Uncovering the role of non-covalent interactions in solid-state photoswitches by non-spherical structure refinements with NoSpherA2†
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We present a charge density study of two linkage isomer photoswitches, [Pd(Bu₄dien)(NO₂)]BPh₄·THF (1) and [Ni(Et₄dien)(NO₂)₂] (2) using Hirshfeld Atom Refinement (HAR) methods implemented via the NoSpherA2 interface in Olex2. HAR is used to explore the electron density distribution in the photoswitchable molecules of 1 and 2, to gain an in-depth understanding of key bonding features and their influence on the single-crystal-to-single-crystal reaction. HAR analysis is also combined with ab initio calculations to explore the non-covalent interactions that influence physical properties of the photoswitches, such as the stability of the excited state nitrito-(η¹-ONO) isomer. This insight can be fed back into the crystal engineering process to develop new and improved photoswitches that can be optimised towards specific applications.

Introduction

Photoswitchable materials, that can be reversibly converted between at least two different (meta)stable states on exposure to light, are applicable in a variety of technologies including optoelectronics, data-storage media, solar energy and photocatalysis. Typically, bulk switching of the macroscopic physical property is accompanied by structural changes at the atomic scale and, by studying these structure–property correlations via in situ analytical techniques, researchers can obtain insight into the fundamental mechanisms responsible for switchability. For crystalline materials in which the structure changes occur in a single-crystal-to-single-crystal manner, the switching process can be followed by
photocrystallographic methods. Even in crystals that can readily accommodate the movement of whole atoms or molecules, non-covalent interactions (NCIs) control various aspects of switching, including the most likely reaction pathways, photo-product and intermediate species or the excited-state populations achieved. This is particularly true of intermolecular interactions, e.g. hydrogen bonds, that must be disrupted throughout the solid to facilitate photoswitching in the bulk. Thus, NCIs are often key to explain important structure–property correlations.

While single-crystal X-ray diffraction (SCXRD) refinements using the traditional Independent Atom Model (IAM) provide atomic-scale information before, after, and even during photo-switching, often information on NCIs is, at best, only inferred from the refined parameters (e.g. bond lengths and angles). Experimental charge density refinements can directly refine the electron density based on more accurate, non-spherical models e.g. multipolar refinements, providing unique understanding of the fine electron density. However, such experiments ideally require very high-resolution data (<0.5 Å). As many issues typical for photocrystallographic studies (e.g. radiation damage from light and/or X-rays, stimuli-induced phase transitions, or significant disorder resulting from partial conversion to the excited-state) can significantly limit the diffraction data quality obtained, these studies present a significant challenge for accurate experimental charge density analysis.

More recently, semi-empirical approaches have been proposed that sit between experimental charge density refinements and ab initio calculations. These include multipole-based databank approaches, e.g. the Invarioms or ELMAM methods, although these methods are typically limited to organic and bioorganic compounds where fewer atom types are required. The NoSpherA2 (Non-Spherical Atoms in Olex2) approach, implemented in the crystal structure refinement software Olex2, has already been applied to several interesting crystallographic problems, including those involving metal atoms. The software utilises Hirshfeld atom refinement (HAR) to calculate non-spherical atomic form factors, then refines these non-spherical atom shapes against the experimental electron density obtained by SCXRD. Through this combination of quantum mechanical calculations and experimental electron density refinement, a greatly improved crystallographic model is obtained that can provide new insight into the intra- and intermolecular bonding. The NoSpherA2 approach has some advantages over fully-experimental charge density analysis as it can be applied to materials that do not diffract to such high resolution as needed for multipolar refinements, and more readily to materials that contain disorder.

We herein present an application of NoSpherA2 to photo-switchable linkage isomer crystals. Using HAR, we investigate the electron density distribution (EDD) and NCIs present in the ground-state (GS) and excited-state (ES) isomers of two known systems: [Pd(Bu4dien)(NO2)]BPh4·THF (1), and [Ni(Et 4dien)(NO2)2] (2), which can both be fully-converted between their nitro-(η1-NO2) GS and photoinduced endo-nitrito-(η1-ONO) ES at 100 K. As well as dealing with the limited resolution imposed by in situ irradiation of the crystals, 1 and 2 present different challenges for HAR analysis: while 1 has a large asymmetric unit containing 130 atoms, including a heavy PdII metal centre, 2 contains fewer atoms but its d8 octahedral NiII centre requires an open-shell wavefunction calculation. By understanding the EDD and NCIs present in these systems we can explore the
nature of the bonding between the isomerisable ligand and the metal. This insight is used to rationalise properties, e.g. the stability of the photoexcited state, knowledge that can be applied to rationally-design new materials for particular applications. The results show the applicability of HAR for photocrystallographic refinements and recommend its future application to other photoswitchable and photocatalytic materials.

**Experimental**

**Synthetic procedures**

All synthetic manipulations were carried out in air. Palladium(II) chloride, \(N,N,N',N'-\text{tetrabutyldiethylenetriamine (Bu4dien)}\), nickel(II) chloride hexahydrate, \(N,N,N',N'-\text{tetraethyl-diethylenetriamine (Et4dien)}\) and sodium tetraphenylborate were purchased from Merck (Sigma Aldrich), while potassium nitrite was purchased from Acros Organics. All solvents were purchased from Fisher Scientific. All starting materials and solvents were used as received, without the need for further purification.

**Synthesis of \([\text{Pd(Bu4dien)(NO2)}]\text{BPh4·THF} \ [1].** Complex 1 was synthesised according to a previously published procedure.\(^{16}\) Single-crystals of the tetrahydrofuran (THF) solvate, suitable for high-quality photocrystallographic data collections, were obtained by slow evaporation from a THF and diethyl ether mixture under ambient conditions.

**Synthesis of \([\text{Ni(Et4dien)(NO2)2}] \ [2].** Complex 2 was also synthesised according to a previously published procedure.\(^{17}\) Single-crystals suitable for high-quality photocrystallographic data collections were obtained by repeated slow evaporations from methanolic solutions under ambient conditions.

