

ORCA - Online Research @ Cardiff

This is an Open Access document downloaded from ORCA, Cardiff University's institutional repository:https://orca.cardiff.ac.uk/id/eprint/163388/

This is the author's version of a work that was submitted to / accepted for publication.

Citation for final published version:

Xia, Fangzhou, Chen, Hongkun, Yan, Mingyu, Gan, Wei, Zhou, Quan, Ding, Tong, Wang, Xuechun, Wang, Lingling and Chen, Lei 2024. Market-based coordinated planning of fast charging station and dynamic wireless charging system considering energy demand assignment. IEEE Transactions on Smart Grid 15 (2) , pp. 1913-1925. 10.1109/TSG.2023.3299591

Publishers page: http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/TSG.2023.3299591

Please note:

Changes made as a result of publishing processes such as copy-editing, formatting and page numbers may not be reflected in this version. For the definitive version of this publication, please refer to the published source. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite this paper.

This version is being made available in accordance with publisher policies. See http://orca.cf.ac.uk/policies.html for usage policies. Copyright and moral rights for publications made available in ORCA are retained by the copyright holders.



Market-Based Coordinated Planning of Fast Charging Station and Dynamic Wireless Charging System Considering Energy Demand Assignment

Fangzhou Xia[®], Hongkun Chen[®], Mingyu Yan[®], Member, IEEE, Wei Gan, Member, IEEE, Quan Zhou[®], Senior Member, IEEE, Tong Ding[®], Xuechun Wang, Lingling Wang, and Lei Chen[®], Senior Member, IEEE

Abstract-With the rapid growth of electric vehicle (EV) ² penetration, EV charging demand is becoming greater and diver-3 sified. To meet the diverse EV charging demand, we propose 4 a market-based coordinated planning method of fast charging 5 station (FCS) and dynamic wireless charging system (DWCS). 6 Firstly, a bi-level coordinated planning model considering the 7 benefits of charging service provider and EV user group is built. 8 It can make full use of the complementary characteristics of 9 FCSs and DWCSs. Then, the energy demand assignment(EDA) 10 approach that can directly assign energy demand to roads and 11 power nodes is proposed and applied in the inner level of bi-level 12 coordinated planning model. Finally, the cases that consider the 13 impact of differentiated traffic demand and land prices in differ-14 ent regions are proposed. The case studies are formulated based 15 on the 21 power nodes-12 traffic nodes network and the 54 power 16 nodes-25 traffic nodes network. To calculate the optimal solu-17 tion, the KKT conditions, the McCormick relaxation approach, 18 the optimization-based bound tightening approach (OBBT) and 19 the sequential bound tightening approach (SBT) are employed. 20 Numerical simulation results validate that the proposed method 21 can effectively improve the profits of charging service provider 22 and keep the EV users' charging cost at relatively low range.

Index Terms—Market-based coordinated planning, fast charg ing station, dynamic wireless charging system, energy demand
 assignment, coupled power-traffic networks.

Manuscript received 15 November 2022; revised 17 March 2023 and 22 June 2023; accepted 24 July 2023. Paper no. TSG-01710-2022. (*Corresponding author: Hongkun Chen.*)

AO1

Fangzhou Xia, Hongkun Chen, Tong Ding, Xuechun Wang, and Lei Chen are with the School of Electrical Engineering and Automation, Wuhan University, Wuhan 430072, China (e-mail: xiafz92@hotmail.com; chkinsz@163.com; dingtong@whu.edu.cn; wangxuechun@whu.edu.cn; chen_lei@whu.edu.cn).

Mingyu Yan is with the Department of Electrical and Electronic Engineering, Imperial College London, SW7 2AZ London, U.K. (e-mail: mingyu.yan@imperial.ac.uk).

Wei Gan is with the School of Engineering, Cardiff University, CF24 3AA Cardiff, U.K. (e-mail: ganw4@cardiff.ac.uk).

Quan Zhou is with the College of Electrical and Information Engineering, Hunan University, Hunan 410082, China (e-mail: zquan@hnu.edu.cn).

Lingling Wang is with the School of Electronic Information and Electrical Engineering, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai 200240, China (e-mail: wanglingling1993@sjtu.edu).

Color versions of one or more figures in this article are available at https://doi.org/10.1109/TSG.2023.3299591.

Digital Object Identifier 10.1109/TSG.2023.3299591

NOMENCLATURE

1

26

Parameters		27
t, od, k, l	Index of time segments/O-D pairs/paths	28
	/roads	29
β_p	Unit penalty cost	30
$\dot{T_u}$	Unit time of a time segment	31
PR_{ic}, PR_{id}	Upfront costs of each FCS/DWCS	32
PR_{cp}, PR_{dwc}	Unit price of FC piles/DWCS (per kilo-	33
· · · · · ·	meter)	34
PR_{pv}, PR_{es}	Unit price of PVs/ESSs	35
PR_{dl}	Unit price of additional power cables	36
PR_{MF}, PR_{MD}	Upper bounds of FC/DWC services	37
	prices	38
PR_{nm}^{t}	Time of use (TOU) electricity price	39
PR_{onf}, PR_{ond}	Operating costs per unit time of a single	40
opj , opu	charging pile/ DWCS	41
PR_{hw}	Average hourly wage	42
$PR_{ac}^{l}, PR_{av}^{l}, PR_{ae}^{l}$	Land costs of a single set of charging	43
ut uv ut	pile/ PV / ESS on road l	44
μ_F, μ_D	Maximum numbers of FCSs/DWCSs	45
μ_P	Maximum number of FC piles in any	46
	FCS	47
μ_V, μ_E	Maximum numbers of PVs/ESSs on any	48
1 1 1 1 2	road	49
$P_{bl}^{t,l}, Q_{bl}^{t,l}, S_{bl}^{t,l}$	Active power/ reactive power/ apparent	50
$bl \leftarrow bl \leftarrow bl$	power of baseload in the corresponding	51
	node of road <i>l</i> , at time <i>t</i>	52
P_{ν}^{t}	Maximum output power of a single set	53
V	of PV at time t	54
EC_{or}^{e}, EC_{pn}	Capacity of a single origin power cable/	55
	additional power cable	56
EO_l	Initial electric quantity of ESSs on road l	57
EC_{es}	Installation capacity of a single set of	58
	ESS	59
L_l	Available length for DWCS on road l	60
$T_d^{t,l}$	Passing time on road l , at time t	61
$P_F^{''}, P_D$	Rated charging power of a single FC	62
	pile/ single EV charged with DWCS	63
$E_{q}^{t,od}$	Energy gap of O-D pair <i>od</i> , at time <i>t</i>	64
λ_E°	Minimum proportion of the energy	65
	demand satisfied through FCSs and	66
	DWCSs during a typical day	67

1949-3053 © 2023 IEEE. Personal use is permitted, but republication/redistribution requires IEEE permission. See https://www.ieee.org/publications/rights/index.html for more information.

68	η_{ie}, η_{oe}	Input and output efficiency of ESSs
69	η_F, η_D	Energy transfer efficiency of FC/DWC
70	η_{DWC}	The percentage of EVs that support
71		DWC
72	U_N	Nominal voltage of power network
73		nodes
74	U_m, U_M	Lower/upper bounds of power network
75		nodal voltage
76	r_{or}^e, x_{or}^e	Resistance/ reactance of original cable
77		on power line <i>e</i>
78	r_{co}^e, x_{co}^e	Combined resistance/ reactance consid-
79		ering the added power cables on line
80		е
B1	$M_{ps}^{t,od,k,l}$	1 if path k of O-D pair od includes
82		road l , at time t ; and 0, otherwise
83	n_r^l	Number of lanes in one direction on
84		road l
85	tv_u, tv_l	Tolerance values of upper bound/lower
86		bound, in OBBT
87	tv_s	Convergence tolerance in SBT
88	ε	Local feasible solution of the original bi-
89		level problem
an	$\{\eta_{i}^{j}\}_{i=1}^{J}$	Decreasing sequence in SBT

90	$\{\psi^j\}_{i=1}^J$	Decreasing sequence in SBT
91	δ_M	Big constant in the big-M approach

92 Variables

93	$E_f^{l,od,k,l}$	FC demand of O-D pair od, path k , on
94	, , , ,	road l, at time t
95	$E_d^{t,od,k,l}$	DWC demand of O-D pair od, path k ,
96		on road <i>l</i> , at time <i>t</i>
97	M_{rf}^l, M_{rd}^l	1 if FCS/DWCS is built on road <i>l</i> ; and
98	5	0, otherwise
99	M_{pn}^e	1 if additional power cable is built on
100		power network line e; and 0, otherwise
101	$n_{cp}^l, n_{pv}^l, n_{es}^l$	Numbers of FC piles/PVs/ESSs installed
102		on road <i>l</i>
103	PR_F^t, PR_D^t	FC/DWC service prices at time t
104	$P_{dn}^{t,l}, Q_{dn}^{t,l}$	Active/reactive power from power
105		network to FCS and DWCS on road l ,
106		at time t
107	$P_{pv}^{t,l}, P_{es}^{t,l}$	Output power of PVs/ESSs on road l, at
108		time t
109	$P_{ad}^{t,e}, S_{ad}^{t,e}$	Active/ apparent power on power
110		network lines after expansion
111	$U_{t,a}$	Nodal voltage on power network node <i>a</i> ,
112		at time t
113	r_{ne}^e, x_{ne}^e	New line resistance/ reactance with
114		added cables on line <i>e</i>
115	TR_j	Tightness value of relaxation in SBT

116 Abbreviations

117	EV	Electric vehicle
118	FC/DWC	Fast charging/dynamic wireless charg-
119		ing
120	FCS	Fast charging station
121	DWCS	Dynamic wireless charging system
122	PV/ESS	Photovoltaic/energy storage system

DNO	Distribution network operator	123
PN	Power network	124
TN	Traffic network	125
PTN	Coupled power-traffic network	126
TA	Traffic assignment	127
EDA	Energy demand assignment	128
OBBT	Optimization-based bound tightening	129
SBT	Sequential bound tightening	130

I. INTRODUCTION

131

■ O ALLEVIATE energy and environmental problems, it 132 has become a global consensus to increase the electric 133 vehicle (EV) penetration [1]. And the rapid increase of EV 134 number will bring a huge charging demand [2]. Under this 135 background, EV charging technologies are paid much atten- 136 tion, and multiple charging methods such as slow charging, 137 fast charging (FC) and dynamic wireless charging (DWC) 138 have been developed to meet the different charging demands 139 of EV users [3]. At the same time, the diversified energy 140 replenishment methods represented by the battery swapping 141 mode is also getting attention [4]. The traffic behavior of EVs 142 affects the traffic flow in the traffic network (TN), and they 143 are also integrated into the power network (PN) through these 144 charging methods [5]. Therefore, providing sufficient charging 145 services while ensuring the efficient operation of the coupled 146 power-traffic network (PTN) has become a key issue [6]. 147

