The factors controlling along-arc and across-arc variations of primitive arc magma compositions: A global perspective
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Arc volcanism is a key process influencing Earth’s climate, continental growth, and the formation of mineral deposits. Therefore in this contribution, we have compiled whole-rock geochemistry of frontal arc and rear-/back-arc basalts, crustal thickness, and slab parameters (e.g., slab age, slab dip, and convergence velocity) from global convergent margins to investigate the factors controlling along-arc and across-arc variations. Crustal thickness or lithosphere thickness plays a dominant role in elements immobile in aqueous fluids (e.g., Zr/Yb and Nb/Yb). The effect is imposed through mantle partial melting for both frontal arc and rear-/back-arc rocks. Slab thermal structure also affects these immobile elements and gives rise to along-arc variations. Both slab sediment and altered oceanic crust can melt especially in hot subduction zones which yield across-arc variations (e.g., Nd isotope). Aqueous fluids (represented by element ratios such as Ba/Nb and Sr/Nd) also show across-arc variations as they decrease toward rear-/back-arc. This meanwhile decreases mantle wedge melting as rear-/back-arcs show higher Zr/Yb and Nb/Yb. However, no correlations between aqueous fluids and slab parameters suggest aqueous fluids in arc rocks are controlled by complex processes. We summarize factors such as slab alteration, slab dehydration, and mantle metasomatism might impose an effect on the content of fluid mobile elements in arc rocks.
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1 Introduction

Arc magmatism is a critical process in the formation of continental crust (e.g., Plank, 2005; Lee et al., 2007; Lee et al., 2012; Kelemen, 2013), climate change (e.g., CO₂ recycling; Johnston et al., 2011), and the formation of economically important mineral deposits (e.g., Cu-porphry and Li deposits; Chiaradia, 2015; Chen et al., 2020; Barber et al., 2021). Magmatism in arc settings is fundamentally related to the dehydration (Pearce and Peate, 1995; Schmidt and Poli, 1998; Tatsumi, 2005; Grove et al., 2009) and melting (Defant and Drummond, 1990; Skora and Blundy, 2010) of subducting lithospheric components at high pressures and temperatures. The liberated fluids (including aqueous fluids and melts) ascend into the overlying mantle wedge, where they substantially lower the solidus temperature of the mantle wedge, thus driving hydrous mantle melting (up to 2 wt.% H₂O in bulk peridotite; Grove et al., 2009; Grove et al., 2012) and ultimately volcanic activity (Davies and Stevenson, 1992; Grove et al., 2009; Grove et al., 2012; Schmidt and Poli, 2014; Zheng et al., 2020). Therefore, arc magmatism differs from that in plume-related or mid-ocean ridge systems, where magma forms through only adiabatic decompression melting (Klein and Langmuir, 1987; Langmuir et al., 1992; Niu, 1997) of relatively water-poor mantle reservoirs (<0.2 wt.% H₂O; Asimow and Langmuir, 2003; Green et al., 2010).

A global correlation between overriding arc crustal thickness and arc basalt composition has been addressed by several studies (Plank and Langmuir, 1988; Mantle and Collins, 2008; Turner and Langmuir, 2015; Niu, 2021). This correlation can be attributed to crustal or lithosphere thickness modulating degrees of mantle melting (Plank and Langmuir, 1988; Niu, 2021) based on the hypothesis that arc magmas derive from flux-assisted decompression melting (Plank and Langmuir, 1988; Niu, 2021). Another interpretation suggests thickened lithosphere cools down the mantle wedge by depressing its isotherm which reduces degrees of mantle melting (Turner and Langmuir, 2015). Given the processes involved in the genesis of arc magmas, it is common that the composition of arc primary melts displays a clear influence of slab fluids (generating the so-called “arc signature,” i.e., Nb-Ta trough due to the enrichment of Ba, Th, U, and La on the multi-element diagram) (Pearce and Peate, 1995; Tatsumi, 2005). Despite the importance of aqueous fluids on primitive magma composition and mantle melting, the processes of mantle wedge beneath arc fronts being hydrated by these fluids are not well understood. The release of aqueous fluids is suggested to be significant for the uppermost part of the slab beneath and above the sub-arc depth (van Keken et al., 2011; Schmidt and Poli, 2014). The aqueous fluids that metasomatize the mantle source of arc magmas derive from dehydration of hydrous minerals in the subducting slab (Grove et al., 2009) or fore-arc mantle wedge (Tatsumi et al., 1986; Davies and Stevenson, 1992; Hattori and Guillot, 2003; Tonarini et al., 2011).

