



# Phytic Acid Demonstrates Rapid Antibiofilm Activity and Inhibits Biofilm Formation When Used as a Surface Conditioning Agent

Rania Nassar,<sup>a,b</sup> Mohannad Nassar,<sup>c</sup> Abiola Senok,<sup>a</sup> David Williams<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>College of Medicine, Mohammed Bin Rashid University of Medicine and Health Sciences, Dubai, United Arab Emirates <sup>b</sup>School of Dentistry, College of Biomedical and Life Sciences, Cardiff University, Cardiff, United Kingdom <sup>c</sup>Department of Preventive and Restorative Dentistry, College of Dental Medicine, University of Sharjah, Sharjah, United Arab Emirates

ABSTRACT Root canal infections are associated with biofilms and are treated with chemical irrigants with a high success rate. However, treatment failure does arise, which is attributed primarily to resistance exhibited by biofilms. Currently used irrigants in root canal treatment have disadvantages, and there is therefore a need for more biocompatible alternatives with antibiofilm properties to reduce root canal treatment failure and complications. The aim of this study was to evaluate the in vitro antibiofilm properties of phytic acid (IP6), which is a potential alternative treatment agent. Singleand dual-species biofilms of Enterococcus faecalis and Candida albicans were developed on the well surfaces of 12-well plates and on hydroxyapatite (HA) coupons, and then exposed to IP6. In addition, selected HA coupons were preconditioned with IP6 before biofilm development. IP6 demonstrated bactericidal effects and altered the metabolic activity of biofilm cells. Confocal laser-scanning microscopy showed that IP6 caused significant and rapid reduction in live biofilm cells. At sublethal concentrations, IP6 did not alter the expression of tested virulence genes except for C. albicans hwp1, the expression of which was upregulated but not reflected by a change in hyphal transformation. IP6-preconditioned HA coupons led to extensive inhibition of dual-species biofilm formation. The results of this study highlight for the first time the antibiofilm inhibitory properties of IP6 and the potential for its exploitation in several clinical applications.

**IMPORTANCE** Root canal infections are biofilm associated, and despite mechanical and chemical treatment procedures, infection recurrence occurs, and this is likely due to the high tolerance of associated biofilms to antimicrobials. The currently used treatment agents have several disadvantages, which necessitates the search for new improved agents. In this study, the natural chemical phytic acid was found to exhibit antibiofilm activity against established mono and dual mature biofilms over a short contact time. Most importantly, phytic acid was found to cause significant inhibition of dual-species biofilm formation when used as a surface preconditioning agent. The findings of this study identified a novel use of phytic acid as a potential antibiofilm agent that can be used in several clinical applications.

**KEYWORDS** *Candida albicans, Enterococcus* faecalis, phytic acid, antibiofilm, biofilms, endodontic infections, natural antimicrobial products, surface conditioning agent

**B**iofilms are microbial lifestyles that occur on biotic and abiotic surfaces in different settings (1). Eighty percent of human infections are estimated to have a biofilm origin (2). Biofilm microorganisms can be up to 1,000-fold more tolerant to certain antimicrobials (3), and the infections they cause are therefore often persistent and recurrent and present significant treatment challenges (4). Oral infections in humans, including those of the root canal, are typical biofilm-associated infections. Both *Enterococcus* 

**Editor** Justin R. Kaspar, The Ohio State University Division of Biosciences

**Copyright** © 2023 Nassar et al. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license.

Address correspondence to Rania Nassar, rania.nassar@mbru.ac.ae, or nassarrm@cardiff.ac.uk.

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Received 17 January 2023 Accepted 24 April 2023 Published 16 May 2023 *faecalis* and *Candida albicans* are frequently recovered from persistent infections where endodontic treatment has failed (5–7). *Enterococcus faecalis* can grow as a biofilm on root canal walls in monotypic infections without synergistic support from other bacteria (8, 9). *Candida albicans* is primarily co-isolated with other bacteria, especially *E. faecalis* (10, 11), from persistent infections in the root canal, and this could be attributed to synergistic interactions between these two species.

The mainstay of treatment for endodontic infections involves mechanical debridement and chemical disinfection for biofilm elimination (12). Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) and sodium hypochlorite (NaOCI) are the most popular irrigants used for this purpose (13). At concentrations between 2.50 and 5.25%, NaOCI is the most frequently used disinfectant (14) in endodontic treatment despite the risk it presents to tooth integrity, the surrounding tissues, and patient safety (15). Studies have shown that biofilm disinfection is significantly improved when using higher volumes and concentrations of NaOCI with an extended application time (16). However, this can negatively affect dentine strength and increases the likelihood of tooth fracture and loss. The antimicrobial effect of NaOCI is also reduced in the presence of organic and inorganic matter (17–19). NaOCI cannot remove the organic smear layer formed during mechanical debridement (20), and, thus, a chelating agent is required. Proposed benefits of smear layer removal include better disinfection and penetration of endodontic sealers into the dental tubules (21, 22). Bacteria that reside within the smear layer, if not eliminated, can recolonize and cause recurrent infection. Hence, it would be potentially beneficial to use a chelating agent that has dual activity, namely, an ability to remove the smear layer and having antimicrobial activity. Importantly, use of a chelating agent with antimicrobial function would contribute to the disinfection process and help reduce the required NaOCI concentration and exposure time. EDTA (15 to 18%) is the most commonly used chelating irrigant (14) but has several drawbacks, including host cell toxicity toward periapical tissue and a lack of antimicrobial activity, which have a negative impact on treatment outcome (23–25). EDTA is also not readily biodegradable, and concerns exist about extrusion into the periapical tissue (26-28). Therefore, given the recalcitrant nature of biofilms, identification of novel chelating agents with antimicrobial and antibiofilm proprieties is required to aid in the achievement of favorable treatment outcome.

