POLQ suppresses genome instability and DNA repeat expansions

Kate Liddiard¹, Alys N. Aston-Evans², Kez Cleal¹, Eric A. Hendrickson³† and Duncan M. Baird.¹†

¹Division of Cancer and Genetics, School of Medicine, Cardiff University, Heath Park, Cardiff, CF14 4XN, UK.
²Dementia Research Institute, School of Medicine, Cardiff University, Hadyn Ellis Building, Maindy Road, Cardiff, CF24 4HQ, UK.
³Department of Biochemistry, Molecular Biology, and Biophysics, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN 55455, USA.
† Co-senior authors

Corresponding author: Dr Kate Liddiard, Division of Cancer and Genetics, School of Medicine, Cardiff University, Heath Park, Cardiff, CF14 4XN, UK.
liddiardk@cardiff.ac.uk

Keywords: POLQ, TMEJ, NHEJ, MMBIR, telomere crisis, satellite repeats
Abstract:

DNA polymerase theta (POLQ) is a principal component of the alternative non-homologous end-joining (ANHEJ) DNA repair pathway that ligates double-strand DNA breaks (DSB). Utilising independent models of POLQ insufficiency during telomere-driven crisis, we found POLQ<sup>−/−</sup> cells are resistant to crisis-induced growth deceleration despite sustaining inter-chromosomal telomere fusion frequencies equivalent to wild-type (WT) cells. We recorded longer telomeres in POLQ<sup>−/−</sup> than WT cells pre- and post-crisis cells, notwithstanding elevated total telomere erosion and fusion rates. POLQ<sup>−/−</sup> cells emerging from crisis exhibited reduced incidence of clonal gross chromosomal abnormalities in accordance with increased genetic heterogeneity. High-throughput sequencing of telomere fusion amplicons from POLQ-deficient cells revealed significantly raised frequencies of inter-chromosomal fusions with correspondingly depreciated intra-chromosomal recombinations. Long-range interactions culminating in telomere fusions with centromere alpha-satellite repeats, as well as expansions in HSAT2 and HSAT3 satellite and ribosomal (rDNA) DNA repeats were detected in POLQ<sup>−/−</sup> but not WT cells. In conjunction with the expanded telomere lengths of POLQ<sup>−/−</sup> cells, these results indicate a hitherto unrealised capacity of POLQ for regulation of repeat arrays within the genome. Our findings uncover novel considerations for the efficacy of POLQ inhibitors in clinical cancer interventions, where potential genome destabilising consequences could drive clonal evolution and resistant disease.
**Introduction:**

DNA polymerase theta (POLQ) is a large (290 kDa in humans) atypical A-family polymerase integral to mammalian error-prone DNA repair (1,2). POLQ uniquely exhibits ATP-dependent helicase activity, whilst lacking the 3′→5′ exonuclease proofreading capacity that restrains trans-lesion synthesis (TLS) (3-5). In combination with lesion bypass, POLQ-mediated DNA repair (theta-mediated end-joining; TMEJ (6)) produces mutations as a consequence of microhomology-dependent annealing with irrecoverable exclusion of terminal DNA sequences (7-9). Additionally, POLQ synthesises bridging sequences through template-independent extension of single strand DNA (ssDNA), generating novel insertions at DNA double-strand breaks (DSB) that interrupt genomic constitution (10-12). As such, TMEJ constitutes a facet of alternative non-homologous end-joining (ANHEJ) identified by mutational signature as well as POLQ-dependence (7,13,14). POLQ has been implicated in diverse DNA-modulating activities, including reverse transcription (15), base-excision repair (BER) (16), mismatch repair (MMR) (17) and responses to replication stress (17), demonstrating the prevailing influence of this multifunctional protein (18,19). Pertinently, reciprocal interactions between POLQ, RAD51 and RPA determine the balance of ANHEJ and homologous recombination (HR) (12,20). POLQ conjointly restricts RAD51 recruitment to (20) and facilitates RPA removal (21) from resected DSB, stimulating ANHEJ at the expense of high-fidelity HR repair. By corollary, TMEJ captures the products of unproductive HR, providing a viable post-replicative DNA repair alternative when HR is inadequate. Additional inter-pathway regulation is accorded by RAD52 that constrains the polymerase function of POLQ to mitosis to suppress aberrant chromosome fusions (22). Consequently, POLQ is synthetic lethal with HR components (23,24) and tumours compromised in HR repair are especially vulnerable to POLQ inhibition (20,25). Conversely, over-expression of POLQ may confer resistance to replication stress, contributing to poor patient prognosis in cancer (26,27). The advent of novel pharmaceutical POLQ inhibitors combined with a burgeoning appreciation of the interactivity of DNA repair pathways imparts renewed impetus to the management of residual and resistant disease in cancer (28,29).

NHEJ-mediated fusions between chromosome termini characterise the state of heightened genome instability termed ‘crisis’ instigated by evasion of cell cycle checkpoints following telomere damage or deprotection (30,31). Crisis can be modelled in cancer cell lines through repression of telomerase activity (32), resulting in telomere attrition as a function of cell division (33). Telomeres shortened beyond a critical threshold (34) in cells divested of effective cell cycle regulation provide insufficient defence against terminal recombinations when exposed chromosome ends substrate aberrant DNA repair (35). Telomere fusions promote the propagation of genome
instability during crisis through deranged mitotic partition of dicentric chromosomes, resulting in genome rearrangements and copy number variations (CNV) (36-41). Immortality is achieved through the renewal of telomere length stabilisation mechanisms, including the revival of telomerase activity or homology-based telomere elongation, expedited by this state of genomic fluidity (42,43). Persistent evolution of the cancer genome is a product of processive mutagenic divergence punctuated by pervasive chromosome recombinations that may have their origins in telomere dysfunction (44-50).

We (48,51-54) and others (50,55-58) have identified DNA repair (DNAR) components and mechanisms involved in catalysing telomere fusions and dispersing genomic instability during tumorigenesis and advancing development. Classical DNA ligase 4-dependent (CNHEJ) and ANHEJ direct telomere fusions with distinct spectra (52,59), however successful escape from telomere-driven crisis is contingent on DNA ligase 3-mediated ANHEJ that supports sufficient appropriate chromosomal rearrangements to arrest telomere shortening without compromising cell viability and proliferative capacity (51,60,61). As the fundamental constituent of the definitive ANHEJ pathway that is TMEJ, POLQ involvement in the fusion of dysfunctional telomeres has been previously documented (12). However, POLQ is not constitutively highly expressed in non-cancerous cells (62) and, as a single mutation, produces only moderate phenotypes in model systems (6,63). Furthermore, the potential for fractional redundancy amongst replicative and translesion polymerases obscures current realisation of essential or exclusive functions of POLQ during crisis.

Resolving the rare individual telomere fusions that arise during malignant transformation poses significant technical difficulties (Dewhurst, S.M., et al. bioRxiv, 2020.2009.2029.318436), hence existing research is dominated by proxy identifications based on genomic structural and copy number variations symptomatic of fusion events (49,64,65). In this study, we address these compelling scientific and experimental challenges using our bespoke telomere fusion sequencing capacity (52,66) in association with whole genome sequencing in two independent wild-type (WT) and POLQ-deficient human cancer cell lines transiting telomere-driven crisis. With this compound approach, we distinguished POLQ-dependent and -independent rearrangements propelling clonal diversification and crisis escape. Notably, whilst POLQ deficiency altered the balance and frequencies of intra- and inter-chromosomal telomere fusions, cell viability and the ultimate reactivation of telomere maintenance mechanisms were not compromised. Our data also uncover an unexpected impact of POLQ on repetitive DNA expansions including telomeres, satellites and ribosomal DNA (rDNA) tracks. These findings represent novel and timely contributions to the consideration of POLQ inhibitors as cancer therapeutics (25,28,29).
Materials and Methods

**POLQ** human cell lines

Three independent human cell lines in which **POLQ** had been disrupted using CRISPR/Cas9 editing were employed in this study, alongside unmutated wild-type (WT) counterparts.

HCT116 WT and **POLQ** cells were generated by Professor Eric Hendrickson, University of Minnesota, and were cultured in McCoy's 5A medium supplemented with 10% (v/v) foetal calf serum, 1 x 10^5 IU/l penicillin, 100 mg/l streptomycin and 2 mM glutamine.

HAP1 WT and POLQ-deficient cells were generously donated by Professor Geoffrey Higgins, Oxford University, and were cultured in IMDM medium supplemented with 10% (v/v) foetal calf serum, 1 x 10^5 IU/l penicillin, 100 mg/l streptomycin and 2 mM glutamine. These cells were determined to be diploid post-crisis, so are referred to as **POLQ** throughout.