In this study, we have compiled arc basalt geochemistry and parameters of the subducting plate (e.g., slab dip, convergence velocity, and slab age) and the overriding plate (e.g., crustal thickness) from convergent margins to investigate the relative roles of slab materials and crustal thickness on the along- and across-arc variations.

2 Data compilation

Subduction zones can be divided into frontal arc and rear-arc or back-arc magmatic systems (Figure 1). Both rear-arc and back-arc volcanic systems are typically located behind the frontal arc (Taylor and Nesbitt, 1998), and we differentiate between the two based on the presence (back-arc; e.g., the East Scotia Ridge and Central Mariana Trough) or absence (rear-arc; e.g., the Reventador-Sumaco-Puyo rear-arc in the northern Andes and Apoyo rear-arc in Central America) (Duggen et al., 2007; Ancellin et al., 2017) of active spreading. In this study, a rear-arc system is considered to lie >30 km behind the Holocene volcanic front, where the location is based on data from the Smithsonian Global Volcanism Program (Global Volcanism Program, 2013). While arc magmatism is commonly associated with the dehydration and melting from a subducting slab (Tatsumi et al., 1986; Defant and Drummond, 1990; Pearce and Peate, 1995; Schmidt and Poli, 1998; Skora and Blundy, 2010), it can also occur in regions above a “slab window” (that is, an asthenosphere-filled gap that forms between a pair of diverging, subducting oceanic plates in response to ridge subduction; Thorkelson and Breitsprecher, 2005). These slab windows allow hotter asthenospheric mantle from below the subducted plate to ascend into the mantle wedge and melt. Examples of arc magmatism associated with slab windows include Tahoe-Truckee in the Cascades arc (Juan de Fuca-Pacific plate boundary; Cousens et al., 2011), Costa Rica/ Western Panama (Cocos-Nazca plate boundary; Abratis et al., 2001), Taitao and Hudson in the South Andes (Nazca-Antarctic plate boundary; Gutiérrez et al., 2005), and Solomon arc (Solomon Sea plate spreading centre; Schult et al., 2009). We exclude these locations from our compilation as magmatism associated with slab windows is primarily driven by asthenospheric decompression melting (Thorkelson, 1996) and slab margin melting (Thorkelson and Breitsprecher, 2005), which is different from arc magmatism. Similarly, arc systems with continental rifting such as the Trans-Mexican Volcanic Belt (Verma et al., 2016), where flux melting might play a minor role if any, in arc magmatism are also not considered. Cases of mantle wedge affected by mantle plume such as in North Tonga (i.e., Beier et al., 2017; Wendt et al., 1997) are also excluded. In addition, we only include samples that were collected from regions of oceanic plate subduction, i.e., we excluded any
rocks that were sampled from regions of continental plate subduction beneath an oceanic plate (Batu Tara in Bunda arc) or continental plate (Aeolian arc, Aegean arc, Apenninic arc). Bismarck arc in a complex setting with several active subduction zones nearby (Ryan and Marlow, 1988; Woodhead et al., 1998; Bird, 2003; Tregoning and Gorbatov, 2004) is also not discussed here.

2.1 Geochemical data

We compiled Quaternary volcanic rocks from continental and oceanic arcs from GEOROC in December 2019 (Appendix A). These data represent the recent volcanic history of continental and oceanic arcs worldwide and, therefore, mitigate temporal changes in magma chemistry. A series of filters are applied to our geochemical data to ensure the compiled samples reflect primitive magmas. Firstly, rocks with a sum of major elements between 97 and 101.5 wt% (Farner and Lee, 2017; Schmidt and Jagoutz, 2017) were considered to minimise the effects of chemical alteration. Data with Nb/Ta > 30 were removed due to the possibility of analytical error (Elliott, 2003; Pearce et al., 2005; Beier et al., 2017). Secondly, we only consider samples with 6–16 wt% MgO which are mainly basalt with a few andesites to ensure that our samples are minimally affected by fractional crystallisation or crustal assimilation. The lower limit of MgO (6 wt%) was selected as crustal assimilation and fractionation of minerals other than olivine tend to be most apparent below this value (Turner et al., 2016) and the upper limit (16 wt%) is based on the equilibrium between primitive melts and mantle olivine (Schmidt and Jagoutz, 2017). More than 90% have Eu/Eu* between 0.9–1.2 which suggests the effect of plagioclase crystallization is minor (Appendix B1). As a result, trace element ratios such as Zr/Yb, Nb/Yb, Sr/Nd, Ba/Nb, Ba/La, and Th/Nb (each data point has analysed values for these elements) and isotopes in our filtered data likely reflect the primitive melt composition. After filtering, the data were averaged within volcanoes and then the averaged volcanos were averaged for arc sections (based on the definition by Syracuse and Abers, 2006). The compiled database contained major element, trace element, and Nd isotope data from 228 volcanos (150 frontal arc volcanos and 78 rear-/back arc volcanos) split across 60 arc sections (34 frontal arc sections and 26 rear-/back-arc sections).

