MED27, SLC6A7, and MPPE1 Variants in a Complex Neurodevelopmental Disorder with Severe Dystonia
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ABSTRACT: Background: Despite advances in next generation sequencing technologies, the identification of variants of uncertain significance (VUS) can often hinder definitive diagnosis in patients with complex neurodevelopmental disorders.

Objective: The objective of this study was to identify and characterize the underlying cause of disease in a family with two children with severe developmental delay associated with generalized dystonia and episodic status dystonicus, chorea, epilepsy, and cataracts.

Methods: Candidate genes identified by autogyosity mapping and whole-exome sequencing were characterized using cellular and vertebrate model systems.

Results: Homozygous variants were found in three candidate genes: MED27, SLC6A7, and MPPE1. Although the patients had features of MED27-related disorder, the SLC6A7 and MPPE1 variants were functionally investigated. SLC6A7 variant in vitro over-expression caused decreased proline transport as a result of reduced cell-surface expression, and zebrafish knockdown of slc6a7 exhibited developmental delay and fragile motor neuron morphology that could not be rescued by L-proline transporter–G396S RNA. Lastly, patient fibroblasts displayed reduced cell-surface expression of glycoprophatidylinositol-anchored proteins linked to MPPE1 dysfunction.
Next-generation sequencing technologies have significantly improved the identification of new genetic diseases. Among others, the National Institute for Health and Care Research (NIHR) BioResource, Deciphering Developmental Disorders, and 100,000 genomes projects have pioneered seminal research, securing diagnoses for more than 6000 patients and driving the discovery of more than 200 new neurodevelopmental disorders. It is estimated that a genetic diagnosis can be identified for up to 60% of patients with developmental disorders.

Despite these genetic advances, a significant proportion of children with neurodevelopmental disorders remain undiagnosed, and diagnosis is achieved in only 20% of patients with dystonia; this reflects the current limitations of exome and genome sequencing and the challenges in determining the pathogenicity of variants of undetermined significance.

We sought to investigate a consanguineous family with a genetically unresolved neurodevelopmental disorder characterized by severe global developmental delay, progressive dystonia and epilepsy. In this family, we identified homozygous variants in three genes: MED27, encoding the Mediator Complex Subunit 27 protein; SLC6A7, encoding the brain-specific L-proline transporter (PROT); and MPPE1, encoding Metalloproteinase 1 (PGAP5), an intracellular transporter of glycosphatidylinositol (GPI)-anchored proteins (GPI-APs).

**Subjects and Methods**

See Supplementary Methods for details.

**Results**

**Clinical Characterization Shows a Complex Neurodevelopmental Phenotype with a Severe Hyperkinetic Movement Disorder, Epilepsy, and Cataracts**

Two siblings born to consanguineous parents (Fig. 1A) presented similarly with a complex neurodevelopmental disorder (see Supplementary Results). Both had infantile hypotonia with progressive generalized dystonic and choreiform movements that were refractory to medical treatment. Both had episodic status dystonicus requiring intensive care. Both children developed focal epilepsy by age 4 years, required lensectomy for cataracts in mid childhood, and had gastrointestinal dysmotility. Neither achieved the ability to sit unsupported or communicate. On clinical examination, both had distinctive facial features, microcephaly, significant axial hypotonia, generalized dystonia, torticollis, intermittent opisthotonus and distal choreoathetosis (Fig. 1B, Videos S1 and S2).

Early brain magnetic resonance imaging was similar for both, showing minimal underdevelopment of the white matter and cerebellar hypoplasia with a small vermis (Fig. 1C). The older sibling (II:1) had repeat neuroimaging at 10 years that showed symmetrical bilateral atrophy of the striatum associated with signal changes suggestive of gliosis, as well as frontal-predominant cerebral volume loss (Fig. 1C).

**Molecular Genetic Analysis Identifies Candidate Gene Variants in MED27, SLC6A7, and MPPE1**

Homozygous variants were identified in three candidate genes (MED27, SLC6A7, and MPPE1) from exome sequencing of patient II:2 combined with single-nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) genotyping (see Supplementary Results). The MED27 variant NM_004269.3; c.839C>T (p.Pro280Leu) affects a highly conserved amino acid state. The SLC6A7 variant NM_014228.4; c.1186G>A (p.Gly396Ser) affects a highly conserved amino acid, both throughout species and among other SLC6 transporters (Fig. S1B). The variant is known to genomic databases (Table S4) but has not been previously reported in patients with similar disease phenology.

The SLC6A7 variant NM_014228.4; c.1186G>A (p.Gly396Ser) affects a highly conserved amino acid, both throughout species and among other SLC6 transporters (Fig. S1B). The variant is known to genomic databases (Table S4) but has not been previously reported in patients with similar disease phenology.

