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Figure 1 Schematic diagram illustrating how hydraulic and geochemical gradients involved in natural supergene ore-forming processes might be exploited in an ASPIRE resource recovery repository.

Figure 2 The standard waste hierarchy from Article 4 of the revised Waste Framework (Directive 2008/98/EC) (left) with the suggested replacement (right) for mineral-rich wastes which contain leachable components. The ASPIRE repository concept is the proposed technological concept to achieve this outcome. Importantly, the concept does not seek to displace sustainable behaviours higher in the waste hierarchy but seeks to use temporary storage and treatment as a means to close materials loops and prevent permanent loss of materials to landfill.

Figure 3 Consumption of plant exudates by rhizosphere microorganisms: (a) Near total consumption in an establish topsoil horizon (b) Incomplete consumption in revegetated waste allowing interaction of exudates with underlying waste.

Abstract

Many countries face serious strategic challenges with the future supply of both aggregates and critical elements. Yet, at the same time, they must sustainably manage continued multimillion tonne annual arisings of mineral-dominated wastes from mining and industry. In an antithesis of Circular Economy principles, these wastes continue to be landfilled despite often comprising valuable components, such as critical metals, soil macronutrients and mineral components which sequester atmospheric CO$_2$. In this paper, the authors aim to introduce a new concept for value recovery from mineral-rich wastes where materials are temporarily stored and cleaned in landfill-like repositories designed to be mined later. The time in storage is utilised for remediating contaminated materials and separating and concentrating valuable components. It is proposed that this could be achieved through engineering the repository to accelerate “lithomimetic” processes, i.e. those mimicking natural supergene processes responsible for the formation of secondary ores. This paper summarises the concept and justifications.
and outlines fundamental aspects of how this new concept might be applied to the design of future repositories. The proposed concept aims to end the current “linear” landfilling of mineral-rich wastes in favour of reuse as aggregates and ores.
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### 1. Introduction

The aim of this paper is to introduce and explain a new technology concept for the management and recovery of resources from high-volume mineral-rich wastes. There are recognised strategic challenges with the future supply of aggregates, critical minerals and elements (Hayes et al., 2018; Gunn et al., 2008; World Bank, 2017; Bazilian, 2018; MPA, 2018; EU, 2020; Sovacool et al, 2020). At the same time, individual nations must sustainably manage the multimillion tonne annual waste arisings from various economic sectors. Mineral-rich wastes are typically composed of a diverse range of mineral-dominated materials that include waste rock and tailings materials from mining, residues and slags from metal production, combustion ashes (fossil fuel, biomass, municipal solid waste incineration (MSWI)), construction and demolition wastes, and contaminated dredgings (inland and coastal). Krausmann et al (2017) estimate that the global solid waste flow was 9.7 Pg/yr (± 14%) for 2010, and that only 37% of non-metallic minerals in end-of-life outflows from stocks were recycled. Recent mineral-rich waste arisings statistics are not readily available but illustrative EU data (https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/) reveal some 1,537,090,000 t of mineral and solidified waste arisings in 2008 and 620,900,000 t of mining and quarrying waste arisings in 2018. Although some of these materials do have accepted markets, these are often limited to specific applications or the demand for the material may be small compared to current (and projected increases in) production. Therefore, most of these materials are currently treated as wastes, which continue to be disposed of in landfills or other engineered impoundments. This is despite often containing valuable resources such as elevated concentrations of critical metals, soil macronutrients and useful mineral components, some of which actively drawdown atmospheric CO₂ (Sapsford et al., 2017, 2019; Spooren et al., 2020; Antonkiewicz et al., 2020; Riley et al., 2020).
Although for many countries around the world, engineered sanitary landfill remains an aspiration for solid waste management, other countries and supranational bodies such as the European Union are looking towards ending reliance on landfill and are transitioning towards a circular economy (CE).

There are many definitions of CE and its precise meaning remains contested (see for example Kirchherr et al, (2017); Korhonen et al (2018); Kalmykova et al (2019)), and critiqued (Corvellec et al., 2022). However, the author’s usage here is in line with common themes (particularly in the EU framing of CE; McDowall et al, 2017) that include (i) closing materials loops through recycling and increasing resource and materials efficiency and (ii) “using cyclical materials flows, renewable energy sources and cascading-type energy flows” (Korhonen et al; 2018). A key part of this (following the waste hierarchy) involves reduction in waste production, reuse, and recycling. Thus, opportunities to recycle, or upcycle wastes are actively sought.

Despite enormous arisings, mineral wastes do not commonly feature prominently in public-facing CE discourse. For example, despite England’s “Our Waste, Our Resources” strategy focusing on the development of CE and referencing the importance of tackling residual wastes and producer responsibilities, many of the more tangible commitments relate to issues such as packaging, litter, fly-tipping and residential recycling (HM Government, 2018). Another example is that extractive and primary manufacturing industry wastes do not feature in the “butterfly diagram” used extensively in communication of the CE principle (Ellen MacArthur Foundation, 2013). It is of note that mineral-rich wastes are overlooked, considering the scale of production and the embodied carbon in such wastes (Gomes et al., 2016; Zhai et al., 2021). This perhaps because in the popular imagination recycling of post-consumer goods holds sway, whereas many pre-consumer wastes that result from the production processes are ‘out of sight’ and therefore ‘out of mind’.