Phytic acid (IP6) is a natural agent that has been proposed as a potential root canal irrigant because of its ability to remove the smear layer and its higher biocompatibility than EDTA (29). In general, the antimicrobial effect of IP6 has not been studied in the context of dentistry and specifically in endodontics. Limited research has investigated IP6 on foodborne microorganisms. Kim and Rhee studied its effect on Escherichia coli, and the proposed antimicrobial mechanism was by cell membrane disruption (30, 31). Zhou et al. also found that IP6 was effective against E. coli, Staphylococcus aureus, Bacillus subtilis, and Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium (32). In a recent study, IP6 showed inhibition of Clostridium perfringens spore germination and vegetative cell growth (33). However, despite the potential antimicrobial activity of IP6 found in these previous studies, this activity was mainly investigated against planktonic cultures of food-associated pathogens. We have previously reported the broad-spectrum antibacterial activity of IP6 using planktonic cultures and antibiofilm effects on early single-species biofilms (34). However, because biofilm tolerance to antimicrobial agents is dependent on biofilm maturation, microbial composition, and growth conditions, it is important to investigate the effect of IP6 on mature biofilms under conditions that better mirror those of endodontic infections. The aim of this study was therefore to evaluate antibiofilm effectiveness of IP6 and the associated mechanisms against mature biofilms on clinically relevant surfaces. Both static and dynamic biofilm models were used to achieve the intended aims of this research.

#### RESULTS

Antibiofilm activity of IP6 on single- and dual-species biofilms developed using a static model. The metabolic activity and total biomass of biofilms after treatment with different concentrations of IP6 were determined using alamarBlue and crystal violet (CV) assays, respectively. The means of relative fluorescence units (RFU; metabolic

activity) and absorbance (optical density at 570 nm [OD<sub>570</sub>]; biomass) were calculated. AlamarBlue is a redox indicator, and metabolically active cells reduce the blue color resazurin to resorufin, which has a fluorescent pink color. The inability of cells to reduce alamarBlue is indicative of reduced metabolic activity. Generally, a significant reduction in mean RFU in treated groups compared to untreated groups occurred (Fig. 1a). The exception was when single-species E. faecalis biofilms and dual-species biofilms of E. faecalis and C. albicans were treated with 0.16% IP6. Only the highest concentration of IP6 (20%) significantly reduced the biomass of single-species E. faecalis ATCC 29212 (P = 0.017) biofilms and dual-species biofilms (P = 0.024). For E. faecalis (oral strain) biofilms, significant biomass reduction was seen at lower IP6 concentrations (20%, 10%, and 2.5%) (Fig. 1b). After challenging biofilms with IP6, the ability of cells to regrow after treatment was assessed. The assay revealed that the lowest IP6 concentrations with 100% bactericidal effect (prevented regrowth) on E. faecalis ATCC 29212 and the E. faecalis oral strain were 1.25% and 2.5%, respectively. For dual-species biofilms, IP6 at 10% and 20% prevented microbial regrowth (Fig. 1c). Since regrowth assessment usually underestimates antimicrobial activity, the microbial count reduction after treatment with IP6 concentrations deemed unsuccessful in completely eradicating the biofilm (in the regrowth assessment) was assessed. Biofilm treatment with IP6 (0.31% and 0.63%) led to a significant log reduction in recovered CFU for single-species biofilms (Fig. 2a and b). For dual-species biofilms, a significant reduction was only evident for E. faecalis ATCC 29212 (Fig. 2c).

Antibiofilm activity of IP6 on mono- and dual-species biofilms developed on a hydroxyapatite (HA) coupon. To assess antibiofilm activity of IP6 on more clinically relevant surfaces, HA coupons were used for biofilm formation in a continuous flow of a diminishing nutrient medium content along with exposure to shear force. The effect of IP6 over a clinically relevant exposure time of 5 min was assessed based on recovered CFU and confocal laser-scanning microscopy (CLSM). For E. faecalis ATCC 29212 monospecies biofilms, IP6 at 1.25%, 2.5%, and 5% led to a significant reduction in log<sub>10</sub> (CFU) values compared with untreated controls (Fig. 3a), with relative percent mean reductions of E. faecalis CFU (compared to the untreated control) of 96.79%, 98.98%, and 99.70%, respectively (Table S3 in the supplemental material). For dual-species biofilms, 1.25%, 2.5%, and 5% IP6 led to significant reductions in *E. faecalis* log<sub>10</sub> (CFU) values (Fig. 3b), with relative percent mean reductions for E. faecalis CFU of 97.32%, 99.09%, and 99.33%, respectively (Table S4). The presence of both E. faecalis and C. albicans in biofilms did not affect IP6 efficacy against E. faecalis. However, in all cases of IP6 treatment (1.25%, 2.5%, and 5%), no reduction in C. albicans ATCC 90028 log<sub>10</sub> (CFU) values occurred (Fig. 3b). Live biofilm biomass was significantly reduced with all IP6 treatments (Fig. 4e). The biomass of dead cells increased in treated groups but was only significant for biofilms treated with 2.5% and 5% IP6. Treatment with 5% IP6 led to the highest reduction in live biomass, which reached a mean of 98.31% and was significantly higher than 1.25% and 2.5% IP6 (Fig. 4f). The same pattern of significant live biomass reduction was seen with dual-species biofilms treated with 1.25%, 2.50%, or 5% IP6 (Fig. 5e). The relative reduction in live biomass of dual-species biofilms after IP6 treatment showed that 5% IP6 caused a mean reduction of 96.44% (Fig. 5f). Comparison between the three treatments demonstrated that 5% IP6 achieved a statistically higher reduction in live biomass of dual-species biofilms than the reduction caused by 1.25% IP6 (Fig. 5f). CLSM images and associated graphs of live/dead mono-species and dual-species biofilms are shown in Fig. 4a to d and 5a to d.