RPE1-hTERT (**hTERT**-immortalized) WT and **POLQ** cells were valuably contributed by Professor Agnel Sfeir, Sloan Kettering Institute, and were cultured in DMEM medium supplemented with 10% (v/v) foetal calf serum, 1 x 10^5 IU/l penicillin, 100 mg/l streptomycin and 2 mM glutamine.

Targeted genetic disruption of **POLQ** was confirmed by Sanger sequencing of all lines in the absence of commercially available antibodies that can reliably detect this low abundance nuclear antigen.

Antibiotic selection agent (1 μg/ml puromycin) was also added for long-term culture of cells retrovirally transduced with a dominant negative **hTERT** expression cassette (**DN-hTERT**) to suppress telomerase-mediated telomere lengthening (32) or puromycin-resistance cassette alone (controls).

Single cell suspensions were stained with 30 μg/ml acridine orange and 100 μg/ml DAPI (4',6-Diamidine-2'-phenylindole dihydrochloride) to count viable cells using the Chemometec NC-3000 image cytometer.

**Retroviral transduction of WT and **POLQ** cell lines**

Retroviral vectors carrying a puromycin-resistance cassette with or without a dominant negative **hTERT** expression cassette (**DN-hTERT**) were packaged in ψ-CRIP cells (gifted by Richard Mulligan, Whitehead Institute). Retroviral transduction of WT and **POLQ** cells was achieved in the presence of 8μg/ml polybrene (Merck) and selection with 1μg/ml puromycin after 24 hr. Single cell clones were picked using cloning rings and population growth was monitored with regular DNA sampling for 150 days for HCT116 and 250 days for HAP1 WT and **POLQ** clones.
Drug treatments

Where indicated, WT and POLQ−/− parental cell lines were treated with 5 μM cisplatin (cis-diamminedichloroplatinum II; Merck Life Science) or 0.9% NaCl carrier control for 24 hr.

In the experiments indicated, transfected cells were treated with 100 μM novobiocin (25) (NVB; Selleckchem) or water carrier control for 48 hr, with dose replenished after 24 hr.

TALEN transfections

Subtelomere double-strand DNA breaks (DSB) were induced at specific chromosome ends using bespoke TALEN pairs. TALEN pairs targeting the 17p and 16p/21q families of telomeres have been described previously (52,53). Novel TALEN pairs targeting the human chromosome Xp subtelomere at ChrX:10571 (GrCh38 reference) were designed for this study and synthesised by LabOomics.

Endonuclease-free plasmid DNA preparations were generated for each TALEN and sequence-verified ahead of transfection.

Telomere fusion assays

Telomere fusions were amplified from HCT116, HAP1 and RPE1-hTERT WT and POLQ−/− cell gDNA or fibroblast samples by multiplex long-range PCR using combinations of the subtelomere-specific primers listed in Supplementary Table 8 (30,38) and detailed in the appropriate figure legends. Replicate reactions were resolved by 0.5% TAE agarose gel electrophoresis for visualization on Southern blots using radiolabelled telomere-adjacent probes specific for each telomere end. Fusion frequency was calculated from the mean number of non-constitutive fusion amplicons revealed by Southern blotting relative to DNA input and expressed per diploid genome.

Fusion amplicon sequencing

High throughput high resolution sequencing of fusion amplicons derived from crisis fibroblasts is described in our former study (66). De novo sequencing of telomere fusions amplified from crisis-transiting HCT116 and HAP1 WT and POLQ−/− clones was conducted using 100 individual fusion PCR reactions per sample that were subsequently pooled for purification using Agencourt AMPure XP magnetic beads. HCT116 fusion amplicons were sequenced by BGI Genomics Company (150bp paired-end BGI DNBseq™) and HAP1 fusion amplicons were sequenced at the Wales Gene Park (150bp paired end Illumina HiSeq 2500).

Whole genome sequencing
Genomic DNA phenol chloroform-extracted from pre- and post-crisis WT and POLQ\textsuperscript{+/−} clones and parental cell lines was evaluated by agarose gel electrophoresis and spectrophotometry to assess integrity and purity. Between 1 and 5 μg pure DNA for each sample underwent whole genome sequencing (WGS) at the BGI Genomics Company (15X coverage, 150bp paired-end).

**Data deposition**

All novel sequencing data relevant to this study have been deposited under BioProject PRJNA813416 at the SRA metadata portal.
**Results:**

**POLQ-deficient cells display extended telomere lengths and rapid transit through telomere-driven crisis**

As a prominent mediator of the alternative non-homologous end-joining DNA repair responsible for a contingent of telomere fusions that occurs during crisis, we hypothesised the abrogation of POLQ would impact significantly on clonal evolution. To investigate the capacity of POLQ-deficient cells to escape a telomere-driven crisis, we employed retroviral transduction to suppress telomerase function in two independent human cancer cell lines, HCT116 and HAP1, which had undergone CRISPR-Cas9-mediated mutation of the *POLQ* gene (Supplementary Figure 1Ai). Auxiliary experiments were conducted using an *hTERT*-immortalized retinal pigment epithelial cell line, RPE1-hTERT, bearing the same mutation in *POLQ* as the HCT116 line. *POLQ* mutation had minimal impact on parental cell phenotype, likely on account of the low levels of expression measured in proliferating cells (Supplementary Figure 1Aii). In addition, POLQ insufficiency did not alter cellular responses to the DNA cross-linking chemotherapeutic, cisplatin (Supplementary Figure 1Aiii). Cisplatin-treated HCT116, HAP1 and RPE1-hTERT cells all demonstrated growth deceleration concomitant with *CDKN1A* upregulation (Supplementary Figure 1Aiv) that was independent of *POLQ* status. Single telomere length analyses (STELA) in these parental lines revealed a conspicuous extension of telomere length at the unique chromosome ends of 17p (for HCT116 and RPE1-hTERT lines; HAP1 17p telomeres could not be amplified) and XpYp (for HAP1 and RPE1-hTERT lines; HCT116 possess a truncated XpYp subtelomere) in *POLQ*−/− cells compared with WT (Supplementary Figure 1Bi). Mean telomere lengths in POLQ-deficient cells approximated 1.3-2-fold longer than their WT counterparts prior to the establishment of the telomere crisis models. To underscore the peculiarity of this prolongation, we compared the HCT116 *POLQ*−/− cells with a complementary array of HCT116 cell lines bearing mutations targeting related DNA Repair (DNAR) pathways (Supplementary Figure 1Bii). For all models other than the *POLQ*−/−, we measured a significant reduction in mean 17p telomere length for the DNAR mutant compared with the corresponding WT line (Supplementary Figure 1Biii), marking POLQ as the sole component that may be linked to telomere length regulation. Since HAP1 *POLQ*−/− telomere lengths may have exceeded the threshold for accurate assessment using STELA (Supplementary Figure 1Bi), we applied the orthogonal technique of Telomere Restriction Fragment (TRF) analysis to confirm > 1.5-fold expanded gross telomere lengths in all *POLQ*−/− lines beyond those of WT cells (Supplementary Figure 1Biv).

Following retroviral transduction with a dominant-negative *hTERT* expression cassette (*DN-hTERT*) and single cell cloning, the absence of POLQ was not detrimental to population growth (Figure 1A) during the phases of
experimentally induced telomere erosion and telomere-driven crisis. All single cell clones except two HAP1 WT clones persisted through crisis, ultimately escaping through the reactivation of telomerase (Supplementary Figure 1Ci, Cii) in order to maintain telomere lengths and evade replicative senescence. Thus, in contrast to related constituents of end-joining DNA repair (51), POLQ is not essential for escape from a telomere-driven crisis or detrimental effects are tempered by the longer telomere lengths engendered by its absence (Supplementary Figure 1B). Although POLQ expression has long been recognised to promote random integration events (67-69), we did not uncover any inequalities in DN-hTERT genomic assimilation amongst WT and POLQ clones (Supplementary Figure 1Cii, Civ) preceding the initiation of telomere-driven crisis. WT HCT116 and HAP1 clones expressing DN-hTERT displayed characteristic growth retardation during telomere-driven crisis (Figure 1A). In contrast, the proliferation of POLQ−/− clones closely paralleled the control clones transduced with puromycin-resistance cassette alone, transiting crisis more rapidly than the WT clones. The crisis profiles of individual HCT116 and HAP1 WT and POLQ−/− clones are depicted in Supplementary Figures 1Di-iv for evaluation of telomere erosion and subsequent stabilisation with escape from crisis. Calculating the deviation of growth trajectory for each singular clone from the controls exposed the patent incongruity between WT and POLQ−/− clones (Figure 1A; right panels), demonstrating that the absence of POLQ significantly reduced the impact of telomere erosion on population doubling rates. Overall, the POLQ−/− clones underwent significantly more telomere erosion (2.13-fold greater in HCT116 POLQ−/− and 1.57-fold greater in HAP1 POLQ−/−) than WT clones prior to telomere length stabilisation Supplementary Figure 1E). The POLQ−/− clones entered crisis with longer mean telomere lengths and sustained this differential at crisis nadir (Figure 1B). Collectively, these results indicate POLQ function is integral to growth deceleration during the exacerbated genomic instability that accompanies telomere crisis, allowing POLQ−/− clones to transit crisis more rapidly and with longer telomeres than their WT counterparts.