Pre-consumer wastes split into two broad groups, clean unmixed materials, such as cardboard packaging and metal swarf, which are easy to separate and have very high recycling rates; and, highly mixed materials, often requiring decontamination, which are difficult to process, and therefore, are currently uneconomic to recycle despite containing valuable components. Within many industries there are efforts to view wastes as “secondary” or alternative raw materials, in keeping with the industrial
symbiosis concept, where one industry’s waste (or water/energy) serves as raw materials for the next
or others in a cluster (Chertow and Ehrenfeld, 2012). Despite this, mineral-rich wastes are typically still
landfilled and not used as secondary raw material. This can be attributed to three critical issues: (i) The
technical or environmental constraints related to deleterious leachable components (e.g. Luo et al,
2019); (ii) The large volume of arisings and their low economic value as secondary materials; (iii) High-
volume end-uses for the secondary material need to be (but are typically not) contemporaneous with
their production. Expounding the latter points, bulk materials, whose unit value is low can be described
as having a high “place value” (Shaw et al., 2013). This means that the financial and environmental
costs incurred by transportation restrict their use geographically. Thus, if local end-use demand is not
contemporaneous with waste production, storage becomes a solution to balance supply and demand.
As a result of these constraints, landfill is currently the typical destination for most mineral wastes.
Furthermore, there may be some reticence for use of secondary material where there may be (perceived
or actual) variation in composition and uncertainty over geotechnical or geochemical performance
leading to a preference for virgin materials (See Perkins et al (2021) and references therein).
The deportment of value (cf. “deportment” of metals in ore) is an important consideration for value
recovery from wastes (Sapsford et al., 2019). The valuable target component(s) are either those that can
be separated from the bulk material (direct recovery e.g. by leaching), or the residual bulk material,
after removal of contaminants that prevent reuse (indirect recovery), or a combination of both (see
Sapsford et al., 2017). There exists a very large body of research on hydrometallurgical,
biohydrometallurgical and pyrometallurgical processes for extraction of valuable resource from
mineral-rich industrial and mining wastes (see for example reviews by Jadhav and Hocheng, 2012;
Sethurajan et al, 2018; Gunarathne et al, 2022). However, the sustainability (using the definition based
on maintenance of genuine wealth, Arrow et al., (2004)) and economic viability of many of these
processes requires careful consideration. To remove these metals, which are present at low
concentrations, has consequences for increased energy demand, commensurate carbon footprint and
economic cost over and above that for element recovery from primary ores (Sapsford et al, 2019). The
energy demand and environmental cost of element recovery for low concentration ores (and by
extension of the same logic, wastes) is high. This can be illustrated by the example of platinum, where extraction from very low concentration primary ores is common and the embodied energy exceeds 100 GJ kg$^{-1}$ and embodied carbon is 10,000 kg kg$^{-1}$ (Gutowski et al., 2013). Thus, when considering direct and indirect value recovery from mineral-rich wastes, the energy required for the separation, be it of resource from residue, or contaminant from secondary raw material, needs to be from renewable sources to be sustainable.

2. ASPIRE: A new concept for temporary storage and treatment of waste

The authors propose a step-change in waste repository design for mineral-rich wastes, with a change in focus from solely environmental and health protection to one where environmental protection and resource recovery are designed in. The concept involves Accelerated Supergene Processes In Repository Engineering (ASPIRE) and the authors refer to waste repositories following this paradigm as “ASPIRE repositories”. Supergene processes are natural lithospheric weathering and pedogenetic processes involving downward percolation of meteoric water (i.e., derived from precipitation) and metal leaching from unsaturated metalliferous rock (Lelong et al., 1976) often enhanced by organic derived acids and ligands. Metals are then deposited as an enriched metalliferous secondary ore below the groundwater level during the transition to more chemically reducing conditions (Figure 1(a)).

The ASPIRE concept is a “nature-based solution” (Song et al., 2019), which involves mimicking these naturally occurring lithospheric mechanisms, such “lithomimicry” aims to achieve the same effect but with waste materials (Figure 1(b)). Interestingly, supergene alteration has been noted to occur in wastes such as mine tailings and smelter residues (Dill, 2015). Furthermore, the authors propose expanding the supergene concept to include other value propositions including phosphate recovery, carbon sequestration and the decontamination of the waste matrix. Because natural supergene processes can take millennia, there is a requirement to engineer the processes to accelerate them to anthropogenically relevant timescales. This will require innovative biogeochemical engineering such that processes
remove potential contaminants/resources from the bulk material matrix (leaving a cleaned residue) and concentrate them within an anthropogenic ore zone over a prolonged period of waste storage.

ASPIRE repositories would be designed to significantly accelerate the ore-formation from the geological timescales of natural supergene process to the order of years in the engineered system. Critically, being a fully lined waste repository system, the external environment remains protected.

Inspired by research observations of revegetated industrial wastes (Bray et al., 2018), the ASPIRE concept could also intersect with phytoremediation, phytocapping and phytomining concepts. Here, environmental leaching agents (“lixivants” in hydrometallurgical parlance) generated from plant roots (which produce low molecular weight compounds that act as ligands for metals) accelerate mobilisation of metals. Solar and self-powered electrokinetic and electrochemical phenomena are proposed for acceleration of solubilisation and transport, followed by biogeochemical trapping of metals/elements, potentially as new ores. Whilst it is impossible to “short-change” fundamental thermodynamics which dictate the minimum energy requirement of separation of species from a parent material, it should be possible to provide that energy in a sustainable way from the solar energy incident on the storage site (insolation flux), directly via photovoltaic power systems or indirectly via photosynthesis (and the environmental exergy cascade), albeit sacrificing the process intensity by prolonging the timeframe for the process.

The central tenet of the ASPIRE concept is that the “time in storage” is used for material cleaning/resource concentration. This has the dual benefits of facilitating future resource recovery and defines the service-life for the barrier system. The dormant waste undergoes processes to (i) concentrate valuable components (e.g. critical metals, phosphate) as an anthropogenic ore to facilitate their future recovery, and (ii) concurrently decontaminate residual mineral material so as to make it available as a bank of material to drawdown for “soft” end-uses in agriculture, forestry, greenspace, landscaping, habitat creation (Song et al., 2019) and/or as a cement/concrete additive or replacement aggregate. As such, the ASPIRE concept seeks to reconceptualise waste repositories as “temporary storage systems” or “resource banks”. This could involve regional ASPIRE repositories as hubs which import a range of mineral-rich wastes arising in the region, in the UK context potentially contributing to the Managed
Aggregate Supply System. Alternatively, smaller site-specific repositories could be developed. Importantly, the idea is not necessarily to displace any existing sustainable recycling activities for mineral-rich wastes but to provide a practicable CE solution for materials that would otherwise go to conventional landfill for lack of any other viable means to recover value. The authors suggest that this concept thus fits into a modified waste hierarchy as shown in Fig 2.