2.2 Crustal thickness

Crustal thickness estimates are highly dependent on seismic data quality and the crustal velocity structure (Zellmer, 2008). To minimise uncertainty caused by variable data quality in different arc segments, we obtain crustal thickness estimates from CRUST1.0 (Laske et al., 2013). The Moho depth in CRUST1.0 is based on 1-degree averages crustal thickness from active source seismic
studies as well as from receiver function studies. For cells with no such constraints, crustal thicknesses are estimated using gravity constraints. For cells with no local seismic or gravity constraints, statistical averages of crustal thickness were extrapolated (Laske et al., 2013). Each 1 × 1-degree cell in CRUST 1.0 has a unique 8-layers profile which includes water, ice, upper sediments, middle sediments, lower sediments, upper crust, middle crust, and lower crust. One-third of arc volcanos in this study are underwater and most of the water depths are < 2 km. The crustal thickness in this study is the sum of the sediment layer and crust layer. The averaging method for arc sections is the same as that for geochemical data above, which is also the same as slab parameters below.

2.3 Slab parameters

Plate boundaries were digitized and outlined by Bird (2003). The outlined plate boundaries are comprised of the “digitization step” which is the short great-circle arc between adjacent digitized plate boundary points. There are 5,819 steps (Bird, 2003) ranging from 1 to 109 km with a mean length of 44.7 km. Each step has an estimate of the divergent component of relative velocity convergence, which is considered as the convergence velocity in the present study. Another important parameter for this study is the age of the slab crust below the volcanic arcs. These ages are represented by the age of the slab at the plate boundary and can be estimated using the age grid of Müller et al. (2008). The latitude and longitude of age sites are the same or close to the ones chosen for the convergence velocity. Slab dip and slab sub-arc depth for each volcano is from Hayes et al. (2018). If no data is available for a particular location, the values from the closest volcano to that location are chosen.

3 Results and discussion

Beneath each volcanic arc, different volumes of slab-derived aqueous fluids, sediment melts, and altered oceanic crust (AOC) melts metasomatize the overlying ambient mantle wedge which enrichs the mantle in fluid-mobile elements such as large ion lithophile elements (LILEs), light rare earth elements (LREEs), and Th relative to N-MORB (Pearce and Peate, 1995; Pearce et al., 2005; Zheng, 2019) and may also modify the mantle isotopic signature (e.g., Sr, Nd, Pb, B; Leeman et al., 1994; Elliott, 2003; Cooper et al., 2020). As aqueous fluids increase the content of LILEs through mass transfer but also decrease it through increasing the degree of mantle melting, it is inappropriate to use the concentration or ratios of LILEs to investigate the melt fraction of the mantle wedge. Instead, it is necessary to consider the ratios of fluid-immobile elements that are fractionated during mantle melting (e.g., Zr/Yb, Nb/Yb) to evaluate the degree of mantle melting and ratios of fluid-mobile to fluid-immobile trace elements that are not fractionated during mantle melting (e.g., Sr/Nd, Ba/Nb, Ba/La, Pb/Ce; Tatsumi et al., 1986; Hawkesworth et al., 1993; Brenan et al., 1995; Elliott et al., 1997; Elliott, 2003; Kessel et al., 2005; Plank, 2013) to constrain the slab aqueous fluids beneath an arc volcanic system. The ratio of fluid-immobile element Th to Nb can be considered to constrain slab sediment component which is most likely transferred as melts (Elliott et al., 1997).

Previous studies have shown that sediment has an important influence on concentrations of Ba, Sr and Th (Plank and Langmuir, 1993). However, trace element ratios, especially Ba/Nb, Sr/Nd, and Th/Nb are not correlated with sediment Ba, Sr, and Th respectively and neither with sediment thickness (Appendix B2). This finding is consistent with Patino et al. (2000) which suggests Ba, Sr, and U are less efficiently liberated from the slab to volcanos relative to other elements such as Cs, Rb, and K. This indicates indices of slab component in this study are not dictated by composition and amount of subducted sediment. Therefore, we can use these ratios to discuss other factors such as slab parameters which possibly control aqueous fluids.