Effect of preconditioning HA coupons with IP6 on biofilm formation. The effect of preconditioning HA coupons with 2.5% IP6 on biofilm formation of dual-species biofilms of *E. faecalis* and *C. albicans* was investigated by CLSM and scanning electron microscopy (SEM). CLSM quantitative image analysis revealed significant reductions in total and live-cell biomass of biofilms formed on HA coupons preconditioned with 2.5% IP6 (P < 0.001 and P < 0.0001, respectively) (Fig. 6c). This was also evident in CLSM and SEM images (Fig. 6a and b). HA coupons preconditioned with water had a predominant number of live cells in the formed dual-species biofilm.











**FIG 1** Effect of IP6 on metabolic activity (a), biomass (b), and complete eradication (c) of mono- and dual-species biofilms developed in the wells of a 12-well plate and treated for 24 h. Data are expressed as means of at least three independent experiments, each including at least two replicates. Error bars represent standard deviation (SD); \*, P < 0.05; \*\*, P < 0.01; \*\*\*\*, P < 0.001; \*\*\*\*, P < 0.001.



**FIG 2** Effect of IP6 on recovered CFU/mL from 24-h mono-species biofilms of *E. faecalis* oral strain (a) and *E. faecalis* ATCC 29212 (b) and dual-species biofilm of *E. faecalis* ATCC 29212 and *C. albicans* ATCC 90028 (c) developed in the wells of a 12-well plate and treated for 24 h. Data are expressed as means of at least three independent experiments, each including at least one replicate. Error bars represent SD; \*\*\*, P < 0.001; \*\*\*\*, P < 0.0001.

Effect of IP6 on virulence gene expression and hyphal morphogenesis. The relative expression of selected virulence genes of *E. faecalis* ATCC 29212 and *C. albicans* ATCC 90028 in biofilms following treatment with 0.16% IP6 was quantified. All analyzed *E. faecalis* genes demonstrated no significant changes in expression levels for IP6treated biofilms compared to untreated biofilms. The expression of *C. albicans* genes was unchanged in treated biofilms with the exception of *hwp1* gene expression, which was upregulated (Fig. 7). To evaluate whether *hwp1* gene expression upregulation translated phenotypically, the percentage of hyphae after treatment with 0.16% IP6 was compared with that observed in untreated controls. The results showed that there was no significant change in hyphal development in treated biofilms (P = 0.40) (Fig. 8).

### DISCUSSION

IP6 is a natural compound with high biocompatibility that has been proposed as an alternative chelating agent to EDTA for root canal smear layer removal (29). Several studies have assessed the potential of IP6 for use in dentistry (35). However, its antimicrobial properties have not yet been adequately investigated. We previously examined the broad-spectrum antimicrobial activity of IP6 and showed clear activity against microorganisms (34). However, that work involved planktonic cultures and immature biofilms; hence, the antibiofilm activity of IP6 on mature robust biofilms, which better represent persistent endodontic infections, remained to be established. The findings



**FIG 3** Effect of 5-min IP6 treatment on recovered CFU/mL of mono-species biofilm of *E. faecalis* ATCC 29212 (a) and dual-species biofilm of *E. faecalis* ATCC 29212 and *C. albicans* ATCC 90028 (b) developed on HA coupons. Data are expressed as means of four independent experiments. Error bars represent SD; \*, P < 0.05; \*\*, P < 0.01.

from this study are the first detailing the antibiofilm activity of IP6 on the eradication of endodontic-related biofilms and its effectiveness in inhibiting biofilm formation when used as preconditioning agent on HA coupons.

Mono- and dual-species *in vitro* biofilms of *E. faecalis* and *C. albicans* were tested, as these species are frequently associated with persistent endodontic infection (36, 37).



**FIG 4** Confocal laser-scanning microscopy (CLSM) of live (green)/dead (red)-stained 72-h *E. faecalis* ATCC 29212 mono-species biofilms that were developed on HA coupons and treated with water (a) or 1.25% (b), 2.5% (c), or 5% (d) IP6 for 5 min. The square images show biofilm projection through the *x-y* plane, the bottom rectangle shows the *x-z* projection, and the right rectangle shows the *y-z* plane. Scale bar, 20  $\mu$ m. (e) Biomass (green channel and red channel) of the mono-species biofilms treated with IP6 for 5 min. (f) Biomass (green channel) relative percent reduction (compared to untreated biofilms) of the mono-species biofilms. Data are expressed as means of four independent experiments. Error bars represent SD; \*, *P* < 0.05; \*\*, *P* < 0.01; \*\*\*\*, *P* < 0.0001.



**FIG 5** Confocal laser-scanning microscopy (CLSM) of live (green)/dead (red)-stained 72-h *E. faecalis* ATCC 29212 and *C. albicans* ATCC 90028 dual-species biofilms that were developed on HA coupons and treated with water (a) or 1.25% (b), 2.5% (c), or 5% (d) IP6 for 5 min. The square images show biofilm projection through the *x-y* plane, the bottom rectangle shows the *x-z* projection, and the right rectangle shows the *y-z* plane. Scale bar, 20  $\mu$ m. The graphs below the CLSM images represent the distribution of pixel average of both stains along the biofilm thickness. Pixel average was calculated from three independent experiments. (e) Biomass (green channel and red channel) of the dual-species biofilms treated with IP6 for 5 min. (f) Biomass (green channel) relative percent reduction (compared to untreated biofilms) of the dual-species biofilms. Data are expressed as means of three independent experiments for CLSM and four independent experiments for CFU analysis. Error bars represent SD; \*, *P* < 0.05; \*\*, *P* < 0.01; \*\*\*\*, *P* < 0.0001.

IP6 exhibited bactericidal activity against constituent cells of these mature biofilms, and concentrations that did not lead to complete eradication of biofilm cells led to reduced biofilm metabolic activity. It is worth highlighting that, in general, IP6 did not affect total biofilm biomass, even for concentrations where total bactericidal effects were reported, and this finding suggests that IP6 does not have "surfactant" effects on tested biofilms.