3. The Case for Temporary Storage and *in situ* treatment

3.1 Existing concepts of temporary storage and ‘End of Waste’

Temporary storage for MSW landfill has been proposed such that stored waste undergoes processes to accelerate the “stabilisation” of the waste, to facilitate recovery of materials from the landfill mass or the use of the land (Jones et al., 2013). The accelerated stabilisation is achieved either via active aeration to promote the breakdown of organics (Ritzkowski and Stegmann, 2012), or the recirculation of landfill leachate to accelerate anaerobic process and methane production (Reinhart and Al-Yousfi, 1996; Warith, 2002), ultimately allowing a compressed timeline for land restoration or the recovery of materials from the landfill mass. Temporary or ‘interim’ storage of municipal solid waste (MSW) has been undertaken in Germany, in response to lack of a receiving market. Several million tonnes of Mechanical Biological Treatment (MBT) sorted wasted was stored in interim landfills (Wagner and Bilitewski, 2009). Temporary storage has also been mooted for e-wastes (Kahhat and Kavazanjian, 2010). Despite these examples, the practice of temporary storage has not taken hold more widely. Functioning markets for recyclates are important so that there is contemporaneous demand for materials. Yet even these relatively high-value recyclates are susceptible to market disruption. In 2017, China banned the import of most plastic waste, this resulted in a sharp reduction in global plastic waste trade flow (Wen et al., 2021). The fact that market volatility is commonplace in markets for relatively high-value recycled resources emphasises the challenges of recycling low-value, high-volume and contaminated mineral-wastes and why they are currently landfilled.
There has been some success in England and Wales in utilising secondary materials, this is also encouraged by a levy on virgin aggregates. “End of waste” (EoW) criteria have been developed for some mineral-rich wastes to facilitate reuse: notably, quality protocols developed in England and Wales by the Environment Agency and the Waste and Resources Action Programme (WRAP) for steel slag and pulverised fuel (coal) ash, furnace bottom ash, as well as a Code of Practice developed by MIBAAA (Manufacturers of IBA Aggregates Association in conjunction with the Environment Agency) for incinerator (MSWI) bottom ash. These approaches are framed around Article 6 (1) of the European Waste Framework Directive (2008/98/EC) which sets out end of waste status, and the conditions that if met enable waste which has been recycled or recovered to cease to be classed as waste. The substance or object must meet the following conditions: (i) It is to be used for specific purposes (ii) Market/demand exists (iii) Achieves the technical requirements for the specific purposes and meets existing standards and legislation applicable to products (iv) Its use will not lead to adverse environmental or human health impacts. Thus, the materials referred to above need to demonstrate compliance with the quality protocol framework, as well as an appropriate standard such as BS EN 12620, Aggregates for concrete, and associated testing. In addition, markets may well be restricted, for example the Quality Protocol for PFA allows its use in bound or grout applications only.

Despite these examples, many mineral-rich wastes from mining and industry are still largely overlooked and thus poorly integrated into current CE strategies and developing policy (Cisternas et al., 2022). There is a lack of practicable, economically viable and sustainable technologies for returning these resources to the CE and the temporal and geographical dislocation between production and end-use (Cisternas et al., 2022). Providing a solution to the temporal dislocation is a key advantage of the ASPIRE concept, that once the material is cleaned, it stays in hibernation until end-user market arises. There are historical examples that demonstrate over a decadal scale, materials often thought as valueless waste later become highly valued resources, for example due to advances in recovery technologies, decreases in ore-grade or increase in value of specific components which were previously non-economic. For example, the re-mining of Pb/Zn spoil in 1970s / 80s in the northern Pennines (UK) for...
fluorspar and Ba minerals, driven by demand for F in chemical industries and Ba for oil drilling fluids (Dunham, 1985).

It is noteworthy that temporary storage and treatment as a concept is conceptually similar to the “cluster” approach developed in the UK to facilitate the remediation of contaminated soils where a number of sites are in close proximity. The sites share a “hub” for the central decontamination / treatment of contaminated soils (CL:AIRE, 2021). This is similar to the concept proposed here, albeit these remediation hub timescales are shorter, the relative intensification of remediation is economically viable because of the value of land redevelopment.

3.2 Future generations and conventional landfill

Landfill remains the destination for wastes that cannot be combusted, composted or separated into recyclates for which there is a current market value. Landfill philosophy has evolved from “dilute and disperse” to a “store and contain” containment strategy, with the waste environmentally isolated by use of engineered top and bottom liners (e.g. Cisternas et al., 2022). Allen (2001) critiques containment strategies, raising concerns over the durability of liner systems and problems with clay liners. Landfilling simply postpones the release of contaminants, as for future generations it is not a question of “if” but simply “when” the containment systems for landfilled industrial wastes succumb to natural degradation. This then leads to important questions about intergenerational equity for management of wastes. Put simply, should the current generation be burdening future generations with pollution issues from current waste production? This appears contrary to the sustainability agenda and UN sustainable development goals (United Nations, 2015).

Whilst accepting that many of the drivers for diversion of mineral-rich wastes to landfill remain, the authors contend that a sustainable and ethical philosophy would be “store, contain, clean and concentrate” to allow future recovery. It is notable that future recovery of residues from the repositories would likely be in the context of a decarbonised economy, thus future exploitation will likely be of low carbon intensity.
It is interesting to consider temporary landfilling of waste in the context of a CE. A critical component of a move to a CE is increased resource efficiency via the provision of a service-based economy. The current landfill paradigm is a linear model, often with associated ownership/license surrender by the operator. Where used, facility gate fees cover operational costs but neither the original waste producer nor the landfill operator is paying (post-permit surrender) for the ultimate environmental impact of the waste (i.e. these long-term impacts remain market externalities). Applying the ASPIRE concept changes the landfill operator’s function to the provision of a storage and remediation service, and the production of secondary raw materials which will ultimately be removed from site, thus removing the long-term environmental hazard.

3.3 Alignment with current waste legislation

To achieve a CE, it is essential to re-use and recycle materials from waste for future use in new buildings, infrastructure, products etc, and to keep these in productive use as long as is feasible. Thus, the treatment of waste materials to facilitate this is vital to deliver a CE. The Waste (England and Wales) Regulations 2011 introduced a duty for waste importers, producers, waste carriers and waste management facilities to “take all measures available to it as are reasonable in the circumstances” to apply the waste hierarchy when transferring waste: (i) Prevention (ii) Preparation for re-use (iii) Recycling (iv) Recovery (v) Disposal. The crux of this is the term “reasonable”, which ultimately means that aspects such as technical, economic and environmental aspects are considered in order to make a judgement. Despite this legislation, landfill remains a commonly used option for mineral-rich wastes.

Whilst temporary storage and treatment may not be feasible for all landfilled wastes, the authors suggest that it would be a practicable and sustainable solution for the many mineral-rich materials amenable to processing via leaching. Because the ASPIRE concept involves waste materials being reprocessed into products (clean residue and anthropogenic ore) it is in essence a recycling technology. However, the confounding issues of the long-term storage and processing whilst stored in a “landfill-like” repository will likely lead to challenge of its status as recycling technology in the eyes of the waste regulations.
At minimum, an ASPIRE repository could be viewed as providing a technology option at the base of the waste hierarchy, replacing conventional landfill – and this may be a more regulatory acceptable approach for early adoption of the technology. The Environment Act 2021 provides some potential opportunities for the ASPIRE concept to gain acceptance in the UK. First, it confers power to the Government to set regulations on producer responsibilities, including waste prevention and reduction. It also enables regulations related to the re-use and recovery of materials.

