Surface-driven amplification of Madden–Julian oscillation circulation anomalies across East Africa and its influence on the Turkana jet
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Abstract
In semi-arid environments, rainfall-driven soil moisture fluctuations exert a strong influence on surface turbulent fluxes. Intraseasonal rainfall variability can therefore impact low-level atmospheric temperatures and influence regional circulations. Using satellite observations and an atmospheric reanalysis, we investigate whether rainfall variability induced by the Madden–Julian oscillation (MJO) triggers land–atmosphere feedbacks across East Africa.

We identify that surface fluxes during the East African wet seasons (March–May and October–December) are sensitive to MJO-induced precipitation variations across low-lying regions of South Sudan and highland regions of Uganda and southwest Kenya. For example, during MJO phases 6 to 8, when rainfall is suppressed, surface temperatures and sensible heat fluxes increase, whilst evapotranspiration decreases. Spatial variations in the surface flux response to rainfall variability feed back onto the atmosphere through amplifying MJO-associated pressure anomalies. During dry MJO events for instance, surface warming across the exit region of the Turkana channel increases the low-tropospheric along-channel pressure gradient and intensifies the Turkana jet. We conclude that average surface-driven temperature fluctuations during a single day are responsible for approximately 12% of MJO-associated variability of the Turkana jet speed. However, we expect that the accumulation of heat over multiple days to the west of the East African Highlands further amplifies anomalies in the pressure gradient and jet intensity. Modelling experiments are required to quantify the accumulated impact of the surface forcing. Surface-driven Turkana jet variations influence the East African moisture budget and affect the intensity and inland propagation of coastal convection. Not only is this the first study to investigate the importance of intraseasonal land–atmosphere feedbacks across East Africa, but it is also the first to show that Turkana jet characteristics are partly driven by surface conditions. This work motivates an investigation into whether sub-seasonal forecast models fully harness the predictability from surface-induced jet variations.
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1 | INTRODUCTION

In arid and semi-arid regions, variations in surface soil moisture influence the partitioning of surface turbulent fluxes and affect characteristics of land–atmosphere coupling. For example, when a semi-arid surface dries and sensible heat is favoured over latent heat (Teuling et al., 2006; Miralles et al., 2012; Gallego-Elvira et al., 2016), near-surface air temperatures can increase and the planetary boundary layer may deepen (Koster et al., 2009; Miralles et al., 2012; Berg et al., 2014; Schwingshackl et al., 2017). This control of surface soil moisture on turbulent fluxes and planetary boundary-layer conditions is a source of short-term (days) predictability for characteristics of mesoscale daytime circulations (Pielke Sr., 2001; Taylor et al., 2007; Taylor, 2015) and deep convection (Taylor et al., 2012; Bhowmick and Parker, 2018; Klein and Taylor, 2020; Barton et al., 2021). Focusing on intraseasonal time-scales, modelling experiments have shown that improving the initialisation of soil moisture increases seasonal forecast skill for temperature and, to a lesser extent, precipitation (Koster et al., 2011; van den Hurk et al., 2012; Dirmeyer et al., 2018). A substantial amount of this work has focused on the predictability during boreal summer across midlatitude continental regions. There has been somewhat less attention devoted to tropical regions, where atmospheric modes of variability, notably the Madden–Julian oscillation (MJO; Madden and Julian, 1994), drive a large proportion of intraseasonal precipitation variability (Mutai and Ward, 2000; Mpeta and Jury, 2001; Pohl and Camberlin, 2006a; Pohl and Camberlin, 2006b; Berhane and Zaitchik, 2014; Finney et al., 2020b) and impact surface conditions, including soil moisture (Peng et al., 2017) and vegetation water content (Harris et al., 2022). In this study, we explore the potential for intraseasonal land–atmosphere feedbacks in East Africa, where MJO forcing drives strong regional rainfall fluctuations on time-scales of 30 to 60 days (Pohl and Camberlin, 2006a; Berhane and Zaitchik, 2014). Given that most of East Africa is a semi-arid or arid environment, where surface turbulent fluxes are sensitive to soil moisture variations (Gallego-Elvira et al., 2016; Soares et al., 2019), we expect surface turbulent fluxes to be strongly modulated by the MJO, and in turn possibly feed back onto the atmosphere at similar scales to the original precipitation forcing.

Though intraseasonal rainfall variability is smaller than daily to weekly fluctuations across the majority of the Tropics (Moron and Robertson, 2021), previous studies have shown the importance of tropical intraseasonal land–atmosphere feedbacks (Saha et al., 2012; Unnikrishnan et al., 2017; Chug and Dominguez, 2019; Talib et al., 2022). Within the Tropics, most studies have focused on the impact of intraseasonal precipitation variability on land–atmosphere coupling across Africa (Sikka and Gadgil, 1980; Srinivasan et al., 1993; Ferranti et al., 1999; Saha et al., 2012; Unnikrishnan et al., 2017). For example, observations and modelling experiments have shown that the intensity of intraseasonal variations of the South Asian monsoon partly depend on surface soil moisture conditions (Sikka and Gadgil, 1980; Webster, 1983; Gadgil and Asha, 1992; Annamalai and Slingo, 2001). Meanwhile across South America, variations in vegetation impact low-level atmospheric temperatures which influence the regional circulation and precipitation accumulations (Chug and Dominguez, 2019). Studies focusing on intraseasonal land–atmosphere feedbacks across Africa have investigated processes during the West African monsoon (Taylor et al., 2007; Lavender and Matthews, 2009; Talib et al., 2022), as the surface flux response to rainfall variability is particularly strong across the Sahel (Guo et al., 2006; Dirmeyer, 2011; Miralles et al., 2012; Gallego-Elvira et al., 2016). Talib et al. (2022) show that characteristics of 20- to 60-day variability of the West African monsoon are partly controlled by Sahelian surface soil moisture anomalies feeding back onto the regional circulation. For example, Sahelian surface drying driven by an intraseasonal dry spell increases boundary-layer temperatures and shifts the meridional circulation southwards. Though it is evident from previous studies that anomalous land–atmosphere coupling can influence characteristics of tropical intraseasonal variability, an investigation into subseasonal land–atmosphere feedbacks across East Africa is yet to take place.

