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Introduction

Ageing in place has been a UK priority for some time (Sixsmith & Sixsmith, 2008) and a preference of our ageing communities (Pettersson et al, 2020), offering the opportunity for older people to remain in their own homes for as long as possible (Grimmer et al, 2015). However, there are greater challenges for people living with dementia (Hadjri, Rooney & Faith,
2015, Mawhorter, Wilkie & Ailshire, 2023) who face difficulties with remaining safe in their own home as symptoms progress (Yin, Lin & Chen, 2023).

Appropriate housing is directly linked to health and quality of life (Feng et al, 2018; Garin et al, 2014; Government Office for Science, 2016; Iwarsson et al, 2007, Stewart et al, 2014) and can significantly impact on wellbeing (World Health Organisation, 2018; Marmot, 2010). As a sector which requires further development, a UK task force for older peoples’ housing has now been established to push this government priority forward (UK Government, 2023).

Extra Care Housing (ECH) is a model of purpose-built housing with on-site care and support that is designed to support ageing in place (Oatley & Atkinson, 2023). It provides people with authentic choice between receiving domiciliary care in their own home and living in residential care (Twyford, 2018). This model of housing with care has been purposely appointed to provide the option for a person to lease or own their apartment, with flexible care provision on site should it be required (Riseborough et al, 2015; Evans et al, 2017). Additional facilities are often available such as café, restaurant, social activities, hairdresser, library, etc, although these are dependent upon the size and locality of the scheme. An overriding feature of ECH is its aim to support independence, autonomy and wellbeing amongst its residents by providing a safe, secure and supported environment (Smith et al, 2022). Darton and Callaghan (2009) report benefits for people living with dementia including less deterioration in cognitive and physical functioning in comparison to people moving into care homes over the same period of time. Benefits for the wider population include improvements in physical, psychological and social well-being as well as health cost savings (Holland, 2015).

With the development of ECH spanning over 20 years (Atkinson et al, 2014) a number of different models have emerged (Barrett, 2012). Integrated schemes are the dominant model where people with little or no care needs live alongside people with a variety of different conditions (Barrett, 2023; Twyford, 2016). Specialist schemes provide exclusively for people with dementia, whilst separated schemes have made provision for this population in a separate area of the scheme. Provision of different types of schemes varies by geographical location and local authority commissioning models. People living with dementia account for 1 in 5 residents in ECH (Barrett, 2020) and may find themselves living in any of the different models according to preference or availability of provision.
As a model of independent living, ECH, if it is to be considered a home for life, should be capable of supporting residents to enjoy the benefits of a ‘place to call home’ (ADASS, 2022). Series (2022) suggests there are different dimensions of ‘home’ including home as a haven; home as territory; scaffolding for the self and the social home. Crowther (2022) proposes that Series’ analysis demonstrates the clear role of ‘the place we call home’ in constructing, reaffirming, holding our personhood’ and further notes that ‘when these dimensions of what makes home “home” are not upheld, it ceases to be a home’ (p.24). Personhood is defined by Kitwood (1997) as ‘a standing or status that is bestowed upon one human being, by others, it implied recognition, respect and trust’ (p. 7). However, there is currently little evidence as to what works best, and for whom, with regards to ECH and people living with dementia (Anonymous), O’Malley & Croucher, 2005; Dutton, 2010; Twyford, 2016) and whether it can be considered a ‘home for life’ (Kneale & Smith, 2013). Delivering person-centred care, having staff expertise in dementia, flexible staffing, appropriate facilities and social activities, effective design, partnership working and engagement with the wider community are all reported to be important for supporting people living with dementia in ECH (Brooker et al., 2011; Evans et al., 2020; Smith et al., 2022; Anonymous). However, the voices of people with dementia are often absent from research (Bowers, 2009; Evans, Vallelly & Croucher, 2014; Volkmer et al, 2023) with evidence being offered through third party accounts. This study sought to put the voices of people living with dementia, their spouses and other family members at the heart of the enquiry to obtain insight into their perception of the benefits and challenges of living in ECH.