There are several studies that have focused on PTN. ¹⁴⁸ Reference [7] provided a steady-state user equilibrium model ¹⁴⁹ considering fuel vehicles and EVs in PTN. Reference [8] stud- ¹⁵⁰ ied the influence of traffic patterns on the spatial distribution of ¹⁵¹ power loads and proved the advantages of the joint operation ¹⁵² of the PTN. Reference [9] considered the possible security threats when the power network and traffic network are ¹⁵⁴ coupled. An optimal traffic power flow problem considering ¹⁵⁵ power-traffic coupling was proposed in [10]. Reference [11] ¹⁵⁶ analyzed the influence of high-permeability electric vehicles ¹⁵⁷ on the dependence of power network and traffic network. ¹⁵⁸

Based on the studies of PTN, the optimization of multiple 159 charging methods was studied by several former researches. 160 FC is a widely used charging method that charged EVs through 161 the FC piles. Reference [12] described the distribution of traffic flow in the traffic network by using the unconstrained 163 traffic assignment model. Reference [13] proposed a method 164 of urban electrification planning considering distribution lines, 165 traffic roads and EV charging stations. Reference [14] con- 166 sidered the planning of hybrid energy supply stations which 167 could supply energy for EVs and fuel vehicles at the same 168 time. Reference [15] proposed an EV charging station plan- 169 ning method considering optimal power flow. Reference [16] 170 considered the temporal and spatial characteristics of the traf- 171 fic network. Reference [17] considered the diversification of 172 objectives based on the urban system, so as to reflect the 173 impact of different factors on the global objective. A planning 174 method that considers the impact of EV charging stations on 175 traffic impacts and power line conditioning capabilities was 176 proposed in [18]. 177

As an innovative charging method, the application of DWC 178 ¹⁷⁹ technology has also been widely concerned. Reference [19] 180 studied the DWC demand of city roads and highways. A 181 DWCS planning method aiming at extending EV mileage was 182 proposed in [20]. Reference [21] considered the life cycle 183 of equipment and greenhouse gas emissions in DWCS plan-184 ning. A DWCS planning method considering traffic wave is 185 proposed in [22]. Although the above studies have covered the 186 application of major charging methods, few researches have 187 studied the complementarity among various charging methods, and the coordinated planning of multiple charging methods. 188 When the charging service provider operates multiple types 189 ¹⁹⁰ of charging facilities, having a comprehensive understanding 191 of charging demand information enables the spatial and tem-¹⁹² poral optimization assignment of charging service capacity and ¹⁹³ demand [47]. This helps to avoid imbalances in the supply and 194 demand of charging services.

Besides planning and scheduling, the market can also influ-195 196 ence both charging service provider and EV users through charging prices. Reference [23] studied the collective charg-197 ing load scheduling based on real-time charging prices. 198 Reference [24] studied the price elasticity of electric vehicle 199 charging service. Reference [25] considered the dynamic elec-200 tricity price and the ability of EV charging stations to provide 201 ²⁰² auxiliary services. Reference [26] proposed a dynamic pricing strategy for electric vehicle charging services considering the 203 204 fluctuation of charging demand and the uncertainty of renewable energy systems. In terms of charging prices, we only 205 206 need to consider the equilibrium between charging service 207 provider and EV users as two stakeholders but do not neces-²⁰⁸ sarily need to know the detailed traffic assignment (TA) result, which complicates the optimization problem and is difficult to 209 210 solve.

In solving the problem of charging facility planning, some 211 212 studies regard the charging service provider and the EV user group as a united entity with a shared optimization goal. 213 This approach ignores the game between the charging service 214 provider and the EV user group as two separate stakeholders. 215 216 In studies taking the game into account, the traffic assign-217 ment approach is often adopted to depict the traffic behavior 218 of EV in power-traffic network when charging demand is gen-²¹⁹ erated. However, when using the traffic assignment approach, 220 the inner level of the bi-level optimization problem involves a 221 large number of integer variables, leading to high complexity ²²² in solving the optimization problem.

To address the above research gaps, we propose a marketbased coordinated planning method that considers the game between different stakeholders. In the inner level of the model, we use the energy demand assignment approach instead of the traffic assignment approach, which eliminates integer variables and reduces the complexity of solving the bi-level optimization problem. The main contributions of this paper are listed as follows:

The proposed market-based coordinated planning method
 takes into account the characteristics of DWCSs that do not
 occupy extra space and allow electric vehicles to be charged
 during transportation and the low cost of hardware facilities of
 FCSs. In the coordinated planning model, the complementary



Fig. 1. The Framework of Coupled Power-traffic Network Integrated with FCS and DWCS.

characteristics of FCSs and DWCSs in PTN are fully 236 utilized. 237

2) The EDA approach is adopted to directly assign FC ²³⁸ and DWC demand to each road and power node in PTN. ²³⁹ All the models required by this method are linear variables, ²⁴⁰ which avoids the influence of integer variables on the solving ²⁴¹ efficiency. ²⁴²

3) The impact of differentiated traffic demand and differentiated land prices in different regions on planning costs is 244 considered in the case studies. Eight cases based on two PTN 245 in different scale are used to verify the effectiveness of the 246 proposed market-based coordinated planning method. 247

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows: the ²⁴⁸ mathematical models of the market-based coordinated plan- ²⁴⁹ ning method in the coupled network are proposed in Section II. ²⁵⁰ In Section III, the solution of the proposed model is intro- ²⁵¹ duced. The case study of the proposed model is validated in ²⁵² Section IV, and Section V concludes. ²⁵³

II. MATHEMATICAL MODELS OF MARKET-BASED 254 COORDINATED PLANNING IN PTN 255

The framework of coupled network integrated with FCSs ²⁵⁶ and DWCSs is illustrated in Fig. 1. In the proposed model, ²⁵⁷ FCSs and DWCSs are responsible for charging the EVs, which ²⁵⁸ provide links between the power network and traffic network. ²⁵⁹ FCSs have the character of cheaper hardware, DWCSs have ²⁶⁰ the characters of taking smaller area and charging on the move. ²⁶¹ So they have different feasibility for different areas. Their characters enable them to complement each other in the level of ²⁶³ planning and operation. PVs can offer electricity to FCSs and ²⁶⁴ DWCSs during the daytime when the rest part of the electricity can be stored in the ESSs. The ESSs charge when the PVs ²⁶⁶ output power is greater than the charging load of FCS and ²⁶⁷ DWCS, and on the contrary if discharges. ²⁶⁸

A. The Interaction Between Charging Service Povider and EV User Group

The two stakeholders in the model are the charging service ²⁷¹ providers and EV users. In this paper, we treat all EV users as a ²⁷² collective EV user group [44], [45], [46]. The architecture for ²⁷³ the interaction between them is illustrated in Fig. 2. The charg-²⁷⁴ ing service provider includes the distribution network operator ²⁷⁵

269

270



Fig. 2. The interaction between charging service provider and EV user group.

276 (DNO), FCSs/DWCSs and PVs/ESSs. Within it, the DNO is ²⁷⁷ responsible for providing power supply to FCSs, DWCSs, and 278 ESSs, as well as purchasing electricity from the higher level power network. PVs and ESSs are also responsible for supply-279 ing power to FCSs and DWCSs. At the same time, ESSs can 280 accept power supply from the DNO or store energy from PVs 281 that have not been consumed, depending on the scenario and 282 283 operating conditions. Outer level and inner level represent the benefits of the charging service provider and EV users. Their 284 285 objectives are maximizing the charging payoff and minimizing the charging costs, respectively. It should be noted that, we 286 287 mainly focus on the coordinated planning of DWCSs/FCSs, rather than the specific charging navigation strategy of EV 288 289 individuals. Therefore, as two stakeholders, the charging service provider and the EV user group just share information 290 that does not involve the specific privacy of EV individuals. 291 The prices of FC/DWC services and the planning schemes 292

²⁹³ of FCSs/DWCSs affect the distribution of energy demand in ²⁹⁴ PTN. In addition, different charging methods will also affect ²⁹⁵ EV users' charging demand. Meanwhile, EV users' charging ²⁹⁶ behavior will affect the sizing and siting of FCSs/DWCSs as ²⁹⁷ well as the charging prices.

²⁹⁸ Two important concepts should be denoted:

1) Energy gap: the difference between the maximum capac-ity of EV batteries and the actual energy of EV batteries.

2) Energy demand: the actual demand generated by EVs, that is the energy actually transferred to the electric vehicle through FC and DWC.

304 B. The Energy Demand Assignment Model

³⁰⁵ In order to reduce the solving complexity, the EDA model ³⁰⁶ is adopted, as shown in Fig. 2. TA and EDA are compared in ³⁰⁷ the following part to clarify the advantages of EDA.

1) Traffic Assignment Model (TA): At the inner-level, the charging scheduling of all the EV users is always regarded at as a TA problem. It refers to how to assign traffic demand to at roads to minimize transportation costs. In former researches, TA was always solved according to the User Equilibrium or



Fig. 3. Comparison of charging schedule based on TA and EDA.

the System Optimization. The TA model based on Nesterov's 313 system is shown as (1)–(4) [13]: 314

$$\min CH(f_{t,od,k,l}) \tag{1}$$

s.t.
$$f_{t,od,k,l} \ge 0, \ \forall t, od, k, l$$
 (2) 316

$$f_F^{t,od,k,l} + f_D^{t,od,k,l} \le f_{t,od,k,l}, \ \forall t, od, k, l$$
 (3) 312

$$\sum_{od} \sum_{k} f_{t,od,k,l} \le V_l, \ \forall t, od, k, l$$
(4) 318

where CH is the total charging costs; $f_{l,od,k,l}$ is the traffic flow ³¹⁹ on time *t*, O-D pair od, path *k*, road *l*; $f_F^{t,od,k,l}$ and $f_D^{t,od,k,l}$ are ³²⁰ the traffic flows of EVs which choose to charge through FCSs ³²¹ and DWCSs, respectively. V_l is the maximum vehicle capacity ³²² without traffic jams on the road *l*. ³²³

As shown in Fig. 3, when simulating the charging scheduling of EVs, integer variables $f_{t,od,k,l}$, $f_F^{t,od,k,l}$, $f_D^{t,od,k,l}$ are ³²⁵ necessary for TA. As the number of EVs is an integer, these ³²⁶ variables cannot be considered as linear variables. When TA ³²⁷ is used in the inner-level of a bi-level problem, the problem ³²⁸ will become a bi-level mixed integer programming problem ³²⁹ with integer variables in its inner-level, which could be hard ³³⁰ to solve [27]. ³³¹

2) Energy Demand Assignment Model (EDA): The EDA ³³² proposed in this paper is a demand distribution process based ³³³ on the benefits of charging service provider and EV user group, ³³⁴ which directly distributes the charging energy demand to traffic ³³⁵ roads and power nodes of PTN. In terms of planning, the ³³⁶ specific TA results are not necessary, and we only need to get ³³⁷ the EDA results. So the EDA model is proposed to replace ³³⁸ the TA model, which is stated as: ³³⁹

$$\min \operatorname{CH} \left(E_f^{t,od,k,l}, \quad E_d^{t,od,k,l} \right)$$
(5) 340

s.t.
$$0 \le E_f^{t,od,k,l} + E_d^{t,od,k,l} \le V_l \eta_{ep} n_r^l E_u \ \forall t, od, k, l$$
 (6) 341

$$0 \le \sum_{k} \sum_{l} \left(E_{f}^{t,od,k,l} + E_{d}^{t,od,k,l} \right) \le E_{g}^{t,od} \ \forall t, od, k, l \ (7)$$

where $E_f^{t,od,k,l}$ and $E_d^{t,od,k,l}$ are the FC/DWC energy demand on time t, O-D pair od, path k, road l; E_u is the average energy gap of each EV; η_{ep} is the EV penetration rate; n_r^l is the number of lanes on the road l; $E_g^{t,od}$ is the energy gap on time t, O-D pair od. Inequality (6) ensures that the energy demand exceed the maximum energy demand determined by road traffic capacity. Inequality (7) ensures that the energy demand should be less than the upper limit. The proposed be that reduces the solving complexity. It should be noted that formulas (5)–(7) are only examples of EDA, and its specific application in the proposed bi-level programming problem will be explained in subsequent chapters.