**Single-crystal X-ray diffraction (SCXRD)**

**Standard SCXRD data collection and refinement with the Independent Atom Model (IAM).** SCXRD data were recorded on a dual-source (MoK\(_\alpha\) and CuK\(_\alpha\)) Rigaku Gemini A Ultra Diffractometer, equipped with an Atlas CCD detector and an Oxford Cryosystems Cryojet-XL liquid nitrogen flow device for temperature control. Data collection, indexing and integration procedures were all carried out with Rigaku software CrysAlis\(^\text{PRO}\).\(^{19}\) Structures were initially treated with the IAM, being solved by dual-space methods in SHELXT,\(^{20}\) then refined by full matrix least-squares on \(F^2\) using olex2.refine.\(^{12}\) For IAM refinements only, hydrogens were positioned geometrically and refined using a riding model. The hydrogen atom isotropic displacement parameters were fixed to \(U_{\text{iso}}(H) = 1.5 \times \text{(for CH}_3\text{)}\) or \(U_{\text{eq}}(H) = 1.2 \times \text{(for CH and CH}_2\text{)}\) the \(U_{\text{eq}}\) of the parent atom. A summary of the SCXRD data collected for HAR with 1 and 2 are given in Tables S1.1 and S2.1,\(^\dagger\) respectively.

**Photocrystallography.** Crystals were mounted on a standard Kapton MiTeGen\textsuperscript{TM} micromount at the diffractometer sample position. The standard SCXRD set-up was modified to incorporate a purpose-built LED array, which positions four LEDs in a uniform arc at approximately 1 cm distance from the crystal and enables \textit{in situ} illumination before and during SCXRD data collection. This is a modified version of a published LED ring array set-up.\(^{21}\) The LED wavelength used varied for the sample under study according to their solid-state
absorption profiles and was chosen in line with previous literature.\textsuperscript{16,18,22} For all samples, the crystal was rotated about the $\varphi$-axis during the illumination period, to ensure even illumination across its bulk.

SCXRD data collection and processing were completed as described above. For both 1 and 2, diffraction data could be obtained to a resolution of $d = 0.6$ Å in both the GS and ES.

**NoSpherA2 refinements.** Hirshfeld atom refinement (HAR) was performed on the initial IAM X-ray structures of the ground and excited states, via the NoSpherA2 interface within Olex2.\textsuperscript{9} In all structures the full asymmetric unit was used as the initial crystal fragment for HAR refinements. The ORCA quantum chemistry program package\textsuperscript{23} was used for wavefunction calculations, according to prior extensive testing of NoSpherA2.\textsuperscript{9} For 1, the PBE functional and relativistic x2c-TZVP basis set were utilized, due to the presence of Pd$^{II}$. For 2, PBE/def2-TZVP was used as the maximum level of theory for the open-shell calculation (octahedral Ni$^{II}$ with multiplicity = 3). Anisotropic refinements of hydrogen atoms were implemented in all structures, with the exception of the THF molecule in the 1 ES, which required isotropic treatment of hydrogens for a stable refinement. In the final refinements, the HAR process was iterated to a maximum of 10 refinement cycles to reach convergence.

**Gas-phase molecular DFT calculations.** *Ab initio* calculations were also performed on the isolated photoactive molecules in the gas phase, and used for theoretical topological, Non-Covalent Interaction (NCI) and Natural Bond Orbital (NBO) analysis. Starting from the crystallographic coordinates for each of the photoactive species (cation in 1 and the neutral molecule in 2), geometry optimisations were performed in Gaussian-09,\textsuperscript{24} using the B3LYP functional, the quasi-relativistic pseudopotential and associated basis set SDD for Ni, Pd and a 6-311+G(d) basis set for all other atoms. Single point energies (“tight” convergence criteria) and frequency calculations were determined at the optimised geometries using the same basis set combination, the latter to confirm the nature of the stationary points achieved. NBO calculations were also performed at optimised geometries. The output wavefunction from DFT was then used for topological and NCI analyses using Multiwfn,\textsuperscript{25} with the outputs for NCI analysis visualised in VMD\textsuperscript{26} via the visualisation code supplied with Multiwfn.

**Results**

Complex 1: [Pd(Bu$_4$dien)(NO$_2$)]BPh$_4$·THF

**Structure features and photoactive properties.** Complex 1 has been studied extensively by us in previous publications,\textsuperscript{16,27,28} and crystallises in monoclinic $P2_1/c$ with one [Pd(Bu$_4$dien)(NO$_2$)]$^+$ cation, one BPh$_4$ anion and one THF solvent molecule in the asymmetric unit (Fig. S1.1 and Tables S1.1–S1.3$^\dagger$). The photoactive cation in 1 can be excited from its nitro-($\eta^1$-NO$_2$) GS to the *endo*-nitrito-($\eta^1$-ONO) ES using near-UV LED light (400/405 nm), with full conversion to 100% ES population throughout the crystal bulk occurring very quickly (over a period of minutes) in comparison to other previously reported linkage isomer crystals.\textsuperscript{28} The ES lifetime is heavily temperature-dependent, being effectively metastable on the timescale of a standard SCXRD experiment below 240 K.\textsuperscript{16} Above this critical temperature, often defined as the “metastable limit” in photocystallographic studies, ES → GS decay occurs on an observable timescale, with the rate of decay
dictated by the temperature. This ES $\rightarrow$ GS process has been followed by time-resolved SCXRD studies.\textsuperscript{27,28}

**Electron density distribution (EDD).** In the photoactive complex cation, the tridentate, chelating Bu$_4$dien auxiliary ligand binds to the Pd$^{II}$ centre via dative covalent interactions. The nature of this bonding and the general electronic structure around Pd(1) is visualised in maps showing the experimental electron density distribution $[\rho(r)]$ generated by HAR with NoSpherA2. 2D maps, showing the key informative regions, are provided in Fig. 1 and 2, while 3D representations are also provided as rotating movies in the ESI\textsuperscript{†} to support visualisation. Deformation density maps (Fig. 1, ESI Movies 1 and 2\textsuperscript{†}) show the positions of the lone pairs localised on the donating nitrogen atoms N(2), N(3) and N(4) (+ve = blue), and the vacant 4$d_{x^2-y^2}$ acceptor orbital on Pd(1) (−ve = red). The Laplacian $[\nabla^2(\rho)]$ maps (Fig. 2, ESI Movies 3 and 4\textsuperscript{†}) also clearly show the expected $n \rightarrow 4d^*$ transitions. Regions of $−\nabla^2(\rho)$ (show in blue), denoting charge concentration (CC) in the ligand lone pairs, align with the sigma hole on the metal (+$\nabla^2(\rho)$, red region = electron depletion at Pd(1)), indicating the expected $\sigma$-donation from the nitrogen lone pairs into the antibonding $4d_{x^2-y^2}$ orbital. This “matching” of positive and negative regions is a typical topological feature of $\nabla^2(\rho)$ for donor–acceptor (dative) bonding and has been compared to the “lock and key” model for enzyme–substrate interaction.\textsuperscript{29}