**Methodology**

The paper reports on findings from a large project that explored the experience of living with dementia in ECH. It was funded by the NIHR School for Social Care Research (102645/ER/UWTA-P180) and ethical approval was granted by the Health Research Authority (21/HRA/3769).

The focus of this paper is the following research question:

**What are the benefits and challenges of living with dementia in ECH?**

Semi-structured qualitative interviews were conducted with 100 participants across eight case study sites (see Table 1 for details) Interviews took place face-to-face in a private room within
the scheme. External adult social care professionals were interviewed online using Microsoft Teams.

**[please insert Table 1 here]**

Case study sites were purposively selected to represent the diversity of size, facility, location and models of ECH available (integrated, specialist, separated). Site identification occurred through desktop research, the NIHR ENRICH network, and the project advisory group\(^1\). Initial intentions had been to recruit three sites per model, but only a single separated site was identified (Scheme 7). A former separated site (Scheme 6) was also included as the transition was relatively recent with a number of staff being retained following transition. Details of sites identified are provided in Table 2. All schemes included social housing units.

**[please insert Table 2 here]**

Senior staff members identified potential participants (residents, staff) at each scheme. For residents with dementia, a process model of consent was used (Dewing, 2007). All participants had capacity and provided written consent. However, had this not been the case, a consultee process had been sanctioned by the ethics board. This was likely unnecessary due to gatekeepers purposively selecting residents they believed had capacity to participate. Interviews were recorded and transcribed, with any identifying details removed and replaced.

For data analysis, a template analysis approach was employed (Brooks et al., 2015; King, 2012). An initial template was developed from early interview analysis. Coding and analysis were carried out concurrently alongside additional data generation. Regular research team meetings were held to refine the coding template, and coding examples were subjected to discussion and enhancement with the advisory group. Data were coded within participant categories across models and including both latent and semantic content.

**Findings**

Findings in this paper are presented as an overview of benefits and challenges of living with dementia in ECH, irrespective of scheme type. A discussion of the relative benefits and challenges of different models has been reported elsewhere (Anonymous). Themes are

---

\(^1\) The project advisory group was made up of professionals with expertise in housing, adult social care, dementia and people with lived experience of dementia.
described with exemplar data, before a discussion of the relevance of the findings, limitations of the study, and ramifications for practice and future research are presented.

Sources of quotations are made clear and [brackets] are used to clarify meaning or abbreviate quotations. Resident spouse is used to identify that the person was living in ECH with a partner with dementia.

**Benefits**

**Owning your own home**

Residents in this study described that it was important to feel a sense of ownership over their own home:

“I’ve got a big space you see, all this is mine.” (Edith, resident with dementia, Scheme 2)

“I come in it and it’s all mine. I can walk to the front door, lock it up and that’s it.” (Frank, resident with dementia, Scheme 8)

Having ownership was important for a sense of identity, familiarity, and stability:

“[It is important to have my own stuff]. It’s mine, and I look after things.” (Laura, resident with dementia, Scheme 2)

“This table for instance, I love, because I can hold onto it, and it doesn’t move, like most other things in life do. So, I just grab hold of this when I feel the need” (Edith, resident with dementia, Scheme 2)

Personal possessions could also stimulate positive memories and reminiscence:

“[The furniture is important] because looking at different things, like the bookcase, my husband and I went together to buy that, so that makes that quite personal” (Sheila, resident with dementia, Scheme 1)

“I’ve got an army photo over here, and people come in and they pick that up straightaway, ‘oh yeah, you was there?’ It’s nice when they’ve never had to do it. We used to do two years, or near enough three years in the army, you’d go from Germany to Cyprus, and Cyprus back to Germany, and all them things were really pleasant.” (William, resident with dementia, Scheme 4)

Having your own home offered opportunity to maintain some privacy and independent living:
“I love it. I’ve got my own flat, and I sit in the lounge, and I go to yoga […] I like to do my own thing” (Bryony, resident with dementia, Scheme 4)

“You have your own place here and you can choose to mix [with] people if you want, or if you don’t want to go to these [activities] in the afternoon, you can go out and do your own time” (Richard, resident with dementia, Scheme 7)

For some, the private flat represented a ‘safe haven’ away from others:

