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Stabilizing metal nanoparticles is vital for large scale implementations of supported metal catalysts, particularly for a sustainable transition to clean energy, e.g., \( \text{H}_2 \) production. In this work, iridium sub-nanometric particles were deposited on commercial graphite and on graphitic carbon nitride by a wet impregnation method to investigate the metal–support interaction during the hydrous hydrazine decomposition reaction. To establish a structure–activity relationship, samples were characterized by transmission electron microscopy and X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy. The catalytic performance of the synthesized materials was evaluated under mild reaction conditions, i.e. 323 K and ambient pressure. The results showed that graphitic carbon nitride (GCN) enhances the stability of Ir nanoparticles compared to graphite, while maintaining remarkable activity and selectivity. Simulation techniques including Genetic Algorithm geometry screening and electronic structure analyses were employed to provide a valuable atomic level understanding of the metal–support interactions. N anchoring sites of GCN were found to minimise the thermodynamic driving force of coalescence, thus improving the catalyst stability, as well as to lead charge redistributions in the cluster improving the resistance to poisoning by decomposition intermediates.

Introduction

Metal nanoparticles (NPs) dispersed on carbonaceous supports are of high interest in catalysis. In particular, platinum-group-metals, i.e. Ru, Rh, Pd, Os, Ir and Pt, remain essential for many sustainable chemical processes, including biomass valorisation and hydrogen production, due to their excellent catalytic performances and durability.1,2

Establishing a structure–activity and stability relationship in heterogeneous catalysis is challenging.3 The dependency of the catalytic activity upon particle size, structure of the active phase and support effects has been demonstrated for several catalytic processes4 thanks to recent advances in characterization techniques.5,6 Indeed, the number and composition of accessible conformations at operative conditions are strongly dependent upon the NP diameter, leading to dimension-specific catalytic activities where “every atom counts”. Usually, the smaller the particle size, the higher is the catalyst performance due to an enhanced number of superficial atoms able to react with the substrates.7,8

Among the many reports available in the literature regarding supported metal nanoparticles as catalysts,4,9 remarkable results were obtained using NPs with sizes below 2 nm. For example, the activity of Rh NPs in the hydrogenation of cyclohexene10 and phenols11 is greatly enhanced when particle sizes are 0.4 nm and 1.3 nm, respectively. However, Rogers and co-workers12 found that Pd nanoclusters of about 20 atoms have lower durability than larger NPs for the furfural hydrogenation reaction. Indeed, despite the intriguing properties related to sub-nanometric metal clusters, one of the biggest challenges is still finding practical ways to stabilise them against migration and ripening phenomena.

The support plays a fundamental role in stabilizing metal clusters, avoiding undesired sintering processes.13,14 Carbon materials are highly attractive as catalyst supports, because of their...
relatively low costs and high tunability of the physicochemical properties. Indeed, chemical modification of a carbon surface can improve the stabilization of few-atom clusters and single atoms, and strongly influence the nature of the metal species, in a way which resembles organic ligands in organometallic catalysis, thus opening up the opportunity to realize high site specificity. The presence of heteroatoms (e.g. N, O, B, and P) and surface defects provide three main advantages: (i) to act as nucleation/growth sites for metal clusters, (ii) to stabilize metal clusters through coordinative bonds suppressing undesired sintering processes, and (iii) to alter the cluster electronic configuration to facilitate adsorption/desorption of substrates, thus enhancing reaction rates. In a recent study Liu and co-workers, it was demonstrated that Ir nanodots embedded in a carbon skeleton with an average size of 1.19 nm were remarkably stable in the electrocatalytic nitrogen reduction reaction (NRR), exhibiting excellent electrocatalytic NRR performances under both acidic and alkaline conditions. Concerning heteroatom stabilization, Kim and co-workers observed that sub-2 nm Pt NPs deposited on O-doped carbon enhanced the catalytic activity in the oxygen reduction reaction (ORR) with respect to the pristine support. In formic acid decomposition (FAD), the effect of dopants (i.e. O, P, and N) was unveiled, highlighting a great improvement in the catalytic performances and stability of Pt NPs. Xu and co-workers reported an exceptionally high catalytic activity for FAD over Pd NPs immobilized in N-doped carbon at 333 K. In addition, the N functionalities enabled them to stabilize ultra-fine nanoparticles (1.1 ± 0.2 nm), enhancing the catalyst activity with respect to the pristine counterparts. Different electrocatalysis reports also confirmed the possibility of stabilizing nanoparticles below 2 nm by employing N-functionalised carbon materials. Recent studies indicated graphic carbon nitride as an ideal support for stabilizing small NPs, nanostructures, and single atom species due to its unique properties. The peculiar electronic structure and the N-rich framework composed of amine-bridged tri/heptaazomethine moieties in these systems provide many adsorption sites for grafting metal clusters on their surface.

Hydrazine (N₂H₄) is one of the most promising hydrogen carriers. It is a carbon-free fuel, and has a hydrogen content of 12.5 wt%. However, hydrazine possesses an intrinsically pyrophoric nature, namely, the risk of explosion is one of the most serious problems related to its handling, especially in the presence of active metals that may catalyze its decomposition. One solution to this challenge is NH₄H₄ dilution in water, forming hydrous hydrazine (NH₄H₄·H₂O), which contains an 8 wt% intrinsic stoichiometric content of hydrogen. NH₄H₄·H₂O is reported to decompose catalytically through two different pathways:

\[ N₂N₄ \rightarrow N₂(g) + 2H₂(g) \quad \Delta H^0 = -95.4 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1} \quad (1) \]

\[ 3N₂N₄ \rightarrow 2N₂(g) + 4NH₃(g) \quad \Delta H^0 = -157.0 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1} \quad (2) \]

The first reaction (eqn (1)) represents the complete reforming pathway, where molecular hydrogen and nitrogen are produced. The second path (eqn (2)) produces nitrogen and ammonia and is the thermodynamically favored process. The selectivity of the process depends upon several reaction conditions, such as pressure, temperature and nature of the catalyst. Previous studies have shown that iridium is effective in the catalytic decomposition of hydrous hydrazine. Singh and co-workers reported the activity of a series of monometallic nanoparticles (Rh, Co, Ir, Cu, Ni, Fe, Pt and Pd) for the hydrazine decomposition and, at 298 K, although very active, Ir was only able to achieve 7% of H₂ selectivity, and no information on the stability of the catalysts was reported. The low Ir selectivity was confirmed by computational Density Functional Theory (DFT) studies of hydrazine decomposition over an Ir(111) slab, underlining the ability of the surface to decompose the substrate preferentially through the incomplete decomposition pathways (eqn (2)). Jang and co-workers prepared honeycomb Ir NPs immobilized on γ-Al₂O₃ through a soak-dry procedure, with a nominal metal loading of 2 wt% (2 wt% Ir/γ-Al₂O₃). The authors demonstrated the ability of such catalysts to decompose hydrous hydrazine at ambient temperature, but no detailed information on catalytic activity, stability and H₂ selectivity was reported. Furthermore, Motta and co-workers synthesized by a decomposition–precipitation method sub-nanometric (> 2 nm) Ir NPs supported on CeO₂ (1 wt% Ir/CeO₂) and tested it in the hydrous hydrazine decomposition, varying the reaction temperature. They demonstrated that increasing the reaction temperature resulted in a higher activity but a lower selectivity toward H₂.