3.1 The effect of crustal thickness on fluid immobile elements through mantle melting

Positive correlations between crustal thickness and incompatible element ratios of frontal arc rocks such as Zr/Y and Dy/Yb which are immobile in aqueous fluids have been addressed by several studies (Mantle and Collins, 2008; Turner and Langmuir, 2015; Turner et al., 2016). Our data reveals that Zr/Yb and Nb/Yb show positive correlations with crustal thickness, in agreement with Turner and Langmuir (2015) (Figures 2A,B). Besides, after ruling out the effect of the slab thermal parameter (discussed below), the partial correlation coefficient for crustal thickness versus Zr/Yb is .705 with a 2-tailed significance smaller than .001 and the partial correlation coefficient for crustal thickness versus Nb/Yb is .560 with the significance smaller than .001. This suggests a significant linear correlation between crustal thickness and Zr/Yb or Nb/Yb and therefore crustal thickness plays an important role on ratios of incompatible elements such as Zr/Yb and Nb/Yb.

In addition, these correlations also occur in rear-arc/back-arc rocks (Figure 2). The R-square (i.e., .683) is greater than the frontal arc rocks in crustal thickness versus Zr/Yb. The partial correlation coefficient is also high in both diagrams. All of these indicate crustal thickness plays an important role in controlling the primitive magma composition for both frontal arc and rear-/back-arc systems. In other words, crustal thickness controls along-arc variations for both systems. The plots for arcs above slab window are sparse which suggests different and complex processes of mantle melting in this tectonic setting.
FIGURE 2
Crustal thickness of the overriding plate vs. immobile incompatible trace element ratio Zr/Yb (A) and Nb/Yb (B). The partial correlation coefficient r is calculated when the slab thermal parameter (discussed below) is taken as the control variable, with 2-tailed significance. The rear-arc in Java (Muriah and Ringgit-Besar volcano) which has extremely high Nb/Yb is excluded in B and other figures below. Frontal arc* from Turner and Langmuir (2015) is also plotted for comparison. As these two ratios are not given in their paper so they are calculated based on the average trace element ratios given in their supplement. For example, Zr/Yb = average La/Yb divided by average La/Zr.

FIGURE 3
(A) A phase diagram to illustrate how crust or lithosphere thickness and slab-derived aqueous fluids exert their effects on across- and along-arc variations through mantle melting degrees [solidus is from Katz et al., 2003: wet solidus S1 is the solidus with .1% water and wet solidus S2 is .05% water; geotherm P1 is modified from Hall, (2012)] (B) A sketch to show mantle thermal structure under thin and thick crust [modified from Turner and Langmuir (2015)]. 1) Flux melting. For along-arc variation caused by lithosphere thickness, the geotherm for the mantle wedge beneath thick lithosphere is more depressed than the one beneath thin lithosphere (e.g., P3 versus P1). Assuming the same wet solidus S1 for both cases, the average melting degrees for the whole melting column (the area between the wet solidus and wedge geotherm divided by the vertical length C between the two intersection points) beneath thick lithosphere is lower for the one beneath thin lithosphere (area [S1-P3]/C3 vs. area [S1-P1]/C1). For across-arc variations caused by aqueous fluids, the wet solidus for the rear-arc (solidus S2) is closer to the dry solidus than the solidus for the frontal arc magmatism (solidus S1). The average mantle melting degree for the rear-arc (area [S2-P2]/C2) is smaller than the one for the frontal arc. 2) Decompression melting. When explained by decompression melting, the melting degree is represented by the length of the melting column. For example, C1 > C2 indicates the melting degree of frontal arc mantle is higher than that of the rear-/back-arc mantle and C1 > C3 indicates the melting degree of the frontal arc mantle beneath thin lithosphere is higher than that beneath thick lithosphere.
The exact processes of mantle wedge melting and melt extraction are not well understood (Plank and Langmuir, 1988; Katz et al., 2003; Grove et al., 2012; Niu, 2021). Currently, there are two main mechanisms for the crust/lithosphere thickness controlling melting degrees of mantle wedge: flux melting and decompression melting. For flux melting, a constant water content distributed evenly over a melting column is applied for simplicity (Katz et al., 2003), although the reality is more complex (Davies, 1999; Gaetani and Grove, 2004; Grove et al., 2006). Similar to adiabatic decompression melting in middle ocean ridges (Klein and Langmuir, 1987; Langmuir et al., 1992), the degree of mantle melting above the subduction zone should be the average value of melting degrees through the whole melting column. Therefore, the average melting degree can be represented by the area between the wet solidus and wedge geotherm divided by the vertical length between the two intersection points (Figure 3A).