4. Potential candidate materials for ASPIRE repositories

4.1 Combustion Ashes

Alkaline combustion ashes, bottom ash and fly ash/Air Pollution Control Residue (APCr) from Biomass, MSWI, sewage sludge, co-firing of biomass/coal will be important wastes in the UK and internationally for the conceivable future. By 2050, global arisings of biomass ash will be of the order of 480 Mtpa (Vassilev et al., 2013). Furthermore, the UK’s Sixth Carbon Budget (CCC, 2020) highlights the important role of Bioenergy with Carbon Capture and Storage (BECCS) as one of the key technology options for the UK in limiting the contribution to catastrophic global warming. BECCS has been estimated to have a CO₂ removal potential of 20-70 MtCO₂ pa (Smith et al., 2016) and further removal through carbonation of the ash arisings e.g., through enhanced terrestrial weathering. Biomass ashes comprise major elements O, Cl, Si, Ca, K, P, Al, Mg, Fe, S, Na, Ti, Mn and are notably enriched compared to coal ash in trace elements in Ag, Au, B, Be, Cd, Cr, Cu, Mn, Ni, Rb, Se, Zn (Vassilev et al., 2013; Zhai et al., 2020). Heavy metals and readily soluble metal chlorides are the most recurrent contaminants preventing ash reuse in many of its principal potential applications e.g. soil amendment in silvi/agriculture and admixture in cements and mortars. Statistical analysis of numerous database records shows that compositionally there are four main types of virgin biomass ash: hard wood ash, softwood ash, and grass (straw) and non-grass type agricultural residues. Interestingly, wood ashes have slightly higher trace metals, whereas agricultural residue ashes have notably higher levels of persistent organic pollutants (POPs) (Zhai et al., 2021). Thus, the ASPIRE concept could offer a
practicable management/decontamination option for ash arisings from projected biomass combustion and future BECCS with potential for further CO$_2$ mitigation through ash weathering, ash reuse as a cement admixture and nutrient recycling to agri/silviculture whilst also recovering critical elements and minerals.

There is also a clear trajectory for growth in MSWI ash arisings. Bottom ash is readily recyclable, but market limitations mean that its reuse is restricted to prescribed applications, whilst MSWI fly ash/APCr is considered hazardous which prevents recycling. Due to POP content, some 5% of MSWI APCr requires further treatment before it can be disposed of to hazardous waste landfill. UK Energy from Waste capacity was projected to be 15.4 Mtpa by 2020 (Tolvik, 2017), with estimated bottom ash and APCr arisings of 3.1 Mtpa and 0.5 Mtpa respectively. MSWI ash can be enriched in Ca, Cl, K, Mg, N, Na, P, Ti, trace elements Ag, Cd, Cr, Cu, Ho, I, Mn, Pb, Pr, Re, Sb, Sm, Sn, Zn (Lima et al., 2008; Tang et al., 2015; Tang and Steenari., 2016; Zhai et al., 2021), the trace element compositions will be susceptible to changes in waste composition.

4.2 Dredgings

The EU annually produces around 200 - 250 Mt of marine and 50-60 Mt of freshwater dredgings per year, of which up to 5-10 % and <30%, for marine and fresh water respectively, are sent to “confined disposal” (Mink et al., 2006). Example arisings from around the coast of England were 40 Mt of wet sediment (Bolam et al., 2006). For inland UK contaminated dredgings data are sparse but the amounts are likely in the range of hundreds of thousands of tonnes per annum. As with ash, the heavy metal content of dredgings often prevents their reuse in applications such as bank protection, habitat creation and conditioning agricultural land (Renalla, 2021). ASPIRE repositories could offer the practicable means to create habitats and green corridors close to damaged waterways while also providing a means to return metals that have escaped into rivers and bound up with sediment to the CE and bioremediating any associated organic contaminants.
4.3 Alkaline Industrial Wastes

Industrial processes produce a very wide variety of different wastes, many of which are readily recyclable. However, several classes of mineral-dominated residues are produced during metal production that lead to high-volume, low-value materials that are uneconomic to recycle and commonly disposed of in land-based repositories. These include, steelmaking and metallurgical slags, bauxite processing residues, Solvay process wastes from the manufacture of soda ash, and chromite ore processing residues. In terms of global production, steelmaking slags and bauxite residues are particularly important and have rapidly increasing rates of production (170-250 Mtpa and 120 Mtpa respectively (Power et al., 2011)). These residues are often the product of high temperature processes that involve additions of alkali materials during extraction. Therefore, the resultant by-products are rich in alkaline mineral components (e.g. CaO, NaOH, Ca and Na – silicates) that readily hydrate and dissolve to produce a highly alkaline leachates (Gomes et al, 2016).

After uses for the bulk residues can be limited by a range of factors which include (i) extreme alkalinity and mobility of potentially hazardous metal(loid)s at high pH (e.g. As, Cr, V: Burke et al., 2012; Hobson et al., 2017), (ii) stability issues where weathering of residues can lead to expansion and limit aggregate after uses, (iii) the potential classification of some residues such as bauxite processing residue as Technologically Enhanced Naturally Occurring Radioactive Materials (TENORM) which can limit after uses in construction (e.g. Somlai et al., 2008). As such, virgin materials are often preferred over bulk reuse of alkaline residues given the additional costs associated with mitigating potential issues.

There is growing research in value recovery from alkaline industrial residues ranging from bulk material reuse to critical metal recovery and carbon capture (e.g. Gomes et al., 2016; Ujaczki et al., 2017; Pullin et al, 2019; Pan et al, 2020). However, relatively low fluxes of dilute valuable components (e.g. V) occurring in leachates with mixed contaminants makes separation and recovery challenging (e.g. Gomes et al., 2017). As such, there are few examples of large-scale critical raw material recovery from alkaline industrial wastes.
The ASPIRE concept brings a range of opportunities to overcome some of these current technical constraints. The extended timescales on which ASPIRE is based provides scope for the gradual leaching and accumulation of critical metals in enrichment zones that would be better candidates for recovery than current technologies (e.g. Gomes et al., 2020). The decontamination of bulk material by these leaching processes could produce more stable and less hazardous materials that could open up more avenues for large scale reuse as aggregate (e.g. slags), in land reclamation or in ceramics / building materials (e.g. bauxite residue). Alkaline wastes also have the potential to sequester atmospheric CO$_2$ - See Section 8 below.

