup>5</sup> Cardiff School of Dentistry, Cardiff University, Heath Park, Academic Av., Cardiff, CF14  
26 4XY, UK.

27

28        **Abstract.** – Although studying the diet of threatened species is crucial in terms of  
29 conservation, the diet of the Madeiran Storm Petrel *Hydrobates castro* and the vulnerable and  
30 Azores-endemic Monteiro’s Storm Petrel *H. monteiroi* is mostly unknown. The only  
31 information available to date comes from anecdotal observations, analysis of mercury levels  
32 and stable isotopes. Here is presented the first insights into prey consumption by adults and  
33 chicks from the two species breeding in the Azores. The rapidly developing field of  
34 metabarcoding was used to identify dietary items from fecal samples, to species level where  
35 possible. A total of thirteen fish, five cephalopod, one crustacean and two oligochaete  
36 operational taxonomic units (OTUs) were detected. Results suggest that both petrel species  
37 feed mainly on myctophid fish. However, differences were detected between the prey species  
38 consumed by (i) *H. monteiroi* and *H. castro*, (ii) two distinct *H. castro* populations (Vila and  
39 Praia islets), and (iii) chicks and adults within the same population.

40

41        **Key Words.** – Diet, Ecology, Metabarcoding, Hydrobatidae

42 Studying a species' diet is a key element for understanding its ecological and functional  
43 roles in the ecosystem as well as its conservation needs (Pauly *et al.* 1998; Shealer 2002). All  
44 methods currently available for the study of diet composition have important limitations:  
45 either the information that they can provide is not detailed enough, or the methods are  
46 invasive, or both (Barrett *et al.* 2007). Studying the diet of small, elusive animals such as the  
47 pelagic storm petrels (Hydrobatidae) is particularly challenging. Traditional sampling  
48 methods are too invasive for these small seabird species, and there is no satisfactory single  
49 method currently available to study their diet.

50 Over the past 15 years, molecular techniques have been extensively used to study seabird  
51 diet, through the identification of prey DNA from feces or regurgitations collected with  
52 minimal disturbance to the birds, and providing detailed information on the diet composition  
53 of penguins (e.g. Deagle *et al.* 2010; Jarman *et al.* 2013; Horswill *et al.* 2018; Xavier *et al.*  
54 2018), cormorants (Thalinger *et al.* 2016; Oehm *et al.* 2017), shearwaters (Alonso *et al.* 2014)  
55 and storm petrels (Carreiro *et al.* 2020). Furthermore, molecular analysis of fecal samples  
56 allowed the first investigations of the diet of breeding and non-breeding adults, as well as  
57 chicks (McInnes *et al.* 2016; Horswill *et al.* 2018). This is important, since many studies  
58 assume that the food items regurgitated by breeding adults to feed the chicks are a good proxy  
59 for adults' diet (Waap *et al.* 2017; Bowser *et al.* 2013) but this is not always the case in  
60 seabirds (e.g. Davoren and Burger 1999; Wilson *et al.* 2004).

61 This study describes the use of a DNA-based method, metabarcoding, applied to fecal  
62 samples of two species of the genus *Hydrobates*, the Madeiran Storm Petrel (*H. castro*) and its  
63 sister species Monteiro's Storm Petrel (*H. monteiroi*), breeding in the Azores Archipelago,  
64 Portugal. The diet of both species is mostly unknown for the Azores populations, with the  
65 only information available to date coming from anecdotal observations (Monteiro *et al.*  
66 1996b), analysis of mercury levels (Monteiro *et al.* 1995) and stable isotopes (Roscales *et al.*

67 2011; Bolton *et al.* 2008; Paiva *et al.* 2018); these suggest some degree of dietary segregation  
68 between the two species. In this study we analyze the diet from adults and chicks breeding in  
69 two different colonies: i) Praia Islet, where both species breed in allochrony (Monteiro's  
70 Storm Petrel between April and September; Madeiran Storm Petrel between September and  
71 March); and ii) Vila Islet, where only the Madeiran Storm Petrel breed (September to March).

72 Madeiran Storm Petrels are medium-sized storm petrels (~50g), that breed on oceanic  
73 islands from equatorial to subtropical latitudes (Monteiro and Furness 1998). A recent study  
74 of the diet of Madeiran Storm Petrels breeding on Farilhões Islet, off mainland Portugal  
75 (Carreiro *et al.* 2020), showed that they feed mainly on small gadid and myctophid fish  
76 species. This study also showed that the species, like many other Procellariiformes (e.g.  
77 Weimerskirch *et al.* 1994), seems to present a dual foraging strategy, with adults performing  
78 short foraging trips around the colony to feed their chicks, as well as long foraging trips,  
79 probably to restore their own body condition. This suggests there might be differences  
80 between the diet of adults and chicks, but no study to date has explored this.