**Homology Modeling of hPROT-G396S Predicts Altered Substrate Recognition and Protein Destabilization**

PROT, encoded by SLC6A7, is part of the SLC6 family of transporters, which are integral to the transport of neurotransmitters, amino acids, and monoamines against their concentration gradient, with symport of Na⁺ and Cl⁻. The AlphaFold-based model (Fig. 1D)
showed that the highly conserved residue hPROT-G396 is located in TM8, flanked by several residues important for both Na\(^+\) and substrate binding (Fig. 1E), such as Y133 in TM3 (Fig. 1F), a highly conserved residue in the SLC6 family. This tyrosine residue is engaged in an H-bond with Ser399, another highly conserved residue that interacts with the substrate. The p.Gly396Ser substitution in PROT introduces a hydroxyl group to this region that could engage in H-bonding with Y133, altering the electrostatics of the pocket where the proline substrate binds, thereby affecting its binding affinity (Fig. 1G).

**FIG. 1.** Legend on next page.
hPROT-G396S Is Associated with Decreased Cell-Surface Protein Expression, Reduced Proline Affinity, and Impaired Proline Transport

To determine the impact of p.Gly396Ser on PROT function, we measured L-proline uptake in LLC-PK1 cells transiently expressing either hPROT-WT or hPROT-G396S. The time course of [3H]L-proline uptake indicated near-linear uptake for up to 25 minutes (Fig. 2A). At 10 minutes, hPROT-G396S displayed greatly reduced transport activity, at a level ~30% of hPROT-WT (Fig. 2A,B) with reduction in maximal uptake velocity (V_{max}) (Fig. 2B). These findings were confirmed in Xenopus oocytes; hPROT-G396S showed a reduced apparent affinity for proline (EC_{50} = 22.05 ± 9.21) and reduced maximal currents (I_{max} = 1.01 nA ± 0.03) compared with wild-type PROT (EC_{50} = 4.86 ± 0.49, I_{max} = 3.37 ± 0.54 nA) (Fig. 2C,D). In HEK293T cells, no significant difference was seen in mRNA or total protein levels of hPROT-WT and hPROT-G396S (Fig. 2E,F, Fig. S3). However, biotinylation studies demonstrated that hPROT-G396S showed significantly reduced cell-surface expression (Fig. 2G, Fig. S3).

Morpholino Knockdown of slc6a7 in Zebrafish Leads to Delayed Development and Fragile Motor Neuron Morphology

To determine effects in vivo, we used antisense morpholino oligonucleotides (MOs) against slc6a7 in a zebrafish model. When compared with control MO-injected larvae (Fig. 2H,L), slc6a7 morphants showed delayed motor development (Fig. 2I,M). Coinjection of slc6a7 MO with human PROT-WT RNA restored normal development (Fig. 2J,N), whereas coinjection with PROT-G396S did not show recovery (Fig. 2K,O). Developmental stage was delayed in slc6a7 morphants and slc6a7 morphants injected with PROT-G396S RNA, but not in slc6a7 morphants injected with PROT-WT RNA (Fig. S4). Furthermore, motor neurons of the MO-injected zebrafish larvae displayed fragile morphology, with a reduced cell body number (Fig. 2P–S). Coinjection of slc6a7 MO with PROT-WT RNA led to recovery of this motor neuron phenotype (Fig. 2R), whereas no rescue was observed in slc6a7 morphants co-injected with PROT-G396S RNA (Fig. 2S).

Patient Fibroblasts Display Altered Cell-Surface Expression of GPI-APs

PGAP5, encoded by MPPE1, translocates from the Golgi to the ER through an ER retrieval signal at its C-terminus (Fig. S5). A side-chain ethanolamine-phosphate from the second mannose molecule of the GPI is removed, allowing GPI-AP to exit from the ER (Fig. S5). Without this step, GPI-APs are retained in the ER. MPPE1 expression was significantly reduced in patient fibroblasts (P < 0.01, Student t test) (Fig. 2T). Flow cytometry was undertaken to assess cell-surface expression of GPI-APs. Fluorescently labelled aerolysin (FLAER) serves as a marker of total GPI-APs because it binds directly to the GPI anchor, while CD73 and CD109 are specific GPI-APs. Cell-surface levels of FLAER and CD73 were significantly reduced in both patients (Fig. 2U, Fig. S6). No decrease in the level of CD109 was observed.

