Body Perception Disturbance and Pain Reduction in Longstanding Complex Regional Pain Syndrome Following a Multidisciplinary Rehabilitation Program
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Abstract

Objective. Clinical guidelines for the treatment of complex regional pain syndrome recommend multidisciplinary rehabilitation, yet limited evidence exists to support the effectiveness of this approach. Body perception disturbance, a common and debilitating feature of complex regional pain syndrome, is recommended by guidelines as important to treat. However, no study has yet explored whether disturbances change in response to multidisciplinary rehabilitation. We aimed to determine whether there is a change in body perception disturbance and pain following a two-week multidisciplinary rehabilitation program for complex regional pain syndrome.

Methods. Retrospective clinical data from complex regional pain syndrome patients who completed the program between September 2014 and December 2016 were extracted and anonymized. Data collected pre- and post rehabilitation comprising the Bath Body Perception Disturbance scale and a pain intensity numerical rating scale were analyzed.

Results. Thirty complete data sets were analyzed from a sample of 50 consecutive patient records. After the program, there was a significant reduction in body perception disturbance \( (P < 0.0001) \), strength of negative emotional feelings \( (P < 0.0001) \), and pain \( (P = 0.0038) \). There was a significant correlation between a change in disturbance and pain \( (r = 0.44, P = 0.024) \). No relationship was found between the duration of symptoms and changes in disturbance \( (r = 0.04, P = 0.82) \).

Conclusions. This study provides evidence that both body perception disturbance and pain reduce after rehabilitation. Findings suggest that targeting these disturbances may be important in reducing pain and may be a potentially useful measure for recovery. Controlled trials are required to confirm the effectiveness of rehabilitation and determine what factors are responsible for these reductions.
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Introduction

Body perception disturbance (BPD) is recognized as a common feature of complex regional pain syndrome (CRPS) and adversely influences how patients feel about and engage with their painful limb [1–3]. CRPS clinical guidelines highlight the need to treat body perception disturbance [4]; however, to date, changes in BPD following rehabilitation have not yet been explored. Our study aims to address this important issue.

Up to 84% of people with CRPS experience perceptions of their painful bodily region that are at odds with reality [2,3,5,6]. Strongly negative emotions, such as hate and disgust, are expressed about the limb, and individuals describe a loss of self-ownership, commonly reporting...
the limb as alien [2,6,7]. Some have a strong desire to amputate the affected part [2,8]. Subjectively, the painful body region is perceived as altered in shape, size, temperature and weight in a way that is different from objective assessment [2,9,10]. Moreover, with their eyes closed, CRPS patients mentally visualize intensified shape and size distortions of the affected region, and some are unable to picture anatomical parts [2]. These anomalous perceptions and feelings about the affected limb are believed to impact the individual’s ability to engage with and use their limb in normal daily functioning [1,11].

A positive correlation between BPD and pain has been established, demonstrating that those with more severe pain have more extensive BPD [8]. We postulate that this correlational relationship with pain is important, because if BPD decreases with treatment, it follows that pain may also reduce.

The exact cause of BPD remains unclear, although emerging evidence suggests that body perception disturbances are associated with pathological changes in the brain’s representation of the affected limb [12,13]. Central body representation is a virtual, dynamic multisensory map of the body within the brain [14]. Somatosensory, visual, proprioceptive, and vestibular inputs and motor feedback constantly update and modify this representation [14]. Brain imaging reveals cortical remapping in the primary somatosensory cortex (S1), a region that contributes to the central representation of the painful CRPS limb [12,15]. One study found changes in S1 associated with the healthy limb [16]. These pathological changes are related to pain, as positive correlations have been found between the extent of neuronal remapping in the brain and pain intensity [12,17,18]. Notably, this maladaptive S1 representation has also been shown to normalize following rehabilitation [19]. Given the association between S1 changes and BPD, one would expect that BPD also decreases as a consequence of rehabilitation, yet to date this assumption remains unsubstantiated.

Most cases of CRPS resolve within one year; however, symptoms persist and develop into a long-term condition for up to 27% of patients [20–23]. The rate of recovery reduces considerably in those with long-standing CRPS. Seventy percent of these individuals continue with stable or developing symptoms at 5.8 years after condition onset [20].