IP6 antibiofilm effects against 72-h mono and dual biofilms on HA coupons with a clinically relevant timing of 5 min were also determined. These biofilms were developed under sheer force with decreasing levels of nutrients in the medium. This arguably better represents the deprivation of nutrients that occurs as endodontic infections progress. IP6 displayed antibacterial effects on biofilms even over this short exposure time. Previous work revealed that IP6 is a potent chelating agent (29), a property attributed to its ability to chelate multivalent cations via its multiple negative charges (38, 39). Therefore, it might be hypothesized that IP6 antibacterial activity was through its



**FIG 6** Dual-species biofilms of *E. faecalis* ATCC 29212 and *C. albicans* ATCC 90028 developed on HA coupons for 24 h that were either preconditioned with water (control) or 2.5% IP6. (a and b) Scanning electron microscopy (bottom) and confocal laser-scanning microscopy (top) images of live/dead-stained dual-species biofilms that were developed on HA coupons preconditioned with either water (a) or 2.5% IP6 (b) are shown. Red arrows indicate *E. faecalis* communities, while yellow arrows indicate *C. albicans*. (c) Graph bars represent the biomass of developed biofilms on HA coupons that were either preconditioned with water (control) or 2.5% IP6. Data are expressed as the means of three independent experiments. Error bars and values in parentheses represent SD; \*\*\*\*, P < 0.0001; \*\*\*, P < 0.001; ns, not significant.

high affinity for cations that are present in the bacterial cell envelope. It has also been suggested that antibacterial effects of chelating agents arise through disturbing essential metal metabolic processes (40). However, chelating agents have different activities and mechanisms of action based on their affinity for ions (41).

IP6's antibiofilm activity could be through bactericidal activity against constituent cells and/or by acting as a biofilm matrix destabilizer by interacting with biofilm cations, which are known to play a role in biofilm stability by cross-linking the negatively charged polymers in a biofilm, thus reducing repulsion and promoting biofilm stability (42, 43). Collectively, the presented findings clearly show an effect of IP6 on mature biofilms. Although studies from the food industry have investigated IP6 antibacterial effects on planktonic bacteria, the antibiofilm activity and the associated mechanisms remain poorly researched. In the present study, IP6 had effects on bacterial cells, but no activity was evident against *C. albicans.* This observation might relate to the biocompatibility of IP6 toward eukaryotic cells (29) and could support the hypothetical antibacterial mechanism of action of IP6 given cell envelope differences between bacteria and fungi.



**FIG 7** Effect of IP6 (0.16%) on *E. faecalis* ATCC 29212 (left) and *C. albicans* ATCC 90028 (right) gene expression determined by qPCR analysis. The analysis was performed using the  $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$  method relative to untreated control (dotted line value equal to 1). Data are expressed as means of three independent experiments. Error bars represent SD; \*, P < 0.05; ns, not significant.





**FIG 8** Candida albicans ATCC 90028 hyphal percentage in dual-species biofilm treated with 0.16% IP6 for 24 h compared to untreated (control) biofilm (top). Data are expressed as means of three independent experiments. Error bars represent SD; ns, not significant. Fluorescence images of a dual-species biofilm stained with calcofluor white were used to quantify *C. albicans* hyphae. The presence of *C. albicans* hyphae in untreated control biofilm (bottom left) and 0.16% IP6-treated biofilm (bottom right) is shown.

It should be noted that the inability of a compound to completely eradicate biofilms might not necessarily preclude its value in managing biofilm-associated infections. It is possible that even at sublethal concentrations, an impact on microbial fitness and modulation of virulence factors could arise (44, 45). Some studies have even shown that at sublethal concentrations, some antimicrobials can upregulate virulence gene expression (46). Therefore, an evaluation of targeted gene expression of *E. faecalis* and *C. albicans* dualspecies biofilms following exposure to sublethal IP6 concentrations was investigated. Expression of tested genes was not affected by exposure to sublethal levels of IP6. The *als1* and *als3 C. albicans* adhesin genes were also not altered by IP6 treatment. In contrast, expression of *C. albicans hwp1* was upregulated. However, associated changes in hyphal transformation were not detected.

Another notable observation was IP6's ability to completely inhibit biofilm formation when used as a preconditioning agent. This property could be exploited in prevention strategies where root canal walls could be treated with IP6 to inhibit microbial adherence and biofilm formation. This would be important in preventing secondary infections due to microbial leakage from the oral cavity into the root canal system. This characteristic could also be exploited in conditioning obturation materials, or implants, to prevent microbial adherence to surfaces, thus increasing treatment success rates. It might be logical to assume that surface topographical changes induced by IP6 were instrumental in the occurrence of these effects. However, SEM images demonstrated that HA surfaces became more irregular and had more undulations following IP6 conditioning. This might contradict previous research where "rougher" surfaces typically were thought to increase surface area and offer protection from shear forces for bacterial adhesion and biofilm formation (47). A recent study reported the high adsorption of IP6 into HA, where it was absorbed as monolayer (48). It could be that IP6 affects HA surface wettability and resulting charge, possibly due to IP6's negatively charged phosphate group. A negatively charged surface might reduce bacterial adhesion through repulsion given the negative net charge of bacteria. It is worth highlighting that there remains a lack of clarity regarding the effect of surface charge on bacterial adhesion (47), and some studies have shown that bacteria can overcome electrostatic repulsion through cation bridging (47, 49). More studies are needed to understand not only the observed antibacterial activity of IP6 on formed biofilms but also its ability to inhibit biofilms on different surfaces incorporating a wide range of microbial species.