In this work, sub-nanometric clusters supported on graphitic carbon materials (graphite and GCN) were studied in the hydrous hydrazine decomposition reaction. Graphite and GCN present comparable electronic and morphological properties, but a remarkably different N intrinsic content, which allowed us to rationalize the enhanced stability of supported sub-nanometric Ir particles on carbonaceous supports and unveil the effect of N-functionalities. To disclose structure–activity correlations and metal–support interactions, fresh and used catalysts were characterized by HR-TEM and XPS in combination with computational techniques. The combined experimental and computational approach presented herein provided an in depth understanding of the enhanced stability observed over GCN at the atomistic scale and of the role of metal–support interactions.

**Experimental method**

**Materials and chemicals**

Graphite was obtained from Johnson Matthey. IrCl₃ · xH₂O (99.9%), N₂H₄ · 3H₂O (98%), sodium hydroxide (NaOH, ≥ 98%), molten cyanamide, Ludox HS40 SiO₂ particles, NH₄HF₂, NaBH₄ and ethanol were acquired from Sigma-Aldrich.

**Catalyst synthesis**

**GCN synthesis.** The carbon nitride sample was prepared following the procedure reported in the literature. Accordingly, molten cyanamide (1 g, 24 mmol; Sigma-Aldrich) was heated and stirred at 343 K, and 1 g of a 40 wt% dispersion of SiO₂ particles (Ludox HS40, Aldrich) in water was added dropwise to establish a
40 vol% porosity. The resulting transparent mixture was then heated at a rate of 4.5 K min⁻¹ over 2 h to reach a temperature of 848 K and then kept at this temperature for another 4 h. The resulting yellow powder was treated with 25 mL of 4 M NH₄HF₂ under continuous stirring at room temperature for 2 days to remove the silica template in a closed polypropylene bottle flushed with nitrogen. The powder was then centrifuged and washed three times with distilled water and twice with ethanol. Finally, the powder was dried at 343 K under vacuum for several hours.

**Iridium wet impregnation procedure**

In a typical wet impregnation procedure, slightly varied from the work of Shao and co-workers, a final MeOH volume of 100 mL was employed. In a 250 mL round bottom flask, 0.5 g of the desired support was introduced and left under stirring (1250 rpm) for 15 min. After the specified time, the temperature was slowly increased up to 323 K, the desired amount of precursor ([IrCl₆]·xH₂O, 99.9%) was introduced, and the mixture was slowly heated up to 343 K and left under reflow conditions for 8 h. The amount of support and precursor were calculated to have a nominal metal loading of 1 wt%. After the specified time, a 20-fold molar excess of aqueous NaBH₄ was quickly added, and the reaction mixture was left under stirring for 1 h to ensure complete reduction of the impregnated precursor. The solid was filtered, washed several times with EtOH (1 L g⁻¹) and water (1 L g⁻¹), and dried overnight at RT in air.

**Catalytic tests**

Liquid phase N₂H₄·H₂O decomposition was performed at a constant reaction temperature of 323 K, under kinetic conditions, using a 35 mL two-necked round bottom flask, with one of the flask's necks connected to the pressure detection sensor. Gaseous products evolution was monitored through the Man On the Moon X102 kit measuring the partial pressures of the released product, as reported in several reports concerning H₂ production. In a typical procedure, the required amount of catalyst (N₂H₄·H₂O/metal molar ratio 1000/1) was added to the reactor, where 5.0 mL of a 0.5 M NaOH aqueous solution was placed and heated at the desired temperature, until the registered pressure exhibited a plateau, indicating that equilibrium has been achieved. Once equilibrated, the pressure was released to avoid over-pressures within the reactor. Finally, 300 µL of a 3.3 M hydrous hydrazine aqueous solution was injected into the reactor through a vacuum septum, using a syringe, with the final mixture stirring at 1400 rpm. Once the substrate was injected, the data collection started with a sampling time of 0.3 s until the end of the decomposition reaction, indicated by a pressure plateau. To ensure experimental reproducibility and assess measuring uncertainty, all the catalytic tests were performed three times. Selectivity towards H₂ generation (x) was evaluated based on eqn (3):

\[
3\text{N}_2\text{H}_4 \rightarrow 4(1-x)\text{NH}_3 + 6\text{H}_2 + (1 + 2x)\text{N}_2 \quad (3)
\]

The released gaseous products were allowed to pass into a trap containing a 0.05 M HCl aqueous solution to ensure the removal of NH₃, if any, hence the gas pressure measured during the reaction contained only N₂ and H₂, enabling us to obtain the molar ratio (\(\lambda\)) as \(\frac{n(\text{H}_2 + \text{N}_2)}{n(\text{N}_2\text{H}_4)}\) by means of the perfect gas law (\(pV = nRT\)). Therefore, H₂ selectivity was calculated through eqn (4):

\[
x = \frac{3\lambda - 1}{8}, \quad \left[ \lambda = \frac{n(\text{H}_2 + \text{N}_2)}{n(\text{N}_2\text{H}_4)} \left( \frac{1}{3} \leq \lambda \leq 3 \right) \right] \quad (4)
\]

Catalytic activity was calculated as the ratio between moles of converted substrate and metal moles, normalized with respect to the reaction time (t). All the metal species were considered active in the hydrous hydrazine decomposition. Considering the high number of sampling data, it was possible to calculate the initial activity for time approaching zero (t → 0) through numerical data treatment. Data analysis and elaboration were performed with in-house developed Python scripts based on Numpy and Scipy, well-established libraries for numerical analysis.