East Africa is topographically diverse with a variety of biomes (Figure 1) and is one of the most meteorologically complex regions on the African continent (Nicholson, 2017). In general, East Africa has two wet seasons, the March–May (MAM) long rains and the October–December (OND) short rains, which correspond to periods when the intertropical convergence zone crosses the Equator (Mutai and Ward, 2000; Camberlin and Philippon, 2002; Yang et al., 2015). Many studies have shown that intraseasonal rainfall variability across East Africa is predominately driven by the MJO (Mutai and Ward, 2000; Mpeta and Jury, 2001; Pohl and Camberlin, 2006a; Pohl and Camberlin, 2006b; Berhane and Zaitchik, 2014; Finney et al., 2020b). For example, enhanced precipitation across the East African Highlands occurs when the convective core of the MJO is situated over the Indian Ocean and the well-established Matsuno–Gill response (Matsuno, 1966; Gill, 1980) enhances low-level westerlies and moisture transport from the Congolese rainforest (Berhane and Zaitchik, 2014; Finney et al., 2020b). The orography of East Africa, shown in Figure 1a, influences the direction and strength of the low-tropospheric wind (Kinuthia, 1992;
Indeje et al., 2001) and drives spatial rainfall variations (Nicholson, 2017; Vizy and Cook, 2019; Munday et al., 2021). A key feature of the East African climate that is influenced by orography and has been observed by radiosondes (Kinuthia and Asnani, 1982; Kinuthia, 1992; Munday et al., 2022) is the Turkana jet, a strong south-easterly low-level jet at approximately 850 hPa between the Ethiopian Highlands and East African Highlands (Figure 1a). Modelling experiments (Indeje et al., 2001) and observations of higher jet speeds across narrower parts of the channel (Kinuthia, 1992) suggest a Bernoulli forcing on jet characteristics and that the shape of the Turkana channel controls the low-level jet's orientation and direction. Meanwhile, the seasonal cycle of the jet intensity illustrates that jet characteristics are also partly controlled by the temperature gradient along the Turkana channel (Hartman, 2018; Vizy and Cook, 2019). Maximum jet speeds are observed in September, associated with the Somali jet advecting relatively cool air onto low-lying coastal regions of East Africa and increasing the along-channel temperature gradient (Hartman, 2018). As observed for other low-level jets (Helfand and Schubert, 1995; Banta et al., 2002; Parker et al., 2005; Du et al., 2014), the Turkana jet intensity has a nocturnal maximum (Nicholson, 2016; Hartman, 2018), with two mechanisms being proposed for this substantial diurnal cycle. The first is based on jet intensification being prohibited during the daytime due to strong daytime coupling between the boundary layer and turbulent surface fluxes. During the night meanwhile, surface turbulent fluxes minimise and the boundary-layer depth diminishes, leading to a decoupling of surface and boundary-layer processes and allowing the Turkana jet to intensify (Nicholson, 2016; Hartman, 2018). The second proposed mechanism is that enhanced nocturnal cooling at higher altitudes parallel to the jet drives katabatic winds that intensify the nocturnal acceleration of the Turkana jet (Parish and Oolman, 2010; Nicholson, 2016). As the Turkana jet partly controls water vapour transport from low-lying regions of East Africa to the interior of the African continent (Viste and Sorteberg, 2013; Munday et al., 2022), it influences local precipitation rates (Nicholson, 2016; Vizy and Cook, 2019; Munday et al., 2021). For example, enhanced moisture divergence at the jet entrance suppresses local convection. The influence of the Turkana jet on precipitation drives a surface aridity gradient with relatively dry and wet surface conditions across the entrance and exit regions of the jet respectively (Fig. 1b; Munday et al., 2023).

Soil moisture can influence nocturnal jets, as demonstrated in the Great Plains of the USA (Campbell
et al., 2019; Ferguson et al., 2020). Campbell et al. (2019) performed modelling experiments to understand the influence of anomalous soil moisture on the Great Plains low-level jet (Bonner, 1968) when it is uncoupled from the upper level jet stream (Burrows et al., 2019). Though decreased soil moisture across the Great Plains increases daytime sensible heating and the planetary boundary-layer height, a jet intensification is prohibited until after sunset due to frictional forces imposed by strong daytime turbulent fluxes. Campbell et al. (2019) propose two mechanisms by which decreased soil moisture intensifies the Great Plains low-level jet. Following Blackadar (1957), the first mechanism is associated with the collapse of the planetary boundary layer at sunset, which forms an inertial oscillation that accelerates nocturnal ageostrophic winds. The second mechanism, consistent with Holton (1967), is associated with the development of a thermal low in the exit region of the low-level jet, which increases the meridional gradient in low-tropospheric geopotential height and intensifies geostrophic winds. Under both mechanisms, a drier surface leads to a more intense nocturnal low-level jet. Campbell et al. (2019) conclude that a dry surface leads to a stronger Great Plains jet by up to 4 m s\(^{-1}\) compared with a wet surface. In light of anomalous soil moisture partly controlling the intensity of the Great Plains low-level jet, Ferguson et al. (2020) show that daily forecasts of the low-level jet are improved when satellite-based soil moisture observations are assimilated into forecast initialisation. Motivated by Campbell et al. (2019), we investigate whether MJO-induced surface turbulent flux variations across East Africa influence the Turkana jet intensity.

Given the importance of land–atmosphere feedbacks on characteristics of rainfall variability in tropical semi-arid regions (Saha et al., 2012; Taylor et al., 2012; Chug and Dominguez, 2019; Klein and Taylor, 2020; Talib et al., 2022) and that the MJO substantially modulates precipitation across East Africa, (Mutai and Ward, 2000; Mpeta and Jury, 2001; Pohl and Camberlin, 2006a; Pohl and Camberlin, 2006b; Berhane and Zaitchik, 2014; Finney et al., 2020b), this study investigates whether MJO-induced land–atmosphere feedbacks influence aspects of intraseasonal variability across East Africa. Section 2 describes the observations and atmospheric reanalysis utilised in this study. To explore the influence of land–atmosphere feedbacks on characteristics of the MJO, we first show the surface response to MJO-induced anomalous precipitation in Section 3.1. We then investigate whether surface flux perturbations feed back onto the Turkana jet and atmospheric circulation (Section 3.2), before exploring whether land–atmosphere feedbacks influence rainfall characteristics across East Africa (Section 3.3). Finally, Sections 4 and 5 close the paper with the discussion and conclusions respectively.

2 METHODOLOGY

In this study, multiple observational products are used to understand MJO-induced land–atmosphere feedbacks across East Africa. Table 1 provides an overview of all datasets utilised. Two precipitation products are used to investigate MJO-induced rainfall variations across East Africa: the Climate Hazards Group Infrared Precipitation with Stations (CHIRPS) dataset, which combines satellite-derived infrared measurements with gauge-based rainfall totals (Funk et al., 2015); and the Integrated Multi-satellite Retrievals for the Global Precipitation Measurement mission (GPM IMERG) version 6B, which utilises satellite-based passive microwave and geosynchronous infrared measurements (Huffman et al., 2019). GPM IMERG is also adjusted using monthly values from the Global Precipitation Climatology Project (GPCP; Adler et al., 2003). For the majority of this study we focus on daily precipitation on a 0.05° latitude–longitude grid from CHIRPS, as CHIRPS has a longer time span than GPM IMERG (Table 1). Three-hourly GPM IMERG rainfall accumulations on a 0.1° latitude–longitude grid are used to investigate sub-daily rainfall variations associated with MJO-induced land–atmosphere feedbacks.