4.4 Mine wastes

Globally, arisings of mine wastes (including overburden, tailings and a range of related residues) likely run to hundreds of billions of tonnes (Kinnunen and Kaksonen, 2019), for example there are an estimated 39 billion tonnes of mine tailings in Chile alone at 0.2 wt.% Cu (Dold, 2020). Mine wastes are deposited in a range of settings from uncontrolled storage in the landscape to highly engineered impoundments, depending on their age and the environmental legislation relevant in the geographic jurisdiction. Mine wastes deposited to land in an uncontrolled manner cause a substantial pollution legacy through acid rock drainage and metal-leaching (e.g. Wolkersdorfer and Bowell, 2004), and migration of fines (e.g. Fuge et al., 1989). Impoundments can pose risks through dust and failure of dams (Dold, 2020). In the UK, an estimated 73 – 195 Mt of mine wastes were produced from the historical working of lead, copper and tin, and cover an estimated 1960 – 4400 hectares of land (Palumbo-Roe and Colman., 2010). The indicative estimated cost, over a 10-year life cycle, to remediate solely the water-related environmental problems due to diffuse pollution caused by non-coal mine wastes in England and Wales was estimated at £35 Million (Jarvis et al., 2012).

There is considerable interest in recovery of resources from mine wastes, and they are one of the more obvious targets for sourcing of metals, particularly as ore grade continue to decrease and/or aggregate resources decrease. Despite this, there has been very limited explicit adoption of the concept of the
circular economy amongst large-scale mining firms (Upadhyay et al., 2021). Large bottlenecks for
tailings valorisation identified by Kinnunen and Kaksonen (2019) include the low concentration and
mass of recoverable elements for economical processing, missing value chains, regulatory barriers, and
environmental risks of reprocessing. Applying the ASPIRE repository concept for future mine wastes
and tailings could address many of these concerns and at minimum a better option than the “do nothing”
option of continued landfilling.

4.5 Temporary Storage as a Remediation Strategy for Legacy Wastes

Where “polluter pays” legislation (see Stenis and Hogland, 2002) is in place then there is a compelling
case (from the perspective of environmental justice) for legacy mineral-rich wastes to be managed by
the generator. However, many legacy mineral-rich wastes remain where the waste generator has no
liability (due to lack of action-forcing legislation at the time), or no longer exist. In the UK, such legacy
wastes and orphan sites become the responsibility of local authorities and/or central government. The
ASPIRE concept could offer a potentially lower cost alternative to conventional site remediation, which
often leads to secondary landfilling of heavily contaminated materials in any case. In a sense, this would
be a revisitation of the “dig and dump” approach to site remediation that was common in the UK but
has become less common due to landfill tax (Hodson, 2010), with the critical difference that the “dump”
is into a temporary storage repository where the waste is remediated to allow future recovery.

Multi-dimensional value refers to the value as expressed by the range of measurable benefits and
impacts in the environmental, economic, social and technical domains (Iacovidou et al, 2017). Many
legacy sites in the UK, for example mine sites, display multi-dimensional value. For example, important
landscape and cultural designations, sites of special scientific interest and/or host rare and valued
species of flora and fauna. This contrasts with the negative value associated with sites that cause
environmental pollution (Crane et al, 2017; Sinnett, 2019). It is important to note that an ASPIRE
repository could feasibly be constructed at a legacy site, with some, or all, of the valuable aspects of
the legacy site (which tend to be associated with the upper surface) retained or recreated in the repository cover.

5. Accelerating supergene processes: Lithomimicry and Biogeochemical Engineering

5.1 Storage time

The requisite duration of the storage of wastes within an ASPIRE repository is an open question but one that dictates the necessary intensity/acceleration of the in situ supergene processes. Conceptually, it is possible to envisage (i) shorter-term storage duration and processing of a few years (the system resembling a contaminated land remediation project) (ii) medium term storage and treatment over one to a few decades, through to (iii) long-term intergenerational storage and treatment on a timescale of several decades to a century. It is envisaged that the in situ processes could be engineered to correspond to the likely time in storage.

5.2 Upper surface and leaching zone

The primary hazards to humans and other vertebrates from industrial residues tend to result either from direct contact with the residue, ingestion or inhalation of dusts, or ingestion of rainwater run-off (Nathaniel and Earl, 2001; Stange and Langdon, 2016). All these hazards can be significantly reduced if vegetative cover is established on the residue surface, as plant growth will buffer the chemistry of the surface layer and minimise dusts and suspended solids in runoff. When revegetation occurs naturally at a site that is initially devoid of life (e.g. after deposition of volcanic ash) the process of initial colonisation by pioneer species, and their subsequent replacement by a wider range of less hardy species, is called primary succession (Breeze, 1973; Gemmel, 1972; Bradshaw, 2000; Wong, 2003; Phillips and Courtney, 2022). Without intervention this process, which involves the slow build-up of
plant accessible nutrients with successive cycles of plant and microbial growth and decay, typically takes decades and centuries to produce verdant vegetative cover (Ash et al., 1994; Lee and Greenwood, 1976; Bradshaw, 2000; Santini and Fey, 2013). However, many industrial residue disposal sites could be transitioned from providing little benefit for people or nature to delivering multiple benefits, or ecosystem services, in few years if this natural process is accelerated.

