

ORCA - Online Research @ Cardiff

This is an Open Access document downloaded from ORCA, Cardiff University's institutional repository:https://orca.cardiff.ac.uk/id/eprint/160237/

This is the author's version of a work that was submitted to / accepted for publication.

Citation for final published version:

Stein, William E., Berry, Christopher M., VanAller Hernick, Linda and Mannolini, Frank 2023. Rooting portions of a young pseudosporochnalean from the Catskill Delta complex of New York. International Journal of Plant Sciences 184 (8), pp. 601-627. 10.1086/726108

Publishers page: https://doi.org/10.1086/726108

Please note:

Changes made as a result of publishing processes such as copy-editing, formatting and page numbers may not be reflected in this version. For the definitive version of this publication, please refer to the published source. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite this paper.

This version is being made available in accordance with publisher policies. See http://orca.cf.ac.uk/policies.html for usage policies. Copyright and moral rights for publications made available in ORCA are retained by the copyright holders.



ROOTING PORTIONS OF A YOUNG PSEUDOSPOROCHNALEAN FROM THE CATSKILL DELTA COMPLEX OF NEW YORK

- 3 William E. Stein^{1,*}, Christopher M Berry^{2,*}, Linda VanAller Hernick³ and Frank Mannolini³
- 4 ¹State University of New York, Binghamton, NY 13902-6000
- ⁵ ²School of Earth and Ocean Sciences, Cardiff University, Cardiff CF10 3YE Wales, UK
- 6 ³New York State Museum, Albany NY 12230
- 7 *Authors for correspondence: email: stein@binghamton.edu, berrycm@cf.ac.uk
- 8 Shortened Title: STEIN ET AL. JUVENILE PSEUDOSPOROCHNALEAN ROOTING SYSTEM
- 9 Keywords: Devonian, Pseudosporochnales, Cladoxylopsida, trees, forests, development

10 Premise of the Research: Pseudosporochnales (Cladoxylopsida) were conspicuous elements of the Earth's

- 11 earliest forests. Recent evidence has done much to clarify basic aspects of the pseudosporochnalean
- 12 architecture, but important questions remain about the developmental processes responsible for growth
- 13 from juvenile individuals to trees of sometimes considerable size.
- 14 Methodology: Presented here is combined compression/permineralization evidence of a young member of
- 15 the group from a late Devonian (early Frasnian) locality also containing *Eospermatopteris (Wattieza)*,
- 16 currently the largest reconstructed pseudosporochnalean tree. Standard pyrite preparations were made
- 17 and analyzed with reflected light.

18 *Pivotal Results*: The anatomically preserved portion of the trunk with expanded base lacking a central 19 vascular column shows abundant evidence of appendages with apparent rooting function supplied by 20 traces comprised of primary and often secondary xylem. Traces arise within parenchyma near trunk 21 center and follow lax courses with multiple divisions outward and downward to the surface, finally 22 enveloping the plant base for some distance. In the upper portion of the specimen, likely near the 23 transition between base bearing rooting appendages and aerial shoot, the traces form a vascular plexus 24 toward the periphery of the stem, with the bulk of vascular tissues comprising secondary xylem. Similar 25 but differently oriented vascularization also occurs near the base.

26 Conclusions: Here we hypothesize a unique form of "bipolar" development in this specimen, and 27 potentially all pseudosporochnaleans, by means of a trunk base bearing an appendicular system of 28 positively geotropic rooting appendages. In addition, we hypothesize that diffuse meristematic activity of 29 the base plus the vascular plexus may have a previously unrecognized role in development of 30 pseudosporochnaleans from the small specimen observed here to large body size. We also suggest that 31 this tissue also offers an explanation for the enigmatic genus Xenocladia known from tissue fragments of 32 large size found in coeval marine sediments of New York State, USA. Given current incomplete 33 understanding of development within the Pseudosprochnales, considering the rooting system as "sui 34 generis" confers the advantage of adequate description of this organ, without necessarily specifying 35 correspondence or homology with other groups.

37 Introduction

From their beginnings in the Devonian period, terrestrial forests have been profoundly important to life on 38 39 Earth, with direct influence on erosion, weathering, mineral cycling, global carbon budget, atmospheric 40 composition and climate (Algeo et al. 2001, Beerling and Berner 2005, Davies et al. 2011, Beerling 41 2019). In addition, complex interactions between individual trees with other forest elements are 42 fundamentally important to how terrestrial ecosystems develop, maintain themselves, and change over 43 ecological time (Pan et al. 2013). Modern forests comprised of advanced lignophytes (angiosperms and 44 gymnosperms) are familiar to us today, and have an evolutionary history stretching back at least 380 45 million years (Algeo and Scheckler 1998, Stein et al. 2020). However, from the fossil record we know 46 that the first trees were greatly different from modern ones in overall form, inferred physiology, and mode 47 of reproduction. Nevertheless, certain fundamentals must apply to them, including physical constraints 48 imposed by large size combined with both physiological and developmental means to accomplish growth 49 and maintenance of a large plant body. Modern lignophyte trees largely accomplish this with a 50 structurally dominant secondary body comprised of wood and bark engendered by the vascular cambium 51 and phellogen respectively. This approach may have evolved from a single phylogenetic source related to 52 mid to late Devonian Archaeopteris (Meyer-Berthaud et al. 1999), or possibly even earlier among 53 aneurophytaleans (Beck and Wight 1988, Toledo et al. 2018). In our opinion, much of our understanding 54 of what constitutes a tree, and indeed nearly all of currently established terminology relating to 55 development generally, and especially the secondary body (Beck 2010), remains heavily influenced by 56 this single structural strategy. However, there are and have been other successful plants with large body 57 size. In living monocots and cycads, for instance, a typically dominant apex is combined with sustained 58 meristematic thickening via primary or secondary thickening meristems depending upon how these terms 59 are employed in the groups involved (Stevenson 1980, DeMason 1983, Rudall 1991). This produces a 60 substantially enlarged main axis that may continue to increase laterally as development proceeds to 61 accommodate increased structural load and vascular supply required for large size. However, how this 62 form of thickening is related to more familiar secondary development in lignophytes remains uncertain. It 63 is possible that much of it may have been partially co-opted from ancestral lignophyte developmental64 processes.

65 Among non-lignophytes, other useful examples of tree-size plants are known including both ancient and 66 modern tree-ferns (Galtier and Hueber 2001), as well as arboreous isoetalean lycopsids of the Paleozoic (Pigg 1992, 2001, Boyce and DiMichele 2016). In both, we see potentially unique innovations including 67 reorientation of the main plant body from a likely primitive Devonian rhizomatous system to a 68 69 predominantly upright stance involving an aerial shoot and specialized basal rooting system. The terms 70 "monopolar" and "bipolar" can be applied respectively to these architectures (for instance, Pigg and 71 Rothwell 1979, Sanders et al. 2011), and throughout this paper we enclose these terms in quotes to 72 indicate this meaning. However, problems in terminology immediately develop. Tree-ferns with an 73 upright stance might be better understood as a rhizome in unusual orientation buttressed by a mantle of 74 adventitious roots, and thus fundamentally a "monopolar" system. In stigmarian lycopsids, the 75 rhizomorph consisting of a basal branched rooting axis system bearing appendages (rootlets) provides 76 acquisition functions as well as structural support in what is clearly a "bipolar" architecture as defined 77 above. In this case, the rhizomorph has been termed sui generis (i.e., unique) at the organ level 78 (DiMichele et al. 2022). In the Discussion, we offer commentary on this approach including its potential 79 application to the problem described in this report. Clearly, additional functional, developmental or 80 genetic criteria are needed for adequate differentiation between different modes of growth. For instance, 81 "monopolar" and "bipolar" may be further refined to refer specifically to patterns observed early in 82 ontogeny (e.g., Groff and Kaplan 1988, DiMichele et al. 2022 and references therein). In addition, if one 83 wishes to assess several potential levels of homology (Scotland 2010) within or between groups, then 84 phylogeny becomes a crucial aspect of the problem (Rothwell et al. 2014).

85 Early pseudosporochnalean trees with "bipolar" architecture

86 The focus of this report is the order Pseudosporochnales (Berry and Stein 2000, Meyer-Berthaud et al. 87 2007, Durieux et al. 2021, Berry et al. 2022) among the earliest plants in the fossil record to attain large 88 size in what seems to have been an explosive phase in plant evolution (Stein et al. 2012, Berry 2019). 89 Commonly found in Mid to Late Devonian (Eifelian-Frasnian) sediments on remnants of the ancient 90 Euramerican continent in Europe (Berry and Fairon-Demaret 2002, Giesen and Berry 2013), North 91 America (Stein and Hueber 1989, Stein et al. 2007), and northern South America (Berry 2000), the order 92 comprises a discrete subset of taxa within the class Cladoxylopsida. The latter, as traditionally 93 recognized, ranges from late Emsian to Carboniferous age with members identified by their dissected 94 vascular systems (Meyer-Berthaud et al. 2007, Xue et al. 2010). This form of vascular anatomy may 95 include some secondary xylem recognized by radial alignment of tracheids analogous to the situation in lignophytes. Nevertheless, relationships remain uncertain. Both pseudosporochnaleans and 96 97 cladoxylopsids are currently thought to reside outside the lignophyte clade (Doyle and Donoghue 1986, 98 Toledo et al. 2018), but with unclear relationships both among themselves and potential descendants. 99 Sharing cladoxylopsid anatomy, the Pseudosporochnales are increasingly well known as entire plants 100 from compressions (Fairon-Demaret and Li 1993, Berry and Fairon-Demaret 1997, Stein et al. 2007, 101 Meyer-Berthaud et al. 2010, Giesen and Berry 2013). Although long the fodder for transformational 102 hypotheses linking primitive plants of the Lower Devonian with more advanced plant architectures (e.g., 103 Zimmermann 1952), recent work has shown that pseudosporochnaleans exhibit a more sophisticated body 104 plan than previously suspected. A main trunk bears lateral branch systems crowded near the apex. The 105 latter functioned in photosynthesis and pteridophytic reproduction much like that of leaves, and were 106 discarded by abscission during further development of the aerial shoot. At the other end, the trunk is 107 typically expanded at the base and bears many undivided or sparsely divided appendages directly on its 108 surface. These are traditionally called "roots" in pseudosporochnaleans indicating their clear functional 109 significance (Dawson 1871, Goldring 1927), although evidence for features often associated with roots in

110 better known groups (such as root caps, exarch xylem maturation, endogenous development, etc.) remain 111 unknown. In light of this fact, we will employ the admittedly more cumbersome terms "rooting system", 112 "rooting appendage" (or simply "appendage"), or "rooting appendage trace" (for associated vascular 113 tissues), as the case may be, and restricted to pseudosporochnaleans from this point onward in this report. 114 This indicates their interpreted function as distinct from aerial portions of these plants but leaves open 115 possible homology with roots in other groups. We will briefly return to the issue of "roots" in the 116 Discussion. Where known, all pseudosporochnaleans exhibit an upright stance with an extensive rooting 117 system clearly qualifying the plants as "bipolar" in the structural sense defined above. The fossil 118 evidence makes clear that continued longitudinal and lateral growth of the entire plant body in some 119 pseudosporochnaleans was substantial, producing trees of truly impressive height and girth. 120 The best evidence we have for the pseudosporochnalean body form to date comes from Lorophyton and 121 Pseudosporochnus at Goé, Belgium (Leclercq and Banks 1962, Fairon-Demaret and Li 1993, Berry and 122 Fairon-Demaret 1997, 2002), from *Eospermatopteris (Wattieza)* at Gilboa and nearby sites in the Catskill 123 region, New York (Berry 2000, Stein et al. 2007, 2021), and from spectacularly intact Calamophyton at 124 Lindlar, Rhineland, Germany (Giesen and Berry 2013). The largest of these plants is *Eospermatopteris*, 125 originally described from sandstone casts of a trunk with base as much as 3.6m (12ft) in circumference 126 and rooting system span observed in paleosols of several meters in diameter (Dawson 1871, Goldring 127 1924,1927). Subsequently, the trunk has been shown to bear crown branches with three dimensionally 128 arranged ultimate appendages of the genus Wattieza as originally described from Belgium and Venezuela 129 (Berry 2000). In all, there is no evidence of sustained growth within the branches, and this strongly 130 suggests their ephemeral and modular function. From a nearly complete compression specimen (Stein et 131 al. 2007) the trunk was followed over 6m length from the base 47cm in diameter tapering gently to near 132 the crown at 13cm diameter. In other specimens represented by sandstone casts from Gilboa, the base of 133 *Eospermatopteris* easily exceeds twice that diameter. This strongly indicates some type of lateral 134 development increasing diameter of the main trunk, with continued insertion of rooting appendages

including some at higher levels than possible in smaller individuals (Xu et al. 2017). From paleosol

footprints (Stein et al. 2012, 2020, 2021), rooting appendages show no evidence of taper, and are sparsely
if at all branched. From this, one might infer regular replacement of older appendages with younger ones
again as modular units. However, timing and pattern of their insertion remain unclear.