Two satellite-derived datasets are used to analyse changes in the land-surface state. First, changes in the surface soil moisture are investigated using the European Space Agency Climate Change Initiative combined soil moisture product version 6.1 (Dorigo et al., 2017; Gruber et al., 2017; Gruber et al., 2019; van der Schalie et al., 2021). This combined soil moisture product utilises four active and ten passive microwave-based instruments. It also uses a global land data assimilation system (GLDAS; Rodell et al., 2004) to obtain a consistent climatology (Gruber et al., 2019). There is no common definition for the surface soil moisture depth examined by microwave-based instruments (Dorigo et al., 2017); however, it is generally assumed to be in the range of 2 to 5 cm (Ulaby, 1982). Second, we analyse vegetation optical depth (VOD) observations from the Vegetation Optical Depth Climate Archive dataset (Moesinger et al., 2020), as MJO-driven vegetation changes may cause more persistent turbulent flux anomalies than those associated with surface soil moisture variations. VOD, derived from satellite-based microwave radiation measurements, is a proxy for vegetation water content and responds to changes in water stress and above-ground biomass (Meesters et al., 2005; Momen et al., 2017; van der Schalie et al., 2017; Konings et al., 2021). Rodríguez-Fernández et al. (2018) show that VOD is a good indicator for vegetation biomass across Africa. In this study, we use VOD observations retrieved between 2000 and 2018 in the X-band (\(\approx 10.7\) GHz), and apply the same quality control procedure as Talib et al. (2022) to...
TABLE 1 Details of observational and reanalysis products used in this study.

| Variable                              | Data source                        | Time span    | Spatial        | Temporal         | Reference
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Precipitation</td>
<td>CHIRPS</td>
<td>1981–2020</td>
<td>0.05°</td>
<td>Daily</td>
<td>Funk et al. (2015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Precipitation</td>
<td>GPM IMERG</td>
<td>2000–2020</td>
<td>0.1°</td>
<td>Three-hourly</td>
<td>Huffman et al. (2019)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface soil moisture</td>
<td>ESA CCI</td>
<td>1981–2020</td>
<td>0.25°</td>
<td>Daily</td>
<td>Dorigo et al. (2017)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vegetation optical depth</td>
<td>VODCA</td>
<td>2000–2018</td>
<td>0.25°</td>
<td>Daily</td>
<td>Moesinger et al. (2020)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evapotranspiration</td>
<td>GLEAM3.2, ERA5, GLDAS2, MERRA2,</td>
<td>1981–2017</td>
<td>0.25°</td>
<td>Daily</td>
<td>Lu et al. (2021)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land cover type</td>
<td>MODIS IGBP</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>0.1°</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>Friedl and Sulla-Menashe (2015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atmospheric conditions,</td>
<td>ERA5</td>
<td>1981–2020</td>
<td>1.5°</td>
<td>Three-hourly</td>
<td>Hersbach et al. (2019)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>including temperature, wind,</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>geopotential, and humidity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Averaged to 0.1° latitude-longitude grid.

Abbreviations: CERES, clouds and earth’s radiant energy system; CHIRPS, climate hazards group infrared precipitation with stations; ERA5, European centre for medium-range weather forecasts reanalysis version 5; ESA CCI, European space agency climate change initiative; GLDAS2, global land data assimilation system version 2; GLEAM3.2, global land evaporation Amsterdam model version 3.2a; IGBP, international geosphere biosphere programme; IMERG, integrated multi-satellite retrievals for global precipitation measurement (GPM); MERRA2, modern-era reanalysis for research and applications version 2; MODIS, moderate-resolution imaging spectroradiometer; VODCA, vegetation optical depth climate archive.

remove spurious observations and VOD fluctuations that are caused by inundation.

To identify changes in the surface energy balance associated with MJO-induced soil moisture variations, we use clear-sky daytime land-surface temperature (LST) measurements from the moderate-resolution imaging spectroradiometer (MODIS) instruments on the Terra and Aqua satellites (Wan and Hook, 2015). Anomalous clear-sky LST is used as a proxy for the partitioning between surface sensible and latent heat fluxes, as in semi-arid regions LST fluctuations drive boundary-layer temperatures rather than vice versa. Under this assumption, positive clear-sky LST anomalies indicate increased sensible heating, whereas negative LSTs depict enhanced latent heat fluxes. Thermal infrared measurements from Terra and Aqua satellites are available from respectively 2000 and 2002 onwards, with daytime equatorial crossing times of approximately 1030 and 1330 local time respectively. In this study we use LSTs at a 1 km resolution from the MOD11A1 version 6 product, which derives pixel temperatures from the MOD11L2 swath product. Using a similar technique to Folwell et al. (2016) and Gallego-Elvira et al. (2016), we only retain observations with view angles of less than ±40° and that are flagged as cloud and aerosol free. We do, however, include observations with an emissivity error ≤0.02, as using the strictest threshold of 0.01 dramatically reduces the number of valid measurements across dry regions of north and central Kenya (not shown). Following Trigo et al. (2008), on days when a valid observation exists from both Terra and Aqua satellites, only the observed temperature from the lowest viewing angle is used. In this work we analyse daily LST anomalies relative to a monthly climatology, as using anomalous values reduces spatial sampling errors caused by partially cloud-free regions and allows us to combine data from both satellites. Anomalies are computed on a 1 km grid for Aqua and Terra measurements separately. Using these anomalies, we then calculate the average LST anomaly at a 0.1° latitude–longitude resolution. We only analyse measurements at a 0.1° resolution if there are at least 20 pixels with a valid observation within the gridbox (≈20% or more of the gridbox area).

Although analysing MODIS LSTs supports our understanding of MJO-induced changes in the surface energy balance, it only highlights fluctuations in the partitioning between surface sensible and latent heat fluxes under clear-sky conditions. In light of this, we also analyse all-sky surface flux anomalies. To investigate changes in surface radiation, hourly mean fluxes are extracted from the Clouds and Earth’s Radiant Energy
System (CERES; Loeb et al., 2003), which are derived through combining (Kato et al., 2018) observed filtered top-of-the-atmosphere short-wave and long-wave irradiance (Loeb et al., 2003), cloud properties retrieved by MODIS and geostationary satellites (Minnis et al., 2011), and temperature, specific humidity, and ozone profiles from the Goddard Earth Observing System version 5.4.1 reanalysis (Rienecker et al., 2008). Observational estimates of daily evapotranspiration (ET) are inherently uncertain as they rely on parametrisations to link relatively well-observed variables (e.g., LST, soil moisture, VOD, and atmospheric humidity deficit) to the land–atmosphere flux. To provide supporting evidence for our interpretation of the MJO-induced surface flux response inferred from LST, we use a harmonised land ET dataset on a 0.25° latitude–longitude grid (Lu et al., 2021). This ET dataset combines three model-based products: the European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECWMF) Reanalysis version 5 (ERA5; Copernicus Climate Change Service (C3S), 2017; Hersbach et al., 2020), the Global Land Data Assimilation System version 2 (GLDAS2; Rodell et al., 2004), and the Modern-Era Retrospective Analysis for Research and Applications version 2 (MERRA2; Gelaro et al., 2017). These three products are combined using a reliability ensemble averaging method (Giorgi and Mearns, 2002), with flux tower observations and the Global Land Evaporation Amsterdam Model version 3.2a (GLEAM3.2a; Miralles et al., 2011) used for reference and evaluation (Lu et al., 2021). It should be noted that only one flux tower used for reference by Lu et al. (2021) is located in East Africa, situated in southern Sudan, whereas eight flux towers are located in savanna environments.