81 Monteiro's Storm Petrel is classified as a vulnerable species (BirdLife International  
82 2020), morphologically very similar to the Madeiran Storm Petrel, and has only recently been  
83 described as a separate species endemic to the Azores (Bolton *et al.* 2008). It nests on Praia  
84 and Baixo islets, off Graciosa Island, and potentially on the islands of Flores and Corvo  
85 (Meirinho *et al.* 2014). There is no comprehensive study of its diet, but from anecdotal  
86 observations and its phylogenetic closeness to the Madeiran Storm Petrel, it is assumed to  
87 feed on mesopelagic fish, especially myctophids (Monteiro *et al.* 1996a).

88 Here we describe for the first time the prey species consumed by these two storm petrel  
89 species breeding in the Azores, and compare the diets of (i) species that breed on the same  
90 islet at different times of the year (Madeiran and Monteiro's Storm Petrels on Praia Islet), (ii)

91 by two colonies of the same species (Madeiran Storm Petrels on Praia Islet, off Graciosa, and  
92 Vila Islet, off Santa Maria), and (iii) by adults and chicks of the two species and locations.

93

94

## METHODS

### 95 Study Area

96 The Azores archipelago is situated in the mid-North Atlantic Ocean between 37° and  
97 40° N, 25° and 32° W. It is comprised of nine volcanic islands, and numerous small islets (0.1  
98 to 10 ha) distributed in three groups. Praia Islet is located off Graciosa Island (39° 2' 35" N,  
99 27° 58' 37" W) in the central group, and Vila Islet is located off Santa Maria Island (36° 58'  
100 26" N, 25° 10' 16" W) in the eastern group, *ca* 350 km from Praia Islet.

101

### 102 Fecal Collection

103 Fecal samples were collected in 2007 from both adults and chicks during the breeding  
104 season of each species: March to July (Monteiro's Storm Petrel) and September to December  
105 (Madeiran Storm Petrel). Fresh samples were collected opportunistically, during handling for  
106 banding (adults) or from the nest (chicks) and stored in 90% ethanol. A total of 103 fecal  
107 samples were collected across the two species/locations: 49 from Monteiro's Storm Petrels  
108 breeding on Praia (30 adults and 19 chicks), 37 from Madeiran Storm Petrels breeding on  
109 Praia (12 adults and 25 chicks) and 17 from Madeiran Storm Petrels breeding on Vila (12  
110 adults and 5 chicks).

111

### 112 DNA Extraction and Amplification

113 DNA from feces was extracted using the QIAamp DNA Stool Mini Kit (Qiagen),  
114 following the manufacturer's standard protocol. For each extraction the whole sample was  
115 used, and hence, according to the manufacturer's instructions, the quantity of lysis buffer

116 added was adjusted depending on the weight of the sample. To test for any cross-over  
117 contamination, samples from each age group and population were extracted separately and  
118 two blank extractions were included for each batch of extractions.

119 All DNA extracts were screened using general primers for Bilateria organisms, as well as  
120 specific primers for Osteichthyes (bony fish) and Cephalopoda (cephalopods) (Table 1). All  
121 primers had previously been reported in the literature, including on previous studies in storm  
122 petrel species (Carreiro *et al.* 2020; Medeiros-Mirra 2010).

123 Amplifications were performed separately for each primer pair, using the Multiplex PCR  
124 Kit (Qiagen) in 20 µl reactions containing 1x Multiplex PCR Master Mix, 0.2 µM of each  
125 primer and 0.1 mg/ml of BSA (New England Biolabs). The template was 2 µl of the DNA  
126 extract. Thermal cycling conditions were as follows: 95°C for 15 min, 35 cycles (94°C for 30  
127 sec, followed by the primer-specific annealing temperature for 90 sec, followed by 72°C for  
128 90 sec), concluding with 72°C for 10 min. A minimum of three negative controls (two  
129 extraction controls, plus at least one distilled water blank) were included in each set of PCR  
130 amplifications. Initial PCR reactions were performed using non-modified primers, followed  
131 by PCR reactions with modified primers for sequencing (see below). PCR products were  
132 separated by electrophoresis in 1.5% agarose gels, stained with ethidium bromide and  
133 visualised by transillumination with UV light.