139 *Pseudosporochnus*, found mostly as compressions but with some anatomy of crown branches known, is 140 roughly similar to *Eospermatopteris* in overall form, 10cm in basal diameter and estimated to be 2.5-3m 141 in height, possibly larger. Although differing from *Wattieza* in the organization of crown branches and 142 orientation of sporangia, both genera show scars directly on the trunk as originally described for 143 Pseudosporochnus representing abscission of no longer functional branches (Berry & Fairon-Demaret 144 2002). By contrast, *Calamophyton* has a distinctly different mode of abscission of crown branches 145 commonly leaving 10-20mm of the branch base permanently attached to the trunk. Known complete 146 examples (Giesen and Berry 2013) were apparently smaller than either *Eospermatopteris* or 147 *Pseudosporochnus*, with the tallest specimen ca. 1.5m in height. Multiple specimens of different 148 diameters and heights also strongly support the presence of continued development in Calamophyton 149 trunks. In addition, an interesting pattern of decreasing then increasing trunk diameter from stem base 150 toward top was observed, interpreted as epidogenetic primary growth supplemented by acropetal 151 secondary development from the base. The base of *Calamophyton* was also distinctive in consisting of an 152 apparently robust basal disk upon which multiple rooting appendages are attached. Appendage insertion 153 also occurs above the disk, although again the developmental pattern remains uncertain.

Anatomy within Pseudosporochnales has been described for *Calamophyton, Pseudosporochnus* and probably *Eospermatopteris/Wattieza* confirming cladoxylopsid affinity (Leclercq and Lele 1968, Mustafa 1978, Stein and Hueber 1989). In *Pseudosporochnus* and *Eospermatopteris* (represented by *P. hueberi*) this evidence is confined to branches of the crown with traces to ultimate appendages, whereas in *Calamophyton* both branch and stem anatomy are known to some extent. The latter originally termed *Duisbergia mirabilis* reveals a small trunk with a significant amount of secondary xylem (Mustafa 1978).

In other taxa, anatomy of the trunk and base remain largely unexplored, although some anatomically 160 161 preserved cladoxylopsid material provides intriguing possibilities. In particular for what follows here, 162 highly fragmentary Xenocladia from the late Givetian of New York (Arnold 1940,1952) exhibits multiple 163 radially elongate vascular bundles plus internal vascular strands with secondary xylem. This material 164 apparently comprises fragmentary outer portions of a base or trunk of significant diameter. The concept 165 of *Xenocladia* was subsequently expanded to include much more completely preserved material, late 166 Givetian age, from Kazakhstan (Lemoigne and Iurina 1983). However, secondary xylem is now known 167 in several taxa, and given the importance of distinguishing the anatomy of trunk from aerial branches, it 168 seems doubtful that this extension is useful.

169 Recent work on the anatomically preserved *Pietzschia* has added much to the interpretation of body form 170 in this late Devonian (Famennian) cladoxylopsid (Soria and Meyer-Berthaud 2003, 2004, 2005). The 171 vascular system in *Pietzschia* contains only primary tissues arranged as radially oriented xylem plates 172 with some smaller more elliptical internal vascular bundles. In P. levis, the base is preserved showing a 173 primary body consisting of ground, vascular and cortical tissues, surrounded by an extensive mantle of 174 roots with stellate primary xylem. The primary body of the trunk is interpreted to be obconical in form 175 with elaboration of the vascular system and regularization of vascular bundles, suggesting rapid 176 epidogenetic growth at the base, followed by more extended apoxogenetic growth. Total height of P. 177 *levis* was estimated to be ca. 1m (Soria et al. 2001), with upright stance substantially supported by the 178 root mantle in a manner similar to that observed in tree ferns (Soria and Meyer-Berthaud 2004). 179 However, organization of lateral branches in Pietzschia differs substantially from known 180 pseudosporochnalean taxa. As a result, the genus is currently considered to be a "non-181 pseudosporochnalean" taxon within the Cladoxylopsida (Meyer-Berthaud et al. 2007). 182 Also, potentially applicable to pseudosporochnaleans is anatomically preserved *Xinicaulis* of Late 183 Devonian (Frasnian) age from Xinjiang, China (Xu et al. 2017). Here a silicified trunk is at least 70cm in

184 diameter near its base and contains a system of cauline vascular bundles 50cm in diameter enveloped

185 within a root mantle up to 10cm thick. Primary xylem of the vascular bundles is enclosed within 186 abundant secondary xylem showing obvious growth increments and a significant thickness of ground 187 tissue and/or phloem. Increase in diameter of the trunk was interpreted to be the result of extensive but 188 diffuse meristematic thickening causing physical rupture of more centrally located cauline xylem strands, 189 and associated with formation of a hollow central area within the trunk. A sizable root mantle consisting 190 of roots of different sizes apparently matches at least to some extent the situation observed in *Pietzschia*. 191 However, this feature does not seem to be indicated for known pseudosporochnaleans, and other 192 important features of the pseudosporochnalean body plan have yet to be demonstrated in *Xinicaulis*. 193 Thus, given uncertainty whether the group actually occurs in China (Berry and Wang 2006), extrapolation 194 of anatomical patterns in *Xinicaulis* to pseudosporochnaleans remains problematic. 195 Although juvenile examples of Calamophyton have been found (Giesen and Berry 2013), possibly the 196 most relevant for this study is Lorophyton (Fairon-Demaret and Li 1993). These compressions, 20cm in 197 length, show an expanded base 1.5cm wide with attached rooting appendages (Hetherington et al. 2020). 198 A short and not very wide main stem bears lateral branches we can interpret as being more-or-less 199 equivalent to those in *Pseudosporochnus* or *Wattieza*, although less ramified. From this body form, one 200 can envision a regular pattern of initiation and development to maturity of increasingly complex but 201 ephemeral aerial branches near the crown accompanied by serial senescence of lower branches. 202 Continued lateral development may have provided for increased diameter of the trunk and base, possibly 203 along the lines observed in *Xinicaulis*, associated with sustained rooting appendage initiation. 204 In the above summary, pseudosporochnalean and possibly related taxa are presented with emphasis on 205 their overall form and possible development to larger size. Although upright and "bipolar" in a functional 206 sense, little is currently known concerning how development proceeds in the plant base to provide supply 207 and support for an increasing aerial system. Presented here is another piece of this puzzle based on 208 pseudosporochnalean material in New York State. From an apparently juvenile plant similar to

209 Lorophyton in size and general form, we present anatomical evidence of the crucial basal trunk region

- 210 with rooting appendages supplied by appendage traces. From this, we suggest an early developmental
- 211 stage for interpreting pseudosporchnalean development toward larger size. In addition, we offer a
- 212 potential interpretation for at least the New York material of enigmatic *Xenocladia*.

213 Materials & Methods

214 The specimen described here was collected in 2006 under auspices of the New York State Museum from 215 a quarry on the northwest slope of South Mountain owned by the New York State Department of 216 Environmental Conservation. The quarry exposes sandstone bodies, mudstones, shales, and paleosols 217 typical of the Oneonta Formation, the eastern facies of the Genesee Group latest Givetian to earliest 218 Frasnian in age (Traverse and Schuyler 1994, Sevon and Woodrow 1985, Ver Straeten 2009). The 219 specimen was collected from a sandstone body showing gently dipping foreset beds, possibly 220 representing a small fluvial delta, a few meters distant and at approximately the equivalent level to 221 Eospermatopteris/Wattieza described previously (Stein et al. 2007). Macrofloral elements at South 222 Mountain include pseudosporochnaleans, probable aneurophytaleans, and an early Archaeopteris 223 (Carluccio et al. 1966, Banks et al. 1985). As such, floral composition is similar to other nearby localities 224 in the Catskill region. Interestingly, however, the site also provides the liverwort Hepaticites devonicus 225 (Hueber 1961), plus abundant material of Serrulacaulis furcatus, a zosterophyll occurring as a dense mat 226 (Hueber and Banks 1979).

As originally found, a single surface impression of an individual with pseudosporochnalean body form was observed (fig. 1*A*) plus poorly preserved fragments that may represent detached branches (fig. 1*B*). The counterpart was missing, except for small pieces unfortunately of limited value. The impression was cut from the exposed surface by diamond saw and collected as a ca. 0.75x1.0m block. Images of the surface were made in the field and lab using a digital camera in incident and polarized light.

In addition, a pyrite (iron disulphide) nodule spanning the width of trunk base, and fitting precisely within the impression, was collected (figs. 1*C*, 2*A* a). This was embedded in Ward's Bioplastic (Ward's Natural

234 Science Company, Henrietta NY, USA) and cut into transverse wafers (#3-18) at 2 mm intervals, with end blocks (#1-2) representing the apical end of the nodule (fig. 2B). The apical end block (#1) was 235 236 subsequently cut into transverse wafers (#2C-6C) at 2mm intervals and conserved, but unfortunately 237 vielded little useful information. The basal end block was cut longitudinally normal to bedding plane 238 approximately midway through the nodule (fig. 2B), with one half continuing the series of transverse 239 wafers at 2mm intervals to the nodule base (#19R-24R), and the other half cut into longitudinal sections 240 with wafers at 2mm intervals from the center of the nodule outwards (#1L-7L). Following an established 241 method (Stein et al. 1982), all wafers were re-embedded in Ward's Bioplastic to stabilize pyrite surfaces. 242 Most were then fine-polished and etched with nitric acid. After etching, wafers were treated with 243 ammonium hydroxide to neutralize the nitric acid, re-acidified in hydrochloric acid, and then dehydrated 244 with a progressive ethyl alcohol series to xylene, prior to making permanent mounts using Eukitt 245 (Electron Microscopy Sciences, Hatfield PA, USA). Images of all pyrite wafer surfaces were made under 246 diffused incident light from multiple sources, using a Leitz Ortholux microscope and attached digital 247 camera.

To facilitate mapping of anatomical features, *camera lucida* drawings of both surfaces of each wafer were 248 249 made using a Wild M5 binocular microscope. In addition, high resolution stitched images of each surface 250 were made from 16-100 individual photos each, and verified by visual observation. A summary of this 251 information is provided here (figs. 3-4), with one surface of each wafer reversed horizontally to provide a 252 consistent perspective. In these, some rooting appendages traces followed between wafer surfaces are 253 indicated by colored markers. Camera lucida drawings and high-resolution stitched images are deposited 254 along with the specimen (comprising both impression and permineralized preparations) at the New York 255 State Museum, Albany, NY (NYSM 19,312). More detailed versions of these figures, plus images of 256 each section surface, may also be found online (Stein et al. 2022).

257 Results

258 The plant, preserved primarily as a surface impression but also with occasional carbon flecks and some 259 limonite surface coating, consists of a single individual with expanded base 50-60mm in diameter, and 260 trunk 31mm in diameter preserved in organic connection for a length of 290mm (fig. 1A, 2A). The base 261 shows attachment of multiple rooting appendages, with up to 12 counted on the surface but probably 262 many more both above and below bedding plane. All appendages are more-or-less of equal in size with 263 diameters 8-9mm, and showing little evidence of taper. They appear to arise at more than one level near 264 the trunk base, mostly if not entirely confined to the region of expanded diameter (figs. 1A, 2A a). This 265 region shows longitudinal striations at ca. 1 mm scale and corresponds to similar patterns on the pyrite 266 nodule containing anatomy (figs. 1A arrow a, 2A a). Free rooting appendage impressions on the specimen 267 surface extend to lengths of at least 120mm from the base with individual appendages clearly incomplete. 268 Their surface texture is smooth, and some show central longitudinal grooves presumably representing 269 vascular tissue. Above the level of rooting appendages (fig. 24 b) the trunk has smooth surface texture 270 with distinct longitudinal ridges and furrows apparently associated with the insertion of at least 4-5 lateral 271 branches over its preserved length (figs. 1A arrows, 2A triangles). The branches are clearly staggered, but 272 exact organotaxis of the branches cannot be determined due to limited material. In each instance, the 273 branches are 6-6.5mm in width near their base, and appear to be broken at or near insertion on the trunk. 274 Above the recognizable trunk, the collected slab and isolated counterparts show faint impressions of 275 closely associated smaller branch systems, but these are poorly preserved and not attached. An example 276 of one of these (fig. 1B) shows a central axis 10mm in diameter apparently bearing numerous lateral

appendages with at least their basal portions preserved. Unfortunately, little can be determined about the

exact form or arrangement of these laterals.