To investigate the atmospheric response to MJO-induced surface changes, we use ERA5 (Copernicus Climate Change Service (C3S), 2017; Hersbach et al., 2020). We analyse data from 1979 to 2020 at three-hourly intervals, on a 1.5° latitude–longitude grid across 22 pressure levels (1000 to 50 hPa in increments of 50 hPa with the addition of 975 and 925 hPa). ERA5, computed using four-dimensional variational data assimilation and cycle 41r2 of the Integrated Forecasting System, provides a detailed record of the global atmosphere, land, and ocean waves (Hersbach et al., 2018; Hersbach et al., 2019). ERA5 surface conditions are formulated using the Hydrology-Tiled ECMWF Scheme for Surface Exchanges over Land land-surface model (Balsamo et al., 2015), which is forced using assimilated near-surface meteorological observations and soil moisture data from the Advanced Scatterometer (ASCAT; Wagner et al., 2013; ECMWF, 2016). The use of Advanced Scatterometer observations to assimilate soil moisture improves the representation of planetary boundary-layer dynamics (Aires et al., 2021). To minimise the impact of sampling across different months, we analyse all variables using anomalies relative to a monthly climatology and, where applicable, relative to hourly climatologies of the respective month.

To investigate MJO-induced land–atmosphere feedbacks, we downloaded MJO indices provided by the Australian Government Bureau of Meteorology (Wheeler and Hendon, 2004). These MJO indices are computed using zonal winds at 850 and 200 hPa from the reanalysis produced by the National Centers for Environmental Prediction and the National Center for Atmospheric Research (NCAR; Kalnay et al., 1996), and daily-mean outgoing long-wave radiation satellite observations (Liebmann and Smith, 1996) from the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration. The two leading empirical orthogonal functions associated with these variables, and the corresponding principal components (PC1 and PC2), are used to diagnose the phase and amplitude of the MJO (Wheeler and Hendon, 2004). In this study, the same phase definitions as Wheeler and Hendon (2004) are used. For example, stronger convection across the Maritime Continent is defined as either MJO phase 4 or 5. We also assume that the MJO is inactive when the square root of the sum of the squared principal component indices is smaller than one. To simplify our analysis of MJO-induced land–atmosphere feedbacks, we focus on feedbacks during both wet seasons across East Africa, the MAM long rains and the OND short rains, and treat all anomalies in all months equally. Whilst for the majority of this study we refer to the time of day in reference to coordinated universal time (UTC), we also refer to the local time zone, East Africa Time (EAT). EAT is 3 hr ahead of UTC. Unless stated, statistical significance is defined when the P-value of an independent t-test is smaller than 0.05.

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | Surface response to MJO-induced precipitation variability

Given that MJO-induced rainfall anomalies are significant across East Africa (Pohl and Camberlin, 2006a; Berhane et al., 2015) and that a particular MJO phase typically persists for between 5 and 10 days (Madden and Julian, 1994), a surface flux response to MJO-induced rainfall variability is expected. To investigate this response, we composite all days in MJO phases 6–8 and 2–4, as during these phases East African rainfall is typically suppressed and enhanced respectively (Pohl and Camberlin, 2006a; Berhane et al., 2015). In this study, we refer to days in MJO phases 6–8 and 2–4 as “dry” and
“wet” MJO days respectively, regardless of daily precipitation totals. Figure 2a,d shows the average anomalous CHIRPS daily precipitation total during dry and wet MJO days. Anomalies are only shown if the difference between dry and wet MJO days is significant at a 95% confidence level according to a two-tailed $t$-test. Consistent with previous studies (Pohl and Camberlin, 2006a; Berhane et al., 2015), MJO-induced precipitation anomalies are significant across inland regions of Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda, and South Sudan. We also observe significant precipitation differences across relatively dry regions, including Somalia, northern Kenya, and southern Ethiopia.

Focusing on the anomalous land-surface state induced by dry and wet MJO days, averaged anomalous surface soil moisture highlights a surface response to MJO-induced precipitation variability (Figure 2b,e). During dry MJO days, significant surface drying is observed across parts of the East African Highlands and low-lying regions in Kenya, northern Tanzania, northeastern Uganda, and southeastern South Sudan (Figure 2b). We also observe significant surface drying, albeit to a smaller degree, across
shrubland areas, including parts of northern Kenya, Somalia, and Ethiopia. During wet MJO days meanwhile, surface moistening is observed (Figure 2e). As expected, and consistent with Peng et al. (2017), the surface soil moisture response is associated with MJO-induced anomalous precipitation, as changes in surface soil moisture are driven by precipitation totals. There are some areas in East Africa (e.g., southern Tanzania, northern South Sudan, and southeast Kenya) where significant precipitation anomalies are observed (Figure 2a,d), but they do not produce significant soil moisture differences (Figure 2b,e). Such behaviour is to be expected given the differences in quality of soil moisture retrievals across such a diverse landscape. We also expect surface soil moisture in relatively dry areas to be more strongly driven by daily variability in antecedent rainfall. Alongside investigating the surface soil moisture response to MJO-induced precipitation variations, we also analyse VOD anomalies. Harris et al. (2022) show that vegetation in East Africa responds to intraseasonal (40- to 60-day) precipitation variability with a lag of approximately 15–20 days (Supporting Information Figure S1). We therefore present VOD anomalies averaged 15–20 days after dry and wet MJO days (Figure 2c,f). In general, dry MJO days lead to reduced VOD across grasslands in Kenya, southern South Sudan, and Tanzania (Figure 2c), whereas wet MJO days enhance subsequent VOD (Figure 2f). This VOD response is expected, as increased surface water promotes vegetation growth. The observed VOD response suggests that though instantaneous surface changes may improve atmospheric predictability during the MJO event itself, the delayed vegetation response may improve predictability at a longer lead time.

To begin investigating whether the surface response to MJO-induced anomalous precipitation (Figure 2a–f) feeds back onto the atmosphere, we first aim to understand whether anomalous surface soil moisture (Figure 2b,e) leads to fluctuations in the surface energy balance. Figure 2g,l shows average anomalies in clear-sky LST, net downward short-wave radiation combined with downward long-wave radiation, and ET. Clear-sky LST anomalies provide a proxy for the partitioning between surface sensible and latent heat fluxes, with positive LST anomalies indicating enhanced sensible heat fluxes. We find significant LST differences between dry and wet MJO days in locations with a strong soil moisture response, notably across parts of Uganda, southern South Sudan, Kenya, and northwestern Tanzania (Figure 2g,j). Enhanced LSTs during dry MJO days is consistent with evaporation being controlled by surface soil moisture rather than available energy. The lack of significant LST differences in areas with a weaker soil moisture response is in part due to fewer LST observations (the LST record is approximately half the length of precipitation and soil moisture; Table 1) and, in places, persistent cloud cover.