In conclusion, the present study demonstrates for the first time the antibiofilm efficacy of IP6 against mature mono- and dual-species biofilms as well as its high-level biofilm formation inhibition activity when used as a surface conditioning agent. The findings of this study highlight the unique antibiofilm properties of IP6, which could be exploited in dental applications as well as other areas where biofilm inhibition and management are needed.

#### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Microorganisms and growth conditions.** Enterococcus faecalis ATCC 29212, an oral strain of *E. faecalis* (50), and *Candida albicans* ATTC 90028 were used to generate mature biofilms. Enterococcus faecalis was cultured on tryptone soya agar (TSA) and incubated aerobically at 37°C for 24 h. *Candida albicans* was cultured on Sabouraud dextrose agar (SDA) at 5% CO<sub>2</sub> and 37°C for 48 h.

**Static biofilm formation.** Mono- and dual-species biofilms were developed on the well surfaces of 12-well plates that had been preconditioned with Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's Medium (DMEM) for 24 h. Briefly, microbial colonies were used to prepare suspensions in tryptone soya broth (TSB), which were then incubated at 37°C with agitation (125 rpm) in a shaker incubator for 3 to 4 h. For mono-species biofilms, bacterial suspensions were adjusted to a 0.5 McFarland standard using a DensiCHEK meter (bioMérieux). For the dual-species inoculum, a 1.0 McFarland standard of *E. faecalis* ATCC 29212 was mixed with an equal volume of a 1.0 McFarland standard of *C. albicans* ATTC 90028. One milliliter of microbial suspension was added to each well of the 12-well plate. The biofilm of the oral strain of *E. faecalis* was grown statically for 48 h, while biofilms of *E. faecalis* ATCC 29212 and dual biofilms were grown for 72 h. Biofilms were cultured aerobically at 37°C, and the medium was changed every 24 h.

Effect of IP6 on biofilm metabolic activity and biomass. After biofilm formation, spent medium was discarded, and biofilms were washed twice with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS). One milliliter of TSB and 1 mL of IP6 (Sigma), with a concentration range of 40% to 0.31% in water, were added to designated wells. Untreated biofilm and blank controls received 1 mL of TSB and 1 mL of sterile distilled water. The biofilms were incubated aerobically for 24 h at 37°C. After IP6 treatment, test solutions were removed, and the biofilms were incubated for 1 h at 37°C. Fluorescence of the wells was then measured using a microplate reader (Hidex, Finland). To assess total biomass, alamarBlue suspension was discarded, and a 2-mL volume of 0.1% crystal violet (CV) was added and incubated for 10 min at room temperature. The CV was removed, and the plates were allowed to air dry for 30 min. Two milliliters of 70% ethanol was added to each well to elute bound CV, and the plate was incubated at room temperature for 10 min. The absorbance of the wells was then read using a microplate reader at 570 nm.

**Effect of IP6 on microbial regrowth.** After treatment of the biofilms with IP6 for 24 h, test solutions were removed from the wells, which were then washed twice with PBS. Two milliliters of sterile TSB was then added to all wells, followed by 18 to 24 h of aerobic incubation at 37°C. After incubation, regrowth was assessed by reading the absorbance of the wells with a microplate reader (Hidex, Finland) at 600 nm.

**Effect of IP6 on CFU reduction.** The IP6 concentrations that allowed bacterial regrowth were used to study the effects of IP6 on bacterial cell count reduction. After treatment of the biofilms with IP6 for 24 h, the solution was removed from the wells, which were then washed twice with PBS. The biofilms were then detached by scraping and vigorous pipetting, and subsequent enumeration of viable cells was performed.

Biofilm formation on hydroxyapatite (HA) coupons in a CDC Biofilm Reactor and antibiofilm activity of IP6 on developed biofilms. Center for Disease Control (CDC) Biofilm Reactors were assembled, and HA coupons were positioned in designated sites in the support rods before sterilization. The system flask was aseptically filled with 325 mL of 3 g/L TSB. For mono-species biofilms, the CDC Biofilm Reactor was inoculated with 1 mL of a 0.5 MacFarland standard *E. faecalis* ATCC 29212 bacterial suspension. One milliliter of a 0.5 MacFarland standard *E. faecalis* ATCC 29212 bacterial suspension. One milliliter of a 0.5 MacFarland standard *E. faecalis* ATCC 29212 and 1 mL of a 0.5 MacFarland standard *C. albicans* ATTC 90028 were inoculated for dual-species biofilms. The CDC Biofilm Reactor was incubated as a batch system for 18 to 24 h at 37°C while placed over a stirrer plate for baffle stirring to produce shear stress. After incubation, the biofilm reactor were connected with a carboy containing sterile 1 g/L TSB to run a continuous flow system for an additional 48 h at a flow rate of 1.1 mL/min. The biofilms formed on HA coupons were then treated with three concentrations of IP6 (1.25%, 2.5%, and 5%) for 5 min, and the antibiofilm activity of IP6 was assessed as outlined below.

Assessment of CFU from treated biofilms. A single tube method (51) was used to assess the effect of IP6 on the number of recovered CFU from biofilms. Briefly, following biofilm development, the coupon-supporting rods were removed from the CDC Biofilm Reactor and washed using PBS. The HA coupons were removed and placed in conical tubes containing 6 mL of IP6 (1.2%, 2.5%, and 5%) or water. The conical tube had sterilized plastic splashguards to prevent droplets accessing areas where IP6 could not reach. After 5 min, HA coupons were washed in 35 mL of PBS, transferred to 6 mL of PBS, and exposed to two repeated cycles of vortex mixing (30 s) and water bath sonication (30 s), with a final vortex mix for 30 s. The recovered biofilm content was mixed by repeated pipetting 10 times. One milliliter

of the mix was transferred to a sterile plastic tube containing 9 mL of sterile PBS. After vortex mixing at high speed for 10 s, CFU counts were measured.