International clinical guidelines recommend that multidisciplinary team (MDT) rehabilitation is the gold standard treatment for more severe and/or longstanding CRPS [4,24,25]. The aim of treatment is functional recovery through improving function and quality of life, reducing pain, and promoting self-management [4]. A combination of rehabilitation interventions such as mirror visual feedback (MVF), sensory re-education, postural control, and strategies to increase engagement with the affected body part is commonly delivered in a program format by at least two health care professionals [4]. Physiotherapy and occupational therapy play an essential role in the delivery of this rehabilitation [4].

An MDT rehabilitation program that typifies this model is the two-week CRPS-specific inpatient course at the Royal National Hospital for Rheumatic Diseases, Bath, UK (RNHRD) [26]. Patients who are eligible for the program have met the Budapest clinical diagnostic criteria for CRPS [27], as confirmed by a physical examination from the team’s pain specialist, and have failed local unidisciplinary treatment and hence require MDT rehabilitation, in accordance with the UK CRPS treatment guidelines [4]. Delivered by Occupational Therapy, Physiotherapy, and Psychology professionals with input from Nursing and Pain Medicine, rehabilitation is guided by the functional goals that the patient identifies as important to them. Treatment approaches are selected based on the individual’s needs [26].

Despite international guideline recommendations, only two studies to date have evaluated the effectiveness of an MDT program in the treatment of CRPS [28,29]. Both studies evaluated a four-week outpatient MDT program, one [28] in long-standing (mean symptom duration = 39 months) CRPS (N = 49), the other [29] in upper limb CRPS (N = 12, mean symptom duration = 18 months). Both studies showed significant improvements in physical functioning, perceived disability, and pain coping strategies. However, there was no significant change in pain, and neither study measured BPD as an outcome [28,29].

In summary, despite the prevalence and debilitating nature of BPD, which clinical guidance advises to specifically target in treatment, no study has yet evaluated changes in BPD following an MDT program. Such an evaluation may provide evidence for the effectiveness of MDT rehabilitation, support the importance of addressing BPD when treating CRPS patients, and determine whether BPD is a useful clinical outcome in monitoring progress.

In this single-cohort study, we set out to answer this important research question: “Does BPD change following an MDT rehabilitation program in CRPS?” Our primary hypothesis was that there will be a significant reduction in BPD following an MDT rehabilitation program.

Secondary hypotheses were as follows:

1. After the rehabilitation program, there will be a change in emotional feelings about the CRPS-affected region.
2. After the rehabilitation program, there will be a relationship between changes in BPD and changes in pain.
3. After the rehabilitation program, there will be a relationship between changes in BPD and symptom duration.

Methods

Study Cohort and Design

This is a cohort study to explore whether there is a change in BPD and pain following MDT rehabilitation. Retrospective clinical data were extracted from the medical notes of patients who had completed the RNHRD
two-week CRPS MDT rehabilitation program between September 2014 and December 2016. Data were extracted retrospectively for individuals who met the following inclusion criteria: 1) aged 18 or older, 2) met the Budapest clinical diagnostic criteria for CRPS [27] 3) two Bath CRPS BPD scales completed, one pre- and one post-program. Data were anonymized by a suitably qualified clinician (JL) at the point of extraction, and each patient data set was allocated a unique identification number. A consecutive sample of 50 data sets that met the inclusion criteria were extracted.

Measures

Measurement of Body Perception Disturbance
The Bath CRPS Body Perception Disturbance (Bath BPD) scale was used to measure the nature and extent of changes in body perception of the affected limb [1]. The measure comprises seven items covering different aspects related to the affected limb. These are 1) a sense of ownership; 2) limb position awareness; 3) attention paid to the limb; 4) emotional feelings toward the limb; 5) perceptual disparities in size, temperature, pressure, and weight (compared with the unaffected limb); 6) a desire to amputate the limb; and 7) a mental representation of the affected limb.

The sum of the scale is calculated with a possible maximum of 57 (see [1] for scoring guidance). A higher score indicates greater BPD. Psychometric scale testing indicates adequate internal consistency and interrater reliability [8]. We were particularly interested in whether there was a change in emotions about the limb (item 4; secondary hypothesis 1).