279 General anatomical features

The pyrite permineralization shows ground tissues and the dissected vascular system of this small
individual (figs. 3-5). Based on both position of the nodule in the impression and from anatomical

282 features described in detail below, preserved tissues span a region from the base of the plant upwards in the trunk toward the aerial main shoot. We state at the outset here that nearly all anatomically preserved 283 284 vascular bundles will be interpreted in this report as rooting appendage traces – here recognized by the 285 presence of xylem or associated tissues that diverge outward and downward, likely supplying the rooting appendages observed in close association on the compression. We recognize that this interpretation may 286 287 be at variance with more typical interpretations of cladoxylopsid anatomy, but will defer this issue to the 288 Discussion. However, to further orient the reader, the entire system of rooting appendage traces in this 289 specimen bears a resemblance to leaf traces in appendicular systems as in seed plants, although of course 290 no homology is implied and divergence directions of the traces are inverted. Because of this unusual 291 context we use **acropetal** (upwards toward the shoot apex) versus **basipetal** (downwards toward the trunk 292 base and beyond) as orientation indicators for the plant as a whole, as is customary. In addition, and 293 possibly somewhat at variance from common usage, we use proximal to indicate more acropetally and 294 centrally located appendage traces, versus **distal** for more basipetal and/or peripherally located appendage 295 traces as the case may be. Note that these terms are only meant as static positional descriptors. 296 Overall, transverse dimensions of the pyrite nodule range from 11mm acropetally at widest dimension 297 parallel to bedding expanding to 32mm toward the base (fig. 5F-G). Although the out-of-round 298 dimensions at all levels are likely due to compression normal to bedding, expansion of the 299 permineralization basipetally is unquestionably a feature of the expanded base of the trunk, also observed 300 in the accompanying impression (figs. 1A,C, 3-4, 5A-C). In transverse section, the center portion of the 301 stem base appears more-or-less complete except for zones comprising carbonized wings parallel to 302 bedding plane. In acropetal regions, there is better representation of the vascular system on one side of 303 the specimen (i.e., toward the top in fig. 5A). The outer ground tissue generally consists of an 304 aerenchyma including extensive intercellular spaces (fig. 5B arrows a), with more central ground tissue 305 comprised of cells crushed normal to bedding (fig. 5B arrows b). In basal portions of the trunk observed

306 in both transverse and longitudinal views (figs. 4, 5*H*-*K*), a similar zonation of ground tissue is found,

307 although disrupted to a greater degree by amorphous pyrite surrounding vascular tissue, as described
308 below. Cortex and epidermis are not observed.

309 Organization of the vascular system

310 In the acropetal region of the pyrite nodule (figs. 3 sections 3b-8b, 5A), radially elongate single, U or Y 311 shaped vascular bundles are observed containing relatively abundant secondary xylem for this specimen 312 (ca. 8-20 tracheids in radial rows) in a zone toward the periphery (figs. 5A, 6A-C). This zone is 313 incomplete but based on the distribution of other parts of the vascular system probably comprised a nearly 314 full ring of elongate strands. Despite this appearance, however, we observe that the outer vascular 315 bundles in this specimen are instead almost entirely composed of closely associated rooting appendage 316 traces that can be definitively traced through a series of transverse sections in the basipetal direction. 317 Given the unusual context of this vascular tissue involving rooting appendages, which we believe to be 318 important as discussed below, we term the entire association of vascular bundles at this level and 319 elsewhere the vascular plexus in order to distinguish it by name from potentially similar-looking tissues 320 in other contexts. As shown in detail below, individual rooting appendage traces distally separate from 321 others of the vascular plexus, and diverge basipetally to the permineralization surface. Beyond this, 322 attached continuations of individual appendage traces persist on the permineralization surface for some 323 distance in the basipetal direction. These appear as partially preserved arcuate bands typically filled with 324 crushed organic contents (fig. 6F arrow b). Further down, similar arcuate bands on the surface of the 325 permineralization are observed throughout its entire length to the base and in many cases are also clearly 326 associated with proximal rooting appendage traces located more centrally within the trunk's interior. All 327 of these bands are interpreted as partial appendage compressions enveloping the trunk's outer surface, and 328 responsible for the pattern of external grooves and ridges observed on the pyrite nodule before sectioning 329 (fig. 5F-G). These in turn match in size and position the longitudinal surface patterning observed in the 330 corresponding region of the impression (fig. 1A arrow a), closely associated with rooting appendage 331 impressions. However, one-for-one correspondence of the arcuate bands on the permineralization with

rooting appendage impressions, although likely, was not observed due to the fact that sections of thepyrite nodule were made prior to this interpretation.

334 More centrally in the permineralization, there are additional vascular bundles that are observed to merge 335 basipetally with inner portions of the vascular plexus. However, these also prove to be rooting appendage 336 traces that further down also separate and diverge to the permineralization surface becoming apparent 337 appendage compressions. Proceeding toward the mid-region and base of the permineralization (fig. 3 338 sections 8f-18f, 5B-D, 7A-D), peripherally elongate strands of the vascular plexus diminish in length with 339 individual appendage traces separating into individual vascular strands. These together comprise several 340 complete or partial imbricate cones of rooting appendage traces that successively expand to the periphery 341 in the basipetal direction.

342 Toward the basal end of the nodule, longitudinal sections confirm distal trajectories of rooting appendage 343 traces from center outward and downward in a cone-like pattern expanding basipetally, apparently with 344 division of some traces into multiple vascular strands (figs. 4, 5H-K). At the extreme basal end of the 345 nodule, traces are enclosed within extensive amorphous pyrite. This may represent the vascular system 346 plus some enveloping tissues descending away from the base of the trunk in transverse (fig. 5*E* arrow, 347 10D) or oblique (fig. 5J arrows, 5K arrow, 10C) views. Although poorly preserved, these seemingly 348 correspond to rooting appendages proceeding from the base of the trunk observed on the impression (figs. 349 1*A*, 2*A*).

350 Rooting appendage trace initiation from the outer surface of the vascular plexus

Looking at the apical (i.e., most acropetal) preserved region in greater detail, production of appendage traces at the periphery of the vascular plexus appears evident. Here, the tips of some primary xylem ribs, partly preserved in limonite and appearing lighter in tone in the photographs, are greatly enlarged by extensive secondary xylem (fig. 6*B* arrows a). In addition, a rooting appendage trace is present within what appear to be its own surrounding tissues, although this is incompletely preserved (fig. 6*C* arrow b). 356 Shown in detail from successive wafer surfaces, separation of this appendage trace occurs in a more-or-357 less radial plane (fig. 6D arrow b). The immediately adjacent basipetal wafer surface (fig. 6E arrow b) 358 shows abrupt reorientation of tracheary elements longitudinally, with the detached appendage trace now 359 in transverse section. Unfortunately, configuration of the primary xylem is unclear, but conspicuous 360 secondary xylem is apparent along with adjacent ground tissues suggestive of cortical tissues of the 361 rooting appendage itself. This appendage can then be followed basipetally for a couple of wafer surfaces 362 and ends as an arcuate compression interpreted to be the remnant of the appendage on the pyrite surface 363 (fig. 6F arrow b). Thus, available evidence indicates rooting appendage separation from the exterior of 364 the vascular plexus at this apical level. Although not definitive, the angle of departure with abrupt distal 365 change in orientation is different from appendage trace patterns observed elsewhere and may suggest 366 production of some rooting appendages in a different manner.

367 *Rooting appendage traces within the vascular plexus*

368 Looking at more internal portions of the vascular plexus, it is evident that rooting appendage traces 369 within, whether part of radially directed xylem ribs or as separate xylem bundles, can be identified and 370 followed basipetally (fig. 6A-C arrows c-d). At distal levels all ultimately separate into individual traces 371 and depart as partial rooting appendage compressions on the surface of the nodule ca. 1/3 of the way from 372 acropetal end to the base (fig. 3 sections 3f-8b, 6A-C). Further inside at apical levels in the specimen are 373 additional appendage traces, consisting of both primary and secondary xylem, that proceeding basally join 374 with the vascular plexus. At different levels these appear as separate elliptical vascular bundles or part of elongate primary xylem ribs (fig. 6A-C arrows e-h). Distal fusion of the bundles with the inner surface of 375 376 primary xylem ribs is observed, as well as lateral fusions of adjacent ribs (figs. 6A-C arrows f, 7A-D 377 arrows f). It must be noted, however, that whether apparently fused to other xylem elements or not, 378 component appendage traces can still be recognized by presence of primary xylem and by position. 379 Proceeding in the basal direction, all traces ultimately separate from each other and migrate to the surface 380 in lax fashion (in contrast to the more abrupt radial departure of acropetal traces described above),

381 occasionally subdivide, and depart distally as peripheral arcuate rooting appendage compressions on the 382 surface ca. mid-length on the nodule (figs. 3 sections 3f-12b, 7D). Thus, with the possible exception of 383 the most peripheral portions of radially oriented xylem ribs that could not be followed acropetally due to 384 incomplete preservation, the entire vascular plexus consisting of radially elongate xylem ribs apparently 385 comprises closely associated rooting appendage traces. These traces become incorporated into ribs at 386 some levels and sometimes show apparent primary xylem continuity with other portions of a xylem rib 387 (figs. 6A arrow g, 7B arrows e, i, 10A). Over the full series of wafer surfaces following a particular trace, 388 however, this appearance is clearly localized. In many other cases, the primary xylem of each appendage 389 trace remains separately identifiable although situated within a xylem rib or other parts of the plexus and 390 enclosed by secondary xylem.

391 Radially directed xylem ribs within the vascular plexus measure 4.5 mm in their maximum observed 392 radial extent (fig. 5A), and 0.6 mm in width, but widening considerably toward the periphery to as much 393 as 1.7 mm. Rooting appendage traces when separate are typically 0.7 mm or less in diameter, and 394 variable in size mostly due to the amount of secondary xylem present at any particular level. Based on 395 observation of appendage traces followed in total, including those specifically identified above, it appears 396 that many if not all occur within the vascular plexus in recognizable radial zones, (fig. 6 arrows a-b, c-d, 397 e-h), resulting in an imbricate pattern of widening divergence in the basipetal direction to the surface (fig. 3 sections 3f-6f, sections 3f-8b, sections 3f-12b respectively). 398

399 More internal rooting appendage traces

Further toward the center of the trunk at apical levels are additional vascular bundles 0.2 mm or less in diameter, with obviously lesser amounts of secondary xylem (fig. 6*A*-*C* arrows i-k). These bundles follow a similar pattern of widening basipetal divergence (figs. 7*A*-*D*, 8*A*) as appendage traces (fig. 3 *3f*-*15f*), supplying yet more rooting appendage compressions on the nodule surface ca. 2/3 of the way from tip to base. Due to their location in the specimen, preservation is sufficiently complete at some levels to allow recognition of an extensive set of traces comprising partial arcs to a near complete whorl of traces 406 (figs. 3 *7f-9f*, 7*A* arrows j, k, \bigstar). The course of one rooting appendage trace of this set is figured in more 407 detail here (figs. 6-8 all arrows k). In this instance, following the trace toward the base it first 408 dichotomizes (figs. 6*B*-*C*, 7*A*-*B*, *E*-*G*), followed distally by apparent fusion with outer appendage traces 409 due to envelopment by secondary xylem (fig. 7*D*,*H*), and ultimate departure as rooting appendage 410 compressions at the surface (fig. 8*A*).

Following from midway in the permineralization to the base, at least three additional imbricate sets of vascular bundles comprising partial arcs or complete whorls of rooting appendage traces are recognized. These can be followed for some distances (figs. 3-4 *sections* 6f-17f, *sections* 15b-21Rb, *sections* 19Rf-24Rb) and also show lax distal departure with each set of traces in cones expanding toward the base. All traces diverge to the surface basipetally and continue as arcuate compressions (fig. 8A-D arrows l-o) or in the basal levels of the permineralization as possible poorly preserved complete rooting appendages in transverse to oblique section (fig. 5E, K arrows).