**Confocal laser-scanning microscopy (CLSM) assessment of IP6-treated biofilms.** After biofilm development in the CDC Biofilm Reactor, the rods were washed and treated as described above. Biofilms were stained with live/dead BacLight bacterial viability mixture (Invitrogen, Ltd., UK) for 10 min at room temperature and immediately analyzed by CLSM. Five random fields of view were imaged for each coupon using an LSM880 Airyscan microscope (Zeiss, UK). Quantitative image analysis was performed using Comstat 2.1 (www.comstat.dk) to quantify live and dead biomass. CLSM micrographs were generated using Imaris viewer software (9.9.1 version).

Effect of preconditioning HA coupons with IP6 on biofilm formation. To assess the effect of preconditioning HA coupons with IP6 on biofilm formation, coupons were soaked in either 2.5% IP6 or sterile distilled water for 18 h under aseptic conditions. The rods were removed and then placed in an assembled sterile CDC Biofilm Reactor containing TSB. The CDC Biofilm Reactor was inoculated with 1 mL of a 0.5 McFarland standard level of both *E. faecalis* ATCC 29212 and *C. albicans* ATCC 90028 and incubated at 37°C under batch conditions and shear force for 18 to 24 h. Biofilm formation was assessed by CLSM and scanning electron microscopy (SEM). For CLSM, coupons were processed as described above, and for SEM, coupons were washed with PBS and placed immediately in 12-well plates containing 2.5% glutaraldehyde and incubated overnight. After incubation, the biofilms were dehydrated in 2 mL of an ethanol solution series (50%, 70%, 85%, 95%, and 100%) for 10 min at each concentration. Dehydration with 100% ethanol was repeated twice. The samples were then sputter coated with gold and imaged using a Tescan VAGA SEM system at 5 to 10 kV.

Effect of IP6 on gene expression. The effect of 0.16% IP6 on gene expression of 72-h-old dual-species biofilms developed in 12-well plates, as described above, was assessed. Developed biofilms were challenged with 0.16% IP6 for 24 h. The test solution was removed, and the wells were washed twice with PBS. One milliliter of RNAprotect bacteria reagent (Qiagen) was added and left at room temperature for at least 10 min. The biofilms were detached by scraping and vigorous pipetting. The resulting suspension was processed for RNA extraction using a Qiagen kit, and RNA was then reverse transcribed as per the manufacturer's protocol (Qiagen). Quantitative PCR was performed using SYBR green mix (Bio-Rad) under the following thermal cycling conditions: 95°C for 2 min, 40 cycles of 95°C for 5 s, and 60°C for 20 s. Gene expression was calculated relative to untreated biofilms (control) using the  $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$  method. Target genes and primer sequences are provided in Tables S1 and S2 in the supplemental material.

**Effect of IP6 on C.** *albicans* **hyphal transformation.** To study the effect of IP6 on hyphal production, 72-h dual-species biofilms were prepared and treated with 0.16% IP6 as described above. After treatment, the medium was retrieved, and the biofilm was washed twice with PBS and fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde at room temperature for 1 h. The wells were washed with PBS and stained with 0.2% calcofluor white for 30 min at room temperature. Images were obtained using an Olympus IX53 fluorescence inverted microscope. The proportion of hyphae relative to total *Candida* fungal units (yeast and hyphae) was determined using ImageJ software version 1.48.

**Statistical analysis.** Statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism 9.4.0. A parametric t test was used to compare differences between any two groups. A Kruskal-Wallis test or ordinary one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to compare more than two groups. A P value of less than 0.05 was considered significant. Relative fold change in gene expression analysis was performed using a one-sample t test compared to a hypothetical mean of 1.

## **SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL**

Supplemental material is available online only. **SUPPLEMENTAL FILE 1**, PDF file, 0.1 MB.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by funding from the College of Medicine, Mohammed Bin Rashid University of Medicine and Health Sciences (MBRU) (grant number MBRU-CM-RG2020-11). Additionally, MBRU covered the article publication charges. This work was also supported, in part, by the Al Jalila Foundation, Dubai, United Arab Emirates.

We declare no conflict of interest.

#### REFERENCES

- Lindsay D, Von Holy A. 2006. Bacterial biofilms within the clinical setting: what healthcare professionals should know. J Hosp Infect 64:313–325. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhin.2006.06.028.
- Römling U, Balsalobre C. 2012. Biofilm infections, their resilience to therapy and innovative treatment strategies. J Intern Med 272:541–561. https://doi .org/10.1111/joim.12004.
- Davies D. 2003. Understanding biofilm resistance to antibacterial agents. Nat Rev Drug Discov 2:114–122. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrd1008.
- Høiby N, Bjarnsholt T, Moser C, Bassi GL, Coenye T, Donelli G, Hall-Stoodley L, Holá V, Imbert C, Kirketerp-Møller K, Lebeaux D, Oliver A, Ullmann AJ,

Williams C, ESCMID Study Group for Biofilms and Consulting External Expert Werner Zimmerli. 2015. ESCMID guideline for the diagnosis and treatment of biofilm infections 2014. Clin Microbiol Infect 21:S1–S25. https://doi.org/10 .1016/j.cmi.2014.10.024.

- Siqueira JF, Jr, Rôças IN. 2022. Present status and future directions: microbiology of endodontic infections. Int Endod J 55 Suppl 3:512–530. https:// doi.org/10.1111/iej.13677.
- Marsh PD. 2010. Microbiology of dental plaque biofilms and their role in oral health and caries. Dent Clin North Am 54:441–454. https://doi.org/10 .1016/j.cden.2010.03.002.