134

#### 135 Preparation of DNA Libraries for Sequencing

136 Three different libraries were made for each set of fecal samples: 1) a general prey library  
137 using Bilateria primers; 2) a fish library using Osteichthyes primers and 3) a squid library  
138 using cephalopod primers. Each primer was modified by the addition of the 454 fusion  
139 sequence (Roche 2012) and a unique three base pair long label tag (MID tags) so that the  
140 different sample group had a unique combination of tags and could run together in the same

141 platform. MID tags were chosen from the list of 454 Standard MID set sequences  
142 recommended by Roche (2012).

143 Only samples that showed gel bands with each respective primer set were used for that  
144 particular library. General Bilateria primers were expected to have a low resolution in terms of  
145 prey identification (Phylum or Class; Jarman *et al.* 2004). Therefore, they were used mainly to  
146 confirm the major groups detected with the other primers, as well as identify the presence of  
147 other potential prey types that were not specifically screened for in this study. For each primer  
148 pair and sample set, the concentration of each individual sample was measured from the gel  
149 using a reference ladder and pooled at equimolar concentrations so that the contribution from  
150 each individual bird was similar. The DNA concentration of each pool was measured using  
151 Qubit (ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA), and all pools were subsequently  
152 combined according to their concentration so that each one contributed equally to the final  
153 pool. The overall pool sample was sent to Eurofins MWG Operon for amplicon sequencing  
154 with the Roche GS-FLX Titanium series chemistry (454).

155

## 156 Data Analysis

157 For the sequencing data, the 'cutadapt' python package (Martin 2011) was used to de-  
158 multiplex the pooled sequences based on the forward and reverse primers and MID tags and  
159 to remove all the adapters (including primers and MID tags). Sequences missing any of the  
160 adapters were discarded. Reads from 12S, 18S and 28S amplicons were filtered to a length of  
161 260 to 280 base pairs (bp), 180 to 212 bp and 105 to 135 bp respectively (minimum and  
162 maximum) and merged into a master file for each target group.

163 Reads were dereplicated using -fastx\_uniques in USEARCH v10.0.240 (Edgar 2010) and  
164 singletons were removed. The UPARSE pipeline was used for 12S and 28S amplicons  
165 analysis with a 97% clustering (Edgar 2013). 18S were analyzed in the UNOISE algorithm



166 (Edgar 2016) with a 99% clustering, as suggested in previous works for these target groups  
167 (Bachy *et al.* 2013; Edgar and Flyvbjerg 2015). The total number of unique sequences,  
168 singletons, sequences lost, and sequences retrieved for each gene can be found in Table 2.

169 All commands and associated python scripts in the analysis are provided in the GitHub  
170 repository: <https://github.com/AnaCarreiro/AzoresMSP2007>. The NCBI database (Morgulis  
171 *et al.* 2008; Zhang *et al.* 2000) was used to taxonomically classify Operational Taxonomic  
172 Units (OTUs) through MegaBLAST, and only results with 100% query cover were considered  
173 as matches. Each primer pair's results were analyzed using different analysis parameters: i)  
174 for the 12S gene a minimum 90% identity and E-value of  $1^{-100}$ ; ii) for the 18S gene a  
175 minimum of 99% identity and E-value of  $1^{-94}$  and iii) for the 28S a minimum of 95% identity  
176 and E-value of  $1^{-47}$ . These thresholds were defined not only considering each fragment size,  
177 but also based on previous works using these genes (e.g. Bachy *et al.*, 2013). Lowering these  
178 sequence similarity thresholds would result in a mixing of different taxa with no ecological  
179 sense for the study area and species. For each OTU, all the sequences matching the thresholds  
180 defined were considered and analyzed together in order to classify each group to the lowest  
181 taxonomic level possible. Low quality OTUs (i.e. sequences with lower similarity thresholds)  
182 and sequences from predator, parasites or contamination from the lab (Table 2) were excluded  
183 from the analysis. Taxon (e.g. species, genus, family) was assigned if the query sequences  
184 clustered monophyletically at that level, producing an identical match in BLAST, higher than  
185 any other taxa. Moreover, despite meeting the previous criteria, species- or genus-level  
186 identifications were not assigned if the identity match was below 99%. Therefore, those cases  
187 were discussed as probable genus-level identifications.