**Catalysts characterization**

TEM experiments were performed on a double Cs aberration-corrected FEI Titan3 Themis 60–300 microscope equipped with a monochromator, a X-FEG gun and a high efficiency XEDS ChemiSTEM, which consists of 4-windowless SDD detectors. HR-STEM imaging was performed at 200 kV and using a high-angle annular dark-field (HAADF) detector with a camera length of 11.5 cm. The HAADF-STEM technique is sensitive to the atomic number of the elements, whose intensity is roughly proportional to the square of the atomic number (Z²) and makes it possible to distinguish small nanoparticles supported on light supports. XEDS mappings were performed using a beam current of 200 pA and a dwell time per pixel of 128 µs. To improve the visual quality of the elemental maps obtained, these were filtered using a Gaussian blur of 0.8 using Velox software. Based on the STEM-HAADF images of the catalysts, the diameters of more than 200 metal particles randomly selected were measured and the corresponding metal particle size distributions (PSD) were determined. Based on these PSDs, mean particle diameter (d) was calculated according to the following expression: \(d = n_d/n_o\), where \(n_o \geq 200\). For average particle size calculation ImageJ software was used.

X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) analyses were performed on a Kratos Axis Ultra DLD instrument. Spectra were recorded using monochromatized Al Kα radiation (1486.6 eV), with an X-ray power of 150 W. The spectrometer was operated in the Constant Analyser Energy mode, with a pass energy of 20 eV. Powder samples were pressed into pellets, which were stuck on double-sided adhesive conducting polymer tape. Surface charging effects were compensated by making use of the Kratos coaxial neutralization system. XPS data analysis was performed with CasaXPS Software, version 2319PR1-0, developed by Neal Fairley (Casa Software Ltd, 2013). The N1s XPS peaks were fitted using the model reported in ref. 68 for the GCN and ref. 69 the graphite systems. The C1s XPS peaks were fitted using the model reported in ref. 68 for the GCN.
and ref. 70 for the graphite systems. The Ir 4f was fitted using the model reported in ref. 71. The O1s XPS peaks were fitted according to the model reported in ref. 70. Table S1 (ESI†) summarizes the fitting models employed.

Inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectroscopy (ICP-OES) analyses, using a PerkinElmer Optima 8000 emission spectrometer, were performed to confirm the Ir metal loading and to detect metal leaching in the reaction solution.

### Computational details

Periodic plane-wave density functional theory (pw-DFT) calculations were carried out using the Vienna *Ab-initio* Simulation Package (VASP). In order to take into account the correlation–exchange electronic contributions, the Perdew–Burke–Ernzerhof (PBE) functional was employed, based on previous reports on metal–support interaction, with a kinetic energy of 520 eV chosen as the cutoff value for the expansion of the plane-waves basis set. All calculations employed long-range dispersion correction as proposed by the Grimme – DFT-D3 method, which shows an improvement on pure DFT to evaluate molecular interactions. The optimization thresholds for electronic energies and ionic forces relaxation were respectively 10$^{-6}$ eV and 0.02 eV Å$^{-1}$. Accurate electronic structures were obtained by means of the tetrahedron method as implemented in VASP. The Brillouin zone was sampled using a 5 × 5 × 1 Γ-centred k-point mesh generated through the Monkhorst–Pack method, minimising any Puly stress. We calculated the Bader charges difference (BCD) and charge density difference (CDD) using eqn (5) and (6) respectively.

$$q_{\text{BCD}} = q_{\text{Combined}} - q_{\text{Surf}} - q_{\text{Ir}}$$

$$\rho_{\text{CCD}} = \rho_{\text{Combined}} - \rho_{\text{Surf}} - \rho_{\text{Ir}}$$

where $q(i)$ and $\rho(i)$ respectively indicates the charge and electron density of species $i$. Adsorption ($E_{\text{ads}}$) and adhesion ($E_{\text{adh}}$) energies were calculated using eqn (7) and (8). Where $E_{\text{Cluster}}$ is the cluster energy, and $E_{\text{Surf}}$ and $E_{\text{Surf}}^*$ refer to the energy of the relaxed pristine surface as isolated and the naked surface with the geometry of the adsorbed system, respectively, and, hence, including and excluding the deformation energy contributions. The surface deformation ($E_{\text{def}}$) energy was quantified using eqn (9). Data visualization was performed by means of different tools, including VESTA and in-house developed python scripts (Matplotlib and Mayavi).

$$E_{\text{ads}} = E_{\text{System}} - E_{\text{Surf}} - E_{\text{Cluster}}$$

$$E_{\text{adh}} = E_{\text{System}} - E_{\text{Surf}}^* - E_{\text{Cluster}}$$

$$E_{\text{def}} = E_{\text{ads}} - E_{\text{adh}}$$

### Simulation models

To evaluate the key features of the catalysts, different simulation models were employed. Represented in Fig. S1 (ESI†), we modelled pristine (PG) and single vacancy (SV) graphene representing graphite, and graphitic N (gN) and triple pyridinic N (3pN) to model GCN. All surfaces were generated with the Atomic Simulation Environment (ASE) and modelled on a p(8 × 8) graphene slab supercell with 27 Å vacuum along the c-axis to avoid any interaction between adjacent images.

A metal–cluster model simulating the true size of the supported nanoparticles experimentally observed is prohibitively expensive to compute using electronic structure methods. For this reason, a simplified model cluster containing 15 Ir atoms was modelled on the graphitic support. Indeed, cluster–support interfaces have been shown to participate in many reactions and, therefore, it is essential to consider them on realistic catalytic models.

The most stable conformations of the Ir$_{15}$ clusters on the different surfaces, i.e., PG, SV, gN and 3pN, were found from ~800 cluster structures generated by an in-house modified version of the unbiased Birmingham Parallel Genetic Algorithm (BPGA), and evaluated at the DFT level following a three-step process. The first step in the global optimisation protocol is the generation of ~200 supported clusters for each surface, considering a pool size of 10 members with crossover and mutation operation procedures performed by means of randomization and displacement operators, respectively. The initial pool members were randomly generated with a cluster to surface height of 2.0 Å. A mutation rate of 10% was employed to guarantee the generational variety among the structures. It is worth noting that, for each surface, the same number of generated structures was considered, unbiases leading to a final pool of 10 fittest NP structures, i.e., the most stable. The energy of all these structures was determined by VASP using a soft optimization protocol, i.e., thresholds for electronic and ionic relaxation energies respectively of 10$^{-4}$ eV and 10$^{-3}$ eV, Γ-point only and a frozen support geometry. An increased number of initial non-self-consistent steps and linear-mixing involving the metal d-orbitals were found to improve the wavefunction convergence. The Brillouin-zone evaluation was eased using the second order Methfessel–Paxton method with a smearing width of 0.1 eV. In the second step, the final pool of 10 elements was re-optimised with an enhanced k-space sampling (5 × 5 × 1, Γ-centred Monkhorst–Pack k-point mesh) and thresholds for electronic energies and ionic forces of 10$^{-6}$ eV and 0.02 eV Å$^{-1}$, while maintaining the support frozen. Finally, the fittest candidate was fully relaxed, i.e., NP and support, and the electronic structure was investigated with the accurate computational settings explained in the computational details section.