![Fig. 1 2D deformation density maps for the ground state (GS) and excited state (ES) structures of complex 1, showing the residual electron density between the non-spherical atom refinement (HAR) and a standard spherical atom model (IAM) from NoSpherA2. Blue = positive deformation density (e.g. in bonds or lone pairs), red = negative deformation density. (a) GS map in the Pd(1), N(1), N(2), N(3), N(4) square plane, (b) ES map in the Pd(1), O(1A), N(2), N(3), N(4) square plane, (c) GS map in the Pd(1), O(1), O(2) plane (plane of the nitro ligand), (d) ES map in the Pd(1), N(1A), O(1A), O(2A) plane (plane of the nitrito ligand).](#)
Comparison of the GS and ES maps for both the deformation density and Laplacian show differences in charge distribution in the Pd–N bonds to Bu4dien following excitation, with the valence shell charge concentrations (VSCCs) more localised in the GS compared to the ES (see Fig. 2(c) vs (d)), which is indicative of a change in the electronegativity of the ligand. These differences indicate a stronger Pd-to-Bu4dien interaction in the ES isomer and likely reflect the change in electronic structure at Pd(1) following conversion between nitro-($\eta^1$-NO2) to nitrito-($\eta^1$-ONO) isomers. This is supported by a comparison of the experimental bond lengths from the crystal structure data (Tables S1.2/S1.3†). The Pd–N bonds to Bu4dien all shorten on excitation, with small but significant changes of $\Delta$Pd(1)–N(2) = −0.0119(12) Å and $\Delta$Pd(1)–N(4) = −0.0051(11) Å for the cis-coordinated donors and $\Delta$Pd(1)–N(3) = −0.0105(13) Å for the donor trans- to the nitrite group. These changes also remain consistent in the geometry-optimised structures.

Fig. 1(c)/(d) and 2(c)/2(d) provide a good visual analysis of the difference in electron density distribution within the nitro-($\eta^1$-NO2) and nitrito-($\eta^1$-ONO) groups. The Laplacian maps are particularly informative, with clear delocalisation evident across the N–O bonds of the GS isomer that is markedly reduced in the ES. Similarly, the GS deformation density (Fig. 1(c)) clearly shows both CC in the O(1) and O(2) lone pairs and a fairly high level of CC in the N–O bonds (strong blue features), while the ES map (Fig. 1(d)) shows much lower CC in the N–O bonds of...
nitrito-(η¹-ONO). Instead, there appears to be a very strong localisation of charge in the N(1A) lone pair, a feature also backed up by the theoretical results. From topological analysis, there is a shift in the relative positions of the (3,−1) bond critical points (BCPs) between the GS and ES isomers, with BCPs equally positioned at 47% along both N → O directions in nitro-(η¹-NO₂), but moving 2% closer to the central N(1A) atom in the nitrito-(η¹-ONO) ES (see Fig. S1.2 and Tables S1.4/1.5†). Theoretical Natural Bond Orbital (NBO) analysis also agrees with this bonding picture. In the GS nitro-(η¹-NO₂), the σ_(N–O) bonds are almost completely delocalised with 51.4% localisation on N(1) in N(1)/O(1) and 50.3% in N(1) → O(2) (Table S1.6†) and bond orders of 1.44 and 1.45 respectively (Table S1.7†). Conversely, for ES nitrito-(η¹-ONO) the σ_(N–O) bonds are more polar and the electron density more localised, with 60.4% localisation on N(1A) in N(1A)/O(1A) and 55.8% in N(1A) → O(2A), and unequal bond orders of 1.18 and 1.78. These results confirm that, while the electron density in nitro-(η¹-NO₂) is considerably delocalised across both N–O bonds, suggesting a partial double bond character in each, in the ES this delocalisation is much reduced. This is in line with the experimental bond lengths (Tables S1.2/S1.3†), and with other theoretical studies in the literature investigating the electron density distribution in related metal-nitrite complexes.30

The change in EDD within the Bu₄dien and nitrite ligands between GS and ES structures clearly reflects the NO₂ → ONO switching. Comparing the GS and ES geometries about Pd(1) in the experimental crystal structures, the bond between Pd(1) and the nitrite ligand elongates by +0.0248(13) Å on excitation (Tables S1.2/1.3†). This indicates poorer overlap between O(1A) and Pd(1) in the ES, compared to that of N(1A) and Pd(1) in the GS, and is reflected in the deformation density (Fig. 1(c)/(d)). In the GS, the nitro-(η¹-NO₂) ligand is well-placed to provide good σ-donation to Pd(1) via its N(1) lone pair, which is clearly aligned along the N(1)–Pd(1) bonding direction to match with the acceptor lobe of the PdII 4dₓ²−y² orbital. Conversely, the ES map shows that nitrito-(η¹-ONO) is less well-matched for donor–acceptor bonding, as the lone pairs on O(1A) do not align as well with the 4dₓ²−y² orbital. It is clear there is less electron density available for n → 4d* donation, with a much lighter blue region aligned with the red sigma hole at the metal in Fig. 1(d). The majority of electron density on O(1A) is instead localised in the lone pair on the other side of the atom, which is not involved in metal–ligand bonding. Indeed, in the 3D representation (ESI Movie 2†) only this non-bonding lone pair is readily observed, which compares well to theoretical analysis of similar complexes in the literature.30 The fact that nitrito-(η¹-ONO) is a less delocalised system, as argued above, likely explains the lack of an obvious donor lone pair for the Pd(1)–O(1A) interaction. The localisation of charge within the nitrito ligand itself provides less density for dative bonding to PdII, making nitrito-(η¹-ONO) the poorer σ-donor. This could also explain why nitro-(η¹-NO₂) is the thermodynamically-favoured isomer at ambient conditions, while the weaker bound nitrito-(η¹-ONO) is a metastable state. However, despite these visual observations, topological analysis does confirm that a bond path exists between Pd(1) and O(1A), with a (3,−1) BCP located along this path (Fig. S1.2 and Table S1.5†).

