RESEARCH

Open Access

Expanding ACMG variant classification guidelines into a general framework



Emmanuelle Masson^{1,2†}, Wen-Bin Zou^{3,4†}, Emmanuelle Génin¹, David N. Cooper⁵, Gerald Le Gac^{1,2}, Yann Fichou¹, Na Pu^{1,6}, Vinciane Rebours⁷, Claude Férec¹, Zhuan Liao^{3,4} and Jian-Min Chen^{1*}

Abstract

Background: The American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics (ACMG)-recommended five variant classification categories (pathogenic, likely pathogenic, uncertain significance, likely benign, and benign) have been widely used in medical genetics. However, these guidelines are fundamentally constrained in practice owing to their focus upon Mendelian disease genes and their dichotomous classification of variants as being either causal or not. Herein, we attempt to expand the ACMG guidelines into a general variant classification framework that takes into account not only the continuum of clinical phenotypes, but also the continuum of the variants' genetic effects, and the different pathological roles of the implicated genes.

Main body: As a disease model, we employed chronic pancreatitis (CP), which manifests clinically as a spectrum from monogenic to multifactorial. Bearing in mind that any general conceptual proposal should be based upon sound data, we focused our analysis on the four most extensively studied CP genes, *PRSS1*, *CFTR*, *SPINK1* and *CTRC*. Based upon several cross-gene and cross-variant comparisons, we first assigned the different genes to two distinct categories in terms of disease causation: CP-causing (*PRSS1* and *SPINK1*) and CP-predisposing (*CFTR* and *CTRC*). We then employed two new classificatory categories, "predisposing" and "likely predisposing", to replace ACMG's "pathogenic" and "likely pathogenic" categories in the context of CP-predisposing genes, thereby classifying all pathologically relevant variants in these genes as "predisposing". In the case of CP-causing genes, the two new classificatory categories whilst two thresholds (allele frequency and functional) were introduced to discriminate "pathogenic" from "predisposing" variants.

Conclusion: Employing CP as a disease model, we expand ACMG guidelines into a five-category classification system (predisposing, likely predisposing, uncertain significance, likely benign, and benign) and a seven-category classification system (pathogenic, likely pathogenic, predisposing, likely predisposing, uncertain significance, likely benign, and benign) in the context of disease-predisposing and disease-causing genes, respectively. Taken together, the two systems constitute a general variant classification framework that, in principle, should span the entire spectrum of variants in any disease-related gene. The maximal compliance of our five-category and seven-category classification systems with the ACMG guidelines ought to facilitate their practical application.

[†]Emmanuelle Masson and Wen-Bin Zou share co-first authorship

*Correspondence: jian-min.chen@univ-brest.fr

¹ Univ Brest, Inserm, EFS, UMR 1078, GGB, 22 Avenue Camille Desmoulins, F-29200 Brest, France

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2022. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

Keywords: ACMG guidelines, Allele frequency threshold, Allelic heterogeneity, Disease prevalence, Exome sequencing, Genetic heterogeneity, Incomplete penetrance, Multifactorial/complex disease, Pathogenicity, Variant interpretation

Background

Now that the application of exome and genome sequencing in a clinical setting has become fairly routine, we face an increasing challenge in terms of assigning variants to the five discrete classificatory categories (i.e., "pathogenic", "likely pathogenic", "uncertain significance", "likely benign", and "benign") [1] recommended by the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics and the Association for Molecular Pathology (ACMG-AMP; referred to henceforth as ACMG). A fundamental issue is that the ACMG guidelines were specifically drawn up in order to describe variants identified in genes underlying Mendelian disorders. However, in reality, the etiology of a given disorder may (1) lie on a spectrum from highly penetrant single gene defect to multifactorial disease and (2) involve multiple gene loci that do not make comparable pathological contributions to the disease in question. Moreover, even in genes underlying Mendelian disorders, clinically relevant variants do not readily fall into a discontinuous causal versus benign dichotomy [2]. Indeed, as opined by Wright and colleagues [3], some basic conceptual questions about variant interpretation still remain to be addressed in medical genetics. Thus, should the term "pathogenic" be generally applied to any disease-relevant variant in a given disease-causing gene? When should a pathologically relevant mutation be considered to be a "risk" variant rather than being "pathogenic" in its own right? Various adaptations and refinements of the ACMG guidelines have previously been made in the context of secondary findings derived from clinical exome and genome sequencing [4] as well as in the context of different genes/diseases [5-14] or specific variant types [15]. In addition, a comprehensive refinement of the ACMG variant classification criteria in terms of 40,000 clinically observed variants has also been made [16]. However, in our view, none of these provide a general framework that adequately addresses the aforementioned conceptual issues. Very recently, an "ABC system" (involving both functional and clinical grading steps) has been proposed for the classification of all types of genetic variant (including hypomorphic alleles, imprinted alleles, copy number variants, runs of homozygosity, enhancer variants and variants related to traits) [17]. However, a key limitation of this system is that it relies upon quite different codes (i.e., A, B, C,...) for variant classificatory categories from those used by ACMG, which will likely hamper cross-comparison and may well lead to widespread confusion.

Herein, we propose a general variant classification framework that takes into account the continuum of clinical phenotypes, the continuum of the variants' genetic effects, and the different pathological roles of the implicated genes, while maximally complying with ACMG guidelines. To this end, we opted to employ chronic pancreatitis (CP) as a disease model. CP, a chronic inflammatory process of the pancreas that leads to irreversible morphological changes and the progressive impairment of both exocrine and endocrine functions, can be caused by both genetic and environmental factors [18, 19]. In common with many other diseases, the process of genetic discovery in CP began with the mapping and identification of a causative gene (i.e., PRSS1 (OMIM #276000; encoding cationic trypsinogen)) for a Mendelian form of the disease, autosomal dominant hereditary pancreatitis [20-23]. Thereafter, a diverse range of variants in more than 10 different genes (for references, see Masson et al. [24]) have been identified in patients with hereditary, familial, idiopathic and/or alcoholic CP (see Main text for disease subtype definitions). These different forms of CP may be considered to reflect a continuum of the disease extending from monogenic to multifactorial [25], thereby rendering CP an archetypal model of a genetic disease (Fig. 1).

A preprint of this manuscript has been posted on medRxiv [26].

Main text

Genes included in the analysis

A general conceptual proposal should be based upon sound data. We therefore opted to focus our analysis on the first four discovered and most extensively studied CP genes (i.e., *PRSS1*, *CFTR* (OMIM #602421; encoding cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator), *SPINK1* (OMIM #167790; encoding pancreatic secretory trypsin inhibitor) and *CTRC* (OMIM #601405; encoding chymotrypsin C)), each of which is known to harbor a large number of pathologically relevant variants [23, 27– 37]. General information about these four genes, including year and method of gene discovery, mRNA reference accession number, length of coding DNA sequence and length of the encoded protein, may be found in Table 1.



Variants in the four CP genes considered here

For reported variants in the *PRSS1*, *SPINK1* and *CTRC* genes, the reader is referred to the Genetic Risk Factors in Chronic Pancreatitis Database [38]. CP-associated variants in the *CFTR* genes were sought in PubMed using a keyword search (i.e., *CFTR* plus pancreatitis plus variant or *CFTR* plus pancreatitis plus mutation; the latest search was performed on 12 April 2022). Data from some original reports were reinterpreted in accordance with the disease subtype definitions outlined below.

Disease subtype definitions

CP cases empirically demonstrated to have a genetic contribution may be classified into four distinct subtypes, namely hereditary CP (HCP), familial CP (FCP), idiopathic CP (ICP) and alcoholic CP (ACP). The first three subtypes were defined in accordance with our previous practice. Specifically, HCP is defined in terms of having three or more affected family members spanning at least two generations, whereas FCP is indicated by a positive family history without satisfying the strict diagnostic criteria for HCP; ICP is indicated when neither a positive family history of pancreatitis nor any obvious external causative risk factors (e.g., excessive alcohol consumption, infection, trauma or drug use) have been reported [25, 27, 39]. ACP was defined in accordance with the original publications, in which it was usually attributed to an alcohol intake of ≥ 80 g/d for a male and ≥ 60 g/d for a female for at least 2 years. "Non-alcoholic CP", a term used in some publications, may be regarded as being equivalent to ICP, and indeed this has been our previous practice [40]. Finally, it should be emphasized that ICP was defined in terms of the absence of any identifiable etiology prior to genetic analysis.