### Results and discussion

Commercial graphite and synthesized GCN were employed as supports for Ir nanoparticles (1 wt%) prepared by a wet impregnation (WI) procedure. Firstly, the catalysts were evaluated in the hydrous hydrazine decomposition reaction at 323 K with a N$_2$H$_4$: catalyst molar ratio of 1000 : 1. Stability tests up to 5 repeated reaction runs were performed. Then, fresh catalysts and used catalysts were thoroughly characterized using XPS and TEM to elucidate the structure–activity and stability relationships rationalizing the kinetic results. Finally, a detailed computational investigation was combined with the characterization
and kinetic results to obtain unique atomic scale insights on the metal support interactions explaining the different catalytic trends observed in the stability tests.

Catalytic activity

The catalytic performances of Ir NPs deposited on graphite (Ir/graphite) and GCN (Ir/GCN) were evaluated in liquid-phase hydrous hydrazine decomposition. The pressure of evolved gaseous products (H₂ and N₂) was recorded and elaborated, resulting in a 
\[ \frac{n(H_2 + N_2)}{n(N_2H_4)} \] versus time profile, where \( n(H_2 + N_2) \) and \( n(N_2H_4) \) are respectively the moles of gaseous products and initial substrate. Higher \( \frac{n(H_2 + N_2)}{n(N_2H_4)} \) ratio, higher activity and higher selectivity toward H₂.

The kinetic profiles for the two catalysts were examined for 30 minutes of reaction (Fig. 1), where a pressure plateau indicates the end of the hydrous hydrazine decomposition reaction, which means that hydrous hydrazine conversion reaches the maximum. The two catalysts resulted in comparable profiles, exhibiting excellent initial activities of 4024 h⁻¹ and reusing the catalyst without any further treatment. Tests were performed on both Ir/graphite and Ir/GCN, by filtering the gaseous products (H₂ and N₂) was recorded and elaborated, indicating the end of the hydrous hydrazine decomposition. The pressure of evolved gaseous products (H₂ and N₂) was recorded and elaborated, resulting in a 
\[ \frac{n(H_2 + N_2)}{n(N_2H_4)} \] versus time profile, where \( n(H_2 + N_2) \) and \( n(N_2H_4) \) are respectively the moles of gaseous products and initial substrate. Higher \( \frac{n(H_2 + N_2)}{n(N_2H_4)} \) ratio, higher activity and higher selectivity toward H₂.

The kinetic profiles for the two catalysts were examined for 30 minutes of reaction (Fig. 1), where a pressure plateau indicates the end of the hydrous hydrazine decomposition reaction, which means that hydrous hydrazine conversion reaches the maximum. The two catalysts resulted in comparable profiles, exhibiting excellent initial activities of 4024 h⁻¹ and reusing the catalyst without any further treatment. Tests were performed on both Ir/graphite and Ir/GCN, by filtering the gaseous products (H₂ and N₂) was recorded and elaborated, indicating the end of the hydrous hydrazine decomposition. The pressure of evolved gaseous products (H₂ and N₂) was recorded and elaborated, resulting in a 
\[ \frac{n(H_2 + N_2)}{n(N_2H_4)} \] versus time profile, where \( n(H_2 + N_2) \) and \( n(N_2H_4) \) are respectively the moles of gaseous products and initial substrate. Higher \( \frac{n(H_2 + N_2)}{n(N_2H_4)} \) ratio, higher activity and higher selectivity toward H₂.

A crucial feature of a catalyst for commercial applications is the durability over repeated reaction runs, especially when precious metals such as Ir are involved. For this reason, stability tests were performed on both Ir/graphite and Ir/GCN, by filtering and reusing the catalyst without any further treatment.

Fig. 2 shows that the Ir/graphite rapidly deactivates decreasing up to 70% from its initial activity (from 4071 h⁻¹ to 1121 h⁻¹) after five repeated cycles, with a slight decrease of the H₂ selectivity (from 95 to 89%). In contrast, the Ir/GCN exhibited remarkable stability (3675 h⁻¹ to 3241 h⁻¹) and almost constant H₂ selectivity (from 94 to 92%) after five cycles of the reaction (Fig. 3). In both cases, the H₂ selectivity is still very high after 5 runs of catalytic tests.

Catalyst characterization

Fresh and used materials were thoroughly characterized to disclose the structure–stability relationship. Information on the catalyst morphology, e.g., distribution on the support, particle size and structural changes after stability tests, were obtained through TEM analysis. XPS was employed to investigate the surface composition, e.g., superficial composition, oxidation state and exposed iridium atoms (fresh and after 5 repeated reaction cycles).

Fig. 4 shows representative STEM-HAADF images of the fresh Ir/graphite catalysts and those used for 5 runs. In the fresh catalyst, small Ir particles in the range from 0.5 to 4.0 nm can be observed, presenting a mean size of 1.9 ± 0.4 nm. The mean size changes only slightly in the sample used for 5 runs, 2.1 ± 0.5 nm (Fig. 4). Ir particles preferentially located at the graphite planes boundaries and agglomerated to form a net were observed (Fig. S2 and S3, ESI†).

On the other hand, the Ir clusters were homogeneously distributed and well-dispersed on GCN (Ir/GCN), in agreement with the N anchoring sites observed from HAADF-STEM (Fig. S4, ESI†). The Ir clusters presented a narrow particle size distribution, from 0 to 3.5 nm with a mean size of 1.8 ± 0.4 nm, which remained constant, 1.7 ± 0.4 nm, after their use in the N₂H₄ decomposition reaction for 5 repeated runs (Fig. 5). Noticeably, in Ir/GCN, many small clusters and single atoms (SAs) were observed either on fresh or 5 runs used catalysts (Fig. 5 and Fig. S5, ESI†). Nonetheless, the particle size distributions of the catalysts were obtained without considering the SAs due to the increased complexity of the related statistic. Overall, the TEM results indicate that both catalysts exhibit exceptionally good structural stability after 5 reaction runs.
Table 1 summarizes the chemical species observed on the surface and their atomic concentration as obtained from the survey analysis of the XPS spectra. The results show that Ir/GCN, either fresh or used, presents a higher extent of exposed metal (1.3 and 1.0% respectively for fresh and 5 runs used) than graphite supported particles (0.8 and 0.3% respectively for fresh and 5 runs used). This indicates a better dispersion of sub-nanometric particles over GCN, as well as an enhanced stability under reaction conditions. Moreover, Ir/graphite, after 5 runs, exhibited an increase of 1.2 At% of N 1s components, suggesting a deactivation due to poisoning of the catalysts from residual hydrous hydrazine decomposition intermediates.