418 At ca. $\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2}$ distance from apical to basal end in the specimen (fig. 8A-D) the vascular plexus observed 419 acropetally has become modified to the extent that radially elongate ribs are no longer apparent in this 420 region. In addition, the diameter of the trunk has expanded considerably indicating that observations are 421 clearly within the bulbous base of the trunk as observed in both impression and permineralized nodule. 422 With this, the geometry of the vascular system, especially the relationship of rooting appendage traces to 423 surface of the trunk, also seems to be different. Most notably in the more acropetal of the three imbricate 424 cones of traces mentioned above (fig. 8A-D arrows l-n) appendage traces are recognized proximately as small circular to elliptical strands. These show distal dichotomies in the manner described above. 425 426 However, approximately midway from trunk center to the periphery groups of rooting appendage traces 427 become enveloped in significant amounts of secondary xylem, although still allowing for their separate 428 identification (fig. 8E-F, 9A). This occurrence is potentially comparable with the vascular plexus 429 association of strands observed acropetally, although here the traces are greatly reduced in amount of 430 fusion and the possible equivalents to xylem ribs are now oriented parallel to the trunk surface (fig. 8B

arrows 1-m, *E-F* arrows 1). Nevertheless, primary and secondary xylem tracheids remain oriented
longitudinally. Beyond this level moving toward the base, appendage traces with secondary xylem again
separate into individual strands with most showing additional dichotomies (fig. 8*C-D* arrows 1-n, 9*A-D*arrows n), possibly more numerous here than observed acropetally. In one instance, an appendage trace
division is observed in which one of the resultant traces is oblique and reaches the trunk surface
considerably above the others (fig. 9*B* right most arrow n).

437 The remaining two arcs to whorls of rooting appendage traces comprising widening cones basipetally

438 (figs. 3-4 sections 15b-21Rb, sections 19Rf-24Rb) follow much the same pattern of origination nearer the

439 expanded trunk base center, bifurcations, and divergence to the outer surface base as described above.

440 The basal-most set is observed in both transverse and longitudinal sections (fig. 5D-E, H-K) confirming

the lax nature of appendage trace divergence. Only one example is illustrated in detail here (figs. 8B-D

442 arrows o, 9*E-I* arrows o). At the basal end of the permineralization representing the trunk base, rooting

443 appendage traces are observed in oblique to transverse views (figs. 5J-K arrows, 10C-D) in addition to

444 arcuate compressions along lateral surfaces. Remnants of the xylem occur within apparently

individualized appendages (fig. 10*D*), but with uncertain configuration due to poor preservation.

446 Histological details and developmental interpretations

447 For the sake of brevity, the following paragraph pairs consist of additional observations followed

immediately by interpretations especially as both may relate to the developmental perspective we present

449 below. We acknowledge that other interpretations remain possible.

450 In transverse section, the primary xylem of rooting appendage traces consists of variable numbers of

451 tracheids with the smallest cells located toward the center (fig. 7E-F, 8E-F, 10B). All tracheids are quite

- 452 small ranging between 17-30µm in transverse view, with protoxylem versus metaxylem not distinguished
- 453 in either transverse or longitudinal sections. In several instances, appendage traces are observed to divide

454 once to several times as they follow an outward course basipetally over considerable longitudinal distance
455 without noticeable disruption of adjacent tissues.

Interpretation: This suggests development of the strands in a manner more-or-less similar to appendicular
appendages in lignophytes, but in the basipetal direction. This apparently contrasts with endogenous
initiation of roots seen in modern examples, typically involving noticeable disruption of primary tissues.
However, two examples of appendage trace initiation mentioned above (e.g., fig. 10*C-D*) may be
exceptions to the general pattern.

461 As described above, several rooting appendage traces within the acropetal region of the specimen can be 462 followed from more internal parts of the permineralization into the vascular plexus, with individuality of 463 individual traces recognizable (figs. 6A-C, 7A-D). However, within radially elongate bundles in this 464 region, primary xylem sometimes appears to comprise more-or-less continuous bands running along 465 midplanes of the ribs (fig. 10A). Close inspection, however, shows that these tracheids are flattened 466 normal to these midplanes. Other vascular bundles often contain more than one loosely defined center of 467 organization comprised of primary xylem (fig. 10B, arrows), with multiple appendage traces giving the 468 bundle an elongate appearance.

Interpretation: Thus, despite uncertainty about the peripheral-most portions of some xylem bundles of the vascular plexus in the acropetal portion of the specimen, existence of primary xylem of a main stem other than rooting appendage traces is not to be supported by current evidence. Instead, the elongate bundle appearance comprised of multiple appendage traces of the vascular plexus seems largely a function of more extensive secondary xylem development sometimes causing compression of the primary xylem.

In most regions of the specimen, the boundary between primary and secondary xylem is indistinct often

475 containing larger cells as much as 45µm in transverse diameter. Secondary xylem proceeds peripherally

476 as a compact tissue of thick-walled tracheids in radial files (figs. 7G-H, 8E-G, 10A-B). Tracheid

477 diameters are 20-40µm in tangential dimension relative to the vascular bundle, but vary in size related to

augmentation of radial files due to apparent tangential divisions. Diminishment of the radial dimension of
tracheids near the outer boundary of the secondary xylem is also commonly observed (fig. *10A-B*). In
many cases the outer boundary of the secondary xylem appears irregular with some separation of tracheid
files toward the bundle periphery, as well as faint indications of files of small cells continuing into
surrounding amorphous pyrite (fig. 10*A-C*).

Interpretation: It is possible that the secondary xylem especially near the periphery of this tissue reflects
the presence of thinner-walled cells serving a function analogous to ray parenchyma in lignophytes.
However, definitive evidence for a thin-walled cells within well-preserved secondary xylem is not
observed.

487 Although a generally compact tissue in transverse section at most levels in the permineralization, 488 longitudinal and very likely oblique views of secondary xylem of rooting appendage traces near the base 489 of the trunk provide a somewhat different view. Here secondary xylem tracheids occur in radial files but 490 appear much more variable and often larger in diameter. In addition, these cells sometimes contrast 491 sharply with the primary xylem in orientation (fig. $10C_{,E}$). In these circumstances, it remains unclear 492 whether the secondary xylem tracheids are in fact elongate or instead much shorter. Bordered pitting is 493 observed in primary and secondary xylem in both transverse and longitudinal tracheid orientations (fig. 494 10E). Pits are circular to transversely elongate with elliptical apertures, and uniseriate to multiseriate on 495 wall faces depending on the size of tracheid observed. Protoxylem wall thickenings are not observed.

496 Interpretation: Unusually shaped tracheids in the basal region are possibly organized to perform a 497 function analogous to transfusion tissue (Beck 2010). This in turn may relate to a difference in function -498 structural support and longitudinal transport in regions of well-formed vascular plexus in more acropetal 499 regions versus absorptive functions of rooting appendage xylem closer to the trunk basal surface.

Surrounding the secondary xylem throughout the permineralization is a mostly amorphous band
 comprised of crystalline pyrite and organic cell fragments, suggesting lack of preservation of primary or

secondary phloem, and possibly also some physical separation between appendage traces or entire rooting appendages within surrounding tissues. In acropetal regions of the specimen associated with composite radial bundles of the vascular plexus, amorphous regions are narrow, 0.02-0.3mm wide (fig. 10*A-B*). In basal parts of the specimen, the amorphous pyrite regions surrounding appendage trace xylem are greatly expanded (fig. 10*C-D*).

507 Interpretation: The continuation of cell fragments in rows from secondary xylem into these regions 508 suggests presence of secondary phloem, at least in part, although evidence for a bifacial vascular 509 cambium is not observed. Basal expansion of amorphous regions may in fact represent entire rooting 510 appendages within, or departing from, the base of the trunk. Lack of preservation of tissues surrounding 511 the xylem in these regions may suggest thin-walled cells of low preservation potential, or that rooting 512 appendages were in part hollow. The large regions of amorphous pyrite might also suggest possible 513 disruption of ground/cortical tissues in this region due to a more endogenous-style development of entire 514 rooting appendages.

Several rooting appendage traces were followed in the proximal and acropetal direction toward the center of the trunk base as far as possible. In one example shown here (fig. 11*A-E*), typical of the situation encountered, secondary xylem typically diminishes to the point where only primary xylem remains (fig. 11*A-C* arrows). Beyond that, primary xylem becomes indistinguishable (fig. 11*D-E* arrows), although in several instances the amorphous zone surrounding known traces remains recognizable for a further distance acropetally. In no instance in the specimen is there any evidence of a central vascular system in the trunk base to which individual appendage traces connect.

Interpretation: The conclusion we reach is that tracheid wall maturation ceases proximally in the rooting appendage traces. If true, then it may be hypothesized, admittedly with scant evidence, that the amorphous zones shown to be in continuity with appendage traces acropetally represents locations of provascular tissue that end blindly within the non-vascular center of the specimen. 526 Ground tissue aerenchyma especially in the better-preserved peripheral zone noted above (fig. 5B arrows 527 a) exhibits a conspicuous gradient following this tissue from apical regions of the permineralization 528 toward the base (fig. 11*F-H*). At acropetal levels, the aerenchyma consists of isodiametric cells with 529 rounded or angular profiles, 35-100µm in transverse diameter, organized in a loose arrangement including 530 irregularly shaped intercellular spaces (fig. 11F). Cell walls are relatively thick, with thinner walls 531 observed close to vascular bundles and toward the center of the trunk. Proceeding toward the base of the 532 specimen, cell wall thicknesses decrease and compactness of the tissue increases, as observed in both 533 transverse and longitudinal views (fig. 11G-H). In addition, cell contours typically become much more 534 irregular in shape.

Interpretation: In longitudinal section in the basal region of the specimen (figs. *5I-K*, 11*H*), there is little
evidence of organization, such as cells in longitudinal files, as might normally be expected of a primary
body derived from a discrete apical meristem. Instead, disorder in this tissue with cell walls suggesting
less structural integrity indicates to us the presence of substantial proliferation of tissue produced by
diffuse meristematic activity in the ground tissue regions cutting off cells in multiple directions.
Although not restricted to a readily apparent meristematic zone, we suspect that the expanded diameter of
the trunk base of the plant is related to this form of meristematic activity.

542 Discussion

Although the compression portion of the specimen described here is incomplete, sufficient evidence exists 543 544 for a main axis or trunk, an expanded base with attached rooting appendages, and an aerial portion 545 bearing bases of lateral branches. This matches architecture previously observed in the 546 Pseudosporochnales and combined with apparent cladoxylopsid anatomy we think this allows reasonably 547 confident assignment to the group. Moreover, placement of this individual with *Eospermatopteris* 548 (Wattieza), Pseudosporochnus and Lorophyton within the Pseudosporochnales appears more likely, as 549 opposed to *Calamophyton* – the later having abscission of branches leaving discrete stubs on the stem and 550 "an apparently flattened basal disk" (Giesen and Berry 2013). Although the overall size of the new plant

is somewhat larger than *Lorophyton*, in our opinion the two together provide compelling complementary
views of a likely early stage in development of tree form within the group.

553 The unique contribution this specimen provides is cellular preservation of the trunk base showing 554 preserved cellular features including mode of production of its rooting system. At this apparent stage in 555 development, there seems to be little support for the presence of an extensive "root mantle", nor is there 556 evidence for obconical growth of the primary body. Instead, a "bipolar" organization for this plant is 557 indicated, potentially applicable to all pseudosporochnaleans, consisting of a basal expanded trunk 558 showing rooting appendage trace initiation in the basipetal direction, an aerial shoot with lateral branch 559 production acropetally, and a shoot to base transition zone between them. As employed here, the term 560 "bipolar" is intended to suggest probable organization of pseudosporochnaleans as having a juvenile 561 upright stance with no sign of an earlier developmental stage involving the rhizomatous habit. Of course, 562 it remains entirely possible that such a "monopodial" stage may have existed. However, preserved tissues 563 in our specimen provides no evidence for this, nor is there evidence of any kind within 564 pseudosporochnaleans to support such a view. Thus, given the current state of our knowledge it seems 565 parsimonious to postulate that what we observe is fundamental to pseudosprochnalean architecture, 566 especially as it relates to attainment of large size from significantly smaller individuals. In addition, the 567 rooting system described here appears unique in organization among known Devonian plant groups.

568 Pseudosporochnalean rooting system as sui generis organ?

569 As mentioned in the Introduction, DiMichele et al. (2022) offered a similar "*sui generis*" (= unique)

570 proposal to cover the rhizomorph rooting organ of stigmarian isoetaleans. From our reading, they based

571 their argument on two claims: (1) single origin of rhizomorph rooting function within lycopsids based

572 upon current understanding of phylogeny, and (2) branching at an early stage in sporophyte

573 embryogenesis allowing identification of the rhizomorph as a separate plant organ. The second claim

574 certainly lies within botanical tradition where early developmental features, especially embryos enclosed

575 within seeds of seed plants, are often viewed as significant in determining polar orientation and basic

576 organography. However, with the increasing recognition that developmental pathways often occur as 577 functional modules in different developmental contexts, simply referring to temporal order in an 578 individual's ontogeny may not be all that reliable. Moreover, recognizing that many developmental 579 pathways likely predate the plant groups and developmental contexts in which they are observed (i.e., 580 "deep homology" in Shubin et al. 1997), this further complicates assessment of fundamental 581 organography. (We note that DiMichele et al. give an example of this when citing similarities in gene 582 expression between stigmarian rootlets and roots outside this group thereby suggesting a fundamental 583 difference between leaves and rootlets within stigmarians). So, how should the *sui generis* formulation be 584 interpreted? We suggest that it is informed conjecture based upon available evidence, nothing more, but 585 certainly nothing less. As a result, it seems that other conjectures (such as the rhizomorph being the first 586 division of the embryonic axis as previously proposed by Rothwell et al. 2014 based on similar evidence) 587 might also be viable and have some weight. Thus, until issues of developmental process are more fully 588 worked out, it might not be possible to reliably decide between rival claims of this type. It may turn out 589 that the answer for stigmarians will be a mixture of the two ideas, and similar results may apply to other 590 groups as well.