188

189

## RESULTS

190 Very few samples presented visible bands in gel electrophoresis (Table 3). The number of  
191 samples with no visible bands, i.e. which were considered not to contain DNA, might have  
192 been a result of: (i) actual absence or very low DNA concentration in the sample, (ii) DNA  
193 degradation or the presence of PCR inhibitors, or (iii) being false negatives. The latter  
194 phenomenon has been reported by recent studies, which found that negatives in the gel do not  
195 necessarily mean lack of DNA (Zinger *et al.* 2019). This might also explain why cephalopod  
196 OTUs were detected with 18S primers in samples that did not show any clear bands in the gel  
197 of 28S primer amplifications (Table 3).

198 Despite the small sample sizes, a total of seven prey OTUs were detected for the 18S  
199 Bilateria primers, four prey OTUs were detected for the 28S cephalopod primers, and 10 prey  
200 OTUs were detected for the 12S fish primers (Table 3). As expected, it was difficult to  
201 achieve a high taxonomic resolution for prey identification from general Bilateria primers, but  
202 it was possible to identify major prey sub-classes, some orders and families, namely from fish,  
203 cephalopods, crustaceans, and oligochaetes. For cephalopod primers, it was only possible to  
204 detect one OTU at the genus level, namely the squid *Moroteuthis* sp., which comprised the  
205 majority of the sequences in all groups analyzed (from 55% to 100%). Three other genera  
206 from the Order Oegopsida were also detected, with a smaller proportional detection in each  
207 group.

208 Fish primers detected several OTUs from the Myctophidae family (Lanternfish), and  
209 single OTUs from the Sparidae and Regalecidae families. Two OTUs were defined to the  
210 genus level, namely a Jack Mackerel *Trachurus* sp. and Deep-sea Barreleye *Monacoa* sp., and  
211 one to the species level, specifically the Madeira Lanternfish *Ceratoscopelus maderensis*. The  
212 proportion of sequences comprising each fish OTU was very well distinguished between  
213 storm petrel species, locations and age groups, with most OTUs being detected in only one of  
214 the groups. Lanternfish were the most abundant OTUs found in the diet of adult birds,

215 comprising from 97.8% to 100% of the sequences detected for both species and breeding  
216 locations. Madeiran Storm Petrel chicks from Praia Islet had a diet similar to that of their  
217 parents, with samples exclusively containing lanternfish. However, the other chick groups had  
218 a very distinct diet from the adults: Jack Mackerel was exclusively detected in the diet of the  
219 Madeiran Storm Petrel chicks from Vila Islet and comprised the total of its sequences, and a  
220 Sparidae OTU comprised 97.4% of the sequences detected for Monteiro's Storm Petrel  
221 chicks.

222

223

## DISCUSSION

224 This study is the first work to date to identify prey species in the diet of the Madeiran and  
225 Monteiro's Storm Petrels in the Azores archipelago, and the first description of the diet of the  
226 Azores-endemic Monteiro's Storm Petrel. It integrated both spatial and age-related  
227 comparisons of the diet of both species during the breeding season of 2007. Although the  
228 number of initial samples was 103, the final sample sizes were very small, and comparisons  
229 must be interpreted only as indicative.

230 Overall, the diet of these two seabird species seems dominated by myctophid fish,  
231 although with possible dietary segregation among all groups. For example, although the adults  
232 of both species breeding in Praia Islet rely mostly on Myctophidae fish (Lanternfish), they  
233 seem to prey on different species of myctophids. Our results are in line with previous studies  
234 of mercury and stable isotopes in feather samples of these same species (Monteiro *et al.* 1995;  
235 Bolton *et al.* 2008). Since myctophids are mesopelagic and migrate to the sea surface  
236 nocturnally, the presence of myctophid species in the diet of the two species and in the two  
237 populations of Madeiran Storm Petrels suggests that the birds feed at night, and possibly over  
238 bathymetric features which cause upwelling to occur, making mesopelagic prey more  
239 available at the surface (Watanuki and Thiebot 2018). Prey from commercial fish groups were

240 found for the chicks of Madeiran Storm Petrels on Vila Islet and the chicks of Monteiro's  
241 Storm Petrels on Praia Islet, suggesting that birds might consume fishery discards, as  
242 previously suggested by other storm petrel dietary studies (Medeiros-Mirra 2010; Carreiro *et*  
243 *al.* 2020). Segregation in the diets of Madeiran and Monteiro's Storm Petrels at different  
244 colonies may result in differential breeding success (e.g. Ramírez *et al.* 2016) or adult survival  
245 between species and between colonies (Ramos *et al.* 2012), especially if climate change and  
246 changes in discard legislation affect the relative availability of different prey species. Seabirds  
247 are particularly vulnerable to stochastic changes in prey availability during the breeding  
248 season, as they are constrained to forage relatively close to the colony in order to regularly  
249 return with food to the nest. Therefore, further understanding the precise diet of Monteiro's  
250 Storm Petrel during the breeding season, and how this compares and contrasts to its  
251 allochronous sister species, the Madeiran Storm Petrel, will improve our understanding of  
252 how climate change or other human impacts may differentially influence populations of  
253 closely related seabird species.