**POLQ promotes, but is not essential for sister-chromatid intra-chromosomal fusions in cancer cell lines**

Telomere attrition was accompanied by the emergence of telomere fusions that could be amplified using single or combinations of subtelomere-specific primers to distinguish intra-chromosomal (sister chromatid) from inter-chromosomal (compound) recombinations during crisis in both WT and POLQ−/− clones. Maximal (highest incidence recorded at any given PD time point) fusion frequencies were not statistically significantly different in POLQ−/− than WT HCT116 and HAP1 cells (Figure 1Ci). Exacerbated fusion frequencies overall in HCT116 (Supplementary Figure 1F) correlate with the shorter mean telomere lengths of HCT116 clones compared with HAP1 clones (Figure 1B).
Curiously, we discovered a significant deficit of intra-chromosomal fusions amplified from the HCT116 POLQ−/− clones using the 17p subtelomere primer alone (Figure 1Cii).

To investigate this notable dearth of intra-chromosomal fusions in POLQ−/− clones, we employed our previously established targeted nuclease-induced fusion assays (52,53) to capture different classes of telomere fusions within HCT116, HAP1 and RPE1-hTERT WT and POLQ−/− parental cell lines (Figure 2 and Supplementary Figure 2). Transient transfection of HCT116 and HAP1 cells with a bespoke nuclease (Transcription Activator-like Effector Nuclease; TALEN) designed to elicit double-strand DNA breaks (DSB) at a subtelomeric locus common to members of the 16p/21q families of homologous chromosome ends (38) resulted in robust induction of inter-chromosomal (dual chromosome) 16p and 21q telomere fusions synonymous to WT and POLQ−/− cells (Supplementary Figure 2Ai).

Cleavage of the unique 17p subtelomere by similar means was possible only for the HCT116 cell line, owing to the lack of a corresponding target site in HAP1 and RPE1-hTERT cells. In accordance with the HCT116 clones enduring telomere-driven crisis, 17p single chromosome (intra-chromosomal) fusions were abundant in TALEN-transfected WT cells (mean rate of 2.5x10^-4/diploid genome, but rare (7.6-fold lower frequency, P = 0.0095) in POLQ−/− cells (Figure 2Ai). Transfection efficiencies using two alternative methodologies (Supplementary Figures 2Aii and 2Aiii) were comparable for WT and POLQ−/− HCT116 and RPE1-hTERT cells, precluding this as an explanation for the divergent 17p fusion yields.

To ensure our discovery of suppressed intra-chromosomal fusions in POLQ−/− cells was not specific to the 17p telomere or to HCT116 cells, we designed a novel TALEN to actuate DSB exclusively within the XpYp subtelomere (Xp TALEN; Supplementary Figure 2Bi), permitting the original detection of Xp-Xp intra-chromosomal fusions in HAP1 and RPE1-hTERT cells that lack Y chromosomes (Figure 2Aii, Supplementary Figure 2Bii). Significantly, TALEN-induced Xp intra-chromosomal fusion frequencies were 9-fold (P = 0.0009) lower in HAP1 POLQ−/− than WT cells and co-transfection with an exogenous POLQ expression vector increased the incidence of these fusions moderately (but not statistically significantly) in all cells (Figure 2Aiii). In essence, these data support a key role for POLQ in facilitating fusion between dysfunctional (eroded or TALEN-targeted) telomeres of sister chromatids, but not between telomeres of distinct chromosomes.

At telomeres, replication stalled by G4 quadruplex and R-loop secondary DNA structures may depend on POLQ function to rescue collapsed forks (70) and evade large-scale deletions (6), hence loss of POLQ may conversely produce symmetric and extensively-deleted sister chromatid fusions. Palindromic DNA sequences present an
especial challenge to DNA polymerases and genome stability (71,72), so we modified our telomere fusion assays to improve the capture efficiency of these molecules (Figure 2B, Supplementary Figure 2Ci). To minimize the burden of symmetrical PCR amplification, we employed two unidirectional primers aligning to separate regions of the 17p subtelomere for the investigation of TALEN-induced intra-chromosomal fusions in HCT116 cells. Using this strategy, 17p single chromosome fusions were more readily detected in \( \text{POLQ}^{-/-} \) cells (with the caveat that this technique may also facilitate amplification of fusions between replicated chromosomes) and with greater potency (3-fold, \( P = 0.0012 \) and 3.7-fold, \( P = 0.0023 \) upregulation in WT and \( \text{POLQ}^{-/-} \) cells, respectively) in cells co-transfected with the \( \text{POLQ} \) expression vector.

To probe further the contributions of POLQ to TALEN-induced intra-chromosomal fusions, we treated transfected HCT116 cells with the antibiotic POLQ ATPase inhibitor, novobiocin (25) (NVB; Figure 2C, Supplementary Figures 2Cii-iv). At the 100\( \mu \)M dose found to selectively inhibit POLQ and repress end-joining repair by 50% (25), we determined no detrimental impact on transfection efficiency (Supplementary Figure 2Cii; not statistically significant), but a significant 1.5-fold reduction (\( P = 0.0313 \)) in the viability of WT, but not \( \text{POLQ}^{-/-} \) cells that were evidently less vulnerable to POLQ regulation (Supplementary Figure 2Ciii). In contrast, NVB treatment subdued TALEN-induced intra-chromosomal fusion frequencies only in samples with atypical POLQ expression and not in WT non-supplemented cells (Figure 2C, Supplementary Figure 2Civ). These results suggest POLQ expression and function may be insignificant in un-stressed proliferating cell lines, but the consequences of aberrant or absent expression are effectively modulated by NVB, directly, indirectly (25,73) or through non-specific activity.

**POLQ-deficient cells escaping crisis manifest increased genomic heterogeneity**

For improved resolution of POLQ-mediated DNA repair during telomere-driven crisis and escape, we performed whole genome sequencing of early and late crisis WT and \( \text{POLQ}^{-/-} \) HCT116 and HAP1 clones, as well as fusion amplicon sequencing of deep crisis samples (Supplementary Table 1). Copy number variation (CNV) profiles were generated by background subtraction of parental from early crisis and late from early crisis samples (48). Heatmaps illustrate the relative copy number changes across each cohort of HCT116 (Supplementary Figure 3A) and HAP1 (Supplementary Figure 3B) of clones and CNV unique segments (Supplementary Table 2) are plotted as a karyotype map (Supplementary Figure 3Ci). Copy number (CN) gains at chromosomes 1q and Xp in the WT HAP1 parental line were not sustained in the crisis clones, resulting in losses reported at these locations for all HAP1 WT Early crisis samples (Supplementary Figure 3B). The totality of CNV for WT clones exceeded that of the \( \text{POLQ}^{-/-} \) clones of each
cell line and was exacerbated by passage through crisis for most samples (Supplementary Table 2 and Supplementary 3Cii). CN gains predominated in all lineages (Supplementary Figure 3Ciii) and were more abundant in WT than \( \text{POLQ}^- \) clones (Supplementary Figure 3Civ).

Unique crisis-induced deletions, inversions, duplications, translocations and insertions were next compared amongst WT and \( \text{POLQ}^- \) HCT116 (Supplementary Figure 4Ai) and HAP1 (Supplementary Figure 4Aii) clones. The overall frequencies of structural variants were greater for HAP1 than HCT116 cells (Supplementary Table 3), but notably elevated amongst the WT samples of both lineages (Supplementary Figure 4Aiii). In late crisis samples the mean total incidence of unique SV was 11-fold \((P = 0.00286)\) and 6.2-fold \((P = 0.0171)\) higher in WT than \( \text{POLQ}^- \) HCT116 and HAP1 samples, respectively (Supplementary Figure 4Aiv). There was no common paradigm for the types of SV identified in WT compared with \( \text{POLQ}^- \) clones (Supplementary Figure 4Av), although HAP1 \( \text{POLQ}^- \) clones exhibited significantly fewer deletions and more numerous duplications than their WT counterparts. Superimposition of SV breakpoints with CNV distributions (Supplementary Figure 4B) reveals convergent events that may be symptomatic of the devastating genomic disruption that precedes stabilisation of the cancer karyotype (46). To assess the contribution of telomere fusions to these susceptibility signatures, we measured intersections between unique SV and sequenced genomic fusion junctions over increasing distance intervals. In both HCT116 and HAP1 WT clones, approximately 20% all validated genomic telomere fusion junctions were located within 10 Mb of a unique SV, whereas far fewer fusions derived from HCT116 \( \text{POLQ}^- \) clones compared with HAP1 \( \text{POLQ}^- \) clones (7% compared with 17%) displayed analogous coincidence with unique SV. Mapping these foci of crisis-induced DNA damage (Supplementary Table 4) uncovers genomic loci that may facilitate clonal escape, with particular spotlight on lesions prevailing across multiple samples.