**Non-covalent interaction (NCI) analysis.** A convenient theoretical approach to map and analyse non-covalent interactions (NCIs) is proposed by Johnson et al.,31 and a version of this analysis has been implemented in NoSpherA2. This NCI
analysis uses the reduced density gradient (RDG), or $s$, which is a dimensionless quantity used in DFT to describe the deviation from a homogeneous electron distribution, as per eqn (1):

$$s(r) = \frac{|
abla \rho(r)|}{2(3\pi^2)^{1/3}\rho(r)^{4/3}}$$

In regions of both covalent bonding and NCIs, $s$ will have very small, near-zero values. Thus, it is a useful indicator to identify intra- and intermolecular bonding features. NCI analysis extends this by using density derivatives (specifically the second eigenvalue of the Laplacian, $\lambda^2$) to distinguish between different types of NCIs. Specifically, the value of the function $\text{sign}(\lambda_2\rho)$ determines whether an NCI is non-bonding ($\text{sign}(\lambda_2\rho) > 0$, for e.g. a close-contact steric interaction, or bonding ($\text{sign}(\lambda_2\rho) < 0$), for e.g. a hydrogen bond.

Fig. 3(a) and (b) show scatterplots of $s$ vs $\text{sign}(\lambda_2\rho)$, computed for the GS nitro-$\eta^1$NO$_2$ and ES nitrito-$\eta^1$ONO photoactive cations respectively. In these plots, sharp features at low values of $s$ correspond to NCIs, with the red-green-blue colour-coding highlighting the value of $\text{sign}(\lambda_2\rho)$, and thus the type of NCI represented (red = non-bonding, green = van der Waals and blue = bonding NCIs). The scatterplots essentially provide a fingerprint of the unique combination of NCIs for the GS and ES, respectively, and a quick visual comparison between them immediately highlights the similarities and differences between isomers. Fig. 3(c) and (d) show 3D representations of the same information, superimposed onto the molecules as isosurfaces of $s = 0.5$ that are colour-coded according to the same red-green-blue scale.

Common features of GS and ES plots are steric repulsions (red regions) at the positions of ring critical points (RCPs) in the chelating Bu$_4$dien ligands and other steric repulsions between the butyl moieties and Pd(1). The scatterplots show that these steric interactions change only marginally on excitation. There are also two bonding-type intermolecular interactions from butyl hydrogens H(6B) and H(14A) to Pd(1) (light blue surfaces) in both the GS and ES molecules, which match with (3,−1) BCPs identified in the topological analysis (Tables S1.4/1.5†).

Key differences include changes in van der Waals interactions (green regions, $\text{sign}(\lambda_2\rho) \approx 0$), i.e. weak intramolecular C–H⋯O contacts between the butyl hydrogens and the nitrite group, which are clear in the 3D surface plots and the scatterplots. The sharper and longer green “spikes” in the ES plot indicate a decrease in $s$ and so shorter, stronger contacts on excitation, which is supported by a comparison of the H(6A)⋯O(2)/O(2A) and H(14A)⋯O(2)/O(2A) short contact distances between the GS and ES structures. However, the biggest change in NCIs between the GS and ES isomers involves a new interaction between Pd(1) and the terminal O(2A) atom in the ES nitrito-$\eta^1$ONO ligand. This is highlighted by the dark blue region along the O(2A) → Pd(1) direction in Fig. 3(d), and new blue features at ca. −0.043 $\text{sign}(\lambda_2\rho)$ in Fig. 3(b) that are absent in Fig. 3(a). These results indicate that there is a stabilising contact between O(2A) and the metal centre, which can be classified as a bonding NCI. This conclusion is backed up by the bond path identified between Pd(1) and O(2A) in the topological analysis, with a (3,−1) BCP 37% along the O(2A) → Pd(1) direction (Fig. S1.2(c) and Table S1.5†).
Fig. 3 Non-covalent interaction (NCI) analysis for GS and ES isomers of 1, using the reduced density gradient (RDG, s). Theoretical analysis: (a) plot of \( s \) vs \( \text{sign}(\lambda_2^2 \rho) \) for the GS nitro-(\( \eta^1\)-NO2) cation and (b) plot of \( s \) vs \( \text{sign}(\lambda_2^2 \rho) \) for the ES nitrito-(\( \eta^1\)-ONO) cation, providing a fingerprint of the NCIs in each isomer [generated in Multiwfn\textsuperscript{25} and visualised in Gnuplot\textsuperscript{33}]. (c) 3D plot of \( s \) isosurfaces (\( s = 0.5 \) a.u.) for the GS nitro-(\( \eta^1\)-NO2) cation and (d) the ES nitrito-(\( \eta^1\)-ONO) cation, highlighting the key NCIs in each isomer: colour-coding links to (a)/(b) plots with red = regions of \( \text{sign}(\lambda_2^2 \rho) > 0 \) i.e. steric interactions, green = regions of \( \text{sign}(\lambda_2^2 \rho) = 0 \) and blue = regions of \( \text{sign}(\lambda_2^2 \rho) < 0 \) i.e. bonding interactions e.g. hydrogen bonds [generated in Multiwfn and visualised in VMD\textsuperscript{26}]. HAR analysis: (e) 2D \( s \) (RDG) map for the GS structure of 1 in the Pd(1), N(1), O(1), O(2) plane and (f) 2D \( s \) (RDG) map for the ES structure of 1 in the Pd(1), O(1A), N(1A), O(2A) plane, showing key NCIs in the asymmetric unit [generated by HAR in NoSpherA2\textsuperscript{9}].

Fig. 3(e), (f) and S1.3\textsuperscript{†} show 2D plots of \( s \), that have been generated by HAR in NoSpherA2 and so additionally take into account intermolecular interactions within the asymmetric unit between cation, anion and THF solvent molecules. The HAR analysis confirms similar features to those in the theoretical NCI plots, with the key Pd(1)...O(2A) interaction in the ES clearly evident in Fig. 3(f). The ES deformation density also provides additional evidence of an interaction (Fig. 1(d)). The depletion of density at Pd\textsuperscript{II} (red lobe) has some extension towards O(2A), while the orientation of the O(2A) lone pair also aligns with this depletion, suggesting a degree of orbital overlap that supports a bonding interaction.
Complex 2: [Ni(Et₄dien)(NO₂)₂]

Structure features and photoactive properties. Complex 2 has also been the subject of photocrytalllographic studies by us in previous publications.²⁻¹⁷,¹⁸ Complex 2 crystallises in the orthorhombic space group P2₁2₁2₁, with one molecule of the Ni-complex in the asymmetric unit (Fig. S2.1 and Tables S2.1–S2.3). While at ambient temperature, 2 crystallises as a 78%: 22% mixture of nitro-(η¹-NO₂) and endo-nitrito-(η¹-ONO) isomers due to thermal occupation of nitrito-(η¹-ONO) at higher temperatures, slowly cooling a crystal in the dark produces a clean nitro-(η¹-NO₂) isomer by 100 K, which is used as the GS for photocrystallography studies. Irradiation with 500 nm LED light promotes 100% conversion to a photoinduced nitrito-(η¹-ONO) ES, which is metastable on the timescale of a standard SCXRD experiment up to 140 K. Above this temperature, the system dynamically decays back to its GS arrangement, with the ES decay lifetime dependent on temperature. Under continuous illumination (“pseudo-steady-state” conditions) conversion to a second exo-nitrito-(η¹-ONO) ES linkage isomer is observed at small occupancy levels, indicating a short-lived ES,¹⁸ however little evidence of this exo form is seen under the steady-state photocrystallographic conditions used in the current study.