Classifying the pathologically relevant variants in the four CP genes into three categories in terms of their functional consequences

PRSS1, SPINK1 and CTRC are specifically or highly expressed in the acinar cells, whereas CFTR is highly expressed in the ductal cells of the exocrine pancreas (Table 1; Fig. 2). Based upon current knowledge, all pathologically relevant variants in the four CP genes may be classified into three functional categories: gain-of-function (GoF), loss-of-function (LoF) and gain-of-proteotoxicity (GoP). Briefly, GoF variants in PRSS1 result in increased trypsinogen activation and/ or increased trypsin stability. These variants, as well as LoF variants in SPINK1 and CTRC (NB. SPINK1 specifically inhibits trypsin, whereas CTRC specifically degrades trypsinogen/trypsin), give rise to increased intrapancreatic trypsin activity or a gain of trypsin within the pancreas, thereby causing or predisposing to CP (trypsin-dependent pathway) [39, 41]. A small subset of pathologically relevant variants in PRSS1 and CTRC induced the misfolding of their corresponding zymogens and elicited endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress in the pancreatic acini (misfolding-dependent pathway) [42]; these variants are termed GoP. In the exocrine pancreas, CFTR regulates cAMP-mediated bicarbonate secretion into the pancreatic duct lumen, which dilutes and alkalinizes the protein-rich acinar secretions; LoF variants in CFTR are thought to lead to slowed flushing of trypsinogen/trypsin out of the pancreatic ducts, thereby predisposing to pancreatic injury and CP [25] (termed "ductal pathway" by Mayerle and colleagues [43]). These classifications served as the basis to perform the cross-gene and cross-variant comparisons outlined below.

	ry approach	kererence mkNA sequence	Coding sequence (bp)	Protein sequence (aa)	Protein tissue expression ^a	Cell type expression in exocrine pancreas	Functional categories of pathologically relevant variants ^b	o/e score of pLoF variants (95% Cl) ^c
PRSS1 (cationic 1996 trypsinogen)	Positional cloning [23]	NM_002769.5	744	247	Specifically expressed in exo- crine pancreas	Acinar	GoF (majority); GoP (minority)	1.31 (0.86–1.86)
<i>CFTR</i> (cystic fibrosis 1998 transmembrane con- ductance regulator)	Candidate gene approach based upon the role of <i>CFTR</i> in cystic fibrosis [28, 29]	NM_000492.4	4443	1480	Highly expressed in exocrine pancreas and kidney; medially expressed in salivary gland, duodenum and small intestine	Ductal and cen- troacinar	LoF	(15.1–16.0) 60.1
<i>SPINK1</i> (pancreatic 2000 secretory trypsin inhibitor)	Candidate gene approach stimu- lated by the <i>PRSS1</i> finding [30]	NM_001379610.1	240	79	Highly expressed in exocrine pancreas, gastrointestinal tract, urinary bladder and appendix	Acinar	LoF	0.24 (0.09–1.13)
CTRC (chymottypsin 2008 C)	Candidate gene approach stimu- lated by the <i>PRSS1</i> finding [31, 32]	NM_007272.3	807	268	Specifically expressed in exo- crine pancreas	Acinar	LoF (majority); GoP (minority)	1.15 (0.78–1.69)

 Table 1
 Some general information about the four CP genes

^a In accordance with the Human Protein Atlas (https://www.proteinatlas.org/) [73]

^b See text for details

^c In accordance with gnomAD v2.1.1 (https://gnomad.broadinstitute.org/) [74]



Classifying the four CP genes into two distinct categories in terms of causation

The four CP genes do not contribute equally to the pathophysiology of the exocrine pancreas. To distinguish their roles in the pathogenesis of CP at the gene level, we firstly sought to determine whether the very rare variants [defined as having a minor allele frequency (MAF) of < 0.001 in accordance with Manolio et al. [44] in any gnomAD (Genome Aggregation Database) subpopulation)] were identified in the Mendelian form of CP or HCP in the context of each gene. A MAF cutoff of 0.001 has previously been recommended for filtering variants responsible for dominant Mendelian disorders [45]. The MAF of < 0.001 corresponds to a carrier frequency of < 0.002. It was used here as a very conservative cutoff given that it was more than 600 times higher than the prevalence of HCP, which was estimated to be 0.3/100 000 in Western Countries [46]. The premise was that such variants, where presumed (or experimentally demonstrated) to fall into the aforementioned GoF, GoP or LoF categories, can be confidently interpreted as disease-causing.

PRSS1 was the first CP gene to be identified, with multiple very rare variants including GoF copy number and missense variants and GoP missense variants (n=12; Table 2) subsequently being reported in many HCP families. By contrast, only a limited number of very rare *SPINK1* variants (n=3; Table 2), and not particularly very rare *CFTR* and *CTRC* variants (n=0; Table 2), have been reported in HCP families. Moreover, the HCP families harboring *PRSS1* mutations were generally large, often involving \geq 4 patients across \geq 3 generations, whereas the HCP families harboring *SPINK1* mutations had at most 3 patients over 3 generations (Table 2). In short, high-confidence disease-causing variants were found in *PRSS1* and *SPINK1* but not in *CFTR* and *CTRC*.

The abovementioned findings may have been influenced by many factors including differences in patient recruitment and mutation analysis protocols between laboratories and different timespans since the first report of CP gene discovery. To confirm or refute these findings, we performed three additional comparative analyses. Firstly, we compared the observed/expected (o/e) scores of predicted LoF (pLoF) variants in the four genes from gnomAD v2.1.1 (Table 1). The o/e score is an indicator of LoF intolerance devised by Karczewski and colleagues [47], low o/e values being indicative of strong intolerance. The highest *o/e* score was exhibited by *PRSS1* (o/e = 1.31); this is understandable because it is predominantly GoF variants in this gene that cause CP, whereas LoF variants in PRSS1 and PRSS2 (encoding anionic trypsinogen, the second major isoform after cationic trypsinogen) are protective with respect to CP [48, 49]. With regard to the latter, we evaluated the pLoF *PRSS1* variants in gnomAD v2.1.1. The highest subpopulation allele frequency (hspAF) of such variants, which was found in the case of the c.200 + 1G > A variant, was 0.02871 (African/African American). In the context of the three genes for which LoF variants (or predominantly LoF variants) underlie the disease, *CFTR* and *CTRC* have an o/e score of > 1 (1.09 and 1.15, respectively), whereas *SPINK1* has an o/e score of < 1 (specifically, 0.24).

Secondly, we compared the odds ratios (ORs) calculated from the aggregated pathologically relevant variants in the three genes for which LoF variants (or predominantly LoF variants) underlie the disease. For reasons of simplicity and comparability, we used data from a German study that analyzed these genes in a large cohort of patients (n = 410-660) and controls (n = 750-1758) [34]. The ORs for *CFTR*, *CTRC* and *SPINK1* variants were 2.7, 5.3 and 15.6, respectively. In other words, the aggregated pathologically relevant variants in the *CFTR* and *CTRC* genes were associated with a much lower genetic effect than those in the *SPINK1* gene.

Thirdly, and reinforcing the above point, even the most severe LoF variants in *CFTR* and *CTRC* do not exert a very large genetic effect. Thus, for example, *CFTR* p.Phe508del, the classical cystic fibrosis-causing variant, had an OR of only 2.5 (95% CI 1.7–3.9) for CP [34]. In similar vein, *CTRC* p.Lys247_Arg254del, which results in a complete loss of CTRC enzymatic activity, had an OR of 6.4 (95% CI 2.3–17.5) [50]. By contrast, the OR for ICP conferred by *SPINK1* c.194 + 2T > C, which should result in a ~90% functional loss of SPINK1 activity [51, 52], was 59.31 (95% CI 33.93–103.64) based upon data from a Chinese study [36, 40].