591 So, are the different conjectures useful? Here we see an important difference. Whereas the earlier claim 592 carries with it the hypothesis of direct correspondence and potential homology between components of 593 the aerial shoot and rhizomorph, DiMichele et al.'s assertion of *sui generis* does not, or at least not 594 necessarily. While acknowledging that the aerial shoot and rhizomorph in these plants likely share 595 underlying genetics and some developmental processes, focus is squarely placed on observed rhizomorph 596 features and evolutionary patterns within the "*sui generis*" organ itself.

597 Applying the *sui generis* concept to the juvenile pseudosporochnalean entire rooting organ described here,

598 and parsimoniously interpreted, similarly allows direct analysis of this organ without having to

599 incorporate prior expectations based upon supposed correspondence or homology outside, or even inside,

600 this group. We recommend doing this as an interim measure until relevant developmental and

comparative data become more complete. Nevertheless, we acknowledge that application of this concept
to pseudosporochnaleans is quite different from that in stigmarians. Obviously, the evidence base for
pseudosporochnaleans is far less extensive, and as a result our understanding of phylogeny is less secure.
Added to this is current uncertainty about the appropriate circumscription of pseudosporochnalean taxa
(such as including or excluding *Calamophyton* and potentially other cladoxylopsid taxa) to which this *sui generis* concept should be applied.

607 However, given a *sui generis* interpretation for the rooting system of pseudosporochnaleans, what 608 consequences might this entail? For one, this approach permits consideration of tissue and organ 609 organization, such as here with vascular plexus and rooting appendage, specifically within the unique 610 context of the pseudosporochnalean rooting system, without becoming entangled in phylogenetic and 611 terminological difficulties involving comparisons with other groups. To be clear, such comparisons are 612 important. However, given our current knowledge this is clearly premature, and the best thing we can do 613 is state the more limited context in which our terms are employed. Future comparisons may ultimately 614 reveal, for instance, that the vascular plexus as defined above should be interpreted in a more 615 comprehensive way. Likewise, the term "root" as traditionally employed within pseudosporochnaleans 616 may be given a satisfactory reinterpretation in line with roots observed in later plant groups.

617 What about "roots"?

618 In the review process, we have been advised to adopt the term "rooting appendages" as opposed to the 619 more traditional "roots" for pseudosporochnalean structures that can be reasonably interpreted as 620 exhibiting rooting function. This function may be inferred from their position within the 621 pseudosporochnalean "bipolar" body plan combined with existing evidence that these appendages occur 622 within entire rooting systems preserved in paleosols (Stein et al. 2012, 2020, 2021). The intent, of course, 623 is to avoid conflation of rooting function with the anatomical or developmental features in better-known 624 plants, such as especially represented by extant dicot angiosperms. Certainly, this distinction needs to be 625 made since failure to do so engenders two related fallacies: 1) assuming that pseudosporochnalean rooting 626 appendages actually exhibit some or all features homologous with modern roots, and 2) requiring that the 627 fossil record of rooting appendages in pseudosporochnaleans, not to mention other Devonian fossil 628 groups, must necessarily show some or all of these features to satisfy interpretation of their rooting 629 function. Regarding the first, beyond anatomical material presented in this report all of what we know 630 within pseudosporochnaleans is based on compression evidence. This includes attached rooting 631 appendages to bases in several instances (Lorophyton, Eospermatopteris, Calamophyton). Combining 632 this with anatomically preserved roots showing stellate and exarch protosteles within root mantles in 633 *Pietzschia* and *Xinicaulis* might supply a composite picture. In both, however, we caution that there are 634 observed differences in anatomy between root traces within the trunk base versus stelar architecture of independent roots in these potentially related taxa. As a result, comparison with the "appendage traces" 635 636 described in this report remains uncertain.

Regarding the second fallacy mentioned above, it is clear that much remains to be resolved in assessing 637 638 phylogeny, especially involving features often associated with roots within seed plants, other lignophytes, 639 and fossil or living non-lignophytes. In our opinion, it seems highly unlikely that root caps, exarch 640 primary xylem, and endogenous development in extant plants all originated as a single innovation. Thus, 641 it is clear that the phylogeny of plants exhibiting some or all of these features needs to be more fully 642 worked out before any can reasonably be applied as evidential prerequisites for remote Devonian groups. 643 In fact, these fossil groups may end up playing a pivotal part in unravelling the actual pattern of 644 acquisition of these features (Hetherington & Dolan 2018, Hetherington et al. 2020). In our opinion, 645 however, much work remains to be done especially in formulating cladistic characters that have a 646 defensible developmental basis. On the other hand, and respecting sui generis, it may turn out that 647 rooting appendages with traces forming a vascular plexus within pseudosporochnaleans was a unique 648 innovation restricted to this group. We need to allow for this possibility as well.

So, for the sake of clarity what terminology should researchers employ for rooting structures especially
within problematic Devonian groups? Here we make a modest suggestion recognizing different

651 approaches may also be valid. Perhaps, it might be best to restrict the term "root" to refer explicitly to 652 rooting function as is at least one of its traditional meanings. Within pseudosporochnaleans, "rooting 653 appendage" then becomes "root" and we must be careful to distinguish trunk "base" from "root" in this 654 functional sense. However, when treating comparisons within or across groups it also seems useful to 655 give the rooting system's inferred phylogenetic context as in "pseudosporochnalean root" or "lignophyte 656 root", as the case may be. In addition, unique terminology might also be employed in specific cases as in 657 "rhizomorph" and "rootlet" as currently restricted to rhizomorphic lycopsids. Furthermore, we can 658 readily see useful extension of this approach to other features that often provide terminological confusion, 659 such as with "pseudosporochnalean secondary xylem" or "lignophyte secondary xylem" (Stein 1987).

660 Visualizing pseudosporochnalean architecture

661 An important consequence of adopting sui generis as an interim stance, is the freedom to develop 662 hypotheses of complete-plant development specifically tailored to pseudosporochnaleans that are 663 conspicuously at risk of being overturned by further evidence. To do this, we suggest some ideas in this 664 and the following sections employing a "strong inference" approach (Platt 1964, see also Stein and 665 Hueber 1989 for a specific example within pseudosporochnaleans). This necessarily involves visualizing 666 associations or processes that reach beyond demonstration with currently available evidence, and stands 667 in stark contrast to adopting a non-committal perspective and terminology that may confer a sense of 668 safety but says little.

Independently derived rooting systems as seen in seed plants and stigmarians are typically organized around a well-defined apical meristem functioning in positive geotropic orientation. The activity of these meristems is often recognizable in mature tissues, especially in angiosperm roots, by the longitudinal columns of cells produced by serial cell divisions near the apex. In young pseudosporochnaleans, as indicated by this specimen however, it seems that growth may not have functioned in this way. Instead, cells with fewer intercellular spaces and thinner cell walls toward the basal portion of the trunk suggests less maturity of tissues in that direction. Multiple shapes and evident lack of organization of cells in these 676 tissues leads us to suspect the presence of meristematic thickening, but not in well-defined meristematic 677 zones or regions. In addition, a well-defined primary body consisting of a central vascular column and 678 mature cortical tissues seen in other groups also seems to be absent. Instead, rooting appendage traces in 679 successive partial arcs or full whorls become apparent near the center of the trunk and follow lax 680 imbricate courses through aerenchymatous tissue basipetally (fig. 12). The whole pattern of rooting 681 appendage production is thus highly suggestive of a positively geotropic body with appendicular 682 appendage development. If true, then xylem maturation within rooting appendage traces may have 683 proceeded from the surface toward the inside of the trunk perhaps in a way similar to that seen in seed 684 plant aerial appendicular systems. From all this, it is possible to imagine continued meristematic behavior 685 within the basal region of the plant contributing to indefinite increase in size of the trunk base as well as 686 continued rooting appendage initiation (see below).

687 At the other end of the preserved specimen, at a level closer to the aerial stem of the plant, other features 688 of our specimen may be informative. Encountered here is part of a vascular system with radially directed 689 primary xylem ribs plus smaller bundles we term "vascular plexus" above. Although primary xylem is 690 comparatively limited, there is much more extensive development of radially aligned tracheids 691 comprising secondary xylem analogous to that observed in lignophytes. What is striking, however, is that 692 this vascular configuration, appearing like what one might normally expect of branch vasculature within 693 the Devonian pseudosporochnaleans (e.g., Stein and Hueber 1989), and indeed how we originally 694 interpreted this tissue, is instead almost entirely comprised of individual rooting appendage traces each 695 following a lax distal course basipetally and outward to the periphery of the trunk. The only possible 696 exceptions to this are the most peripheral strands of the vascular plexus apparently bearing superficial 697 appendage traces in the most acropetal region of the specimen. Unfortunately, however, identity of these 698 outer strands either as rooting appendage traces or cauline bundles cannot be definitely determined. The 699 overall impression one receives is that we probably have not reached entirely cauline portions of the trunk 700 in our permineralization. Evidence from the larger compression of *Eospermatopteris* at South Mountain

(Stein et al. 2007) indicates a change in vascularization between upper regions of the trunk showing 701 702 discrete longitudinal carbonaceous strands versus a more reticulate pattern observed over portions of the 703 expanded base. Similar patterns are also evident on *Eospermatopteris* casts (see Xu et al. 2017, fig. 1). 704 Therefore, it seems possible, perhaps even likely, that a change in vascularization acropetally perhaps to 705 something developmentally antecedent to that seen in *Xinicaulis* (excluding the latter's root mantle) might 706 be expected in both juvenile and more mature individuals. Unfortunately, this cannot be confirmed with 707 any anatomical evidence from pseudosporochnaleans so far. Thus, how the vascular transition from trunk 708 base bearing the rooting system to aerial branch-bearing trunk is configured and subsequently develops to 709 large size remains an open question.

710 Possible interpretation of Xenocladia

711 The fact that the vascular plexus in the acropetal region of our specimen supports interpretation as a 712 closely associated series of rooting appendage traces suggests to us that not all apparent cladoxylopsid 713 anatomy is necessarily cauline (i.e., occurring within the main aerial axis = trunk or its branches). This in 714 turn invites re-appraisal of other material, especially from the New York region, that might be similarly 715 interpreted. In this context, we consider the genus *Xenocladia* as originally described by Arnold (1940, 716 1952) from Erie County, western New York State. Two specimens are known consisting of pyrite-calcite 717 permineralized tissue fragments. The 1940 specimen came from the Leicester (formerly Tully) Pyrite 718 interpreted to be an anaerobic pyritic lag deposit associated with the unconformity between the Hamilton 719 and Genesee Groups. The latter marks the late Givetian Taghanic marine transgression within the 720 Appalachian basin (Baird and Brett 1986,1991). The more extensively preserved 1952 specimen was 721 collected from the Ludlowville Formation at a horizon not precisely determined but estimated to be 15m 722 (50ft) below the Leicester Pyrite at Caenovia Creek, hamlet of Springbrook, NY. Both occurrences 723 contain plant fragments presumably drifted from emergent land nearby to the north and west, or the 724 Catskill Delta complex further to the east.

In the 1952 specimen (fig. 13*A-B*), outer tissue regions of a sizeable plant are preserved with the stem originally estimated to have been 10cm or more in diameter (Arnold 1952). Elongate xylem bundles lie perpendicular to the curved outer boundary of the specimen and adjacent to many more irregular bundles located toward the inside (fig. 13*B*). All show significant development of secondary xylem (the original defining feature of the genus). The 1940 specimen lacks evidence of an outer boundary and shows fewer bundles, but exhibits more extensive secondary xylem (Arnold 1940).