Discussion

We report two siblings born to consanguineous parents presenting with a severe and progressive neurodevelopmental disorder. Molecular genetic analysis indicated three plausible gene candidates with homoygous variants in MED27, SLC6A7, and MPPE1. MED27 encodes subunit 27 of the Mediator of RNA

---

**FIG. 1.** Clinical findings in sibship and the effect of the human L-proline transporter (hPROT)-G396S mutation on proline transporter structure and function. (A) Family pedigree, with affected individuals indicated by black shading. (B) Images of patient II:1 at 7 years old supported in a wheelchair with dystonic posturing of all limbs and patient II:2 at 4 years old sitting with support with dystonic upper limb posturing. Both have distinctive facial features with prominent eyebrows, slightly pointed noses, almond-shaped eyes, and unusual-shaped, low-set ears. (C) Brain magnetic resonance imaging studies. Top row: patient II:1, axial (left) and sagittal T2-weighted sequences (middle) at the age of 7 months, showing cerebellar hypoplasia (blue arrow) and small vermis (green arrows). The corpus callosum is vertically orientated posteriorly (yellow arrow). Repeat T2-weighted axial sequences at the age of 10 years (right) show bilateral severe putaminal volume loss and T2 hyperintensity suggestive of gliosis (red arrows). Caudate volume loss is also appreciable on the axial T2 (right, white arrow). (D–G) Modeling of the hPROT transporter. (D) PROT consists of 12 transmembrane helices (highlighted in colors; transmembrane domain [TM] 1–TM12). (E) PROT amino acid sequence. p.Gly396Ser is located in TM8, adjacent to conserved residues located in the binding pocket. Blue triangles (outlined and filled) represent predicted residues involved in coordinating sodium ion Na1 and Na2 binding, respectively; red triangles represent residues predicted to be involved in chloride ion binding; black dots represent predicted residues important for proline binding; and black stars (outlined and filled) represent charged pairs at the extracellular and cytoplasmic entrances, respectively. (F) Structure modeling predicts that Gly396 (G396, TM8, cyan) is in close proximity to Tyr133 (Y133, TM3, orange), a highly conserved residue that is involved in substrate recognition. The star indicates the substrate binding pocket. (G) The introduction of serine with a hydroxyl group at position 396 (TM8, cyan) may alter substrate recognition activity of Y133 by introducing a different group to this region that could engage in H-bonding with Y133, thereby interfering with its H-bonding with S399 (two probable alternative rotamers for Y133 are shown). [Color figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]
polymerase II Transcription (Mediator) complex, which mediates RNA polymerase II transcription.\textsuperscript{11} Biallelic MED27 variants have recently been reported in 11 families with a complex neurodevelopmental disorder that partially overlaps with our cases\textsuperscript{6} (Table S8). MED27 is therefore the most convincing candidate
gene. However, the presence of chorea, severity of dystonia with recurrent status dystonicus, and striatal atrophy distinguishes our patients from reported MED27 cases (Table S8). It is thus plausible that the additional loss-of-function variants in SLC6A7 and MPPE1 could contribute to disease.

SLC6A7 encodes a T-shaped neurotransmitter transporter that mediates the high-affinity uptake of L-proline into glutamatergic neurons, maintaining an intracellular pool of L-proline for glutamate production.11-13 The p.Gly396Ser substitution perturbs normal PROT function. Notably, diseases associated with abnormal proline homeostasis and hyperprolinemia commonly present with neurodevelopmental features.14,15 Defective PROT and proline dyshomeostasis is also associated with neurodevelopmental defects in animal models, as evident in our zebrafish MO knockdowns. Although morpholinos can be associated with nonspecific phenotypes, rescue with wild-type PROT, but not G396S PROT, RNA provides evidence of specificity. The PROT knockout mouse model also shows reduced locomotor activity, decreased approach motivation, and impaired memory extinction.16

MPPE1 encodes PGAP5, a widely expressed metalloproteinase17 integral to the GPI biosynthesis and protein-anchoring pathway, a highly conserved eukaryotic posttranslational modification.18 The addition and modification of a GPI anchor is essential for the trafficking of certain proteins from the ER to the Golgi and cell surface.19,20 The MPPE1 variant p.Arg329* likely leads to translation of a truncated protein lacking the KxKxx ER retrieval signal, which is crucial for correct PGAP5 localization.7 We postulate that this would reduce cell-surface expression of GPI-APs (FLAER and CD73). Notably, there was no significant reduction in CD109. Such variation in affected GPI-APs is observed in other GPI biosynthesis disorders, where the specific gene and mutation influence the pattern of GPI-AP disturbance.21-23 It is highly likely that these SLC6A7 and MPPE1 variants contribute to the observed clinical phenotype. Both SLC6 transportopathies and inherited disorders of GPI deficiency are associated with a broad range of neurological diseases.24,25 Early neuroimaging abnormalities in our patients are similar to those described in MED27-related disease6 and GPI deficiency disorders with cerebellar defects and white matter changes.26 To date, the later imaging findings in the older sibling have not been reported in MED27 disease. Striatal atrophy and gliosis may be sequelae of status dystonicus with multiorgan failure, but the influence of mutant MPPE1 and SLC6A7 cannot be excluded. Indeed, this pattern of damage closely matches the expression pattern of SLC6A7.27