Finally, a remarkable difference in terms of phenotype expression was observed between naturally occurring human SPINK1 and CTRC knockouts. Two SPINK1 knockouts, one a homozygous deletion of the entire SPINK1 gene, the other the homozygous insertion of a full-length inverted Alu element into the 3'-untranslated region of the SPINK1 gene (experimentally determined to cause the complete loss of SPINK1 expression), presented with severe exocrine pancreatic insufficiency around 5 months of age [53]. By contrast, a CTRC knockout, homozygous for a deletion of the entire *CTRC* locus, had been clinically asymptomatic until adulthood [54]. Only at the age of 20 was he incidentally found to have calcifications and cysts in the pancreas; subsequent laboratory tests revealed exocrine pancreatic insufficiency [54]. These highly unusual cases are strongly consistent with SPINK1 exerting a much stronger effect than CTRC in terms of the negative regulation of the level of prematurely activated trypsin within the pancreas.

Gene	Variant ^a	Number of HCP families (family description) reported ^b	Reference(s)	Biological/functional consequence	gpAF (hspAF) in gnomAD ^c
PRSS1	Trypsinogen gene triplica- tion	5 (10 patients across 4 generations ^d)	Le Maréchal et al. [27]	GoF (gene dosage) [39]	Absent
	Double "gain-of-function" hybrid variant	1 (6 patients across 3 generations)	Masson et al. [75]	GoF (gene dosage plus effect of p.Asn29lle)	Absent
	c.47C>T (p.Ala16Val)	2 (4 patients across 2 gen- erations; 3 patients across 2 generations)	Grocock et al. [76]	GoF (increased activation) [77]	Absent [78]
	c.62A > C (p.Asp21Ala)	1 (5 patients across 3 generations)	Yilmaz et al. [79]	GoF (increased activation) [80]	Absent
	c.63_71dup (p.Lys23_ lle24inslleAspLys	1 (3 patients across 2 generations)	Joergensen et al. [81]	GoF (increased activation) [81]	Absent
	c.86A>T (p.Asn29lle)	The second most frequent variant causing HCP [38]; in the first report, one fam- ily had 19 patients across 7 generations [82]	Gorry et al. [82]	GoF (increased activation and stability) [77]	Absent
	c.86A > C (p.Asn29Thr)	1 (8 patients across 3 generations)	Dytz et al. [83]	GoF (increased activation and stability) [77]	Absent
	c.116T > C (p.Val39Ala)	1 (9 patients across 3 generations)	Arduino et al. [84]	GoF (increased stability) [77]	Absent
	c.311T>C (p.Leu104Pro)	2 (both having 3 patients across 3 generations)	Teich et al. [85]; Németh et al. [86]	GoP (intracellular reten- tion and elevation of ER stress marker) [87]	Absent
	c.346C>T (p.Arg116Cys)	2 (3 patients across 2 gen- erations; 3 patients across 3 generations)	Pho-lam et al. [88]; Keresz- turi et al. [89]	GoP (intracellular reten- tion and elevation of ER stress marker) [89]	0.00007072 (0.0007018, East Asian)
	c.365G > A (p.Arg122His)	The most frequent variant causing HCP [38]; in the discovery report, one fam- ily had 20 patients across 4 generations [23]		GoF (increased activity) [90, 91]	0.00001194 (0.00002639, non-Finnish European)
	c.365_366GC > AT (p.Arg122His)	1 (4 patients across 4 generations)	Howes et al. [92]	Same as above	Absent
CFTR	Not identified				
SPINK1	c.27DelC (p.Ser10ValfsTer5)	1 (3 patients across 2 generations)	Le Maréchal et al. [93]	LoF (predicted complete functional loss)	0.00001197 (0.00002896, Latino/Admixed American)
	c.41T>G (p.Leu14Arg)	2 (both having 3 patients across 3 generations)	Király et al. [94]	LoF (experimentally demonstrated to abolish SPINK1 secretion) [94]	Absent
	Deletion of the entire gene	1 (3 patients across 2 generations)	Masson et al. [95]	LoF (predicted complete functional loss)	Absent
CTRC	Not identified				

Table 2 Very rare pathologically relevant variants found in HCP in the context of four CP genes

CP chronic pancreatitis, gpAF global population allele frequency, GoF gain-of-function, GoP gain-of-proteotoxicity, HCP hereditary CP, hspAF highest subpopulation allele frequency, LoF loss-of-function

^a All are heterozygous. See Table 1 for reference mRNA accession numbers

^b Data from some original reports were reinterpreted in accordance with our working definition of HCP

^c In accordance with gnomAD v2.1.1 or SVs v2.1 (https://gnomad.broadinstitute.org/) [74]

 $^{\rm d}$ Described was the family with the most affected patients

Taking these observations together, we classified *PRSS1* and *SPINK1* as CP-causing genes and *CFTR* and *CTRC* as CP-predisposing genes. This step, generalized to any gene(s) implicated in any disease, is illustrated in Fig. 3a.

Adapting ACMG guidelines for the classification of variants in the two CP-predisposing genes

We would propose to change two of the five ACMG categories, "pathogenic" and "likely pathogenic", to "predisposing" and "likely predisposing" for the purposes



of classifying the pathologically relevant variants in the *CFTR* and *CTRC* genes. Thus, all *CFTR* pathologically relevant variants previously known as "cystic fibrosis-causing, severe", "cystic fibrosis-causing, mild" and "non-cystic fibrosis-causing" [34] will be classified as

"predisposing" in the context of CP, with the conventional cystic fibrosis-based categories being provided in parentheses. As for the *CTRC* variants, we propose to reclassify all "pathogenic" variants listed in the Genetic Risk Factors in Chronic Pancreatitis Database [38] as CP "predisposing".

A generalized five-category classification system in terms of disease-predisposing genes is illustrated in Fig. 3a.

Extending the ACMG guidelines to classify variants in the two CP-causing genes

It is evident that not all pathologically relevant variants in a given disease-causing gene are causative. To make a distinction at this juncture, we propose to add the above-mentioned two novel categories, "predisposing" and "likely predisposing", to the five ACMG categories (Fig. 3a). Therefore, the key issue is how to distinguish "pathogenic" from "disease predisposing" among the pathologically relevant variants in the causative genes (Fig. 3b).

Establishing an allele frequency threshold to distinguish pathogenic variants from disease predisposing variants

The relative rarity of a variant is a proxy indicator of its potential pathogenicity [1, 55–59]. But defining an allele frequency threshold above which a pathological variant should be considered too common to cause the disease in question is inherently challenging owing to the uncertainties pertaining to disease prevalence, the variable mode of inheritance, the existence of genetic and allelic heterogeneity, and the issue of incomplete penetrance [58].

Earlier, we used a conservative MAF cutoff of < 0.001 to evaluate high confidence HCP-causing variants. Herein, we further explore this issue by evaluating the population allele frequencies of what we term "gold-standard" pathologically relevant variants in the two CP-causing genes. "Gold-standard" LoF variants in SPINK1 refer to pLoF variants or variants experimentally shown to result in a complete or almost complete (>95%) loss of SPINK1 function. By contrast, it is impractical to quantify the effect of GoF or GoP variants. Keeping this caveat in mind, "gold-standard" GoF variants in PRSS1 refer to those variants that are very rare and which have been experimentally shown to increase trypsinogen activation and/or trypsin stability, whereas "gold-standard" GoP variants in PRSS1 refer to those variants that are very rare and which have experimentally been shown to reduce protein secretion and elicit ER stress. The global population allele frequency (gpAF) and hspAF of these "gold-standard" variants are provided in Tables 3, 4 and 5. Herein, it should be noted that in the context of "goldstandard" LoF variants in SPINK1 (Table 5), p.Arg67His,

hspAF in gnomAD^a

Table 3 "Gold-standard" GoF variants in PRSS1

Variant

Amino acid change Nucleotide change **Triplication CNV** Absent Duplication CNV Absent Double "gain-of-function" CNV Absent c.47C>T p.Ala16Val Absent [78] c.49C>A p.Pro17Thr Absent c.56A>C p.Asp19Ala Absent c62A > Cp.Asp21Ala Absent c.65A>G p.Asp22Gly Absent c.68A>G p.Lys23Arg Absent c.63 71dup p.Lys23 Ile24insIleAspLys Absent c.86A>T p.Asn29lle Absent PRSS1-PRSS2 hybrid (gene conversion) p.Asn29lle + p.Asn54Ser Absent c.86A>C p.Asn29Thr Absent PRSS1-PRSS2 hybrid (gene conversion) p.Asn29lle + p.Asn54Ser Absent c.116T>C p.Val39Ala Absent c.276G>T p.Lys92Asn 0.000007953 0 c.364C>T p.Arg122Cys 0.00001988 0 c.365G > A p.Arg122His 0.00001194 0.00002639 (non-Finnish European) c.365 366GC>AT p.Arg122His Absent

gpAF in gnomAD^a

See the Genetic Risk Factors in Chronic Pancreatitis Database [38] for original genetic and functional analysis reports