So far we have identified areas where surface soil moisture anomalies are strong enough to drive consistent changes in the partitioning of surface turbulent fluxes between sensible and latent heating. However, the MJO is also expected to affect the total available energy at the surface via changes in cloud cover and downwelling radiative fluxes. To examine this effect, Figure 2h,k show the anomalies in radiative forcing, expressed here as the sum of surface net downward short-wave and downward long-wave radiation anomalies, during dry and wet MJO days respectively. During dry MJO days, positive anomalies in daily radiative fluxes are extensive and reach up to 10 W m$^{-2}$ across the East African Highlands, Uganda, and southern South Sudan (Figure 2h). This radiative effect will enhance both sensible and latent heat fluxes across the region. Considering the impacts of both changes in radiative fluxes and the partitioning of turbulent heat fluxes, we expect widespread regional atmospheric warming, via enhanced radiative fluxes, plus more localised enhancements, due to limited soil moisture, in a hotspot area covering parts of Uganda, South Sudan, and western Kenya. We find strong support for this process from the composite of ET (Figure 2i,l). Within the hotspot, ET is reduced by 4–8 W m$^{-2}$ during dry MJO days in spite of enhanced radiative fluxes. Towards southern and eastern edges of the Congolese rainforest on the other hand, a climatological wetter surface (Figure 1b) reduces the limitation induced by drier soils, and ET increases during dry MJO days in response to enhanced radiation. Note that similar changes in radiative and turbulent fluxes are observed when using ERA5-land (Muñoz Sabater, 2019) and when only analysing MJO days that occur in the common time span (2000 to 2017) of all satellite products (not shown).

To investigate the lagged surface energy balance response to MJO-induced vegetation changes (Figure 2c,f), we compute average anomalies in components of the surface energy balance at a 15- to 20-day lag from dry and wet MJO days (Supporting Information Figure S2). Though the lagged vegetation response suggests there is potential for the surface to feed back onto the atmosphere after the MJO event itself, we do not observe a significant surface energy balance response co-located with vegetation changes (Supporting Information Figure S2). As we do not observe a significant lagged surface energy balance response to the MJO, we focus on land–atmosphere feedbacks during wet and dry MJO days, as this is when we expect a coherent atmospheric response to be maximised.

Through using several satellite products we have shown that the surface responds to MJO-induced precipitation variations. Not only does anomalous precipitation...
impact the amount of surface soil moisture across East Africa, but it also changes the partitioning of the surface energy balance and affects subsequent vegetation growth. Previous studies focusing on West Africa have shown that anomalous LSTs driven by precipitation variability can feed back onto the atmosphere (Taylor et al., 2007; Talib et al., 2022). Using this as motivation, in the following subsection we investigate whether the observed instantaneous surface response to MJO-induced anomalous precipitation feeds back onto atmospheric conditions across East Africa.

### 3.2 Atmospheric response to MJO-induced surface changes

MJO-induced precipitation variations across East Africa lead to significant changes in surface characteristics and components of the surface energy balance (Section 3.1). To investigate whether the atmosphere responds to MJO-induced perturbations in surface heating, Figure 3 shows the average anomalous near-surface air temperature, surface pressure, and 850 hPa horizontal wind during dry and wet MJO days at 1500 and 0300 UTC (1800 and 0600 EAT respectively). We also show the difference between anomalies at 1500 and 0300 UTC. Though significant daytime near-surface temperature anomalies are observed across the majority of inland regions west of 38° longitude (Figure 3a,d), presumably associated with anomalous surface radiation (Figure 2h,k), the largest temperature perturbations are observed where soil moisture and LST anomalies are strongest (Figure 2b,e,g,j). For example, Figure 3a shows daytime near-surface temperature anomalies of at least 0.6°C across southern South Sudan, western Kenya, and northern Uganda during dry MJO days. Not only does the co-location of the strongest anomalous surface and atmospheric temperatures indicate a surface forcing, but the difference between temperature anomalies at 1500 and 0300 UTC provides further evidence of a surface–atmosphere interaction (Figure 3c,f). Near-surface temperature anomalies are larger at 1500 UTC compared with 0300 UTC, as turbulent surface fluxes and heat accumulated in the planetary boundary layer peak at approximately midday and during the afternoon respectively. After sunset meanwhile, surface-induced near-surface temperature anomalies begin to dissipate as turbulent surface fluxes reduce close to zero.

As well as near-surface temperature anomalies, we also observe significant differences in anomalous horizontal wind and surface pressure between dry and wet MJO days (Figure 3g–l). As illustrated in Pohl and Cambel (2006a) and Berhane et al. (2015), dry and wet MJO days are associated with anomalous easterlies and westerlies respectively across East Africa. These wind anomalies are particularly large in the Turkana channel and are consistent with large-scale surface pressure anomalies. For example, anomalous easterlies prevail during dry MJO days associated with negative and positive pressure anomalies to the west and east of the East African Highlands respectively (Figure 3g,h). Across the exit region of the Turkana jet, where LST and daytime near-surface temperature anomalies are large (Figures 2g,j and 3a,d), there is a strong diurnal variation in surface pressure (Figure 3i,l). For instance, during dry MJO days, differences in surface pressure anomalies between 1500 and 0300 UTC maximise at approximately 0.15 hPa (Figure 3l). This is consistent with a hydrostatic response to anomalous daytime warming of approximately 0.4°C (Figure 3c) and a boundary-layer depth of approximately 1,500 m (later shown in Figure 4). Though temperature and pressure anomalies maximise during the daytime due to strong turbulent fluxes, wind anomalies maximise during nocturnal hours. For example, the largest anomalies, in absolute terms, occur in the Turkana channel and reach approximately 2.0 m·s⁻¹ at night (Figure 3h,k). Larger wind anomalies during the night-time re-emphasise a surface–atmosphere interaction, as strong daytime turbulent fluxes and boundary-layer turbulence prohibit a low-level wind response to anomalous surface conditions during the daytime. The low-level wind only responds once turbulent fluxes begin to dissipate during the evening, leading to a nocturnal wind maximum. As the largest diurnal variations in anomalous horizontal wind are observed across the Turkana channel, we focus on understanding the influence of MJO-induced surface anomalies on the Turkana jet.

We have already observed during dry MJO days that enhanced sensible heating strongly warms the boundary layer on the continental side of the Turkana channel. This heat anomaly increases the existing pressure gradient, which leads to an intensification of the Turkana jet. To investigate this potential link between surface warming and the Turkana jet, Figure 4 shows vertical cross-sections perpendicular to the Turkana channel of average anomalous temperature, geopotential height, channel-orientated wind speed, and specific humidity during dry MJO days at 1500 and 0300 UTC. The cross-sections are centred at the exit region of the Turkana channel (Figure 1a) where daytime near-surface temperature anomalies are strong (Figure 3a,d). The channel-orientated wind speed is calculated at a bearing of 315° using both horizontal wind components. We also show climatological values for the seasons sampled (MAM and OND). Positive temperature anomalies in the hotspot region are maximised below 800 hPa at 1500 UTC, and weaken overnight (Figure 4a). This is consistent with a localised warming of the planetary
boundary layer and leads to a decreased geopotential height (Figure 4c,d) and shallow daytime ascent (not shown). As in Figure 3, surface-driven low-level warming peaks during the daytime, consistent with the diurnal cycle of surface heating (Figure 4a,b). During dry MJO days, the strengthening of the Turkana jet is very clear (Figure 4e,f), particularly at 0300 UTC. This jet intensification occurs below 700 hPa in a location determined by local orography (Kinuthia, 1992). The overnight anomalous jet strengthening is consistent with surface-induced enhancements to the local pressure gradient. During the daytime, dry convective mixing throughout the boundary layer limits jet strength. Once a stable nocturnal surface layer develops, though, the jet can accelerate in response to the pressure gradient across the Turkana channel, which during dry MJO days is locally enhanced by surface heating. Diurnal jet fluctuations impact anomalous vertical velocity with stronger ascent across the exit region of the Turkana jet during nocturnal hours (not shown). Diurnal variations in the channel-orientated wind also influence anomalous specific humidity across the exit region of the Turkana channel (Figure 4g,h). Dry boundary-layer conditions are observed during the daytime associated with dry surface conditions. Meanwhile, the intensification
of the Turkana jet after sunset weakens the negative anomaly of specific humidity due to moisture transport from low-lying coastal regions. The same processes, albeit of opposite sign, are also observed during wet MJO days, with surface cooling and moistening (Figure 2e,j) reducing daytime boundary-layer temperatures, increasing daytime low-level moisture, and weakening the nocturnal Turkana jet (Supporting Information Figure S3).

