Sign freedom of non-abelian topological charges in phononic and photonic topological semimetals
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Abstract
The topological nature of nodal lines in three-band systems can be described by non-abelian topological charges called quaternion numbers. Due to the gauge freedom of the eigenstates, the sign of quaternion numbers can be flipped by performing a gauge transformation, i.e., choosing a different basis of eigenstates. However, the sign flipping has not been explicitly shown in realistic systems such as phononic and photonic topological semimetals. Here, we elaborate on the sign freedom of non-abelian topological charges by visualizing numerically calculated topological charges in phononic and photonic topological semimetals. For this, we employ a common reference point method for multiple nodal lines and thus confirm that the sign flipping does not cause any inconsistency in building the quaternion group.

1. Introduction
Multiple nodal lines in momentum space can be interpreted by non-abelian band topology [1]. In particular, topological charges of nodal lines in a three-band system can be described by the quaternion group $\mathbb{Q} = \{\pm i, \pm j, \pm k, \pm 1\}$, where $i$, $j$, and $k$ satisfy (i) the square relations $i^2 = j^2 = k^2 = -1$, (ii) the multiplication relations $ij = k$, $jk = i$, $ki = j$, and (iii) anticommutation relations, $ij = -ji$, $jk = -kj$, $ki = -ik$. Recently, a large number of studies on the nodal lines [2–7] have been reported, including nodal rings [8, 9], nodal chains [10–14], nodal links [11, 12, 14–19], and nodal knots [17, 19, 20]. Theoretical and experimental efforts have been made to demonstrate the quaternion topological charges in such nodal line systems [18, 21–24]. Hence, the field of research on the non-abelian topological nodal lines is rapidly expanding in parallel with the study on Dirac [25–32] and Weyl points [33–42].

Although the gauge dependence of the non-abelian charges has been already discussed in the literature [1, 21, 43–47], such property has not been explained by visualizing the eigenstates. In any eigenvalue problem, if $\mathbf{u}$ is an eigenstate of a system, $e^{i\theta} \mathbf{u} (\theta = (0, 2\pi))$ is also an eigenstate of the system, and these two are regarded as the equivalent states (gauge freedom). Even if we restrict the gauge $e^{i\theta}$ to be a real number, i.e., $\theta = 0$ or $\pi$, the eigenstate of the system can be expressed either by $\mathbf{u}$ or $-\mathbf{u}$. This means that the gauge freedom allows any signs of the topological charges in the nodal line system generated in the electronic (metals [1, 10, 15] and semimetals [11, 12]), photonic [18, 22], and phononic systems [7, 9, 48]. This property, however, does not contradict the argument of the non-abelian band topology in a three-band system, and it is important to fix the basis of eigenstates as pointed out in reference [1].

In this paper, we elucidate the gauge-dependent sign freedom of the non-abelian topological charges by visualizing the topological charges in phononic and photonic topological semimetals. First, we exemplify such a property with phononic and photonic systems. Then, the reference point method, which is equivalent to fixing of the basis in Hilbert space [1, 45], is adopted for our topological semimetals. Finally, we discuss the consistency of the topological charges' non-abelian relations and the important points to be considered when using this method in phononic and photonic topological semimetals focusing on the path and degeneracies in momentum space.
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2. Gauge-dependent property of topological charges

Obtaining the quaternion charge of a nodal line starts with calculating eigenstates along the closed loop that encloses the nodal line. We denote the starting point of the closed loop’s winding as $k_0$ as shown in figure 1(a). Once the signs of the eigenstates at $k_0$ are determined, their signs at subsequent points $k$ on the loop are assigned. Finally, the topological charges are calculated by analyzing the rotation behaviors of the eigenstates. Due to the sign freedom of the eigenstates at $k_0$, the topological charge also has the sign freedom [1, 21, 43–47]. In this section, we discuss the examples that show the sign freedom of the quaternion charges originating from the sign freedom of eigenstates at $k_0$.

2.1. 3 × 3 Hamiltonian

We consider phononic waves in an orthotropic elastic material [49] whose constitutive equation is given by Hooke’s law $\varepsilon = C \cdot s$ (see equation (A.1)). Here, $\varepsilon = \{ \varepsilon_{ij} \}$ and $s = \{ s_{ij} \}$ ($i, j = 1, 2, 3$) are the Cauchy strain and stress tensors, respectively. $C$ is a compliance matrix, which is a function of Young’s moduli, shear moduli and Poisson’s ratios: $C = C (E_i, G_{ij}, \nu_{ij})$. Its inverse is the stiffness matrix $S$, i.e., $C^{-1} = S$, thereby the constitutive equation becomes $s = S \cdot \varepsilon$ which is analogous to the one-dimensional Hooke’s law $F = -kx$.