356 C. Outer-Level Objective and Constraints

³⁵⁷ 1) Outer-Level Objective: The objective of the outer-level ³⁵⁸ is to maximize the charging payoff, in which the charging ³⁵⁹ service income (I_{fd}) , the electricity purchasing costs (C_{pm}) , ³⁶⁰ the penalty costs (C_{pc}) , the total construction investment (C_{inv}) ³⁶¹ and the operating costs (C_{op}) are considered. The outer-level ³⁶² objective is stated as (8):

$$\max I_{fd} - C_{op} - C_{pm} - C_{pc} - C_{imv} \cdot \alpha (1+\alpha)^{\gamma} / [(1+\alpha)^{\gamma} - 1]$$
(8)

365 where α is the discount rate; γ is the years of investment.

The charging income includes FCS and DWCS charging services income, which is stated as (9). The penalty cost is based on the energy gap that is not met through fast charging or dynamic wireless charging. We believe that as as ervice-oriented enterprise, charging service provider should also assume basic social responsibilities, some countries and cities have formulated laws and regulations on the service capacity of charging service providers [41], [42]. The penalty costs of the unfilled energy gap are stated as (10).

$$I_{fd} = 365 \sum_{t} \sum_{od} \sum_{k} \sum_{l} \left(E_f^{t,od,k,l} P R_F^t + E_d^{t,od,k,l} P R_D^t \right),$$

$$\forall t, od, k, l$$

377
$$C_{pc} = 365\beta_P \left[\sum_{t} \sum_{od} E_g^{t,od} - \sum_{t} \sum_{od} \sum_{k} \sum_{l} \left(E_f^{t,od,k,l} + E_d^{t,od,k,l} \right) \right],$$

378 $\forall t, od, k, l \quad (10)$

(9)

0

2) Planning Constraints: The planning constraints of the proposed model are stated as (11)–(16). The total construction investment includes FCSs, DWCSs, PVs/ESSs installation costs, power network cables expansion costs and the land costs, which is stated as (11). Inequality (12) sets the upper and lower bounds of FCSs and DWCSs. Inequality (13) denotes that the installation capacity of FCSs and DWCSs should be no more than the maximum energy demand. Inequality (14) sets the upper and lower bounds of FC piles, PVs and ESSs. Inequality (15) denotes that the FC piles should only be installed in FCSs. Inequality (16) denotes that PVs and ESSs should only be installed with FCSs and DWCSs.

$$C_{inv} = \sum_{e} M_{pn}^{e} PR_{dl} + \sum_{l} \left[(PR_{id} + PR_{dwc}L_l)M_{rd}^{l} \right]$$
³⁹

$$+PR_{ic}M_{rf}^{l} + \left(PR_{cp} + PR_{ac}^{l}\right)n_{cp}^{l} + \left(PR_{pv}\right)$$

$$392$$

$$+PR_{av}^{l} n_{pv}^{l} + \left(PR_{es} + PR_{ae}^{l} \right) n_{es}^{l}], \quad \forall l, e \qquad (11) \quad {}_{393}$$

$$0 \le \sum_{l} M_{rf}^{l} \le \mu_{F}, 0 \le \sum_{l} M_{rd}^{l} \le \mu_{D}, \ \forall l$$
(12) 394

$$0 \le n_{cp}^l \le \mu_P, \ 0 \le n_{pv}^l \le \mu_V, \ 0 \le n_{es}^l \le \mu_E, \forall l$$
(14) 397

$$M_{rf}^{l} \le n_{cp}^{l} \le \delta_{M} M_{rf}^{l}, \forall l$$
(15) 396

$$0 \le n_{es}^l \le \delta_M \left(M_{rf}^l + M_{rd}^l \right), \ 0 \le n_{pv}^l \le \delta_M \left(M_{rf}^l + M_{rd}^l \right), \ \forall l \quad 396$$

$$(16) \quad 400$$

3) Operation Constraints: The operation constraints are 401 stated as (17)–(18). The operating costs include the FCSs 402 operating costs and DWCSs operating costs, which is stated 403 as (17). Equation (18) denotes the electricity purchasing costs: 404

$$C_{op} = 365 \left(PR_{opf} \sum_{l} n_{cp}^{l} + PR_{opd} \sum_{l} M_{rd}^{l} L_{l} \right) T_{u}, \quad \forall l \quad (17) \quad {}_{405}$$

$$C_{pm} = 365 \sum_{t} \sum_{l} PR_{pm}^{t} \left(P_{dn}^{t,l} - P_{pv}^{t,l} \right) T_{u}, \ \forall t, l$$
(18) 406

4) ESS State Constraints: The ESS state constraints are $_{407}$ stated as (19)–(24). Inequality (19) denotes that the ESSs $_{408}$ cannot be overcharged or over-discharged. Inequality (20) $_{409}$ and (21) set the upper and lower bounds of ESSs charging and $_{410}$ discharging power. Inequality (22) and (23) ensure that one of $_{411}$ $P_{ie}^{t,l}$ and $P_{oe}^{t,l}$ should be 0, which means ESSs are not allowed to $_{412}$ charge and discharge at the same time. Equation (24) denotes $_{413}$ the ESSs output power to the distributed network. We set the $_{414}$ upper and lower limits for the SOC of ESS. Because over- $_{415}$ charge and over-discharge of ESS will affect its service life, $_{416}$ in this paper, the upper and lower limits of SOC of ESS are $_{417}$ set to 0.2 and 0.8 respectively.

$$0.2n_{es}^{l}EC_{es} \le EO_{l} + \sum_{t=1}^{m} \left(P_{ie}^{t,l}\eta_{ie} + P_{oe}^{t,l}\right)T_{u} \le 0.8n_{es}^{l}EC_{es}, \quad \text{419}$$

$$\forall tn \in [1, 24], \forall l \tag{19} 420$$

$$0 \le P_{ie}^{t,l} \eta_{ie} T_u \le 0.8 n_{es}^l E C_{es}, \ \forall t, l$$

$$-0.8n_{es}^{l}EC_{es} \le P_{oe}^{t,l}T_{u} \le 0, \ \forall t,l$$
(21) 422

$$\leq P_{ie}^{t,l}T_u \leq \varepsilon_e^{t,l}\delta_M, \ \forall t,l \tag{22}$$

$$\left(\varepsilon_e^{t,l}-1\right)\delta_M \le P_{oe}^{t,l}T_u \le 0, \ \forall t,l \tag{23}$$

$$P_{es}^{t,l} = -\left(P_{ie}^{t,l} + P_{oe}^{t,l}\eta_{oe}\right), \ \forall t,l$$
(24) 425

where $P_{ie}^{t,l}$ and $P_{oe}^{t,l}$ are the input power and output power of ⁴²⁶ the ESSs on the road *l*, at the time *t*; η_{ie} and η_{oe} are the input ⁴²⁷ and output efficiency of ESSs; $\varepsilon_e^{t,l}$ is the binary variable to ⁴²⁸ guarantee that one of $P_{ie}^{t,l}$ and $P_{oe}^{t,l}$ should be 0; δ_M is the big ⁴²⁹ constant in the big-M approach; $P_{es}^{t,l}$ is the ESSs output power ⁴³⁰ to the distributed network on the road *l*, at the time *t*. ⁴³¹ 5) FC/DWC Prices Constraints: The FC/DWC price con straints are stated as (25), which are the FC prices and DWC
 prices constraints, respectively.

$$PR_{pm}^{t} \le PR_{F}^{t} \le PR_{MF}^{t}, \ PR_{pm}^{t} \le PR_{DD}^{t} \le PR_{MD}, \ \forall t \quad (25)$$

6) Power Network Constraints: The power network contraints are stated as (26)–(35). Inequality(26) is the constraint traints are stated as (26)–(35). Inequality(26) is the constraint are power output from the power network to FCS and DWCS. Inequality (27) is the constraint on PVs' outtraints, in which w refers to all power network lines contraints, in which w refers to all power network lines connected to the power network node corresponding to road l. Equation of power network line voltage drop is given as (30), the in which a and b are power network nodes connected with power network lines w. Inequality (31) is the nodal volttrained age constraint, in which e represents any power network nodes. Equations (32)–(35) are the constraints on the power line resistance and reactance after the power line capacity expansion.

$$0 \le P_{dn}^{t,l} \le \left(M_{rf}^l + M_{rd}^l\right) \delta_M, \ \forall t,l$$
(26)

$$0 \le P_{pv}^{t,l} \le n_{pv}^l P_v^t \quad , \forall t, l$$

$$(27)$$

452
$$\sum_{w} P_{ad}^{t,w} = P_{bl}^{t,l} + P_{dn}^{t,l}, \forall t, l, w$$
 (28)

453
$$0 \le S_{ad}^{t,w} \le EC_{or}^{w} + M_{pn}^{w}EC_{pn}, \quad \forall t, w$$
 (29)

454
$$U_{t,a} - U_{t,b} = (P_{ad}^{t,w} r_{ne}^{w} + Q_{bl}^{t,w} x_{ne}^{w})/U_N, \quad \forall t, w, a, b$$
 (30)

$$U_m \le U_{t,e} \le U_M, \ \forall t, e \tag{31}$$

456
$$r_{ne}^{w} = r_{or}^{w} + M_{pn}^{w} (r_{com}^{w} - r_{or}^{w}), \forall w$$
 (32)

457
$$x_{ne}^{w} = x_{or}^{w} + M_{pn}^{w}(x_{com}^{w} - x_{or}^{w}), \forall w$$
 (33)

458
$$r_{co}^{w} = \left[(r_{or}^{w})^{2} r_{ad}^{w} + (r_{ad}^{w})^{2} r_{or}^{w} + (x_{or}^{w})^{2} r_{ad}^{w} + (x_{ad}^{w})^{2} r_{or}^{w} \right] / \left[(r_{or}^{w} + r_{ad}^{w})^{2} + (x_{or}^{w} + x_{ad}^{w})^{2} \right], \forall w$$

$$\begin{array}{l} 460 \\ 461 \\ 461 \\ 462 \\ 462 \\ 463 \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{l} (34) \\ x_{co}^{w} = \left[\left(r_{ad}^{w} \right)^{2} x_{or}^{w} + \left(r_{or}^{w} \right)^{2} x_{ad}^{w} + \left(x_{or}^{w} \right)^{2} x_{ad}^{w} \\ + \left(x_{ad}^{w} \right)^{2} x_{or}^{w} \right] / \left[\left(r_{or}^{w} + r_{ad}^{w} \right)^{2} + \left(x_{or}^{w} + x_{ad}^{w} \right)^{2} \right], \ \forall w \end{aligned}$$