For all the catalysts, high-resolution (HR) C 1s, N 1s, and Ir 4f XPS spectra were fitted (Fig. 6 and Fig. S6–S9, ESI†) using a fitting model as described in the experimental section. For the C 1s region of all the graphite samples, four main peaks were considered: the most intense peak at a binding energy (BE) of 284.3–284.4 eV is assigned to C in sp^2 bonding configuration; the peaks at BEs of 285.1–285.2, 285.6–285.7 and 286.2–286.3 eV are assigned to C in sp^3 configuration, C=O and C==O respectively. C 1s XPS spectra for GCN samples show an asymmetric peak of BE 284.4–285.5 eV, assigned to the C–N moieties. A more intense symmetric peak of BE 288.7–288.8 eV, related to C==C components was also observed. It is worth noting that after Ir deposition on graphite, the C 1s spectra (Fig. S6, ESI†) remain largely unchanged, indicating the presence of similar C species with a similar relative distribution. Differently, for GCN a net decrease in the intensity ratio between C–N==C and C==C peaks was observed after the sub-nanometric particles immobilization (Fig. S7, ESI†) indicating a smaller amount of superficial C–N==C moieties. We postulate that this is an electronic effect due to the interaction of the Ir clusters with the C–N==C sites. Furthermore, an additional decrease in the intensity ratio is observed after the first reaction run of stability tests (Table 2), indicating that, upon reaction, Ir clusters are mobilised and redeposited as smaller clusters, covering more C–N==C sites. The increase of the catalytic activity of Ir/GCN between the first and the second run (from 3675 to 4363 h^{-1}, Fig. 3) could be a consequence of this structural transformation.

For GCN, the N 1s region (Fig. S8, ESI†) was fitted with four components, consistent with the literature on heptazine-based carbon nitride. Namely, pyridine-like (C==N, 398.5–398.6 eV), conjugated amines (C==N–H, 399.9–400.0 eV), quaternary N (400.9–401.0 eV) and NOx species (404.1–404.2 eV). Upon sub-nanometric particles immobilization, the N 1s spectra exhibited an increase in the intensity ratios between qN and C==N–H peaks with respect to the C==N one, indicating a higher coverage of pyridinic sites, thus highlighting a more favourable interaction. Table 2 summarizes the intensity ratio trend of C–N==C with C==C and C==N with C–N.

Fig. 3 Stability tests for 1 wt% Ir/GCN. The insets are the catalytic activity (left axis) and H₂ selectivity (right axis) trends along repeated cycles. All tests were performed at 323 K and 1400 rpm, using 300 mL of a 3.3 M hydrazine solution in 5 mL of 0.5 M NaOH and a N₂H₄: catalyst molar ratio of 1000:1. A sampling time of 0.3 s was employed to obtain continuous kinetic profiles.

Fig. 4 Representative HAADF-STEM images and Ir particle size distribution of 1 wt% Ir/graphite, (a) fresh and (b) after 5 runs. Inset histograms show the particle size distribution.
Fig. 6 shows the Ir 4f regions of Ir/graphite and Ir/GCN. Three main contributions were included in the fitting: metallic (Ir$^0$), rutile-type (Ir$^{IV}$) and an Ir(IV)-OH component found in amorphous Ir oxyhydroxides, whose oxidation state is still unclear.\textsuperscript{96,97} This species was found forming on a carbon support by electrodeposition from an IrCl$_3$ solution.\textsuperscript{71} We consider this a Ir(IV)-OH specie at the surface of the nanoparticle, for simplicity referred to in the text as Ir$^{IV}$-OH. Ir$^0$, Ir$^{IV}$ and Ir$^{IV}$-OH 4f$_{7/2}$ components were assigned respectively to BE of 60.75, 61.70 and 62.30 eV. In addition, Ir$^{IV}$ and Ir$^{IV}$-OH presented an asymmetric line shape and appeared in combination with satellite peaks at 1 eV higher BEs.

The Ir/graphite presented a lower Ir$^{IV}$-OH/Ir$^{IV}$ ratio than the Ir/GCN sample, indicating a higher number of metallic Ir fraction with respect to the nitride sample, which can be related to slightly larger particles. Previous studies attributed the higher ratio between the oxidized and metallic fractions to an enhanced reactivity of the particles leading to surface oxidation in air (Ir$^{IV}$-OH/Ir$^{IV}$) and to a stronger electronic interaction of the precursor with the support, making it more difficult to reduce to the metallic state.\textsuperscript{98}

A detailed comparison of the HR Ir 4f of fresh Ir/graphite and Ir/GCN highlighted a negative shift in the Ir$^0$ peak (core level shift, CLS = −0.10 eV, Fig. 7) when GCN is employed as the

---

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>C1s/atomic%</th>
<th>N1s/atomic%</th>
<th>Ir4f/atomic%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ir/graphite fresh</td>
<td>99.2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ir/graphite 5 runs</td>
<td>98.5</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ir/GCN fresh</td>
<td>64.0</td>
<td>34.7</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ir/GCN 5 runs</td>
<td>65.2</td>
<td>33.8</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>$I_{C-N-C}/I_{C-C}$</th>
<th>$I_{QN}/I_{C-N}$</th>
<th>$I_{C-N-H}/I_{C-N}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GCN</td>
<td>3.44</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ir/GCN fresh</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ir/GCN 1 run</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ir/GCN 5 run</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

Fig. 5 Representative HAADF-STEM images and Ir particle size distribution of 1 wt% Ir/GCN, (a) fresh and (b) after 5 runs. Inset histograms show the particle size distribution.
support. This shift indicates an increased electron density at the Ir sub-nanometric particles surface due to the N moieties of the support,\textsuperscript{32,99} strengthening the Ir–support interaction. Indeed, the CLS agrees with the observed intensity ratio decrease between C–N═C and C═C peaks (Table 2 and Fig. S3, ESI\textsuperscript{†}) and the comparison of $\text{Ir}^{\text{IV-OH/IV}}/\text{Ir}^{0}$ ratio (Fig. 1), highlighting an Ir–N interaction.