254

255

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

256 To all volunteers and colleagues who collaborated during the fieldwork: M.C. Magalhães,  
257 V.C. Neves, M. Antunes, R. Fontaine and M. Andris. To the *Clube Naval* from Santa Maria,  
258 the *Parque Natural da Graciosa* (especially L. Aguiar and P. Raposo) and R. Oliveira for  
259 transportation to Vila and Praia Islets. ARC was funded by Portuguese national funds  
260 provided by 'Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia, I.P.' (FCT), through the strategic  
261 project UIDB/04292/2020 granted to MARE. RM was funded by a fellowship for doctorate  
262 research by the FCT - Portuguese Foundation for Science and Technology  
263 (SFRH/BD/22935/2005). JB was supported by the Programmes 'OGAMP' (Planning and  
264 Management of Marine Protected Areas, Interreg IIIB-MAC/4.2/A2), 'MARMAC'

265 (Knowledge, Promotion and Valorization for a Sustainable Utilization of Marine Protected  
266 Areas in Macaronesia, (Interreg IIIB/FEDER/MARMAC/003-4/2005-6 and Interreg IIIB-  
267 05/MAC/4.2/A4), and MoniAves, all coordinated by R.S. Santos. IMAR-DOP/UAç is funded  
268 by FCT and DRCT-Azores as Research Unit 531 and Associate Laboratory 9 (ISR-Lisbon).  
269 All applicable international, national, and/or institutional guidelines for the care and use of  
270 animals were followed. All animal handling and procedures in this research were duly  
271 approved and licensed by permits from the Portuguese Institute for Nature Conservation and  
272 Forests (License number 2/2006/DRA), which follow the European Union Directive on the  
273 protection of animals used for scientific purposes (Directive 2010/63/EU) and Portuguese  
274 laws No. 140/99, No. 49/2005, No. 316/89, and No. 180/2008.

275

276

#### LITERATURE CITED

- 277 Alonso, H., J.P. Granadeiro, S. Waap, J. Xavier, W.O.C. Symondson, J.A. Ramos, and P.  
278 Catry. 2014. An holistic ecological analysis of the diet of Cory's Shearwaters using prey  
279 morphological characters and DNA barcoding. *Molecular Ecology* 23: 3719–3733.
- 280 Bachy, C., J.R. Dolan, P. López-García, P. Deschamps, and D. Moreira. 2013. Accuracy of  
281 protist diversity assessments: Morphology compared with cloning and direct  
282 pyrosequencing of 18S rRNA genes and ITS regions using the conspicuous tintinnid  
283 ciliates as a case study. *ISME Journal* 7: 244–255.
- 284 Barrett, R.T., K. Camphuysen, T. Anker-Nilssen, J.W. Chardine, R.W. Furness, S. Garthe, O.  
285 Huppopp, M.F. Leopold, W.A. Montevecchi, and R.R. Veit. 2007. Diet studies of seabirds:  
286 a review and recommendations. *Ices Journal of Marine Science* 64: 1675–1691.
- 287 BirdLife International. 2020. Species factsheet: *Hydrobates montei*.  
288 <http://www.birdlife.org>, accessed 20 March 2020.
- 289 Bolton, M., A.L. Smith, E. Gómez-Díaz, V.L. Friesen, R. Medeiros, J. Bried, J.L. Roscales,

290 and R.W. Furness. 2008. Monteiro's Storm-petrel *Oceanodroma monteiroi*: A new  
291 species from the Azores. *Ibis* 150: 717–727.

292 Bowser, A.K., A.W. Diamond, and J.A. Addison. 2013. From Puffins to Plankton: A DNA-  
293 Based Analysis of a Seabird Food Chain in the Northern Gulf of Maine. *Plos One* 8: 16.