For along-arc variations in frontal arcs, the mantle wedge beneath thick lithosphere has a depressed geotherm P3 compared with P1 of the mantle wedge beneath thin lithosphere (Figures 3A,B). Assuming the mantle wedge is metasomatized by a similar volume of water, i.e., the wet solidus (e.g., S1) is the same for both cases, the average melting degree for the mantle wedge beneath thin lithosphere is higher than the one for the mantle beneath thick lithosphere (Figure 3A). This is similar to the rear-/back-arc systems. The rear-arc beneath thick lithosphere possesses a depressed geotherm P4 compared with P2 beneath thin lithosphere (Figure 3A). Assuming the same wet solidus S2 for both cases, the average melting degree for the mantle beneath thin lithosphere is higher than for the mantle beneath thick lithosphere. In this regard, the mantle wedge structure differs due to the overriding lithosphere thickness and the geotherm of the mantle wedge beneath thick lithosphere is depressed deeper giving rise to the lower maximum melting degree and average melting degree. Therefore, mantle thermal structure which is modulated by overriding plate thickness is the key factor controlling mantle wedge melting. For decompression melting, slab-derived fluids trigger mantle wedge melting and the hydrous melt facilitates the formation of a diapir (Niu, 2021). This diapir upwells and goes through decompression melting which stops at the base of the lithosphere where it reaches the maximum melting degree. The average melting degree of this melting column is proportional to the maximum degree similar to the case in the middle ocean ridge (Klein and Langmuir, 1987; Niu, 1997). Therefore, the longer the melting column is (C in Figure 3A), the higher the average melting degree is. The melting column under thin lithosphere (C1) is longer than that under thick lithosphere (C2) which can explain why the mantle wedge under thin lithosphere goes through the lower degree of partial melting. However, this model cannot be used to explain why the rear-/back-arc mantle has a lower melting degree than the frontal arc mantle as both systems can possess the same crust/lithosphere thickness (discussed below) unless different wet solidi are applied (Niu, 2021). In addition, the temperature of the upwelling diapir decreases and reaches a minimum at the top of the melting column during adiabatic decompression melting. Therefore, the diapir at the onset of flux melting should have a reasonably high initial temperature (not likely a water-saturated solidus temperature) as the primitive magma is ca. 1,200°C at the crust-mantle boundary (Gaetani and Grove, 2004) and the melting temperature for the mantle above cold subduction zones such as Izu-Bonin is still >1,100°C (Lee et al., 2009).

3.2 The effect of slab thermal structure on fluid immobile elements through mass transfer

Slab thermal parameter $\Phi/100$ [$\Phi$ is the product of convection velocity V, slab age A, and the sin value of slab dip sin? (Kirby et al., 1991)] is used to constrain slab thermal structure. The trace element ratios correlate negatively with $\Phi/100$ (Figure 4), consistent with previous work (Turner and Langmuir, 2015). All these correlations can also be found in rear-/back-arc rocks (Figure 4). However, as crust thickness plays a dominant role in Zr/Yb and Nb/Yb (Figure 2) and crust thickness correlates negatively with slab dip (Perrin et al., 2018) (Appendix B3), the correlation between $\Phi$ and Zr/Yb or Nb/Yb might be affected by crustal thickness. To rule out the effect of crustal thickness, partial correlation coefficient is calculated with crustal thickness as the control variable. Low significance ($<$0.5) and intermediate $|r|$ for frontal arcs in Figure 4A indicate slab thermal structure (represented by $\Phi/100$) imposes an effect on immobile trace element ratios such as Zr/Yb. This suggests that these elements which are immobile in aqueous fluids can be affected by the thermal state of the subducting slab which controls mass transfer from slab to mantle wedge. However, high significances and low partial correlation coefficients for rear-/back-arcs (Figures 4A,B) indicate no significant correlation between these trace element ratios and $\Phi$ as rear-/back-arcs are further away from trench than frontal arcs. To determine how components in $\Phi$ control Zr/Yb and Nb/Yb, V, A, and sinθ versus Zr/Yb and Nb/Yb are plotted (Figure 5). For frontal arc rocks, all components have variable degrees of negative correlations with Zr/Yb or Nb/Yb with slab age having the highest correlation coefficients with the element ratios (Figures 5A,B).