“When I hear [the other residents being rude] I don’t like it at all. I [can] just walk out and come up here and think, ‘oh well let them get on with it’” (Annette, resident with dementia, Scheme 2)

“I don’t think I want to mix with them out there. [But] in here. Everything is easy.” (Thomas, resident with dementia, Scheme 5)

The space also offered private visits with family in contrast to more traditional residential care:

“My auntie has had to go into a care home she’s got a bedroom and that’s it. There’s nowhere to sit. (Family carer 2, Scheme 5)

“[My daughter]’s able to come quite often with the children. So the family is all connected.” (Laura, resident with dementia, Scheme 2)

**A safe and secure location**

A second benefit noted by both residents and their family members was the safety and security that living in ECH provided. Knowing that staff were onsite reassured both residents and family members:

“You’ve always got somebody you can call. They don’t mind if you call […] But if you pull that, […] in about a couple of minutes they’re coming in and seeing what’s the matter.” (Peter, resident with dementia, Scheme 5)

“There’s always staff on the unit, so if there’s a problem, there’s staff close by” (Family carer 1, Scheme 5)

ECH also provided a sense of protection from the outside world:

“You hear so many funny stories out there, and then I’m here and I think I’m so fortunate that I’m not out there. So, yeah I feel safe.” (Sheila, resident with dementia, Scheme 1)
“Just out in a normal housing street, you’ve got the issues of people, if they do leave home or they leave the front door open or they answer the door to the wrong person, so those kinds of issues you wouldn’t have here so I guess that gives you peace of mind” (Family carer 2, Scheme 5)

For some residents, the safety and security of the location was also related to future planning and the belief that the accommodation could continue to meet their needs as their symptoms developed:

“We didn’t want to have to rely on family, who are always there for us, but I just felt I could manage here. If [my husband] got worse, I could manage, if my back went, which it has done since we’ve been here, we could manage” (Betty, resident spouse, Scheme 3)

But my daughters did notice when I was in the house that occasionally I forgot the odd name or whatever. As I think most people do. So that was a bit of an incentive to come to a place like this as well. [...] Should anything happen, there’s help available here. (Richard, resident with dementia, Scheme 7)

Flexible support to sustain a level of independence

A key feature of the ECH concept is the flexibility of care and support available. Resident participants in this study had diverse care and support needs. Some had no planned care and support, whilst others had multiple calls per day to support with activities of daily living. Some residents described staff support as a collaborative effort that supported independence:

“[Staff] come in. Sometimes they get me breakfast. But nine times out of ten I say, no it’s all right I can do it” (Frank, resident with dementia, Scheme 8)

‘To me it simply means that I do have 24-hour backup, and someone comes to see me a couple of times a day and they do a little bit of washing up such as it is.’ (Nicholas, resident with dementia, Scheme 8)

Other residents required and valued a higher level of support, knowing that staff were on hand to support with eating and drinking. This gave residents peace of mind.

“I haven’t got to worry about a thing. [...] Food, meals. It’s comfortable.” (Rose, resident with dementia, Scheme 5)

Having additional staff available in communal areas for ad hoc support was important in supporting residents with dementia:

“I’m not afraid to...I go up and say, ‘oh I don’t like to be a nuisance but where’s the toilet?’ If there’s no girls about, what can you do? And [staff member] says, ‘oh just straight down there, the door on the left’. I say, ‘on the left?’ He says ‘yes’, and he showed me, takes me to where it is, but obviously don’t take me in. (Katharine, resident with dementia, Scheme 2)
“They just say, are you coming? Or, [do] you know what’s on or something like that”. (Molly, resident with dementia, Scheme 7)

“The room downstairs, there’s always somebody there to see to anybody or do things” (Annette, resident with dementia, Scheme 2)

The quality of the relationships between staff and residents was recognised by both residents and family members:

“Very reassuring that that you can always go to staff. They’re always listening to you, which is important, isn’t it? They will listen to you and see what your problem is and then sort it out really” (Molly, resident with dementia, Scheme 7)

“All I can say is, how does mum look when we come in to see her? She looks great. The staff have lots of banter with her. She, sort of, warms to that and has a laugh” (Family carer 2, Scheme 5)

The flexible staff approach has additional value in enabling people to remain in their own homes during periods of acute illness or during rehabilitation.