731 If tissue fragments of *Xenocladia* represent a vascular plexus of rooting appendage traces similar to that 732 interpreted in our specimen, but from a much larger individual, then it is clear that this system has greatly 733 increased from the presumed juvenile condition as represented by our specimen, with rooting appendage 734 traces much more complexly configured. We suggest that this might be the expected consequence of 735 growth to much larger body size within some pseudosporochnalean, notably coeval Eospermatopteris in 736 New York. If comparison is extended to *Eospermatopteris* compressions and casts, then this tissue seems 737 likely to be represented, at least in part, by the carbonaceous strands, probably xylem, in outer portions of 738 the base casts (fig. 13C-D). Thus, much of this tissue might also represent portions of a vascular plexus 739 consisting fundamentally of rooting appendage vascularization in both development and function. 740 However even if true, just how this tissue is structured and augmented by new rooting appendages during 741 continued enlargement of the base remains an open question. We note here that larger *Eospermatopteris* 742 casts typically contain thicker strands, suggesting increased secondary xylem over time. The 1940 743 specimen of *Xenocladia* might represent this condition within a vascular plexus, although a cauline 744 vascular system of the trunk such as seen within the *Xinicaulis* remains a viable alternative. New 745 specimens showing more complete examples of *Xenocladia* tissues would be helpful, especially from the 746 geographic region where originally found. In the meantime, caution is indicated in assigning specimens 747 to Xenocladia based upon the presence of secondary xylem alone, but greatly differing otherwise in 748 vascular configuration. It is also important to recognize that there are likely to be important differences

within pseudosporochnaleans in vascular anatomy between trunk base bearing rooting appendages, aerial
trunk, and modular lateral branches produced from the latter.

751 Visualizing juvenile to mature growth in pseudosporochnaleans

752 The presence of secondary xylem in the vascular plexus and elsewhere in the specimen suggests 753 important involvement of this tissue in the physiology of the juvenile plant, likely increasing in 754 importance during subsequent development to large size. Clearly the need for vascular supply to aerial 755 apex, crown branches, and ever-lengthening trunk must be paramount in a "bipolar" body plan of this 756 type. However, lack of a weight-bearing central column consisting of xylem in the rooting appendage 757 bearing base region, as is commonly encountered in other groups, would seem to represent a structural 758 problem. We suggest that the vascular plexus instead served this function not only in vascular supply to 759 the aerial shoot, but also in accommodating increasing diameter of the trunk and overall structural 760 support.

761 Previous work on Xinicaulis (Xu et al. 2017), provided the suggestion that development to large size in 762 this plant involved diffuse meristematic growth in tissues enveloping the vascular system possibly 763 analogous to thickening development in palms although not within discrete meristematic regions. In 764 addition, even though secondary xylem is produced in *Xinicaulis* most notably in the largest vascular 765 bundles of the trunk, secondary xylem by itself seemed insufficient for the structural support for large 766 Xinicaulis or Eospermatopteris trees without formation of a hollow pith region, the latter to reduce water-767 filled biomass overall. As a result, a combination of diffuse meristematic growth with progressive 768 hollowing out in the center was previously proposed for both genera along with widening the base 769 possibly due to structural failure under increasing aerial load.

Our observations of numerous *Eospermatopteris* specimens certainly support the conclusion that these are
 internal casts of a hollow trunk and base. A conspicuous reticulate pattern of compressed carbonaceous
 strands, probably xylem, occurs in continuity on the flat under surface of the cast, around its lateral edges,

and continuing upward along the sides of the trunk especially in regions of extended diameter (figs. 11*I*, 13*C-D*). In addition, it is interesting to note that nearly every flat undersurface of *Eospermatopteris* casts analyzed to date shows a curious region at its geographic center in which the pattern of carbonaceous strands is either absent or significantly less distinct (fig. 11*I* arrow, Xu et al. 2017, their fig. 1*A*).

These observations allow for fleshing out a developmental model based on observations detailed here that
is tentatively applicable to *Eospermatopteris* and other pseudosprochnaleans (fig. 14). First, it should be
noted that the base in our small permineralized specimen with continuous ground tissue, and also in *Lorophyton* compressions, are essentially similar in shape to the much larger casts of *Eospermatopteris*.
This suggests close to self-similarity was maintained with increasing size related to diffuse meristematic
growth both basipetally and laterally within the base region (fig. 14, gray pattern). We suspect that
formation of a hollow center in larger trunks, and possibly also some longitudinal structural failure,

played a role in base expansion in response to increasing aerial load over time, as suggested previously.

785 Second, if pseudosporochnalean growth to large size proceeded from the "bipolar" organization seen 786 here, then it seems likely that the pattern of development observed in our specimen was maintained and 787 subsequently elaborated during subsequent growth. However, continued development implies new 788 production of rooting appendages among the older appendages in some way, either with vascularization 789 ending proximally near the hollow trunk center as in our specimen (fig. 14, arrows a), or adventitiously 790 nearer the trunk surface (fig. 14, arrow b), or both. In *Eospermatopteris*, it seems reasonable to imagine 791 continuation of general diffuse meristematic activity in ground/cortical tissues within the laterally 792 extended base and in the aerial trunk, with new rooting appendage vascularization maturing acropetally in 793 appendicular fashion influenced by young appendage apices at or near outer surface. If so, then 794 *Eospermatopteris* casts of the trunk or base center likely preserve part of this pattern but probably not all 795 of it. Observations of *Eospermatopteris* rooting system mounds and *in situ* casts from in the classic 796 Gilboa region (Stein et al. 2021), show many attached rooting appendages diverging directly downward 797 from flattened trunk bases suggesting vigorous appendage development near the base center in large

individuals (fig. 14, arrow c). In addition, many appendages are observed near the extremity of the
expanded base (fig. 14, arrow d) suggesting vigorous production in these regions also.

800 A third point concerns the fact that presumed vascular strands near the periphery in larger 801 Eospermatopteris casts must certainly be laterally displaced from positions occupied by similar strands in 802 smaller casts, presumably representing younger individuals. In addition, transverse sections of larger 803 casts indicate not just greater size of individual bundles, likely due to continued secondary xylem 804 development, but also greater total volume and complexity of vascular tissue occupying a greater radial 805 depth (fig. 13C-D. Boyer 1995) than possible in smaller specimens. Augmentation of these tissues with 806 increased body size is therefore indicated. Moreover, rooting appendages observed on the surface of 807 larger *Eospermatopteris* casts occur far above the level possible in self-similar smaller individuals. 808 Consequently, we suggest that much, if not all, of the anastomosing system of vascular strands observed 809 on the base of large *Eospermatopteris* casts may constitute further development of the vascular plexus, 810 and that most if not all of this is continuous rooting appendage initiation and further development in this 811 sui generis organ. Figure 14 represents a greatly simplified view of this process in what is actually 812 observed to be a very complex tissue.

With continued expansion of the trunk base, it is envisioned that more internal parts of the vascular plexus would become less functional and likely displaced or lost due to expansion of the hollow center over time. Since *Eospermatopteris* lacked a solid cylinder of secondary xylem at its base, the structural and functional role of an expanding vascular plexus with new rooting appendage initiation supplemented by continued development of secondary xylem seems critical. This expanding interconnected network of strands, defining and to significant degree constraining the shape of the trunk base would seemingly be required to sustain load and vascular demands of an increasingly distant aerial crown.

820 Taxonomic disposition

821 Evidence and rationale that this specimen represents heretofore unknown anatomy and significant stage in 822 the early development of tree architecture within pseudosporochnaleans is presented above. The closest 823 known taxon in terms of size, and overall form is certainly *Lorophyton*, offering a nearly complementary 824 fit. However, the overlap of meaningful diagnostic characters, notably morphology of lateral branches 825 with appendages seen in Lorophyton versus internal anatomy observed in the new specimen, is limited. 826 We also recognize that assignment of our specimen to the genus *Lorophyton* has potential 827 paleogeographic implications, especially if generic names are read superficially as location markers. 828 Moreover, distinguishing the juvenile condition of Pseudosporochnus in Europe from 829 *Eospermatopteris/Wattieza* in North America based on compression evidence may ultimately prove to be 830 important. As a result, we propose linking this specimen to co-occurring *Eospermatopteris* trees in the 831 Catskill region of New York with possible extension to Xenocladia found in marine sediments further 832 west. As in all of paleobotany, the name proposed here hypothesizes an entire plant based on an 833 incomplete set of diagnostic features. Our goal is toward synthesis that resists proliferation of names 834 especially at the generic level. Accordingly, we place our specimen within the genus *Eospermatopteris* as 835 the new species *E. iuvenis*. This approach provides a convenient means to refer to the specimen by name 836 while at the same time reflecting our current thinking about its juvenile condition, most probably of 837 Eospermatopteris erianus in North America.
- 838 Systematics
- 839 Class Cladoxylopsida Pichi-Sermolli 1959
- 840 Order **Pseudosporochnales** Emberger 1944
- 841 Genus *Eospermatopteris* Goldring 1924

842 *Eospermatopteris iuvenis* sp. nov.

- 843 **Etymology**. Latin adjective meaning "youth", used here to describe youthful *Eospermatopteris*.
- **Diagnosis**. Upright plant with main trunk, aerial branches in alternate arrangement, expanded base
- bearing rooting appendages. Rooting appendages arising at multiple levels on expanded trunk base,
- appressed to the trunk acropetally, descending directly from the base below. Vascular system of base
- 847 consisting entirely of rooting appendage traces arising near center of trunk, proceeding laterally and
- basipetally. Rooting appendage traces with primary xylem commonly enveloped in secondary xylem.
- 849 Primary xylem compact, comprised of tracheids only. Secondary xylem tracheids in radial files without
- 850 vascular rays. Ground tissue arenchymatous, with cell walls thinning toward the base.
- Type locality. New York State Department of Environmental Conservation quarry, northwest slope of
 South Mountain, Schoharie County, New York (42° 239' N, 74° 169' W).
- 853 Stratigraphy. Oneonta Formation, Genessee Group, Upper Givetian to Lower Frasnian, Devonian.
- Holotype. Type specimen main slab assigned NYSM 19,312, with prepared slides here numbered #1-24
 assigned NYSM numbers 19,312.1-24 respectively, and slides here numbered #1L-7L assigned NYSM
- 856 numbers 19,312.35-40 respectively).

857 Conclusion

- 858 At the present time, a coherent interpretation of juvenile form and subsequent development to large size
- in pseudosporochnaleans may be emerging. The three developmental points mentioned above seem to
- add significantly to at least *Eospermatopteris*, *Pseudosporochnus* and *Lorophyton*, and might also apply
- to Calamophyton and Xinicaulis, but probably not to Pietzschia. Whether our visualizations stand up to
- 862 further evidence remains to be seen. However, it is becoming increasingly clear that it is important to
- 863 conceive the problem beyond the traditional confines and terminology offered by the telome theory
- 864 (Zimmermann 1952) or hypothesized developmental processes focused on aerial shoots alone (Stein &

865 Bover 2006). Instead, what is needed is recognition of the fact that pseudosporochnaleans, and probably most Devonian vascular plants, were far more sophisticated in architecture and integrated in their 866 867 development than envisioned by traditional telomic processes. In going beyond the telome theory we 868 suggest that an important principle in plant development, yet to be fully exploited in fossil plant 869 reconstructions must be something along the lines of **pay as you grow** (adapted from the very old 870 expression "pay as you go"). By this we mean that in order to survive in the terrestrial environment 871 vascular plants must always have functioned as a coordinated system of apical, lateral, and modular 872 growth intimately tied with equally dynamic development of an acquisition system providing adequate 873 structural support along with water and nutrient supply. In the earliest vascular plants, perhaps 874 represented by those in the Rhynie chert, a continuously developing rhizome bearing determinate and 875 disposable aerial shoots and supplied by continuous acropetal production of rhizoids or adventitious 876 rootlets might have been sufficient to sustain life (Kenrick and Strullu-Derrien 2014, Hetherington and 877 Dolan 2018). However, with increasing size of the plant body in Middle Devonian pseudosporochnaleans 878 it seems almost certain that "pay as you grow" became far more acute. We suggest that despite their 879 remoteness in age and uncertainty about phylogenetic relationships, the opportunity now exists to 880 consider these earliest trees, more fully in this light. Especially important, we believe, is an attempt to 881 integrate both aspects of their "bipolar" body. This in turn leads to analyzing fragmentary evidence, such 882 as seen in *Xenocladia*, with a more unified approach.

To date, several developmental models for pseudosporochnaleans have been offered involving different taxa, different forms of preservation, divergent perspectives, and potentially divergent results (Berry and Fairon-Demaret 2002, Meyer-Berthaud et al. 2010, Giesen and Berry 2013, Xu et al. 2017). All models, including a new one advanced here, involve substantial extrapolation from what actually has been observed so far. In our opinion, this is essential in determining where conflicts between views actually lie and providing a testable means to decide between them. New data directly incorporating external morphology with internal anatomy will ultimately be required to develop a more unified and definitive

- 890 model. Especially important, we believe, will be direct information relating behavior of meristematic
- tissues and how development proceeds in the critical transition region between rooting appendage
- 892 producing trunk base versus branch producing aerial portions of these plants.