Pain Rating
A numerical rating scale (NRS) is a widely used, valid, and reliable scale to measure pain intensity and has been shown to have high compliance rates in chronic pain populations [30,31]. The NRS is an 11-point verbal rating scale ranging from 0 (no pain) to 10 (worst imaginable pain).

Time Points of Measurement Completion
Both measures were recorded by Occupational Therapy clinicians on the first day of the inpatient program before rehabilitation and on the last program day following rehabilitation two weeks later [26].

Data Management and Statistical Methods
Fifty anonymized and numbered data sets were transposed from paper copies into a Microsoft Excel Spreadsheet then exported into SPSS, version 22 (IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, IBM Corp, Armonk, NY, USA), and analyzed.

In 20 of these data sets, some individual items within the Bath BPD scale at baseline and post rehabilitation had not been completed by clinicians; therefore, a total BPD score could not be calculated. These data sets were excluded, and the remaining 30 data sets were analyzed. Mean values and standard deviations were calculated to describe the age, symptom duration, and pain intensity of the cohort. Gender, reported inciting incident, and affected body part were summed for the group and are presented as percentages.

Subscale analysis was performed on item 4 of the BPD scale to determine ratings for emotional feelings about the limb. A Shapiro-Wilk test was performed on mean pre- and postprogram scores for BPD, pain, and emotional ratings to test whether the data were normally distributed. BPD and pain were normally distributed; therefore, paired-sample t tests were used to identify changes over time. Emotional ratings were not normally distributed; therefore, a Wilcoxon test was used. To calculate changes in BPD and pain intensity following rehabilitation, the respective postprogram score was subtracted from the preprogram score to determine change scores. For additional information, standard errors (SEM) have been included in Figure 2A. A Spearman’s rank order test was performed to calculate a correlation coefficient between pain intensity and symptom duration and BPD change following the rehabilitation program. Alpha was set at P < 0.05 for all statistical analyses.

Ethics
This study was approved by the Faculty Research Ethics Committee of University of the West of England (approval number HAS.16.12.075).

Results
Thirty complete data sets (21/70% women, 9/30% men) were included in the analyses. Participants in the cohort had a mean age (SD) of 44.7 (11.3) years, mean symptom duration of 41.6 (42.1) months, and mean baseline pain intensity of 7.5 (1.84). There was no significant difference in baseline pain intensity (P = 0.6) between this group and those that were excluded (mean baseline pain intensity [SD] = 6.65 [1.9]). Patient-related clinical characteristics are presented in Figure 1A, B.

Change in Body Perception Disturbance Following Rehabilitation
The mean Bath BPD total score was significantly lower (P < 0.0001) post rehabilitation (23.83 ± 11) than prerehabilitation (34.3 ± 10.8) (Figure 2A). A post hoc analysis of effect size of an MDT program on BPD was calculated using paired-sample testing, which resulted in a Cohens d of –0.96 with a confidence interval of –1.31 to –0.6.

Change in Emotional Feelings About the CRPS-Affected Region
The mean emotional rating was significantly lower (P < 0.0001) post rehabilitation (5.2 ± 2.7) than prerehabilitation (7.5 ± 2.5) (Figure 2B).
Change in Pain
The mean pain intensity rating was significantly lower ($P = 0.0038$) postrehabilitation ($6.2 \pm 2.7$) than prerehabilitation ($7.5 \pm 2.5$) (Figure 2C).

Relationship Between Changes in BPD and Pain
A significant correlation ($r = 0.44, P = 0.024$) was found between the change in BPD and change in pain following rehabilitation (Figure 3).

Relationship Between Changes in BPD and Symptom Duration
No significant correlation was found between either baseline BPD or change in BPD and symptom duration ($r = 0.15, P = 0.42$, and $r = 0.04, P = 0.82$, respectively).