464 D. Inner-Level Objective and Constraints

469

⁴⁶⁵ 1) Inner-Level Objective: The objective of the inner-level ⁴⁶⁶ is to minimize the charging costs of EV users, in which the ⁴⁶⁷ charging service costs (I_{fd}) and the charging time costs (C_{tc}) ⁴⁶⁸ are considered. The inner-level objective is stated as:

$$\min I_{fd} + C_{tc} \tag{36}$$

Because the adoption of FC requires additional time for transformation of FC requires additional time for transformation for the time cost. In existing researches, the transformation with the time cost by converting transformation of the time cost by convert the time cost by convert the time cost by convert transformation of the time cost by

478
$$C_{tc} = 365 \sum_{t} \sum_{od} \sum_{k} \sum_{l} E_{f}^{t,od,k,l} PR_{hw}/P_{F}, \ \forall t, od, k, l \ (37)$$

2) Energy Demand Constraints: The energy demand con- 479 straints are stated as (38)–(44). Inequality (38) denotes that 480 the energy demand should not exceed the maximum energy 481 demand of O-D pair od, at time *t*. Inequalities (39) and (40) 462 denote that energy demand should not exceed the installa- 483 tion limits of charging facilities. At the same time, con- 484 straints (13), (39) and (40) can relax constraint (6) in EDA 485 because they are stricter constraints. Inequality (41) is the 486 constraint on the proportion of EVs with DWC technology. 487 Inequality (42) set the lower bound of total energy demand. 488 lnequalities (43)–(44) ensure that the energy demand should 489 just be assigned on the roads where EVs are passing: 490

$$0 \le \sum_{k} \sum_{l} \left(E_{f}^{t,od,k,l} + E_{d}^{t,od,k,l} \right) \le E_{g}^{t,od}, \ \forall t, od, k, l \ (38) \ _{491}$$

$$0 \le \sum_{od} \sum_{k} E_f^{t,od,k,l} \le n_{cp}^l P_F T_u, \ \forall t, od, k, l$$

$$(39) \quad {}_{492}$$

$$0 \le \sum_{od} \sum_{k} E_{d}^{t,od,k,l} \le P_{D} T_{D}^{t,l} V_{l} M_{rd}^{l}, \ \forall t, od, k, l$$
(40) 493

$$0 \leq \sum_{k} \sum_{l} E_{d}^{t,od,k,l} \leq E_{g}^{t,od} \eta_{d}, \ \forall t, od, k, l$$

$$\tag{41} \quad \text{494}$$

$$\lambda_E \sum_{t} \sum_{od} E_g^{t,od} \leq \sum_{t} \sum_{od} \sum_{k} \sum_{l} \left(E_f^{t,od,k,l} + E_d^{t,od,k,l} \right), \quad \text{495}$$

$$\forall l, 0a, K, l \quad (42) \quad 496$$

$$0 \le E_f^{t,od,k,l} \le M_{ps}^{t,od,k,l} \delta_M, \ \forall t, od, k, l$$

$$(43) \ _{497}$$

$$0 \le E_d^{t,od,k,l} \le M_{ps}^{t,od,k,l} \delta_M, \ \forall t, od, k, l$$
(44) 498

3) Coupling Constraints: Equations (45)–(46) are the coupling constraints on the active/reactive/apparent power which 500 are decided by the power network/PV/ESS output power and 501 the FC/DWC charging power: 502

$$P_{dn}^{t,l} + P_{pv}^{t,l} + P_{es}^{t,l} = \sum_{od} \sum_{k} \left(E_{f}^{t,od,k,l} / \eta_{F} + E_{d}^{t,od,k,l} / \eta_{D} \right) / T_{u} \quad \text{503}$$

$$\forall l, Oa, K, l \quad (45) \quad 504$$

508

$$\sum_{w} S_{ad}^{i,w} = S_{bl}^{i,i} - S_{pv}^{i,i} - S_{es}^{i,i} + \sum_{od} \sum_{k} (E_{f}^{i,od,k,i}/\eta_{F})$$
505

$$+E_d^{t,od,k,l}/\eta_D \Big) \Big/ T_u, \forall t, od, k, l, w \quad (46) \quad 506$$

III. SOLUTION OF THE PROPOSED MODEL 507

A. Bi-Level Programming Reformulation

To transform the bi-level programming problem into a ⁵⁰⁹ single-level problem, the KKT conditions are adopted to reformulate the inner-level of the proposed optimization model. The ⁵¹¹ reformulated model contains primal feasible conditions, dual ⁵¹² feasible conditions and complementary slackness conditions. ⁵¹³ The primal feasible conditions are proposed in Section II-D, ⁵¹⁴ which include the energy demand constraints (38)–(44) and the ⁵¹⁵ coupling constraints (45)–(46). Taking FC as the example, the ⁵¹⁶ dual feasible condition is stated as (47). The complementary ⁵¹⁷ slackness conditions are stated as (48)–(55). ⁵¹⁸

$$365(PR_F^t + PR_{hw}/P_F) - \tau_{f,1}^{t,od} + \tau_{f,2}^{t,od} - \tau_{f,3}^{t,l} + \tau_{f,4}^{t,l}$$
 519

$$-\tau_{f,5}^{t,od,k,l} - \tau_{f,6} + \tau_{f,7}^{t,od,k,l} + \left(\nu_{f,1}^{t,l} + \nu_{f,2}^{t,l}\right) / (\eta_F T_u) = 0, \qquad 520$$

$$\forall t, od, k, l \qquad (47) \qquad 521$$

$$\sum_{k} 0 \leq \left[\sum_{k} \sum_{l} \left(E_{f}^{t,od,k,l} + E_{d}^{t,od,k,l}\right)\right] \perp \tau_{f,1}^{t,od} \geq 0, \ \forall t, od, k, l$$

524
$$0 \leq \left[E_{g}^{t,od} - \sum_{k} \sum_{l} \left(E_{f}^{t,od,k,l} + E_{d}^{t,od,k,l} \right) \right] \perp \tau_{f,2}^{t,od} \geq 0,$$
525
$$\forall t, od, k, l \quad (49)$$

$$0 \leq \left(\sum_{od} \sum_{k} E_f^{t,od,k,l}\right) \perp \tau_{f,3}^{t,l} \geq 0, \quad \forall t, od, k, l$$

$$(50)$$

527
$$0 \le \left(n_{cp}^{l} P_{F} T_{u} - \sum_{od} \sum_{k} E_{f}^{t,od,k,l} \right) \bot \tau_{f,4}^{t,l} \ge 0, \ \forall t, od, k, l$$
528 (51)

529
$$0 \le E_f^{t,od,k,l} \perp \tau_{f,5}^{t,od,k,l} \ge 0, \ \forall t, od, k, l$$
 (52)

$$530 \quad 0 \leq 365\beta_P \left[\sum_{t} \sum_{od} E_g^{t,od} - \sum_{t} \sum_{od} \sum_{k} \sum_{l} \left(E_f^{t,od,k,l} + E_f^{t,od,k,l} \right) \right] + \tau_{t} \epsilon_{od} \quad \forall t, od, k, l$$

$$(53)$$

$$532 \quad 0 \le \left(E_{f}^{t,od,k,l} - M_{ps}^{t,od,k,l}\delta_{M}\right) \perp \tau_{f,7}^{t,od,k,l} \ge 0, \ \forall t, od, k, l \ (54)$$

⁵³³ where $\tau_{f,1}^{t,od} - \tau_{f,7}^{t,od}$ are the dual variables of EDA constraints; ⁵³⁴ $v_{f,1}^{t,l}$, $v_{f,2}^{t,l}$, $v_{d,1}^{t,l}$, $v_{d,2}^{t,l}$ are the dual variables of power balance ⁵³⁵ constraints.

536 B. Linearization of Complementary Slackness Conditions

The big-M approach is adopted to linearize the bi-linear 537 538 terms in the complementary slackness conditions. Taking con-539 straint (48) as an example, the linearized constraints of it are 540 stated as (55)-(56).

$${}^{541} \quad 0 \le \sum_{k} \sum_{l} \left(E_{f}^{t,od,k,l} + E_{d}^{t,od,k,l} \right) \le \varepsilon_{f,1}^{t,od} \delta_{M}, \ \forall t, od, k, l \ (55)$$

$$542 \quad 0 \le \tau_{f,1}^{t,od} \le \left(1 - \varepsilon_{f,1}^{t,od}\right) \delta_M \quad \forall t, od, k, l$$

$$(56)$$

543 where $\varepsilon_{f,1}^{t,od}$ is the auxiliary variable for linearization of 544 complementary slackness conditions.

545 C. Linearization of Outer-Level Objective

In the proposed model, $E_{f}^{t,od,k,l}$, $E_{d}^{t,od,k,l}$, PR_{F}^{t} , PR_{D}^{t} are 546 547 variables so there exist bi-linear terms $E_f^{t,od,k,l}PR_F^t$ and 548 $E_d^{t,od,k,l} P R_D^t$ in the reformulated single-level problem. We 549 adopted the McCormick relaxation approach to linearize these 550 bi-linear terms. We introduce auxiliary variables $BI_{f}^{t,od,k,l}$ ⁵⁵¹ and $BI_d^{t,od,k,l}$ to replace the bi-linear terms $E_f^{t,od,k,l}PR_F^t$ ⁵⁵² and $E_d^{t,od,k,l}PR_D^t$ respectively, which are stated as (57)–(58). ⁵⁵³ Meanwhile, constraint (9) is reformulated as (59). Taking ⁵⁵⁴ auxiliary variable $BI_f^{t,od,k,l}$ as the example, the additional con-555 straints on the McCormick relaxation approach are stated 556 as (60)-(63).