CNV calls can be diluted by polyclonality, whereby genomic heterogeneity obscures recombinations that occur in individual clones. We performed clonality estimates based on single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) unique variant allele frequencies (VAF) to evaluate the extent of polyclonality in all HCT116 (Supplementary Figure 5A) and HAP1 (Supplementary Figure 5B) WT and \( \text{POLQ}^- \) clones. Clones for which the median VAF displayed a considerable shift below 0.5 (consistent with an allele-specific variant within a monoclonal population) were considered to be polyclonal following transit through crisis. For both HCT116 and HAP1 cells, polyclonality was prevalent amongst the \( \text{POLQ}^- \) and not the WT clones (Supplementary Figure 5C). Collectively, 100% HCT116 and 75% HAP1 \( \text{POLQ}^- \) clones were considered polyclonal post-crisis in stark contrast to the WT clones of each line, of which only 25% were
categorised as polyclonal. This potential subclonal diversity is consistent with both the augmented telomere fusion rates and the rapid crisis transit of $POLQ^{-/-}$ clones that could have facilitated the emergence of multiple derivatives each bearing independent rearrangements promoting successful stabilisation of telomere length. The co-existence of assorted subclones may account for the reduced CNV and SV observed for the $POLQ^{-/-}$ clones (Supplementary Figures 3 and 4), whereas idiosyncratic genomic rearrangements in WT clones largely converged on the emergence of single cell lines with homogenous CNV exceeding threshold detection. Thus, $POLQ^{-/-}$ populations may be characterised by genetic heterogeneity arising from divergent evolution during telomere-driven crisis.

**$POLQ^{-/-}$ clones demonstrate altered telomere fusion profiles**

High-throughput sequencing of telomere fusion amplicons generated from WT and $POLQ^{-/-}$ deep crisis samples enabled large-scale discrimination of distinct classes of telomere fusion (Figure 3 and Supplementary Figure 6). In accordance with our former observations (Figure 1Ci), fusion calls were 1.94-fold ($P = 0.0328$) higher in $POLQ^{-/-}$ than WT clones (Supplementary Figure 6Ai). Intra-chromosomal fusions were defined as those between chromatids of a single chromosome (17p or the 16p or 21q telomere families), caveated by the cognition that homology between 16p and 21q telomere family members precludes definitive discrimination of true sister chromatid fusions. Inter-chromosomal fusions were construed as those comprised of distinct chromosome ends and genomic fusions as recombinations between telomeres and distant loci throughout the genome. In agreement with our primary observations in crisis (Figure 1Cii) and nuclease-treated cells (Figure 2), we discovered a significant 2-fold reduction in the proportion of fusions that were intra-chromosomal for the $POLQ^{-/-}$ compared with the WT clones (Figure 3A, Supplementary Figure 6Aii). Residual intra-chromosomal fusions sequenced from $POLQ^{-/-}$ clones may represent confounding inter-chromosomal events, however 17p (unique telomere) as well as 21q single chromosome fusions were detected in HCT116 $POLQ^{-/-}$ samples, suggesting these may represent genuine POLQ-independent intra-chromosomal events. A reversed skew was determined for inter-chromosomal fusion proportions that approximated 5-fold lower in the WT than the $POLQ^{-/-}$ clones.

We next examined all authenticated fusion junctions for evidence of microhomology (MH) usage and insertion (INS) of extraneous sequence (Figures 3B-D, Supplementary Figure 6A). Whilst MH usage dominated at intra-chromosomal fusions (Figure 3B), this was only minimally (but significantly) affected by the absence of POLQ. MH usage was also 1.2-fold ($P = 0.0148$) reduced at genomic junctions in $POLQ^{-/-}$ clones, with a concomitant 1.6-fold ($P = 0.0095$) rise in the proportions of blunt fusions lacking MH or INS. Inter-chromosomal telomere fusions presented inverted
proportions in comparison, with MH usage increasing 1.5-fold \((P = 0.021)\), accompanied by a more than 2-fold \((P = 0.0193)\) contraction of blunt fusion fractions in \(POLQ^{-/-}\) clones. The proportions of fusion junctions bearing INS were relatively stable for all fusion categories. MH and INS lengths (Figures 3Ci, 3Cii, 3Ciii) were customarily curtailed by the loss of POLQ, except at intra-chromosomal junctions, where the mean magnitude of MH rose by 0.5bp (Figure 3Ciii) in the \(POLQ^{-/-}\) clones. These expositions suggest a transformation in DSB processing in the absence of POLQ, with shorter stretches of MH or inserted DNA required to stabilise junctions. INS templated by local sequence content predominated over random INS at all junctions (Figure 3Di-iii) but were notably (1.7-fold, \(P = 0.0433\)) depleted at genomic fusions amplified from \(POLQ^{-/-}\) clones despite the considerable mean INS length being preserved (Figure 3Ci). A degree of decoupling of fusion processing from local sequence context can therefore be inferred for the \(POLQ^{-/-}\) clones.

We ascertained variations in the proportions of intra-chromosomal fusions contributed by the distinct chromosome ends amongst WT and \(POLQ^{-/-}\) clones (Supplementary Figure 6B). Although impracticable to resolve, the altered balance between 17p and 21q events in HCT116 (Supplementary Figure 6Bi) and between 21q and 16p events in HAP1 (Supplementary Figure 6Bii) could indicate a substitution of some authentic intra-chromosomal 17p (HCT116) and 21q (HAP1) fusions for more ambiguous inter-chromosomal 21q (HCT116) and 16p (HAP1) events in the \(POLQ^{-/-}\) clones. We next appraised the deletion of individual chromatids participating in 17p-17p (HCT116; Figure 3Ei) or 21q-21q (HCT116 and HAP1; Supplementary Figure 6C) telomere fusions and calculated the difference in deletion at fused pairs revealed through sequencing as a measure of the intra-molecular symmetry (Figure 3Eii). In comparison with WT, as well as our previously acquired (66) datasets pertaining to crisis fibroblasts derived from patients with DNA ligase 1 (\(LIG1\)) or ligase 4 (\(LIG4\)) mutations and controls, \(POLQ^{-/-}\) HCT116 clones evinced significantly greater deletion of 17p chromatid constituents of intra-chromosomal fusions (Figure 3Ei). Correspondent analyses at 21q (Supplementary Figure 6C) were confounded by the uncertainty inherent to intra- versus inter-chromosomal fusion discrimination, however, the mean differences in deletion at fused chromatids were lower for HCT116 \(POLQ^{-/-}\) clones at 17p and 21q (although not statistically significant) and HAP1 \(POLQ^{-/-}\) clones at 21q \((P = 0.002;\) Figure 3Eii). These results suggest a reduction in asymmetry of intra-chromosomal fusions in \(POLQ^{-/-}\) clones that can be explained, at least in part, by exaggerated deletion of chromatid pairs.

\(POLQ^{-/-}\) clones reveal diminished incidence of telomere fusions with genes and repetitive DNA
To examine potential consequences of divergent genomic fusion processes, we plotted each manually validated telomere-genomic fusion junction as a karyotype (Supplementary Figure 7A). Adjusting chromosome fusion frequencies to chromosome size facilitated the distinction of individual chromosomes bearing fusions surpassing those predicted from mean sample fusion rates (Figure 4A). From these charts, it was possible to discern elevated fusion frequencies for HCT116 (Figure 4Ai) and HAP1 (Figure 4Aii) WT clones at chromosome 8 that could not be attributed to chromosome size or mean gene density. This observation correlates with our former disclosure that chromosome 8 harbours a surfeit of genes differentially-expressed in crisis fibroblasts disproportionate to its size and gene density (66). There were no overlaps in chromosomes equivalently independently enriched in fusions from POLQ$^{-/-}$ clones and the prevalence of fusion junctions at chromosomes 11, 17, 19 and 22 was considered interconnected with gene density along these chromosomes.