The effect of mass transfer from the slab can also be investigated by isotope data from the frontal arc and rear-/back-arc systems. The value of $\epsilon_{Nd}$ [the present-day $^{143}$Nd/$^{144}$Nd value .512638 of CHUR is from Goldstein et al. (1984)] is low in the subducting sediment (~13.1–2.5; Plank, 2013) but is high in the underlying MORB-like AOC (8.9–10.4 for the Pacific domain; Peate et al., 1997; Hauff et al., 2003; Werner et al., 2003; White and Klein, 2013; Shu et al., 2017). The Th/Nb value is high in slab sediment (Plank, 2013) and hence can be considered as an index for sediment input (2.1–11; Kelemen, 2013; Turner and Langmuir, 2015). Therefore, the difference...
between the frontal arc and rear-/back-arc in $^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}$ and Th/Nb can provide insights into the nature and volume of slab-derived material. A negative 1000Δ$^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}$ (the value of frontal arc minus that of rear-/back-arc) is usually accompanied by a positive ΔTh/Nb. This suggests a greater sediment component in the frontal arc relative to the rear-/back-arc (Figure 6A). However, a positive 1000Δ$^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}$ can occur with a positive ΔTh/Nb in some arc sections which may be explained by a higher volume of AOC component (e.g., AOC melts) in the frontal arc. Furthermore, all arcs with positive 1000Δ$^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}$ are found in the hot subduction
zone with $\Phi/100 < 20$ (Figure 6B). This is consistent with the previous idea that AOC melting can occur in a hot subduction zone (Defant and Drummond, 1990).

We conclude that slab input plays an important role in along-arc variations at frontal arcs and across-arc variations. As the subduction zone becomes hotter along arcs, more sediment melts and AOC melts can be liberated, implying that Zr and Nb may be readily mobilised in slab-derived materials [the partition coefficient between melt and solid can be $>1$; (Kessel et al., 2005; Hermann and Rubatto, 2009; Skora and Blundy, 2010)], and consequently, become enriched in the overlying mantle. Furthermore, sediment melts and AOC melts play an important role in across-arc chemical and isotopic variations. The amount of sediment component is higher in frontal arcs than that in rear-/back-arcs which gives rise to higher sediment-related element ratios such as Th/Nb in frontal arc magmas. Meanwhile, frontal arc magmas possess lower $1000\Delta^{143}Nd/^{144}Nd$ due to the low $^{143}Nd/^{144}Nd$ of sediment components in cold subduction zone systems. However, in hot subduction zones, AOC melts could form and more AOC melts in frontal arc magmas increases $1000\Delta^{143}Nd/^{144}Nd$ which gives rise to an across-arc variation.

### 3.3 Possible factors controlling the content of fluid mobile elements

The rear-/back-arcs are lower in Ba/Nb, Sr/Nd, and U/Nb (Figures 7A,B,C) in comparison to frontal arc sections with similar crustal thickness. This suggests rear-/back-arcs are less affected by slab aqueous fluids with no effect imposed by crustal thickness, which is consistent with large quantities of previous studies (Ishikawa and Nakamura, 1994; Ryan et al., 1995; Patino et al., 2000; Tollstrup et al., 2010; Leeman et al., 2017). Furthermore, rear-/back-arc systems generally have higher Zr/Yb and Nb/Yb values (Figure 2). This across-arc variation is not likely caused by mantle thermal structure as high mantle temperature can persist for a long distance from the arc to rear-/back-arc (~500 km for the Cascadia subduction zone; Currie et al., 2004; Currie and Hyndman, 2006) and both frontal arc and rear-/back-arc possess similar mantle thermal structure. Alternatively, lower mantle melting degrees by less aqueous fluids or greater input of slab melts in rear-/back-arcs are two possibilities. However, aqueous fluids should account for this across-arc variation as the rear-/back-arc is affected by less aqueous fluids (lower Ba/Nb, Sr/Nd, and U/Nb; Figures 7A,B,C) and fewer slab melts (lower Th/Nb, Figure 7D and Figure 6A) than the frontal arc. Lower volumes of slab-derived aqueous fluids metasomatizing the mantle source beneath rear-/back-arc result in lower degrees of mantle melting, consequently giving rise to higher Zr/Yb and Nb/Yb. However, mantle fertility cannot be ruled out as the mantle beneath back-arc could be more enriched or less depleted than the mantle beneath frontal arc as the melt-extracted mantle in back-arc could serve as the mantle wedge through advecting (Pearce and Parkinson, 1993; Woodhead et al., 1993). For across-arc variations, the mantle wedge under the rear-arc has a similar or slightly higher maximum temperature (geotherm P2 in Figure 3A) than the one under the frontal arc (geotherm P1). However, the efficiency of mantle metasomatism by aqueous fluids in the rear-/back-arc is low so that the wet solidus of the rear-arc (solidus S2) is closer to the dry solidus than the one of the frontal arc (solidus S1). Therefore, the average degree of mantle melting of the rear-arc is
smaller than that of the frontal arc giving rise to higher Zr/Yb or Nb/Yb in the rear-/back-arc (Figure 2).