294 Carreiro, A.R., V.H. Paiva, R. Medeiros, K.A. Franklin, N. Oliveira, A.I. Fagundes, and J.A.  
295 Ramos. 2020. Metabarcoding, stable isotopes, and tracking: unraveling the trophic  
296 ecology of a winter-breeding storm petrel (*Hydrobates castro*) with a multimethod  
297 approach. *Marine Biology* 167: 1–13.

298 Davoren, G.K., and A.E. Burger. 1999. Differences in prey selection and behaviour during  
299 self-feeding and chick provisioning in Rhinoceros Auklets. *Animal Behaviour* 58: 853–  
300 863.

301 Deagle, B.E., A. Chiaradia, J. McInnes, and S.N. Jarman. 2010. Pyrosequencing faecal DNA  
302 to determine diet of Little Penguins: is what goes in what comes out? *Conservation*  
303 *Genetics* 11: 2039–2048.

304 Deagle, B.E., D.J. Tollit, S.N. Jarman, M.A. Hindell, A.W. Trites, and N.J. Gales. 2005.  
305 Molecular scatology as a tool to study diet: Analysis of prey DNA in scats from captive  
306 Steller Sea Lions. *Molecular Ecology* 14: 1831–1842.

307 Edgar, R.C. 2010. Search and clustering orders of magnitude faster than BLAST.  
308 *Bioinformatics* 26: 2460–2461.

309 Edgar, R.C. 2013. UPARSE: highly accurate OTU sequences from microbial amplicon reads.  
310 *Nature Methods* 10: 996.

311 Edgar, R.C. 2016. UNOISE2: improved error-correction for Illumina 16S and ITS amplicon  
312 sequencing. *bioRxiv* 081257.

313 Edgar, R.C., and H. Flyvbjerg. 2015. Error filtering, pair assembly and error correction for  
314 next-generation sequencing reads. *Bioinformatics* 31: 3476–3482.

315 Horswill, C., J.A. Jackson, R. Medeiros, R.W. Nowell, P.N. Trathan, and T.C. O'Connell.  
316 2018. Minimising the limitations of using dietary analysis to assess foodweb changes by  
317 combining multiple techniques. *Ecological Indicators* 94: 218–225.

318 Jarman, S., B. Deagle, and N. Gales. 2004. Group-specific polymerase chain reaction for  
319 DNA-based analysis of species diversity and identity in dietary samples. *Mol Ecol* 13:  
320 1313–1322.

321 Jarman, S.N., J.C. McInnes, C. Faux, A.M. Polanowski, J. Marthick, B.E. Deagle, C.  
322 Southwell, and L. Emmerson. 2013. Adelie Penguin Population Diet Monitoring by  
323 Analysis of Food DNA in Scats. *Plos One* 8: 11.

324 Martin, M. 2011. Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput sequencing  
325 reads. *EMBnet.journal* 17: 10.

326 McInnes, J.C., L. Emmerson, C. Southwell, C. Faux, and S.N. Jarman. 2016. Simultaneous  
327 DNA-based diet analysis of breeding, non-breeding and chick Adelie Penguins. *Royal*  
328 *Society Open Science* 3: 150443.

329 Medeiros-Mirra, R. 2010. The Migration Strategy, Diet & Foraging Ecology of a Small  
330 Seabird in a Changing Environment. PhD thesis, Cardiff University, Cardiff.

331 Meirinho A, Barros N, Oliveira N, Catry P, Lecoq M, Geraldés P, Granadeiro JP, Ramírez I,  
332 and J. Andrade. 2014. Atlas das Aves Marinhas de Portugal.  
333 <http://www.atlasavesmarinhas.pt>, accessed, 9 March 2018.

334 Monteiro, L.R., and R.W. Furness. 1998. Speciation through temporal segregation of  
335 Madeiran storm petrel (*Oceanodroma castro*) populations in the Azores? *Philosophical*  
336 *Transactions of the Royal Society of London Series B-Biological Sciences* 353: 945–  
337 953.

338 Monteiro, L.R., R.W. Furness, and A.J. Del Nevo. 1995. Mercury levels in seabirds from the  
339 Azores, Mid-North Atlantic Ocean. *Arch. Environmental Contamination and Toxicology*

340 28: 304–309.

341 Monteiro, L.R., J.A. Ramos, and R.W. Furness. 1996a. Past and present status and  
342 conservation of the seabirds breeding in the Azores Archipelago. *Biological*  
343 *Conservation* 78: 319–328.