GoF gain-of-function, gpAF global population allele frequency, hspAF highest subpopulation allele frequency

^a In accordance with gnomAD v2.1.1 or SVs v2.1 (https://gnomad.broadinstitute.org/) [74]

.00006152 (4	African/Afric	an Americar	ו)
.00003517 (r	non-Finnish	European)	

Table 4 "Gold-standard" GoP variants in PRSS1

Variant		hspAF in gnomAD ^a
Amino acid change		
p.Leu104Pro	Absent	
p.Arg116Cys	0.00007072	0.0007018 (East Asian)
p.Cys139Ser	Absent	
p.Cys139Phe	Absent	
	Amino acid change p.Leu104Pro p.Arg116Cys p.Cys139Ser p.Cys139Phe	gpAF in gnomADaAmino acid changep.Leu104Prop.Arg116Cysp.Cys139Serp.Cys139PheAbsent

See the Genetic Risk Factors in Chronic Pancreatitis Database [38] for original genetic and functional analysis reports *GoP* gain-of-proteotoxicity, *gpAF* global population allele frequency, *hspAF* highest subpopulation allele frequency

^a In accordance with gnomAD v2.1.1 or SVs v2.1 (https://gnomad.broadinstitute.org/) [74]

Table 5 "Gold-standard" LoF variants in SPINK1

Variant		gpAF in gnomAD ^a	hspAF in gnomAD ^a
Nucleotide change	Amino acid change		
Presumed complete functiona	l loss		
c28,211_*2,066del		Absent	
c15,969_*7,702del		Absent	
c320_c.55 + 961del		Absent	
c.2T>G	p.Met1?	Absent	
c.2T>C	p.Met1?	Absent	
c.27delC	p.Ser10ValfsTer5	0.00001197	0.00002896 (Latino/Admixed American)
c.55 + 1G > A		Absent	
c.87+1G>A		Absent	
c.98_99insA	p.Tyr33Ter	Absent	
c.177delG	p.Val60TyrfsTer35	Absent	
c.194+1G>A		Absent	
Experimentally demonstrated	complete or almost complete functional	lloss	
c.41T>C	p.Leu14Pro	Absent	
c.41T>G	p.Leu14Arg	Absent	
c.123G>C	p.Lys41Asn ^b	Absent	
c.143G>A	p.Gly48Glu	Absent	
c.150T>G	p.Asp50Glu	0.000003991	0.000008834 (non-Finnish European)
c.160T>C	p.Tyr54His	Absent	
c.190A > G	p.Asn64Asp	Absent	
c.198A > C	p.Lys66Asn	0.0002272	0.0004129 (non-Finnish European)
c.199C>T	p.Arg67Cys	Absent	
c.200G > A	p.Arg67His ^c	0.003187	0.03078 (African/African American)
c.206C>T	p.Thr69lle	0.00001198	0.0001635 (East Asian)
c.236G>T	p.Cys79Phe	Absent	
c.*14_c.*15ins359		Absent	

See the Genetic Risk Factors in Chronic Pancreatitis Database [38] for original genetic and functional analysis reports

gpAF global population allele frequency, hspAF highest subpopulation allele frequency, LoF loss-of-function

^a In accordance with gnomAD v2.1.1 or SVs v2.1 (https://gnomad.broadinstitute.org/) [74]

^b Functional analysis of this variant was performed in ref. [66]

^c This variant was regarded as an outlier and was therefore excluded from the final analysis

which was experimentally shown to cause a complete functional loss of SPINK1 [60], has a hspAF as high as 0.03078. This apparent outlier was excluded from the final analysis.

As shown in Tables 3, 4 and 5, only a small subset (precisely 19% (9/47)) of the "gold-standard" pathologically relevant variants in the two CP-causing genes were found in normal populations. Of this small set of variants, the high-confidence HCP-causing *PRSS1* p.Arg116Cys has the highest hspAF (0.0007018). We therefore elected to adopt the previously recommended allele frequency of 0.001 for the filtering of dominant Mendelian disorders [45] as the threshold hspAF for differentiating pathogenic from disease predisposing variants in the *PRSS1* and *SPINK1* genes.

Establishing gene-specific functional thresholds to distinguish pathogenic variants from disease predisposing variants

In the two CP-causing genes, not all pathologically relevant variants with a hspAF of <0.001 can be pathogenic due to their different functional effects. Taking into consideration the different roles of the two genes, we attempted to set gene-specific functional thresholds that would allow pathogenic variants to be distinguished from disease predisposing variants.

As mentioned earlier, it is impractical to quantify the functional effect of GoF or GoP variants in the *PRSS1* gene. Given (1) the central role of PRSS1 in the trypsindependent pathway and (2) that *PRSS1* is the most abundantly expressed of the pancreatic zymogen genes, we would tentatively classify all *PRSS1* variants with an allele frequency of < 0.001 that have been experimentally demonstrated to be consistent with a GoF or GOP mechanism, as pathogenic.

We would further propose that those *SPINK1* variants with an allele frequency of < 0.001, that were either presumed or experimentally shown to cause a complete or almost complete functional loss (>95%) of SPINK1, should be regarded as pathogenic. Additional support for this proposal came from the *SPINK1* c.194+2T>C variant which is associated with a ~90% functional loss of SPINK1 [51, 52] but has an hspAF of 0.003335 in the East Asian population. As for the lower boundary of functional loss for defining disease predisposing *SPINK1* variants, we would tentatively propose a functional loss of at least 10%.

Use of the two newly established thresholds to reclassify several variants in the two CP-causative genes

In the Genetic Risk Factors in Chronic Pancreatitis Database [38], variants in the *PRSS1* and *SPINK1* genes are systematically classified in accordance with the ACMG recommended five categories with the addition of a new "protective" category. Herein, we mainly focus on the missense variants and pLoF variants that were classified as "pathogenic" or "likely pathogenic" in *PRSS1* and *SPINK1* by the Database [38]. Utilizing the newly established thresholds would result in the reclassification of multiple variants, as described below.

In the context of *PRSS1*, p.Gly208Ala would be reclassified from "pathogenic" to "disease predisposing", primarily because its hspAF is 0.00987 (East Asian), ~10 times higher than the 0.001 allele frequency threshold; moreover, functional assays revealed that this variant had only a moderate impact on secretion [61]; finally, in terms of its genetic effect, it had an OR of only 4.92 for ICP [36, 40]. The "pathogenic" p.Lys92Asn and p.Ser124Ser variants would be reclassified as "likely pathogenic" since both showed moderate impact on secretion but no data on ER stress were available. We would also propose to reclassify the "protective" LoF variants p.Tyr37Ter and c.200 + 1G > A as "benign", with a view to avoiding the addition of a clinically irrelevant category to the five preexisting ACMG categories. Nevertheless, to distinguish them from the classical "benign" variants (e.g., missense variants that have been experimentally demonstrated to be functionally neutral), the "protective" nature of these LoF variants in PRSS1 may be specified in parentheses after the "benign" category (Table 6). Employing the same line of reasoning, we would propose to use the risk allele rather than the protective allele for variant classification with respect to the common promoter variant located at c.-204, upstream of the translational initiation codon of PRSS1 [62–64]. Consequently, c.-204C>A (protective) should be described as c.-204A > C (predisposing).