In addition to the across-arc variation dictated by slab-derived aqueous fluids in terms of fluid mobile elements (represented by Ba/Nb, Sr/Nd, and U/Nb), whether along-arc variation affected by these aqueous fluids or not is also explored in this study. As can be seen from Figure 7, no significant correlation between crustal thickness and fluid mobile elements suggesting aqueous fluids in frontal arc magmas are not dictated by crustal thickness. Besides, $\Phi/100$ vs. fluid mobile element indices in Figure 8A also shows no significant correlation. Furthermore, the components of $\Phi$ (i.e., slab age, convergent velocity, slab dip) along with sub-arc slab depth $H$ show no significant correlation with fluid mobile elements of frontal arc rocks (and also rear-/back-arc rocks) (Figures 8B–E). All these indicate aqueous fluids in frontal arc magmas are not simply dictated by slab parameters and crustal thickness. The content of fluid mobile elements in frontal arc magmas could be affected by the initial slab hydration, slab dehydration and mantle metasomatism.

Firstly, oceanic lithosphere usually goes through alteration after its formation in middle ocean ridge prior to subducting. Alteration of oceanic crust differs in terms of the degree of alteration and the type of alteration. Hydrothermal alteration is intense in young and hot crust such as in middle ocean ridge and it weakens away from ridge as the crust ages and is covered by sediment (Staudigel, 2014). Different alteration types such as splittization, epidotization and chloritization occurs due to the composition and age of oceanic crust and the type of the hydrothermal fluids (Hernández-Uribe et al., 2020). Fluids release in subduction zones are affected by alteration types and alteration degrees (Hernández-Uribe et al., 2020) and therefore oceanic lithosphere alteration imposes an effect on the content of fluid mobile elements in frontal arc rocks.

Secondly, slab dehydration in subduction zones is a complex process. Subducting slab is comprised of the uppermost sediment layer, the middle AOC layer, and the lowermost serpentinized peridotite layer with sediment dehydration first and serpentinized peridotite the last (Rüpeke et al., 2004). When the slab subducts to a shallow fore-arc level (<15 km; Bebout, 2013), the sediment and
AOC layer experience large amounts of compaction, resulting in a mechanical expulsion of pore waters (Moore and Vrolijk, 1992). Beyond this depth of ~15 km, the AOC enters into the blueschist facies, in which the major hydrous minerals are chlorite, amphiboles, phengite, lawsonite (Sorensen, 1986). These hydrous minerals can dehydrate significantly to release large volume of aqueous fluids, through various continuous and discontinuous reaction beneath fore-arc or frontal arc or rear-/back-arc (Rüpke et al., 2004; van Keken et al., 2011; Schmidt and Poli, 2014). For example, the pressure-sensitive mineral amphibole breaks down at 2.2–2.4 GPa while small amount of water remaining in hydrous phases of AOC (e.g., lawsonite and phengite) might go further down to sub-arc depth (Schmidt and Poli, 2014; Niu and Green, 2018). However, recent studies show that aqueous fluids release completely before reaching ~60 km at hot subduction zones such as Cascadia while lawsonite can still survive to the sub-arc depth in cold subduction zone such as Honshu (Hernández-Uribe and Palin, 2019; Barber et al., 2022). Most of the fluid released from slab in the forearc depth will either serpentinize the cold corner of the mantle wedge, or eventually pass through to the ocean floor in the forearc region [e.g., Mariana arc, (Fryer et al., 1999; Fryer, 2012)]. Serpentine remains stable in hydrated slab mantle to at least 2 GPa regardless of slab thermal structure (Ulmer and Trommsdorff, 1995). It starts to dehydrate in slab sub-arc depth in hot subduction zones (Rüpke et al., 2004). Therefore, slab dehydration is controlled by continuous and discontinuous reactions of different hydrous minerals under different slab thermal condition. In addition, slab dehydration is also affected by slab geometry. Slab fractures facilitate hydrating slab mantle peridotite and the additional aqueous fluids released from this serpentinized mantle in fractured zones are evidenced in Aleutian arc (Singer et al., 2007; Manea et al., 2014) and Lesser Antilles (Cooper et al., 2020).