The analysis of the HR Ir 4f spectra for fresh and used samples included the Na 2s contribution, which overlaps with the Ir 4f of the used sample (Fig. S5, ESI\textsuperscript{†}). The presence of adsorbed Na species on used samples is attributed to the solvent employed in the reaction, 0.5 M NaOH, which after 5 reaction runs significantly increased as shown by the magenta peak in Fig. S5 (ESI\textsuperscript{†}). Nonetheless, a comparison of fresh and used samples for both the catalysts demonstrated a negative core level shift (CLS) of $-0.10$ eV in the Ir$^{7/2}$ BE of Ir/graphite after stability tests (Fig. 8), whereas no shift was observed for the other contributions ($\text{Ir}^{\text{IV-OH}}, \text{Ir}^{\text{IV}}$). These results support the deactivation of Ir/graphite due to residual adsorbates of the hydrous hydrazine decomposition reaction on the Ir active sites. In particular, it has been previously demonstrated that residual adsorbates lead to deactivation of the Ir catalyst.\textsuperscript{100,101}

Differently, no CLS was detected for the GCN supported catalysts (Fig. 8), suggesting improved resistance toward residual adsorbates of the hydrous hydrazine decomposition reaction.

In support of our assumption on catalyst poisoning, previous literature\textsuperscript{69,102} demonstrated that residual NH$_{x}$ ($x = 1–2$) and N$_2$H$_4$ species can be detected in HR-XPS of the N 1s signal; in detail the N$_2$H$_4$ nitrogen atom strongly bound to the metal surface and the one far away can be observed at BE of $400.2$ and $401.5$ eV respectively, while the NH$_{x}$ ($x = 1–2$) signal is observable at BE of $398.6$ eV. Thus, employing these models in an analysis of the N 1s region of the Ir/graphite samples, fresh and 5 runs used, was performed to confirm the preliminary results on catalyst poisoning, highlighting in the 5 runs used catalysts all the above-mentioned signals. Fig. 9 summarizes these results.

As expected, the fresh catalysts did not present any signal in the N 1s region, but on the other hand after 5 runs all the above-mentioned components are observed, namely, N$_2$H$_4$ and NH$_x$. As stated by the authors the presence of N$_2$H$_4$ and NH$_x$ moieties respectively suggests a complete and an incomplete decomposition of N$_2$H$_4$·H$_2$O.\textsuperscript{69,102} Noticeably, the relative percentage area of the fitted contribution is in good agreement with the experimental selectivity observed for Ir/graphite used for 5 runs, where the catalysts resulted in 89% H$_2$ selectivity and presented a relative percentage area of complete decomposition adsorbed intermediates (N$_2$H$_4$) of 87%. Furthermore, this result agrees with the survey (Table 1) and the Ir 4f region (Fig. 8) analyses.

Thus, the higher initial catalytic activity of fresh Ir/graphite compared to Ir/GCN is attributed to a synergistic effect between the lower $\text{Ir}^{\text{IV-OH/IV}}/\text{Ir}^{0}$ (Fig. 1) and the localization of numerous NPs on the graphitic planes boundaries (Fig. S2, ESI\textsuperscript{†}), recently reported to increase the NPs’ reactivity with respect to the ones located on graphitic basal planes.\textsuperscript{103} For Ir/graphite, TEM images of the used sample showed slight coalescence (2.1 ± 0.5 nm, Fig. 4 and Fig. S3, ESI\textsuperscript{†}). The XPS analysis suggested a deactivation of the catalyst due to residual adsorbates of the decomposition reaction, explained through survey data, supported by the detailed analysis of the Ir$^{0}$, $7/2$ peak performed, where a core level shift of $-0.10$ eV was observed compared to the fresh catalyst, and confirmed by the N 1s region analysis of the used samples, where an increasing content of residual decomposition adsorbates, namely NH$_{x}$ and N$_2$H$_4$ species was observed, but also to Ir leaching during the reaction.

Differently, Ir/GCN exhibited a lower decrease in Ir exposed (from 1.3% to 1.0%) due to the partial adsorption of the reaction products on the active sites and better stabilized particles, and furthermore no Ir leaching was observed from the ICP-AES analysis. Overall, there are two beneficial effects of the presence of N anchoring sites: stabilizing the nanoparticles; therefore avoiding leaching, and preventing the active sites from deactivation under reaction conditions.

**DFT results**

Atomistic simulations were conducted to rationalise the superior performance, i.e., resistance to poisoning and structural integrity, exhibited by the Ir particles supported on GCN compared to the graphite supported ones. PG and SV structures were chosen to model respectively an ideal basal plane and a
structure with undercoordinated C, typical from boundaries and intrinsic defects. This choice is supported by previous reports where SV was identified as the most reactive intrinsic punctual defect for adsorption processes. With regard to GCN, the employed models (gN and 3pN) mimic its typical grafting sites, while maintaining a simplified representation of a realistic structure. Modelling isolated functionalities, namely SV, gN and 3pN, in the carbon lattice is beneficial for the properties rationalization, especially in combination with experiments. Indeed, simplified models allowed an accurate investigation of the supported Ir nanoclusters resulting in a reliable and accurate analysis of the most stable geometries and related electronic structure.

**Nanocluster adsorption**

The geometries of modelled nanoclusters on the different surfaces were generated with the three-step Genetic Algorithm (GA) method described. Once the fittest candidates were obtained from the GA screening, different properties were computed and analysed to rationalize the experimental observations, i.e., enhanced stability under reaction conditions and poisoning resistance.

Regarding the structural stability of the supported clusters, the presence of metal–support interactions influences the average bond length of the supported clusters, e.g., Ir–Ir bond length, which is related to the strain against sintering processes. Recently, it has been proved that stronger adsorption of clusters correlates with the average metal–metal bond lengths in the cluster. Thus, among the several descriptors available to analyse the structural stability of supported metal clusters, here, we have employed adsorption ($E_{\text{ads}}$, eqn (7)), adhesion ($E_{\text{adh}}$, eqn (8)) and surface deformation ($E_{\text{def}}$, eqn (9)) energies, together with the average Ir–Ir bond distance ($d(C-S)$), and the number of interfacial metal species ($\text{Ir}_{\text{IF}}$). Table 3 summarizes these results.

To obtain more precise information on the cluster support charge transfer, a detailed analysis of the charges flow after adsorption was performed together with a more qualitative representation, shown in Fig. 10. The evaluation of the Bader charges after adsorption ($q_{\text{clus}}$), the summation, $q_{\text{clus}}^+ + q_{\text{clus}}^-$, and number of positive and negative charges, $n_{q^+}$ and $n_{q^-}$, localised in each Ir atom were evaluated. Table 4 summarizes the charge analysis.