893 Acknowledgments

- 894 Work made possible with assistance from John L. Armitage, the New York State Museum, Albany NY,
- 895 USA, and permission from the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation. CMB's
- 896 work was supported by Natural Environment Research Council Grant NE/J007897/1. For the purpose of
- 897 open access, the authors have applied a Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license to any Author
- 898 Accepted Manuscript version arising. We also thank W DiMichele, AMF Tomescu, and anonymous
- 899 reviewers for very helpful comments.

900

901 Literature Cited

- Algeo TJ, SE Scheckler 1998 Terrestrial-marine teleconnections in the Devonian: links between the
 evolution of land plants, weathering processes, and marine anoxic events. Philos Trans R Soc B
 353:113-130.
- Algeo TJ, SE Scheckler, JB Maynard 2001 Effects of the Middle to Late Devonian spread of vascular
- land plants on weathering regimes, marine biotas, and global climate. Pages 213-236 in PG
- 907 Gensel, D Edwards, eds, Plants Invade the Land: Evolutionary and Environmental
- 908 Consequences. Columbia University Press, New York.
- Arnold CA 1940 Structure and relationships of some Middle Devonian plants from western New York.
 Am J Bot 27: 57-63.
- Arnold CA 1952 Observations of fossil plants from the Devonian of eastern North America VI
 Xenocladia medullosina Arnold. Contr Mus Paleontol Univ Mich 9:297-309.
- Baird GC, CE Brett 1986 Erosion on an anaerobic seafloor: significance of reworked pyrite deposits from
- 914 the Devonian of New York State. Palaeogeogr Palaeoclimatol Palaeoecol 57:157-193.
- Baird GC, CE Brett 1991. Submarine erosion on the anoxic sea floor: statinomic, palaeoenvironmental,
- 916 and temporal significance of reworked pyrite-bone deposits. Pages 233-257 in RV Tyson, TH
- 917 Pearson, eds, Modern and Ancient Continental Shelf Axoxia. Geol Soc Spec Pub 58.
- Banks HP, JD Grierson, PM Bonamo 1985 The flora of the Catskill clastic wedge. Geol Soc Am Spec
 Paper 201:125-141.
- Beck CB 2010. An Introduction to Plant Structure and Development. Cambridge University Press,
 Cambridge UK.

- Beck CB, DC Wight 1988 Progymnosperms. Pages 1-84 *in* CB Beck, ed. Origin and Evolution of
 Gymnosperms. Columbia University Press, New York.
- Beerling DJ, RA Berner 2005 Feedback and the coevolution of plants and atmospheric CO₂. Proc Natl
 Acad Sci USA 102:1302-1305.
- 926 Beerling, DJ 2019 Making Eden. Oxford Univ Press, Oxford UK.
- Berry CM 2000 A reconsideration of *Wattieza* Stockmans (here attributed to Cladoxylopsida) based on a
 new species from the Devonian of Venezuela. Rev Palaeobot Palynol 112:125-146.
- Berry CM 2019 Paleobotany: the rise of the Earth's early forests. Current Biology 29:R790-R807.
- 930 Berry CM, M Fairon-Demaret 1997 A reinvestigation of the cladoxylopsid Pseudosporochnus nodosus

931 Leclercq et Banks from the Middle Devonian of Goé, Belgium. Int J Plant Sci 158:350-372.

- Berry CM, M Fairon-Demaret 2002 The architecture of *Pseudosporochnus nodosus* Leclercq et Banks: a
 Middle Devonian Cladoxylopsid from Belgium. Int J Plant Sci 163:699-713.
- Berry CM, WE Stein 2000 A new Iridopteridalean from the Devonian of Venezuela. Int J Plant Sci
 161:807-827.
- Berry CM, WE Stein, J Cordi 2022 A new reconstruction of the iridopteridalean *Ibyka amphikoma* Skog
 et Banks from the Middle Devonian of Gilboa, New York State. Int J Plant Sci 183:450-464.
- Berry CM, Y Wang 2006 A new plant attributed to Cladoxylopsida from the Middle Devonian of Yunnan
 Province, China. Rev Palaeobot Palynol 142:63-78.
- Boyce CK, WA DiMichele 2016 Arborescent lycopsid productivity and lifespan: constraining the
 possibilities. Rev Palaeobot Palynol 227:97-110.

- Boyer JS 1995 Reexamination of *Eospermatopteris eriana* (Dawson) Goldring from the upper Middle
 Devonian (=Givetian) Flora at Gilboa, New York. MS diss. Southern Illinois University,
 Carbondale, IL.
- Carluccio LM, FM Hueber, HP Banks 1966 *Archaeopteris macilenta*, anatomy and morphology of its
 frond. Am J Bot 53:719-730.
- Davies NS, MR Gibling, MC Rygel 2011 Alluvial facies evolution during the Palaeozoic greening of the
 continents: case studies, conceptual models and modern analogues. Sedimentology 58:220-258.
- Dawson JW 1871 On new tree ferns and other fossils from the Devonian. Quart J Geol Soc London
 27:269-275.
- DeMason DA 1983 The primary thickening meristem: definition and function in monocotyledons. Am J
 Bot 70:955-962.
- DiMichele WA, RM Bateman, GW Rothwell, IAP Duijnstee, SD Elrick, CV Looy 2022 Stigmaria: a
 review of the anatomy, development, and functional morphology of the rootstock of the
 arboreous lycopsids. Int J Plant Sci 183:493-534.
- Doyle JA, M.J. Donoghue 1986 Seed plant phylogeny and the origin of angiosperms: an experimental
 cladistic approach. Bot Rev 52:331-429.
- Durieux T, MA Lopez, AW Bronson, AMF Tomescu 2021 A new phylogeny of the cladoxylopsid
 plexus: contribution of an early cladoxylopsid from the Lower Devonian (Emsian) of Quebec.
 Am J Bot 108:2066-2095.
- 961 Emberger L 1944 Les plantes fossils dans leurs rapports avec les végétaux vivant. Masson Paris.
- Fairon-Demaret M, CS Li 1993 *Lorophyton goense* gen. et sp. nov. from the Lower Givetian of Belgium
- 963 and a discussion of the Middle Devonian Cladoxylopsida. Rev Palaeobot Palynol 77:1-22.

- Galtier J, FM Hueber 2001 How early ferns became trees. Proc R Soc London B 268:1955-1957.
- 965 Giesen P, Berry CM 2013 Reconstruction and growth of the early tree *Calamophyton*
- 966 (Pseudosporochnales, Cladoxylopsida) based on exceptionally complete specimens from Lindlar,
- 967 Germany (Mid-Devonian): Organic connection of *Calamophyton* branches and *Duisbergia*
- 968 trunks. Int J Plant Sci 174: 665-686.
- Goldring W 1924 The Upper Devonian forest of seed ferns in eastern New York. New York State
 Museum Bulletin, Report of the Director 251:50–92.
- 971 Goldring W 1927 The oldest known petrified forest. Sci Monthly 24:514-529.
- Groff PA, DR Kaplan 1988 The relation of root systems to shoot systems in vascular plants. Bot Rev
 54:387-422.
- Hetherington AJ, L Dolan 2018 Stepwise and independent origins of roots among land plants. Nature
 561:235-238.
- Hetherington AJ, CM Berry, L Dolan 2020 Multiple origins of dichotomous and lateral branching during
 root evolution. Nature Plants 6:454-459.
- Hueber FM 1961 *Hepaticites devonicus* a new fossil liverwort from the Devonian of New York. Ann Mo
 Bot Gdn 48:125-131.
- Hueber FM, HP Banks 1979 *Serrulacaulis furcatus* gen. et sp. nov., a new zosterophyll from the lower
 upper Devonian of New York State. Rev Palaeobot Palynol 28:169-189.
- 982 Kenrick P, C Strullu-Derrien 2014 The origin and early evolution of roots. Plant Phys 166:570-580.
- 983 Leclercq S, HP Banks 1962 Pseudosporochnus nodosus sp. nov., a Middle Devonian plant with
- 984 Cladoxylalean affinities. Palaeontogr Abt B 110:1-34.

- Leclercq S, KM Lele 1968 Further investigation on the vascular system of *Pseudosporochnus nodosus*Leclercq et Banks. Palaeontogr Abt B 123:97-112.
- 987 Lemoigne Y, A Iurina 1983 *Xenocladia medullosina* Ch. A. Arnold (1940) 1952 du Dévonien moyen du
 988 Kazakhstan (URSS). Geobios 16:513-547.
- Meyer-Berthaud B, A Soria, GC Young 2007 Reconsidering differences between Cladoxylopsida and
 Iridopteridales: evidence from *Polyxylon australe* (Upper Devonian, New South Wales,
 Australia). Int J Plant Sci 168:1085-1097.
- Meyer-Berthaud B, SE Scheckler, J Wendt 1999 *Archaeopteris* is the earliest known modern tree. Nature
 398:700-701.
- Meyer-Berthaud B, A Soria, GC Young 2007 Reconsidering differences between Cladoxylopsida and
 Iridopteridales: evidence from *Polyxylon australe* (Upper Devonian, New South Wales,
 Australia). Int J Plant Sci 168:1085-1097.
- 997 Meyer-Berthaud B, A Soria, AL Decombeix 2010 The land plant cover in the Devonian: A reassessment
- 998 of the evolution of the tree habit. Pages 59-70 in M Vecoli, G Clément, B Meyer-Berthaud, eds. The
- 999 Terrestrialization Process: Modelling Complex Interactions at the Biosphere-Geosphere Interface. Vol
- 1000 339, Geol Soc London.
- 1001 Mustafa H 1978 Beiträge zur Devonflora. III. Argumenta Palaeobot 5:91-132.
- Pan Y, RA Birdsey, OL Phillips, RB Jackson 2013 The structure, distribution, and biomass of the world's
 forests. Ann Rev Ecol Evol Syst 44:593–62.
- Pichi-Sermolli R 1959 Pteridophyta. Pages 421-493 *in* WB Turrill ed. Vistas in Botany. Pergamon,
 London.
- 1006 Pigg KB 1992 Evolution of Isoetalean lycopsids. Ann Mo Bot Gdn 79:589-612.

- 1007 Pigg KB 2001 Isoetalean lycopsid evolution: from the Devonian to the present. Am Fern J 91:99-114.
- Pigg KB, GW Rothwell 1979 Stem-root transition of an Upper Pennsylvanian woody lycopsid. Am J Bot
 66:914-924.
- 1010 Platt JR 1964 Strong inference. Science 146:347-353.
- 1011 Rothwell GW, SE Wyatt, AMF Tomescu 2014 Plant evolution at the interface of paleontology and
 1012 developmental biology: an organism-centered paradigm. Am J Bot 101: 899-913.
- 1013 Rudall P 1991 Lateral meristems and stem thickening growth in monocotyledons. Bot Rev 57:150-163.
- Sanders H, GW Rothwell, SE Wyatt 2011 Parallel evolution of auxin regulation in rooting systems. Plant
 Syst Evol 291:221-225.
- 1016 Scotland RW 2010 Deep homology: a view from systematics. Bioessays 32:438-449.
- 1017 Sevon WD, DL Woodrow 1985 Middle and Upper Devonian stratigraphy within the Appalachian basin.
- Pages 1-7 *in* DL Woodrow, WD Sevon, eds, The Catskill Delta. Geol Soc Am Spec Pap 201,
 Boulder CO, USA.
- 1020 Shubin N, C Tabin, S Carroll 1997 Fossils, genes and the evolution of animal limbs. Nature 388:639-648.
- Soria A, B Meyer-Berthaud 2003 Occurrence of whorled organotaxis in the cladoxylopsid *Pietzschia polyupsilon* Read and Campbell (Lower Carboniferous, USA). Rev Palaeobot Palynol 124:29-49.
- Soria A, B Meyer-Berthaud 2004 Tree fern growth strategy in the late Devonian cladoxylopsid species
 Pietzschia levis from the study of its stem and root system. Am J Bot 91:10-23.
- Soria A, B Meyer-Berthaud 2005 Reconstructing the late Devonian cladoxylopsid *Pietzschia schulleri*from new specimens from southeastern Morocco. Int J Plant Sci 166:857-874.