The relationship between slab thermal structure and slab parameters is unclear which further decorrelates slab parameters and aqueous fluids. Slab thermal parameter $\Phi$ is the first to represent slab thermal structure (Kirby et al., 1991). Some also use the product of convergent velocity and slab age as the indice (van Keken et al., 2011). More recently, Maunder et al. (2019) suggests slab temperature is controlled by slab age in slab-overriding plate decoupling depth (70–80 km). At slab sub-arc...
depth, the slab mantle temperature is also negatively correlated with slab age but temperature of slab crust is dominated by convergent velocity. In addition to the slab parameters, slab thermal structure is also affected by the thickness of the overriding plate with slab AOC cooling down due to increasing thickness of upper plate (Holt and Condit, 2021). Besides, slab thermal structure is dynamic and it changes as subduction zone evolve from subduction initiation to mature subduction (Holt and Condit, 2021). The mantle wedge for arcs at different stage goes through metasomatism by fluids derived from different slab dehydration.

Thirdly, the processes of mantle wedge metasomatism are also unclear. The first model is that large volume of aqueous fluids release in the fore-arc and metasomatize the fore-arc mantle wedge as indicated by the serpentinite seamount in IBM (Fryer et al., 1999; Fryer, 2012). These hydrous minerals (e.g., serpentine) can be transported downward by movement of subducting slab and releases their fluids to trigger flux melting (Hattori and Guillot, 2003). The fluid release of the hydrous mantle wedge might be a complex process as suggested by geodynamic modelling (Davies and Stevenson, 1992). This is supported by geochemical evidence as boron isotope of the arc rocks is too heavy and need materials from the serpentinized fore-arc (Tonarini et al., 2011; Leeman et al., 2017). The second model is melange-style melting (Marschall and Schumacher, 2012; Nielsen and Marschall, 2017). Bulk sediment and AOC mix with serpentinized mantle wedge peridotite to form a buoyant melange in the fore-arc mantle wedge. This melange goes up and away from trench to the hot corner under frontal arc where it partially melts to form arc magmas. Similar to the first model, it is beneath fore-arc rather than frontal arc where aqueous fluids release from AOC. The conventional model of mantle wedge melting is AOC fluids along with sediment melts release from slab beneath frontal arc (Ryan and Chauvel, 2014; Schmidt and Poli, 2014). The serpentinized peridotite can also release aqueous fluids beneath frontal arc which either mix with the AOC fluids or facilitate melting of AOC and sediment (Ulmer and Trommsdorff, 1995; Rüpke et al., 2004). This mixture of aqueous fluids and melts from different layers of the subducting slab percolates upward through mantle wedge to trigger mantle melting where frontal arc magmas derive. Therefore, this model differ with first two models in that slab dehydration occurs under frontal arc rather than fore-arc. Besides, sediment melt rather than bulk sediment is suggested to play a role in arc magmatism. All these complex processes make aqueous fluids in arc magmas hard to constrain.

Subduction zone magmatism is caused by a series of complex processes affected by the subducting plate and overriding plate. The aqueous fluids are controlled by oceanic alteration prior to subducting, slab dehydration, and mantle metasomatism with the exact processes highly debated. Even though the mechanism of mantle wedge melting is unclear, the melting degrees are modulated by the thickness of the overriding plate as revealed by the elements immobile in aqueous fluids. Besides, mass transfer even for fluid immobile elements are common especially in subduction zones with hot slab thermal structure.

4 Conclusion

The thickness of the overriding plate exerts its effect on the composition of primitive arc magmas through mantle melting degrees. The mantle wedge geotherm is deepened or the melting column shortens under thick crust/lithosphere so the degrees of mantle melting decrease. Trace element ratio Zr/Yb and Nb/Yb in frontal arc rocks show a good positive correlation with crustal thickness which are also found in rear-/back-arcs. Crustal thickness gives rise to along-arc variations for both systems.

The slab thermal structure influences the composition of arc basalts (e.g., elements immobile in aqueous fluids) by mass transfer from the subducting slab to the mantle wedge. It contributes to the across- and along-arc variations in incompatible elements (e.g., Zr/Yb and Nb/Yb) as well as in isotopic characteristics (i.e., 143Nd/144Nd). Hot subduction zones with a low value of slab thermal parameter can liberate AOC melts apart from sediment components.

Slab-derived aqueous fluids play an important role in across-arc variations through mass transfer. The subducting slab releases less aqueous fluids in the rear-/back-arc than the frontal arc resulting in depletion of aqueous fluids elements in rear-/back-arcs. Besides, less aqueous fluids give rise to smaller degrees of mantle melting and therefore higher Zr/Yb and Nb/Yb values in the rear-/back-arc rocks. No significant correlations between aqueous fluids and slab parameters indicate the volume of aqueous fluids in arc magmas are controlled by complex processes. Oceanic lithosphere alteration prior to subducting, slab dehydration, slab geometry, and factors unrelated to slab such as the mechanism of mantle wedge metasomatism and melting and thickness of overriding plate possibly impose effects on aqueous fluids in primitive arc magmas.
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