

This is an Open Access document downloaded from ORCA, Cardiff University's institutional repository: <https://orca.cardiff.ac.uk/id/eprint/158222/>

This is the author's version of a work that was submitted to / accepted for publication.

Citation for final published version:

Clauw, Daniel J., Choy, Ernest H. S. , Napadow, Vitaly, Soni, Anushka, Boehnke, Kevin F., Naliboff, Bruce, Hassett, Afton L., Arewasikporn, Anne, Schrepf, Andrew, Kaplan, Chelsea M., Williams, David, Basu, Neil, Bergmans, Rachel S., Harris, Richard E., Harte, Steven E., Chadwick, Andrea and Macfarlane, Gary J. 2023. Hypothetical model ignores many important pathophysiologic mechanisms in fibromyalgia. *Nature Reviews Rheumatology* 10.1038/s41584-023-00951-3

Publishers page: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41584-023-00951-3>

Please note:

Changes made as a result of publishing processes such as copy-editing, formatting and page numbers may not be reflected in this version. For the definitive version of this publication, please refer to the published source. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite this paper.

This version is being made available in accordance with publisher policies. See <http://orca.cf.ac.uk/policies.html> for usage policies. Copyright and moral rights for publications made available in ORCA are retained by the copyright holders.



# Hypothetical model ignores many important pathophysiologic mechanisms in fibromyalgia

Daniel J. Clauw 1, Ernest H. S. Choy 2, Vitaly Napadow 3, Anushka Soni 4, Kevin F. Boehnke 1, Bruce Naliboff 5, Afton L. Hassett 1, Anne Arewasikporn 1, Andrew Schrepf 1, Chelsea M. Kaplan 1, David Williams 1, Neil Basu 6, Rachel S. Bergmans 1, Richard E. Harris 1, Steven E. Harte 1, Andrea Chadwick 7 & Gary J. Macfarlane 8

1 Department of Anesthesiology, Chronic Pain and Fatigue Research Center, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USA. 2 CREATE Centre, Section of Rheumatology, Division of Infection and Immunity, Cardiff University School of Medicine, Cardiff University, Cardiff, UK. 3 Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, Spaulding Rehabilitation Hospital and Harvard Medical School, Charlestown, MA, USA. 4 Nuffield Department of Orthopaedics, Rheumatology and Musculoskeletal Sciences, Oxford University, Oxford, UK. 5 Oppenheimer Center for the Neurobiology of Stress and Resilience, David Geffen School of Medicine, University of California Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA, USA. 6 Institute of Infection, Immunity and Inflammation, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, UK. 7 Anesthesiology, Pain and Perioperative Medicine, University of Kansas Medical Center, Kansas City, KS, USA. 8 Department of Public Health, School of Medicine, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen, UK.

e-mail: dclauw@umich.edu

We would like to respond to the Perspective article by Pinto et al. (Pinto, A. M. et al. Emotion regulation and the salience network: a hypothetical integrative model of fibromyalgia. *Nat. Rev. Rheumatol.* 19, 44–60 (2023))<sup>1</sup>. We feel that the proposed model severely overfits the complicated pathophysiology of fibromyalgia and is not applicable to all or even most individuals with this condition. We are concerned that this theory could inadvertently drive the field backwards despite several decades of research that has begun to illuminate neurobiological mechanisms underlying fibromyalgia and other chronic pain conditions. Our main points are outlined here.

First and foremost, we disagree that psychological stress is the sole cause of fibromyalgia. It is inappropriate and invalidating to imply this is the predominant causal mechanism in fibromyalgia. Many individuals with fibromyalgia do not have a history of trauma, psychiatric comorbidity or even extraordinary stress. Thus, these individuals will not benefit from and may be harmed by this inaccurate and potentially stigmatizing conceptualization of fibromyalgia.

‘Working hypotheses’ should both distinguish between causative and associative factors in the model and directly lead to testable hypotheses, but this piece does neither. The authors state “This proposed integrative model ... should be viewed as a working hypothesis with limited supporting evidence available”<sup>2</sup>. We agree that the supporting evidence is very limited. There are very few, if any, prospective longitudinal studies that conclusively demonstrate that psychological stress causes fibromyalgia. Longitudinal studies among people with related conditions such as chronic widespread pain (CWP) or temporomandibular disorder suggest that psychological factors are weak predictors of future pain compared to factors such as somatic amplification, interoception, prior pain, poor sleep and smoking<sup>2,3</sup>. Furthermore, numerous studies suggest that psychological factors often improve dramatically when pain improves — which would not be expected if this unidirectional hypothesis is correct<sup>4,5</sup>.

We also disagree with the authors’ proposition that adverse childhood events (ACEs) are key drivers in the development of fibromyalgia. Whereas ACEs are unfortunately ubiquitous in the general population, most individuals who have ACEs do not develop pain, and ACEs are only weakly

associated with the development of fibromyalgia and CWP. The UK 1958 Birth Cohort Study estimated that <10% of CWP cases could be attributed to ACEs6 and a UK case–control study found that only childhood operations and hospitalizations were linked to CWP in adulthood7 .

Placing such significance on psychological stress (which worsens nearly all medical conditions) moves us away from precision medicine approaches. A holistic framework that considers diverse circumstances as well as needs and wishes of the patient is more likely to be effective whether the person has heart disease, diabetes, cancer or chronic pain. We completely agree that a subset of people with fibromyalgia have prominent underlying psychological factors playing a role, and that these individuals should be identified and treated using a variety of psychologically based therapies. But there are a plethora of other important underlying mechanisms and corresponding treatments that need to be considered. We prefer precision medicine approaches, which attempt to align treatments with the underlying mechanisms that are operative in each individual patient. There is a reply to this letter by Pinto et al. *Nat. Rev. Rheumatol.* <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41584-023-00952-2> (2023)

## References

1. Pinto, A. M. et al. Emotion regulation and the salience network: a hypothetical integrative model of fibromyalgia. *Nat. Rev. Rheumatol.* 19, 44–60 (2023).
2. Slade, G. D. et al. Summary of findings from the OPPERA prospective cohort study of incidence of first-onset temporomandibular disorder: implications and future directions. *J. Pain* 14 (Suppl. 12), T116–124 (2013).
3. McBeth, J. & Jones, K. Epidemiology of chronic musculoskeletal pain. *Best Pract. Res. Clin. Rheumatol.* 21, 403–425 (2007).
4. Cohen, E. M. et al. Pain and catastrophizing in patients with rheumatoid arthritis: an observational cohort study. *J. Clin. Rheumatol.* 25, 232–236 (2019).
5. Lape, E. C., Selzer, F., Collins, J. E., Losina, E. & Katz, J. N. Stability of measures of pain catastrophizing and widespread pain following total knee replacement. *Arthritis Care Res.* 72, 1096–1103 (2020).
6. Jones, G. T., Power, C. & Macfarlane, G. J. Adverse events in childhood and chronic widespread pain in adult life: results from the 1958 British Birth Cohort Study. *Pain* 143, 92–96 (2009).
7. McBeth, J., Morris, S., Benjamin, S., Silman, A. J. & Macfarlane, G. J. Associations between adverse events in childhood and chronic widespread pain in adulthood: are they explained by differential recall? *J. Rheumatol.* 28, 2305–2309 (2001).

## Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interest