Through analysing diurnal variations in anomalous atmospheric conditions during dry and wet MJO days, it is evident that land–atmosphere feedbacks influence boundary-layer temperatures and may impact the Turkana jet (Figures 3 and 4). To further explore how the surface influences atmospheric conditions, we produce composite time series of dry and wet MJO events. For this analysis, an MJO event is defined as a period of at least 5 days when the MJO is in either a dry or wet MJO phase. After 5 days, we stop sampling the data if the MJO is no longer active in one of the appropriate phases. When including MJO events from both sampled seasons (MAM and OND), we composite across 130 dry events and 138 wet events. Focusing on dry events, Figure 5 illustrates the number of days in each MJO phase during our 15-day composite time series. Approximately 40% of dry events initiate from an inactive MJO, whereas the remaining 60% commence from MJO phase 5, which is often described as a transitional phase across East Africa. The remaining panels in Figure 5 then show Hovmöller diagrams illustrating the surface and atmospheric evolution through a transect orientated along the Turkana channel (Figure 1b). We focus on the development of anomalous surface soil moisture, low-level atmospheric temperature, low-level atmospheric thickness, channel-orientated wind speed, and vertically integrated channel-orientated moisture flux. In this study we define low-level atmospheric thickness as the difference in geopotential height between 800 and 900 hPa. We also label regions with substantial surface gradients (Figure 1b), including the border of Sudan and South Sudan (“A”), the Turkana channel (“B”), and the Kenyan coastline (“C”). In addition, Figure 5 shows the mean diurnal cycle of anomalous atmospheric conditions between 32° and 37° longitude of days 2–6 of an MJO event. Figure 5b illustrates that surface drying strengthens around “B” between 33° and 38° longitude as a dry MJO event persists. These dry surface conditions drive daytime low-level atmospheric warming across the exit region of the Turkana jet (Figure 5c,d), and promote an increased atmospheric thickness that maximises...
Channel-orientated Hovmöller diagrams (with the cross-section orientated along the Turkana channel – domain shown in Figure 1b) of anomalies relative to the monthly climatology in (b) surface soil moisture ($m^3/m^3$), (c) 850 hPa temperature ($^\circ C$), (e) atmospheric thickness between 800 and 900 hPa (m), (g) 850 hPa channel-orientated wind speed ($m/s$), and (i) vertically integrated channel-orientated moisture flux ($kg/m/s$) during dry MJO events. Grey dashed horizontal lines, and associated labels, in Hovmöller diagrams highlight transect locations where there is a strong surface gradient parallel to the channel (Figure 1b). Mean diurnal cycles of average anomalous atmospheric conditions during days 2–6 and between 32.0° and 37.0° longitude (region denoted by black dashed rectangles in Hovmöller diagrams) are shown to the right of Hovmöller diagrams in (d), (f), (h), and (j). In these panels daytime hours (0300 to 1500 UTC) are highlighted with a light orange background. [Colour figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]

during the late afternoon (Figure 5e,f). The substantial along-channel gradient in anomalous low-level atmospheric temperature and thickness increases the pressure gradient between southern South Sudan and the Kenyan lowlands, which promotes a stronger Turkana jet (Figure 5g) and increases moisture transport through the Turkana channel (Figure 5i). A strengthened Turkana jet also promotes anomalous ascent in the exit region of the Turkana channel (not shown). Comparing the diurnal cycle of anomalous temperatures across southern South Sudan (Figure 5d) with climatology (not shown) re-emphasises a surface atmospheric forcing. Anomalous temperatures during a dry MJO event continue to increase during the late afternoon (1200 to 1500 UTC; Figure 5d) due to enhanced surface sensible heat fluxes, reducing the rate at which the atmosphere typically cools. The diurnal cycle of dynamical atmospheric conditions, including channel-orientated wind speed and moisture flux, also indicates a surface-driven forcing, as anomalous conditions maximise during nocturnal hours when frictional forces induced by surface turbulent fluxes are weak. Similar processes are also observed during wet MJO events, where surface and low-level atmospheric cooling around “B” decreases the channel-orientated pressure gradient, weakens the Turkana jet, and reduces the moisture transport through the Turkana channel (Supporting Information Figure S4). In summary, the composite evolution presented here indicates that MJO-induced large-scale surface drying across the exit region of the Turkana jet increases the along-channel pressure gradient and thereby intensifies the Turkana jet.

Given the influence of continental-scale pressure anomalies on the Turkana jet (Fig. 3g-l; Finney et al., 2020b) and uncertainties in surface flux forcing, it
is challenging to isolate the effect of anomalous surface fluxes on the nocturnal jet. Here, we exploit the diurnal cycle of surface forcing to estimate the impact of surface heating on the jet speed. Figure 6a shows correlation coefficients between wet-season daily data of late afternoon (1500 UTC) surface pressure and nocturnal (0300 UTC) Turkana jet speed. The cyan rectangle in Figure 6a – corner points of (5.0° N, 33.0° E), (6.5° N, 34.5° E), (2.0° N, 39.0° E), (0.5° N, 37.5° E) from northwestern corner then following round in a clockwise direction – denotes the region used to calculate the average jet speed, whereas red (–1.0 to 3.5° N, 36–39° E) and orange (3.5–8.0° N, 31.5–34.5° E) rectangles highlight entrance and exit regions of the Turkana jet respectively. Correlation coefficients are only shown if the correlation between surface pressure and jet speed is significant at the 95% confidence level; grey shading denotes insignificant regions. (b) Scatter plot of wet-season daily values of the local anomalous surface pressure difference (hPa) at 1500 UTC and the Turkana jet speed (m s⁻¹) at the following 0300 UTC. The local pressure difference is calculated by subtracting the anomalous surface pressure at the jet exit (orange square) from the anomaly at the jet entrance (red square). (c) The mean anomalous jet speed (m s⁻¹) at 0300 UTC during dry and wet MJO days. Red and blue shading denote the proportion of jet speed attributable to daytime surface pressure variations across the exit region of the Turkana channel during dry and wet MJO days respectively. The legend shows the percentage of the anomalous jet speed attributable to surface forcing. [Colour figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]
moisture flux during a dry and wet MJO day respectively can be attributed to surface-driven jet speed variations (not shown).