Electron density distribution (EDD). Fig. 4 and 5 display 2D maps of the deformation density and Laplacian, respectively, for the GS and ES structures of 2,
generated by HAR in NoSpherA2. 3D rotating movies for both properties are also provided (ESI Movies 5–8†).

For complex 2, the EDD is seen to change between the GS and ES structures, although the changes are generally more subtle than those observed for 1. As for the Pd-complex, the deformation density maps clearly show dative covalent bonding from all ligands to NiII. For the equatorially-coordinated Et₄dien ligand, \( n \rightarrow 3d^9 \) donation from the N(3), N(4) and N(5) lone pairs is clearly observed, with strong alignment between these blue (+ve) density accumulation regions and the red (−ve) density depletion for the \( 3d_{x^2−y^2} \) antibonding acceptor orbital on Ni(1). It is evident in comparing GS and ES Laplacian maps in Fig. 5 that the VSCCs are more diffuse, albeit slightly, and have more extension along the N → Ni bonding direction for the GS than for the ES, indicating a stronger Ni-to-Et₄dien interaction prior to excitation. Theoretical topology analysis neither supports nor contradicts these visual observations, showing no significant change in the positions of the BCPs in the Ni(1)–N(3), Ni(1)–N(4) or Ni(1)–N(5) bonds, as a percentage of the overall bond length, between the GS and ES structures (Fig. S2.3†). However, a comparison of the experimental bond lengths confirms an expansion of the Et₄dien coordination sphere on excitation, with all 3 Ni–N bond distances undergoing a small but significant increase (\( \Delta \text{Ni}(1)−\text{N}(3) = +0.0049(15) \) Å, \( \Delta \text{Ni}(1)−\text{N}(4) = +0.0061(14) \) Å and \( \Delta \text{Ni}(1)−\text{N}(5) = +0.0098(14) \) Å, Tables S2.2/
S2.3†). These observations are in direct contrast to analysis of 1, although the changes again likely reflect the change in the EDD that occurs on excitation.

Fig. 4(c)/(d) and 5(c)/5(d) again support visual analysis of the density changes within the nitrite ligands and, in the case of 2, the 2D contour plots are useful to study the EDD in both the isomerising η¹-NO₂ and photoinert η³-O,ON groups. The deformation density plots in Fig. 4(c)/(d) again clearly show the dative donor–acceptor bonding between the spectator nitrito-(η²-O,ON) ligand and NiII, with evidence of good orbital overlap of the O(3) lone pair with 3dₓ²−y², and the O(4) lone pair with the (also antibonding) 3dₓ orbital in the GS and ES. As for Et₄dien, the Laplacian plots (Fig. 5(c)/(d)) indicate slightly more extension of the O(3) and O(4) VSCCs towards Ni(1) in the GS, which is supported by a slight increase in the Ni–O bond distances after excitation (ΔNi(1)–O(3) = +0.0080(14) Å and ΔNi(1)–O(4) = +0.0109(14) Å) indicating a weakened interaction. The Laplacian plots (Fig. 5(c)/(d)) clearly show the delocalisation of charge across N(2), O(3) and O(4), which is supported by very similar theoretical bond orders for N(2)–O(3) and N(2)–O(4) (Table S2.7†). Finally, comparison of the isomerising η¹-nitrite ligands completes the picture of how the EDD changes as a result of photoswitching. Within both the GS and ES ligands there is again clear delocalisation across the GS N(1), O(1) and O(2) and the ES N(1A), O(1A), O(2A) atoms, respectively, although it is evident that the VSCCs are more localised for the ES nitrito-(η¹-ONO) ligand than for GS nitro-(η¹-NO₂), in line with, though less pronounced than, the differences seen for 1. This increased localisation in the ES is also broadly supported by the results from theoretical NBO analysis. The σ(N–O) bond in the GS is 55.5% localised on O in N(1)–O(1) and 51.3% in N(1)–O(2), as an average over the α and β spin orbitals, with bond orders of 1.30 and 1.21 respectively (Table S2.7†). This transforms to an average of 54.4% localisation on O in N(1A)–O(1A) and 56.3% in N(1A)–O(2A) in the ES, with less equal bond orders of 1.16 and 1.34, indicating that, overall, the σ(N–O) bonds are slightly more polar in the ES. For the ES nitrito-(η¹-ONO) ligand, as in 1, charge is primarily concentrated into the N(1A) lone pair, which is evident in the deformation density (Fig. 4(d)) and in the positions of the calculated N–O BCPs, which both move symmetrically 1% closer to the central nitrogen atom in the ES (Fig. S2.3†).

Despite the similarities in the EDD within the isomerising ligands, experimental bond lengths show that the NiII–nitrite bond distance actually decreases by −0.0221(14) Å on excitation of 2, which is again the opposite change to that seen in 1. This decrease in the bond length is not particularly well evidenced in the deformation density (Fig. 4(c) vs (d)) where there appears to be stronger matching of the GS N(1) lone pair with the 3dₓ² acceptor orbital compared to the corresponding O(1A) → Ni(1) donation in the ES. Similarly, comparison of the Laplacian plots (Fig. 5(c) vs (d)) shows a larger, more diffuse region of −∇²ρ(r) at N(1) that has greater extension towards the metal than the corresponding ES feature, which would typically indicate better n → 3d* donation in the GS.

Non-covalent interaction (NCI) analysis. NCI analysis was conducted following the same processes as used for 1, and the results are summarised in Fig. 6. Comparison of the s vs sign(λₛ) fingerprint scatterplot (Fig. 6(a)/(b)) show immediately that there are only minor changes in the NCIs between the GS and ES linkage isomers. This likely reflects the fact that the isomerisation appears to be contained in the Ni(1), N(1), O(1), O(2) plane, due to the intramolecular hydrogen bond between O(2)/O(2A) and H(4). Steric repulsions (red in Fig. 6(a)–(d)) remain
largely unchanged on excitation and primarily relate to RCPs made by the chelating Et₄dien ligand and some steric repulsions highlighted in the dative covalent N\textsuperscript{II}/Ni\textsuperscript{II} bonds. Only one of these steric NCIs is seen to change: the red “spike” at \( \sim 0.016 \) \( \text{sign}(l^2r) \) in the GS scatterplot disappears in the ES and is replaced by additional features in the orange region at \( \sim 0.010 \) \( \text{sign}(l^2r) \).