By using the density $\rho$, Young’s moduli $E_i$, shear moduli $G_{ij}$, Poisson’s ratios $\nu_{ij}$, and restoring forces $f_i$ ($i, j = 1, 2, 3$) listed in table A1 in appendix A, the eigenvalue problem for this elastic wave system is expressed as

\[ \begin{pmatrix} E_1 & G_{12} & G_{13} \\ G_{12} & E_2 & G_{23} \\ G_{13} & G_{23} & E_3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u \end{pmatrix}_{k_0} = \lambda \begin{pmatrix} u \end{pmatrix}_{k_0} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \]

\[ \begin{pmatrix} E_1 & G_{12} & G_{13} \\ G_{12} & E_2 & G_{23} \\ G_{13} & G_{23} & E_3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u \end{pmatrix}_{k_0} = \lambda \begin{pmatrix} u \end{pmatrix}_{k_0} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \]

\[ \begin{pmatrix} E_1 & G_{12} & G_{13} \\ G_{12} & E_2 & G_{23} \\ G_{13} & G_{23} & E_3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u \end{pmatrix}_{k_0} = \lambda \begin{pmatrix} u \end{pmatrix}_{k_0} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \]
Alternatively, the gauge-dependent non-abelian charges can be visualized using the correlation vectors

\[ u_k^n = \rho(\omega^n)^2 u_k^n, \quad (1) \]

with

\[
\begin{align*}
H_{11} &= k_1^2 M_{11} + k_1^2 G_{12} + k_3^2 G_{31} + f_1 \\
H_{12} &= k_1 k_2 (\lambda_{12} + G_{12}) \\
H_{13} &= k_1 k_3 (\lambda_{31} + G_{31}) \\
H_{21} &= k_1 k_2 (\lambda_{12} + G_{12}) \\
H_{22} &= k_1^2 G_{12} + k_2^2 M_{22} + k_3^2 G_{23} + f_2 \\
H_{23} &= k_2 k_3 (\lambda_{23} + G_{23}) \\
H_{31} &= k_1 k_3 (\lambda_{31} + G_{31}) \\
H_{32} &= k_2 k_3 (\lambda_{23} + G_{23}) \\
H_{33} &= k_1^2 G_{31} + k_2^2 G_{23} + k_3^3 M_{33} + f_3,
\end{align*}
\]

where \( u_k^n (n = 1, 2, 3) \) is the three-component displacement eigenvector satisfying the orthonormal condition \( u_k^n \cdot u_k^n = \delta_{mn} \), and \( \omega^n \) is the angular frequency as an eigenfrequency (the detailed derivation of equation (1) can be found in appendix A). Here, the superscript \( n \) is the band number, and \( k \) is a point in the momentum space.

For the nodal link shown in figure 1(a) in this phononic system, we choose two closed loops (the arrowed loops) that enclose each nodal ring (the orange and cyan colored shapes, respectively). We calculate the orthonormal eigenvectors \( u_k^n \) along the closed loops [22]. We gather all the eigenstates at the origin, and their evolution in a three-dimensional space \( \mathbb{R}^3 \) defined by the three components of \( u_k^n \)'s are shown in figures 1(b) and (c), respectively. We may regard the topological charge for figure 1(b) as the quaternion number \( k \) because \( u_k^n \) and \( u_k^n \) rotate by \( +\pi \) around fixed \( u_k^n \) [1, 18, 22, 50]. Likewise, \( u_k^n \) and \( u_k^n \) rotate by \( +\pi \) around fixed \( u_k^n \) in figure 1(c), and thereby its topological charge is the quaternion number \( i \) [1, 18, 22, 50].