Revegetation and the potential for accelerated ecological succession has been demonstrated on acidic coal mine (Wali, 1999; Fernandez-Caliani et al., 2021) and metalliferous mine wastes (O’Neill et al., 1998; Bagatto and Shorthouse, 1999; Wong, 2003; Walker et al, 2004), circumneutral metalliferous mine wastes (Shu et al, 2002; Yang et al, 1997; Sarathchandra et al, 2022; Peñalver-Alcalá et al, 2021), and on alkaline wastes (Breeze, 1973; Courtney et al., 2009; Fellet et al., 2011; Lee and Greenwood, 1976; Ash et al., 1994). Interventions at industrial residue disposal sites that can accelerate the succession process include conditioning treatments (to provide nutrients, create soil-like structure and buffer extreme pH values) and seeding of plant mixtures (Ash et al., 1994; Courtney et al., 2009; Kumpeine et al., 2008). Grass species appear to be good pioneer species, as species from calcareous grassland appear readily established on alkaline wastes and species from acidic heathland on acidic wastes (Ash et al., 1994), but early establishment of nitrogen fixing species (such as legumes) is important for accelerated succession (Phillips and Courtney, 2022). Over the last 20 years Courtney and co-workers have investigated the revegetation of bauxite processing residue (red mud); a very alkaline, abiotic waste (Courtney and Timpson., 2004, 2005; Courtney et al., 2009; Courtney and Harrington., 2012; Courtney et al., 2014). Their approach has been to augment the surface layer of the residue with various combinations of sand-sized material to improve drainage, gypsum to buffer high pH, and organic matter to provide a suitable substrate for plant growth, and they have shown that vegetative cover can be established from seed and sustained for more than 20 years. A subsequent investigation has shown that plant roots become established mainly in the amended surface layer, but vegetative cover resulted in a pH reduction >2 pH units and a five-fold reduction in sodicity (compared with an untreated and therefore largely unvegetated control plot) that extended to more than 3x the initial treatment depth
Plants can alter the chemistry of any residue upon which they are grown through the chemicals they secrete through their roots. These include CO$_2$ from respiration in roots; ions transported across the soil-root interface during nutrient uptake, extrusion of H$^+$ by plant cells during energy metabolism, and secretion of various organic species (termed “root exudates”) (Hinsinger et al., 2003). Plants can secrete a broad array of organic compounds into the rhizosphere, and while the flux is quantitatively quite modest (Guo et al., 2010; Hinsinger et al., 2013), it represents 5% to 21% of the carbon photosynthetically fixed by the plants (Helal and Sauerbeck, 1989; Marschner, 1995). Some root exudates are produced in response to specific plant stresses, but the majority (including sugars, amino acids, and organic acids) are believed to be passively lost from the root (Canarini et al., 2019). Organic acids and phenolics released by plants (including those released to combat excessive aluminium in acidic soils (Li et al., 2009; Mora-Marcias et al., 2017) and siderophores to that allow Fe uptake in neutral to alkaline soils (Schenkeveld et al., 2014; Grillet and Schmidt, 2017), can mobilise toxic metal contaminants by chelation of complexation. In healthy soil systems most plant exudates are consumed by rhizosphere-dwelling microbes (resulting in production of CO$_2$), but in industrial residues, with a thin, poorly established rhizosphere, plant exudates can migrate deeper into the deposit (Bray et al. 2018), see Fig 3.

5.2 Capture Zone

There are already many existing and developing technologies for the trapping of metals and other contaminant species from water into a solid matrix that have variously been developed for treatment of contaminated water (e.g. groundwater, municipal solid waste landfill leachate, mine water, highways and urban runoff) and there are many parallels with the continuing development of such “passive” technologies. These include permeable reactive barriers (PRBs), constructed wetlands, sustainable drainage systems (SuDS), swales and bioswales (for examples see Scherer et al., 2000; Pat-Espadas et
al., 2018; Woods-Ballard et al., 2007 and Ekka et al., 2021 respectively). The mechanisms of trapping variously involve biogeochemical process within the matrix that induce metal sequestration from solution. These typically rely on changes in redox or pH, utilise precipitation by the common ion effect or in situ sulphide production from microbial sulphate reduction, sorption, chelation or coprecipitation (Sapsford et al., 2019). Less well understood are passive systems for removing metal and metalloid oxyanions prevalent in alkaline wastes e.g. V, Al and As in bauxite processing residue (Burke, 2013).

For Cr(VI) reductive precipitation is important, for V(V) pH reduction by carbonation and sorption to Fe-(oxy)hydroxides is key, whereas for As oxidation of As(III) to As(V) and then sorption to Fe-(oxy)hydroxides is important (Ding et al., 2015; Hobson et al., 2018). In these cases, pH reduction and carbonation are key mechanisms for metal capture. Additives such as gypsum and organic matter can reduce the pH value (the former by promoting carbonation, the latter by dissolution of soluble humics) and organic matter can capture metals directly by complexation or indirectly by supporting bioreduction by microorganisms. Such mechanism should be effective in a trapping zone as they have been demonstrated to reverse the mobility of elements including V in bauxite residues (Bray, 2018).

An underlying aim of the design and operation should be a significant concentration of the target metal (or other species) compared to the leached residue. In achieving this, key challenges for capture zone engineering include (i) maintenance of the long-term effectiveness of the biogeochemical removal mechanisms (ii) maintenance and/or control of hydraulic conductivity (See below) (iii) concentration achievable in the capture zone.

6. Hydraulic and Geotechnical Considerations

Many landfills (or in-ground impoundments) of wastes rely to some extent on isolation, preventing or minimising the mobilisation of contaminants and their leakage into the surrounding environment. With the ASPIRE concept of in situ processing during temporary storage, however, complete isolation is no longer desirable as external environmental processes are required to be brought to bear on the waste mass to enable no/low input processing. The most important of these is likely to be controlled hydraulic
flow into the waste repository. As a result, the repository design moves from a containment facility to something more akin to a funnel-and-gate permeable reactive barrier, where water/lixiviant flow is encouraged (or at least controlled) to pass through the waste mass prior to treatment of the resulting liquor. This conceptual change leads to implications for both the hydraulic and geotechnical design of in-ground temporary waste storage.

6.1 Hydraulic processes in temporary storage

Hydraulic flow is likely to be the major driver of waste processing under natural processes, permitting the mobilisation and transport of both lixiviants and resource. Flow must enter the waste and travel through the entirety of the mass to reach the region where mobilised species are deposited. It is therefore vital to encourage water to flow through the waste mass – optimisation of this flow is dependent on-site conditions, but general issues may be considered here. There are two major environmental sources of water ingress, from rainfall / run-on (more transient) or groundwater (more consistent and predictable), which could be extracted adjacent to, and introduced into the repository.

A second major issue is the volume and rate of flow – the optimal volume/rate will be determined by properties of the waste mass including hydraulic conductivity, as well as the rate of resource deposition and thus the minimum residence time in the capture zone. Mineral-rich wastes of interest in temporary storage schemes have a wide range of potential hydraulic conductivities, for example municipal solid waste incineration bottom ash is relatively coarse, with sand-like hydraulic conductivity \(5 \times 10^{-6} \text{ m/s} (\text{de Windt et al., 2011})\) whilst finer fly ashes may vary from \(10^{-7}\) to \(10^{-10}\) m/s (Zabielska-Adamska, 2020).

Depending on the storage duration, rainwater alone may not provide sufficient water to fully mobilise resource even on the long timescales considered here and where it does, the transient nature of rainfall will potentially lead to periods of desaturation which in turn causes preferential flow and thus reduced resource mobilisation. To overcome this, water retention in ponding schemes may be used to attenuate flow transience, buffering water ingress by collection prior to gradual, more continuous release into the
waste. Alternatively, fluid exiting the storage facility may be collected and recirculated via autonomous systems, for example powered by solar energy.