557
$$BI_{f}^{t,od,k,l} = E_{f}^{t,od,k,l} PR_{F}^{t}, \ \forall t, od, k, l$$
 (57)

$$BI_d^{t,od,k,l} = E_d^{t,od,k,l} PR_D^t, \quad \forall t, od, k, l$$
(58)

⁵⁵⁹
$$I_{fd} = 365 \sum_{t} \sum_{od} \sum_{k} \sum_{l} \left(BI_{f}^{t,od,k,l} + BI_{d}^{t,od,k,l} \right),$$

⁵⁶⁰ $\forall t, od, k, l$ (59)

560

$$BI_f^{t,od,k,l} \ge PR_{pm}^t E_f^{t,od,k,l}, \quad \forall t, od, k, l$$
(60) 56

$$P_{f}^{T,ou,n,r} \ge \mu_{P} P_{F} T_{u} P R_{F}^{r} + P R_{MF} E_{f}^{T,ou,n,r}$$

$$562$$

$$-\mu p_{I} F_{I u I} R_{pm}, \forall i, \partial u, \kappa, i \tag{61} 563$$

$$-\mu_P P_F T_u P R_{pm}^t, \ \forall t, od, k, l$$
(63) 566

D. Linearization of Nodal Voltage Constraints

When combining (30) with (32) and (33), (30) will be 568 reformulated as (64), which contains nonlinear term $P_{ad}^{t,e}M_{pn}^{e}$. 569 We introduce auxiliary variables $UP_{t,e}$ to replace the term 570 $P_{ad}^{t,e}M_{pn}^{e}$. Then we adopted the big-M approach to linearize 571 constraint (64) and reformulate it as (65)-(67). 572

$$J_{t,a} - U_{t,b} = \left[P_{ad}^{t,e} r_{or}^{e} + Q_{bl}^{t,e} x_{or}^{e} + (r_{co}^{e} - r_{or}^{e}) P_{ad}^{t,e} M_{pn}^{e} \right]$$
573

$$+ (x_{co}^{e} - x_{or}^{e})Q_{bl}^{i,e}M_{pn}^{e}]/U_{N}, \ \forall t, e, a, b \ (64) \ 574$$

$$- [P^{i,e}r^{e} + Q^{i,e}r^{e} + (r^{e} - r^{e})]/P_{i}$$

$$-U_{t,b} = \left[P_{ad}^{e} r_{or}^{e} + Q_{bl}^{e} x_{or}^{e} + (r_{co}^{e} - r_{or}^{e}) U P_{t,e} \right] + \left(x_{co}^{e} - x_{or}^{e} \right) Q_{bl}^{t,e} M_{nn}^{e} / U_{N}, \quad \forall t, e, a, b \quad (65) \quad 576$$

$$0 \le UP_{t,e} \le P_{ad}^{t,e}, \quad \forall t,e \tag{66} 577$$

$$P_{ad}^{t,e} - \left(1 - M_{pn}^{e}\right)\delta_{M} \le UP_{t,e} \le M_{pn}^{e}\delta_{M}, \ \forall t,e$$

$$(67) \quad 574$$

E. Linearization of Nodal Voltage Constraints

I

 $U_{t,a}$

S

(9)-(67)

The McCormick relaxation can lead to inaccurate approx- 580 imate solutions during model solving. In order to pro- 581 vide tighter valid bounds to the relaxed optimal problem 582 solving step, an OBBT-SBT-based approach that combines 583 optimization-based bound tightening (OBBT) with sequential 584 bound tightening (SBT) is employed [32]. Firstly, the OBBT 585 method is employed to derive the valid bounds of variables. 586 Based on the results of OBBT, the SBT method is employed 587 to further tighten the relaxation.

1) OBBT Method: We first employ the OBBT method to 589 improve the bounds of the relaxed optimal problem. Defining 590 B_{μ}^{i} and B_{l}^{i} as the upper/lower bound set of variables at 591 the *i*th iteration. There are two models for the upper/lower 592 bound of the OBBT method, and the objectives of which 593 are stated as (68) and (69), respectively. ε in the added con- 594 straint (70) represents a local feasible solution of the original 595 bi-level problem: 596

$$F_u: \min B_u \tag{68} \text{ 597}$$

$$F_l:\min B_l \tag{69} 598$$

s.t.
$$I_{fd} - C_{op} - C_{inv} \cdot \lfloor (1+\alpha)^{\gamma} - 1 \rfloor / \alpha \gamma^2 \ge \varepsilon$$
 (70) 599

The solving process of the OBBT method is presented in 601 Algorithm 1. In line 1, the tolerance values of upper/lower 602 bound (tv_u, tv_l) , original upper/lower bounds (B_u^0, B_l^0) are 603 input. The ending condition of the iteration in lines 3–7 is 604 that the l^{∞} norms between two adjacent iteration results less 605 than the tolerance values. In line 4, the *i*th iteration results 606 are drawn through F_{μ} and F_{l} . In line 5, the upper and lower 607 bounds of i - 1th iteration and *i*th iteration are compared and 608

567

579

Algorithm 1 OBBT Method

1:	input: $tv_u, tv_l, B^0_{\mu}, B^0_{l}$
2:	initialize: $i = 1$
3:	while $(\ B_u^i - B_u^{i-1}\ _{\infty} < tv_u) \&\&(\ B_l^i - B_l^{i-1}\ _{\infty} < tv_l)$
4:	$[B_u^i] = F_u(B_u^{i-1}), \ [B_l^i] = F_l(B_l^{i-1})$
5:	$B_{u}^{i} = \min(B_{u}^{i}, B_{u}^{i-1}), B_{l}^{i} = \max(B_{l}^{i}, B_{l}^{i-1})$
6:	i = i + 1
7:	end

Algorithm 2 SBT Method

1:	input: tv_s , $\{\psi^j\}_{i=1}^J$, B_{su}^0 , B_{sl}^0
2:	initialize: $j = 1$
3:	while $TR_j \leq tv_s$
4:	$[B_{cu}^{j}] = F_{o}(B_{su}^{j-1}, B_{sl}^{j-1})$
5:	$B_{su}^{j} = (1 + \psi^{j})B_{cu}^{j}, B_{sl}^{j} = (1 - \psi^{j})B_{cu}^{j}$
6:	j = j + 1
7.	end

⁶⁰⁹ the tighter bounds are assigned to B_u^i and B_l^i . The proofs of ⁶¹⁰ parallelizability and convergence are shown in [33].

2) SBT Method: Based on the results of the OBBT method, we adopted the SBT method to further improve the tightness of bounds [32], which is presented in Algorithm 2.

Defining B_{su}^{j} and B_{sl}^{j} as the upper/lower bound sets of vari-614 615 ables at the *j*th iteration. The original upper/lower bounds 616 after tightening with OBBT method (B_{su}^0, B_{sl}^0) , the conver-617 gence tolerance (tv_s) and a decreasing sequence $(\{\psi^j\}_{i=1}^J)$ 618 are input in line 1. Lines 3-7 represent the iteration func-619 tion, and the ending condition is that the tightness value 620 of relaxation (TR_i) is not greater than the convergence tol-621 erance tv_s . The TR_i is measured as the absolute value of 622 the difference between the actual variables and the auxiliary 623 variables, which is denoted in (71). The F_o represents the 624 optimal problem after dealing with the linearizing processes 625 in chapters 3.1-3.4. The current bound of the *j*th iteration ⁶²⁶ (B_{cu}^{j}) is worked out based on the upper and lower bounds ⁶²⁷ of j - 1th iteration $(B_{su}^{j-1}, B_{sl}^{j-1})$. Finally, the upper and ⁶²⁸ lower bounds of *j*th iteration $(\tilde{B}_{su}^{j}, B_{sl}^{j})$ are updated based on 629 the B'_{CU} .

$$\mathbf{TR} = \left| \sum \sum \sum \left(BI_{df}^{t,od,k,l} + BI_{df}^{t,od,k,l} - E_{df}^{t,od,k,l} PR_{F}^{t} - E_{dd}^{t,od,k,l} PR_{D}^{t} \right) / \left(E_{df}^{t,od,k,l} PR_{F}^{t} + E_{dd}^{t,od,k,l} PR_{D}^{t} \right) \right|,$$

$$\mathbf{TR} = \left| \sum \sum \sum \left(BI_{df}^{t,od,k,l} + BI_{df}^{t,od,k,l} - E_{df}^{t,od,k,l} PR_{F}^{t} - E_{dd}^{t,od,k,l} PR_{D}^{t} \right) \right|,$$

$$\mathbf{TR} = \left| \sum \sum \sum \sum \left(BI_{df}^{t,od,k,l} + BI_{df}^{t,od,k,l} - E_{df}^{t,od,k,l} PR_{F}^{t} - E_{dd}^{t,od,k,l} PR_{F}^{t} - E_{dd}^{t,od,k,l} PR_{D}^{t} \right) \right|,$$

$$\mathbf{TR} = \left| \sum \sum \sum \sum \left(BI_{df}^{t,od,k,l} + BI_{df}^{t,od,k,l} - E_{df}^{t,od,k,l} PR_{F}^{t} - E_{dd}^{t,od,k,l} PR_{F}^{t} - E_{dd}^{t,od,k,l} PR_{D}^{t} \right) \right|,$$

$$\mathbf{TR} = \left| \sum \sum \sum \left(BI_{df}^{t,od,k,l} + BI_{df}^{t,od,k,l} - E_{dd}^{t,od,k,l} PR_{D}^{t} \right) \right|,$$

$$\mathbf{TR} = \left| \sum \sum \sum \left(BI_{df}^{t,od,k,l} + BI_{df}^{t,od,k,l} - E_{dd}^{t,od,k,l} PR_{D}^{t} \right) \right|,$$

$$\mathbf{TR} = \left| \sum \sum \left(BI_{df}^{t,od,k,l} + BI_{df}^{t,od,k,l} - E_{dd}^{t,od,k,l} PR_{D}^{t} \right) \right|,$$

$$\mathbf{TR} = \left| \sum \sum \left(BI_{df}^{t,od,k,l} + BI_{df}^{t,od,k,l} - E_{dd}^{t,od,k,l} PR_{D}^{t} \right) \right|,$$

$$\mathbf{TR} = \left| \sum \sum \left(BI_{df}^{t,od,k,l} + BI_{df}^{t,od,k,l} - E_{dd}^{t,od,k,l} PR_{D}^{t} \right) \right|,$$

$$\mathbf{TR} = \left| \sum \left(BI_{df}^{t,od,k,l} + BI_{df}^{t,od,k,l} + BI_{df}^{t,od,k,l} PR_{D}^{t} \right) \right|,$$

$$\mathbf{TR} = \left| \sum \left(BI_{df}^{t,od,k,l} + BI_{df}^{t,od,k,l} + BI_{df}^{t,od,k,l} PR_{D}^{t} \right) \right|,$$

$$\mathbf{TR} = \left| \sum \left(BI_{df}^{t,od,k,l} + BI_{df}^{t,od,k,l} + BI_{df}^{t,od,k,l} + BI_{df}^{t,od,k,l} + BI_{df}^{t,od,k,l} PR_{D}^{t} \right) \right|,$$

$$\mathbf{TR} = \left| \sum \left(BI_{df}^{t,od,k,l} + BI_{df}^{t,od,$$

IV. CASE STUDY

633

In this section, we apply the proposed market-based coordinated planning method to the 21 power nodes-12 traffic nodes network (P21-T12 network) and the 54 power nodes-25 traffic nodes network (P54-T25 network). The parameter settings are listed in Table I [28], [29], [30], [31]. The time-of-use (TOU) electricity price is adopted to simulate the fluctuation of electricity price [39], [40]. The baseload curve and the traffic demand curve are represented as per-unit values, which