Meanwhile, due to the sign freedom of the eigenstates, we can choose different eigenstates at \( k_0 \). For the orange loop in figure 1(a), we flip the signs of \( u_{k_0} \) and \( u_{k_0} \) while keeping \( u_{k_0} \) fixed. Then, \( u_k^n \) at the following points \( k \) on the loop is determined by \( u_{k_0} \). The resulting relation between the eigenstates \( u_k^n \) exhibits \(-\pi\)-rotations of \( u_k^n \) and \( u_k^n \) around \( u_k^n \) (see figure 1(d)). Thus, the topological charge for this situation is considered as \(-k\), compared to figure 1(b). Likewise, we apply the sign-flipping of \( u_{k_0} \) and \( u_{k_0} \) while fixing \( u_{k_0} \) to the cyan loop in figure 1(a). It generates the \(-\pi\)-rotations of \( u_k^n \) and \( u_k^n \) around \( u_k^n \) (see figure 1(c)) making its topological charge to be \(-i\) in contrast to figure 1(c). Therefore, the topological charges of the left and right figures of figure 1(a) can be any of the four sets of quaternion numbers \( \{k, i\}, \{-k, i\}, \{k, -i\}, \{-k, -i\} \).

2.2. Correlation vectors in photonic systems

Alternatively, the gauge-dependent non-abelian charges can be visualized using the correlation vectors [22]. For the closed loop in figure 2(a), we compute the orthonormal eigenstates \( \psi_{k_0}^n \) \((n = 1, 2, 3)\) of the third, fourth, and fifth bands, respectively. Then, we calculate the correlations \( C_k^n \) defined by the following equation [22]:

\[
C_k^n = \left[ \langle \psi_{k_0}^1 | \psi_k^n \rangle, \langle \psi_{k_0}^2 | \psi_k^n \rangle, \langle \psi_{k_0}^3 | \psi_k^n \rangle \right].
\]

All the correlations \( C_k^n \) on the closed loop are collected at the origin, as shown in figure 2(b). The result means its topological charge is \(-i\sigma_3\), equivalent to \( k \). Now, we adjust the signs of \( \psi_{k_0}^1 \) and \( \psi_{k_0}^3 \) while fixing the sign of \( \psi_{k_0}^2 \). The signs of \( \psi_{k_0}^3 \) at the remaining points \( k \) on the closed loop are assigned by the \( \psi_{k_0}^3 \). The resulting topological charge in figure 2(c) is \( i\sigma_3 \), or \(-k\).

Likewise, for the closed loop in figure 2(d), we consider two sets of \( \psi_{k_0}^3 \). The sign of \( \psi_{k_0}^3 \) in the first set is not adjusted. For the second set, we flip the signs of \( \psi_{k_0}^1 \) and \( \psi_{k_0}^2 \) with fixing the gauge of \( \psi_{k_0}^3 \). Thus, the topological charges of figures 2(e) and (f) are \(-i\sigma_1\) and \( +i\sigma_1\), respectively, which correspond to \( i \) and \(-i\), respectively.
3. Application of common reference point method

To unambiguously determine the signs of the topological charges of our topological semimetals, we fix the gauge of the eigenstates using a reference point \([1, 45]\). Here, we denote the common reference point as \(k_r\), and a line connects \(k_r\) and \(k_0\) of each loop, as illustrated in figure 3. There can be several \(k_0\) for several closed loops whereas we consider only one \(k_r\). When the topological charge on one closed loop in figure 3 is investigated, \(|\psi_k^r\rangle\) is calculated first. \(|\psi_{k_r+\Delta k}^r\rangle\) is also calculated at \(k_r+\Delta k\) on the \(k_r-k_0\) line. The sign of \(|\psi_{k_r+\Delta k}^r\rangle\) is determined to make \(\langle \psi_{k_r}^r | \psi_{k_r+\Delta k}^r \rangle\) positive. For all the remaining points \(k\) on the \(k_r-k_0\) line, the same calculations are performed; the sign of \(|\psi_k^r\rangle\) is determined by \(\langle \psi_{k_r-\Delta k}^r | \psi_{k_r}^r \rangle > 0\). Once \(k\) reaches \(k_0\), \(|\psi_{k_0}^r\rangle\) is determined. Finally, the topological charge is calculated by the eigenstates only on the loop.

Instead of using the reference point \(k_r\), one can choose the loops whose starting points \(k_0\) coincide at one point in momentum space. However, a smaller loop generates a more accurate topological charge, due to the effect coming from the relative positions between the path and degeneracies that will be explained in section 5.1. Thus, this alternative approach can be employed in limited situations where the nodal lines are sufficiently close to each other.