Multilocus pathogenic variants have been previously described in consanguineous families28 and are likely to explain a proportion of unresolved Mendelian disorders.29 Phenotypic features atypical for MED27, such as the severe movement disorder and striatal atrophy, may be explained by these additional variants resulting in a blended phenotype.30,31 Future identification of patients with monogenic variants in SLC6A7 or MPPE1 will undoubtedly facilitate better understanding of the precise gene-specific clinical phenotypes.

In conclusion, we have identified variants in MED27, SLC6A7, and MPPE1 in a family with a complex and severe neurodevelopmental condition associated with a life-threatening movement disorder. Pathogenic variants in SLC6A7 and MPPE1 have not yet been reported in human disease. Our work not only suggests that variants in these genes may be relevant in human disease but also indicate a putative role for PROT and PGAP5 in normal neurodevelopment. Further experimental approaches with animal models or patient

**FIG. 2.** Functional investigations into SLC6A7 and MPPE1 gene variants. (A, B) Time-course experiments of [3H]L-proline uptake show decreased L-proline accumulation in LLC-PK cells expressing L-proline transporter (hPROT)-G396S in comparison with hPROT-WT (wild type) (A) with decreased maximal uptake velocity (Vmax) but no differences in Kapp (n = 4) (B). (C, D) Prolactin dose responses were measured in Xenopus oocytes expressing WT (n = 5) or G396S (n = 6) hPROT. (C) hPROT-G396S had reduced apparent affinity for prolactin (EC50 = 22.05 ± 9.21) compared with WT (EC50 = 4.86 ± 0.49). (D) hPROT-G396S also had reduced maximal currents (Ipeak = 1.01 nA ± 0.03) compared with WT (Ipeak = 3.37 ± 0.54 nA). All values reported are mean ± standard error of the mean. (E) PROT mRNA expression in HEK293T cells. No significant differences between WT and mutant mRNA expression were evident. (F, G) Biotinylation and immunoblotting analysis showed no significant difference in total protein expression but significantly less expression of hPROT-G396S at the cell surface compared with hPROT-WT in transiently transfected HEK-293T cells (*P < 0.05, Student t test). (H–O) Knockdown of slc6a7 in zebrafish caused a delay in development. Control morpholino oligonucleotide (MO)-injected larvae were equivalent to 21.9 ± 0.1 hours post fertilisation (hpf) stage (H; n = 40), while slc6a7 morphant development correlated to the 18.6 ± 0.2 hpf stage (I; n = 47). This developmental delay was reversed in zebrafish larvae coinjected with slc6a7 MO and human PROT-WT RNA to 21.8 ± 0.1 hpf stage at 22 hpf (J; n = 40). The development of zebrafish coinjected with slc6a7 MO and human PROT-G396S RNA was decelerated to 18.6 ± 0.3 hpf stage at 22 hpf (K; n = 45). Similar observations were made at 34 hpf, where the development of control MO-injected larvae is equivalent to 33.6 ± 0.3 hpf stage (L; n = 51), while slc6a7 morphant development correlated to 29.0 ± 0.4 hpf stage (M; n = 70). The development of zebrafish larvae coinjected with slc6a7 MO and human PROT-WT RNA was equivalent to 33.2 ± 0.3 hpf stage at 34 hpf (N; n = 51), while zebrafish coinjected with slc6a7 MO and human PROT-G396S RNA developed to 29.3 ± 0.4 hpf stage (O; n = 70). (P–S) MO-mediated knockdown of slc6a7 in transgenic zebrafish expressing YFP in photoreceptors resulted in fragile motor neurones, fragile axon morphology (P) compared with controls (Q). This motor neuron phenotype was reversed by coinjection of hPROT-WT RNA (R), but not by introduction of hPROT-G396S RNA (S). (T) qPCR of MPPE1 mRNA in patient and healthy control fibroblasts (n = 3 using one healthy age- and sex-matched control and two patient lines; **P < 0.01, Student t test). (U) Cell-surface expression of GPI-APs shows that FLAER and CD73 were significantly reduced in patient fibroblasts (n = 6, cells from both patients) compared with control samples (n = 3); ***P < 0.0001 (unpaired parametric t test). No significant differences were seen for CD109. [Color figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]
induced pluripotent stem cell (iPSC)-derived neuronal systems harboring all three genetic variants will allow the polygenic influence of these three genes to be investigated.
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