In the context of SPINK1 variants, there would be three noteworthy reclassifications. First, the abovementioned c.194+2T>C should be reclassified from "pathogenic" to "predisposing". Second, the extensively studied p.Asn34Ser variant should be reclassified from "likely benign" to "benign' [65–67]. Third, the functional enhancer variant, c.-4141G > T, which is in extensive linkage disequilibrium with p.Asn34Ser [65, 67], should be reclassified from "likely pathogenic" to "predisposing" owing to its hspAF of~0.01975 (South Asia). Additionally, a very rare SPINK1 variant, p.Arg65Gln, which has been shown to cause a~50% functional loss of SPINK1 [68, 69], would be also reclassified from "pathogenic" to "predisposing" based upon the above established SPINK1-specific functional threshold (functional loss of > 10 to < 95%).

Further additions to the general classification framework

As mentioned above, it is desirable to provide necessary information (such as detection frequency in patients, **Table 6** Illustrative examples of additions to the mainclassification categories in the context of *PRSS1* variants

Variant	Classification
Trypsinogen gene triplication	Pathogenic (causes HCP; has also been noted in cases with FCP and ICP; causes the disease via a gene dosage effect) [39]
p.Ala16Val	Pathogenic (highly variable pen- etrance [38]; causes disease via the trypsin-dependent pathway) [77]
p.Arg122His	Pathogenic (the most frequent variant found in HCP families [38]; causes disease via the trypsin- dependent pathway) [41, 91]
p.Gly208Ala	Predisposing (Asian population-spe- cific variant, with an allele frequency of 0.009873 in East Asians; odds ratio for ICP, 4.92 [36]; may predispose to CP through the misfolding pathway [42] since it causes a moderate effect on secretion [61])
c204A>C	Predisposing (a common promoter polymorphism whose pathological authenticity is supported by both in silico and functional data; exerts a moderate genetic effect; odds ratio for ICP, 1.28) [64]
c.200+1G>A	Benign (a loss-of-function mutation that was found in normal controls; protective against CP)

CP chronic pancreatitis, *FCP* familial CP, *GoF* gain-of-function, *HCP* hereditary CP, *ICP* idiopathic CP

reported OR, functional analytic data, etc.) about the pathologically relevant variant in question in parentheses immediately after the variant's principal classification. The main reason is that, for any given disease gene, there are often a large number of variants classified as either "pathogenic" or "predisposing". We provide illustrative examples in the context of *PRSS1* variants in Table 6.

Discussion

Employing CP as a disease model and focusing on the four firmly established CP genes, we propose a general variant classification framework that both complements and extends the widely used five ACMG-recommended categories (Fig. 3). To this end, the first step taken was to classify the pathologically relevant variants in the different genes into three functional categories, GoF, LoF and GoP. This allowed us to appropriately perform several cross-gene and cross-variant comparisons, which then enabled us to assign the different genes into two distinct categories in terms of causality; causative genes refer to those genes in which a severe variant can cause CP on its own, whereas disease predisposing genes refer to those genes in which even a highly deleterious variant cannot cause CP by itself. This dichotomy is pivotal because it paves the way for both extension and/or adaptation of the ACMG guidelines (Fig. 3a). Herein, we would like to emphasize that, in common with many term definitions, our currently defined "CP-causing genes" and "CP-predisposing genes" are context-dependent. Thus, we did not consider *CFTR* or *CTRC* as CP-causing genes even if homozygous or compound heterozygous variants in both of them or *CFTR/CTRC trans*-heterozygosity might cause CP.

Another key feature of our proposed conceptual framework was the adoption of two thresholds (allele frequency and functional) to differentiate true pathogenic (disease causing) variants from predisposing variants in the context of disease-causing genes, thereby addressing the basic questions raised by Wright et al. [3]. We readily concede that the threshold values we settled upon, particularly the functional ones, may have to be adjusted once more data become available.

Herein, we used CP as a disease model with which to generate a general variant classification framework. This does not mean that a given disorder necessarily always involves both disease causing and disease predisposing genes. Indeed, our analytical approach may well not be applicable across the board to other disease states and in other gene contexts. Rather, it is proposal for a general framework which comprises a five-category classification system for disease-predisposing genes and a sevencategory classification system for disease-causing genes, that could potentially be applied to all possible situations. For example, in a truly polygenic disease (i.e., a genetic disorder resulting from the combined action of two or more genes, the implicated genes may in principle be termed disease-predisposing genes and all pathologically relevant variants within these genes may accordingly be classified as "disease-predisposing". Moreover, in classical autosomal dominant diseases (e.g., autosomal dominant polycystic kidney disease (ADPKD) [70]) or autosomal recessive diseases (e.g., cystic fibrosis [71]), the so-called modifier genes may in principle be termed disease-predisposing genes. Further, the so-called hypomorphic alleles in some disease-causing genes may be classified as "predisposing" (e.g., [72]). Herein, we would like to emphasize that the assignation of a disease gene as disease-causing or disease-predisposing and the establishment of the allele frequency and functional thresholds (in the context of disease-causing genes) would need to be made on a gene-by-gene basis and would require close collaboration between researchers and clinicians with specific expertise in the diseases/genes in question.

It is worth reiterating that this study aimed to provide a proof-of-concept, general variant classification framework, a process facilitated by the availability of functional data for most missense variants in the *PRSS1*, *SPINK1* and *CTRC* genes. It was not however intended to address in detail the specific criteria and rules used to define each variant classificatory category. Therefore, our proposed framework should not be expected to solve all problems of variant interpretation that are likely to be encountered in a clinical exome or genome sequencing context.

It is also worth emphasizing that our proposed general variant classification framework was aimed at classifying variants at individual levels. It was beyond the scope of this study to attempt to classify variants in combination even although such situations are routinely encountered in clinical practice.

The salient point was that it was found to be unnecessary to make more than minimal changes to the five ACMG variant classification categories. As such, all the principles and rules established by ACMG may be readily used and/or adapted for variant classification using our proposed framework.

Conclusions

In summary, we propose a general classification framework for pathologically relevant variants that successfully addresses key issues pertaining to variant interpretation in medical genetics. The maximal compliance of our proposed five-category and seven-category schemes (for disease-predisposing and disease-causing genes, respectively) with the ACMG guidelines should in principle render these schemes applicable for variant classification in other well-established disease genes.

Abbreviations

ACMG-AMP: The American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics and the Association for Molecular Pathology; ACP: Alcoholic chronic pancreatitis; CP: Chronic pancreatitis; ER: Endoplasmic reticulum; FCP: Familial chronic pancreatitis; GoF: Gain-of-function; GoP: Gain-of-proteotoxicity; gpAF: Global population allele frequency; HCP: Hereditary chronic pancreatitis; hspAF: Highest subpopulation allele frequency; ICP: Idiopathic chronic pancreatitis; LoF: Loss-of-function; MAF: Minor allele frequency; o/e: Observed/expected; OR: Odds ratio; PLoF: Predicted loss-of-function.

Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

Author contributions

EM and W-BZ contributed to the study design, data collation and analysis, and assisted in writing the paper. EG, DNC, GLG, YF, NP and VR analyzed the data and critically revised the manuscript with important intellectual input. CF and ZL contributed to the concept of the study, analyzed the data and critically revised the manuscript. JMC conceived and coordinated the study, performed data collation and analysis, and drafted and revised the manuscript. All authors approved the final manuscript submitted.

Funding

This work was performed within the framework of the Sino-French GREPAN (Genetic REsearch on PANcreatitis) study and was supported by the INSERM (Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale) Cross-Cutting Project GOLD (GenOmic variability in heaLth and Disease), the Association des Pancréatites Chroniques Héréditaires and the Association Gaétan Saleün, France; and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 82120108006 [Z.L.]). N.P. received a 1-year visiting PhD student scholarship from the China Scholarship Council, the Ministry of Education of China (No. 202006190267). The funding sources did not play any role in the study design, collection, analysis or interpretation of the data or in the writing of the report.

Availability of data and materials

All supporting data are available within the article.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate Not applicable.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare that are relevant to the content of this article.