In summary, whereas variability of the Turkana jet is primarily controlled by continental-scale pressure differences to the west and east of the East African Highlands (Finney et al., 2020b), we highlight that surface flux variations amplify anomalies in jet speed and contribute an important local forcing. On each day during a dry MJO event, we estimate that surface-driven enhanced boundary-layer temperatures across the exit region of the Turkana channel are responsible for approximately 11.8% of the jet speed anomaly. However, our estimate of the surface forcing on the Turkana jet only considers localised daytime pressure changes, whereas heat anomalies will build up over multiple days during an MJO event. Given the predominantly easterly flow and substantial barrier presented by the mountains to the east, this accumulation of heat will lead to more sustained surface-induced pressure changes on the western side of the East African Highlands the longer an MJO event persists. Figure 5c.e, along with spatial maps of anomalous surface pressure and near-surface temperature (not shown), illustrates that temperature and pressure anomalies intensify to the west of the East African Highlands as an MJO event persists. Quantification of this surface effect requires either Lagrangian tracking of heat anomalies (Schumacher et al., 2019) or numerical modelling experiments to fully understand the influence of surface-driven atmospheric heating on the Turkana jet. As well as this, and evident from Figure 5c, daytime temperature fluctuations are larger in the exit region of the Turkana channel as an MJO event persists, due to rapidly drying soils and progressively increasing sensible heat flux. This increased temperature perturbation results in larger daytime surface pressure fluctuations. For example, mean surface pressure decreases by 0.16 hPa during the daytime across the exit region of the Turkana channel during days 6–8 of a dry MJO event (not shown). This change in surface pressure can be attributed to a jet intensification of 0.29 m s⁻¹, which is approximately 80% greater than the surface-driven jet intensification during an average dry MJO day (Figure 6c).

3.3 Response of the East African moisture budget to MJO-induced surface–atmosphere feedbacks

We have shown evidence that the spatial variation in the surface response to MJO-induced anomalous precipitation influences the intensity of the Turkana jet (Section 3.2). Given that the Turkana jet controls moisture transport from low-lying regions of East Africa into Central Africa (Vizy and Cook, 2019; Munday et al., 2021), we expect surface-induced Turkana jet intensity variations to affect precipitation characteristics across East Africa. To investigate this hypothesis in more detail, we partition wet MJO events by surface soil moisture conditions before the MJO event itself. As moisture-induced surface turbulent flux anomalies across the exit region of the Turkana jet partly control the jet intensity, we expect a stronger jet if the surface is dry before a wet MJO event.

To partition wet MJO events by anomalous surface soil moisture across the exit region of the Turkana jet, we calculate the regional-mean surface soil moisture anomaly in southeast South Sudan (4–8° N, 31–35° E; region denoted by green squares in Figure 7a–c) during the five days preceding an MJO event. Similar to previous analysis, a wet MJO event is defined as a period of at least five days when the MJO is active in phases 2–4. However, an MJO event is only retained if at least three of the preceding five days have valid soil moisture observations across at least 20% of the South Sudan region. Using 5-day averages of anomalous surface soil moisture, we then partition wet MJO events in half, with subsets containing relatively dry or wet initial surface conditions across the exit region of the Turkana jet. Figure 7a,b shows the average anomalous 1200 UTC near-surface air temperature and 0000 UTC 850 hPa horizontal wind in the 5 days preceding a wet MJO event in each subset. We also show the differences between subsets in Figure 7c. Focusing on composite-mean atmospheric conditions before a wet MJO event, a dry or wet surface across the exit region of the Turkana jet is associated with anomalous easterlies or westerlies respectively across equatorial East Africa (Figure 7a,b). Anomalous easterlies are typically associated with drier conditions across continental regions of East Africa, as anomalous easterlies limit moisture transport from the Congolese rainforest (Finney et al., 2020b). We also observe the largest near-surface temperature anomalies across the exit region of the Turkana jet due to anomalous surface soil moisture feeding back onto daytime atmospheric temperatures (Figure 7a–c).

We now consider precipitation and wind differences between our two samples during the wet MJO event itself (Figure 7d–f). We observe significant precipitation differences between the two samples across a wide area of East Africa, extending from central Tanzania up to northern Somalia. Alongside this, there are significant differences in the large-scale flow across the region. This suggests that our two samples are capturing differences in synoptic conditions well beyond any localised effects around the Turkana channel. Having said that, we also observe a significantly stronger Turkana jet when sampling drier antecedent soil conditions in
South Sudan (Figure 7f). This localised difference in atmospheric conditions agrees with our proposed land feedback mechanism, albeit superimposed on larger scale circulation features of unknown origin. We also find reduced rainfall in the entrance region of the Turkana channel in the sample with drier antecedent soil conditions and a stronger jet. This link between surface-induced Turkana jet variations with East African precipitation is consistent with Munday et al. (2021), who showed a reduction in monthly accumulated April precipitation across the East African Highlands, southern Ethiopia, and southern Somalia, when the Turkana jet is relatively strong. It also agrees with Vizy and Cook (2019) and King et al. (2021), who illustrate a correlation between the Turkana jet speed and precipitation across equatorial East Africa. However, our samples differ in the large-scale circulation that gives rise to the initial soil moisture conditions (Figure 7a–c), and these differences may persist and influence the low-level flow in subsequent days across the wider region (Figure 7d–f). From our diagnostic approach, it is difficult to disentangle the effects of local land feedbacks from larger scale processes. Modelling experiments are required to isolate the influence of surface-induced near-surface temperature variations across the exit region of the Turkana jet on East African precipitation.

We conclude our analysis by considering differences in the atmospheric water budget between each subset of MJO events, focusing on a region where we expect the Turkana jet to play a leading role (purple transect in Figure 7d–f). Figure 8a,b shows the average diurnal cycle of GPM IMERG three-hourly precipitation accumulations during wet MJO events that are preceded by dry and wet soils. Owing to the time span of available IMERG subdaily precipitation accumulations (Table 1), we only analyse wet MJO events between 2000 and 2020. Similar to Figure 5, we denote longitudes with substantial surface gradients (Figure 1b), with “B” and “C” indicating strong gradients across the Turkana channel and at the Kenyan coastline respectively. The diurnal cycles of precipitation show that afternoon (0900–1500 UTC/1200–1800 EAT) coastal convection is more intense and propagates further...
inland in the wet soil sample (Figure 8a–c). To understand the atmospheric drivers responsible for enhanced initiation and propagation of coastal convection, we analysed the diurnal cycle of the average anomalous vertically integrated moisture flux convergence and precipitation between the Turkana channel and coastline of Kenya (36.5–42.5° E along the transect). Figure 8d–f shows the diurnal cycle of anomalous vertically integrated moisture flux convergence and precipitation between these two locations. We find that there is enhanced moisture convergence during the evening (1500–2100 UTC/1800–0000 EAT) in both wet MJO phase samples compared with climatology. However, the wet soil sample shows consistently stronger and more prolonged moisture convergence throughout the night. This is expected to contribute to the extended lifetime of afternoon coastal convection as it propagates inland. In this way, soil moisture on the continental side of the Turkana channel may affect rainfall across a larger region of East Africa. However, we note that differences in moisture convergence in the ERA5 reanalysis are not large enough to balance the precipitation differences observed in the IMERG dataset. This is perhaps unsurprising given that ERA5 underestimates the true strength of the Turkana jet (Munday et al., 2022).