Comparing the isosurface plots in Fig. 6(c) and (d) identifies this as a change in the steric repulsions between the intramolecular N–H\( \cdots \)O bond and Ni\textsuperscript{II}, which go from red in the GS to orange in the ES. The van der Waals contacts appear largely unaffected by photoswitching, with only very minor changes in the green region of the scatter and isosurface plots, and around the isomerising nitrite ligand these...
appear to relate to weak C–H⋯O interactions with the ethyl moieties. Theoretical topology analysis (Fig. S2.3†) broadly agrees with this observation, with all but one of the C–H⋯O contacts to the GS nitro-(η²-N\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}) maintained in the ES isomer. However, the key change in NCIs highlighted by Fig. 6 is the intramolecular N(4)–H(4)⋯O(2) hydrogen bond, which is necessarily disrupted by photoswitching in the nitrite group. Topological analysis confirms the presence of a bond path between O(2) and H(4) in the GS and O(2A) and H(4) in the ES, with BCPs identified at 63% and 62% along the O → H direction, respectively. In Fig. 6(a)/(d), this interaction is captured by the blue/green "spike" at ca. −0.026 sign(λ\textsubscript{2p}) in the GS scatterplot, which shifts to ca. −0.028 sign(λ\textsubscript{2p}) in the ES and a very slightly lower value of s. These changes suggest that the N–H⋯O interaction becomes slightly shorter and stronger on excitation, a fact supported by the experimental D⋯A hydrogen bond distances (ΔO(2/2A)⋯N(4) = −0.032(1) Å). Isosurface plots of s from HAR analysis (Fig. 6(e)/(f) and S2.4†) support the \textit{ab initio} NCI analysis, highlighting the same intramolecular N–H⋯O and weaker C–H⋯O hydrogen bonding interactions to the nitrite ligand, as well as the steric repulsions involving the Et₄dien co-ligand. Interestingly, however, the HAR s plots suggest there may be some evidence of a weak O(2A)⋯Ni(1) interaction, c.f. the O(2A)⋯Pd(1) NCI found for complex 1. In Fig. 6(f) there is clearly an additional NCI feature along the O(2A) → Ni(1) direction in the ES, which is not evident in the GS (Fig. 6(e)). The theoretical analysis does not find a bond path or BCP along O(2A) → Ni(1), which may suggest that any NCI here is weak, at best. However, it is interesting that the HAR finds evidence of similar nitrito → metal NCIs in both the Pd and Ni complexes.

**Discussion**

Enhanced understanding of the key intra- and intermolecular interactions in 1 and 2, provided by the charge density analyses, allow us to equate the key bonding features with physical properties of the crystals, which can be used in the future design of new and improved photoswitches. The EDD and NCI analyses outlined in the Results highlight some common themes between the two photoactive linkage isomer crystals that are interesting to compare and contrast.

**Bonding and stability of the ES nitrito-(η¹-ONO) isomer**

An important result for both 1 and 2 is that the O(2A) atom in the ES endo-nitrito-(η¹-ONO) ligand appears to make a stabilising intramolecular NCI with the metal in both systems. This is an interesting feature that is not immediately apparent on first-glance at the ES crystal structures obtained by traditional IAM refinement, as the atom–atom distances and angles indicate that O(2A) does not create any formal bonding interaction in either system.\textsuperscript{16,17} The presence of such a stabilising interaction in both structures suggests that it is preferential for the endo-nitrito-(η¹-ONO) arrangement to seek out some stabilising influence, and the fact this happens in two systems that are capable of very high ES population levels is notable.

It is less surprising that in 2 the nitrite ligand forms a stabilising hydrogen bonding NCI with the available N–H donor on Et₄dien. This intramolecular N–H⋯O bond can be classified as moderately-strong\textsuperscript{34} and it is clear that it is the
most important NCI to the nitrite ligand for complex 2. A comparison of the NCI analyses (for 1 and 2) indicate that the N(4)⋅⋅⋅H(4)⋅⋅⋅O(2A) in 2 is a stronger and more stabilising contact than the Pd(1)⋅⋅⋅O(2A) interaction, which is the only key stabilising NCI to the nitrite in 1. This is evident in a comparison of the EDDs, where for 2 there is clear matching of regions of electron accumulation on O(2A) and depletion at H(4) for the formation of the bonding NCI (Fig. 4(d)), compared with poorer overlap in 1 between the available O(2A) lone pair with the 4dₓ−ᵧ₂ acceptor orbital in Fig. 1(d). The NCI analysis also supports this comparison. Contrasting the ES scatterplots for 1 (Fig. 3(b)) and 2 (Fig. 6(b)), we can see that the hydrogen bond interaction in 2 is associated with a smaller reduced density gradient of \( s \approx 0.05 \), indicating a more strongly bonding NCI, compared with a value of \( s \approx 0.10 \) for the Pd(1)⋅⋅⋅O(2A) interaction. Theoretical studies on related metal-nitrite systems in the literature predict similar stabilising interactions between endo-nitrito-(\( η^1\)-ONO) and suitable donor groups within the molecule, where available,\(^{40} \) however a broader investigation of other linkage isomer switches, capable of achieving different final ES population levels, is required to make a thorough assessment of how necessary such NCIs are to facilitate good nitro → nitrito photoswitching. Another key comparison is that the formal metal–nitrite bonding interaction Pd(1)–N(1)/O(1A) in 1 is lengthened and weakened on excitation, while conversely the analogous Ni(1)–N(1)/O(1A) bond in 2 appears to strengthen with irradiation. It is possible that this difference reflects HSAB theory, as it might be expected that the “hard” O-donor in the ES nitrito-(\( η^1\)-ONO) should have better affinity for Ni\( ^{II} \) than for Pd\( ^{II} \), as the 3d\(^8 \) metal is also expected to be the Lewis acid.