For the phononic topological semimetals discussed in section 2.1, we set a common reference point \(k_r = [-1, 1, 0.5]\) as shown in figure 4(a), and then we calculated the eigenstates of equation (1) along each path. The eigenstates on the closed loop denoted as path A in figure 4(a) are shown in figure 4(b), and collecting them at the origin results in \(+k\) (as shown in figure 4(c), identical to figure 4(b)). Likewise, the eigenstates on the closed loop denoted as path B in figure 4(a) are plotted in figures 4(d) and (e) (identical to figure 1(c)) resulting in topological charge \(+i\). Here, the eigenstates at the common reference point \(k_r\) for path A and B should be identical.

The topological charge \(J = ki\) can be described by the composition of the loops in path A and B, which are the closed loops encircling both orange and cyan nodal lines. Note that their starting points \(k_0\) should
Figure 3. A reference point for the gauge fixing. $k_r$ is the common reference point. The lines that connect $k$ and $k_r$ are also shown. Nodal lines are marked as orange and cyan points.

Figure 4. Evaluation of the topological charges $k$ and $i$. (a) Two closed loops encircling each nodal line and the paths that connect $k_0$ of each closed loop and $k_r$. (b) and (d) Eigenstates along the closed loops plotted with respect to an arbitrary orthonormal coordinate system. (c) and (e) Eigenstates collections at the origin. They indicate their topological charges as $+k$ and $+i$, respectively.

be connected to the common reference point $k_r$. Here, the circling sequence is related to the order of $k$ and $i$ in the multiplication. If the first and second half of closed loop scan the cyan ($i$) and orange ($k$) nodal lines, respectively, the relation is written as $ki$. The signs of $k$ and $i$ are concerned with winding direction of the closed loop. As we can consider four different combinations in this multiplication, namely $ki$, $ik$, $(-i)(-k)$, and $(-k)(-i)$, their results are $j$, $-j$, $-j$, and $j$ as shown in each panel of figure 5, respectively. The plots in figure 5 are the calibrated results following the step in reference [22]. The calibration angle was set as $0.8\theta_0(k)$ where $\theta_0(k)$ is the angle between $u_{k_0}$ and $u_{k_0}^i$.

Note that the topological charges $k$, $i$, and $\pm j$ of the double diamond photonic crystal in reference [22] were calculated using the reference point. More details will be explained in section 5.1.

4. Consistency of topological charges’ signs by the reference point method

In the following, we will show that the reference point method can eliminate the chance that the non-abelian charges are misinterpreted as abelian. For example, let us suppose that two closed loops, which are marked as loop 1 and 2 in figure 6, respectively, are in different locations in momentum space. If we denote topological charges by these loops as $N_1$ and $N_2$, respectively, and if we consider an additional loop, marked as loop 3a in figure 6, circling along loop 2 and 1 in sequence [18, 22], the loop 3a’s topological charge $N_{3a}$ is calculated by the composition of $N_1$ and $N_2$, i.e., $N_{3a} = N_1N_2$. We also suppose that loop 3b scans around loop 1 first then circles along loop 2, as shown in figure 6. Its topological charge is expressed as $N_{3b} = N_2N_1$. If $N_{3a}$ and $N_{3b}$ satisfy $N_{3a} = -N_{3b}$, this leads to $N_1N_2 = -N_2N_1$. This relation can be called
Figure 5. Topological charge \( j \) by the closed loop encircling both orange and cyan nodal lines. (a)–(d) Correspond to \( \mathbf{k}_1 = j \), \( \mathbf{i k} = -j \), \( (-i)(-\mathbf{k}) = -j \), and \( (-i)(-\mathbf{k}) = j \), respectively. The lines that connect \( \mathbf{k}_r \) and \( \mathbf{k}_0 \) are not shown in all the panels, although they were considered.

Figure 6. Closed loops composition about \( N_{3a} = N_1N_2 \) and \( N_{3b} = N_2N_1 \).

‘non-abelian’. To write this relation, the signs of each charge should be first determined by such kind of sign convention. If there is not any sign convention, and if the topological charge of loop 3b can be either plus or minus, it means that we can assume the sign-flipping of \( N_{3b} \) while fixing the other charges’ signs. Then, the above relation \( N_{3b} = -N_{3b} \) becomes \( N_{3a} = N_{3b} \) or \( N_1N_2 = N_2N_1 \). Thus, such a situation could be incorrectly interpreted as ‘abelian’. This behavior is, however, simply a result coming from the gauge freedom of the eigenstates, and there is no inconsistency in the non-abelian nature of the non-abelian band topology.