To describe trends on the hydrazine decomposition process, Tafreshi and co-workers unveiled a relationship between Cu surface site coordination number (CN) and hydrazine binding strength. Despite the effectiveness of this approach, when dealing with sub-nanometric clusters, a CN based analysis does not lead to reliable results due to intrinsic limitations of a simplistic model. Differently, the generalized coordination number (GCN) represents a more structure sensitive model capturing the weight averaged coordination of the adsorption...
site in facets, edges, vertices and other low-coordinated sites.\textsuperscript{111} The GCN has been validated previously as an efficient catalytic activity descriptor due to its correlation with well-established descriptors such as the d-band center.\textsuperscript{112,113} Thus, we decided to employ the average generalized coordination number (GCN) as a descriptor for the catalytic activity toward hydrazine adsorption.

### Structural stability

The Ir deposited on PG (graphitic basal plane) and on SV (under-coordinated carbons) models demonstrated an exothermic and favourable adsorption of the cluster (negative adsorption and adhesion energies). Nonetheless, the presence of low-coordinated sites on the SV models favours the Ir cluster adsorption with respect to the basal planes by $\Delta E_{\text{ads}}^\text{SV–PG} = -8.502$ and $\Delta E_{\text{adh}}^\text{SV–PG} = -4.374$ eV, which directly correlates to the surface deformation energies, $\Delta E_{\text{def}}^\text{SV–PG} = -4.128$ eV. This agrees with the TEM images where Ir NPs were preferentially located at the low-coordinated graphitic boundaries (Fig. S3, ESI\textsuperscript{†}). Measured features like Ir/C\textsubscript{0}Ir and charge transfer also indicate a stronger interaction with undercoordinated carbon atoms due to the shorter cluster support distance and higher charge transfer to the support in the SV.

### Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Support</th>
<th>Surface</th>
<th>$E_{\text{ads}}$/eV</th>
<th>$E_{\text{adh}}$/eV</th>
<th>$E_{\text{def}}$/eV</th>
<th>d(C–S)/Å</th>
<th>Ir\textsubscript{IF}/n\textsuperscript{+}</th>
<th>Ir–Ir/Å</th>
<th>GCN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Graphite</td>
<td>Ir\textsubscript{15}/PG</td>
<td>-2.319</td>
<td>-1.731</td>
<td>-0.408</td>
<td>2.171</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.455</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ir\textsubscript{15}/SV</td>
<td>-10.641</td>
<td>-6.104</td>
<td>-4.336</td>
<td>1.077</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.423</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCN</td>
<td>Ir\textsubscript{15}/gN</td>
<td>-3.968</td>
<td>-2.936</td>
<td>-1.032</td>
<td>1.910</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.521</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ir\textsubscript{15}/3pN</td>
<td>-5.716</td>
<td>-5.016</td>
<td>-0.701</td>
<td>1.168</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.432</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 10 Isometric view of the Ir\textsubscript{15} cluster on (a) pristine graphene (PG), (b) single vacancy (SV), (c) graphitic-N (gN) and (d) triple pyridinic-N (3pN). From left to right panels: structure representation, charge density difference plot (CDDP), and Bader charge difference plot (BCDP). Left and center panels: carbon atoms are colored in brown, nitrogen in blue and iridium in yellow. Center panel: yellow and blue iso-surfaces denote gain and depletion of electron density respectively, and the iso-surface value is $3 \times 10^{-4}$ e$^-$/Å$^2$. Right panel: Atom colors refer to the charge adsorption charge transfer extent, based on the proposed charge transfer colormap, and the positive and negative values denote depletion and gain of electrons, respectively.
than in the PG model. Indeed, although the Ir cluster morphology on the graphite models (PG and SV) is similar and both interact with the support with two Ir atoms, the charge transfer on SV is enhanced by the interaction of the cluster and the carbon dangling bonds compared to PG (Fig. 10). This enhanced charge transfer of the SV model directly correlates with the difference observed in the stability descriptors employed ($E_{\text{ads}}$, $E_{\text{adh}}$, $E_{\text{def}}$, $d$(C–S), Table 4). The analysis performed allowed us to individuate low-coordinated carbons as mainly responsible for the cluster stabilization, i.e., coalescence prevention, due to an enhanced cluster–support charge transfer which resulted in improved adsorption, adhesion, and surface deformation energies, as well as closer cluster–support distance.

We can conclude that clusters immobilized on the PG model easily migrate to the SV sites, which in opposition are strongly anchored to the support. This is in good agreement with the TEM analysis performed on the Ir/graphite catalyst, where particles located at graphite plane boundaries were observed, exhibiting slight coalescence after 5 reaction runs.

The two N containing models (gN and 3pN) presented exothermic and favourable adsorption of the Ir clusters. The adsorption on the 3pN model resulted in more exothermic adsorption, $\Delta E_{\text{ads}}^{3pN-gN} = -1.748$ eV, and adhesion, $\Delta E_{\text{adh}}^{3pN-gN} = -2.080$ eV, energies than the gN model. These results highlight an enhanced stabilization of clusters deposited on the heptazine rings (3pN) with respect to the graphitic N (gN), in agreement with the cluster–support distance analysis. The Ir cluster is closer to 3pN than to gN, despite the former presenting less interfacial Ir species (Ir$_{15}$ = 2) than the latter (Ir$_{15}$ = 3) due to, on gN, Ir interacting with C atoms vicinal to the graphitic N. Nonetheless, the Ir cluster on 3pN presents an enhanced cluster–support charge transfer compared to the gN one, although both models present a higher extent of negative charge distributed on the support compared to the graphite ones, well indicating an increased cluster–support interaction.

This can be clearly seen on the charge density difference (CDD) analysis in Fig. 10. The structural and energetic analysis of the cluster immobilized on the gN and 3pN models allowed us to individuate the N moieties of GCN as the main one responsible for the cluster stabilization due to an enhanced cluster–support interaction. Indeed, the GCN models resulted in better stabilization of the clusters on both the studied surfaces, gN and 3pN, compared to the less-stabilizing of the graphite models, PG, which has also been individuated as the main one responsible for the Ir/graphite coalescence.

Overall, although in both systems best cluster stabilization was obtained on low-coordination sites (SV for graphite and 3pN for GCN), it is now clear that graphitic N (gN) supported clusters are better prevented from coalescence toward the 3pN deposited ones. Therefore, considering the supported cluster stability descriptors analysed and the charge-transfer studies performed, it was possible to rationalize the differences observed in TEM particle size distributions for Ir/graphite and Ir/GCN after stability tests.