TABLE I Parameter Settings

Param.	Val.	Param.	Val.	Param.	Val.
α	0.05	$\mu_{\scriptscriptstyle V}$	4MW	PR_{dwc}	1×10 ⁵ \$
γ	20	$\mu_{\scriptscriptstyle E}$	4MWh	PR_{pv}	7.8×10 ⁴ \$/MW
E_u	22.5kWh	$\eta_{\scriptscriptstyle DWC}$	0.8	PR _{es}	1.2×10 ⁵ \$/MWh
T_u	1h	$\eta_{\scriptscriptstyle ep}$	0.8	PR_{opf}	1.5\$/h
P_F / P_D	44/40 kW	${U}_{\scriptscriptstyle N}$	10kV	PR_{opd}	83\$/h
$\eta_{\scriptscriptstyle ie}/\eta_{\scriptscriptstyle oe}$	0.9/0.9	U_m / U_M	9.5/10.5 kV	PR_{dl}	3×10 ⁵ \$
$\eta_{\scriptscriptstyle F}$ / $\eta_{\scriptscriptstyle D}$	0.92/ 0.9	PR_{ic}	1.63×10 ⁵ \$	PR_{hw}	20\$/h
$\lambda_{\scriptscriptstyle E}$	0.6	PR_{id}	5×104 \$	β_P	0.1\$/kWh
$\mu_{\scriptscriptstyle P}$	400	PR_{cp}	4×10 ³ \$	EC_{es}	100 kWh



Fig. 4. Baseload curve and traffic demand curve.

are shown in Fig. 4 [22]. The reference values of which are 642 the installation capacities of each power node. In order to 643 reflect the influence of environmental factors on PVs output 644 power, eight typical scenarios of PVs output power [37] are 645 proposed in this paper according to the difference of seasons 646 (spring, summer, autumn and winter) and light intensity (high 647 radiation, low radiation), as shown in Fig. 5(a) and Fig. 5(b). 648 Fig. 5(a) is the PV daily output power curve of high radia- 649 tion days, while Fig. 5(b) is the PVs daily output power curve 650 of low radiation days. According to historical data [38], the 651 number of high radiation days in spring, summer, autumn 652 and winter was selected as 22, 25, 19 and 13 respectively. 653 The simulation cases are operated based on the MATLAB 654 2019a platform in CPLEX with Intel Core i7-9750H, 32 GB 655 of memory. 656

A. Case Studies Based on P21-T12 Network

The 21 power nodes-12 traffic nodes network (P21-T12 658 network) is adopted in this Section [14]. It consists of twenty- 659 one power nodes, twelve traffic nodes and twelve traffic roads. 660 There are eight connection nodes between power network 661 and traffic network, which make the network coupled. In this 662 network, road T4-T8 belongs to the urban area when other 663 roads belong to suburban areas. In this paper, there is no 664 restriction on the construction area of FCSs and DWCSs. They 665 are allowed to be constructed in urban or suburban areas. The 666 topology of it is shown in Fig. 6. In this section, we adopt 667

657



(b) Low radiation days.

Fig. 5. PV daily output power curves of different seasons.



Fig. 6. Topology of P21-T12 network.

⁶⁶⁸ three cases based on the P21-T12 network, which are shown ⁶⁶⁹ as follows: in Case 1, install both FCSs and DWCSs in the ⁶⁷⁰ P21-T12 network and set both the maximum numbers of FCSs ⁶⁷¹ and DWCSs as five; in Case 2, only install FCSs in the P21-⁶⁷² T12 network and set the maximum number of FCSs as five; ⁶⁷³ in Case 3, only install DWCSs in the P21-T12 network and ⁶⁷⁴ set the maximum number of DWCSs as five. The number of ⁶⁷⁵ EV trips in these cases is 5×10^4 . The size of the EV batteries ⁶⁷⁶ is 75kWh.

677 According to the proposed planning method, the sites of 678 FCSs, DWCSs and additional power cables of Case 1 are 679 shown in Fig. 7(a). As the comparison cases, Case 2 and Case 3 are also simulated based on the P21-T12 network. The 680 sites of FCSs. DWCSs and additional power cables of Case 2 681 and Case 3 are shown in Fig. 7(b) and Fig. 7(c), respectively. 682 The detailed planning results of the above cases are listed in 683 Table II. In Table II, Px-Ty1-Ty2(a, b, c) and Px-Ty1-Ty2(b, c) 684 685 represent the FCS and DWCS planning results, respectively. 686 Px is the corresponding power network node of the road



Roads installed with both FCSs /DWCSs
Links installed with added cables
Roads installed with DWCSs

Fig. 7. FCSs/DWCSs siting and power cables expansion results of Case 1-3.

 TABLE II

 Detailed Planning Results Based on P21-T12 Network

		Planning results
	EGG	P4-T1-T2(140,4,4); P16-T5-T6(140,4,4); P11-
Casa 1	FCSS	T11-T12(130,3,3)
Case 1	DWCSs	P12-T8-T4(0,0); P19-T10-T12(0,0)
	Power lines	S1-P10; S1-P11
	FCSs	P7-T3-T1(240,6,6); P4-T1-T2(200,5,5); P16-T5-
Casa 2		T9(200,5,5); P11-T11-T8(240,6,6); P19-T10-
Case 2		T12(120,3,3)
	Power lines	S1-P7; S1-P10; S1-P11
Case 3	DWCS	P16-T5-T2(0,0); P12-T8-T4(0,0); P8-T9-
	DWC38	T12(0,0); P12-T8-T9(0,0)
	Power lines	S1-P10; S1-P11

planned with FCS (DWCS); Ty1 and Ty2 are the side nodes 687 of roads; a, b, c are the numbers of charging piles, PV (unit 688 capacity: 100 kW), and ESS (unit capacity: 100 kWh). 689

In Fig. 7(a), the only two DWCSs are installed on T4-T8 690 and T9-T12. In Fig. 7(c), T4-T8 is also installed with DWCS. 691 It is because that urban roads are more suitable for DWCS: the 692 traffic flow of urban roads is larger, which can provide larger 693 DWC energy demands. Meanwhile, DWCS has the character 694 of saving land costs, the high land price in urban areas has 695 little impact on its investment cost. 696



Fig. 8. Energy demand of Case 1.

TABLE III ECONOMIC RESULTS BASED ON P21-T12 NETWORK

	Case 1	Case 2	Case 3
C_{inv} /\$ (annual)	1.79×10^{6}	2.15×10^{6}	2.32×105
C_{pm} /\$	2.74×10^{6}	2.39×10^{6}	2.78×10^{6}
C_{op} /\$	9.59×10 ⁶	5.45×10^{6}	1.07×10^{7}
I _{fd} /\$	2.15×107	1.87×10^{7}	2.05×107
$C_{\iota c}$ /\$	1.73×10^{7}	3.16×107	0
$C_{\it pc}$ /\$	2.83×10 ⁶	5.60×10^{6}	4.25×10 ⁶
Charging payoff /\$	4.54×10^{6}	3.11×10 ⁶	4.54×105
Users' charging costs /\$	3.88×107	5.03×107	2.05×107

Fig. 8 shows the energy demand of Case 1. In Fig. 8, the 697 698 energy demand of DWC fluctuates more violently with traffic 699 demand than that of FCS, which means DWC energy demand 700 is influenced more by traffic demand. The average hourly 701 energy demand is 16.17 MWh. Within it, the energy demand 702 proportion of FC and DWC are 55.91% and 44.09%, indi-703 cating that EV owners generally have the same dependence 704 on FC and DWC in this scenario. During the peak periods (8 a.m. to 12 a.m. and 4 p.m. to 8 p.m.), the energy demand 705 706 of DWC is higher than that of FC. During the off-peak periods, the energy demand of FC is significantly higher than that 707 708 of DWC. In general, the ratio of FC to DWC energy demand 709 decreases with the increase of total charging energy demand. 710 During peak hours, the traffic flow on urban roads is greater 711 and DWCSs are mainly constructed in urban areas, so DWCSs 712 have higher charging energy demand during peak hours, while ⁷¹³ the case is on the contrary during off-peak hours.

The economic results are shown in Table III. The planning 714 715 scheme of Case 1 is the most economical one to charging 716 service provider, while that of Case 3 is the friendliest one 717 to EV users. In the aspect of penalty costs, the penalty costs Case 3 are almost about twice as much as that of Case 1. of 718 means that the advantage of Case 3 in EV users charging 719 It 720 costs is based on far lower energy demand which is satisfied. In the aspect of charging service provider, the charging ser-721 vice provider's charging payoff in Case 2 is dramatically lower 722 723 than that in Case 1, which means Case 1 can meet more 724 energy demand and is more economical. It is mainly because 725 that installing FCSs in urban areas is avoided for the sack of 726 land costs, the possible high energy demand in urban areas



Fig. 9. Topology of P54-T25 network.

is abandoned in Case 2, which can significantly reduce the 727 charging income.

When comparing Case 1 with Case 3, a similar conclusion 729 can be drawn. It is mainly because that the investment costs 730 of DWCSs are more expensive than FCSs in some suburban 731 areas when meeting the same quantity of energy demand. In 732 the aspect of EV users, the charging costs of Case 3 are lowest 733 while that of Case 2 is the highest. It is mainly because that it 734 takes time to charge when using FC but DWCSs do not take 735 extra time of EV users. 736

According to the analysis above, installing DWCSs in urban areas can capture the high energy demand in these areas and save the massive land costs; installing FCSs in suburban areas can satisfy the energy demand of these areas and cost far fewer investment costs of devices than DWCSs. Coordinated planning has the advantages of meeting more energy demand and being more economical.

B. Case Studies Based on P54-T25 Network

The 54 power nodes-25 traffic nodes network (P54-T25 ⁷⁴⁵ network) is adopted in this Section [14]. It consists of fifty-four ⁷⁴⁶ power nodes, twenty-five traffic nodes and twenty traffic roads. ⁷⁴⁷ There are seven connection nodes between power network ⁷⁴⁸ and traffic network, which make the network coupled. In this ⁷⁴⁹ network, roads T5-T6, T6-T7, T7-T11, T7-T12 and T11-T12 ⁷⁵⁰ belong to the urban areas when other roads belong to suburban ⁷⁵¹ areas. The topology of it is shown in Fig. 9.