5. Remaining issues when using the reference point method in phononic and photonic topological semimetals

5.1. \( \mathbf{k}_r - \mathbf{k}_0 \) path and degeneracies

Although figure 3 illustrates the paths between \( \mathbf{k}_r \) and \( \mathbf{k}_0 \) as a line, they do not have to be a straight line. Instead of the straight line (see figure 7(a)), the path sometimes should make a detour around degeneracies (see figure 7(b)) which can be zero-, one-, two-, or three-dimensional. Let us suppose we investigate the topological nature of three bands indexed as \( n, n + 1 \), and \( n + 2 \). Then, the paths should avoid the degeneracies that at least one of the three bands is concerned with, e.g., the degeneracies by the bands \( n - 1 \) and \( n \) or the degeneracies by the bands \( n + 2 \) and \( n + 3 \). This is because the degeneracies destroy the
Figure 7. Consideration for using the reference point. (a) and (b) Two examples of $k_r - k_0$ paths going through or avoiding the degeneracies, respectively. Red lines mean degeneracies. (c) Nodal link and another set of degeneracies by the double diamond structure [22]. The nodal link is formed by the third, fourth, and fifth bands ($n = 1, 2, 3$, respectively), and the pink-colored degeneracies are formed by the second and third bands ($n = 0$ and $1$, respectively). Two paths are also marked. Path 1 intersects the pink degeneracies near $k_0$ while path 2 does not. (d) and (e) $\langle \psi_{n \mathbf{k} - \Delta \mathbf{k}} | \psi_{n \mathbf{k}} \rangle$ along paths 1 and 2, respectively.

The eigenstates information at $k_r$ in Figure 7(c), path 1 goes through the pink points (near $k_0$) while path 2 avoids them. The pink points are degeneracies between the second and third bands ($n = 0$ and $1$, respectively). $\langle \psi_{k_r - \Delta k}^{n} | \psi_{k_r}^{n} \rangle$ at each point on path 1 are calculated, and only the value with $n = 1$ shows the sharp change around the degeneracies (see Figure 7(d)). In contrast, their behaviors on path 2 do not exhibit such a sharp change (see Figure 7(e)). In other words, the eigenstates information at $k_r$ cannot be delivered through the degeneracies because the degeneracies may act as the sink or source of certain topological states, like Weyl points [33–42, 51, 52].

5.2. Gauge freedom at the reference point

Although we have examined the topological charges of our topological semimetals using the reference point method, the exact sign on each topological charge is not fully determined due to the sign freedom of the eigenstates at $k_r$. If the eigenstates’ signs at $k_r$ are flipped, some quaternion charges’ signs may be flipped while the other quaternion charges’ signs do not change. For example, regarding Figures 4 and 5, if we flip the signs of $u_{k_r}^{1}$ and $u_{k_r}^{2}$ at $k_r$, the result in Figure 4(e) may change from $i$ to $-i$ while the topological charge of Figure 4(c) is still $k_r$. In this case, the anticommutative relations between the charges are still valid as the signs in Figure 5 are also reversed.
6. Conclusions

In summary, we have shown that the sign of quaternion charges can be flipped due to the gauge freedom of eigenstates by using the phononic and photonic topological semimetals. We have also applied the reference point method, which is equivalent to the basis fixing, to our topological semimetals. Additionally, the consistency of non-abelian relationship between topological charges with this method has been discussed.

Based on the discussions in section 5.2, we make the following conclusions: (i) gauge fixing by a common reference point does not result in unique signs of the quaternion charges’ signs but makes the non-abelian relations satisfied by the quaternion charges, (ii) the signs of nodal lines can be flipped by choosing the eigenstates’ signs at a reference point \( k \). In other words, the sign relation between different nodal lines is more important than the absolute sign of quaternion charges corresponding to each loop.
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Appendix A. Derivation of 3 \( \times \) 3 Hamiltonian for an orthotropic elastic material

The constitutive equation for an orthotropic elastic material is expressed as \( \varepsilon = C \cdot s \), or