Flow in porous media, including mineral wastes, is impacted by preferential flow – certain paths through the pore space offer less resistance to flow and so the majority of advective flow takes these paths (Clothier et al., 2008) leaving the majority of the medium (the matrix) untouched. Causes may include heterogeneities at a range of scales such as strata with varying hydraulic conductivity, pore sizes of differing diameter or unsaturated pores where flow cannot occur. Such preferential flow leads to only a proportion of the waste being treated by flushing / leaching, while decontamination of the remaining waste is governed by the rate of contaminant diffusion to preferential flow paths from the matrix. Soil flushing (or “pump and treat”) of contaminated land is affected by this process and sometimes results in active pumping continuing for decades to achieve the remediation objectives (Guo et al., 2019).

Without some kind of engineered system preferential flow will occur and will limit recovery from the waste mass, so it is appropriate to question whether there exist autonomous natural processes that can be engineered to alter fluid flow and/or diffusion. For example, this can, to an extent, be managed by pumping techniques such as pulsed flushing where flow is intermittent to give time for diffusion within the matrix (Cote et al., 2000); this doesn’t significantly affect the overall remedial time but it does reduce the active flushing time. Similar processes may be helpful in the case of temporary storage where treatment time is not a significant issue, but diffusion alone is unlikely to be wholly effective in moving resource, particularly when that resource may be bound tightly in mineral wastes and thus unavailable to the pore fluid. More active technologies to enhance availability and diffusion, such as electrokinetics, have been successfully employed to alter the mobility and availability of resources bound in mineral wastes (Peppicelli et al., 2018), though the challenge to engineer natural processes to autonomously enhance resource availability remains.

Over time, the hydraulic behaviour of all aspects of a repository may alter, and the repository system needs to be able to adapt to this. The whole waste body will undergo self-weight compaction to a degree and pattern determined partly by compaction during placement and the rate at which self-weight develops. With repositories that develop over time with the deposition of new waste, the process of
compaction and thus alteration of hydraulic flow in the original deposits will be a continuous process. The surface ecosystem will be dynamic with the growth of established plants and potentially successional growth of new plant species, potentially with new root architectures and behaviour which could alter water infiltration, evapotranspiration and so on. Continued leaching may lead to erosional processes, particle breakdown and aggregation, and clogging in the leach zone, whilst calcium-rich wastes such as certain fly ashes may be susceptible to cementation with calcium carbonate (Zabielska-Adamska, 2020), blocking the pore space and changing flow patterns and causing or preventing any continued waste compaction. The capture zone is by definition an active biogeochemical zone with deposition changing the pore structure and likely reducing the hydraulic conductivity, with challenges then for hydraulic flow throughout the system.

6.2 Geotechnical factors relevant to temporary storage

The geotechnical stability of in- or on-ground waste repositories will be dependent on their site-specific design, their profile and the surrounding ground conditions. The desire to have fully saturated wastes (to maximise resource recovery and avoid preferential flow) is problematic for sloped surfaces. It is likely that repository design will require non-sloping or modest-sloping surfaces as steeper slopes would be susceptible to slip failure in the presence of large pore pressures, as well as seepage problems in a similar manner to earth dams (Meyer et al., 1994), leading to failure mechanisms such as piping, heave and internal erosion (as noted above). This may be exacerbated with enhanced water flow and pore pressures should measures such as surface ponding and/or recirculation be employed. Settlement and consolidation of the emplaced waste masses will also be impacted by variations in the development of pore pressures, alongside factors such as internal erosion and changes on the structure of the waste materials. These processes will require consideration to ensure flow systems and any installed rigid infrastructure remain functional and to avoid adverse features such as differential surface settlement occurring. Geotechnical liners employed to encapsulate the waste body will require redesign from typical systems employed in traditional landfill. The inclusion of drainage layers should be avoided to prevent preferential flow of influent water (rain or ground) around rather than through the waste. The
saturation of the waste without drainage, however, has the potential to create significant hydraulic
gradients across the liner which may lead to localised liner failure.

7. Delivery of ecosystem services

In the proposed ASPIRE repository concept the upper surface can be vegetated to provide root exudates
that enhance leaching and drive capture zone biogeochemistry. In addition, the opportunity for
vegetated upper surface provides opportunities for considerable added value through the delivery of
ecosystem services. Restored landfills deliver a range of ecosystem services, or benefits to people,
following conversion to ‘soft’ land uses, including agriculture, forestry, amenity and nature
conservation (Li et al., 2019; Zalesny et al., 2020). There is an opportunity to tailor the design and
species selection to provide the necessary lixiviants as well as delivering ecosystem services. Using the
framework provided by Common International Classification of Ecosystem Services (CICES) V5.1
(Haines-Young and Potschin, 2018) it is possible to explore the opportunity to provide ecosystem
services in ASPIRE landfills. The CICES focuses on regulation and maintenance (e.g. mediation of
wastes, flood risk management, pollination), cultural (e.g. experiential and physical use, education) and
provisioning services (e.g. cultivated plants, energy generation, mineral resources), which are
underpinned by ‘supporting’ conditions (e.g. primary production; Haines-Young and Potschin, 2018).

7.1 Regulation and maintenance services

Regulation and maintenance services include management of flood risk, temperature, and air, water and
soil quality, as well as pollination. It is well known that restored landfills can deliver positive outcomes
for nature conservation (MacGregor et al., 2022; Tarrant et al., 2012; Rahman et al., 2013). The sector
is already accustomed to designing restoration strategies for recreation (see below) and nature
conservation end uses, providing a variety of habitat types and amenities. For example, providing a
nutrient-poor soil and allowing natural colonisation of plants to facilitate the development of semi-
natural grasslands to provide for pollinators (Rahman et al., 2013). With ASPIRE repositories there would also be a need to ensure that selected species also generated sufficient exudates/lixiviants. New requirements for biodiversity net gain, introduced in the UK as part of the Environment Act 2021 create an opportunity to deliver biodiversity as an integral part of the system as well as the restoration phase. For example, current landfills, or those planned for habitats of low distinctiveness, such as quarries, or improved grassland, could be restored to provide mosaic habitats of medium or high distinctiveness, including native flower-rich grasslands, to provide pollination services, open grassland, mixed broadleaved woodland and ponds and wetlands (Natural England, 2021). Furthermore, revegetation of landfills can provide many of the services of urban greenspaces, including improved air quality, and reduced temperature and flood risk (Harwell et al., 2021; Li et al., 2019; Pereira et al., 2018). As well as providing water storage, including wetlands and ponds will also allow the recirculation of clean water contributing to the regulation of water pollution (Benyamine et al., 2004).