Assessment of fusion junction coincidence with key determinants of DNA structure and accessibility (Figure 4B) was conducted in juxtaposition with crisis fibroblast and simulated fusion datasets (66) to uncover significant variation. Although a consistent and robust trend for reduced association of fusion junctions from POLQ$^{-/-}$ clones with repetitive DNA was evident, this did not reach statistical significance and the over-arching discovery was of the discrepancy between the simulated dataset and both cancer cell lines. Consequently, we proceeded to evaluate fusion coincidence with fragile sites and DNA repeats defined by Repeatmasker (Smit, A.F.A, Hubley, R. and Green, P. (2013-2015) RepeatMasker Open-4.0; http://www.repeatmasker.org) in comparison with mean genome density using BEDtools (74). These analyses indicated significant concurrence of WT but not POLQ$^{-/-}$ fusion junctions with DNA repeats (HCT116 WT; $P = 6.05 \times 10^{-3}$, HAP1 WT; $P = 0.0315$; data not shown). No such incongruity was resolved at fragile sites. Similarly, there was no coherent difference in the partition of fusion junctions within genes amongst exons and introns (Supplementary Figure 7B) and the association of fusions derived from POLQ$^{-/-}$ clones with genes of shorter mean lengths was not significant (Supplementary Figure 7Ci, 7Cii). Tangentially, we found an absence of intersection of genomic fusion junctions from all samples with 124 L1 LINE loci recently identified as drivers of oncogenic rearrangements (Supplementary Figure 7D) (75). Collectively, these observations suggest an especial contribution of POLQ to recombinations between dysfunctional telomeres and repetitive loci across the genome.

**Telomere fusions occur within accessible and unstable genomic loci**

Fused genes identified in HCT116 and HAP1 crisis clones were investigated using the Broad Institute Gene Set Enrichment Analysis Molecular Signatures Database (76) (MSigDB database v7.4; Supplementary Tables 5 and 6).
Genes fused in WT HCT116 and HAP1 clones were enriched in neurological and microRNA (miRNA) gene networks that may portray the crisis transcriptome (77-79) since fusion with longer genes (80,81) (Supplementary Figure 7C) and a particular vulnerability of chromosome 8 (82) was identified for these samples (Figure 4A). Concurring with our prior conjecture that damaged telomeres are prone to fusion with actively expressed genes during crisis (37,66), over-represented networks within \( \text{POLQ}^{-/-} \) genomic fusions included HMGB1 target genes, connecting the DNAR engaged during this period of genomic vulnerability (83) with propagation of instability. STRING (84) functional protein interaction analyses complemented the GSEA results (Supplementary Figure 8A, 8B). The extent of network interactions in the \( \text{POLQ}^{-/-} \) clones significantly exceeded predicted probability \( (P = 0.000186; \text{Supplementary Figure 8C, Supplementary Table 7}) \), affirming a relatedness amongst genes incorporated into telomere fusions during crisis that is likely underpinned by common regulation or expression, as well as localisation (85).

**\( \text{POLQ}^{-/-} \) clones exhibit a propensity for telomere interactions with centromeric alpha-satellite repeats**

In exploring the coincidence of genomic fusion junctions with DNA repeats, we perceived an unusual accretion of telomere fusions with alpha-satellite repeats (ALR) in \( \text{POLQ}^{-/-} \) compared with WT clones (\( \text{POLQ}^{-/-} \) clones 17/294 genomic fusions with repeats compared with 0/103 WT genomic fusions with repeats; Figure 5Ai, 5Aii). Telomere recombinations with ALR were rarely recorded in our crisis fibroblast and simulated datasets but were variably detected in DNAR mutant models (Supplementary Figure 9A) and significantly enriched \( (P = 0.0088) \) in \( \text{POLQ}^{-/-} \) clones (Figure 5A and Supplementary Figure 9A). Comparisons with alternative specialised DNA repeat features (other satellites, LINE, SINE, LTR, STR and DNA repeats) revealed statistically significant differences between simulants or crisis fibroblast controls and the cancer cell lines, but no additional differentials between WT and \( \text{POLQ}^{-/-} \) clones, including at telomere-associated repeats (TAR; Figure 5Aii). Genome-wide evaluation of this exceptional relationship with ALR confirmed statistical significance \( (P = 0.0139) \) for the concurrence of \( \text{POLQ}^{-/-} \) HCT116 (but not HCT116 WT or HAP1) fusion junctions with higher-order tandem repeats (HOR) of alpha-satellite units that comprise human centromeres. Hence, the absence of POLQ permits long-range telomere-centromere interactions akin to those implicated in cancer neochromosome formation (46,86).

If the mechanisms regulating telomere elongation in POLQ-deficient cells (Supplementary Figure 1) are pertinent also to centromeric repeats (87), then repetitive sequence could conceivably occupy a larger proportion of the genomic DNA content of \( \text{POLQ}^{-/-} \) than WT clones, raising the probability of DSB and fusions occurring within these tracts. We performed an assessment of satellite read depth as a proxy for genomic satellite repeat content in WT
and POLQ−/− parental lines in order to test our hypothesis of ALR expansion in the absence of POLQ. Parental HCT116 lines with compromised DNAR components were analysed in parallel for standardisation. Centromere sequences are insufficiently resolved and curated in the GRCh38 human genome assembly, so alignments were conducted using satellite regions (Gershman, A. et al. bioRxiv, 2021.2005.2026.443420) extracted from the Telomere-to-Telomere Consortium T2T reference v1.0 (Nurk, S. et al. bioRxiv, 2021.2005.2026.445798) as a subsidiary reference. Read depths pertaining to 13 distinct satellite features were normalised to the mean of each sample and are presented as Log2 ratios for POLQ−/− compared with WT HCT116 (Figure 5Bi) and HAP1 (Figure 5Bii) cells. Contrary to prediction, higher read depths for ALR monomers and HOR sequences were not consistently determined for POLQ−/− cells (Figures 5Ci and 5Cii). Moderate, but significant, increases in reads specifically aligning to Human Satellites (HSAT) 2, 3 and 4 that form large tandem repeat arrays adjacent to centromere regions (88) were, however, discovered for POLQ−/− cells (Figure 5Ciii and Supplementary Figure 9Bi). These arrays are heterogeneous in size and content amongst individuals (89), representing biomarkers of unequal crossover and sources of human variation (90). Despite the inflated read coverage for POLQ−/− samples, there was no remarkable coincidence of telomere fusions with HSAT sequences (88) transposed into the GRCh38 genome reference. Taken together, these results revoke the supposition that the amplification of satellite repeat units accounts for the elevated frequencies of telomere fusions with these sequences in the absence of POLQ.

We also detected divergence at HSAT2 and HSAT3 sequences amongst HCT116 DNAR-deficient lines (Supplementary Figure 9Bii), with cells compromised in both classical (LIG4-dependent) and alternative (LIG3-dependent) NHEJ repair (LIG3−/−:LIG4−/−) exhibiting significantly altered read depths compared with WT and LIG3−/− or LIG4−/− single mutants. The direction of change was opposite for the repeat classes, however, signifying disparate or bi-functional mechanisms may be in operation in cells with defective DNA repair. We additionally identified robust decrements in yields of reads aligning to rDNA repeat sequences in the POLQ−/− cells compared with WT and HCT116 DNA ligase-deficient models (Figure 5Civ and Supplementary Figure 9Biii), marking the impact of POLQ-deficiency as distinct from that of other components integral to end-joining repair. POLQ, therefore, appears to govern the stability of specific DNA repeat classes. Long tandem rDNA repeats undergo expansion and contraction mediated by gene conversion and unequal crossover (91) in response to replication stress and mutation (92,93). These data implicate POLQ in processes including replication-dependent recombination that contribute to genomic fluidity in homeostatic and cancerous states (87,94,95).
Discussion

Through single cell cloning of POLQ−/− HCT116 and HAP1 HR-proficient cells, we have been able to assemble coherent insights into the specific functional contributions of POLQ to DNA repair and recombination during episodes of prolonged cellular stress. Whilst not essential for clonal escape from a telomere-driven crisis, POLQ activity evidently shapes the developing cancer genome, stimulating distinctive molecular interactions with identifiable sequelae that may be of value in the diagnosis or targeted treatment of cancer patients.