All of the above results indicate that the nitrito-(\( η^1\)-ONO) isomer should be a more stable ES for complex 2 relative to complex 1, which should have some manifestation in the physical properties of each system. For 2, we note that the nitrito-(\( η^1\)-ONO) isomer can be thermally-occupied and is present at room temperature,\(^{17} \) while conversely, in 1 the ES nitrito-(\( η^1\)-ONO) isomer has only ever been observed as a light-induced metastable state. This fits with the conclusion from charge density analysis that nitrito-(\( η^1\)-ONO) is better stabilised in 2 than in 1, and potentially explains the thermal accessibility of endo-nitrito in complex 2 under ambient conditions.

It should also be noted that the nitrite ligands in 1 and 2 are involved in van der Waals NCIs (green in the NCO analyses) with alkyl moieties on the ethylenetriamine co-ligands, which are found to shorten quite significantly in the ES of 1, but do not change significantly for 2. However, as the NCI analysis clearly shows that these C–H⋅⋅⋅O contacts have a less bonding character than the Pd(1)⋅⋅⋅O(2A) and N(4)–H(4)⋅⋅⋅O(2A) interactions, this indicates that they are less likely to be as influential.

In terms of the photostability of the ES nitrito-(\( η^1\)-ONO) arrangements, the HAR and NCI analyses do not provide any significant new understanding. For both 1 and 2, nitrito-(\( η^1\)-ONO) is the photoinduced metastable state, which suggests that it should be less stable than nitro-(\( η^1\)-NO\(_2\)). Additionally, comparison of the photoreaction rates and metastable limits indicates that the ES isomer is more favourable in 1, as it can be accessed more quickly (>15 min irradiation for 100% population in 1, vs ~1 h for 2) and remains metastable to a higher critical temperature (240 K in 1 vs 140 K in 2).\(^{16,18} \) It is therefore evident that the photoexcited state stability must be influenced by other factors than the EDD.
These likely include the absorption properties and photophysics of the material, kinetic factors e.g. the relative kinetic lability of the differing metal centres, and steric influences from the surrounding crystal lattice. Many of these factors have been discussed by us\textsuperscript{5,28,35,36} and others\textsuperscript{37–43} previously, and this conclusion highlights the complexity of rationally-designing solid-state photoswitchable crystals and the importance of considering the many, and often competing, influential factors that govern the photoreaction.

**Competing influence of auxiliary ligands**

Both complexes contain auxiliary ligands that are photoinert and thus are not observed to change significantly on excitation. 1 and 2 both contain chelating diethylenetriamine co-ligands, though with differing alkyl substitutions and, owing to the differing crystal fields of the 3d and 4d Group 10 metal centres, display differing coordination geometries at the metal. Despite this variety, it is possible to draw some comparisons as to the influence of the co-ligands on the photoswitchable nitrite ligand, and vice versa.

The analysis of the EDD in 1 and 2 agrees that there is a more pronounced localisation of charge in the ES nitrito-(\(\eta^1\)-ONO) ligands than in GS nitro-(\(\eta^1\)-NO\(_2\)). The results for complex 1 highlight that this indicates a reduction in the \(\sigma\)-donor ability of nitrito-(\(\eta^1\)-ONO), as the greater degree of localisation provides less density for dative bonding to the metal centre. It follows from this observed change in \(\sigma\)-donor ability that nitro-(\(\eta^1\)-NO\(_2\)) is a stronger-field ligand than nitrito-(\(\eta^1\)-ONO), reflecting the fact that nitro-(\(\eta^1\)-NO\(_2\)) is typically reported to be higher in the spectrochemical series.\textsuperscript{44} Given this, it might be expected that some evidence of a change in trans-influence can be found in the bond lengths, CCs and BCP positions between Pd(1)/Ni(1) and the auxiliary ligands in 1 and 2, respectively.

As discussed earlier, for complex 1 while the Pd–nitrite bond distance increases on excitation, the experimental and theoretical Pd–Bu\(_4\)dien bond lengths all shorten in the ES, including the Pd(1)–N(3) distance directly trans- to the isomerising group. Thus, at first glance there does appear to be a shift in trans-influence on excitation of 1, based on bond length changes alone, as the switch to the weaker-field nitrito-(\(\eta^1\)-ONO) donor is expected to correlate with a shortening, and thus strengthening, of the metal–ligand bond length trans- to itself (i.e. the Pd(1)–N(3) distance). Conversely, comparison of the calculated Pd–N bond orders for the GS and ES structures tends not to support this observation. Table S1.7\textsuperscript{†} shows that the Pd(1)–N(3) bond order actually decreases from 0.63 in the GS to 0.59 in the ES, despite the observed (and calculated) bond shortening, although the cis-coordinated Pd(1)–N(2) and Pd(1)–N(4) bonds do show the expected bond order increase. The topological analysis does not provide strong evidence for either interpretation, with no significant shift in the positions of (3,–1) BCPs, as a percentage along their bond paths (Fig. S1.3\textsuperscript{†}). However, a visual comparison of Fig. 1(a) and (b) reveals that the N(3) lone pair is more diffuse along the Pd \(\rightarrow\) N direction in the ES than in the GS, which would indicate a strengthening of the Pd(1)–N(3) bond and therefore support the interpretation of some trans-influence evident in complex 1. A similar analysis can be completed for complex 2 to try and assess the validity of significant trans-influence. In contrast to 1, the Ni–nitrite bond distance actually decreases on excitation, which is at odds with the visual...
assessment of the EDD in the GS and ES and does not support the idea that nitrito-(η^1ONO) is the weaker-field ligand in this case. All metal–ligand distances to the auxiliary Et_4dien and nitrito-(η^2OON) ligands are found to increase in the ES isomer, with the largest change in the Ni(1)–O(4) bond (trans- to the isomerising group and so competing for the 3d_z^2 acceptor orbital). The theoretical bond orders agree with the experimental bond length changes (Table S2.7†), indicating a strengthening of the Ni–nitrite interaction on excitation and a corresponding weakening in the bonding interactions to the auxiliary ligands. Topological analysis again provides only limited information, with very little change observed on excitation excepting that while the Ni(1)–O(4) BCP moves 1% closer to Ni^{II} in the ES isomer on excitation, the Ni(1)–N(1)/O(1A) BCP mirrors this change, moving 1% closer to the nitrite ligand (Fig. S2.3†). In summary, though the changes for complex 2 are the reverse of those seen in complex 1, in both systems there appears to be some synergistic changes in the EDD of the isomerising nitrite group and the ligands trans- to them, which must compete for the same d-orbitals on the metal. As such, there appears to be some evidence of trans-influence in the EDD for both 1 and 2, although the question of whether nitro-(η^1NO_2) or nitrito-(η^1ONO) is the weaker field ligand in both ligand fields is not clear.