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
  \varepsilon_{11} \\
  \varepsilon_{22} \\
  \varepsilon_{33} \\
  2\varepsilon_{23} \\
  2\varepsilon_{31} \\
  2\varepsilon_{12}
\end{bmatrix} =
\begin{bmatrix}
  s_{11} \\
  s_{22} \\
  s_{33} \\
  s_{12} \\
  s_{23} \\
  s_{31}
\end{bmatrix},
\]

where \( \varepsilon = \{ \varepsilon_i \} \) and \( s = \{ s_j \} \) are the Cauchy strain and stress tensors, respectively (\( i, j = 1, 2, 3 \)). Each component of the Cauchy strain tensor is expressed as \( \varepsilon_{ij} = (1/2) \left( \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_i} \right) \) where \( u = [u_1, u_2, u_3] \) is the displacement vector. \( C \) is the compliance tensor:

\[
C =
\begin{bmatrix}
  \frac{1}{E_1} & \frac{-\nu_{12}}{E_2} & \frac{-\nu_{13}}{E_3} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
  \frac{\nu_{12}}{E_1} & \frac{1}{E_2} & \frac{-\nu_{23}}{E_3} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
  \frac{\nu_{13}}{E_1} & \frac{-\nu_{23}}{E_2} & \frac{1}{E_3} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
  0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{G_{12}} & 0 & 0 \\
  0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{G_{23}} & 0 \\
  0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{G_{31}}
\end{bmatrix},
\]

where \( E_i \) is the Young’s modulus along \( i \)-direction and \( G_{ij} = G_{ji} \) is the shear modulus along \( i \)-direction on the plane normal to \( j \)-direction. \( \nu_{ij} \) is the Poisson’s ratio, the negative ratio of a transverse strain along \( j \)-direction to a longitudinal strain along \( i \)-direction. Because we assume an orthotropic material, the relation \( \nu_{ij}/E_i = \nu_{ji}/E_j \) holds and the compliance tensor \( C \) is symmetric.

The wave equation for an elastic material is \( -\nabla \cdot s - F_v = \rho \ddot{u} \), where \( F_v \) is the body force. Here, we consider the body force as the restoring force expressed as \( F_v = -f \cdot u \), i.e., \( F_v = -[f_1 u_1, f_2 u_2, f_3 u_3] \). If the displacement vector is expressed as \( u(x, t) = u(x) \exp(-i\omega t) = u_k \exp(-ik \cdot x) \exp(-i\omega t) \), substituting this into the wave equation leads equation (1). In equation (1), \( M_\parallel \) and \( \lambda_\parallel \) are the components of the...
Table A1. Parameter-sets to realize the nodal links by orthotropic elastic material.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quantities</th>
<th>Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Young’s moduli (MPa)</td>
<td>( E_1 = 50, E_2 = 40, E_3 = 110 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shear moduli (MPa)</td>
<td>( G_{12} = 17.5, G_{23} = 26.7, G_{31} = 28.6 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poisson’s ratios (1)</td>
<td>( \nu_{21} = 0.25, \nu_{13} = 0.2, \nu_{32} = 0.4 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density (kg m(^{-3}))</td>
<td>( \rho = 1000 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restoring forces (N m(^{-3}))</td>
<td>( f_1 = 0, f_2 = 80, f_3 = 20 )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

stiffness matrix \( S = C^{-1} \) as follows:

\[
S = \begin{bmatrix}
M_{11} & \lambda_{21} & \lambda_{31} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\lambda_{12} & M_{22} & \lambda_{23} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\lambda_{31} & \lambda_{23} & M_{33} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & G_{23} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & G_{31} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & G_{12}
\end{bmatrix}.
\]  

(A.3)

This orthotropic elastic material forms a nodal link when the parameters in table A1 are used. In the given the momentum space with \(-\pi \leq k_1 \leq \pi, -\pi \leq k_2 \leq \pi, \text{ and } -\pi \leq k_3 \leq \pi \), we calculated the eigenfrequencies \( \omega^n \). We regarded that two bands \( n \) and \( n+1 \) at point \( k \) are degenerate if \[ |\omega^{n+1} - \omega^n| \sqrt{E_1/\rho} < 0.0268, \text{ where } a = 1 \text{ m is the constant for normalization.} \]

Sets of the degeneracies formed between the first and second bands and the second and third bands are depicted as orange and cyan nodal lines, respectively, in figure A1(a). Band structures along \( k_1 \) and \( k_3 \) directions are also given in figures A1(b) and (c), respectively.
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