POLQ−/− clones experience accelerated transit through telomere-driven crisis

POLQ−/− clones derived from both HCT116 and HAP1 cancer cell lines presented telomere erosion and fusion rates comparable with WT cells, yet population growth deceleration during crisis was minimal (Figure 1). This peculiarity of POLQ−/− cells likely results from the summation of multiple outcomes of pathways imbalanced by the absence of POLQ (85), including the stabilising impact of elongated telomeres (Figure 1B). POLQ expression impacts replication timing (96,97), with cells that over-express POLQ experiencing replication delays. The converse may be true for POLQ−/− clones undergoing a telomere-driven crisis, so that cell cycle progression and population doubling rates may be expedited, with reduced opportunity for corrective DNA repair that safeguards genomic integrity. As POLQ plays an important role in the rescue of intermediates of aborted HR that materialise late in the cell cycle (22), frustration of TMEJ may also deter cell cycle pausing pre-mitosis, so that chromosomal damage persists (98,99), is extruded into micronuclei (MN) (100) or triggers apoptosis without suspension of proliferation. In concert with the skewed distributions of inter- and intra-chromosomal telomere fusions (Figure 3A), POLQ-deficiency supports long-range DNA recombinations that precipitate genomic heterogeneity (100), manifest in the polyclonal post-crisis populations (Supplementary Figure 5). Chromosome segregation defects may result in ploidy increments in POLQ-deficient cells that contribute to subclonal diversification (101) through juxtaposition and amplification of extra-chromosomal DNA, including alpha-satellite repeats and co-regulated genes (102,103). Greater genetic variability in the absence of TMEJ (85) generates the potential for transcriptional and phenotypic diversity (Supplementary Figures 7 and 8) that promotes successful clonal evolution (97,104) and telomere length stabilisation. The intersection of telomere fusions with expressed genes, as well as structural and copy number aberrations (Figure 4 and Supplementary Figures 3 and 4) reveals the convergence of destabilising events that propagate genomic volatility and sponsor malignant transformation (46,105). Thus, POLQ-deficiency removes the possibility of HR salvage and facets of ANHEJ that might
ordinarily detain cell cycle progression, resulting in accelerated growth of genetically diverse populations accompanied by vigorous attrition of cells bearing lethal inter-chromosomal recombination.

**POLQ**/-/- clones exhibit altered distributions of telomere fusion classes

Diminished intra- contrasting with heightened inter-chromosomal telomere fusion frequencies were recorded for POLQ-deficient cells in both telomere-driven crisis (Figures 1 and 3) and endonuclease-mediated subtelomere targeting experiments (Figure 2). Over-expression of exogenous POLQ correspondingly enhanced yields of intra-chromosomal 17p and Xp telomere fusions (Figure 2), decidedly implicating POLQ in this specific post-replicative repair (52,53,106). We have previously established the discrete operation of CNHEJ and ANHEJ in the prosecution of inter- or intra-chromosomal telomere fusions, respectively (51,52). POLQ is an established protagonist in ANHEJ (12,20) that predominates in recombinations between the telomeres of sister chromatids (30,38). With compromised TMEJ capability, eroded and damaged telomeres are captured within inter-chromosomal fusions, shifting the overall balance of repair events from localised ANHEJ-mediated intra-chromosomal rearrangements (21) to long-range CNHEJ-mediated inter-chromosomal interactions (52). The moderate increases in genomic fusion frequencies we observed in **POLQ**/-/- clones (Figure 3), as well as the ultimate expansions in genomic heterogeneity (Figure 5) further illustrate this transformation in repair pathway utilisation. Our results do, however, demonstrate that POLQ is not invariably essential for intra-chromosomal telomere fusions since these events can still be amplified from POLQ-deficient cells (Figures 2 and 3). Furthermore, the capacity for NVB (25) to reduce intra-chromosomal fusion frequencies in cells with both surplus and deficiencies in POLQ (Supplementary Figure 2Civ) implicates both POLQ-dependent and –independent pathways (107). This conclusion is consistent with the finding that genetic abrogation of POLQ does not prevent escape from telomere-driven crisis (Figure 1), in contrast with LIG3-deficient cells that cannot expedite sufficient non-lethal recombinations to stabilise telomere length and support cell viability (51). These observations are also compatible with two recent reports (108,109) demonstrating that only ~50% of the MH generated at a repair junction is likely POLQ-dependent.

An additional layer of complexity is provided by the impact of POLQ-deficiency on replication timing and cell cycle progression (96,97) that may constrain opportunities for HR, resulting in greater cellular dependence on NHEJ.

Compounded by the diminished facility for repair at replication forks stalled by telomeric secondary structures (70), recovery of interrupted HR products in **POLQ**/-/- cells is likely significantly impaired, so that fewer sister chromatid fusions are captured and perpetuated in proliferating cultures (66). The altered proportions of telomere fusion
classes in POLQ⁻/⁻ compared with WT cells may also reflect a lower efficiency of replication of palindromic molecules that are intrinsic to intra- but not inter-chromosomal telomere fusions (52,53). Coherent with research identifying large microhomology-agnostic deletions in POLQ⁻/⁻ cells (14,18,94), we observed exacerbated deletion of both chromatids contributing to intra-chromosomal fusions (Figure 3E) in POLQ⁻/⁻ clones, leading to an overall loss of asymmetry that could encumber replicative polymerases (71,72). Thus, the reduction in intra-chromosomal fusions in POLQ-deficient clones could result from the under-representation of ANHEJ-mediated repair in combination with the sacrifice of particular repair outputs.

**POLQ-mediated telomere fusions display characteristic junction features**

Large-scale sequence analyses of telomere fusions amplified from 8 HCT116 and 10 HAP1 clones that had endured and escaped from a telomere-driven crisis permitted confident resolution of significant differences in the processing of telomere recombinations by WT and POLQ⁻/⁻ cells (Figure 3). In the absence of POLQ, we detected significant decrements in the proportions of genomic and intra-chromosomal fusions bearing junctional microhomology (MH), with corresponding elevations in the percentages of blunt junctions. These observations are consistent with supplementary CNHEJ-mediated repair compensating for the insufficiency of POLQ-dependent ANHEJ (12). An alternative prospect is that, in the absence of POLQ, substituted repair processes (110) exploit MH more distant from the ultimate fusion junction to stabilise the ligation and these may have been omitted from our analyses. In contrast, we determined enhanced MH usage at the inter-chromosomal telomere fusions that are also more abundant in POLQ⁻/⁻ clones. Whilst appearing incongruous with the supposition that CNHEJ is the prevailing mode of repair at these junctions (111,112), the actual lengths of MH employed at inter-chromosomal fusion junctions were lesser than at genomic and intra-chromosomal fusion junctions and were further tempered by POLQ-deficiency. The discrepancies in MH lengths at POLQ-independent inter-chromosomal compared with genomic and intra-chromosomal fusions (means of 2.37bp, 4.14bp and 6.44bp, respectively) suggest distinct processing and end-ligation mechanisms propel inter-chromosomal rearrangements, whilst refuting the likelihood of diversion into repair processes dependent on more extensive MH, such as single strand annealing (107,110,113,114).

Efficient TMEJ has been reported to require at least 3bp of end-proximal MH (94), with shorter sequences dependent on multiple cycles of annealing and DNA synthesis that scar the repair locus (7,13,115). We did measure increased incidence of insertions (INS) characteristic of iterative TMEJ (all of which were locally templated in WT samples) present at inter-chromosomal compared with genomic and intra-chromosomal fusions, however, these were not significantly depleted from POLQ⁻/⁻ clones. These results hence more credibly support the abounding
contribution of CNHEJ to inter-chromosomal fusions in both the presence and absence of POLQ (116). MH and INS lengths were commonly reduced at all fusion junctions amplified from POLQ\(^{-/}\) clones except for intra-chromosomal fusions, where MH sequences were moderately longer. These evaluations highlight the mutagenic essence of TMEJ as well as the inefficiency of some POLQ catalytic functions that require more extensive MH stretches and produce more marked genomic aberrations (3,5,7,11,117).

**POLQ suppresses telomere-centromere interactions**

A salient discovery unearthed by our high-resolution examinations of telomere fusions with genomic loci was that of the significant enrichment of junctions within centromere alpha-satellite repeats (ALR) detected in both HCT116 and HAP1 POLQ\(^{-/}\) clones (Figure 5 and Supplementary Figure 9). In the context of reduced overall frequency of telomere fusion with repetitive DNA determined for POLQ\(^{-/}\) clones, we initially contemplated whether centromere territories were unusually expanded in POLQ\(^{-/}\) clones in tandem with the extended telomere lengths we measured for these cells (Supplementary Figure 1). We rejected the basic probabilistic inference that elevated fusion incidence correlated directly with centromere size upon recording no consistent POLQ-dependent differences in sequence coverage of ALR monomers or higher order repeats (HOR). In exploring this conundrum, we identified notable variations in human satellite (HSAT2, HSAT3 and HSAT4) and rDNA repeats common to POLQ\(^{-/}\), but not other HCT116 DNAR-deficient models, proposing hitherto unrecognised functions of POLQ in satellite regulation.

Distended telomere and HSAT DNA repeats undoubtedly pose a greater replicative and energetic burden for the crisis-transiting cell. Longer repeat tracts may elicit replication stress through polymerase slippage (118) and fork stalling at secondary DNA structures (93). POLQ is recruited to centromere DSB that occur in G1 cell cycle phase, along with HR components that ordinarily serve to restrict mutagenic translocations (119). The repressive histone methylation marks at heterochromatic regions including centromeres favour TMEJ-mediated DNA repair, although POLQ processivity is inefficient at AT-rich sequences that comprise many human satellite classes (94,120,121), resulting in recurrent insertion scars (7) that evidence repeated rounds of aborted annealing and DNA synthesis.