- 1027 Soria A, B Meyer-Berthaud, SE Scheckler 2001 Reconstructing the architecture and growth habit of
- 1028 *Pietzschia levis* sp. nov. (Cladoxylopsida) from the Late Devonian of southeastern Morocco. Int J
 1029 Plant Sci 162:911-926.
- 1030 Stein WE 1987 Phylogenetic analysis and fossil plants. Rev Palaeobot Palynol 50:31-61.
- Stein WE, FM Hueber 1989 The anatomy of *Pseudosporochnus*: *P. hueberi* from the Devonian of New
 York. Rev Palaeobot Palynol 60:311-359.
- Stein WE, CM Berry, LV Hernick, F Mannolini 2012 Surprisingly complex community discovered in the
 mid-Devonian fossil forest at Gilboa. Nature 483:78-81.
- Stein WE, CM Berry, LV Hernick, F Mannolini F 2021 The classic mid-Devonian *Eospermatopteris*localities, Gilboa NY, USA. Rev Palaeobot Palynol 295:104520.
- 1037 Stein WE, CM Berry, LV Hernick, F Mannolini F 2022 Root-bearing portions of a young
- 1038 pseudosporochnalean from the Catskill delta complex of New York. Mendeley Data V1:
- 1039 DOI: 10.17632/st29d98fhp.1
- 1040 Stein WE, CM Berry, JL Morris, LV Hernick, F Mannolini, C Ver Straeten, E Landing, CH Wellman, DJ
- Beerling, JR Leake 2020 Mid-Devonian *Archaeopteris* Roots Signal Revolutionary Change in
 Earliest Fossil Forests. Current Biology 30:421-431.
- Stein WE, JS Boyer 2006 Evolution of land plant architecture: beyond the telome theory. Paleobiology
 32:450-482.
- Stein WE, F Mannolini, LV Hernick, E Landing, CM Berry 2007 Giant cladoxylopsid trees resolve the
 enigma of the Earth's earliest forest stumps at Gilboa. Nature 446:904-907.
- 1047 Stein WE, DC Wight, CB Beck 1982 Techniques for preparation of pyrite and limonite
- 1048 permineralizations. Rev Palaeobot Palynol 36:185-194.

- 1049 Stevenson DW 1980 Radial growth in the Cycadales. 1980 Am J Bot 67:465-475.
- 1050 Toledo S, AC Bippus, AMF Tomescu 2018. Buried deep behind the veil of extinction: euphyllophyte
- relationships at the base of the spermatophyte clade. Am J Bot 105:1264-1285.
- 1052 Traverse A, A Schuyler 1994 Palynostratigraphy of the Catskill and part of the Chemung magnafacies,
- 1053 southern New York State, USA. Courier Forschungsinstitut Senckenberg 169:261–274.
- 1054 Ver Straeten C 2009 The classic Devonian of the Catskill Front: a foreland basin record of Acadian
 1055 orogenesis. NYSGA Field Trip Guidebook 81st Meeting: 7.1-7.54.
- 1056 Xu H-H, CM Berry, WE Stein, Y Wang, P Tang, Q Fu 2017 Unique growth strategy in the Earth's first
- 1057 trees revealed in silicified fossil trunks from China. Proc Nat Acad Sci USA 114:12009-12014.
- 1058 Xue J, S Hao, JF Basinger 2010 Anatomy of the late Devonian *Denglongia hubeiensis*, with a discussion
 1059 of the phylogeny of the Cladoxylopsida. Int J Plant Sci 171:107-120.
- 1060 Zimmermann W 1952 Main results of the "telome theory". The Paleobotanist, Birbal Sahni Memorial
- 1061 Volume: 456-470.

1062

1063 Figure Descriptions

1064 Fig. 1 Impression evidence of small pseudosporochnalean trunk with attached rooting appendages:

1065 NYSM 19,312. A, Overall view; right-facing arrows indicate branch insertions; left-facing arrow possible

1066 branch insertion, see fig. 2 for interpretation, scale bar = 30mm. *B*, Possible branch system with central

1067 axis and indistinct attached lateral appendages found on same surface as A, but not attached, scale bar =

1068 10mm. C, Pyrite nodule with anatomical detail in place as observed in the field; ridges on nodule and

1069 impression are an exact fit, scale bar = 30mm.

1070 Fig. 2 A, Diagrammatic representation of trunk impression with expanded base and attached rooting

1071 appendages shown in fig. 1*A*. Part of trunk base in contact with pyrite nodule, a, aerial shoot, b. Insertion

1072 of lateral branches, black triangles, uncertain branch insertion, lighter triangle, scale bar = 30mm. *B*, Plan

of sections cut from the pyrite nodule. Transverse wafers 1-18 procede from the apical end. Transverse
wafers 19R-24R continue the series for half of base, R. Longitudinal wafers 1L-8L procede from center

1075 to outside edge of other half of base, L. Individual transverse wafer surfaces, b, f, as in Fig. 3 and 4.

Fig. 3 Interpretation of the vascular system, numbers refer to transverse wafers cut at 2mm intervals with f=front and b=back surfaces as mounted on the slides. Colored circles indicate vascular bundles traced through the series of sections often with distal dichotomies. Top of each section drawing represents the back surface of the pyrite nodule as displayed in Figs. 1C & 2B, with all b drawings flipped horizontally for consistent perspective, scale bar at 18f = 4mm.

Fig. 4 Interpretation of the vascular system near the base of the specimen, section numbers 19R-24Rcontinue the transverse series in fig. 2*B* toward specimen base for half of the specimen, colored circles indicate different vascular bundles traced through the series. Section numbers 1L-7L are longitudinal section surfaces from center line normal to bedding plane outward, f=front and b=back surfaces, with b drawings flipped horizontally for consistent perspective, scale bar = 4mm. 1086 Fig. 5 Pyrite nodule showing general anatomical features; all scale bars = 2mm. A-E, Representative transverse sections showing changes in vascular system and increase in size proceeding toward the trunk 1087 1088 base; compare figs. 2-3. A, 5f. B, 9b image reversed, arrow a = outer ground tissue region, arrow b = 1089 inner ground tissue region. C, 18f. D, 21Rf, arrows indicate arcuate bands representing rooting 1090 appendages at the surface of the permineralization. E, 24Rb image reversed, arrow indicates amorphous 1091 pyrite region with xylem in the center representing rooting appendage trace or entire rooting appendage. 1092 F-G, Pyrite nodule before wafers were cut; maximum diameter of nodule = 32mm, compare fig. 1C. H-1093 K, Representative longitudinal sections at basal end of the permineralization proceeding from midline to 1094 outer extremity; compare fig. 3. H, 1Lb image reversed. I, 3Lf. J, 4Lb image reversed, arrows indicate 1095 rooting appendage. K, 6Lf, arrow indicates rooting appendage(s).

1096 Fig. 6 Vascular system in apical region of the specimen; arrows a-k indicate the same rooting appendage 1097 trace in each photograph. A-C, Transverse views showing configuration of the vascular plexus consisting 1098 of multiple vascular bundles each containing multiple rooting appendage traces, scale bars = 1mm. A, 3f. 1099 B, 5b image reversed, arrows indicate expanded tips of radially oriented vascular strands with abundant 1100 secondary xylem preserved in limonite. C, 5f rooting appendage derived from division of a radial strand. 1101 *D-F*, Serial transverse views of rooting appendage indicated in C, scale bars = 0.5mm. D, Level showing 1102 departure of trace, arrow. E, Separated trace with vascular tissues inside amorphous pyrite, arrow. F, 6f 1103 distal view with arrow indicating arcuate band of compressed organic matter representing the rooting 1104 appendage compression at this level.

Fig. 7 Vascular system showing diminution of vascular bundles within the vascular plexus in the basipetal direction and the course of rooting appendage traces at this level in the trunk; arrows a-k continue trace identification in fig. 6. *A-D*, Progressively basipetal views in transverse section, arrows c-k indicate specific rooting appendage traces described in the text, scale bars = 2mm. *A*, 7f. *B*, 8f. *C*, 9f. *D*, 10f. *E-H*, Serial views of trace system indicated by arrows k, showing distal course of rooting appendage traces in the basipetal direction, scale bars = 0.5mm. *E*, 3f rooting appendage trace at level in *A* proximal

- to dichotomy. F, 5f rooting appendage trace at level of dichotomy. G, 8f two rooting appendage traces at
 level in B. H, rooting appendage traces near periphery at level in D.
- 1113 Fig. 8 Vascular system in mid to basal portions of the trunk; arrows a-k continue trace identification in
- 1114 figs. 6-7 whereas arrows l-o indicate traces observed in this region. A-D, Serial wafer views, arrows e, g,
- and i-o indicate individual rooting appendage traces often with dichotomies at different levels as
- 1116 described in the text, scale bars = 2mm. A, 11f. B, 13f. C, 14f. D, 17b image reversed. E-G, Serial
- 1117 views at higher magnification of trace system indicated by arrows l, scale bars = 0.5mm. *E*, 11f Multiple
- 1118 traces at level in A enclosed within secondary xylem. F, 13f level in B. G, 14f Right hand derivative
- 1119 rooting appendage trace of the trace group l in C.
- 1120 Fig. 9 Rooting appendage trace details mid to basal portions of the trunk; arrows n-o continue trace
- 1121 identification in fig. 8, scale bars = 0.5mm. *A-D*, Serial views in higher magnification of trace system
- 1122 indicated by arrows n in fig. 8. A, 11f. B, 13f. C, 14f. D, 17b image reversed. E-I, Serial views in higher
- 1123 magnification of trace system indicated by arrows o in fig. 8. *E*, 14b image reversed. *F*, 15f. *G*, 16b
- 1124 image reversed. *H* 17b image reversed. *I*, 18f.
- 1125 Fig. 10 Histological features of primary and secondary xylem. A, 5f Radially elongate vascular bundle
- in apical region of the specimen showing extensive secondary xylem and apparently elongate primary
- 1127 xylem, scale bar = 0.2mm. *B*, 10f Elliptical vascular bundle near periphery of specimen in transverse
- section comprised of two rooting appendage traces arrows, scale bar = 0.2mm. C, 1Lf Rooting appendage
- 1129 trace with more extensive surrounding amorphous pyrite in longitudinal section, scale bar = 0.2mm. D,
- 1130 24Rb Rooting appendage trace with surrounding amorphous pyrite in transverse section, scale bar =
- 1131 0.5mm. E, 2Lb Detail of rooting appendage trace in longitudinal section showing arrangement of
- 1132 tracheids and pitting in both primary and secondary xylem, scale bar = 0.2mm.
- Fig. 11 Histological details of xylem and ground tissues. *A-E*, Serial transverse views tracing a small centrally located rooting appendage trace, arrows, in the acropetal direction, scale bars = 0.5mm. *A*, 10b

image reversed; *B*, 9f; *C*, 9b image reversed; *D*, 8f; *E*, 8b image reversed. *F-G*, Serial transverse views of outer ground tissue showing differences in cell shapes and wall thicknesses, scale bars = 0.2mm. *F*, 5f; *G*, 1137 18f; *H*, 4Lf longitudinal view of peripheral ground tissue, scale bar = 0.2mm. *I*, *Eospermatopteris* cast in NYSM showing flat basal surface with central region showing lesser preservation, arrow.

Fig. 12 Interpretation of general features of rooting appendage vascularization in the observed specimen in longitudinal section. Diffuse meristematic activity primarily in the expanded rooting appendage region of the trunk, grey pattern. Rooting appendage traces, black, with rooting appendage divergence in the basipetal direction and development of secondary xylem indicated by thickness of trace lines. Close association of rooting appendage traces with secondary xylem constitute the vascular plexus observed both acropetally and near the base.

1145 Fig. 13 A-B, Xenocladia medullosina Arnold (Arnold 1952) portion of the specimen in Binghamton

1146 University Collection (NYSM) embedded in plastic, originally donated by Arnold. A, Transverse section,

scale bar = 5 mm. *B*, magnification of *A* showing outer ring of radially elongate xylem and more internal

1148 bundles both with secondary xylem, scale bar = 2 mm. *C-D*, *Eospermatopteris* casts in sectional views,

1149 NYSM collection. C, Longitudinal section showing embedded presumed vascular strands flaring outward

1150 toward the base, NYSM E373f, scale bar = 20 mm. *D*, Transverse section showing complex system of

1151 presumed vascular strands along preserved periphery top and left, NYSM E203, scale bar = 20 mm.

Fig. 14 Hypothetical development of vascular plexus in larger *Eospermatopteris* individuals with hollow center in longitudinal section. Diffuse meristematic activity greatest in the expanded rooting appendage region of the trunk, grey pattern, and diminishing acropetally. Rooting appendage traces, black, with secondary xylem development within the vascular plexus indicated by thickening. Although shown as a single layer here, the vascular plexus seems likely to become greater in radial dimension and augmented in complexity via extended development. Rooting appendage traces end blindly toward the interior, a, but

- also arise externally, b. Regions in *Eospermatopteris* casts and paleosol footprints that show numerous
- 1159 rooting appendages are at base center, c, and near the base extremity, d.









0

D/II



