**Age-friendly convenient living**

Many residents commented on the practical design and age-friendly nature of living in ECH. Residents appreciated the practical size of their flat:

“It’s not too much to clean, you know what I mean?” (Katharine, resident with dementia, Scheme 2)

“I think it’s a nice size, everything’s a nice size. So you feel quite relaxed here and it’s nice to come...it’s like coming home.” (Donald, resident with dementia, Scheme 8)

For those residents who managed their own finances, they recognised that having a single inclusive rent and utility bill was a helpful convenience:

“It’s always warm. Of course, we pay for the heating through the rent, but we don’t pay separately. Which is an advantage.” (Richard, resident with dementia, Scheme 7))

“It’s all inclusive, you don’t pay for electric. And your water is all-inclusive. [...] once you’ve moved in, and you’ve got what you need to pay, you know then what’s left is yours.” (Delia, resident spouse, Scheme 3)

In addition, residents noted the convenience that ECH could offer with respect to upkeep and maintenance:

“[I] enjoy the garden when it’s a nice day. And at least I don’t have the problem of digging...” (Richard, resident with dementia, Scheme 7)
“I do some [cleaning] myself. The bathrooms are done by someone because I found them quite awkward to clean” (Colleen, resident with dementia, Scheme 6)

For one resident, the ease of reselling the property after death was also a beneficial convenience despite any loss in value:

“One of the good things here is yes, your property is going to...the value will stay. Well, in fact, the value decreases a certain amount. However you know that your lease, if something happened to both of us, can just be passed back to [Organisation 3]. Your family haven’t got to sell it, go through all the trauma of selling, so all of that to me are considerations which you need to make” (Betty, resident spouse, Scheme 3)

Such issues have little featured in research to date and future studies might consider how such arrangements impact upon the decisions to relocate to ECH or other forms of specialist housing.

Social interaction

Within the ECH schemes, activities, mealtimes, and shared facilities offered residents opportunities for social interaction without needing transport or needing to leave the scheme. Having communal space meant that informal social opportunity was available if you wished:

“I just go on my own and I sit and read a book or do my crosswords. And then by that time other people have come. Then you get chatting” (Sheila, resident with dementia, Scheme 1)

“[We] go down to the restaurant when it’s time for tea or you could be sitting in the lounge where it’s carpeted just chatting with your friends, with the people you’ve met while you’re in here” (Jane, resident with dementia, Scheme 6)

Schemes 1, 2, 4, 6, 7 and 8 had a café/restaurant, and these could provide a focal point for regular coming together. Although Schemes 3 and 5 did not have a café/restaurant, residents often chose to eat their food in the communal lounge spaces so as to be with other people:

“12 o’clock, dinner time, I take [my meal] over, put it on the side, and whoever’s working that day, they’ll put it in the microwave and we all have our dinner down there [...] Every day you see [other residents] dinnertime, so of course you just, one or two I speak to” (Rose, resident with dementia, Scheme 5)

In addition to informal social opportunity, schemes also hosted planned activities onsite. For example, yoga, film nights, art groups, bingo, or themed activities. For some people, planned activities were a helpful facilitator for social interaction:
“The only time that we meet is at the coffee mornings. Or at the curling, whoever attends”
(Richard, resident with dementia, Scheme 7)

Some people required prompting or assistance to attend the activity (e.g. due to memory loss, mobility), but there was no need to leave the scheme or arrange transport. Some residents still attended activity outside of the scheme and, for those that were able to, this was an important aspect of freedom, independence and choice.