Through diverse interactions with HR, POLQ has been implicated in the rescue of both late-stage repair intermediates (20,122) and inter-homologue and non-allelic HR products (123,124), suppressing loss of heterozygosity (87). The exacerbated DSB-induced mitotic crossovers in POLQ-deficient cells could predicate the exaggerated sequence flexibility at satellite and rDNA repeats, as well as the telomere elongation detected in comparison with WT cells (91,92,125). The absence of POLQ at damaged satellites prevents both TMEJ-mediated
translocations and salvage of failed HR, releasing these repetitive regions into alternative repair pathways that may promote the recombinations that underpin fluctuations in length.

Extended telomere lengths achieved in cloned cell lines could be artefacts symptomatic of the survival advantage conferred by the appended genetic buffer. The exclusivity of this finding to POLQ−/− cells of 3 independent lineages (HCT116, HAP1 and RPE1-hTERT) and obverse observations in other DNAR models (Supplementary Figure 1) repudiate this notion, however. Furthermore, we determined no significant differences in DN-hTERT integration between WT and POLQ−/− clones despite demonstrations that TMEJ is responsible for the majority of random integrations of exogenous DNA into the genome (68,69,126). As suppression and reactivation of telomerase (Supplementary Figure 1C), as well as telomere erosion rates in crisis were commensurate for WT and POLQ−/− clones (even though the shortest lengths achieved were not), our data suggest a novel and unique capacity of POLQ for modulating repetitive sequences.

Mechanistically, POLQ may influence telomere length through various non-exclusive means, including altered accessibility of telomerase to the telomeric 3′overhang for catalysis of repeat synthesis. A role for the shelterin component, hPOT1, in telomere protection and length regulation has recently been elucidated (127). POT1 mutations in cancer patients that support telomere length extensions through impaired recruitment of telomerase to the telomere have been characterised (128). The agency of POLQ to cooperate in or regulate related responses, potentially through competition with ssDNA-binding RPA (21,107,129), could result in a comparable extended telomere phenotype when POLQ is abrogated. Akin to the diversified repair at satellite sequences in TMEJ-deficient cells, the contraction of ANHEJ at telomeres may sustain repair by replicative and recombinatorial processes that permit sequence length evolution. Recently, a novel role for the MutSα mismatch repair (MMR) protein in telomere maintenance has been recognised (130) whereby disruption of this function produces a hyper-recombination phenotype at telomeres. Although we detected no consistent evidence of alternative lengthening of telomeres (ALT) active in POLQ−/− clones, the collaboration of POLQ with Holliday junction resolvases (87,95,122) advocates the potential for elongation resulting from a failure of heteroduplex rejection (131). In the absence of POLQ, recombinations between homeologous DNA (including telomeres and satellites) may not be successfully dissolved, leading to repeat tract extensions through premature initiation of DNA synthesis (130). Disruption of the balance of repair mechanisms at telomeres and satellites is credibly more consequential during the prolonged stress of telomere-driven crisis when the losses of POLQ contributions to ANHEJ (12,21), break-induced replication (BIR)
(132,133), base-excision repair (BER) (16) and MMR (17) coalesce to deliver numerous inter-chromosomal telomere fusions and recombinations with satellite repeats in $POLQ^\text{−/−}$ clones.

Telomeres and rDNA repeats are intriguingly interconnected, sharing features of length regulation inclusive of a critical role for BLM helicase at both sequence classes (134). BLM Syndrome cells in culture manifest increased variability at telomeres and reduced rDNA content compared with cells from healthy donors, so that defective helicase activity ostensibly perturbs repeat regulation at widespread sites. ALT cancers in which $ATRX$ is mutated also retain lower rDNA content than ALT-negative cancers owing to defective histone H3.3 deposition and heterochromatin formation at rDNA repeats (135). In yeast and plant models, telomere and rDNA stability are symbiotic and rDNA repeats can be copied to chromosome termini to secure genome integrity (136,137). Although such rare rDNA translocations have not been identified in humans, the co-localisation of regulatory elements underlines the potential for coordinated recombination (138). In HCT116 and HAP1 $POLQ^\text{−/−}$ cell lines, we determined significant reductions in rDNA sequence coverage compared with WT cells and with other categories of satellite repeats (Figure 5). Contraction of these repeats in $POLQ^\text{−/−}$ cells may result from elevated replication stress (93) without POLQ-mediated recovery at replication forks (139) and the corresponding variation at HSAT and telomere sequences indicates extensive influence of this polymerase over repeat stability (140). The detriment of POLQ helicase and end-joining activity (21,141,142) at rDNA as well as satellite and telomere repeats, coupled with potential displacement into MN (85), may precipitate the global genomic disequilibrium that we and others have observed in POLQ-deficient models (85,87,143).

Enhanced rates of fusion between telomeres and satellite repeats amplified from $POLQ^\text{−/−}$ cells evidence the combined impact of suppressed intra-chromosomal interactions counterbalanced by CNHEJ and the unproductive endeavours of replicative repair. The endonuclease capability of POLQ has been reported to preferentially cleave hairpin structures arising from intra-molecular annealing, precluding DNA synthesis primed from these structures and, instead, promoting inter-molecular annealing and end-joining (144). In POLQ-deficient cells, satellite repeats conceivably stabilise inter-molecular contacts between homeologous sequences licensing aberrant repair. Long-range associations are facilitated by the increased mobility of DNA bearing DSB, particularly in the G1 phase of cell cycle, when CNHEJ, but not HR repair is operational (145). ANHEJ debilitated by POLQ disruption is surpassed by the efficiency and competency of CNHEJ that ligates distant DSB to conserve chromosome integrity. Thus, increases in
long-range telomere-satellite recombinations in the absence of POLQ are products of the shift in DNA repair capacity (110) coupled with variability at repeat tracts that amplifies the vulnerability of these loci.

**Clinical inhibition of POLQ inhibition may not be a universally effective strategy**

Suppression of TMEJ using inhibitors such as NVB is expected to alter DNA repair equilibrium, with exceptional impact in cancer cells harbouring existing repair defects, such as BRCA-deficient tumours (20). Enhanced long-range chromosomal interactions and compromised replication fork rescue could significantly affect cellular viability, potentially improving chemotherapeutic outputs and efficiencies (24,146). Lower doses of chemotherapeutics would limit undesirable side-effects and peripheral damage to healthy tissue, encouraging patient compliance and wider socio-economic benefits. Augmented genetic and transcriptional heterogeneity consequential of exacerbated inter-chromosomal recombinations may also reinforce anti-tumoural immunity, generating cancer cell-derived neoantigens (147,148) that activate tumour cell killing, as well as establishing longer-term immune surveillance of residual disease. Nonetheless, our results indicate that NVB may have additional POLQ-independent effects (Figure 2C) that will need to be considered for inclusion of this antibiotic in cancer treatment regimes. Laterally, we have uncovered DNA repair fractions that are differentially contingent on POLQ function (Figures 2 and 3), so that repressing TMEJ may empower formerly restrained repair with unanticipated or negligible effect. Furthermore, the heightened genome instability that POLQ suppression may unleash (85,143) has also the potential to promote variation and clonal evolution with the possibility of eliciting resistant disease. Indeed, our results demonstrate cancer interventions may benefit from concomitant suppression of TMEJ with CNHEJ to sustain therapeutic efficacy. Furthermore, our discovery of repeat sequence fluctuations in POLQ-deficient cells suggests telomere or satellite tract measurements may prove practical and informative biomarkers of effective clinical POLQ inhibition. Thus, in accordance with the multifaceted nature of POLQ, itself, the therapeutic targeting of TMEJ will have complex and context-specific repercussions that demand rigorous assessment. Investigations including those narrated in this manuscript constitute relevant and timely contributions to this compelling deliberation.
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Figure Legends

Figure 1: POLQ⁺ clones are resistant to crisis-induced growth deceleration but not telomere fusions

A Growth curves for 11 HCT116 and 8 HAP1 WT DN-hTERT-transduced single cell clones are displayed (left panels) in comparison with 16 HCT116 and 10 HAP1 POLQ⁺ DN-hTERT-transduced single cell clones (central panels). Growth trajectories of control clones of each lineage transduced with puromycin selection cassette alone are plotted in red for each grouping with curves fitted to a linear regression model. Linear regression best fit lines were generated for each individual clone and slope values subtracted from appropriate controls to estimate the degree of growth divergence (right panels) for WT (black bars) and POLQ⁻ clones (white bars). Mean slope differentials with 95% CI are depicted and statistical significance was calculated using unpaired T-tests with Welch’s correction. B The shortest telomere lengths recorded for HCT116 (17p) and HAP1 (XpYp) WT (black) and POLQ⁻ (white) clones during crisis are presented as a box and whiskers plot with statistical significance evaluated with Mann-Whitney unpaired U-tests. Ci Total telomere fusion frequency (based on diploid genome DNA inputs into fusion PCR) for each WT and POLQ⁻ clone was calculated at each PD sampled. Maximal fusion frequencies for all WT (black bars) and POLQ⁻ (white bars) clones are displayed as means with 95% CI and statistical significance determined using Mann-Whitney unpaired U-tests. ii The differential 17p telomere intra-chromosomal fusion frequencies for HCT116 WT (black bars) and POLQ⁻ (white bars) is shown (the 17p subtelomere was not amplifiable in HAP1 clones). Mean values with 95% CI are displayed and Mann-Whitney unpaired U-tests were performed.