744

In this section, we work out three cases based on the P54- 753 T25 network, which are shown as follows: in Case 4, install 754 both FCSs and DWCSs in the P54-T25 network and set both 755 the maximum numbers of FCSs and DWCSs as ten; in Case 5, 766 only install FCSs in the P54-T25 network and set the max-757 imum number of FCSs as twenty; in Case 6, only install 758 DWCSs in the P54-T25 network and set the maximum num-759 ber of DWCSs as twenty. The number of EV trips in these 760 cases is 1×10^5 . The size of the EV batteries is 75kWh. 761 It should be noted that this paper focuses on the impact of 762 the proposed planning method on charging service provider 763

	Planning results		
		P9-T4-T3(170,10,7); P9-T4-T5(140,7,6); P9-T4-	
		T9(90,12,8); P30-T8-T9(100,10,8); P30-T8-	
	FCSs	T13(160,20,9); P12-T14-T10(160,19,7); P12-	
		T14-T13(120,5,5); P46-T19-T13(160,20,8); P46-	
Case 4		T19-T17(160,18,10); P46-T19-T20(160,15,9)	
	DWCG-	P4-T7-T4(22,10); P4-T7-T5(40,8); P4-T7-	
	Dwess	T11(26,10); P4-T7-T12(40,9); P30-T8-T10(28,9)	
	D 1	S1-P1; S1-P3; P1-P9; P3-P4; S2-P14; P14-P46;	
	Power lines	S4-P30	
		P3-T7-T4(120,10,15); P4-T7-T8(60,10,5); P9-T4-	
		T2(160,16,8); P9-T4-T4(130,14,10); P9-T4-	
	FCSs	T5(50,8,6); P9-T4-T8(160,20,24); P9-T4-	
		T9(80,12,17); P12-T14-T10(100,15,3); P12-T14-	
		T13(160,22,5); P12-T14-T21(120,20,7); P12-T14-	
0.5		T22(180,19,4); P30-T8-T9(180,27,10); P30-T8-	
Case 5		T10(110,20,7); P30-T8-T13(180,24,10); P30-T8-	
		T11(160,14,16); P35-T11-T13(120,5,5); P46-T19-	
		T13(210,23,9); P46-T19-T14(160,14,8); P46-	
		T19-T17(170,26,14); P46-T19-T20(140,25,9)	
	Power lines	S1-P1; P1-P9; S1-P3; P3-P4;S2-P11; P11-P12;	
		S2-P14; P14-P46; S4-P30	
		P4-T7-T6(12,15); P4-T7-T11(22,16); P9-T4-	
		T7(18,12); P9-T4-T8(26,10); P30-T8-T9(10,8);	
Case 6	DWCSs	P12-T14-T13(30,6); P12-T14-T19(24,8); P30-T8-	
		T10(26,9); P30-T8-T13(19,7); P35-T11-	
		T16(40,0); P35-T11-T13(20,14); P35-T11-	
		T12(18,12)	
	Power lines	S1-P1; P1-P9; S1-P3; P3-P4; S2-P11; P11-P12;	
		S3-P36; P36-P35; S4-P30	

TABLE IV Detailed Planning Results Based on P54-T25 Network

⁷⁶⁴ and EV user group, and does not consider the impact of the ⁷⁶⁵ planning scheme on other social entities.

According to the proposed market-based coordinated planref ning method, the planning sites of FCSs, DWCSs and addiref tional power cables of Case 4 are shown in Fig. 10(a). As ref the comparison cases, Case 5 and Case 6 are also simulated pased on the P54-T25 network. The planning sites of FCSs, DWCSs and additional power cables of Case 5 and Case 6 are ref shown in Fig. 10(b) and Fig. 10(c), respectively. The detailed planning results of the cases are listed in Table IV.

The planning sites of FCSs and DWCSs in the P54-T25 774 775 network are similar to those in the P21-T12 network. In 776 Fig. 10(a), all the ten FCSs are installed in suburban areas, 777 and three of the five DWCSs are installed in urban areas. In ⁷⁷⁸ Fig. 10(b), there are twenty FCSs are installed in the network. 779 Even in the condition that the number of FCSs reaches the 780 upper limit, there is still no FCS installed in the urban area 781 due to the high land costs. On the contrary, there are three 782 urban roads installed with DWCSs. It indicates that DWCSs 783 are more economical than FCSs in urban areas. In the aspect 784 of power cables expansion, all three cases expand the power 785 cables very concentrated. It is because that most of the roads 786 installed with FCSs or DWCSs are connected to P4, P7, P12, P19 and P30. The concentration of charging load can help to 787 reduce the number of power cables that need to be expanded, 788 thus reducing investment costs. 789

Fig. 11 shows the energy demand of Case 4, according to real it, the average hourly charging energy demand in a typical day real of Case 4 is 30.05MWh; the energy demand of FC and DWC



Fig. 10. FCSs/DWCSs siting and power cables expansion results of Case 4-6.

are 17.75MWh and 12.30MWh, respectively, accounting for 793 59.06% and 40.94% of the total energy demand. Compared 794 with Case 1, the proportion of FC energy demand in Case 4 795 is significantly higher. As shown in Fig. 10(a), the number of 796 FCSs is far more than DWCSs. It indicates that EV users have 797 greater reliance on FC in a bigger network. 798

The economic results are shown in Table V. Case 4- 799 Case 6 simulate the scene that the energy demand is much 800 larger than the charging service resources. In this scene, the 801



Fig. 11. Energy demand of Case 4.

 TABLE V

 ECONOMIC RESULTS BASED ON P54-T25 NETWORK

	Case 4	Case 5	Case 6
C_{inv} /\$ (annual)	7.99×10^{6}	1.27×10^{7}	9.02×10^{6}
C_{pm} /\$	8.31×10 ⁶	8.10×10^{6}	8.54×10^{6}
C_{op} /\$	2.36×107	1.27×10^{7}	3.01×10 ⁷
$I_{\it fd}$ /\$	5.24×107	4.46×107	5.62×107
C_{tc} /\$	7.18×10 ⁷	1.11×10^{8}	0
$C_{\it pc}$ /\$	6.10×10^{6}	6.31×10 ⁶	6.61×10^{6}
Charging payoff /\$	6.40×10^{6}	4.79×10^{6}	1.93×106
Users' charging costs /\$	1.24×10^{8}	1.57×10^{8}	5.62×107

TABLE VI

COMPUTING TIME OF THE PLANNING METHODS BASED ON EDA AND TA

	Case 1	Case 4	Case 7	Case 8
Networks	P21-T12	P54-T25	P21-T12	P54-T25
Methods	Based on EDA	Based on EDA	Based on TA	Based on TA
Computing time/ s	1.12×10^{4}	1.89×10^{4}	2.01×10 ⁴	3.94×104
Charging payoff /\$	4.54×10 ⁶	6.40×10 ⁶	4.11×10 ⁶	5.36×10 ⁶
Users' charging costs /\$	3.88×107	1.24×10 ⁸	4.07×10 ⁷	1.27×10^{8}

⁸⁰² penalty costs of Case 4-Case 6 are close, but the charging pay⁸⁰³ off of Case 4 is much greater than that of Case 5 and Case 6.
⁸⁰⁴ It means that in the extreme scenes with a huge energy gap,
⁸⁰⁵ the proposed method is still economical.

In order to further validate the effectiveness of the proposed planning method, we construct case 7 and case 8 to compare with case 1 and case 4 respectively. Both case 7 and case 8 adopt the TA model [43], and they are constructed based on P21-T12 network and P54-T25 network, respectively.

Table VI compares the computing time, charging payoff and users' charging costs of case 1, case 4, case 7 and case 8. As and case 8 cases based on the same network, the application of the EDAbased planning method will lead to higher charging payoff for charging service provider and lower users' charging costs.

V. CONCLUSION 823

Firstly, a market-based coordinated planning model was 824 proposed, whose outer-level objective is to maximize the 825 charging service profit of the charging service provider and 826 the inner-level objective is to minimize the total charg- 827 ing cost of the EV users. The siting and sizing plans 828 of FCSs/DWCSs/PVs/ESSs, the expansion plan of power 829 network cables and the charging prices of FC and DWC are 830 the main decision variables of the outer-level problem. The 831 spatial-temporal distribution of FC and DWC energy demands 832 are the main decision variables of the inner-level problem. 833 Secondly, the EDA model is proposed to simulate the distri- 834 bution of charging energy demand in the inner-level problem, 835 which avoids integer variables in the traditional TA model, thus 836 reducing the solving complexity of the bi-level programming 837 problem. Then the bi-level programming problem is recon- 838 structed into a single-level programming problem by KKT 839 condition, and the linearization of the reconstructed problem 840 was performed by the Big-M method and McCormick relax- 841 ation method. On this basis, the OBBT-SBT method is used 842 to tighten the variable boundary, so as to obtain a tighter 843 boundary. Finally, in the case study, six scenarios based on the 844 P21-T12 network and the P54-T25 network are used to ver- 845 ify the effectiveness of the market-based coordinated planning 846 method. The simulation results show that FCS and DWCS 847 have significant complementary characteristics in terms of 848 economy in the case of differentiated land prices, and the 849 proposed method can make the most of this feature, improve 850 the charging service profit of charging service provider, and 851 keep the total charging cost of EV users in a relatively low 852 range. 853

In the future research, the research content of this paper can be used as the basis of the EV charging navigation problem. EV charging navigation can provide specific charging schemes for EV individuals, including the selection of charging stations, charging paths and charging time [35]. Because this paper mainly studies the planning problem, it does not specifically consider the charging behavior of EV individuals. In the future research, we will consider the charging behavior characteristics of EV individuals, such as the uncertainty and bounded rationality of charging time and charging path selection [36].

References

- 865
- H. Wang, Z. Yan, X. Xu, and K. He, "Probabilistic power flow analysis see of microgrid with renewable energy," *Int. J. Elect. Power*, vol. 114, 867 Jan. 2020, Art. no. 105393.
- [2] X. Lu, S. Xia, W. Gu, K. Chan, and M. Shahidehpour, "Two-stage 869 robust distribution system operation by coordinating electric vehicle 870 aggregator charging and load curtailments," *Energy*, vol. 226, Jul. 2021, 871 Art. no. 120345.
- [3] M. Khodayar, L. Wu, and Z. Li, "Electric vehicle mobility in 873 transmission-constrained hourly power generation scheduling," *IEEE* 874 *Trans. Smart Grid*, vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 779–788, Jun. 2013. 875