**Opportunity to continue to live as a couple**

A final advantage noted by some residents was the opportunity that ECH provided to support people to continue to live as a couple. This included residents where one or both parties were living with dementia. Living within ECH supported couples to continue to care for each other with the additional back-up support of staff if required:

- *Well I'm the one who has a carer, obviously. She comes in around seven o'clock. Nearly always a different one, but she gives me a shower, dresses me and would do a lot more if I needed it, like getting me breakfast and whatnot. But of course with Robin [my husband] here, I don't need it.* (Harriet, resident spouse, Scheme 1)

- *The social services moved us into here because [my wife] couldn't cope. If anything happened to her, I'd just pull the cord and one of the carers or two of the carers came and they'd help me.* (Barry, resident spouse, Scheme 2)

Although living together could be done outside of ECH, some residents recognised that this would not be the case in other forms of institutional care:

- *“It keeps people out of going into nursing home and things like that, where you would be separated if one of you was ill”* (Marie, resident without dementia, Scheme 3)

**Challenges**

In addition to the benefits, residents recognised some challenges inherent within ECH, including the availability of staff, the potential for loneliness, and living with or alongside advancing symptoms of dementia.

**Availability of staff and the reality of “flexible” resourcing**

Residents and family members recognised that there was often a high turnover of staff and a lack of consistency in care provision:
“I think that if she gets to know them, but they’ve had quite a bit of turnover” (Family carer 1, Scheme 1)

“Before, Harriet [my wife] would get a carer for, say, four days in a row. Now you’re lucky if you get two days in a row, before you get somebody else” (Robin, resident with dementia, Scheme 1)

Some residents also described support as being rigid:

“In and out [...] I appreciate it’s a job to them, not for me” (Laura, resident with dementia, Scheme 2)

“They come in in the morning, help you get washed and dressed and whatever. Then you never see them till lunchtime and so that’s...they come in to me about 1 o’clock. Then you don’t see them again till about five. Then you don’t see them till 10 o’clock at night [...] I do worry about going down, because you could be down there for hours” (Anne, resident with dementia, Scheme 5)

Residents and family members noted that at times, there were insufficient numbers of staff available on demand, there by undermining the value of having staff onsite:

“There’s a bit of lack of staff downstairs, so if I didn’t have the key fob to get in quite often I wouldn’t be able to get in” (Family carer 2, Scheme 1)

I’m mean I’m just sad [Activity Coordinator]’s not here Monday to Friday because I think in all of these places, they need something going on. (Family carer 1, Scheme 4)

Planned support calls could not always be delivered at the preferred time, undermining the sense of control and agency that residents experienced over their care:

It was known that we would go down half an hour before lunch. So when she was handicapped and had to have a wheelchair, the position was officially made half past eleven. But every now and again, with this new system, a girl will appear and Harriet will say ‘I’m sorry, you’re too early’. ‘Oh, quarter past eleven.’ You know, it’s official. They’re doing what they were told. (Robin, resident with dementia, Scheme 1)

As symptoms advanced, some residents required more proactive support from staff if they were unable or unwilling to ask for more support:
“I think the problem as well is she doesn’t like to bother anyone. She doesn’t see it’s their job” (Family carer 2, Scheme 1)

Scheme 3 was criticised by residents for relying too heavily on support from other residents or untrained volunteers in place of paid staff:

“They rely too heavily on volunteers who... haven’t had the training that staff have”
(Andrew, resident with dementia, Scheme 3)

“I think it is a valuable place for people to live, with dementia, but I know, there is very much a reliance on other residents to do the care, to look out for people, like walking people back to their apartments, it’s not staff that does that” (Gill, resident without dementia, Scheme 3)

**Loneliness**

Despite the reported benefits and promise of social activity that ECH could provide, many residents reported feeling lonely:

“I get lonely” (Anne, resident with dementia, Scheme 5)

“I think my mum, she had a bit of a to do last night, because sometimes she doesn’t like being on her own. And, I think weekends, there’s not so many people about” (Family carer 1, Scheme 1)

For residents living with dementia, symptoms such as apathy, disorientation, memory loss, poor mobility, or reduced confidence could prevent them from leaving their flat without support or prompting. Although privacy was seen as a benefit, it could also be isolating:

I would say it’s friendly, but you’ve got to join in. You need to go down and have a coffee, or...it’s no use just sitting in your room. Because people won’t come up to your room. Nobody interferes with you. (Delia, resident spouse, Scheme 3)

The ones on this floor, I see occasionally. But once they’re in their flats, I don’t see a lot of them. We don’t really socialise at all. (Richard, resident with dementia, Scheme 7)
Advancing symptoms of dementia

Residents recognised that ECH could not always support a resident living with more advanced dementia. For example, participants reported former residents who had been moved out of the scheme following aggressive behaviour which had become difficult for residents to live alongside, causing them to feel unsafe, or to lock doors.