Figure 2: POLQ promotes but is not essential for sister chromatid telomere fusions in endonuclease-targeting experiments

Ai 17p single primer intra-chromosomal fusion frequencies induced in HCT116 WT (black) and POLQ⁻ (white) cells nucleofected with 17p subtelomere targeting TALEN pairs are presented as means with 95% CI of 4 experiments with Mann-Whitney unpaired U-tests applied. ii Total Xp intra-chromosomal telomere fusions detected in HAP1 or RPE1-hTERT WT (black) and POLQ⁻ (white) cells lipofected with TALEN pairs targeting the subtelomere and harvested at 48 hr. Fusion frequency was calculated based on diploid genome DNA inputs into fusion PCR. Means with SD derived from seven independent experiments are presented and statistical significance was determined using Mann-Whitney unpaired U-tests. iii A comparison of Xp intra-chromosomal fusion frequencies in all HAP1 and RPE1-hTERT cells transfected with Xp TALEN pairs alone (light grey) or concurrently with (dark grey) a POLQ expression vector.
Mean values with 95% CI are displayed and Mann-Whitney unpaired U-tests were performed. **B** Southern blot using radiolabelled 17p subtelomere probe to detect 17p TALEN-induced 17p intra-chromosomal telomere fusions in WT and POLQ<sup>-/-</sup> HCT116 samples co-transfected or not with a POLQ expression vector using lipofectamine. GFP transfections were performed as negative controls and to estimate transfection efficiencies in samples. **C** 17p intra-chromosomal fusion frequencies in HCT116 WT (black) and POLQ<sup>-/-</sup> (white) cells lipofectamine transfected with 17p TALEN pairs in the presence or absence of a POLQ expression vector and 100µM novobiocin (NVB) for 48 hr with dose replenished after 24 hr. Data represents 2 biological and 2 technical replicates. Mean fold changes in fusion frequencies with 95% CI are displayed and significance was assessed using Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed rank tests.

**Figure 3: POLQ-deficient cells present elevated inter-chromosomal fusion incidence counterbalanced by reduced intra-chromosomal fusion frequencies**

**A** The proportions of all sequence-validated telomere fusions derived from HCT116 and HAP1 WT (black) and POLQ<sup>-/-</sup> (white) clones that can be classified as Genomic, Inter-chromosomal or Intra-chromosomal events are presented as means with 95% CI. Statistical significance was evaluated using Mann-Whitney unpaired U-tests. **B** Stacked bar charts indicate the percentages of junctions within each telomere fusion subcategory that demonstrate microhomology (MH; black), insertions (INS; white) or are blunt-ended (blunt; grey). Data for WT and POLQ<sup>-/-</sup> clones were compared using Fisher’s Exact Tests with significance indicated in red. **C** The mean numbers (with 95% CI) of nucleotides (bp) of microhomology or insertions at i Genomic, ii Inter-chromosomal and iii Intra-chromosomal telomere fusions determined for WT (black) and POLQ<sup>-/-</sup> (white) clones. Mann-Whitney unpaired U-tests were utilised to assess significance. **D** The proportions of all WT (black) and POLQ<sup>-/-</sup> (white) i Genomic, ii Inter-chromosomal and iii Intra-chromosomal telomere fusion junctions with sequence insertions that are locally templated are shown as mean values with SD. Significance was determined with Fisher’s Exact Tests. **E** The amount of deletion (in kb) of each 17p chromatid involved in an intra-chromosomal telomere fusion amplified from HCT116 WT (filled triangles) and POLQ<sup>-/-</sup> (crosses) clones juxtaposed with chromatid deletion data pertaining to crisis fibroblasts derived from patients with LIG4 or LIG1 mutations (mt; dash symbols) or normal controls (CF; filled circles) (66). Data are displayed as means with 95% CI in red and statistical significance was analysed using Mann-Whitney unpaired U-tests. **i** The difference in deletion (kb) of fused 17p (left; HCT116 only) or 21q family chromatids in intra-chromosomal fusions amplified from WT (filled triangles) and POLQ<sup>-/-</sup> (crosses) HCT116 (black) and HAP1
clones or crisis fibroblasts (CF; filled circles) is illustrated with means and 95% CI annotated in red. Mann-Whitney unpaired U-tests were used to compare datasets.

Figure 4: Reduced incidence of telomere fusions with genes and repeats amplified from POLQ⁻/⁻ clones

A The frequencies of all validated genomic telomere fusions amplified from i HCT116 and ii HAP1 crisis clones localising to individual chromosomes are presented normalised to chromosome sizes (straight lines; WT in bold). Predicted frequencies based on mean genomic telomere fusion frequency per sample are displayed as dashed lines and significant discrepancies determined by Fisher’s Exact Tests marked with *. The overall gene density for each chromosome is also featured as a straight black line on each plot. B The proportions of genomic telomere fusions derived from WT (black) and POLQ⁻/⁻ (white) HCT116 and HAP1 clones or control crisis fibroblasts (light grey) or a simulated fusion dataset (mid-grey) whose junctions coincide with genes, fragile sites or repeat sequences throughout the genome are shown. Significance was tested using Fisher’s Exact Tests, except where the substantial simulant dataset was compared and Chi Squared Tests with Yates’ correction were employed instead.

Figure 5: Increased frequency of POLQ⁻/⁻-derived telomere fusions with alpha-satellite repeats

Ai The proportions of genomic telomere fusions derived from WT (black) and POLQ⁻/⁻ (white) HCT116 and HAP1 clones or control crisis fibroblasts (light grey) or a simulated fusion dataset (mid-grey) whose junctions coincide with repeat features characterised by RepeatMasker are shown. ALR; alpha-satellite, satellite; other satellite sequences, LINE; Long Interspersed Nuclear Elements, SINE; Short Interspersed Nuclear Elements, LTR; Long Terminal Repeats, STR; Short Tandem Repeats, DNA; DNA transposons. Significance was tested using Fisher’s Exact Tests, except for comparisons using the simulant dataset where Chi Squared Tests with Yates’ correction were required. ii The proportions of genomic telomere fusions derived from WT (black) and POLQ⁻/⁻ (white) HCT116 and HAP1 clones whose junctions coincide with ALR or Telomere Associated Repeats (TAR) are displayed as means with 95% CI with significance evaluated using Fisher’s Exact Tests. B Assessment of satellite read depth from whole genome sequencing (WGS) data for each i HCT116 and ii HAP1 parental cell line as a proxy for satellite genomic content. Satellite regions from the T2T reference v1.0 (Nurk, S. et al. bioRxiv, 2021.2005.2026.445798) were extracted as a new mini reference to which parental line WGS data were aligned. Read depths were determined using Mosdepth (149) and normalised to the mean of the sample. Log2 ratios of POLQ⁻/⁻ compared with WT read depths are displayed
for various satellite motifs (Gershman, A. et al. bioRxiv, 2021.2005.2026.443420). Monomer; alpha-satellite monomeric sequence, HOR; Higher-Order Repeat, dHOR; divergent HOR, HSAT; human satellite sequence, censat; centromere satellite repeats, CT; Centric Transition regions, βSAT; beta-satellite repeats, γSAT; gamma-satellite repeats, rDNA; ribosomal DNA. C Normalised mean read depths relating to centromere-associated repeats (monomer, HOR and dHOR sequences) are compared for (i) all WT (black) and all POLQ⁻/⁻ (white) clones or (ii) separated by repeat element and cell line. Statistical significance was determined using Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed rank tests. iii Normalised mean read depths for HSAT1-5 repeats for WT (black) and POLQ⁻/⁻ (white) parental lines with 95% CI. Statistical significance was evaluated using Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed rank tests. iv Normalised mean read depths relating to rDNA repeats for WT (black) and POLQ⁻/⁻ (white) alongside WT (black) and DNA ligase-deficient (grey tones) HCT116 parental lines with 95% CI. Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed rank tests were employed to compare WT and POLQ⁻/⁻ samples and Mann-Whitney unpaired U-tests were used to test the statistical significance of observed differences between these and the DNA ligase-deficient lines.
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