344 Monteiro, L.R., J.A. Ramos, R.W. Furness, and A.J. del Nevo. 1996b. Movements,  
345 morphology, breeding, moult, diet and feeding of seabirds in the Azores. *Colonial*  
346 *Waterbirds* 19: 82–97.

347 Morgulis, A., G. Coulouris, Y. Raytselis, T.L. Madden, R. Agarwala, and A.A. Schäffer. 2008.  
348 Database indexing for production MegaBLAST searches. *Bioinformatics* 24: 1757–1764.

349 Oehm, J., B. Thalinger, S. Eisenkölbl, and M. Traugott. 2017. Diet analysis in piscivorous  
350 birds: What can the addition of molecular tools offer? *Ecology and Evolution* 7: 1984–  
351 1995.

352 Paiva, V.H., J.A. Ramos, C. Nava, V. Neves, J. Bried, and M. Magalhães. 2018. Inter-sexual  
353 habitat and isotopic niche segregation of the endangered Monteiro’s Storm-petrel during  
354 breeding. *Zoology* 126: 29–35.

355 Pauly, D., A. Trites, E. Capuli, and V. Christensen. 1998. Diet composition and trophic levels  
356 of marine mammals. *Journal of Marine Science* 55: 467–481.

357 Ramírez, I., V.H. Paiva, I. Fagundes, D. Menezes, I. Silva, F.R. Ceia, R.A. Phillips, J.A.  
358 Ramos, and S. Garthe. 2016. Conservation implications of consistent foraging and  
359 trophic ecology in a rare petrel species. *Animal Conservation* 19: 139–152.

360 Ramos, R., J.P. Granadeiro, M. Nevoux, J.L. Mougín, M.P. Dias, and P. Catry. 2012.  
361 Combined Spatio-Temporal Impacts of Climate and Longline Fisheries on the Survival  
362 of a Trans-Equatorial Marine Migrant. *Plos One* 7: 12.

363 Roscales, J.L., E. Gomez-Diaz, V. Neves, and J. Gonzalez-Solis. 2011. Trophic versus  
364 geographic structure in stable isotope signatures of pelagic seabirds breeding in the



365 northeast Atlantic. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 434: 1–13.

366 Shealer, D.A. 2002. Foraging behavior and food of seabirds. Pages 137–177 in *Biology of*  
367 *marine birds*. (E. Schreiber and J. Burger, Eds.). CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL.

368 Thalinger, B., J. Oehm, H. Mayr, A. Obwexer, C. Zeisler, and M. Traugott. 2016. Molecular  
369 prey identification in Central European piscivores. *Molecular Ecology Resources* 16:  
370 123–137.

371 Waap, S., W.O.C. Symondson, J.P. Granadeiro, H. Alonso, C. Serra-Goncalves, M.P. DIas,  
372 and P. Catry. 2017. The diet of a nocturnal pelagic predator, the Bulwer’s Petrel, across  
373 the lunar cycle. *Scientific Reports* 7: 1–10.

374 Watanuki, Y., and J.B. Thiebot. 2018. Factors affecting the importance of myctophids in the  
375 diet of the world’s seabirds. *Marine Biology* 165: 1–14.

376 Weimerskirch, H., O. Chastel, L. Ackermann, T. Chaurand, F. Cuenot-Chaillet, X.  
377 Hindermeier, and J. Judas. 1994. Alternate long and short foraging trips in pelagic  
378 seabird parents. *Animal Behaviour* 47: 472–476.

379 Wilson, L.J., F. Daunt, and S. Wanless. 2004. Self-feeding and chick provisioning diet differ  
380 in the Common Guillemot *Uria aalge*. *Ardea* 92: 197–207.

381 Xavier, J.C., Y. Cherel, R. Medeiros, N. Velez, M. Dewar, N. Ratcliffe, A.R. Carreiro, and  
382 P.N. Trathan. 2018. Conventional and molecular analysis of the diet of Gentoo Penguins:  
383 contributions to assess scats for non-invasive penguin diet monitoring. *Polar Biology* 41:  
384 2275–2287.

385 Zhang, Z., S. Schwartz, L. Wagner, and W. Mille. 2000. A greedy algorithm for aligning DNA  
386 sequences. *Journal of Computational Biology* 7:203–214.

387 Zinger, L., A. Bonin, I.G. Alsos, M. Bálint, H. Bik, F. Boyer, A.A. Chariton, S. Creer, E.  
388 Coissac, B.E. Deagle, M. De Barba, I.A. Dickie, A.J. Dumbrell, G.F. Ficetola, N. Fierer,  
389 L. Fumagalli, M.T.P. Gilbert, S. Jarman, A. Jumpponen, H. Kausrud, L. Orlando, J.