- [4] M. Ban, J. Yu, M. Shahidehpour, D. Guo, and Y. Yao, "Electric vehicle battery swapping-charging system in power generation scheduling for managing ambient air quality and human health conditions," *IEEE Trans.*
- managing ambient air quality and human health conditions," *IEEE Trans. Smart Grid*, vol. 10, no. 6, pp. 6812–6825, Nov. 2019.
- Y. Sun, Z. Chen, Z. Li, W. Tian, and M. Shahidehpour, "EV charging schedule in coupled constrained networks of transportation and power system," *IEEE Trans. Smart Grid*, vol. 10, no. 5, pp. 4706–4716, Sep. 2019.
- [6] S. Xie, Z. Hu, and J. Wang, "Two-stage robust optimization for expansion planning of active distribution systems coupled with urban transportation networks," *Appl. Energy*, vol. 261, Mar. 2020, Art. no. 114412.
- [7] W. Wei, L. Wu, J. Wang, and S. Mei, "Network equilibrium of coupled transportation and power distribution systems," *IEEE Trans. Smart Grid*, vol. 9, no. 6, pp. 6764–6779, Nov. 2018.
- [8] W. Wei, S. Mei, L. Wu, M. Shahidehpour, and Y. Fang, "Optimal traffic-power flow in urban electrified transportation networks," *IEEE Trans. Smart Grid*, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 84–95, Jan. 2017.
- [9] Y. Sheng, Q. Guo, T. Yang, Z. Zhou, and H. Sun, "A potential security threat and its solution in coupled urban power-traffic networks with high penetration of electric vehicles," *CSEE J. Power Energy Syst.*, vol. 8, no. 4, pp. 1097–1109, Jul. 2022.
- T. Zhao, H. Yan, X. Liu, and Z. Ding, "Congestion-aware dynamic optimal traffic power flow in coupled transportation power systems," *IEEE Trans. Ind. Informat.*, vol. 19, no. 2, pp. 1833–1843, Feb. 2023.
- 900 [11] Y. Zhang, S. Xie, and S. Shu, "Decentralized optimization of multiarea interconnected traffic-power systems with wind power uncertainty," *IEEE Trans. Ind. Informat.*, vol. 19, no. 1, pp. 133–143, Jan. 2023.
- ⁹⁰³ [12] X. Wang, M. Shahidehpour, C. Jiang, and Z. Li, "Coordinated planning strategy for electric vehicle charging stations and coupled traffic-electric networks," *IEEE Trans. Smart Grid*, vol. 32, no. 1, pp. 268–279, Jan. 2019.
- 907 [13] W. Wei, L. Wu, J. Wang, and S. Mei, "Expansion planning of urban electrified transportation networks: A mixed-integer convex programming
 approach," *IEEE Trans. Transport. Electrific.*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 210–224,
 Mar. 2017.
- 911 [14] W. Gan et al., "Two-stage planning of network-constrained hybrid energy
 912 supply stations for electric and natural gas vehicles," *IEEE Trans. Smart*913 *Grid*, vol. 12, no. 3, pp. 2013–2026, May 2021.
- 914 [15] C. Wang, R. Dunn, F. Robinson, B. Lian, W. Yuan, and M. Redfern,
 "Active-reactive power approaches for optimal placement of charge stations in power systems," *Int. J. Elect. Power Energy Syst.*, vol. 84,
 pp. 87–98, Jan. 2017.
- 918 [16] S. Li, Y. Huang, and S. J. Mason, "A multi-period optimization model for the deployment of public electric vehicle charging stations on network," *Transp. Res. Part C Emerg. Technol.*, vol. 65, pp. 128–143, Apr. 2016.
- 921 [17] Q. Cui, Y. Weng, and C.-W. Tan, "Electric vehicle charging station place ment method for urban areas," *IEEE Trans. Smart Grid*, vol. 10, no. 6,
 pp. 6552–6565, Nov. 2019.
- [18] S. N. Hashemian, M. A. Latify, and G. R. Yousefi, "PEV fast-charging station sizing and placement in coupled transportation-distribution networks considering power line conditioning capability," *IEEE Trans. Smart Grid*, vol. 11, no. 6, pp. 4773–4783, Nov. 2020.
- 928 [19] C. Vazquez, F. Iborra, L. Ramirez, H. Sainz, and F. Jurado, "Comparative study of dynamic wireless charging of electric vehicles in motorway, highway and urban stretches," *Energy*, vol. 137, pp. 42–57, Oct. 2017.
- P31 [20] H. Ngo, A. Kumar, and S. Mishra, "Optimal positioning of dynamic wireless charging infrastructure in a road network for battery electric vehicles," *Transp. Res. Part D Transp. Environ.*, vol. 85, Aug. 2020, Art. no. 102385.
- ⁹³⁵ [21] Z. Bi et al., "Life cycle assessment and tempo-spatial optimization
 of deploying dynamic wireless charging technology for electric cars,"
 Transp. Res. Part C Emerg. Technol., vol. 100, pp. 53–67, Mar. 2019.
- F. Xia, H. Chen, M. Shahidehpour, W. Gan, M. Yan, and L. Chen,
 "Distributed expansion planning of electric vehicle dynamic wireless
 charging system in coupled power-traffic networks," *IEEE Trans. Smart Grid*, vol. 12, no. 4, pp. 3326–3338, Jul. 2021.
- yaz [23] J. Yang, Y. Xu, and Z. Yang, "Regulating the collective charging load of electric taxi fleet via real-time pricing," *IEEE Trans. Power Syst.*, vol. 32, no. 5, pp. 3694–3703, Sep. 2017.
- 945 [24] W. Lee, R. Schober, and V. Wong, "An analysis of price competition in heterogeneous electric vehicle charging stations," *IEEE Trans. Smart* 947 *Grid*, vol. 10, no. 4, pp. 3990–4002, Jul. 2019.
- 948 [25] G. Mouli, M. Kefayati, R. Baldick, and P. Bauer, "Integrated PV charging of EV fleet based on energy prices, V2G, and offer of reserves,"
- 950 IEEE Trans. Power Syst., vol. 10, no. 2, pp. 1313–1325, Mar. 2019.

- [26] C. Luo, Y. Huang, and V. Gupta, "Stochastic dynamic pricing for EV 951 charging stations with renewable integration and energy storage," *IEEE 71 Trans. Smart Grid*, vol. 9, no. 2, pp. 1494–1505, Mar. 2018.
- [27] B. Zeng and Y. An, "Solving bilevel mixed integer program by 954 reformulations and decomposition," Dept. Ind. Manag. Syst. Eng., 955 Univ. South Florida, Tampa, FL, USA, 2014. [Online]. Available: 956 https://optimization-online.org/2014/07/4455/ 957
- [28] H. Zhang, Z. Hu, Z. Xu, and Y. Song, "Optimal planning of PEV charging station with single output multiple cables charging spots," *IEEE* 959 *Trans. Smart Grid*, vol. 8, no. 5, pp. 2119–2128, Sep. 2017. 960
- [29] M. Shaaban, S. Mohamed, M. Ismail, K. Qaraqe, and E. Serpedin, 961 "Joint planning of smart EV charging stations and DGs in eco-friendly 962 remote hybrid microgrids," *IEEE Trans. Smart Grid*, vol. 10, no. 5, 963 pp. 5819–5830, Sep. 2019. 964
- [30] H. Zhang, S. Moura, Z. Hu, and Y. Song, "PEV fast-charging station siting and sizing on coupled transportation and power networks," *IEEE Trans. Smart Grid*, vol. 9, no. 4, pp. 2595–2605, Jul. 2018.
- [31] Z. Liu and Z. Song, "Robust planning of dynamic wireless charging 968 infrastructure for battery electric buses," *Transp. Res. Part C Emerg.* 969 *Technol.*, vol. 83, pp. 77–103, Oct. 2017. 970
- [32] S. Lv, Z. Wei, G. Sun, S. Chen, and H. Zang, "Optimal power and semidynamic traffic flow in urban electrified transportation networks," *IEEE* 972 *Trans. Smart Grid*, vol. 11, no. 3, pp. 1854–1865, May 2020.
- [33] A. Caprara and M. Locatelli, "Global optimization problems and domain 974 reduction strategies," *Math. Program*, vol. 125, no. 1, pp. 123–137, 975 Sep. 2010.
- [34] C. Liu, X. Wang, X. Wu, and J. Guo, "Economic scheduling model 977 of microgrid considering the lifetime of batteries," *IET Gener. Transm.* 978 *Distrib.*, vol. 11, no. 3, pp. 759–767, Feb. 2017. 979
- [35] J. Tan and L. Wang, "Real-time charging navigation of electric vehicles 980 to fast charging stations: A hierarchical game approach," *IEEE Trans.* 981 *Smart Grid*, vol. 8, no. 2, pp. 846–856, Mar. 2017. 982
- [36] F. Wu, J. Yang, X. Zhan, S. Liao, and J. Xu, "The online charging sea and discharging scheduling potential of electric vehicles considering the uncertain responses of users," *IEEE Trans. Power Syst.*, vol. 36, no. 3, 985 pp. 1794–1806, May 2021. 986
- [37] X. Zhang, F. Fang, and J. Liu, "Weather-classification-MARS-based photovoltaic power forecasting for energy imbalance market," *IEEE Trans.* 988 *Ind. Electron.*, vol. 66, no. 1, pp. 8692–8702, Nov. 2019.
- [38] "Historical weather data. China meteorological administration (CMA)." 990 [Online]. Available: http://lishi.tianqi.com/wuhan.html 991
- [39] H. Xu, H. Sun, D. Nikovski, S. Kitamura, K. Mori, and H. Hashimoto,
 "Deep reinforcement learning for joint bidding and pricing of load serv ing entity," *IEEE Trans. Smart Grid*, vol. 10, no. 6, pp. 6366–6375,
 994
 Nov. 2019.
- [40] J. Liu, M. G. Lin, S. Huang, Y. Zhou, C. Rehtanz, and Y. Li, 996 "Collaborative EV routing and charging scheduling with power distribution and traffic networks interaction," *IEEE Trans. Power Syst.*, vol. 37, 998 no. 5, pp. 3923–3936, Sep. 2022. 999
- [41] "Beijing requires new parking lots to have vehicle charging piles." 1000 [Online]. Available: https://www.yicaiglobal.com/news/beijing-requiresnew-parking-lots-to-have-vehicle-charging-piles 1002
- [42] "Brussels mandates all car parks to get charging stations by 1003 2025." [Online]. Available: https://www.themayor.eu/en/a/view/brussels- 1004 mandates-all-car-parks-to-get-charging-stations-by-2025-11073 1005
- [43] W. Gan et al., "Coordinated planning of transportation and electric power 1006 networks with the proliferation of electric vehicles," *IEEE Trans. Smart* 1007 *Grid*, vol. 11, no. 5, pp. 4005–4016, Sep. 2020. 1008
- [44] Y. Wan, J. Qin, F. Li, X. Yu, and Y. Kang, "Game theoretic-based dis- 1009 tributed charging strategy for PEVs in a smart charging station," *IEEE* 1010 *Trans. Smart Grid*, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 538–547, Jan. 2021. 1011
 [45] N. Aguiar, A. Dubey, and V. Gupta, "Network-constrained Stackelberg 1012
- [45] N. Aguiar, A. Dubey, and V. Gupta, "Network-constrained Stackelberg 1012 game for pricing demand flexibility in power distribution systems," *IEEE* 1013 *Trans. Smart Grid*, vol. 12, no. 5, pp. 4049–4058, Sep. 2021.
- [46] T. Zhao, Y. Li, X. Pan, P. Wang, and J. Zhang, "Real-time optimal 1015 energy and reserve management of electric vehicle fast charging station: 1016 hierarchical game approach," *IEEE Trans. Smart Grid*, vol. 9, no. 5, 1017 pp. 4049–4058, Sep. 2018. 1018
- [47] H. Zhang, Z. Hu, Z. Xu, and Y. Song, "An integrated planning frame- 1019 work for different types of PEV charging facilities in urban area," *IEEE* 1020 *Trans. Smart Grid*, vol. 7, no. 5, pp. 2273–2284, Sep. 2016. 1021
- [48] T. Qian, C. Shao, X. Wang, and M. Shahidehpour, "Deep reinforce- 1022 ment learning for EV charging navigation by coordinating smart grid 1023 and intelligent transportation system," *IEEE Trans. Smart Grid*, vol. 11, 1024 no. 2, pp. 1714–1723, Mar. 2020. 1025
- [49] X. Shi, Y. Xu, Q. Guo, H. Sun, and W. Gu, "A distributed EV navigation strategy considering the interaction between power system and 1027 traffic network," *IEEE Trans. Smart Grid*, vol. 11, no. 4, pp. 3545–3557, 1028 Jul. 2020. 1029