Author details

¹Univ Brest, Inserm, EFS, UMR 1078, GGB, 22 Avenue Camille Desmoulins, F-29200 Brest, France. ²Service de Génétique Médicale et de Biologie de la Reproduction, CHRU Brest, F-29200 Brest, France. ³Department of Gastroenterology, Changhai Hospital, The Secondary Military Medical University, Shanghai, China. ⁴Shanghai Institute of Pancreatic Diseases, Shanghai, China. ⁵Institute of Medical Genetics, School of Medicine, Cardiff University, Cardiff, UK. ⁶Department of Critical Care Medicine, Research Institute of General Surgery, Jinling Hospital, Medical School of Nanjing University, Nanjing, China. ⁷Department of Gastroenterology and Pancreatology, Beaujon Hospital, Assistance Publique-Hôpitaux de Paris, Clichy, Université de Paris, Paris, France.

Received: 16 June 2022 Accepted: 10 August 2022 Published online: 16 August 2022

References

- Richards S, Aziz N, Bale S, Bick D, Das S, Gastier-Foster J, et al. Standards and guidelines for the interpretation of sequence variants: a joint consensus recommendation of the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics and the Association for Molecular Pathology. Genet Med. 2015;17(5):405–24.
- Minikel EV, Vallabh SM, Lek M, Estrada K, Samocha KE, Sathirapongsasuti JF, et al. Quantifying prion disease penetrance using large population control cohorts. Sci Transl Med. 2016;8(322):322ra9.
- Wright CF, West B, Tuke M, Jones SE, Patel K, Laver TW, et al. Assessing the pathogenicity, penetrance, and expressivity of putative disease-causing variants in a population setting. Am J Hum Genet. 2019;104(2):275–86.
- Johnston JJ, Brennan ML, Radenbaugh B, Yoo SJ, Hernandez SM, Core NRP, et al. The ACMG SF v3.0 gene list increases returnable variant detection by 22% when compared with v2.0 in the ClinSeq cohort. Genet Med. 2022;24(3):736–43.
- Kelly MA, Caleshu C, Morales A, Buchan J, Wolf Z, Harrison SM, et al. Adaptation and validation of the ACMG/AMP variant classification framework for MYH7-associated inherited cardiomyopathies: recommendations by ClinGen's Inherited Cardiomyopathy Expert Panel. Genet Med. 2018;20(3):351–9.
- Morales A, Kinnamon DD, Jordan E, Platt J, Vatta M, Dorschner MO, et al. Variant interpretation for dilated cardiomyopathy: refinement of the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics/ClinGen Guidelines for the DCM Precision Medicine Study. Circ Genom Precis Med. 2020;13(2):e002480.
- Kountouris P, Stephanou C, Lederer CW, Traeger-Synodinos J, Bento C, Harteveld CL, et al. Adapting the ACMG/AMP variant classification framework: a perspective from the ClinGen Hemoglobinopathy Variant Curation Expert Panel. Hum Mutat. 2022;43(8):1089–96.
- Girodon E, Rebours V, Chen JM, Pagin A, Levy P, Férec C, et al. Clinical interpretation of *PRSS1* variants in patients with pancreatitis. Clin Res Hepatol Gastroenterol. 2021;45(1):101497.

- Girodon E, Rebours V, Chen JM, Pagin A, Lévy P, Férec C, et al. Clinical interpretation of SPINK1 and CTRC variants in pancreatitis. Pancreatology. 2020;20(7):1354–67.
- Fortuno C, Mester J, Pesaran T, Weitzel JN, Dolinsky J, Yussuf A, et al. Suggested application of HER2+ breast tumor phenotype for germline *TP53* variant classification within ACMG/AMP guidelines. Hum Mutat. 2020;41(9):1555–62.
- Lee K, Krempely K, Roberts ME, Anderson MJ, Carneiro F, Chao E, et al. Specifications of the ACMG/AMP variant curation guidelines for the analysis of germline *CDH1* sequence variants. Hum Mutat. 2018;39(11):1553–68.
- 12. Mester JL, Ghosh R, Pesaran T, Huether R, Karam R, Hruska KS, et al. Gene-specific criteria for *PTEN* variant curation: recommendations from the ClinGen PTEN Expert Panel. Hum Mutat. 2018;39(11):1581–92.
- Oza AM, DiStefano MT, Hemphill SE, Cushman BJ, Grant AR, Siegert RK, et al. Expert specification of the ACMG/AMP variant interpretation guidelines for genetic hearing loss. Hum Mutat. 2018;39(11):1593–613.
- 14. Parsons MT, Tudini E, Li H, Hahnen E, Wappenschmidt B, Feliubadalo L, et al. Large scale multifactorial likelihood quantitative analysis of *BRCA1* and *BRCA2* variants: an ENIGMA resource to support clinical variant classification. Hum Mutat. 2019;40(9):1557–78.
- Brandt T, Sack LM, Arjona D, Tan D, Mei H, Cui H, et al. Adapting ACMG/ AMP sequence variant classification guidelines for single-gene copy number variants. Genet Med. 2020;22(2):336–44.
- Nykamp K, Anderson M, Powers M, Garcia J, Herrera B, Ho YY, et al. Sherloc: a comprehensive refinement of the ACMG-AMP variant classification criteria. Genet Med. 2017;19(10):1105–17.
- Houge G, Laner A, Cirak S, de Leeuw N, Scheffer H, den Dunnen JT. Stepwise ABC system for classification of any type of genetic variant. Eur J Hum Genet. 2022;30(2):150–9.
- Kleeff J, Whitcomb DC, Shimosegawa T, Esposito I, Lerch MM, Gress T, et al. Chronic pancreatitis. Nat Rev Dis Prim. 2017;3:17060.
- Ru N, Xu XN, Cao Y, Zhu JH, Hu LH, Wu SY, et al. The impacts of genetic and environmental factors on the progression of chronic pancreatitis. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2022;20(6):e1378–87.
- Le Bodic L, Bignon JD, Raguenes O, Mercier B, Georgelin T, Schnee M, et al. The hereditary pancreatitis gene maps to long arm of chromosome 7. Hum Mol Genet. 1996;5(4):549–54.
- Whitcomb DC, Preston RA, Aston CE, Sossenheimer MJ, Barua PS, Zhang Y, et al. A gene for hereditary pancreatitis maps to chromosome 7q35. Gastroenterology. 1996;110(6):1975–80.
- Pandya A, Blanton SH, Landa B, Javaheri R, Melvin E, Nance WE, et al. Linkage studies in a large kindred with hereditary pancreatitis confirms mapping of the gene to a 16-cM region on 7q. Genomics. 1996;38(2):227–30.
- Whitcomb DC, Gorry MC, Preston RA, Furey W, Sossenheimer MJ, Ulrich CD, et al. Hereditary pancreatitis is caused by a mutation in the cationic trypsinogen gene. Nat Genet. 1996;14(2):141–5.
- Masson E, Rebours V, Buscail L, Frete F, Pagenault M, Lachaux A, et al. The reversion variant (p.Arg90Leu) at the evolutionarily adaptive p.Arg90 site in CELA3B predisposes to chronic pancreatitis. Hum Mutat. 2021;42(4):385–91.
- 25. Chen JM, Férec C. Chronic pancreatitis: genetics and pathogenesis. Annu Rev Genom Hum Genet. 2009;10:63–87.
- Masson E, Zou WB, Génin E, Cooper DN, Le Gac G, Fichou Y, et al. A proposed general variant classification framework using chronic pancreatitis as a disease model. medRxiv 2022.06.03.22275950; https://doi. org/10.1101/2022.06.03.22275950 2022.
- Le Maréchal C, Masson E, Chen JM, Morel F, Ruszniewski P, Levy P, et al. Hereditary pancreatitis caused by triplication of the trypsinogen locus. Nat Genet. 2006;38(12):1372–4.
- Cohn JA, Friedman KJ, Noone PG, Knowles MR, Silverman LM, Jowell PS. Relation between mutations of the cystic fibrosis gene and idiopathic pancreatitis. N Engl J Med. 1998;339(10):653–8.
- Sharer N, Schwarz M, Malone G, Howarth A, Painter J, Super M, et al. Mutations of the cystic fibrosis gene in patients with chronic pancreatitis. N Engl J Med. 1998;339(10):645–52.
- Witt H, Luck W, Hennies HC, Classen M, Kage A, Lass U, et al. Mutations in the gene encoding the serine protease inhibitor, Kazal type 1 are associated with chronic pancreatitis. Nat Genet. 2000;25(2):213–6.