- Maddi A, Scannapieco FA. 2013. Oral biofilms, oral and periodontal infections, and systemic disease. Am J Dent 26:249–254.
- Zhang R, Chen M, Lu Y, Guo X, Qiao F, Wu L. 2015. Antibacterial and residual antimicrobial activities against *Enterococcus faecalis* biofilm: a comparison between EDTA, chlorhexidine, cetrimide, MTAD and QMix. Sci Rep 5: 12944. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep12944.
- Alghamdi F, Shakir M. 2020. The influence of *Enterococcus faecalis* as a dental root canal pathogen on endodontic treatment: a systematic review. Cureus 12:e7257. https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.7257.
- 10. Peciuliene V, Reynaud AH, Balciuniene I, Haapasalo M. 2001. Isolation of yeasts and enteric bacteria in root-filled teeth with chronic apical periodontitis. Int Endod J 34:429–434. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2591.2001.00411.x.
- Kovac J, Kovac D, Slobodnikova L, Kotulova D. 2013. Enterococcus faecalis and Candida albicans in the dental root canal and periapical infections. Bratisl Lek Listy 114:716–720.
- 12. Zehnder M. 2006. Root canal irrigants. J Endod 32:389–398. https://doi .org/10.1016/j.joen.2005.09.014.
- 13. Haapasalo M, Shen Y, Wang Z, Gao Y. 2014. Irrigation in endodontics. Br Dent J 216:299–303. https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.bdj.2014.204.
- Hülsmann M, Heckendorff M, Lennon A. 2003. Chelating agents in root canal treatment: mode of action and indications for their use. Int Endod J 36:810–830. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2591.2003.00754.x.
- Basrani B, Haapasalo M. 2014. Topical disinfectants for root canal irrigation, p 109–140. *In* Cohenca N (ed), Disinfection of root canal systems: the treatment of apical periodontitis. Wiley-Blackwell, Hoboken, NJ.
- Gazzaneo I, Vieira GCS, Pérez AR, Alves FRF, Gonçalves LS, Mdala I, Siqueira JF, Rôças IN. 2019. Root canal disinfection by single- and multiple-instrument systems: effects of sodium hypochlorite volume, concentration, and retention time. J Endod 45:736–741. https://doi.org/10.1016/j .joen.2019.02.017.
- Haapasalo HK, Sirén EK, Waltimo TM, Ørstavik D, Haapasalo MP. 2000. Inactivation of local root canal medicaments by dentine: an *in vitro* study. Int Endod J 33:126–131. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2591.2000.00291.x.
- Morgental RD, Singh A, Sappal H, Kopper PM, Vier-Pelisser FV, Peters OA. 2013. Dentin inhibits the antibacterial effect of new and conventional endodontic irrigants. J Endod 39:406–410. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joen .2012.10.028.
- Tejada S, Baca P, Ferrer-Luque CM, Ruiz-Linares M, Valderrama MJ, Arias-Moliz MT. 2019. Influence of dentine debris and organic tissue on the properties of sodium hypochlorite solutions. Int Endod J 52:114–122. https://doi.org/10.1111/iej.12986.
- Torabinejad M, Khademi AA, Babagoli J, Cho Y, Johnson WB, Bozhilov K, Kim J, Shabahang S. 2003. A new solution for the removal of the smear layer. J Endod 29:170–175. https://doi.org/10.1097/00004770-200303000-00002.
- Wang Z, Shen Y, Haapasalo M. 2013. Effect of smear layer against disinfection protocols on *Enterococcus faecalis*-infected dentin. J Endod 39: 1395–1400. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joen.2013.05.007.
- Morago A, Ordinola-Zapata R, Ferrer-Luque CM, Baca P, Ruiz-Linares M, Arias-Moliz MT. 2016. Influence of smear layer on the antimicrobial activity of a sodium hypochlorite/etidronic acid irrigating solution in infected dentin. J Endod 42:1647–1650. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joen.2016.07.023.
- Spangberg L, Engström B, Langeland K. 1973. Biologic effects of dental materials: 3. Toxicity and antimicrobial effect of endodontic antiseptics *in vitro*. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol 36:856–871. https://doi.org/10.1016/ 0030-4220(73)90338-1.
- Pashley E, Birdsong N, Bowman K, Pashley DH. 1985. Cytotoxic effects of NaOCI on vital tissue. J Endod 11:525–528. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0099 -2399(85)80197-7.
- Tanomaru Filho M, Leonardo MR, Silva LA, Aníbal FF, Faccioli LH. 2002. Inflammatory response to different endodontic irrigating solutions. Int Endod J 35:735–739. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2591.2002.00544.x.
- Segura JJ, Calvo JR, Guerrero JM, Sampedro C, Jimenez A, Llamas R. 1996. The disodium salt of EDTA inhibits the binding of vasoactive intestinal peptide to macrophage membranes: endodontic implications. J Endod 22:337–340. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0099-2399(96)80213-5.
- Amaral KF, Rogero MM, Fock RA, Borelli P, Gavini G. 2007. Cytotoxicity analysis of EDTA and citric acid applied on murine resident macrophages culture. Int Endod J 40:338–343. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2591.2007.01220.x.
- Lanigan RS, Yamarik TA. 2002. Final report on the safety assessment of EDTA, calcium disodium EDTA, diammonium EDTA, dipotassium EDTA, disodium EDTA, TEA-EDTA, tetrasodium EDTA, tripotassium EDTA, trisodium EDTA, HEDTA, and trisodium HEDTA. Int J Toxicol 21 Suppl 2: 95–142. https://doi.org/10.1080/10915810290096522.