7.2 Provisioning services

In addition to cleaned residue, and a concentration of potentially valuable elements in the anthropogenic ore zone, there are equally important potential applications for the upper surface of the ASPIRE repository (as with other landfills) to provide food and energy. The establishment of agriculture and forestry on restored landfills is a common end use and selecting species that can be used in food or timber production whilst providing the necessary lixiviants could ensure ASPIRE repositories are also able to contribute provisioning services. Landfills are also increasingly being used for energy generation, through solar farms (Szabó et al., 2017) or biomass crops (Cervelli et al., 2020; Zalesny et al., 2020) and there is also potential here for added value from ASPIRE repositories. This approach can also achieve biodiversity benefits (Cervilli et al., 2020), and in the case of some energy crops, spaces for recreation use for example through the creation of community woodlands. For example, the energy crop miscanthus can provide a habitat for farmland birds (Bright et al., 2013) and the brown hare, whose population is declining in areas of intensive agriculture (Petrovan et al., 2017). Creating solar farms on
landfills, particularly, can overcome some of the tensions with using agricultural land for this purpose (Szabolcs et al., 2017). As a new concept, an ASPIRE landfill will be well placed to take advantage of the latest developments in other fields, such as the dual use of land for cultivation and solar farms (Toledo and Scognamiglio, 2021). These ‘agrovoltaic’ systems employ a range of technologies to ensure that crop production and energy generation can work in unison, for example, by using vertical photovoltaic (PV) panels, or elevating the panels several metres about the ground, allowing for vegetation growth beneath (Toledo and Scognamiglio, 2021). Depending on the configuration and species selection this approach can increase crop yields and improve the efficiency of the PVs (Toledo and Scognamiglio, 2021). There may also therefore be the potential to combine energy crops, such as miscanthus, with solar farms to increase the energy generation from ASPIRE repositories.

7.3 Cultural services

Many landfills have been restored to high quality greenspaces, often close to where people live, providing space for rest, relaxation, physical activity and contact with nature (Li et al., 2019). These activities provide cultural ecosystem services including health and wellbeing benefits such as improved mental health, physical activity (Li et al., 2019).

8 Carbon Capture

Any soil surface has the potential for capturing carbon through the biological colonisation and development of the regolith. Thus, an ASPIRE repository, which deliberately includes a vegetated upper surface would achieve carbon capture. Moreover, many mineral-rich wastes contain components which will either directly react with CO₂ or will lead to capture of CO₂ as bicarbonate via mineral weathering. The carbonation mechanism is particularly relevant to alkaline wastes, whereby soluble oxide and silicate minerals react with CO₂ and form carbonate minerals (Renforth, 2019). Recent studies have suggested that legacy iron and steel wastes have a carbon capture potential of up to 80 million tonnes.
in the UK (e.g. Riley et al., 2020, and section 4.3), whilst global estimates suggest between 5-12% of
global CO$_2$ emissions could be mitigated through carbonation with alkaline residues (Renforth, 2019).
The sequestration process in these wastes is currently limited in disposal settings by low rates of
atmospheric CO$_2$ ingress into heaps and surface armouring of wastes by secondary deposits (e.g. Pullin et al., 2019). An ASPIRE repository could accelerate carbonation rates in a controlled manner through
managed weathering of shallow piles (to encourage contact with atmosphere) and accelerated flushing
of residues (to minimise surface armouring and accelerate weathering rates). The deliberate engineering
of the surface to encourage downward percolation of water and root exudates may transport organic
materials into the waste repository where biological mineralisation should further enhance rates of
mineral weathering and carbonation. Interestingly, there may well be significant financial incentives
associated with this atmospheric CO$_2$ sequestration (e.g. in operating an auditable negative emissions
system: Renforth, 2019) which could assist with long-term management of ASPIRE repositories.

9 Technology Barriers and Risks

There are foreseeable barriers to the further development of the ASPIRE repository concept, including
both the technical engineering challenges and public or stakeholder perceptions. There could potentially
be enhanced risks of contaminant escape due to increased water movement, potential for the
development of hydraulic heads on liners and presence of agents that enhance contaminant
concentration and mobilisation. However, existing containment engineering for landfills and heap
leaching facilities (common in the mining industry) should find application in adequately mitigating
this risk. Furthermore, landfill monitoring technology is well established, and environmental
monitoring technologies continue to develop apace.

There are risks and barriers associated with public perceptions of the technology, similar to those
experienced during the remediation of contaminated sites and mining operations. For example, they
include concerns that there will be adverse impacts on the environment from the release of pollutants
and the time taken for resource extraction (Song et al., 2019; Tayebi-Khorami et al., 2019). There may
also be a lack of trust from local communities that operators are mitigating risks effectively or acting in their interests (Tayebi-Khorami et al., 2019; Sinnett and Sardo, 2020). Operators may also be resistant to a new technology and any associated liabilities (Tayebi-Khorami et al., 2019; Song et al., 2019), and uncertain that a market exists for the product generated by ASPIRE repositories (Tayebi-Khorami et al., 2019) or the ownership of these products. Currently, there are also regulatory risks to adoption of the ASPIRE concept as there is with an authentic shift towards a CE model for mining waste management (Tayebi-Khorami et al., 2019; Cisterna et al., 2022), the proposed long timescales may make it difficult to foresee future changes in environmental regulations that might impact on operation or recovery. Furthermore, there may also be increased cost implications for the operators in terms of long-term monitoring, which may result in additional running costs compared with a traditional landfill.

10. Conclusions

There are many reasons why mineral-rich wastes are currently landfilled. However, it is self-evident that environmental containment offered by a landfill will eventually succumb to environmental processes, potentially allowing pollution to escape to burden future generations. Thus, in a very real sense, landfilled waste becomes “someone else’s problem”, removed in time, rather than geographically, from the producer. Therefore, landfill cannot be considered as an intergenerationally equitable waste management option. Temporary storage is an important concept that has been considered but not adopted for MSW but may be more applicable for mineral-dominated wastes from mining and industry. The case for temporary storage is more compelling, due to the disconnect between potential end-users for residues and the waste production. The reuse of mineral-rich wastes is also often limited because of the presence of leachable contaminants. Separating contaminants from clean residue or concentrating valuable components from a waste where they are dispersed requires energy. The ASPIRE concept looks to extend the concept of temporary storage to include in-built biogeochemical engineering and the solar insolation flux to utilise the time in storage for the separation and concentration of contaminants (or resources). Furthermore, there are clear opportunities for adding
value through ecosystem services and carbon capture. There are several important engineering and legislative hurdles that remain to be solved. Importantly, recycling materials within ASPIRE repositories is not intended to displace any existing economically viable and sustainable recycling technologies for mineral-rich wastes. However, the concept could provide a potentially practicable Circular Economy solution for materials that would otherwise go to conventional landfill, thus at minimum replacing/displacing landfill with temporary storage and treatment for recycling at the base of the waste hierarchy.
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