Discussion
These findings support our primary hypothesis that BPD significantly reduces following an MDT rehabilitation
program in patients with CRPS. We reveal for the first time that BPD is responsive to MDT treatment and decreases accordingly. Results confirm our secondary hypotheses that a) there is a change in emotional feelings following rehabilitation and b) there is a significant correlation between changes in BPD and pain. Contrary to previous findings [8], we found no relationship between change in BPD and symptom duration (hypothesis 3).

Previous research has shown that rehabilitation programs help CRPS patients to improve pain coping strategies [28], yet ours is the first study to show a reduction in pain intensity. Furthermore, we also found a reduction in BPD following MDT treatment. Our findings offer objective evidence in support of clinical guidance to address BPD in treating CRPS [4].

A reduction in strength of negative emotional feelings about the affected limb supports the view that patients become more positive about their limb as a consequence of rehabilitation. This may have some potential for promoting functional improvement [1].

We are unable to directly compare our BPD change findings with earlier research, as no previous studies exist in this specific area. Nonetheless, our findings do provide further support for MDT rehabilitation in the treatment of CRPS [28].

When comparing changes in pain, our results were not consistent with those of McCormick et al. [28]. We found a significant reduction in pain intensity (mean change = 1.3) following the program, whereas they found no change in pain despite a patient cohort with a similar symptom duration to ours. One possible explanation for this disparity is that the intensity of an MDT program delivered on an inpatient basis such ours as at the RNHRD may be more effective than the outpatient model evaluated by McCormick et al. Although statistically significant, it should be noted that our pain results do not quite meet the clinical significance threshold of a two-point reduction in NRS [32].

Notably, we found a direct correlation between reductions in pain and BPD following rehabilitation. Evidence of a relationship between BPD and pain corroborates findings from an earlier study in a Canadian CRPS population where BPD was found to positively correlate with pain, demonstrating that those in greater pain had more extensive body perception disturbance [8]. Whether pain is the cause or the effect of BPD is yet to be determined. Given that current pharmacological treatments aimed at directly targeting chronic pain have limited effect [33], the interplay between these two factors suggests that treating BPD to indirectly reduce pain may have useful potential in providing pain relief for patients. Further investigation is required to test the merits of this hypothesis.

In contrast to earlier studies [8–10], we found no correlation between BPD and symptom duration. This is of clinical interest, as those with a longer symptom duration may still respond positively to rehabilitation aimed at reducing BPD.

Although the size of our cohort precludes making broad generalizations, our preliminary findings add to the emerging evidence base in this field. Future controlled trials with larger CRPS cohorts are now required to confirm our findings. Furthermore, we only explored BPD and pain, so it would be useful in a larger cohort to investigate how BPD interacts with other factors such as anxiety and depression.

Given the retrospective nature of this study, it is not known which components of the MDT program were effective in reducing BPD and pain. The goal-centered nature of the RNHRD program leads to variability in treatment approaches between patients; therefore, it is more difficult to identify specific elements of the program that might be responsible for the observed changes. Intervention-based randomized controlled trials could identify the active components responsible for the effectiveness of an MDT program and lead to future studies that could establish the optimal frequency and duration.
of these effective interventions for the best clinical outcome.

Outcome measures in this study were repeated immediately after the program; therefore, it is unknown whether reductions in BPD, pain, and negative emotions can be sustained over a longer period. Further research is necessary to establish whether the reductions we found can be maintained over time.

Our findings are relevant to clinical practice, as we provide clinical evidence to support the importance of actively treating BPD within an MDT program. Our findings indicate that treatment interventions aimed at reducing BPD may reduce pain.

Furthermore, measuring changes in BPD before and after rehabilitation is a potentially useful clinical outcome to measure progress in rehabilitation and one possible indicator of recovery.

Conclusions

In conclusion, we have found for the first time that BPD and pain reduce in response to MDT rehabilitation. This confirms clinical guidance about the importance of addressing BPD and adds to the growing evidence base in support of MDT rehabilitation in the treatment of CRPS. Furthermore, the relationship between changes in BPD and pain suggests the potential for indirectly targeting pain by directly treating BPD. We also found a reduction in strength of negative emotional feelings about the affected limb but no relationship between change in BPD and symptom duration. Further work is required to identify the effective components of an MDT program and whether these outcomes of rehabilitation can be maintained over time.
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