- Rosendahl J, Witt H, Szmola R, Bhatia E, Ozsvari B, Landt O, et al. Chymotrypsin C (CTRC) variants that diminish activity or secretion are associated with chronic pancreatitis. Nat Genet. 2008;40(1):78–82.
- 32. Masson E, Chen JM, Scotet V, Le Maréchal C, Férec C. Association of rare chymotrypsinogen C (*CTRC*) gene variations in patients with idiopathic chronic pancreatitis. Hum Genet. 2008;123(1):83–91.
- Masson E, Chen JM, Audrézet MP, Cooper DN, Férec C. A conservative assessment of the major genetic causes of idiopathic chronic pancreatitis: data from a comprehensive analysis of *PRSS1*, *SPINK1*, *CTRC* and *CFTR* genes in 253 young French patients. PLoS ONE. 2013;8(8):e73522.
- Rosendahl J, Landt O, Bernadova J, Kovacs P, Teich N, Bodeker H, et al. *CFTR*, *SPINK1*, *CTRC* and *PRSS1* variants in chronic pancreatitis: is the role of mutated *CFTR* overestimated? Gut. 2013;62(4):582–92.
- Jalaly NY, Moran RA, Fargahi F, Khashab MA, Kamal A, Lennon AM, et al. An evaluation of factors associated with pathogenic *PRSS1*, *SPINK1*, *CTFR*, and/or *CTRC* genetic variants in patients with idiopathic pancreatitis. Am J Gastroenterol. 2017;112(8):1320–9.
- Zou WB, Tang XY, Zhou DZ, Qian YY, Hu LH, Yu FF, et al. SPINK1, PRSS1, CTRC, and CFTR genotypes influence disease onset and clinical outcomes in chronic pancreatitis. Clin Transl Gastroenterol. 2018;9(11):204.
- Cho SM, Shin S, Lee KA. PRSS1, SPINK1, CFTR, and CTRC pathogenic variants in Korean patients with idiopathic pancreatitis. Ann Lab Med. 2016;36(6):555–60.
- Nemeth BC, Sahin-Tóth M. The Genetic Risk Factors in Chronic Pancreatitis Database. http://www.pancreasgenetics.org/index.php. Accessed 23 May 2022.
- Zou WB, Cooper DN, Masson E, Pu N, Liao Z, Férec C, et al. Trypsinogen (*PRSS1* and *PRSS2*) gene dosage correlates with pancreatitis risk across genetic and transgenic studies: a systematic review and re-analysis. Hum Genet. 2022;141(8):1327–38.
- Chen JM, Herzig AF, Genin E, Masson E, Cooper DN, Férec C. Scale and scope of gene-alcohol interactions in chronic pancreatitis: a systematic review. Genes (Basel). 2021;12(4):471.
- Hegyi E, Sahin-Tóth M. Genetic risk in chronic pancreatitis: the trypsindependent pathway. Dig Dis Sci. 2017;62(7):1692–701.
- 42. Sahin-Tóth M. Genetic risk in chronic pancreatitis: the misfolding-dependent pathway. Curr Opin Gastroenterol. 2017;33(5):390–5.
- Mayerle J, Sendler M, Hegyi E, Beyer G, Lerch MM, Sahin-Toth M. Genetics, cell biology, and pathophysiology of pancreatitis. Gastroenterology. 2019;156(7):1951-68.e1.
- Manolio TA, Collins FS, Cox NJ, Goldstein DB, Hindorff LA, Hunter DJ, et al. Finding the missing heritability of complex diseases. Nature. 2009;461(7265):747–53.
- Bamshad MJ, Ng SB, Bigham AW, Tabor HK, Emond MJ, Nickerson DA, et al. Exome sequencing as a tool for Mendelian disease gene discovery. Nat Rev Genet. 2011;12(11):745–55.
- 46. Rebours V, Levy P, Ruszniewski P. An overview of hereditary pancreatitis. Dig Liver Dis. 2012;44(1):8–15.
- 47. Karczewski KJ, Francioli LC, Tiao G, Cummings BB, Alfoldi J, Wang Q, et al. The mutational constraint spectrum quantified from variation in 141,456 humans. Nature. 2020;581(7809):434–43.
- Chen JM, Le Maréchal C, Lucas D, Raguénès O, Férec C. "Loss of function" mutations in the cationic trypsinogen gene (*PRSS1*) may act as a protective factor against pancreatitis. Mol Genet Metab. 2003;79(1):67–70.
- Witt H, Sahin-Tóth M, Landt O, Chen JM, Kahne T, Drenth JP, et al. A degradation-sensitive anionic trypsinogen (PRSS2) variant protects against chronic pancreatitis. Nat Genet. 2006;38(6):668–73.
- Beer S, Zhou J, Szabo A, Keiles S, Chandak GR, Witt H, et al. Comprehensive functional analysis of chymotrypsin C (*CTRC*) variants reveals distinct loss-of-function mechanisms associated with pancreatitis risk. Gut. 2013;62(11):1616–24.
- Kume K, Masamune A, Kikuta K, Shimosegawa T. [-215G>A; IVS3+2T>C] mutation in the SPINK1 gene causes exon 3 skipping and loss of the trypsin binding site. Gut. 2006;55(8):1214.
- Zou WB, Boulling A, Masson E, Cooper DN, Liao Z, Li ZS, et al. Clarifying the clinical relevance of *SPINK1* intronic variants in chronic pancreatitis. Gut. 2016;65(5):884–6.
- Venet T, Masson E, Talbotec C, Billiemaz K, Touraine R, Gay C, et al. Severe infantile isolated exocrine pancreatic insufficiency caused by the complete functional loss of the SPINK1 gene. Hum Mutat. 2017;38(12):1660–5.