### Catalytic activity and poisoning resistance

According to previous studies in the literature, a low GCN and a contraction of cluster interatomic distances leads to an increased catalytic activity. This expected behaviour is confirmed when comparing Ir$_{15}$ clusters on SV and PG (Table 3) and considering the experimental values for the first run of Ir/graphite (4071 h$^{-1}$).

On the GCN models the analysis of GCN and Ir – Tr values (Table 3) suggest an enhanced reactivity of Ir clusters on 3pN compared to gN, which fully agrees with the catalytic activity of Ir/GCN (3675 h$^{-1}$) when considering that the most stable clusters are the ones on the 3pN model. Thus, the simulation of graphite and GCN models were able to describe the experimental initial catalytic activity by employing GCN and Ir – Tr as reactivity descriptors, in agreement with recent computational studies on Pt clusters supported on carbonaceous materials. Furthermore, the comparison between Ir/graphite and Ir/GCN models in terms of GCN and Ir – Tr agrees with the higher catalytic activity observed (4024 h$^{-1}$ and 3654 h$^{-1}$, respectively) for the sub-nanometric Ir supported on graphite.

Previous studies on the hydroxyl hydrazine decomposition performed over supported Ir catalysts demonstrated that the metal clusters’ catalytic activity may decline due to the adsorption of residual decomposition intermediates, leading to poisoning of the active sites and catalyst deactivation. The authors were able to demonstrate that this can be substantially reduced when H$_2$ is admixed to the reaction environment, namely, in the presence of a stronger reducing atmosphere favouring further decomposition of the residual adsorbates. Due to the experimental setup employed in this work, it was not possible to verify this hypothesis from the experiments. Nonetheless, quantitative analysis of the Bader charges performed (Table 4) provided detailed electronic information explaining the different catalytic performances of Ir/graphite and Ir/GCN.

The clusters on PG and SV models (graphite) both transferred electrons to the support after deposition, respectively 0.22 and 0.47 |e$^{-}$| (Table 4). In the case of SV, the high electron transfer derives from the presence of carbon dangling bonds, i.e., unpaired electrons, which lead to more atoms with unpaired electrons, narrowing the distribution of the residual adsorbates. Due to a stronger cluster–support interaction of the SV model, the redistribution of negative charges on the cluster’s surface, i.e., more reducing interfaces, can better disfavour the formation of the undesired bound intermediates compared to
the PG model, which is more prone to undergoing poisoning of the active sites due to a weaker cluster–support interaction that resulted in less redistributed negative charges, as can be clearly seen in Fig. 10.

The presence of N on the GCN models led to a redistribution of the charges differently to the graphite models even though a similar charge transferred. The cluster supported on the gN model presented several atoms with a positive charge at the cluster–support interface \(q_+ = 6\), \(q_{\text{clus}} = 0.31\), which is clearly seen on the charge density difference (CDD) analysis in Fig. 10, and a high number of negative charges distributed on the cluster surface \(q_{-} = 9\), \(q_{\text{clus}} = -0.29\). In the 3pN model the support to cluster charge transfer is enhanced with respect to gN (Table 4). This charge transfer is due to the pyridinic N sites, leading to strongly localized positive charges at the cluster–support interface \(q_{+} = 2\), \(q_{\text{clus}} = 0.79\), in agreement with recent computational studies, along with the highest number of negative charges distributed on the cluster surface \(q_{-} = 13\), \(q_{\text{clus}} = -0.31\), as shown in Fig. 10. Noticeably, both the GCN models exhibited a higher value of redistributed negative charges \(q_{\text{clus}} = -0.29\) and \(-0.31\), respectively for gN and 3pN) compared to the graphite models \(q_{\text{clus}} = -0.19\) and \(-0.25\), respectively for PG and SV). The presence of N moieties (gN and 3pN) leads to a stronger redistribution of charges within the clusters, with a higher extent of negative charges, i.e., electrons, on the cluster surfaces for both the gN and the 3pN models compared to the graphite models (PG and SV). These results agree with the XPS core level shift of \(-0.10\) eV observed for the fresh catalysts and help to understand the enhanced poisoning resistance exhibited by Ir/GCN upon repeated reaction runs. Overall, the more electron-rich the clusters, the better is their resistance to poisoning by decomposition intermediates, e.g., \(\text{N}_2\text{H}_4\) and \(\text{NH}_3\).

**Conclusions**

A combination of computational and experimental studies was employed to disclose the role of GCN functionalities in enhancing the stability of sub-nanometric iridium particles during the hydrous hydrazine decomposition reaction. Two different catalysts were synthesized using a modified wet impregnation method, 1 wt% Ir/graphite and 1 wt% Ir/GCN. Both the fresh catalysts exhibited remarkable initial catalytic activities (3654 h\(^{-1}\)) and 4024 h\(^{-1}\) for Ir/GCN and Ir/graphite, respectively) and \(\text{H}_2\) selectivity (94 and 95%). The hydrous hydrazine decomposition kinetic profiles evidenced an enhanced stability of the Ir/GCN samples upon repeated reaction cycles with respect to Ir/graphite. TEM and XPS analyses showed that the deactivation of Ir/graphite can be attributed to partial irreversible adsorption of the reaction products (XPS core level shift in Ir\(^0\) of \(-0.10\) eV after 5 runs and residual adsorbates detected in the N 1s region), Ir leaching and a partial aggregation of Ir particles, for those with a size <2 nm. On the other hand, Ir/GCN exhibited a higher stability, without significant modification of the structure of the catalyst. This result was rationalized at an atomic scale level through a combined TEM, XPS and DFT analysis and attributed to an enhanced metal–support interaction related to the N grafting sites of GCN. Low-coordinated carbons (SV) can efficiently adsorb and stabilize Ir clusters, better than the basal planes (PG) which drives the cluster agglomeration under reaction conditions. On the other hand, both the basal plane N (gN) and the heptazine rings (3pN) in GCN have a strong interaction with the clusters, minimising the thermodynamic driving force for their coalescence. In addition, a detailed analysis of the Bader charges of the supported Ir clusters revealed that the presence of N moieties on the support promotes a charge redistribution that enhances structural integrity and explains the poisoning resistance in terms of the electron enriched cluster surface. In conclusion, the combined experimental–computational approach allowed us to assign the GCN nitrogen anchoring sites as the main ones responsible for the sub-nanometric particle integrity and improved stability, and to rationalize the experimental reactivity and characterization results in terms of metal–support interactions.
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