Although some couples were living together in ECH and saw the support available as beneficial, ECH could not support all people living with dementia. One resident recognised that the level of care provided would have been insufficient for their partner:

“I think if people, if they've got somebody with them, they can probably live here longer, but I think there comes a point where they won't be able to and they will need that specialist care in a care home, and [my husband] was already there” (Rachel, resident without dementia, Scheme 3)

For Rachel, the level of additional support that she would have had to provide would have been too difficult and compromised her own psychological and emotional wellbeing.

Some residents living with milder symptoms also found living alongside those with more advanced symptoms challenging:

“Most of the people who live here, most of them are all ga-ga anyway, unfortunately brains. They've got dementia and stuff like that, and I just back off from that. Because I know that's the way I'm going to be later on and it's not nice” (Peter, resident with dementia, Scheme 5)

Residents with more advanced dementia could dominate staff time, thereby preventing access to staff support for others. They could also unintentionally interrupt the lives of other residents:

“I was watching television and I saw something. And there was this old lady, standing there in a short nightie. This was half past six in the morning. So Harriet got up, knew her and showed her...put her to home. (Robin, resident with dementia, Scheme 1)

“You've got no privacy here. There are people walking and out. Well, staff mostly. I've got [another resident] over the way and she dodders in. Goes to get in the bed.” (Peter, resident with dementia, Scheme 5)
Residents without dementia expressed concerns over the level of care available to residents with dementia:

“If a family put somebody in here with dementia they’re going to need a lot more care than can be given because it isn’t cheap. It’s about £18 an hour for care. They’re in the wrong place. There’s quite a few that have been taken to nursing homes” (Marie, resident without dementia, Scheme 3)

Concerns generally related to stereotypes of more advanced dementia, echoing stigmatised views of what it means to live with dementia (Alzheimer’s Society, 2019):

“There’s a stigma. And people...I haven’t felt it too much personally, but if I was more advanced, I don’t know.” (Andrew, resident with dementia, Scheme 3)

Discussion

Having a sense of ownership over your home, familiar possessions, and the option of a private life (with or without family) away from the broader activity in the scheme underpinned ECH as a location for independent living, rather than institutional care. Findings suggest that this is a significant benefit understood by residents and their families that can support a sense of control, agency, normalcy, and identity (Anonymous, Valveley et al, 2006; Burns et al, 2009; Barrett, Atkinson & Evans, 2016) and can be conceptualised in Series’ (2022) view of the ‘home as haven’ offering a place of safety and a retreat from the world. However, the benefits of having a private space to which a person can retreat has to be balanced against opportunities for social engagement, which may become diminished as symptoms of dementia progress. Specialist staff dedicated to supporting people with dementia who can prompt or remind residents to attend activities can mitigate against the risk of potential isolation, but their availability will depend upon how the scheme has been resourced. Where care is provided flexibly, there is potential for the changing needs of people living with dementia to be readily met but rigidity of care can result in extended periods of time where a resident may be isolated in their apartment.

Safety and security have been noted to be a key motivator for moving into ECH (Barrett, 2020) and data demonstrates that this is seen as a significant benefit, both by residents and families. Evidence suggests this dimension has a two-fold aspect; firstly, the presence of staff supports residents to feel safe. Technology built into the scheme enables them to alert staff should an
incident arise giving residents confidence that their needs can readily be met in an emergency. This is important for those with and without care packages. The flexibility of support available enables independence to be maintained as fully and for as long as possible with care being scaled accordingly as required. Secondly, the building itself provides a layer of safety from the outside world. Residents can choose to whom they open the door to their apartment with an added layer of protection through progressive privacy via fobbed access and a centralised front entrance. The open-door policy of ECH, however, affords freedom to residents to come and go as they choose. As symptoms of dementia progress, this can prove challenging for families and staff in balancing the risk versus safety of residents. This is an aspect of ECH which requires further research into the applicability and usefulness of the Deprivation of Liberty Safeguards (Anonymous).