4 | DISCUSSION

This study shows that the considerable duration and intensity of MJO-induced precipitation variability promotes a land–atmosphere feedback across semi-arid regions of East Africa. Though previous studies have shown the importance of tropical intraseasonal land–atmosphere feedbacks in West Africa, India, and South America (Taylor, 2008; Saha et al., 2012; Chug and Dominguez, 2019; Talib et al., 2022), this is the first study to highlight such feedbacks across East Africa. Even though East African rainfall is influenced by multiple atmospheric drivers (Nicholson, 2017), and the land surface is orographically complex with a variety of biomes (Kinuthia and Asnani, 1982), we observe a consistent surface response to MJO-induced rainfall variability. In agreement with previous studies showing a sensitivity of surface fluxes to precipitation variability (Gallego-Elvira et al., 2016; Feldman et al., 2019), MJO-induced changes in surface soil moisture leads to a strong surface turbulent flux response across highland regions of southwest Kenya and northern Uganda and low-lying regions of South Sudan. The spatial variation in the surface flux response to MJO-induced anomalous precipitation affects the intensity of the Turkana jet.
We conclude that whereas anomalous jet speeds are primarily controlled by continental-scale pressure anomalies (Finney et al., 2020b), surface-induced pressure fluctuations across the exit region of the Turkana channel over the course of a single day are responsible for approximately 12% of the anomalous jet speed during a dry MJO event. The surface response to MJO-driven rainfall fluctuations enhances circulation anomalies associated with the MJO. We also expect that the accumulation of heat to the west of the East African Highlands over the course of an MJO event further amplifies the surface influence on the Turkana jet speed. Furthermore, in this work we propose that surface-driven Turkana jet variations affect the moisture budget on the coastal side of the East African and Ethiopian Highlands. In contrast to other tropical intraseasonal land–atmosphere feedback studies that focus on the local atmospheric response (Taylor, 2008; Saha et al., 2012; Chug and Dominguez, 2019; Talib et al., 2022), the control of surface soil moisture on the Turkana jet intensity and continental-scale moisture transport affects non-local atmospheric conditions. However, modelling experiments are required to fully quantify the effect of surface-driven atmospheric warming.

Alongside highlighting intraseasonal surface-driven Turkana jet variations, we argue that the Turkana jet influences precipitation characteristics across Kenya. Figure 9 is a schematic summarising surface-driven changes in atmospheric conditions during dry and wet MJO days in addition to circulation anomalies induced by large-scale pressure anomalies. Using wet MJO days as an example (Figure 9b), a surface-driven decreased low-tropospheric temperature gradient across the Turkana channel, driven by reduced surface sensible heat fluxes in the exit region of the Turkana jet, decreases the along-channel pressure gradient, weakens the Turkana jet, and enables coastal convection to propagate further inland due to increased moisture convergence. This mechanism is consistent with Camberlin et al. (2019), who show a strong MJO-driven modulation of the diurnal cycle of rainfall in inland regions of Kenya, and amplifies anomalous atmospheric conditions associated with the MJO (Pohl and Camberlin, 2006a; Berhane and Zaitchik, 2014; Finney et al., 2020b). Variations in rainfall driven by differences in the Turkana jet intensity and sea-breeze circulation are unlikely to be resolved in coarse-resolution general circulation models (GCMs). This is indicated by Finney et al. (2020a), who show that the inland propagation of coastal convection is stronger in high-resolution, explicit-convection simulations compared with a model set-up with parametrised convection and a coarser resolution. Though Finney et al. (2020a) argue that using parametrised convection does not fully represent a realistic rainfall response to sea-breeze circulations, we propose that the improved rainfall propagation in explicit-convection simulations may be partly associated with a weaker Turkana jet and increased low-level moisture convergence (Misiani et al., 2020; Finney et al., 2020a). Whereas previous work has studied model differences in the representation of the Turkana jet (King et al., 2021), a thorough investigation into the observed and simulated relationship between the diurnal cycle of precipitation across equatorial East Africa and Turkana jet characteristics is yet to take place.
There are exceptional differences in the representation of the Turkana jet amongst the latest state-of-the-art GCMs (King et al., 2021; Munday et al., 2021; Oscar et al., 2022). Given that the Turkana jet is more intense across narrower parts of the Turkana channel (Kinuthia, 1992), regional modelling experiments show a sensitivity of the jet to orography (Indeje et al., 2001), and a more intense jet is simulated at finer model resolutions (Misiani et al., 2020; Finney et al., 2020a; Oscar et al., 2022), it is frequently proposed that intermodel differences are caused by different horizontal resolutions and representations of orography (King et al., 2021; Munday et al., 2021). Meanwhile in this study, we highlight that variations in the Turkana jet intensity can be driven by channel-orientated gradients in LST. This is consistent with Hartman (2018), who argue that seasonal variations in jet strength are controlled by temperature differences across the Turkana channel. Given the observed impact of surface conditions on the Turkana jet in this study, and that the surface response to dry spells across East Africa varies amongst GCMs (Gallego-Elvira et al., 2019), it may be the case that model differences in the representation of the Turkana jet (King et al., 2021; Munday et al., 2021) are partly influenced by simulated land-surface dynamics.

Through improving our understanding of intraseasonal land–atmosphere feedbacks across East Africa, we can support efforts to improve subseasonal to seasonal forecasts and aid the development of early warning systems of hydrometeorological extreme events. Whilst the phase of the MJO affects the likelihood of extreme rainfall (Finney et al., 2020b), our study suggests that surface-induced Turkana jet variations may partly control the severity of an individual MJO event. As a result, even though current subseasonal forecast models utilise MJO-driven rainfall predictability (de Andrade et al., 2021; Endris et al., 2021; MacLeod et al., 2021), additional skill may be obtained by an improved initialisation of the land surface or better evapotranspiration dynamics. The improved knowledge of subseasonal land–atmosphere feedbacks from this study not only encourages weather and climate model evaluation, but also motivates further investigation into the predictability gained from surface-induced Turkana jet variations across multiple time-scales.

5 | CONCLUSIONS

Through using multiple satellite-based datasets, we identify a surface soil moisture and turbulent flux response to MJO-induced precipitation variability across East Africa. The spatial variation in the surface response to MJO-induced anomalous precipitation influences the Turkana jet intensity. For example, a dry MJO event promotes surface warming across the exit region of the Turkana channel, which strengthens the along-channel pressure gradient and intensifies the Turkana jet. Surface-driven pressure variations act as an additional forcing on jet characteristics, reinforcing the influence of large-scale pressure anomalies. Surface-driven jet variations also influence the moisture budget and precipitation characteristics across equatorial East Africa. For example, when the Turkana jet intensifies due to an enhanced surface-driven along-channel pressure gradient, moisture convergence and rainfall reduce across equatorial East Africa. This study is the first to highlight the importance of surface conditions on characteristics of the Turkana jet. As a result, it motivates idealised experiments to fully quantify surface-driven Turkana jet variations and their effect on precipitation across equatorial East Africa. It also encourages an investigation into whether subseasonal forecast models fully harness the predictability that can be obtained from the land surface in this sensitive region.
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