- Nassar M, Hiraishi N, Tamura Y, Otsuki M, Aoki K, Tagami J. 2015. Phytic acid: an alternative root canal chelating agent. J Endod 41:242–247. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joen.2014.09.029.
- Kim NH, Rhee MS, Schaffner DW. 2016. Phytic acid and sodium chloride show marked synergistic bactericidal effects against nonadapted and acid-adapted *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 strains. Appl Environ Microbiol 82: 1040–1049. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.03307-15.
- Kim NH, Rhee MS. 2016. Synergistic bactericidal action of phytic acid and sodium chloride against *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 cells protected by a biofilm. Int J Food Microbiol 227:17–21. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro .2016.03.026.
- Zhou QI, Zhao YU, Dang H, Tang Y, Zhang B. 2019. Antibacterial effects of phytic acid against foodborne pathogens and investigation of its mode of action. J Food Prot 82:826–833. https://doi.org/10.4315/0362-028X.JFP -18-418.
- Bloot APM, Kalschne DL, Nogues DRN, Amaral JS, Flores ELM, Colla E, Habu S, Baraldi IJ, Canan C. 2022. Phytic acid against *Clostridium perfringens* type A: a food matrix study. Foods 11:406. https://doi.org/10.3390/ foods11030406.
- Nassar R, Nassar M, Vianna ME, Naidoo N, Alqutami F, Kaklamanos EG, Senok A, Williams D. 2021. Antimicrobial activity of phytic acid: an emerging agent in endodontics. Front Cell Infect Microbiol 11:753649. https:// doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2021.753649.
- Nassar M, Nassar R, Maki H, Al-Yagoob A, Hachim M, Senok A, Williams D, Hiraishi N. 2021. Phytic acid: properties and potential applications in dentistry. Front Mater 8:638909. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmats.2021.638909.
- Stuart CH, Schwartz SA, Beeson TJ, Owatz CB. 2006. Enterococcus faecalis: its role in root canal treatment failure and current concepts in retreatment. J Endod 32:93–98. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joen.2005.10.049.
- Persoon IF, Crielaard W, Özok AR. 2017. Prevalence and nature of fungi in root canal infections: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Int Endod J 50:1055–1066. https://doi.org/10.1111/iej.12730.
- Torres J, Domínguez S, Cerdá MF, Obal G, Mederos A, Irvine RF, Díaz A, Kremer C. 2005. Solution behaviour of myo-inositol hexakisphosphate in the presence of multivalent cations. Prediction of a neutral pentamagnesium species under cytosolic/nuclear conditions. J Inorg Biochem 99: 828–840. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinorgbio.2004.12.011.
- 39. Luttrell B. 1993. The biological relevance of the binding of calcium ions by inositol phosphates. J Biol Chem 268:1521–1524. https://doi.org/10 .1016/S0021-9258(18)53883-7.
- 40. Dos Santos MH, Da Costa AF, Da Silva Santos G, Dos Santos AL, Nagao PE. 2009. Effect of chelating agents on the growth, surface polypeptide synthesis and interaction of *Streptococcus agalactiae* with human epithelial cells. Mol Med Rep 2:81–84. https://doi.org/10.3892/mmr\_00000065.
- 41. Paterson JR, Beecroft MS, Mulla RS, Osman D, Reeder NL, Caserta JA, Young TR, Pettigrew CA, Davies GE, Williams JAG, Sharples GJ. 2022. Insights into the antibacterial mechanism of action of chelating agents by selective deprivation of iron, manganese, and zinc. Appl Environ Microbiol 88:e0164121. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.01641-21.
- Körstgens V, Flemming HC, Wingender J, Borchard W. 2001. Influence of calcium ions on the mechanical properties of a model biofilm of mucoid *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Water Sci Technol 43:49–57. https://doi.org/10 .2166/wst.2001.0338.
- Flemming H-C, van Hullebusch ED, Neu TR, Nielsen PH, Seviour T, Stoodley P, Wingender J, Wuertz S. 2023. The biofilm matrix: multitasking in a shared space. Nat Rev Microbiol 21:70–86. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41579-022-00791-0.
- 44. Carfartan G, Gerardin P, Turck D, Husson M-O. 2004. Effect of subinhibitory concentrations of azithromycin on adherence of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* to bronchial mucins collected from cystic fibrosis patients. J Antimicrob Chemother 53:686–688. https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkh133.
- Knudsen GM, Holch A, Gram L. 2012. Subinhibitory concentrations of antibiotics affect stress and virulence gene expression in *Listeria monocytogenes* and cause enhanced stress sensitivity but do not affect Caco-2 cell invasion. J Appl Microbiol 113:1273–1286. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365 -2672.2012.05435.x.
- Shen L, Shi Y, Zhang D, Wei J, Surette MG, Duan K. 2008. Modulation of secreted virulence factor genes by subinhibitory concentrations of antibiotics in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. J Microbiol 46:441–447. https://doi.org/ 10.1007/s12275-008-0054-x.
- 47. Zheng S, Bawazir M, Dhall A, Kim HE, He L, Heo J, Hwang G. 2021. Implication of surface properties, bacterial motility, and hydrodynamic conditions on bacterial surface sensing and their initial adhesion. Front Bioeng Biotechnol 9:643722. https://doi.org/10.3389/fbioe.2021.643722.

- Minamisawa H, Kojima Y, Aizawa M. 2022. Adsorption of inositol phosphate on hydroxyapatite powder with high specific surface area. Materials 15:2176. https://doi.org/10.3390/ma15062176.
- Ueshima M, Tanaka S, Nakamura S, Yamashita K. 2002. Manipulation of bacterial adhesion and proliferation by surface charges of electrically polarized hydroxyapatite. J Biomed Mater Res 60:578–584. https://doi .org/10.1002/jbm.10113.
- Lins RX, Hirata R, Wilson M, O Lewis MA, Fidel RAS, Williams D. 2019. Comparison of genotypes, antimicrobial resistance and virulence profiles of oral and non oral Enterococcus faecalis from Brazil, Japan and the United Kingdom. J Dent 84:49–54. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jdent.2019.03.002.
- ASTM. 2019. ASTM E2871-19 standard test method for evaluating disinfectant efficacy against Pseudomonas aeruginosa biofilm grown in CDC biofilm reactor using single tube method. ASTM International West, Conshohocken, PA.