The benefit of living in an environment with an age-friendly design and ethos was valued by residents who felt the burden of household chores, gardening and finances was alleviated thus enabling them to enjoy their apartment and grounds without the responsibility of maintenance. Moving to new accommodation prior to dementia symptoms progressing can aid with familiarisation of new spaces and help residents with dementia to orientate to their new environment.

ECH almost uniquely offers the opportunity to remain living as a couple if residents choose to do so. People living with dementia expressed the value they placed on this citing the support they got from their partner as being balanced with the care which could be provided from within the scheme. However, it is important to note that the spouse without dementia may find their care commitments limit the value on offer from the scheme for themselves.

Social inclusion lies at the heart of ECH provision offering the opportunity for shared dining, entertainment, activities and interaction with others both within the scheme and the wider community. The important point noted here by residents was the extent to which this supported their own autonomy in choosing whether or not be involved and at what level. For some this was the ability to remain connected to the outside community, for others it was simply to sit in a shared environment whilst others enjoyed the communal activities and dining opportunities. As noted above, where a person’s symptoms of dementia progress, support may be required for them to continue to be involved in the social aspects of life within the
scheme. This will be dependent upon the flexibility of staffing available and how the scheme is resourced which in some cases may limit opportunities.

**Conclusions**

Findings from this study highlight valuable benefits of living in ECH for residents with dementia, including a sense of ownership, safety, security, social connectedness, and flexible staff support. ECH fosters a strong sense of identity, stability, and agency through ownership of their homes and familiar possessions. The flexible support system allows residents to maintain independence while receiving necessary assistance. However, challenges for residents with dementia include staff availability, loneliness, and managing advancing symptoms. Insufficient staff resources can lead to limited engagement and support. Loneliness may arise from reduced social interaction despite communal spaces. As dementia progresses, ECH may struggle to provide adequate support, potentially leading to transfer to specialized care facilities. Data from other participants (staff, social care professionals) reveal further challenges (Anonymous). While ECH offers benefits, addressing these hurdles is essential for effective dementia care. Improving staff training, promoting person-centred care, and fostering an inclusive community are critical for enhancing residents’ well-being and quality of life in ECH.

**Limitations**

This paper explored the lived experiences of residents and family members, providing new insight into the advantages and disadvantages of ECH for people living with dementia. Some limitations, however, should be noted. Firstly, despite efforts to create an inclusive, diverse sample drawn from rural, urban and city locations, the participants were all White British. Darton et al (2022) similarly note that limited ethnic diversity in ECH is common potentially identifying an under researched area. Secondly, the participants involved were identified by gatekeepers, usually the scheme manager. This may present some bias in the selection of residents who were not only those with capacity and thus more able but may have resulted in schemes choosing participants who held more positive views of living in ECH.
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### Table 1 Participants by type and gender

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total number</th>
<th>Resident with dementia</th>
<th>Resident spouse without dementia</th>
<th>Non-resident family member</th>
<th>Resident without dementia</th>
<th>Scheme staff</th>
<th>External adult social care professionals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Male</strong></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Female</strong></td>
<td>23</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2 Summary of case study sites

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scheme ID</th>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>No of Apartments</th>
<th>Facilities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>1</strong></td>
<td>Integrated</td>
<td>Central England</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>Communal lounge, dining, laundry, shop, hobby room, garden</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2</strong></td>
<td>Specialist</td>
<td>Central England</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>Communal lounge, dining, laundry, hairdresser, garden</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>3</strong></td>
<td>Specialist</td>
<td>S.E England</td>
<td>Metropolitan</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>4</strong></td>
<td>Integrated</td>
<td>Central England</td>
<td>Metropolitan</td>
<td>260 Large hall, dining, gym, hairdresser, shop, hobby room</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>5</strong></td>
<td>Specialist</td>
<td>Central England</td>
<td>City</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>6</strong></td>
<td>Separated</td>
<td>N.E. England</td>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>7</strong></td>
<td>Separated</td>
<td>N.E. England</td>
<td>City</td>
<td>50 Integrated 20 Separated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>8</strong></td>
<td>Integrated</td>
<td>Central England</td>
<td>Large Village</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>9</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>