390 Pansu, J. Pawlowski, L. Tedersoo, P.F. Thomsen, E. Willerslev, and P. Taberlet. 2019.  
391 DNA metabarcoding—Need for robust experimental designs to draw sound ecological  
392 conclusions. *Molecular Ecology* 28: 1857–1862.  
393

395 **Table 1. Primer pairs used in the analysis of the diet of *Hydrobatés castro* and *H. montei*.**

Target	Primer name	Sequence 5'-3'	Product size (bp)	Annealing temp. (°C)	Reference
Actinopteriigymitochondrial 12S	FishF1	CGGTAAAACTCGTGCC	~300	56	Jarman unpubl. <i>in</i> Medeiros-Mirra (2010)
	FishR1	CCGCCAAGTCCTTTGGG			
Bilateria nuclear 18S	BilSSU1100_F	AGAGGTGAAATTSTTGGAYCG	~245	62	Jarman <i>et al.</i> (2004)
	BilSSU1300_R	CCTTTAAGTTTCAGCTTTGCA			
Cephalopoda nuclear 28S	Squid28SF Squid28SR	CGCCGAATCCCGTCGCMAGTAAAMGGCTTC CCAAGCAACCCGACTCTCGGATCGAA	~180	60	Deagle <i>et al.</i> (2005)

397 **Table 2. Total number of uniques, singletons, chimeras and sequences lost for each**  
 398 **primer, as well as total sequences retrieved per group.**

399

	<b>12S</b>	<b>28S</b>	<b>18S</b>
<b>Sequences after filtering</b>	1598	3617	17019
Uniques	603	458	3187
Singletons	456	246	2168
Chimeras	0	0	0
<b>Total sequences lost</b>	682	62	14083
Low quality querys	334	62	2705
Contamination	348	0	1337
Predator DNA	0	0	9119
Parasite DNA	0	0	922
<b>OTUs/ZOTUs</b>	12	4	7
<b>Sequences retrieved</b>	916	3555	2936
VA	197	0	256
VC	5	0	46
PA	376	2282	1436
PC	58	0	297
MA	91	878	158
MC	189	395	743

400 **Table 3. Taxa identified from high-throughput sequencing of fecal samples obtained**  
 401 **from Madeiran Storm Petrel (*Hydrobates castro*) breeding on Vila Islet (VA – Adults; VC**  
 402 **– Chicks) and Praia Islet (PA – Adults; PC – Chicks), and of Monteiro’s Storm Petrel (*H.***  
 403 ***monteiroi*) breeding on Praia Islet (MA – Adults; MC – Chicks), using DNA fragments**  
 404 **from three different genes. Values represent the percentage of sequences for each sample**  
 405 **set that comprise each prey type.**  
 406

Target Gene	Classification	VA	VC	PA	PC	MA	MC
		N=1	N=1	N=5	N=4	N=2	N=4
	Fish						
	<i>Trachurus</i> sp.		100.0				
	Myctophidae 1 (Family)	100.0		28.7			
	Myctophidae 2 (Family)			6.4			
12S	Myctophidae 3 (Family)			10.4			
	<i>Monacoa</i> sp.			5.3			
	Myctophidae 4 (Family)			49.2	100.0		
	<i>Ceratoscopelus maderensis</i>					97.8	
	Regalecidae (Family)					2.2	
	Sparidae (Family)						97.4
	Myctophidae 5 (Family)						2.6
		-	-	N=2	-	N=2	N=1
	Squid						
28s	Oegopsida 1 (Order)			45.0			
	<i>Moroteuthis</i> sp.			55.0		96.7	100.0
	Oegopsida 2 (Order)					0.6	
	Oegopsida 3 (Order)					2.7	
		N=8	N=4	N=7	N=12	N=11	N=7
	Fish						
	Gadiformes (Order)		17.4		6.7		
	Actinopterygii (Class)	79.3	39.1	82.7	58.2	38.6	63.4
	Teleost (Infraclass)	11.7	43.5	14.8	15.5	15.2	34.3
18S	Oligochaetes						
	Naididae (Family)	0.4		0.5	4.4	13.3	2.3
	Naididae 2 (Family)			0.3	7.4	19.0	
	Cephalopods						
	Coleoidea (Subclass)	8.6		1.7	3.0	13.9	
	Crustaceans						
	Chydoridae (Family)				4.7		

407

408