As well as the possible influence of HSAB rules, discussed above, another explanation for the apparently conflicting bond length changes between 1 and 2 is that there are competing steric and electronic effects that have a combined influence on the geometric parameters seen. For example, in complex 1 it is evident that in the ES the Pd^{II} 4d_x^2−y^2 orbital is forced to tilt slightly to accommodate bonding to O(1A) (Fig. 1(c) vs (d)). This results in better overlap between 4d_x^2−y^2 and donor lone pairs on Bu_4dien, particularly for N(3), which can also account for the shortened Pd–N bond lengths. This is supported by more diffuse VSCCs for the ES isomer, indicating better donor–acceptor overlap (Fig. 2(d)) and by a reduction of the RMS deviation from the ideal square plane in the ES (GS RMSD for Pd(1), N(1), (N2), N(3) and (N4) = 0.0976, compared to ES RMSD for Pd(1), O(1A), N(2), N(3), N(4) = 0.0652). It is possible that, to accommodate the required geometry changes for best Pd–Bu_4dien overlap, whilst also maintaining the Bu_4dien’s chelating “bite” around Pd^{II}, the Pd(1)–N(3) bond is also forced to contract, regardless of any underlying trans-influence. For 2, though any re-orientation of 3d_z^2 is less obvious in Fig. 4(c)/(d), any tilting would be less well accommodated by the bidentate nitrito-(η^1OON) ligand, which necessarily has a more restricted “bite” angle, leading to an overall lengthening of the Ni–η^2-nitrito interaction. Steric crowding around each metal centre may also have an effect on the achievable metal–ligand overlap, which necessarily varies for the two different coordination environments. If steric and electronic influences are in competition, this clearly complicates the interpretation of simple geometric parameters, e.g. bond lengths and angles, which makes a stronger argument for the use of more involved analyses, such as HAR and charge density studies, to further investigate the complex variations in metal–ligand bonding between the GS and ES.

Interactions with the wider crystal structure

The benefit of HAR over the theoretical analyses presented here is that NoSpherA2 can incorporate interactions within the whole asymmetric unit of the crystal
structure, whereas the \textit{ab initio} calculations in this report are generated only for the isolated photoactive molecules. This is particularly useful in the case of 1, where additional components are present in the asymmetric unit. As such, we can make a limited assessment of the influence of intermolecular interactions by considering the NCIs between $[\text{Pd(Bu}_4\text{dien})(\text{NO}_2)]^+$, BPh$_4$ and THF, using the HAR analysis already presented. There is no evidence of significant NCIs between the BPh$_4$ anion and photoactive cation in either the deformation density or Laplacian plots. However, both the EDD and NCI analysis confirm the expected presence of an intermolecular N(3)–H(3)⋯O(3) hydrogen bond between the Bu$_4$dien auxiliary ligand and the THF molecule. While this hydrogen bond does not directly involve any atoms of the isomerising nitrite ligand, its presence will affect the N(3)–H(3) group which, as discussed above, has the potential to exact some \textit{trans}-influence on the \textit{η}$_1$-nitrite group. Comparison of the experimental N(3)⋯O(3) D⋯A and H(3)⋯O(3) H⋯A distances shows that the hydrogen bond lengths by a small, but significant amount on excitation ($\Delta$N(3)⋯O(3) = +0.024(2) Å, $\Delta$H(3)⋯O(3) = +0.06(3) Å). This indicates a slightly stronger hydrogen bond in the GS, and concurrently slightly less electron density available in N(4)–H(4) for subsequent donation to Pd(1). This compares well to the EDDs shown in Fig. 1 and 2. As discussed in the Results, the N-donor VSCCs, including N(3), are more localised in the GS of 1 than the ES, providing reduced overlap for dative covalent bonding to Pd(1) and manifesting in a larger Pd$^{II}$–Bu$_4$dien coordination sphere. There is also slightly more extension of the VSCCs along the H(3)⋯O(3) hydrogen bonding direction, and more diffuse CC within N(3)–H(3), for the GS (Fig. 1(c) vs (d)) which supports the observation of a shorter, stronger hydrogen bond in the GS. The results all indicate that the intermolecular hydrogen bond can influence the N(3)–Pd(1) bonding, and appears to act in synergy with any possible \textit{trans}-influence on Pd(1)–NO$_2$. This shows that it is important to consider the effects that all components, and potential components, could have on a photoactive crystal system at the design stage, which includes the choice of solvents both for synthesis and crystallisation.

Finally, it should be noted that, while the HAR analysis presented allows some analysis of intermolecular interactions within the asymmetric unit, it does not account for interactions between adjacent asymmetric units and so provides no insight into the influence of the wider crystal structure. This is the key disadvantage for semi-empirical methods over experimental charge density studies, \textit{e.g.} multipolar refinements, as some level of approximation must still be made in the wavefunction calculation. Previous studies have shown it is possible to take into account some of these wider interactions, for example by running HAR on dimers of the target unit across symmetry positions and assessing how the EDD changes in reference to the isolated unit. Unfortunately, this is beyond the scope of the current study as, due to the size and complexity of 1 and 2 this approach is too computationally intensive to be viable. For 1 and 2, intermolecular NCIs to the nitrite ligand are exclusively C–H⋯O and C–H⋯N short contacts that, while expected to be weaker in nature, may still have a combined effect in stabilising the nitro-(\textit{η}$_1$-NO$_2$) and/or nitrito-(\textit{η}$_1$-ONO) isomers. As such, future work will look to improve on these limitations, aiming to incorporate nearest neighbours into the HAR analysis.
Conclusions

This study has shown that it is possible to use HAR to conduct charge density analyses on photoswitchable organometallic small molecule crystals of both medium and relatively large size, in both their ground and 100% photoexcited states. In combination with ab initio gas phase DFT calculations, these studies provide detailed insight into the EDDs and key NCIs that have some influence on the physical properties, most particularly on the thermal stability of the endo-nitrito isomer. Though there are some key limitations, e.g. an inability to take into account potentially important intermolecular interactions in the wider crystal structure, this initial study proves the validity of using NoSpherA2 to study photosensitive linkage isomer crystals, and this method could easily be extended to other photoswitches of similar size and complexity, for e.g. photocatalytic systems. In future we plan to extend this approach to more complicated systems, e.g. crystals that only reach partial excitation at their maximum irradiation time, and whose diffraction power becomes considerably limited as a result of light damage on irradiation.
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