- Masson E, Hammel P, Garceau C, Benech C, Quemener-Redon S, Chen JM, et al. Characterization of two deletions of the *CTRC* locus. Mol Genet Metab. 2013;109(3):296–300.
- Whiffin N, Minikel E, Walsh R, O'Donnell-Luria AH, Karczewski K, Ing AY, et al. Using high-resolution variant frequencies to empower clinical genome interpretation. Genet Med. 2017;19(10):1151–8.
- Ragoussis V, Pagnamenta AT, Haines RL, Giacopuzzi E, McClatchey MA, Sampson JR, et al. Using data from the 100,000 Genomes Project to resolve conflicting interpretations of a recurrent *TUBB2A* mutation. J Med Genet. 2022;59(4):366–9.
- Hanany M, Sharon D. Allele frequency analysis of variants reported to cause autosomal dominant inherited retinal diseases question the involvement of 19% of genes and 10% of reported pathogenic variants. J Med Genet. 2019;56(8):536–42.
- Kobayashi Y, Yang S, Nykamp K, Garcia J, Lincoln SE, Topper SE. Pathogenic variant burden in the ExAC database: an empirical approach to evaluating population data for clinical variant interpretation. Genome Med. 2017;9(1):13.
- 59. Minikel EV, MacArthur DG. Publicly available data provide evidence against *NR1H3* R415Q causing multiple sclerosis. Neuron. 2016;92(2):336–8.
- Boulling A, Keiles S, Masson E, Chen JM, Férec C. Functional analysis of eight missense mutations in the SPINK1 gene. Pancreas. 2012;41(2):329–30.
- Schnúr A, Beer S, Witt H, Hegyi P, Sahin-Tóth M. Functional effects of 13 rare *PRSS1* variants presumed to cause chronic pancreatitis. Gut. 2014;63(2):337–43.
- Whitcomb DC, LaRusch J, Krasinskas AM, Klei L, Smith JP, Brand RE, et al. Common genetic variants in the *CLDN2* and *PRSS1-PRSS2* loci alter risk for alcohol-related and sporadic pancreatitis. Nat Genet. 2012;44(12):1349–54.
- Boulling A, Sato M, Masson E, Genin E, Chen JM, Férec C. Identification of a functional *PRSS1* promoter variant in linkage disequilibrium with the chronic pancreatitis-protecting rs10273639. Gut. 2015;64(11):1837–8.
- 64. Herzig AF, Genin E, Cooper DN, Masson E, Férec C, Chen JM. Role of the common *PRSS1-PRSS2* haplotype in alcoholic and non-alcoholic chronic pancreatitis: meta- and re-analyses. Genes (Basel). 2020;11(11):1349.
- Boulling A, Masson E, Zou WB, Paliwal S, Wu H, Issarapu P, et al. Identification of a functional enhancer variant within the chronic pancreatitis-associated *SPINK1* c.101A>G (p.Asn34Ser)-containing haplotype. Hum Mutat. 2017;38(8):1014–24.
- Szabó A, Toldi V, Gazda LD, Demcsak A, Tozser J, Sahin-Tóth M. Defective binding of SPINK1 variants is an uncommon mechanism for impaired trypsin inhibition in chronic pancreatitis. J Biol Chem. 2021;296:100343.
- Pu N, Masson E, Cooper DN, Genin E, Férec C, Chen JM. Chronic pancreatitis: the true pathogenic culprit within the *SPINK1* N34S-containing haplotype is no longer at large. Genes (Basel). 2021;12(11):1683.
- Boulling A, Le Maréchal C, Trouvé P, Raguénès O, Chen JM, Férec C. Functional analysis of pancreatitis-associated missense mutations in the pancreatic secretory trypsin inhibitor (*SPINK1*) gene. Eur J Hum Genet. 2007;15(9):936–42.
- 69. Király O, Wartmann T, Sahin-Tóth M. Missense mutations in pancreatic secretory trypsin inhibitor (SPINK1) cause intracellular retention and degradation. Gut. 2007;56(10):1433–8.
- Lanktree MB, Haghighi A, di Bari I, Song X, Pei Y. Insights into autosomal dominant polycystic kidney disease from genetic studies. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol. 2021;16(5):790–9.
- Butnariu LI, Tarca E, Cojocaru E, Rusu C, Moisa SM, Leon Constantin MM, et al. Genetic modifying factors of cystic fibrosis phenotype: a challenge for modern medicine. J Clin Med. 2021;10(24):5821.
- Durkie M, Chong J, Valluru MK, Harris PC, Ong ACM. Biallelic inheritance of hypomorphic *PKD1* variants is highly prevalent in very early onset polycystic kidney disease. Genet Med. 2021;23(4):689–97.
- The Human Protein Atlas. https://www.proteinatlas.org. Accessed 12 April 2022.
- 74. gnomAD (Genome Aggregation Database). https://gnomad.broadinstitute. org/. Accessed 12 April 2022.
- Masson E, Le Maréchal C, Delcenserie R, Chen JM, Férec C. Hereditary pancreatitis caused by a double gain-of-function trypsinogen mutation. Hum Genet. 2008;123(5):521–9.
- Grocock CJ, Rebours V, Delhaye MN, Andren-Sandberg A, Weiss FU, Mountford R, et al. The variable phenotype of the p.A16V mutation of cationic trypsinogen (*PRSS1*) in pancreatitis families. Gut. 2010;59(3):357–63.

- Szabó A, Sahin-Tóth M. Increased activation of hereditary pancreatitis-associated human cationic trypsinogen mutants in presence of chymotrypsin C. J Biol Chem. 2012;287(24):20701–10.
- Génin E, Cooper DN, Masson E, Férec C, Chen JM. NGS mismapping confounds the clinical interpretation of the *PRSS1* pAla16Val (c.47C>T) variant in chronic pancreatitis. Gut. 2022;71(4):841–2.
- Yilmaz B, Ekiz F, Karakas E, Aykut A, Simsek Z, Coban S, et al. A rare *PRSS1* mutation in a Turkish family with hereditary chronic pancreatitis. Turk J Gastroenterol. 2012;23(6):826–7.
- Nemoda Z, Sahin-Tóth M. The tetra-aspartate motif in the activation peptide of human cationic trypsinogen is essential for autoactivation control but not for enteropeptidase recognition. J Biol Chem. 2005;280(33):29645–52.
- Joergensen MT, Geisz A, Brusgaard K, de Muckadell OBS, Hegyi P, Gerdes AM, et al. Intragenic duplication: a novel mutational mechanism in hereditary pancreatitis. Pancreas. 2011;40(4):540–6.
- Gorry MC, Gabbaizedeh D, Furey W, Gates LK Jr, Preston RA, Aston CE, et al. Mutations in the cationic trypsinogen gene are associated with recurrent acute and chronic pancreatitis. Gastroenterology. 1997;113(4):1063–8.
- Dytz MG, de Mendes MJ, de Castro Santos O, da Silva Santos ID, Rodacki M, Conceicao FL, et al. Hereditary pancreatitis associated with the N29T mutation of the *PRSS1* gene in a Brazilian family: a case-control study. Medicine (Baltimore). 2015;94(37):e1508.
- Arduino C, Salacone P, Pasini B, Brusco A, Salmin P, Bacillo E, et al. Association of a new cationic trypsinogen gene mutation (V39A) with chronic pancreatitis in an Italian family. Gut. 2005;54(11):1663–4.
- Teich N, Bauer N, Mossner J, Keim V. Mutational screening of patients with nonalcoholic chronic pancreatitis: identification of further trypsinogen variants. Am J Gastroenterol. 2002;97(2):341–6.
- Németh BC, Patai ÁV, Sahin-Tóth M, Hegyi P. Misfolding cationic trypsinogen variant p.L104P causes hereditary pancreatitis. Gut. 2017;66(9):1727–8.
- Balazs A, Hegyi P, Sahin-Tóth M. Pathogenic cellular role of the p.L104P human cationic trypsinogen variant in chronic pancreatitis. Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol. 2016;310(7):477–86.
- Pho-lam T, Thongnoppakhun W, Yenchitsomanus PT, Limwongse C. A Thai family with hereditary pancreatitis and increased cancer risk due to a mutation in *PRSS1* gene. World J Gastroenterol. 2005;11(11):1634–8.
- Kereszturi E, Szmola R, Kukor Z, Simon P, Weiss FU, Lerch MM, et al. Hereditary pancreatitis caused by mutation-induced misfolding of human cationic trypsinogen: a novel disease mechanism. Hum Mutat. 2009;30(4):575–82.
- Gui F, Zhang Y, Wan J, Zhan X, Yao Y, Li Y, et al. Trypsin activity governs increased susceptibility to pancreatitis in mice expressing human PRSS1^{R122H}, J Clin Invest. 2020;130(1):189–202.
- Huang H, Swidnicka-Siergiejko AK, Daniluk J, Gaiser S, Yao Y, Peng L, et al. Transgenic expression of PRSS1^{R122H} sensitizes mice to pancreatitis. Gastroenterology. 2020;158(4):1072-82 e7.
- Howes N, Greenhalf W, Rutherford S, O'Donnell M, Mountford R, Ellis I, et al. A new polymorphism for the RI22H mutation in hereditary pancreatitis. Gut. 2001;48(2):247–50.
- Le Maréchal C, Chen JM, Le Gall C, Plessis G, Chipponi J, Chuzhanova NA, et al. Two novel severe mutations in the pancreatic secretory trypsin inhibitor gene (*SPINK1*) cause familial and/or hereditary pancreatitis. Hum Mutat. 2004;23(2):205.
- Kiraly O, Boulling A, Witt H, Le Marechal C, Chen JM, Rosendahl J, et al. Signal peptide variants that impair secretion of pancreatic secretory trypsin inhibitor (SPINK1) cause autosomal dominant hereditary pancreatitis. Hum Mutat. 2007;28(5):469–76.
- Masson E, Le Marechal C, Levy P, Chuzhanova N, Ruszniewski P, Cooper DN, et al. Co-inheritance of a novel deletion of the entire SPINK1 gene with a CFTR missense mutation (L997F) in a family with chronic pancreatitis. Mol Genet Metab. 2007;92(1–2):168–75.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.